

LA-UR- 03-0230

Approved for public release;
distribution is unlimited.

Title: REGIONAL ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCES WITHIN SOUTH
POLE-AITKEN BASIN AS MEASURED WITH LUNAR
PROSPECTOR GAMMA-RAY SPECTROMETER DATA

Author(s): David J. Lawrence, Los Alamos National Laboratory/NIS-1
C. M. Pieters, Brown University
Richard C. Elphic, Los Alamos National Laboratory/NIS-1
O. Gasnault, Observatoire Midi-Pyrenees, France
Thomas H. Prettyman, Los Alamos National Laboratory/NIS-1
William C. Feldman, Los Alamos National Laboratory/NIS-1

Submitted to: Lunar and Planetary Science Conference
March 17-21, 2003
Houston, TX



Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

Regional Elemental Abundances within South Pole-Aitken Basin as Measured with Lunar Prospector Gamma-ray Spectrometer Data. D. J. Lawrence¹, C. M. Pieters², R. C. Elphic¹, O. Gasnault³, T. H. Prettyman¹, and W. C. Feldman¹, ¹Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, 87545 (djlawrence@lanl.gov); ²Brown University, Providence, RI, USA; ³Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, Toulouse France.

Introduction: South Pole-Aitken (SPA) basin has been a target of intense study since it is one of the largest impact basins [1] in the solar system. It is thought that SPA basin excavated deep into the lunar crust and possibly even the mantle [2,3,4]. Such conclusions have been supported by the observed mafic and thorium composition anomalies seen across the entire basin [5,6,7]. One of the major goals of lunar and planetary science [8] has been to measure and understand the composition of the non-mare materials within SPA basin. It is expected that this information will help to increase our understanding of the formation and differentiation processes that occurred early on the Moon.

We now have information from the fully reduced Lunar Prospector Spectrometer (LP-GRS) and Neutron Spectrometer (LP-NS) data [9] so we can try and understand the broad compositional differences within SPA basin. Here we present the results of a study where we have examined the abundances for both mare and non-mare materials within SPA basin using the LP-GRS and LP-NS data. In addition, we compare these results to the abundances that are seen in the anorthositic highlands to the north of SPA basin.

Data Selection: For this study, we are using the following data: FeO [10] and Th [11], abundances are taken from the low-altitude (30km) portion of the LP mission and mapped onto 0.5°x0.5° pixels (15km x 15km at the equator); Al₂O₃, FeO and MgO abundances are taken from the high-altitude (100km) portion of the LP mission and mapped onto 150km x 150km pixels.

Data Analysis: Our study area (80°S–20°S, 140°E–240°E) is shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Our initial selection is to define SPA basin as being all locations in the study area having an elevation of less than –2.5 km using Clementine topography data [12]. The white contours in Fig. 2 show the SPA boundary as selected. In order to select mare regions, we used geologic maps [13,14] that have been digitized onto 0.5°x0.5° pixels, as shown by the white regions in Fig. 1. Finally, to make sure the measurements of non-mare materials are not contaminated by mare materials because of footprint spreading [11,15], we have masked out all locations that are closer than 90km to a mare unit (red regions in Fig. 1).

Results: Figs. 3 – 5 show example results from the low-altitude FeO and Th data as well as the high-altitude MgO data. For all of these figures, we have plotted histograms where selections have been made

for the different units. The black line in all plots shows the abundance distribution for the entire basin; the red line shows the distribution for mapped mare units; the blue line shows the distribution for non-mare units outside the footprint mask (red regions in Fig. 1); finally, the green line shows the abundance distribution for an anorthositic highlands region north of SPA basin (20°S–40°N, 160°E–260°E).

FeO abundances: We can make a number of conclusions regarding the FeO data of Fig. 3. First, we see a clear difference between the mare and non-mare units within SPA basin. In particular, the mare data show higher average abundances than the non-mare regions. While the absolute mare abundances (10 – 11 FeO wt.%) are significantly lower than typical mare basalts, these low values are likely due to footprint mixing of lower-iron non-mare regions into the relatively small mare basalt regions. The non-mare abundances are distinctly lower than the mare, having measured abundances of 8 – 9 FeO wt.%. Finally, all of SPA basin shows higher FeO abundances than the anorthositic highlands, which have abundances in the range of 4.5 – 5 FeO wt.%.

Th abundances: As with the FeO data, the Th data (Fig. 4) also show differences between the mare and non-mare units. The mare regions show abundances ranging from 1.5 – 2.5 µg/g. This is similar to some of the abundances seen in some nearside, eastern mare basalts. The non-mare distribution appears to show two components. One is a low-thorium component (~1 µg/g) that is found both around Apollo crater and in the southern-most part of the basin. A somewhat higher abundance component (~1.5–2.5 µg/g) appears to be indistinguishable from the mare distribution. Finally, as with the FeO data, the thorium abundances also show higher values within SPA basin compared to the selected highlands region.

MgO abundances: With the high-altitude, large footprint data of [9], we might have questioned whether the mare and non-mare units could be distinguished within SPA basin. However, the MgO, as well as FeO and Al₂O₃ (not shown) data all showed compositional differences between the two units. Fig. 5 shows the results for MgO. While the histogram bins are by necessity larger than the previous plots (due to the fewer number of pixels), differences between the mare and non-mare distributions are nonetheless observed. Specifically, the mare distribution shows generally higher abundances (10–12 MgO wt.%) com-

pared to the non-mare units (7–10 MgO wt.%). Furthermore, all of SPA basin has higher abundances than the highlands region to the north.

Conclusions: Further work needs to be done to separate out the effects of footprint mixing either through forward modeling [11] of the GRS data, spatial deconvolution, or both. Nevertheless, even with this initial analysis, we find we are able to compositionally characterize and discriminate the non-mare material within SPA basin. Based on these data, we agree with previous studies [4] that concluded that the anorthositic crust was removed from SPA basin and the remaining non-mare material is consistent with a dominant noritic composition.




Figure 1. Mapped mare basalt units (white) and regions ≤ 90 km from mare basalt units (red).




Figure 2. LP-GRS FeO abundances in and around SPA basin. The selected contour of SPA basin is shown in white.




Figure 3. Histograms of FeO abundances from the low-altitude, $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ data.

References: [1] Spudis, et al., *Science*, 266, 1848, 1994; [2] Pieters, et al., *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 1903, 1997; [3] Lucey et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 3701, 1998; [4] Pieters, et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(#E11), 28001, 2001; [5] Belton, et al., *Science*, 255, 570, 1992; [6] Lucey et al., *Science*, 266, 1855, 1994; [7] Lawrence et al., *Science*, 281, 1484, 1998; [8] Solar System Exploration Survey, Space Studies Board, National Research Council; [9] Prettyman et al., *33rd LPSC*, Abstract #2012; [10] Lawrence et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 10.1029/2001JE001530, 2002; [11] Lawrence et al., *Met. Planet. Sci.*, in preparation, 2003; [12] Smith et al., *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 1591, 1997; [13] Stuart-Alexander, USGS I-1047, 1978; [14] Wilhelms et al., USGS I-1162, 1979. [15] Gasnault et al., *33rd LPSC*, Abstract #2010, 2002.




Figure 4. Histograms of Th abundances from the low-altitude, $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ data.




Figure 5. Histograms of MgO abundances from the high-altitude, $150\text{km} \times 150\text{km}$ data.