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ABSTRACT

The Rocky Flats Plutonium (Pu) Metal Sample Exchange program was conducted to insure the quality and intercomparability of measurements such as Pu assay, Pu isotopics, and impurity analyses. This program was discontinued in 1989 after more than 30 years.

Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) has reestablished the Pu metal exchange program. During the first year, five DOE facilities, Argonne East, Argonne West, Livermore, Los Alamos, and New Brunswick Laboratory, Savannah River and the Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) at Aldermaston are participating in the program. Plutonium metal samples are being prepared and distributed to the various sites primarily for destructive measurements for elemental concentration, isotopic abundance, and both metallic and nonmetallic impurity levels.

The program is intended to provide independent verification of analytical measurement capability for each participating facility and to allow problems to be identified. Significant achievements in FY02 will be described. Results from category 1 elements and comparisons with Rocky Flats standards exchange metal historical data will also be presented. The roles and responsibilities of LANL and the external laboratories have been defined.
2nd Annual Los Alamos Plutonium Metal Standard Exchange Workshop
“Preliminary” Results

Lav Tandon & Alice Slemmons
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Introduction

Exchange Motivation

- Post qualification requirement
- Method verification and validation
- *How do we compare to Rocky Flats (RF)?*

Approach

- Comparison of results from different methods and laboratories
Significant Achievements FY02

- Compilation and validation of exchange data.
  - LA-Reports released for the two FY01 exchanges.
- Participating laboratories:
  - Argonne (ANL), Argonne-West (ANL-W), Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) Aldermaston, Los Alamos (LANL), Livermore (LLNL), New Brunswick (NBL) and Savannah (SRS).
  - Memorandum of understanding with the DOE laboratories.
  - AWE under the auspices JOWOG-22 agreements (Focus Area #22/6/14).
- Visit to participating DOE sites.
Significant Achievements FY02

- Improvements in cutting, shipping and packaging of samples.
- Shipments (April & June)
- Materials
  - 2 Exchange metals used
- Expected Data Set
  - Metal A: 8 sets of analytical results
  - Metal B: 8 sets of analytical results
  - Data sets created for > 40 analytes.
Significant Achievements FY02

• Acquisition of two additional materials to be included in the program in FY03.
Data Presentation

Metals and Exchange Dates

• Alpha Metals: 442 and 465 exchanged April and August 2001.
• Delta Metals: A and B exchanged April and June 2002.

• Historical Rocky (RF) chemistries available for all the above metals.
Metal 442 & 465 $^{240}$Pu Results

- April '01
- August '01
- RF Values

RF Values

wt%
LANL vs. RF Comparison (Metal 442)
Various Analytes by their primary methods

RF methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>*AA,ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>RAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>**ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ga primary method: CH
**Ni primary method: AA
Data Presentation

Data Treatment

• All the data submitted for radionuclides decay corrected to 1/1/2001.

• Exchange data statistics excludes reported < or > values.

• All the values reported by each laboratory for each method included in consensus values*. Normalized data by treating it as 100%.

* Data included from only current laboratory/techniques (RF not included).
The following GRAPHS compare LANL Primary method result to the consensus values

- LANL results from each metal were normalized to the consensus values in order to evaluate our performance using data from the different metals.
- Data points have $1\sigma$ error bars.
- Included $3\sigma$ lines on graph (used the highest consensus-rsd of the 4 metals)

Also plotted:
- LANL grand avg for each metal normalized w/ the consensus values
- Consensus Median – median of all participants’ Grand Means.
LANL Americium Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with 1σ errors)
- Metal 442—1164 +/- 19 ppm
- Metal 465—1291 +/- 13 ppm
- Metal A—1693 +/- 51 ppm
- Metal B—1677 +/- 29 ppm
LANL Silicon Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with 1σ errors)

Metal 442—44 +/- 11 ppm
Metal 465—27 +/- 33 ppm
Metal A—76 +/- 67 ppm
Metal B—28 +/- 6 ppm
LANL Gallium Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with 1σ errors)

- Metal 442—154 +/- 5 ppm
- Metal 465—446 +/- 12 ppm
- Metal A—5543 +/- 106 ppm
- Metal B—4351 +/- 88 ppm
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Introduction

Exchange Motivation

- Post qualification requirement
- Method verification and validation
- *How do we compare to Rocky Flats (RF)?*

Approach

- Comparison of results from different methods and laboratories
Significant Achievements FY02

- Compilation and validation of exchange data.
  - LA-Reports released for the two FYO1 exchanges.
- Participating laboratories:
  - Argonne (ANL), Argonne-West (ANL-W), Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) Aldermaston, Los Alamos (LANL), Livermore (LLNL), New Brunswick (NBL) and Savannah (SRS).
  - Memorandum of understanding with the DOE laboratories.
  - AWE under the auspices JOWOG-22 agreements (Focus Area #22/6/14).
- Visit to participating DOE sites.
Significant Achievements- II

Significant Achievements FY02

• Improvements in cutting, shipping and packaging of samples.
• Shipments (April & June)
• Materials
  • 2 Exchange metals used
• Expected Data Set
  • Metal A: 8 sets of analytical results
  • Metal B: 8 sets of analytical results
• Data sets created for > 40 analytes.
Significant Achievements FY02

• Acquisition of two additional materials to be included in the program in FY03.
Metals and Exchange Dates

• Alpha Metals: 442 and 465 exchanged April and August 2001.
• Delta Metals: A and B exchanged April and June 2002.

• Historical Rocky (RF) chemistries available for all the above metals.
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Data Presentation

Data Treatment

• All the data submitted for radionuclides decay corrected to 1/1/2001.

• Exchange data statistics excludes reported < or > values.

• All the values reported by each laboratory for each method included in consensus values*. Normalized data by treating it as 100%.

* Data included from only current laboratory/techniques (RF not included).
Data Presentation

The following graphs compare LANL Primary method result to the consensus values.

- LANL results from each metal were normalized to the consensus values in order to evaluate our performance using data from the different metals.
- Data points have $1\sigma$ error bars.
- Included $3\sigma$ lines on graph (used the highest consensus-rsd of the 4 metals)

Also plotted:
- LANL grand avg for each metal normalized w/ the consensus values
- Consensus Median – median of all participants’ Grand Means.
LANL Americium Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with $1\sigma$ errors)
- Metal 442—1164 +/- 19 ppm
- Metal 465—1291 +/- 13 ppm
- Metal A—1693 +/- 51 ppm
- Metal B—1677 +/- 29 ppm
LANL Silicon Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with 1σ errors)
Metal 442—44 +/- 11 ppm
Metal 465—27 +/- 33 ppm
Metal A—76 +/- 67 ppm
Metal B—28 +/- 6 ppm
LANL Gallium Results—Normalized to Consensus Values

Consensus Values (with 1σ errors)
- Metal 442—154 +/- 5 ppm
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- Metal A—5543 +/- 106 ppm
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