#### **FINAL REPORT**

#### FOR CRADA NO. C-05-15

#### **BETWEEN**

#### **BROOKHAVEN SCIENCE ASSOCIATES**

#### AND

#### MER CORPORATION

Project Entitled: New Electrolytes and Electrolyte Additives to Improve the

Low Temperature Performance of Lithium-ion Batteries

Brookhaven PI: Xiao-Qing Yang

Submitted by: Michael J. Furey

Manager, Research Partnerships Brookhaven National Laboratory

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

#### DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# Report for CRADA with MER Corporation (CRADA No. BNL-C-05-15)

**Proposal Title:** 

New electrolytes and electrolyte additives to improve the low

temperature performance of lithium-ion batteries

Laboratory P.I.(s) Dr. Xiao-Qing yang, Chemistry Department, BNL.

Phone: (631) 344-3663, Fax: (631) 344-5815, E-mail:

xyang@bnl.gov

**Budget** 

\$283,300

**Project Duration:** 

Three years.

### **Technical Abstract:**

In this program, two different approaches were undertaken to improve the role of electrolyte at low temperature performance – through the improvement in (i) ionic conductivity and (ii) interfacial behavior. Several different types of electrolytes were prepared to examine the feasibility of using these new electrolytes in rechargeable lithium-ion cells in the temperature range of +40°C to -40°C. The feasibility studies include (a) conductivity measurements of the electrolytes, (b) impedance measurements of lithium-ion cells using the screened electrolytes with different electrochemical history such as [(i) fresh cells prior to formation cycles, (ii) after first charge, and (iii) after first discharge], (c) electrical performance of the cells at room temperatures, and (d) charge discharge behavior at various low temperatures.

Among the different types of electrolytes investigated in Phase I and Phase II of this SBIR project, carbonate-based LiPF6 electrolytes with the proposed additives and the low viscous ester as a third component to the carbonate-based LiPF6 electrolytes show promising results at low temperatures. The latter electrolytes deliver over 80% of room temperature capacity at -20 °C when the lithium-ion cells containing these electrolytes were charged at -20 °C. Also, there was no lithium plating when the lithium-ion cells using C-C composite anode and LiPF6 in EC/EMC/MP electrolyte were charged at -20 °C at C/5 rate. The studies of ionic conductivity and AC impedance of these new electrolytes, as well as the charge discharge characteristics of lithium-ion cells using these new electrolytes at various low temperatures provide new findings: The reduced capacity and power capability, as well as the problem of lithium plating at low temperatures charging of lithium-ion cells are primarily due to slow the lithium-ion intercalation/de-intercalation kinetics in the carbon structure.

## **Technical Report:**

Nine fluorinated lithium phenyldifluoroborane compounds were synthesized at Brookhaven National Lab (BNL), the subcontractor of this program and their ionic conductivities and electrochemical characteristics in DME and EC/DME (1/2 volume ratio) solvents are compared with those of lithium tetrafluoroborate salt. The starting purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. except bis(trifluoromethyl)phenylboronic acid which was designed and synthesized at BNL. All the reactions and processes, which are sensitive to moisture, were performed under argon atmosphere. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi R-1200 (60 MHz) spectrometer. The synthesis procedures for the series of fluorinated potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (1) are described in previous literatures [3, 4]. For the series of fluorinated phenyldifluoroborane (2), we follow the procedures modified from those previously reported [4], which are outlined as follows: 0.05~0.1M of fluorinated potassium phenyltrifluoroborate synthesized in step (1) was added to 150 ml of fluorotrichloromethane to make suspension. The prepared suspension solution was cooled with acetone and dry ice, followed by bubbling of boron trifluoride into the solution with stirring until it saturates, which usually takes 1 to 1.5h. The solution was left to warm up to room temperature with continuous stirring for another 2h. Precipitates were separated by centrifugation followed by evaporation to obtain the pure borane. Table II show the boiling temperatures and yields during synthesis procedures of each borane precursors.

The general procedures for the synthesis of step (3), lithium fluorinated phenyltrifluoroborate are as follows:

0.05M lithium fluoride was added into 15~20 ml of anhydrous 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME). The mixture was cooled in ice bath. Fluorinated phenyldifluoroborane was added into the mixture and stirred at room temperature for 2~3 hours, followed by filtration of un-reacted lithium fluoride. The solvent was evaporated and the liquid residue was sublimed at 60°C/0.2mm-Hg. Then, obtained solids were re-crystallized in ether or ether-pentane. These products contain both crystalline ether and DME. The ether can be removed at 50~60°C/0.2mm-Hg, while the removal of DME requires higher temperature. However, mono or difluorinated phenyltrifluoroborate will decompose at higher temperature. Although using ether as solvent has the advantage of no co-crystallized solvent, it gives lower yield of the final products.

The followings are the outlines of the synthesis procedures for each of the final compounds, which are lithium fluorinated phenyldifluoroborane salts:

**Lithium phenyltrifluoroborate:** The crude product was obtained from reacting 5g (0.04M) of phenyldifluoroborane with 1.04g of lithium fluoride for one day at room temperature followed by drying for 3h at 60°C. The crude product was purified by recrystallization in ether to give 4.8g of needle-shaped crystal. The final product was obtained by drying at  $50^{\circ}$ C/0.3mm-Hg for 3h. NMR spectra showed that the final product contained ½ mole of crystalline DME. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 2H),  $7 \sim 7.3$  (m, 3H),  $7.3 \sim 7.7$  (m, 2H) ppm.

Lithium 2-fluorophenyltrifluoroborate: The sample synthesis procedures are

basically the same with those of lithium phenyltrifluoroborate. 3.3g of 2-fluorophenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 0.6g of lithium fluoride in 10 ml of DME at room temperature for 2 days to obtain the crude product. Then, the crude product was purified by re-crystallization in a mixed solvent of ether-pentane to obtain needle-shaped crystal, followed by drying at  $50^{\circ}$ C/0.1mm-Hg for 3h. The yield was 3.7g. NMR spectra showed that the final product contained ½ mole of crystalline DME. HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 2H), 6.75~7.35 (m, 3H), 7.35~8.2 (m, 1H) ppm.

**Lithium 3, 5-difluorophenyltrifluoroborate:** 6.5g of 3,5-difluorophynyldifluoroborane were reacted with 1.04g of lithium fluoride for 2h at room temperature. The crude product was purified by recrystallization in a mixed solvent of ether-pentane to obtain pure crystal, followed by drying at 65°C/0.15mm-Hg for 1h. The yield was 5g. NMR spectra showed that the final product contained  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole of crystalline DME. <sup>1</sup>HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 2H), 6.35~6.9 (m, 1H), 6.9~7.25 (m, 2H) ppm.

**Lithium 2-trifluoromethylphenyl trifluoroborate:** 9.7g (0.05M) of 2-trifluomethyldifluoroborane were reacted with 1.3g of lithium fluoride for 15h at room temperature. The crude product was recrystallized in ether-pentane to give pure plate-shaped crystal, followed by drying at  $50^{\circ}$ C/0.15mm-Hg for 1h. The yield was 12.5g. It contained 1 mole of crystalline DME. <sup>1</sup>HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 6H), 3.6 (s, 4H), 7.2~7.7 (m, 3H), 7.7~8 (m, 1H) ppm.

**Lithium 2, 5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyltrifluoborate:** 11.5g of 2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 1.14g of lithium fluoride for 2h at room temperature. The crude product was purified by recrystallization in etherpentane to give 10g of pure product, followed by drying at  $50^{\circ}$ C/0.3mm-Hg for 2h. It contained 1 mole of crystalline DME. <sup>1</sup>HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 6H), 3.6 (s, 4H), 7.6~7.8 (m, 2H), 8~8.3 (m, 1H) ppm.

**Lithium 3, 5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyltrifluoroborate:** 8.12g of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 0.8g of lithium fluoride to give 8g of needle-shaped crystal, followed by drying at 65°C/0.15mm-Hg for 2h. It contained  $\frac{1}{2}$  mole of crystalline DME. <sup>1</sup>HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.3 (s, 3H), 3.6 (s, 2H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 8.05 (s, 2H) ppm.

Table I: Boiling Temperatures and Yields During Synthesis of Borane Precursors

Borane	Boiling Temperature (°C)	Yield (%)
Phenyldifluoroborane	96~97	68
2-Fluorophenyldifluoroborane	110~112	41
3,5-Difluorophelyldifluoroborane	95~97	52
2-Trifluoromethylphelyldifluoroborane	124~126	95
2,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyldifluoroborane	130~132	84
3,5-Bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyldifluoroborane	120~122	80

2,5,6-Trifluorophenyldifluoroborane	118~120	57
2,3,5,6-Tetrafluorophenyldifluoroborane	113~115	48
Pentafluorophenyldifluoroborane	108~110	57

**Lithium 2,5,6-trifluorophenyltrifluoroborate:** 8g of 2,5,6-trifluorophenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 1.17g of lithium fluoride for 3h at room temperature to give 6.4g of pure product, followed by drying at  $100^{\circ}$ C/0.2mm-Hg for 8h. It contained no crystalline DME.  $^{1}$ HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.5~7.5 (m) ppm.

**Lithium 2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyltrifluoroborate:** 5.54g of 2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 0.73g of lithium fluoride to give 4.5g of plate-shaped crystal, followed by drying at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}/0.15\text{mm-Hg}$  for 7h. It contained no crystalline DME. Melting point was greater than  $350^{\circ}\text{C}$ . <sup>1</sup>HNMR (Acetone-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 6.8~7.4 (m) ppm.

**Lithium pentafluorophenyltrifluoroborate:** 13g of pentafluorophenyldifluoroborane were reacted with 1.56g of lithium fluoride to give 7.8g needle-shaped crystals, followed by drying at 100°C/0.15mm-Hg for 7h. It contained no crystalline DME. Melting point was greater than 350°C.

Several electrolytes were prepared at Brookhaven National Lab (BNL). These electrolytes were shipped to MER for investigation towards possible low temperature applications in lithium-ion batteries. The compositions of the eight electrolytes are shown below:

Sample #	Electrolyte Composition
19-60-1	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in EC/ N-Methyl-oxazolidinone (1:10)
19-60-2	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in EC/ N-Methyl-oxazolidinone (1:15).
19-60-3	0.6M $ \begin{array}{c} (F \bigcirc ) \longrightarrow B + O \bigcirc \stackrel{CF_3}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{Li}{\longrightarrow} With \ Et_2O \ in \ EC/EMC \ (1:10) \\ (F \bigcirc ) \longrightarrow (CF_3) \bigcirc (CF_3) \end{array} $
19-60-4	0.6M $F \longrightarrow 2$ $E \longrightarrow CF_3 \longrightarrow Li$ in EC/EMC (1:10)
19-60-5	0.6M $CF_3$ with Et <sub>2</sub> O in EC/EMC (1:10)
19-60-6	0.6M $(F)_3 \rightarrow (F)_3 \rightarrow$
19-60-7	0.6M F) Li in EC/EMC (1:10)

19-60-8	0.6 <b>M</b>	LiBF <sub>4</sub>	in EC/EMC (1:10)
20-14-1	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in I	EC/ N-Methyl-oxazolidinone (1:20, v/v)	
20-14-2	0.6 M (C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> B[ (1:20, v/v).	(CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHO] <sub>2</sub> Li with Et <sub>2</sub> O in EC/ N-Met	hyl-oxazolidinone
20-50-1	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in I	EC/N-Methyl Oxazolidinone (1:8, v/v)	
20-50-2	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in E	EC/N-Methyl Oxazolidinone (1:5, v/v)	
20-58-1	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in E	EC/EMC/Methyl cyclopropane carboxy	late (1:1:8, v/v)
20-58-2	1.0 M LiPF <sub>6</sub> in E	EC/EMC/Methyl cyclopentane carboxy	late (1:1:8, v/v)

Samples 19-60-1 and 19-60-2 are electrolytes in new solvent with different concentrations of EC. Samples 19-60-3 to 19-60-7 are electrolytes with five new boron salts, where -3,-5, and -6 has crystallized in  $CH_3CH_2OCH_2CH_3$  noted as  $Et_2O$ . 19-60-8 is LiBF $_4$  salt as reference. -3 to -8 samples are all in 0.6M EC/EMC since this concentration has the highest conductivity and can make more solutions with limited amount of sample.

Samples 20-14-1, 20-14-2, 20-50-1, and 20-50-2 are electrolytes with different proportions of EC/NMO than those investigated in the last reporting period. Samples 20-58-1 and 20-58-2 are LiPF $_6$ -based electrolytes in two new cyclic ester solvents.

Conductivity measurements were performed by BNL scientists at 25°C using a Hewlett-Packard 4129A Impedance Analyzer in the frequency range from 5Hz to 10 MHz. Cells with Pt electrodes were used for the measurements. The cell constants were calibrated by measuring a 0.05N KCl standard aqueous solution. The ionic conductivities of DME and EC/DMC solutions containing various boron based lithium salts at four different concentrations (0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M, 1.0M) in comparison with the reference LiBF<sub>4</sub> salt are listed in Table III. The electrolyte contains the salt with fully fluorinated phenyl ring (( $C_6F_5$ )BF<sub>3</sub>Li) resulted in the highest conductivity. This salt was selected for low temperature conductivity studies and sent to MER Corp. for cyclability studies at different temperatures. The ionic conductivities at different temperatures of this new salt in comparison with LiPF<sub>6</sub> and LiBF<sub>4</sub> are listed in Table IV. It can be seen that the ionic conductivity of the new boron based salt, ( $C_6F_5$ )BF<sub>3</sub>Li, is lower than LiPF<sub>6</sub>, but very close to LiBF<sub>4</sub> salt.

Table II: Ionic Conductivity of Fluorinated Lithium Phenyltrifluoroborates

Table II: Ionic Conductivity of Fluorinated Lithium Phenyitrinuoroborates					
	Solvents	Concentration of Lithium Salts			
	Colvents	0.4M	0.6M	0.8M	1.0M
1.	DME	4.68×10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.89×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.50×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.95×10 <sup>-3</sup>
(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li·½DME	EC/DMC	2.29×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.60×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.76×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.60×10 <sup>-3</sup>
2.	DME	5.87×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.13×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.64×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.10×10 <sup>-3</sup>
(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F)BF <sub>3</sub> Li⋅½DME	EC/DMC*	2.66×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.0×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.04×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.0×10 <sup>-3</sup>
3.	DME	9.86×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.80×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.57×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.17×10 <sup>-3</sup>
$(C_6H_3F_2)BF_3Li^{-1/2}DME$	EC/DMC*	2.83×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.22×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.40×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.45×10 <sup>-3</sup>
4.	DME	4.67×10 <sup>-4</sup>	9.20×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.40×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.76×10 <sup>-3</sup>
(C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sub>3</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li·DME	EC/DMC*	2.54×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.88×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.98×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.91×10 <sup>-3</sup>
5.	DME	1.04×10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.77×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.58×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.94×10 <sup>-3</sup>
(C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>3</sub> F <sub>6</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li·DME	EC/DMC*	2.98×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.30×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.28×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.10×10 <sup>-3</sup>
6.	DME	1.74×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.93×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.23×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.73×10 <sup>-3</sup>
$(C_8H_3F_6)BF_3Li^{-1/2}DME$	EC/DMC	2.87×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.20×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.32×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.28×10 <sup>-3</sup>
7. (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li	DME	9.89×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.90×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.74×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.38×10 <sup>-3</sup>
7. (O61121 3)D1 3E1	EC/DMC*	3.48×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.80×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.80×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.54×10 <sup>-3</sup>
8. (C <sub>6</sub> HF <sub>4</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li	DME	1.31×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.39×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.32×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.0×10 <sup>-3</sup>
0. (O6111 4)D1 3E1	EC/DMC	3.84×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.11×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.16×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.01×10 <sup>-3</sup>
9. (C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> )BF <sub>3</sub> Li	DME	2.26×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.90×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.0×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.63×10 <sup>-3</sup>
J. (O61 5)DI 3LI	EC/DMC*	4.48×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.95×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.94×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.65×10 <sup>-3</sup>
10. LiBF <sub>4</sub>	DME	1.04×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.09×10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.19×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.33×10 <sup>-3</sup>
TO. LIDI 4	EC/DMC*	4.25×10 <sup>-3</sup>	4.88×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.18×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.16×10 <sup>-3</sup>

EC/DMC = 1/2 Volume

Table III: Ionic Conductivity of 1 M (C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)BF<sub>3</sub>Li, LiBF<sub>4</sub>, and LiPF<sub>6</sub> at Different Temperatures

Conductivit salt Conductivit Conductivit Conductivit Conductivit y (mS/cm) y (mS/cm) y (mS/cm) y (mS/cm) # BL DM**NMO** (mS/cm) -20 °C -80 °C 25 °C -40 °C -60 °C С BLi 1 7.23 2.41 0.0976 1 1.05 0.288 7 BF₄ 1 7.69 1.59 3.19 0.340 0.215 3 PF<sub>6</sub> 7 1 1 11.7 3.84 1.76 0.450 0.154 6 PF<sub>6</sub> 1 31.8 18.5 12.4 6.99 3.13

BLi is  $(C_6F_5)BF_3Li$  salt; The number for the solvent means the volume ratio NMO is N-methyloxazolindinone

BL is Butyrolactone

The ionic conductivities of the different electrolytes measured at different temperatures during this reporting period are shown in Table V. The ionic conductivities of the two samples 19-60-1 and 19-60-2 were made with  $\text{LiPF}_6$  salt in a mixture of ethylene carbonate and N-methyl oxazolidinone in the proportions of 1:10 (v/v) and 1:15 (v/v), respectively, and were reported in the last Continuation Application/Progress Report are also shown in the Table for comparison.

Table IV: Ionic Conductivity of the Electrolytes at Different Low Temperatures

	a	t Different Lo				
Sample	Conductivity (mS/cm)					
	25°C	-20°C	-40°C	-60°C	-80°C	
19-60-1	27.5	15.0	9.80	5.10	2.0	
19-60-2	28.6	16.4	10.6	5.50	2.3	
19-60-3	6.3					
19-60-4	5.6	-				
19-60-5	5.6					
19-60-6	5.3					
19-60-7	4.6					
19-60-8	4.9					
20-14-1	29.6	17.0	11.2	5.92	2.54	
20-14-2	5.33	2.25	0.982	0.512	0.227	
20-50-1	26.2	13.8	9.36	4.98	1.86	
20-50-2	25.2	12.4	8.82	4.88	1.62	
20-58-1						
20-58-2						

These Electrolytes shown good ionic conductivity at low temperatures.

**Significant problems:** No significant problems were encountered during this CRADA. Most of the problems were quickly resolved by effective communication between the two research groups at BNL and MER.

Industry benefits realized: The industrial partner, MER Corporation, benefited a lot from the organic synthesis expertise at BNL. The new salts for the low temperature electrolytes synthesized at BNL were one of the key components of the success of their phase I and phase II STTR projects awarded by US DOE. MER was awarded a new SBIR phase I project from OSD. MER has signed a new CRADA agreement with BNL for synthesizing new high voltage electrolytes for lithium batteries.

Laboratory benefits realized: BNL team benefited from the industrial expertise of MER in testing the newly developed electrolytes in operating lithium battery cells at different temperatures and various charge-discharge cycling conditions. These testing results will help the BNL team to modify and redesign the structures of the new salt and electrolyte systems for lithium batteries, which is quite important for the core DOE funded projects the BNL battery team are carrying out.

**Follow up work:** The follow up work will be worked out through the new CRADA with MER.