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Abstract

Pursuant to the Trilateral Initiative, the three parties (The Russian Federation, the United States, and the International Atomic Energy Agency) have been engaged in discussions concerning the structure of reliable monitoring systems for storage facilities having large inventories. The intent of these monitoring systems is to provide the capability for the IAEA to maintain continuity of knowledge in a sufficiently reliable manner that should there be equipment failure, loss of continuity of knowledge would be restricted to a small population of the inventory, and thus re-inventory of the stored items would be minimized. These facility-specific monitoring systems, referred to as Inventory Monitoring Systems (IMS) are to provide the principal means for the IAEA to assure that the containers of fissile material remain accounted under the Verification Agreements which are to be concluded between the IAEA and the Russian Federation and the IAEA and the United States for the verification of weapon-origin and other fissile material specified by each State as released from its defense programs. A technical experts working group for inventory monitoring systems has been meeting since February of 2000 to formulate General Technical Requirements (GTR) for Inventory Monitoring Systems for the Trilateral Initiative. Although provisional agreement has been reached by the three parties concerning the GTR, it is considered a living document that can be updated as warranted by the three parties. This paper provides a summary of the GTR as it currently exists.
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Introduction
The General Technical Requirements (GTR) (Reference 1) are intended to be common to
different facilities; however many details will be facility specific and will depend on the
requirements and design of the facility. The technical nature of the document is the reflection of
the modem technologies that are to be implemented to meet the purposes of the IAEA
monitoring. The document provides requirements against which any proposed IMS would be
evaluated. The IMS shall be designed and constructed by the Host State and approved and
accepted by the IAEA to comply with the requirements of this document.

Technology Considerations
An IMS is intended for the monitoring of a large number of containers with nuclear materials in
a large storage facility. The major technical considerations are:

- Very large facilities to monitor
- Large amount of items at the facility
- Routine movements into storage
- No routine movement after placement in storage for several years
- Annual safety inspections that may require movement of specific items
- State security concerns that limit available technologies and equipment and impose special
  requirements for authentication
- Verification activity at the facility will be conducted under a bilateral agreement and use
  information provided from the state system for material accountancy and control.

General Principles
An IMS is intended to ensure that continuity of knowledge (CoK) is maintained over inventory
items that have been accepted for monitoring by the IAEA. Some of the important general
principles are:

- The systems shall be constructed with the information barriers as required by the Host State
- The systems shall assure that credible and independent conclusions can be drawn by the
  IAEA
- For facilities that store fissile material with classified characteristics, all IMS data must be
  reviewed at the facility and only information may be removed as agreed between the IAEA
  and the State
- Since the storage facilities under consideration are expected to hold a large number of
  containers with fissile materials, the IMS must avoid the need for re-verifying the full
  inventory or even any substantial part thereof
- The IMS must be designed and operated in a manner that minimizes interference into the
  routine operations of the facility
- The IMS is to provide CoK in the periods between the inspections.
Functions
The primary functions of the IMS are to:
- Establish unique identification of items
- Monitor items locations
  - during storage
  - during movements
- Confirm item integrity.

Implementing the IMS
The framework for implementing an IMS is based on LASSO (Layered and Segmented System Organization) as shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Layers and segments in the LASSO concept.](image)

- The IMS shall have a series of nested layers, each of which covers the entire inventory. Each layer consists of one or more overlapping or contiguous segments. As a rule, moving from the outer layer to the inner layers, each segment applies to a smaller and smaller set of items. This provides further localization of a possible loss of CoK to smaller subsets of the inventory with each subsequent layer of sensors. The innermost layer may consist of
sensors that monitor individual containers. Only if this innermost layer and the corresponding elements of all preceding outer layers have failed will CoK be completely lost.

- The IMS should be designed such that the number of inventory items that need to be re-verified at each inspection due to failures is not more than the IAEA random sample size for re-verification.

- Continuity of knowledge (CoK) can be maintained in one layer if it is lost in another layer, or in one or more segments of another layer. The overall IMS capabilities and the layers and segments for which CoK is lost determine the requirements for re-verification.

- Each layer adds to the effectiveness of the IMS by:
  - increasing the overall probability that any attempt to remove containers will be detected;
  - increasing the reliability of the IMS in that if one layer fails another independent layer is unlikely to have a common mode failure;
  - if one of the layers fails, the other layers will still provide assurance that will allow the full IMS to be returned to service without the need to completely reverify the stored inventory.

- To the extent possible, no single failure shall cause loss of CoK that requires re-verification of a substantial portion of the inventory. This implies that any loss of CoK should be localized to a small portion of the inventory and hence a small portion of the IMS.

- The number of layers and segments will depend on several factors, in part related to the characteristics of the material, the facility specifics and the IMS technologies used.

**Loading/Unloading**

During loading and unloading of the storage facility, the IMS must operate under slightly different conditions:

- **During** the Facility Loading phase, the IMS will be built up starting with the innermost layer of individual item segments and continuing through each layer filling each segment at a time and successively each layer to the outermost layer. Not until the facility is fully loaded will all LASSO segments and layers be fully implemented, yet the IMS will be effective for monitoring CoK of all parts of inventory as it grows.

- **As** material disposition activities commence with the associated unloading of long-term storage, the items will be removed in a succession that essentially reverses the loading sequence. Individual items will be removed in the innermost layer in a series that completely vacates a segment in that layer and then progressively vacates segments in successively outer layers until the facility is completely unloaded.

**General IMS Requirements**

- The IMS shall function without servicing for a period not less than the interval between planned inspections.

- In case of failure of the data collection system or of the connection between the data collection system and the data generators, data shall be stored in the buffer memory of the
data generators. Buffer memory shall have the capability to store data under normal operations at least for the longest expected period between inspection visits by the IAEA.

- Any IMS power failure and any restoration of power shall be noted in a state-of-health information file.
- Data filtering and/or data compression shall not cause the loss of a relevant event.
- Daily time synchronization and a common time base shall be provided for all subsystem clocks to within one-second maximum drift per day. The resolution of the system time stamp clock shall be higher than the lowest data collection period. After a loss of power or other interruptions, the system shall perform an immediate synchronization of all subsystem clocks upon restoration to normal operation.

**Hardware Requirements**

- IMS may include a number of sensors and one, or more, data collection units
- Seals and tampering indication must be implemented to protect IMS equipment
- The IMS must be designed to prevent the loss of any data in case of mains power or communications failures.

**Software Requirements**

- Software shall be designed so that the system automatically restarts after interruptions of normal operations
- The software shall provide the capability for visual indications
- The software shall produce a performance summary file
- After the IAEA has completed servicing, the software shall provide a visual indication of correct setup
- Software reloading by the IAEA must be possible following repair or maintenance
- The system software shall be protected from unauthorized tampering
- When the IMS includes intelligent data review, the verification data from contiguous IMS LASSO segments must be analyzed in such a manner that the operations carry over from one element to the next allowing transactions to be followed automatically.

**Data Generation and Collection Requirements**

- Data from individual IMS elements must be stored independently and be capable of being reviewed and analyzed independently.
- Data shall be saved in buffered memory.
- Data must be date and time stamped at the time of generation.
- Authentication information shall be embedded into the data record at the time of, or before, transmission from the data generator.
- State-of-health data of the IMS system elements shall be made available to the facility operator. State-of-health data shall be stored in non-volatile memory at selected intervals for the period between inspection visits.
• Data retrieved by the data collection computer shall have unique identifying features that assure that there are no missing records

Authentication

• All relevant verification information shall be authenticated by an IAEA approved means
• Any triggering signals generated by a sensor shall be authenticated
• Authentication of IMS and system components shall pass an IAEA approved independent vulnerability assessment
• When authentication cannot be implemented directly on a sensor, a physical system of tamper indication must be used between the sensor and the point at which data authentication is applied

Classified Information Protection

• Information barriers shall be implemented as necessary to prevent the release of classified information
• Any failure shall not lead to classified information disclosure
• The possibility of obtaining classified information by means of unauthorized access shall be excluded
• Any system elements that may contain classified information shall be protected against unauthorized access

Documentation Requirements

The following documents are required for the IAEA to accept IMS equipment for routine use:
• Functional Specification
• Preliminary Design Specification
• Final Design Specification
• Industrial Safety Analysis and Evaluation
• Manufacturing Test Program, Procedure and Results
• Installation Plan
• Operating Manual
• Maintenance Manual
• Software Code and Documentation
• Calibration Procedures
• Acceptance Test Plan
• Training Manual for IAEA

Possible Areas of Future Activity

The GTR document gives requirements for an IMS but additional work will be required to develop guidelines for IMS design and implementation. The Trilateral Initiative technical
experts working group for inventory monitoring systems has identified several possible areas of future activity.

- Develop the next level of detail associated with the IMS for a specific facility
  - design and implementation guidelines
  - qualification standards for equipment and procedures
  - requirements for the joint use of equipment
- Develop the relevant parts of the Technical Criteria for IAEA verification
- Further develop the LASSO approach to IMS
- Produce a Preliminary Design of inventory monitoring systems for the Mayak Fissile Material Storage Facility (FMSF) and the Savannah River Site K-Area Material Storage (KAMS) facility
- Conduct a Feasibility Study of Computer Modeling of the Locations and Activities carried out within the controlled parts of Mayak and KAMS facilities
- Conduct a Feasibility Study of Neutron Monitoring (Imaging) as an integral part of IMS.
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