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Abstract

The empty interior cavity of fullerenes has long been touted for containment of radionuclides during in vivo transport, during radioimmunotherapy (RIT) and radioimaging for example. As the chemistry required to open a hole in fullerenes is complex and exceedingly unlikely to occur in vivo, and the conformational stability of the fullerene cage is absolute, atoms trapped within fullerenes can only be released during extremely energetic events. Encapsulating radionuclides in fullerenes could therefore potentially eliminate undesired toxicity resulting from leakage and catabolism of radionuclides administered with other techniques. A the start of this project however, methods for production of transition metal and p-electron metal endohedral fullerenes were completely unknown, and only one method for production of endohedral radiofullerenes was known. We therefore investigated three different methods for the production of therapeutically useful endohedral metallofullerenes: 1) implantation of ions using the high intensity ion beam at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Surface Modification and Characterization Research Center (SMAC) and fullerenes as the target; 2) Implantation of ions using the recoil energy following alpha decay; and 3) implantation of ions using the recoil energy following neutron capture, using ORNL's High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) as a thermal neutron source. While we were unable to obtain evidence of successful implantation using the ion beam at SMAC, recoil following alpha decay and neutron capture were both found to be economically viable methods for the production of therapeutically useful radiofullerenes. In this report, the procedures for preparing fullerenes containing the isotopes \(^{212}\text{Pb},^{212}\text{Bi},^{213}\text{Bi}\), and \(^{177}\text{Lu}\) are described. None of these endohedral fullerenes had ever previously been prepared, and all of these radioisotopes are actively under investigation for RIT. Additionally, the chemistry for derivatizing the radiofullerenes for water-solubility and a method for removing exohedral radionuclides are reported. The methods and chemistry developed during this CRADA are the crucial first steps for the development of fullerenes as a method superior to existing technologies for in vivo transport of radionuclides.
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1. Introduction

Fullerenes, also known as “buckyballs,” are carbon clusters which have cage-like structures. Their geodesic structures, resembling soccer balls, are formed by the sequential bonding of cyclic carbon structures. Soon after their discovery in 1985 (Kroto et al., 1985), it was recognized that a small molecule or atom could be trapped inside the empty cage (Figure 1; Heath et al., 1985). Indeed, when the fullerenes are formed in the presence of certain metal ions, particularly the lanthanides, the metal atom is often trapped within the fullerene cage. For example, Y@C_{82} means a yttrium atom is trapped within the C_{82} fullerene cage. Although M@C_{60} represents the largest component of so-called “endohedral” fullerenes produced by typical methods, in general only M@C_{82} and a few select other larger fullerenes survive the purification process by solvent extraction (Diener and Alford, 1998).

Endohedral metallofullerenes have been prepared from electropositive metals like alkaline earth metals (Group 2, save magnesium) and lanthanides and uranium (Group 3). The standard technique is co-vaporization of carbon and the metal by arc discharge or laser ablation (Chai et al., 1991). So far, attempts to produce fullerenes containing transition metals by these techniques have not been successful. Noble gas endohedral fullerenes have also been prepared with very low yields by high pressure, high temperature techniques that essentially squeeze a few gas atoms into the fullerene cage (Saunders et al., 1994). Techniques based on recoil from gamma-ray emission following neutron capture have also been used to generate a range of endohedral fullerenes, including fullerenes containing Sb, As, and Se, but again the yield is very low (Ohtsuki et al., 2000). Light ions – specifically He^{2+} and Li^{+} – have been ion implanted in fullerenes at respectable yields (Gromov et al., 2003).

It is now generally accepted that due to exceptional cage stability with respect to cage opening, combined with the ease at which the outer surfaces can be functionalized, endohedral fullerenes show great promise in a number of areas of medical diagnosis and treatment. It is envisioned that metallofullerenes could provide an alternative to chelating compounds currently used in nuclear medicine because of their resistance to metabolism, as well as their high thermodynamic and kinetic stability. Our recent work has shown that radiofullerenes can be successfully isolated, derivatized and solubilized. By irradiating pre-purified ^{164}Ho@C_{82} in the ORNL High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR), ^{164}Ho@C_{82} was produced. Holmium-166, a beta-emitting radioisotope with a half-life of 62h, is of interest for therapeutic applications in nuclear medicine. The results of this pioneer study, a collaboration between ORNL, TDA Research, and Rice University, were published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (v. 96, p. 5182).

This project began as an investigation of the high intensity ion beams available at ORNL’s Surface Modification and Characterization (SMAC) Research Center. Since SMAC is capable of producing a number of transition metal ions, the project was expected to lead to the discovery of new endohedral fullerenes. The most important metal to be encapsulated was believed to be Copper. However, results from experiments there were discouraging, and shortly after SMAC was permanently shut down for lack of funding.

The project was then amended to refocus on production of radiofullerenes by recoil. During recoil implantation, the radionuclides encapsulated into the fullerene are carrier free; i.e., the activated metal is
isolated from the non-activated metal by the fullerene, thus producing radiofullerenes with very high specific activities (a new variation of the well known Szilard-Chalmers effect). The chemical differences between the radionuclide and the metallofullerene provide a basis for rapid separation of encapsulated from unencapsulated radionuclides. The recoil implantation can also produce radiofullerenes from elements that cannot be incorporated into fullerenes by carbon vapor synthesis.

In the second series of experiments, various therapeutically useful alpha-emitting radionuclides were encapsulated by recoil following alpha-decay of a parent radionuclide. This work prepared for the first time endohedral lead (Pb) and bismuth (Bi) fullerenes, specifically the $^{212}$Pb, $^{212}$Bi, and $^{213}$Bi radioisotopes, all of which are of great interest for state-of-the-art cancer therapies. Indeed, the use of recoil from alpha-decay to form endohedral fullerenes have never previously been demonstrated, and, given the high kinetic energies of the recoiling nuclei, there was ample reason to question whether it would work or not. Techniques for the rapid elimination of exohedral radioisotopes and derivatization for water solubility were also developed. Finally, a preliminary biodistribution study showed that, as expected, encapsulation in the fullerene prevented $^{212}$Pb from locating in the bones of mice, as it does when lost from chelators in vivo.

In a third series of experiments, $^{177}$Lu endohedral fullerenes were produced by neutron activation. While at first blush, this may seem to be an obvious extension of existing work, it was found that much of the existing work on neutron activation greatly overestimated the success of the technique. $^{177}$Lu is also of tremendous interest in the current development of advanced cancer therapies. Since only activated Lu is trapped in the fullerene, the difference between fullerene chemistry and Lu chemistry provides for an essentially instant separation of activated Lu from cold Lu, thereby providing carrier-free $^{177}$Lu, which is otherwise obtainable only by tedious and expensive additional process steps. Separation of malonic esters of $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ from empty C$_{60}$ by rapid HPLC was also developed.

This final report for the TDA-ORNL CRADA documents all three series of experiments in the order in which they were performed and described in the introduction. While the original plan to produce transition metal endohedral fullerenes did not proceed successfully, a tremendous amount of exciting discoveries were made with other techniques that can essentially only be practiced at ORNL’s unique radiological facilities.

2. Ion Implantation at SMAC

The first part of this project used the high intensity ion beam at ORNL’s SMAC as a source of transition metal ions accelerated to sufficiently high energies to be implanted into C$_{60}$. Although various beam currents and copper ion energies were tested, no evidence could be found for Cu@C$_{60}$ by laser-desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LD-TOF-MS). The detection limit for the TOF-MS instrument at that time was approximately one part in one thousand for easily ionizable copper endohedral fullerenes. It is possible that the technique was successful at lower yields, but such low yields are not of commercial interest. Following this series of experiments, we moved on to utilize other unique facilities at ORNL for the production of marketable, therapeutically useful endohedral fullerenes.

3. Implantation by Recoil Following Alpha-Particle Decay

The second part of this project sought to recoil the nucleus following alpha-particle decay into the cavity of C$_{60}$. The daughter nucleus breaks through a few fullerenes, losing energy, until some nuclei run out of kinetic energy while they are inside of a fullerene. Since fullerenes are extremely inexpensive in comparison to alpha-emitting radionuclides, and the value of therapeutically useful radiofullerenes is expected to be quite high, a low conversion of fullerenes to endohedral fullerenes is irrelevant. However,
the radionuclide to be encapsulated must be chosen very carefully, so as to maximize the ultimate economic value of radiofullerene.

The best use of radiofullerenes is thought to be in radioimmunotherapy (RIT; Milenic et al., 2004, e.g.). In RIT, metallic radionuclides are contained in polyaminocarboxylate (PAC) chelators, wherein three or more secondary amines and carboxylic acids chelate the radionuclide, largely sheltering it from the external environment. The chelated radionuclides are then delivered to cancer cells by chemically attaching the radionuclide to an antibody, antibody fragment, or peptide that is specific for receptors expressed solely (or at least preferentially) on the cancer cells. Following arrival of the radionuclide — antibody conjugates at the cancer cell, decay products from the radionuclides can then break strands of the cell’s DNA, thereby killing the cancerous cell. RIT using PAC chelators and beta-emitting radionuclides has recently become an attractive method for the treatment of B-cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL), with two radiopharmaceuticals approved by the FDA.

Effective targeting of the radionuclides remains the primary challenge in RIT (Sharkey et al., 2004, e.g.). Current limitations in targeting efficacy restricts effective use of RIT to attack on cancerous cells that have tremendous exposure to circulating blood (and thus the circulating antibody conjugate). The haematological malignancies that can be effectively targeted frequently have a significant portion (if not a majority) of the cancerous cell volume consisting of single cells or aggregates of a few cells, tens of microns in diameter, much smaller than the distance over which β-particles distribute their energy in vivo (millimeters). Therefore, β-decays in RIT invariably primarily damage healthy tissues. Moreover, a single beta decay is insufficient to break more than one strand of DNA, and single-strand breaks can be readily repaired. Microdosimetry calculations indicate that hundreds of thousands of beta-particles are required to have a 99.99% chance of killing the cell. Conversely, only hundreds of alpha-particles are required for the same probability of killing the cell, and the range of alpha decays in vivo is ~50 microns. Although the FDA-approved NHL therapies use one of two beta-emitting radionuclides (90Y or 131I), it is widely agreed that alpha particles therefore have ideal characteristics for the treatment of the cancers that RIT can most effectively target. Furthermore, complexes of lanthanide radionuclides with existing PAC chelators appear to be sufficiently stable for use in current RIT applications, obviating the need for fullerenes.

Despite the favorable properties of α-particle radiation, development of α-particle RIT has been limited by the poor availability and/or physical characteristics of α-emitting radionuclides. These intrinsic drawbacks have limited development of α-emitters, while focusing attention on β-emitters. (One potential use of fullerenes in beta-particle RIT is given in Section 4.) Several reviews have debated the relative strengths and weaknesses of the available α-emitting radionuclides (Couturier et al., 2005; Mulford et al., 2004; Zalutsky and Pozzi, 2004; Mirzadeh, 1998). Currently, most effort is focused on 211At, 225Ac and 213Bi. The decay characteristics of 211At are very attractive (single decay to ground state, \( t_{1/2} = 7.2h \)), but, using current state-of-the-art production methods (Zalutsky et al., 2001), the creation of one human dose of 211At requires about one day of operation at an unusually large cyclotron, and is therefore most likely to be prohibitively expensive for the foreseeable future. 225Ac (\( t_{1/2} = 10 \) days) is readily available from stockpiled 233U, and generator systems have been developed for its daughter 213Bi (\( t_{1/2} = 45 \) min). While both of these radionuclides can be satisfactorily chelated by a PAC, the four α-emitting daughters of 225Ac (Figure 2) are not retained in the PAC and are free to redistribute. A recent primate study demonstrated dose-limiting renal toxicity, believed to result from free 213Bi decays (Miederer et al., 2004). So far, none of the approaches to corral the daughters have been successful (Jaggi et al., 2005), and given the high recoil energy of α-decays, it is also very unlikely that fullerenes could be of assistance. While 211Bi decays directly to a stable isotope, its short half life requires more efficient targeting than is currently available (Adams et al., 2000).
The original RIT studies were performed with a fourth isotope, $^{212}$Bi, in large part because both parent material and a generator system were readily available. The decay chain of $^{228}$Th, of which $^{212}$Bi is a daughter, is shown in Figure 2. The half-life of $^{212}$Bi creates the same limitations as for $^{211}$Bi, but that problem can potentially be overcome by using $^{212}$Pb. While $^{212}$Pb is a beta emitter, it is desirable for alpha-particle RIT because its 10.6 h half-life increases by tenfold the time available to get $^{212}$Bi to the target tissue before alpha-decay. However, while DOTA and other chelators can apparently chelate $^{212}$Pb satisfactorily in saline solutions (Mirzadeh et al., 1993; Chappell et al., 2000; Chong et al., 2006), in vivo tests resulted in severe myelotoxicity, presumably the result of bone-targeting $^{212}$Pb lost or transchelated out from the DOTA (Ruble et al., 1996; Horak et al., 1997; Chong et al., 2006). Furthermore, the internal conversion following 36% of the beta decays to $^{212}$Bi also released the daughter from the DOTA. The use of a fullerene instead of a chelator could potentially solve both problems with $^{212}$Pb, thereby making the $^{212}$Pb/$^{212}$Bi system attractive for RIT. If the fullerene was successful, the only significant remaining drawback to its use is the high-energy gamma-ray emitted by the $^{209}$Tl daughter. Yet, compared to the outstanding problems with $^{225}$Ac and $^{211}$Bi, solutions for shielding healthcare personnel from high-energy gamma-rays are well known, and inexpensive compared to the costs of $^{211}$At production.

Herein is reported the synthesis of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ by recoil following alpha-decay of its short-lived parent (Section 3.1), the formation of water-soluble $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ malonic acids (Section 3.2.1), the stability of the radiofullerene during beta-decay (Section 3.2.2), and a preliminary biodistribution study of the untargeted water-soluble radiofullerene in mice (Section 3.3). To our knowledge, this is the first endohedral fullerene formed by recoil from alpha-decay, the first endohedral lead fullerene, the first fullerene encapsulating an alpha-emitting radionuclide with a therapeutically useful half-life, and the first biodistribution study of fullerene malonic acids. Since we began this work, two reports of new chelators for $^{212}$Pb have appeared, but the results appear to indicate that further development or a new approach (i.e., fullerenes) is required.
3.1 Implantation by Recoil Following Alpha Decay

3.1.1 Radioactivity Measurements
Since α-particles do not penetrate very far into a dense medium, it is more common to assess the presence of the α-emitting radionuclide by measuring the number of gamma ray(s) emitted as part of the α-decay processes. The gamma rays are measured by a cooled germanium detector. The instrument had previously been calibrated for detector efficiency as a function of both the photon energy and distance between the sample and the detector. The calibration factor was then multiplied by the probability of the photon being emitted during the decay, as given in a table of the isotopes. The resolution of the instrument as operated was 0.5 keV. Gamma rays were typically observed between 10 and 1000 keV. A software program then calculated the area under the peaks corresponding to emitted photons. The peak areas were then converted to the activity of each component of the sample using the calibration factor and photon probability product.

Since the probability of a certain photon being emitted varies widely according to the photon, it can be advantageous to track the presence of certain isotopes through their daughters. For example, $^{225}$Ac emits no photons with a probability much greater than 1%, while the 217 keV and 440 keV photons from $^{221}$Fr and $^{213}$Bi are emitted 12 and 26% of the time, respectively. Thus, the short-lived daughters of $^{225}$Ac, $^{221}$Fr and/or $^{213}$Bi are typically used to track the presence of $^{225}$Ac in this study. Similarly, $^{212}$Bi can be tracked much more effectively via the $^{208}$Tl 583 keV photon emitted 86% of the time (using the appropriate correction factor), than with its own weak 727 eV gamma ray. $^{224}$Ra can be tracked via its 241 keV photon. Generally, collecting data for five minutes at the appropriate distance to the detector was sufficient to assess the radionuclide content of the sample down to sub-nanocurie levels.

3.1.2 Early Work; Ion Implantation When this project was started, only three methods were known for encapsulating atoms in fullerenes: 1) During the formation of the fullerene by condensation of carbon (and metal) vapor (Chai et al., 1991); 2) Under high pressures and temperatures of the atom to be encapsulated (Saunders et al., 1996); 3) During recoil following thermal neutron capture (Rausch and Braun, 2001). Since therapeutic α-emitting radionuclides are, generally speaking, not produced by neutron activation, the third method was not relevant. High pressure methods are typically useful only for gases, such as helium, and the yields are very low.

However, ORNL team found a simpler, cleaner method while this proposal was under review. In this method, $^{225}$Ac was He electroplated onto one disk, coated a second disk with C$_{60}$, applied a potential of a few kV between the two disks (electrodes), and within a few hours $^{213}$Bi@C$_{60}$ was found on the C$_{60}$ disk. During α-decay of $^{225}$Ac, the Francium daughter possesses significant kinetic energy, and for a very short period of time ($\sim 10^{-12}$ s) it is very highly charged. In the presence of the electric field, the $^{221}$Fr ions are accelerated across the gap and, absent of any obstacle, are implanted either in the fullerene lattice, or in the fullerenes themselves. If they are in the lattice, the recoil from a subsequent decay (of $^{217}$At) can implant $^{213}$Bi in C$_{60}$. The ORNL team regularly found ~20% of the $^{213}$Bi expected to have formed during the time the disks faced each other on the C$_{60}$ disk, with 1-2% encapsulated.

There are significant advantages of this system over the co-vaporization (arc) method. Primarily, the parent radionuclide remains sequestered on the disk that does not contain fullerenes and does not require handling, allowing it to serve as a generator for future generation of daughters. Also, the equipment is simpler, with no moving parts to be operated in a glove box, nor generation of high temperature, nor need to confine radioactive dust.
However, to encapsulate $^{225}\text{Ac}$ in this method requires starting from $^{229}\text{Th}$, and implanting $^{225}\text{Ra}$ into the C$_{60}$ as it comes across the gap. ($^{225}\text{Ra}$ then $\beta$-decays to $^{225}\text{Ac}$, and the $\beta$-decay is not strong enough to rupture the fullerene cage.) Typically, atoms require $\sim$120 eV before they can be encapsulated in a C$_{60}$. We calculated that a moderate vacuum and a potential of only tens of volts should be sufficient to accelerate the $^{225}\text{Ra}$ to at least 120 eV. A drawing of the cell used for these experiments is shown in Figure 3.

Despite meeting these conditions, it was never demonstrated that any $^{225}\text{Ac}$ was encapsulated by this method. One problem was simply getting the daughters to cross the gap, between the electrodes. When Dr. Mirzadeh starts from purified $^{225}\text{Ac}$, $\sim$30% of the $^{213}\text{Bi}$ produced during the time which a potential is applied between the electrodes can be found on the fullerene disk. Similarly, more optimized systems can get 60% of the $^{213}\text{Bi}$ daughter across. We typically found only $\sim$1% of $^{213}\text{Bi}$ on the fullerene disk, and no obvious $^{225}\text{Ac}$. It is believed that the presence of significant amounts of natural thorium $^{232}\text{Th}$ in the $^{228/229}\text{Th}$ mixture resulted in an electroplated film several microns thick, preventing the daughters from escaping the surface and into the electrostatic field. While we considered using the incredibly expensive highly pure $^{225}\text{Ra}$ ($\sim$40/$\mu\text{Ci}$), it also occurred to us that it would be less expensive to use mixed material, even if it could not be recycled.

### 3.1.3 Coating Radionuclides with Fullerenes; Recoil Implantation

For example, from 1 mCi of $^{224}\text{Ra}$, which was extractable from an existing $^{228/229}\text{Th}$ source at ORNL, we could generate more than enough $^{212}\text{Pb}$ for use in mouse biodistribution studies. The $^{224}\text{Ra}$ has no materials cost associated with it, as it is usually left to decay while the $^{225}\text{Ac}$ from the Th source is processed for sale. Thus we incurred only labor costs for the generation of a mixed $^{224/225}\text{Ra}$ material. The process was expected to perform as

$$1 \text{ mCi} \quad ^{224}\text{Ra} \Rightarrow 500 \mu\text{Ci} \quad ^{224}\text{Ra} \quad \text{electroplated} \Rightarrow 5 \mu\text{Ci} \quad ^{212}\text{Pb} @ \text{C}_{60} \quad \text{via recoil implantation} \Rightarrow 2 - 3 \mu\text{Ci} \quad \text{water-soluble} \quad ^{212}\text{Pb} @ \text{C}_{60} \quad \text{derivatives}.$$  

The system we ultimately decided on for producing $^{212}\text{Pb} @ \text{C}_{60}$ was incredibly simple, even simpler than that shown in Figure 3. A mixture of $^{224/225}\text{Ra}$ was electroplated onto a platinum mesh. A coating of C$_{60}$ was applied by spraying a toluene solution of C$_{60}$ over the mesh with an artist’s airbrush. As the Ra atoms and their daughters decay, some atoms are recoiled into C$_{60}$ molecules. In the case of $^{224}\text{Ra}$, the $^{220}\text{Rn}$ (a noble gas) daughter may assist the process by diffusion and redistributing into the microscopic cracks in the C$_{60}$ coating. Because M@C$_{60}$ are generally insoluble, we removed the fullerenes (both C$_{60}$ and R@C$_{60}$) from the mesh by derivatizing them to their malonic ester derivatives, which are then soluble in tetrahydrofuran (THF), whereas underivatized fullerenes are not. The chemistry is described in the next subsection. Using this method, we were able to obtain 0.1% - 0.5% of the $^{210}\text{Pb}$ produced during the contact time as endohedral $^{212}\text{Pb} @ \text{C}_{60}$ derivatives. While not quite as high as hoped for, the coarseness of the preparation method can undoubtedly be improved, and the encapsulation efficiency significantly increased.

Importantly, the fullerenes could be stripped off without removing the Ra from Pt electrode (Table 1). This process is sensitive to the reaction conditions for fullerene esterification, but even during this Phase I, only a few percent of the parent radionuclides were removed from the source mesh. Many films of

![Figure 3: Cell for implantation of ions following $\alpha$-decay systems](image-url)
fullerenes could therefore be applied and removed from a single radionuclide source. Thus, instead of obtaining only \( \sim 1\% \) of the daughters from the parent in a fullerene, many applications of \(^{244}\text{Ra} \) and subsequent removal by esterification, allows multiple collections (each with \( \sim 1\% \) encapsulation efficiency). In the example above, 500 \( \mu \text{Ci} \) of \(^{224}\text{Ra} \) could generate tens of \( \mu \text{Ci} \) of \(^{212}\text{Pb} @ C_{60} \) derivatives instead of only 5 \( \mu \text{Ci} \).

Table 1: Activity of select radionuclides remaining on the electroplated Pt meshes after \( C_{60} \) was removed by base-catalyzed chemical derivatization (see next section for detail).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mesh # and Isotope</th>
<th>#1: (^{224}\text{Ra})</th>
<th>#1: (^{225}\text{Ac})</th>
<th>#2: (^{224}\text{Ra})</th>
<th>#2: (^{225}\text{Ra})</th>
<th>#3: (^{224}\text{Ra})</th>
<th>#3: (^{225}\text{Ra})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity at first time point</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity after fullerene removal (much later time)</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected activity after fullerene removal</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radionuclide Stability</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>101%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Esterification conditions appear to have been a bit harsher on Mesh #2 than the other meshes.

Most importantly, even when starting from this large mixture of radioisotopes, a combination of factors result in only \(^{212}\text{Pb} \) and no other radionuclides being present in the \( C_{60} \) by the time the chemical derivatization is finished. Thus, although the “uptake” of radionuclides into the fullerene is comparatively low versus chelators, the uptake process discriminates between radionuclides and obviates the sometimes extensive ion chromatography necessary to produce the very pure radioisotopes required by chelators. High \(^{212}\text{Pb} \) radiolytic purity is obtained intrinsically by the implantation process, even when starting from a \(^{238/223}\text{Ra} \) generator. These results are explained further and substantiated in the following subsection.

3.2 Derivatization and Purification of \( R@C_{60} \)

The process used in this Phase I project started from a Platinum mesh and an aqueous solution of \(^{224/225}\text{Ra} \) (and their daughters). The radionuclides were electroplated onto the mesh. Then a saturated toluene solution of \( C_{60} \) was air brushed onto the radionuclide-coated mesh, and left to stand, typically for 24 – 36 hours. This section covers the processing to generate water-soluble \( R@C_{60} \) from a fullerene-coated mesh.

3.2.1 Esterification of \( R@C_{60} \); Washing of the Esters One of the most versatile and basic reactions of fullerenes is the addition of malonic esters (Figure 4). This reaction consecutively adds malonic acid esters to the fullerene cage. On empty fullerenes, the reaction proceeds through addition of the carbanion from diethylbromomalolate to \( C_{60} \) with \( \sim 65\% \) yield (Hirsch et al. 1993). Deprotonation of the \( \alpha \)-halo ester can be accomplished with NaH or hindered bases such as or 1,8-diazobicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU). The current trend in fullerene chemistry has been to use DBU as the base. However, our early experiments showed that DBU irreversibly complexed with the metallofullerene rather than deprotonating the \( \alpha \)-hydrogen of the malonic ester.
As discovered in our earlier work (Diener and Alford 1998), the metallofullerenes' redox and chemical properties are not quite identical to empty fullerenes. Metallofullerenes are much easier to reduce than empty fullerenes, explaining their tendency to complex with the lone pair of electrons on the DBU. Similarly, the basic hydride anion (from NaH) also easily reduces the metallofullerene. In this case though, either hydrogen and a reduced metallofullerene or a hydrometallofullerene anion is formed. These do not block the reaction and both are soluble in the proper solvents such as THF or ethyl acetate (EA). The result is a metallofullerene substituted with three to ten malonic esters (Bolskar et al., 2001). Additional reactions hydrolyze the esters to carboxylic acids, imparting water solubility to the metallofullerene (Section 2.2.5).

The pathway for processing the C_{60} film into ethyl acetate (EA)-soluble malonic ester derivatives is shown in Figure 4. The entire processing, including the multiple washing steps, can be performed in about an hour, although taking time to count every wash increases the time to about two hours. Sometimes the THF was transferred to the centrifuge tube and then centrifuged once, separated from the solids by transfer to a new tube, and then evaporated; when the ethyl acetate is introduced amongst those steps does not appear to have any effect on the process. The total organic volume was typically about one milliliter. Transferring was performed with syringes with stainless steel needles, typically 27 gauge. The organic solution has a characteristic deep reddish-brown color, in contrast to the purple color of pure C_{60}.

The washing solution was made up with 10 mL of 0.012 M HNO_{3} containing 10 μL of 1.000 mg/mL atomic absorption standards of Bi, Pb, and Tl. Typically, half a milliliter of the washing solution was pipetted into the centrifuge tube containing the EA. Vortexing was usually performed for 10 seconds at ~2000 rpm. Radioactive metal atoms outside of the fullerene exchange and equilibrate with the cold metal atoms present in the washing solution. Since there are so many more cold metal atoms than radioactive ones, the radioactive atoms tend to be washed out. Unless, of course, they are inside of a fullerene ester (which is not soluble in water), where they cannot exchange with the others. The esters can in principle be dissolved in

---

Figure 4. Malonic ester reaction sequence.

---

Figure 4: Processing of the fullerene esters. The last three steps are repeated as necessary, typically six or seven times.
toluene, and then washed, but it seems to take longer to wash out the exohedral radionuclides. Note that this procedure will exchange radioactive metal atoms from chelators, as well as other more weakly chemically bonded systems.

A typical washing sequence is shown in Figure 5 Error! Reference source not found.. The presence of $^{212}$Pb is tracked by the 238 keV γ-ray, and $^{225}$Ac by the 218 keV γ-ray from 4.8-m $^{221}$Fr daughter, as described on page 6. Data are not time-corrected, but the washings were performed in much less than the 10.6 hour half life of $^{212}$Pb (or the 10 day half life of $^{225}$Ac). The radioactive lead atoms outside of the fullerene are exchanged and removed in the aqueous portions, while the endohedral $^{212}$Pb atoms remain in solution. Since beta decay from $^{223}$Ra is not energetic enough to implant $^{225}$Ac in a fullerene, all $^{225}$Ac is outside of the cage. Figure 5 shows that all of the 4.5 μCi of $^{225}$Ac that were on this mesh can be separated from those radionuclides that were recoiled into the fullerene with just a few washes.

213Bi, however, can be recoiled into C60, as shown in Figure 6. Because of its short (45.6 min) half life, the endohedral 213Bi left at the time of the 7th wash was used to back calculate the amount of 213Bi present when the fullerene was removed from contact with the mesh (by esterification). In this case, the further preparation of the fullerene for water solubility took long enough that the 213Bi was essentially decayed by the time the fullerenes were ready to be administered to the mice. As discussed in Section 2.2.4, several shortcuts in the synthesis appear possible, perhaps creating an opportunity for administering a combination of medium and short half-life radionuclides with a single preparation.

The encapsulation efficiency can be calculated from the washing data. For example, 150 μCi of $^{224}$Ra was electroplated onto a certain Pt mesh. The fullerenes then coated it for 18 hours. The amount of $^{212}$Pb created during this time can be readily approximated using the relation $A_D = A_P[1-\exp(-.693*t/\tau_{1/2D})]$, where $A_D$ is the activity of the daughter ($^{212}$Pb, e.g.), $A_P$ is the activity of the parent (150 μCi), $t$ is the
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time (18 h in this case), and \( t_{1/2D} \) is the half-life of the daughter (10.6 h for \(^{212}\text{Pb}\)). The equation solves to be 104 \( \mu\text{Ci} \).

In this case, there were 220 nCi of \(^{212}\text{Pb}@C_{60}\) esters in the EA after exhaustive washings. The derivatization and washing were performed over 6.5 h (other experiments were in progress simultaneously). Using \( A = A_0[\exp(0.693^t/t_{1/2})] \) with \( A_0 \) being the activity at the end of the contact period, \( A = 220 \text{ nCi}, t = 6.5 \text{ h}, \) and \( t_{1/2} = 10.6 \text{ h}, \) it is found that \( A_0 = 336 \text{ nCi}. \) The encapsulation efficiency is therefore \( 0.336/104 = 0.32\% \). Using the same method, we obtained a range of values between 0.1\% and 0.5\%, presumably varying according to the \( C_{60} \) film quality and the purity of the electroplated material. By preparing more uniform films of both the parent radionuclide and the fullerene, it should be possible to increase the efficiency to \( 1 - 2\% \), based on analogy to implantation of lanthanides into fullerenes following neutron activation.

3.2.2 Control Experiment: Washing of Esters With Very Short Contact Time

To ensure that the radionuclide signals from the EA after exhaustive washing are from the fullerene ester, a control experiment was performed. A mesh covered with 51.9 \( \mu\text{Ci} \) \(^{224}\text{Ra}, 38.3 \mu\text{Ci} \(^{212}\text{Pb}, 47.0 \mu\text{Ci} \(^{212}\text{Bi}, 62.7 \mu\text{Ci} \(^{225}\text{Ra}, 276 \mu\text{Ci} \(^{211}\text{Fr}, \) and 288 \( \mu\text{Ci} \(^{213}\text{Bi} \) (as well as \(~270 \mu\text{Ci} \(^{225}\text{Ac}, \) presumably) was covered with \( C_{60} \), counted in the spectrometer to obtain those values and then put through the same ester derivatization process as before. The total contact time between the \( C_{60} \) and the radioactive mesh was 25 minutes.
The EA was washed exhaustively, with the $^{212}$Pb data shown in Figure 8. Clearly, some $^{212}$Pb remained in the organic, 2.8 nCi. Back-calculating gives 3.6 nCi at the time of esterification (3 h). However, it was also calculated that 51.9 µCi of $^{224}$Ra produces 1.38 µCi of $^{212}$Pb during 25 minutes. Thus, the encapsulation efficiency is 3.6/1380 = 0.26%, very much as expected. Similar data was obtained for $^{213}$Bi, with 26 nCi remaining after washing, giving 450 nCi at the end of the contact, and 87 µCi produced from 276 µCi of parent during the soak, for an encapsulation efficiency of 0.52%. Again, this is in line with expectations.

To conclude, the amount of activity found in the organic phase after exhaustive washing with cold atoms in acid varies according to the contact time between the fullerene and the parent radionuclide in a predictable manner. The esterification chemistry does not cause the radionuclides to become soluble in EA or toluene. Although some hot atoms may be suspended in the organic, they can be removed via washing with dilute aqueous acid. These results can be interpreted to conclude that the radionuclides remaining in the organic solvent after washing are in a location that cannot be accessed by the aqueous solution during vortexing. The only such location known to exist in this system is inside of the fullerene.

3.2.3 Direct Recoil into Esters Towards the end of the project, it occurred to us that perhaps we should try to recoil the daughter radionuclides directly into the fullerene esters. While this would save only a small amount of time, it paves the way for recoil directly into the fullerene acids, which could save several hours, depending on the work-up required to remove exohedral radionuclides. Also, a specific C$_{60}$ ester (one with six malonic ester groups, for example) could be used to coat the mesh, resulting in a more homogenous product.

A mixture of C$_{60}$ Bingel esters (primarily those with 4, 5, and 6 malonic ester groups) was prepared, giving a deep red EA solution. This was then air brushed as before onto a Pt mesh containing 41 µCi of $^{224}$Ra and 52 µCi of $^{225}$Ac (amongst other radionuclides in the $^{224}$/225Ra decay chains). The ester-covered mesh was left to sit in air for 15.0 hours, then placed in a scintillation vial and gently vortexed (~600 rpm) in 1.0 mL EA. The vial and syringe were washed with an additional 0.2 mL EA, which was combined with the first mL in a centrifuge tube. Another 0.5 mL was added to wash, but was basically clear and not
retained. The EA volume was reduced to 0.7 mL under flow of N₂. The EA solution was then washed as before, with the activities present in each washing fraction shown in Figure 8.

![Graph showing successive washings of ⁴¹⁰Pb@C₆₀ malonic ester derivatives in ethyl acetate with dilute nitric acid containing cold lead atoms. Removal of ²²⁵Ac atoms not recoiled into the C₆₀ cage is also demonstrated. The average time between washes is six minutes.]

Using the same calculations as before, it was found that the encapsulation efficiency for ⁴¹⁰Pb was 0.15%, and that for ⁴¹¹Bi 0.36%. Although these are at the low end of the range of encapsulation efficiencies, the deviation is not statistically significant. It is interesting to note, however, that it appears to be more difficult to wash out the exohedral radionuclides than for the normal process. Somewhat surprisingly, it therefore appears possible to recoil an atom into a fullerene derivative without greatly disturbing the exohedral derivatization of the fullerene.

3.2.4 The Fate of the ⁴¹⁰Bi From ⁴¹⁰Pb@C₆₀ Malonic Ester Derivatives

Certain reports in the literature suggest that the C₈₂ fullerene cage could sometimes withstand a beta decay from an endohedral atom (Kikuchi et al., 1994; Sueki et al., 1999), but not all the time (Sueki et al., 1998). However, their criterion for "stability" appeared to be whether the C₈₂ fullerene remained soluble in toluene-like solvents following beta decay. It is now known (Diener and Alford, 1998) that most endohedral metallofullerenes are insoluble, including many isomers of the C₈₂ cage. It is therefore more likely that the beta decays resulting in "instability" merely result in an insoluble endohedral C₈₂. We did not, and still do not, believe that it is possible for the weak recoil energy associated with beta decays to remove an endohedral atom from a fullerene. One of the potential advantages of the fullerene for ⁴¹⁰Pb versus chelators is that the fullerene will retain encapsulation of the daughter ⁴¹¹Bi. DOTA loses the ⁴¹¹Bi 36% of the time (Mirzadeh et al., 1993).
The most likely explanation for this is that the shakeoff of electrons from the newly formed $^{212}$Bi atom sufficiently alters the electron distribution of the $^{208}$Ca so as to degrade the malonic esters responsible for the endohedral fullerene being soluble in the EA. While we cannot prove that the $^{212}$Bi is retained inside the fullerene, this seems a far more likely explanation than release of the $^{212}$Bi from its fullerene cage.

The implications of this for RIT are hard to predict. It may be that the shakeup of fullerene electrons will not affect the linkage between the radiofullerene and the targeting agent. It may also be that 36% of the $\alpha$ emitting radionuclides become separated from the targeting agent. What remains on the fullerene cage is unclear, except that whatever is left is not sufficient to keep the fullerene soluble in EA. It may be that the degraded fullerene is accumulated in the liver, or it may be that it is expelled. Either way, if an internalizing Ab is used, the rate of $^{212}$Bi escape from cells will almost

Figure 9: Comparison of $^{212}$Bi activity during washing to that of $^{212}$Pb.

We were therefore frustrated to consistently find more $^{212}$Bi in the aqueous portion following a wash than $^{212}$Pb (Figure 9). So a particular aqueous fraction was counted repeated, and the decay of $^{212}$Bi activity (as measured by the 583 eV photon intensity decay) was recorded (Figure 10). It was found that activity can be curve fit by plotting the decay of activity from $^{212}$Bi and a little $^{212}$Pb in the solution. From these data it was determined that 36% of the $^{212}$Bi is not retained in the organic.

Figure 10: Counting an aqueous wash of $^{208}$Ca esters, showing decay of the $^{212}$Bi to secular equilibrium with the $^{212}$Pb in the wash.
3.2.5 Conversion of the Ester to the Acid

Having washed away all radionuclides external to the fullerene ester (Section 2.2.1), the ester was now ready to be converted to the water-soluble malonic acid derivative. The basic procedure is given in Figure 11. The EA containing the ester was transferred to a ~10 mL flask which would serve as the reaction vessel for the hydrolysis. A stream of N\textsubscript{2} both purged the flask and evaporated the EA. About 4 mL of toluene was added to 1-2 mg of NaH under inert conditions to form a slurry which was then added by syringe to the purged flask. This flask, still under N\textsubscript{2}, was immersed in a water bath, stirred, and heated to ~80 C for about an hour. It was then removed from the bath, and MeOH was added immediately but very slowly. The reaction of the MeOH with the NaH causes much frothing, even with a tiny drop. Methanol addition was discontinued until the frothing subsided. Suddenly, after the addition of ca. 0.2 mL of methanol, a red precipitate forms and the toluene turns clear. Methanol addition and stirring can be discontinued at this point.

The toluene was then removed and analyzed for radioactivity, then ~1 mL of deionized water was added to the flask, which immediately dissolves the precipitated fullerene acid. The water was transferred to a centrifuge tube, and the solids spun down. Assay of all three portions revealed yields between 55 and 75% for the 212\textsuperscript{Pb} fullerenes, with ~7% left in the toluene and insoluble material.

At this point, the water is extremely basic, pH ~14. While this can be neutralized with acid, that leaves a lot of salt in the solution, which proved to be detrimental to the mice (Section 2.3). The process was improved upon by adding 6M HCl to the basic water, fully protonating the fullerene acid, and causing it to precipitate. This was then spun down in an mini-ultracentrifuge (the precipitate is very fine), and the acid supernatant syringed off. MES buffer was then added to re-dissolve the fullerene acid. The pH was then 4, so a little 1M NaOH was added to bring it up to 7. The precipitation from acid and re-dissolution in buffer proceeded with quantitative yield when the ultracentrifuge was used; but only 75% of the fullerene acid was recovered with a standard bench top centrifuge (~3000 rpm).

While it may be possible to perform an acid-catalyzed hydrolysis, shorten the heating time with NaH, or otherwise improve the synthesis, in Phase I we were more interested in minimizing losses to the available material. Since the R@C\textsubscript{60} derivatives are now in water, the aqueous solution is very susceptible to picking up stray radioactive dust from glassware, needles, and other sources in the hood. Once batch became contaminated with 226\textsuperscript{Ra} and daughters, and the contamination could not be removed. The aqueous solution was passed over ion exchange columns containing sulfate groups. First, a coarser 100-200 mesh column was used, but that removed less than 30% of the contamination. Then a 200-400 mesh column was used, but again, less than 40% of the contamination was removed. (The contamination removed was assessed by counting both the aqueous solution and the column.) Gratifyingly, less than 5% of the 212\textsuperscript{Pb} remained stuck to the column, and perhaps that could have been removed with additional washing. We believe that the carboxylic acid groups on the fullerene are arranged such that they can certainly be far slower than the rate of free 212\textsuperscript{Bi} escape. A significant portion, if not all of the 212\textsuperscript{Bi}@C\textsubscript{60} may still decay inside of the target cell. Thus, while this is obviously not the most desirable result, it is also not certain to be particularly detrimental either.
chelate external metal atoms, and that this chelation is strong enough to compete successfully with the sulfate groups on the resin for both +2 and +3 metals!

3.3 Mouse Biodistributions of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$(C[CO$_2$])$_2$

Although the low amounts of radioactivity available resulted in non-optimum methods being employed to obtain three time points for the biodistribution, the results are consistent with each other, and allow certain conclusions to be drawn without doubt. The biodistribution study unfortunately turned out to be a synthesis of two different batches of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ malonic acids. We first purposefully attempted a single time point, as a check on our chemical and analytical methods. However, trouble electroplating the $^{224/225}$Ra (combined with the intrinsically low encapsulation efficiency) at the time we were set to perform the true biodistribution, resulted in less available activity, and scaling back the number of mice in the study. We therefore were forced to rely upon the one hour time point obtained during the initial trial. Since the methods varied slightly, each experiment is described separately below, and then the data are collated in the third subsection.

3.3.1 One Hour Study  
As the carboxylic acid derivatives of Ln@C$_{60}$ (Ln = lanthanide) are apparently water-soluble down to pH ~5 (determined from cold work at TDA), we assumed the same for $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$. After adjusting the pH of the MES-buffered aqueous solutions containing the $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ carboxylate to 6 – 7, we were ready to inject the mice.

The first time, we thought we would do a trial study using three mice and sacrificing an hour, to test the procedure, the preparation of the fullerene acid, and the data collection mechanism. This first time, we dissolved the fullerene acid precipitate from methanol directly into MES, and adjusted the pH down to ~7. Adjusting the pH required 285 ul of 2M HCl, followed by 20 ul of 8M HCl. We did not re-precipitate the fullerene acid. There were then 130 nCi of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ acids in 750 ul of MES-buffered aqueous solution. Two 10ul aliquots were removed as standards for the gamma ray spectroscopy counting. For this test run, we had three female mice with severe combined immunodeficiencies (SCID) ready.

200 μL of the solution were administered by tail vein injection to the first mouse, which promptly expired following injection. Dr. Kennel believed (and believed prior to injection, actually) that the salt concentration of the fullerene solution was too high for the mouse. Therefore, the fullerene solution was diluted with an equal part of deionized water, and two injections of 200 ul total volume each (where each injection contained 100 ul of the fullerene solution and 100 ul of deionized water) were made two minutes apart for the second mouse. Despite hunching and some impaired mobility, the mouse lived. The third SCID was also injected in this manner, but the injections were made more slowly; the mouse exhibited less hunching. To obtain a third data point, the remaining 125 ul of fullerene solution (diluted with 125 μL DI H$_2$O) was administered in a single injection to a BALB/C mouse.

The mice were sacrificed one hour after injection by cervical dislocation. The following tissues were collected from each mouse and placed in previously tared plastic scintillation vials: skin, leg muscle, femur and sternum (referred to as "bone" henceforth), stomach and intestines (which were emptied first), ovaries and uterus, liver, spleen, kidneys, heart, and lungs. Blood, including any available clots, was also collected.

The vials were then counted in a Wallac Wizard. The Wallac is far more sensitive than the spectrometer discussed on page 4, but lacks the resolution. It was preset to collect all photons emitted from the sample over two γ-ray energy ranges; the first corresponding the 238 keV γ-ray from $^{212}$Pb, and the second to the 510 and 583 keV γ-rays from $^{208}$Tl used to assess $^{212}$Bi. As there were no other radioisotopes present in the sample (determined by counting a few tissues on the spectrometer), there were no interferences. Tissues were counted for 10 minutes each. The 10 μL standards were also counted, as were blank vials to
provide a background. The Wallac provides data in the form of counts per sample per photon energy range, along with the time that the counting was concluded.

Subtracting the average counts associated with the blank vials from each of the tissue counts gave a record of counts per 10 minutes per tissue for each isotope. Using the time stamp and the half life of $^{212}$Pb allowed the calculation of the $^{212}$Pb counts at the time of sacrifice for each tissue of each mouse. The total injected dose was calculated from the 10 ul aliquot counts, and the activity calculated from the counting the aliquots in the spectrometer. From this, it was determined that the first two mice received 28 nCi of $^{212}$Pb, and the third mouse received 18 nCi. The vials were reweighed the following day to determine the weights of each the tissues collected. Since it took so long to count the tissues, $^{212}$Bi was either back in equilibrium with the $^{212}$Pb, or had decayed away. Deviations from equilibrium were well within the uncertainty in the $^{212}$Pb activity. The data was assembled into the biodistribution graph shown in Figure 12. Considering the variation in method of administration, the data are in reasonable agreement from mouse to mouse.

![Figure 12: One hour biodistribution of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$[C(COO$^-$)$_2$], including standard error. See text for details.](image)

### 3.3.2 Three Hour and Eight Hour Biodistributions

By the time in the project that we were ready to attempt the biodistribution study, we knew what the encapsulation efficiency was, and, while we had experienced some problems with electroplating Ra, they had not been severe. Unfortunately, during the days leading up to the biodistribution, it seemed that only ~10% of the Ra in solution could be electroplated onto the mesh. (We had previously achieved 30 – 50%). It was decided to use three meshes, esterify and wash the C$_{60}$ coating each mesh, and combine the esters prior to acidification. As described in Section 2.2.5, we avoided the problem of excess salt (and base) by re-precipitating the fullerene acid following the initial aqueous dissolution with 6M HCl, followed by ultracentrifugation, removal of the supernatant, and redissolution in MES (followed by a minor pH adjustment). However, there were only 55 nCi of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$[C(COO$^-$)$_2$], left for the mice. Thus, we were forced to back off some of the planned time points in order to have enough activity to do six mice well.
Six BALB/C mice received 200 ul of fullerene acids in MES by a single tail vein injection, 9 nCi per mouse. The mice did not exhibit any of the hunching or discomfort previously seen, and appeared unaffected by the injection. Three mice were sacrificed at 3 hours post-injection, and the remaining three at 8 hours post-injection. The same tissues were collected as before, with the addition of collecting the urine expelled at the time of death. The activities and tissue weights were assessed using the same procedures as for the 1 hour biodistribution. However, certain tissues from the 8h mice were recounted the following morning for 30 minutes each on the Wallac to improve the signal to noise ratio. The tissues selected were those perceived to be the more important ones, namely bone, spleen, kidneys, liver, blood, urine, and stomach. The individual mouse biodistributions for 3h and 8h are shown in Figure 13.

![Figure 13: Three hour (left) and eight hour (right) biodistributions of $^{212}$Pb@[C$_{60}$(C(COO)$_2$)$_2$].](image)

**3.3.3 Average Biodistribution; Comparison to Previous Studies**

To provide the usual biodistribution graph, the %ID/g for each tissue were averaged for the three mice from each time point. The combined “average” biodistribution graph is shown in Figure 14. Despite the unusual preparation method, some conclusions can still be drawn with confidence from the data.

Like other fullerene derivatives so far investigated, the malonic acids derivative also seems to accumulate primarily in the liver. By normalizing the counts from the liver to the 10 µL aliquots, it seems that about 50% of the injected dose accumulates in the liver. We had hoped to avoid this with the carboxyfullerene, and achieve rapid clearance, but clearly that did not happen. Data recently presented at the Material Research Society Meeting on Dec 2, 2003 from Prof. Wilson’s group at Rice used dynamic light scattering (DLS) to assess the aggregate size of Gd@[C$_{60}$] carboxyfullerenes prepared at TDA Research, and found that the fullerenes aggregated significantly, even at pH 7. This may explain the accumulation in the liver.
Figure 14: Average biodistribution of $^{212}\text{Pb}@[C_{60} (\text{COO})_2]$, using the data presented in the previous two subsections. "Error bars" show standard deviation.

The extra residence time in the liver was however useful since it demonstrated that the $^{212}\text{Pb}$ is not released to the bone, the target tissue for lead. The slight accumulation in the bone (2.3 at 1 h, 1.8 at h, 1.5 at 8 h %ID/g) both decreases with time, and compares favorably to the previous DOTA-Ab biodistribution study (Ruble et al., 1996, found 3.2 – 7.8 %ID/g depending on the targeting agent). Thus, whatever is happening to the fullerene in the liver (if anything) does not release the endohedral $^{212}\text{Pb}$. This is a crucial point, since DOTA-chelated $^{212}\text{Pb}$ tends to result in severe myelotoxicity, presumably from freed $^{212}\text{Pb}$ accumulation in the bone. A targeted $^{212}\text{Pb}$ fullerene should have even less accumulation in the bone, as the targeting agent will direct the fullerene to a specific tissue.

Amongst other tissues, clearance from the blood and kidneys is demonstrated, indicating that the free $^{212}\text{Pb}@[C_{60} (\text{COO})_2]$, clears from circulation on a time scale commensurate with the half life of $^{212}\text{Pb}$. Significant accumulation in the spleen is also noted, again, a possible result of aggregation. Doses to other tissues are low; the free carboxyfullerene does not target any of the other tissues sampled.

The chemistry developed on this project is clearly a significant improvement over the only previous endohedral radiofullerene biodistribution study (Cagle et al., 1999). The authors of that study prepared a polyhydroxylated $^{166}\text{Ho}@[C_{82}$ by neutron activation of the $^{166}\text{Ho}$. The polyhydroxylated radiofullerene obtained 8% ID/g bone, and accumulated over time. They also observed very high doses of the fullerene in the liver, which cleared slowly, and similarly low doses with significant clearance from the other tissues assessed in this study. From our preliminary study, it appears that the malonic acid derivatization is superior to polyhydroxylation for radiofullerenes.

The most important part of the biodistribution study is that the free $^{212}\text{Pb}@[C_{60}$ derivative does not accumulate in the bone. Therefore any catabolism, or any other biological process that competes for Pb, will not result in uptake of $^{212}\text{Pb}$ in bone from the endohedral fullerene $^{212}\text{Pb}@[C_{60}$. This use of the fullerene is so far the only known method to prevent $^{212}\text{Pb}$ uptake in bone, and will presumably mitigate
the myelotoxicity that plagued earlier studies (Ruble et al., 1996; Horak et al., 1997) and has so far prevented the further development of $^{212}$Pb radiopharmaceuticals.

3.4 Summary of $^{212}$Pb Studies

The primary achievement of this part of the project was that incontrovertible evidence was obtained for the production of $^{212}$Pb@C$_{60}$ via recoil implantation following α-decays in the $^{224}$Ra chain. We also established a rapid protocol for the chemical derivatization of the hot endohedral fullerene, leading to a robust, non-aggregating water-soluble radiofullerene derivative that is easily separated from both hot and cold unencapsulated nuclides. Although the fullerene did not show improvement vs. chelators at containment of the $^{212}$Bi daughter, the fullerene does unequivocally prevent leakage of the bone-targeting $^{212}$Pb. This is the key requirement for further development of $^{212}$Pb for RIT that has not yet been surmounted by chelation techniques. In summary, we have demonstrated the promise of the α-recoil implantation technique for producing radiofullerenes suitable for pharmaceutical development, met only readily surmountable hurdles, and remain enthusiastic about the continued development of the technique.

4. Implantation of $^{177}$Lu by Recoil Following Neutron Capture

The third method pursued in this project uses the energy from the recoil following neutron absorption to implant the activated atom into the fullerene. Depending upon the isotope, the energy of the neutron capture reaction is usually between 5 and 7 MeV and is radiated as γ-rays. Upon emission of a γ-ray, the nucleus recoils with energy ~120 eV (for lanthanides with an average mass of ~130 amu). As the nucleus recoils through the fullerene lattice it can eventually break open a fullerene and become trapped inside. The large number of vibrational modes in the fullerene rapidly redistributes and dissipates the collision energy, thus allowing the ruptured bonds to reform. This techniques has been used for $^7$Be (Ohtsuki et al., 1994), $^{41}$Ar (Gadd et al. 1997, 1998; Braun and Rausch 1995), various Xe and Kr isotopes (Gadd et al. 1997), $^{140}$La (Rausch and Braun, 2001), and $^{69}$Ge, $^{72}$As, $^{75}$Se, $^{120}$, $^{122}$Sb, and $^{121}$Te, (Ohtsuki et al. 2000, 2001, and 2002), although it is difficult to determine whether the p-electron atoms are inside of the fullerene or have become part of the fullerene cage. Of particular interest, the experiments performed by Braun and Rausch (1998) appeared to demonstrate that it is possible to produce significant yields of radioactive metallofullerenes by irradiating mechanically homogenized mixtures of C$_{60}$ and metal oxides of Cu, La, Pr, Ho, and Zn. By dissolving the product in aniline and subsequently extracting the aniline with aqueous acid, the authors conclude that a significant fraction of the activated metals become trapped inside the C$_{60}$ during the activation process. The production yields calculated by the authors are $^{65}$Cu $\rightarrow$ $^{64}$Cu@C$_{60}$ (9.0%), $^{139}$La $\rightarrow$ $^{140}$La@C$_{60}$ (14.5%), $^{140}$Pr $\rightarrow$ $^{141}$Pr@C$_{60}$ (3.1%), $^{165}$Ho $\rightarrow$ $^{166}$Ho@C$_{60}$ (3.6%), and $^{64+68}$Zn $\rightarrow$ $^{65}$Zn@C$_{60}$ (9.1%). These results are in general agreement with our previous results (Thrash et al, 1999), which examined the escape of activated $^{165}$Ho from inside pre-synthesized $^{166}$Ho@C$_{82}$. However, the results from this part of the project raised serious questions concerning Braun and Rausch’s procedures and results.

We selected $^{177}$Lu for several reasons. The advantages and disadvantages of $^{177}$Lu use in RIT were discussed in the original report of its use in a radiologic immunoconjugate (Schlom et al., 1991). Its long 6.7-day half-life provides ample time to develop the requisite purification and derivatization procedures without substantial loss of activity or large drop in specific activity. The ability of the fullerene to encapsulate the radionuclide with zero in-vivo loss is always important, but is even more crucial for a long half-life radionuclide. Current chelates such as DOTA perform very well, but as the half-life increases, so does the chances that transchelation will eventually release the radionuclide. Free lanthanides such as $^{177}$Lu, $^{166}$Ho, and $^{90}$Y strongly target bone where they irradiate the bone marrow, and in many cases this is the dose-limiting factor. The stringent requirements for chelation were considered to be the primary disadvantage for $^{177}$Lu development in the Schlom publication, and the fullerene is the best choice to
bars while \( \sigma^0_{176} = 2090 \) barns, Mughabghab et al. 1981). Because of this large difference and the high cross section of \(^{176}\text{Lu}\), activating a mixture of naturally occurring Lu and C\(^{60}\) should provide encapsulated \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\) with both high activity and high specific activity. While the primary pathway for formation of \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\) is activation of \(^{176}\text{Lu}\), our model includes all of the known reactions. To estimate the \(^{177}\text{Lu}-\text{C}^{60}\) trapping efficiency, we used the lowest encapsulation value reported by Braun and Rausch (3%). The efficiency of keeping the previously encapsulated \(^{176}\text{Lu}\) in the fullerene during activation is estimated at 25% based Thrash et al. (1999). Survival of the beta decay of \(^{177}\text{Lu}\) to \(^{177}\text{Hf}\) is assumed to 100% as reported Kikuchi et al. (1994).

The reaction equations were formulated into series of simultaneous ODE’s that were subsequently solved by numerical integration to yield the concentration of each of the species in the model as a function of the irradiation time. For our test model, we assumed that 100 mg of C\(^{60}\) (1.4x10\(^4\) mol) was mixed uniformly with a six to one ratio of Lu to C\(^{60}\) (a reasonable value for C\(^{60}\) intercalates), and that this sample (600 mg of natural Lu\(_2\)O\(_3\), 6:1 ratio of Lu to C\(^{60}\)) would be irradiated at the HFIR’s pneumatic tube one facility, which has a neutron flux of 2.3x10\(^{14}\) n sec\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The estimated yield and specific activity of \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\) predicted by the model are shown in Figure 15. It is readily apparent that \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\) with an activity of almost 1 Ci can be easily obtained even for this relatively small sample that is irradiated in a low flux position of the HFIR. The specific activity, expressed as the percent purity of the \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\), can vary between 70 and 85% depending upon the desired activity, a value that is certainly acceptable for radiotherapy. We believe that this calculation illustrates our point that high activity and specific activities

\[
\begin{align*}
^{175}\text{Lu} & \xrightarrow{k_1} ^{176}\text{Lu} \xrightarrow{k_2} ^{177}\text{Lu} & k_1 = 0.97n\nu\sigma_{175\text{Lu}}, & k_2 = 0.97n\nu\sigma_{176\text{Lu}} \\
^{175}\text{Lu} & \xrightarrow{k_3} ^{176}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & k_3 = 0.03n\nu\sigma_{175\text{Lu}} \\
^{176}\text{Lu} & \xrightarrow{k_7} ^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & k_7 = 0.03n\nu\sigma_{176\text{Lu}} \\
^{176}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & \xrightarrow{k_4} ^{177}\text{Lu} + \text{broken C}^{60} & k_4 = 0.75n\nu\sigma_{176\text{Lu}} \\
^{176}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & \xrightarrow{k_5} ^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & k_5 = 0.25n\nu\sigma_{176\text{Lu}} \\
^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60} & \xrightarrow{k_6} ^{177}\text{Hf} \@ \text{C}^{60} & k_6 = \lambda_{177\text{Lu}}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 15. Kinetic model for the production of \(^{177}\text{Lu} \@ \text{C}^{60}\) by recoil implosion in a nuclear reactor. Where \( n \) is the number of Lu nuclide in the target, and \( \nu \) is the flux density of thermal neutron

can be readily attained by the recoil method (assuming the data in Braun and Rausch, 1998, is accurate). If commercial production was required it would be relatively easy to irradiate a sample 100 times larger than this at the high flux position of the HFIR, which has a flux of 2x10\(^{15}\) n sec\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\).
4.2 Neutron Activation to $^{177}$Lu in Mixtures of Lutetium Oxide and $C_{60}$

This section begins with a brief description of the HFIR, and the techniques employed there to produce $^{177}$Lu in our samples. It then presents results from activation of the Lu$_2$O$_3$ – $C_{60}$ mixtures. Since Braun and Rausch (1998) apparently achieved excellent results from mixtures of metal oxides and $C_{60}$, we felt that our investigations would use the same technique.

4.2.1 Neutrons From the HFIR

The HFIR has a variety of different facilities, at different fluxes and fast/epithermal/thermal neutron content. Since we are solely interested in thermal neutrons, and low fluxes are sufficient for the proof-of-concept experiments undertaken in Phase I, we used the lowest flux positions on the HFIR, the pneumatic tube facilities of the neutron activation laboratory. Two pneumatic tube facilities, designated PT-1 and PT-2, are available in the HFIR. These facilities, operated by the ORNL Analytical Chemistry Division, consist of flight tubes, air supply and exhaust lines, loading stations at which sample containers (rabbits) are introduced into the flight tubes, and irradiation stations to which the rabbits move to be irradiated (see Figure 16).

![Figure 16](image)

Figure 16. Integrated rate equations yielding the total activity of $^{177}$Lu@$C_{60}$ and the specific activity of $^{177}$Lu@$C_{60}$ as a function of the irradiation time. The specific activity is calculated as the percent of $^{177}$Lu@$C_{60}$ relative to all endohedral $C_{60}$ species produced.

Figure 17](image). The inner diameter of the flight tubes is 0.62 in. (15.88 mm), and the outer diameter of the rabbit is 0.56 in. (14.48 mm). Both flight tubes accept the same rabbits, which have an internal volume of about 1 cm$^3$. Both systems operate with air entering both ends of the flight tube. Capsules are inserted into the reactor and returned to shielded loading stations in the laboratory. The capsules stop on air columns, which permit them to be made of graphite as well as plastic. The capsules can be stopped at decay stations in the pool if they are temporarily too radioactive to return to the laboratory.
PT-2 is located in a slant engineering facility that intersects the outer edge of the permanent beryllium reflector. (The Be reflector forms a shield around the core.) This places the irradiation station approximately 12.5 in. (32 cm) from the fuel element. The thermal flux for the facility is about $5.9 \times 10^{13}$ neutrons/(cm$^2$.s). The thermal/epithermal ratio is approximately 200, minimizing damage to the fullerene shell.

Unfortunately, the HFIR was shut down for administrative reasons for the bulk of this project. While the HFIR had previously operated from 1966 until 1986 without shutdown (except to change the fuel), multiple administrative shutdowns since then have occurred, and this project had the unfortunate timing of nearly coinciding with one the longest. Once the system was restarted, however, the neutron activation team was able to irradiate and return samples of varied chemical composition in a prompt and efficient manner. (It is likely that our samples received something of a priority status during this time, as we made the project’s time constraints known to them.)

The implications of the extended downtime of the HFIR for this project were that we had to irradiate many samples more or less at once, often without knowing the results of the previous irradiation. This is a relatively inefficient experimental method, compared to a sequential plan of experimentation. In analyzing the data, it became clear that some opportunities were not capitalized on. Nevertheless, we will show in the following subsections that sufficient results were obtained to validate the central concepts of the project, namely that recoil implantation is an attractive method for production of medicinally useful radiofullerenes.

4.2.2 Irradiation of Natural $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3 - \text{C}_60$ Mixtures

The procedure of Braun and Rausch (1998) served as a starting point for our investigations, as they claimed >3% of the activated metal became implanted inside of $\text{C}_60$ during their irradiations. They mechanically mixed naturally occurring metal oxide powders with $\text{C}_60$ and subjected the mixture to a neutron flux of $1.95 \times 10^{13}$ n/cm$^2$.s for 12 h. At TDA, 150mg of each $\text{C}_60$ and $\text{Lu}_2\text{O}_3$ were placed in a plastic vial $\frac{1}{2}$" diameter by 1" tall. About 7 alumina balls $\frac{3}{8}$" in diameter (mini grinding media) were added to the container. The container was then vibrated at high speed for 30 seconds, producing a uniformly brown, fine powder. Without the mini-media, the black $\text{C}_60$ and white oxide grains did not mix well, resulting in a salt-and-pepper like powder.

Three samples were created from the brown powder, with weights 1.5 mg, 2.0 mg, and 3.0 mg. The samples were weighed out into lidded polyethylene containers, and were all placed in a rabbit. The rabbit was irradiated for 20 min in PT-2 with a flux of $5 \times 10^{13}$ n/cm$^2$.s. Following irradiation, the samples were allowed to cool for several days.
Each of the three samples and containers was removed from the rabbit, placed in separate vials, and counted individually in a gamma ray spectrometer. A typical gamma ray spectrum is shown in Figure 18. The two γ-rays from $^{177}$Lu are clear and distinct, without contamination. Although the ordinate is given normalized in arbitrary units in the figure, the data is taken as counts with a resolution of 0.5 keV per channel. Since the gamma ray energies are actually a few channels wide, the software calculates an area under each peak. The activity of the samples is then calculated by dividing the area of each peak by the counting time, the detector efficiency as a function of the shelf and the gamma ray (previously determined by calibrated standard), and the probability of the gamma ray occurring (0.066 for the 112.95 keV photon, 0.110 for the 208.36 keV photon) to give activity in decays per second (dps). Where necessary, the dps values for the two photons were averaged to give a single value for the $^{177}$Lu content of the sample. Since dps is a somewhat cumbersome unit, it was divided by $3.7 \times 10^4$ to give a value in microcuries (μCi).

The initial activity of each of the samples was calculated from $A_0 = A_0 \exp(-\lambda t)$, with $A_0$ representing the activity at time $t$, and $\lambda$ the decay constant ($=0.693$/half-life). The initial activity per mg of Lu$_2$O$_3$ was then obtained for each of the samples. Thus it was determined that 433, 457, and 459 μCi $^{177}$Lu were obtained per mg of natural lutetium oxide (average = 450) for 20 minutes of irradiation in PT-2 for the 3.0 mg, 2.0 mg, and 1.5 mg samples respectively.

4.2.3 Activation of $^{176}$Lu$_2$O$_3$ – C$_{60}$ Mixtures

We also prepared and irradiated mixtures of 70% enriched $^{176}$Lu$_2$O$_3$ and C$_{60}$. $^{176}$Lu is quite expensive ($172$/mg) and only a few mg were readily available at ORNL. Mini-ball milling could not be used to prepare samples, as either a great percentage of the $^{176}$Lu would end up stuck to the grinding media, or the $^{176}$Lu would be so dilute in the fullerene so as to be disadvantageous during work-up. Therefore, a method was devised, whereupon the $^{176}$Lu oxide was sonicated into a known volume of acetone, which was subsequently divided into two portions. One mg of C$_{60}$ was added to both portions, and they were placed into polyethylene containers suitable for irradiation at ORNL with internal volume ~0.3 mL. The containers were then held in an ultrasonicator bath without lids and sonicated until all the acetone was removed. Since neither C$_{60}$ nor lutetium oxide are soluble in acetone, the sonication is another physical method of mixing. The 70% enriched $^{176}$Lu samples were irradiated for only 2 minutes in PT-2, resulting in initial activities of 110 μCi each.

4.3 Assessment of the Recoil Formation Yield of $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$

At this point, the sample consisted of C$_{60}$, $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$, and Lu$_2$O$_3$ with a variety of Lu isotopes, including $^{177}$Lu. The next issue was to determine the portion of $^{177}$Lu that was encapsulated in C$_{60}$. Since both the
\(^{177}\)Lu@C\(_{60}\) and the Lu\(_2\)O\(_3\) are insoluble, we determined to first subject the entire sample to malonic esterification, as described in Section 3.2.1. As the esterification reaction renders the \(^{177}\)Lu@C\(_{60}\) soluble, it provides a handle to separate the fullerenes from the oxide. Following the esterification reaction, the solids were removed, and the soluble portion was washed exhaustively with 0.12 M HNO\(_3\) containing \(~1 \times 10^{-5}\) M Lu\(^{13}\) ions to remove any trace \(^{177}\)Lu left in solution but outside of the fullerene. At this time, it was discovered that the yields were much less than reported by Braun and Rausch (1998), though there was unquestionably some \(^{177}\)Lu@C\(_{60}\) implanted in the fullerene. Section 4.3.3 discusses why their data cannot possibly have been correct, and points to a group of relatively simple solutions that were partially explored, as reported in Section 4.3.4. We concluded that the recoil implantation method works well, but that the material to be irradiated must be prepared far more carefully than was reported by Braun and Rausch.

### 4.3.1 Results of Malonic Ester Derivatization and Washing

The results of exhaustive washing of the 2.0 mg natural Lu\(_2\)O\(_3\) – C\(_{60}\) sample, derivatized to become an ester, are shown in Figure 19. Clearly, the activity in the aqueous portion falls off rapidly, while the activity in the organic comes to an equilibrium after 6-7 washes. The activity in the fullerene portion levels off at about 3.0 nCi. Back-correcting this number to account for the decays occurring during time that sample was prepared shows that 6.0 nCi were originally present in the fullerene. Since the sample originally contained 450 \(\mu\)Ci, however, the yield (\(^{177}\)Lu basis) is only 0.0013%! This is far lower than we were anticipating, and severely lower than reported by Braun and Rausch in 1998.

![Successive washings of the \(^{177}\)LuC\(_{60}\) malonic ester](image)

**Figure 19:** Washing the bucky ester with an aqueous Lu – nitric acid solution. Error bars are from the uncertainty in counting data. See text for details.
4.3.2 The Fundamental Problem with Recoil Formation of Radiofullerenes From Mixtures With Metal Oxides

The explanation for the apparently low yield from the Lu oxide experiments lies in the lack of proximity between the fullerenes and most Lu atoms. We were trying to mix grains of C_{60} with grains of Lu_{2}O_{3}. A quick calculation demonstrates the problem.

Grains of metal oxides are typically between 1 and 10 microns in diameter. Since no special effort was made to make the Lu_{2}O_{3} grains small, the assumption of a two micron grain is entirely reasonable. However, a heavy nuclide like Lu will only recoil a distance between 0.5 and 1 nm in a solid following a (n,γ) reaction (Lieser, 2001, for example). Again we will take the conservative case of the activated Lu nucleus recoiling 1 nm. Therefore, it is only the atoms on the outside of the grain that have a chance of encountering a fullerene during recoil. Since the atoms inside of the grain are as likely to be activated as those on the outside, however, the portion of the activated nuclei that encounter a fullerene during recoil is only a small percentage of the nuclei that become activated.

Assuming spherical grains of Lu_{2}O_{3} with diameter 2 μm, the portion of the Lu atoms in the outermost 1 nm shell can be calculated as the ratio of the volume of the outer 1 nm shell of the 2 μm sphere to the volume of the 2 μm sphere; i.e., \((1^3 - .999^3)/1^3 = 0.3\%\). Thus, only 0.3% of the Lu atoms could recoil out of an average size oxide grain. However, grains tend to agglomerate, and physical mixing methods can not overcome this tendency. If we assume that 1/3 of the grain’s surface interfaces with a fullerene, then only 0.1% of the Lu atoms even have a chance to encounter a fullerene during recoil.

If only one in a thousand ^{177}Lu atoms even had a chance to become trapped within a fullerene, then our yield calculated from the ratio of ^{177}Lu activity in the fullerene ester to the total ^{177}Lu activity (0.0013%, Section 3.3.3) in the sample does not appear so bad. We conclude that 1.3% of the activated Lu that could have encountered a fullerene became entrapped. Thus, if we could bring the Lu atoms and the fullerenes closer together, mixed on a molecular scale, then the yield could increase a thousand fold, into having a few ^{177}Lu@C_{60} per hundred ^{177}Lu atoms. Such a sample would generate a 10 mCi dose while having only 1 Ci total activity, and the other 990 mCi is readily removed at the outset. Indeed, our result of 1-2% of the neutron-activated ^{177}Lu that had a chance to recoil into the fullerene forming an endohedral fullerene is very encouraging.

Though Braun and Rausch (1998) calculated 3% or greater yields from the same experiment, with the same physical limitations, it is clearly impossible, and we can offer a possible explanation for their error. Following irradiation, they extract the fullerene sample with aniline. The primary amine functionality on aniline readily forms charge-transfer complexes with a wide variety of electron-poor materials, including empty fullerenes, metallofullerenes, and possibly hot lanthanide ions that lost electrons as the gamma ray was emitted. Common chelators for radionuclides (EDTA, DTPA, DOTA, etc.) are after all just amines separated by ethyl groups. Thus they observe a lot of activity in the aniline, even though most of the activity is not in a fullerene, but “chelated” by the aniline amine. They then extract the sample with acid, but there is no cold Lu in the acid. Without cold lanthanides in the wash, there is no exchange between hot and cold lanthanides, and the aniline retains its hold on the hot lanthanide. It is therefore not surprising that they found no hot lanthanide in the acid part of the wash. Whether this is the reason or not, as they may have made other errors impossible to discern without directly observing their experiments, their claim of 3% yield from mechanical mixing of lanthanide oxides and C_{60} turns out to be absolutely impossible. Fortunately, there are ways to fix this fundamental problem, specifically by avoiding oxides and by mixing by chemical instead of physical means.
4.3.3 Avoiding the Fundamental Problem: Preparation and Activation of Non-oxide Lu – C₆₀ Mixtures

We first tried to improve the mixing by co-precipitating C₆₀ and Lu(1,2-pentanedionate)₃ [also known as Lu(acac)₃] from various solvents. Both are soluble in xylene, and a mixture of 2 mg each acac and C₆₀ was stirred into 4 mL xylene. The xylene was then removed under flowing gas down to the last 0.5 mL, which was transferred into a polyethylene activation container. The final xylene was removed with flowing gas, but the result was unsatisfying: the upper wall of the container was coated with a black substance, presumably the C₆₀, while lower part of the container wall was coated with a light brown material, presumably the acac.

Though the solubility differences in xylene were too large for a co-precipitation method to work, perhaps a different soluble would be more suitable. Two mg of both C₆₀ and the acac were then dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF) and stirred. The DMF was then removed under vacuum, although it is very difficult to completely remove the high-boiling DMF. The flask was scraped, and the recovered sludge was placed in a sample container. The sludge was activated in PT-2 for 20 min, and left to cool for a day.

The conversion to ester was the same as described for the oxides, and three washings were performed. Figure 20 shows the activity after various steps of the sample work-up procedure. This sample is remarkable versus the oxides because 66% of the activity in the flask prior to ester derivatization was initially soluble in toluene. For the oxides, 0.1% or less remained in solution following centrifugation. A set of three washings removed an additional 50% of the activity in the flask prior to derivatization, with the final wash not being particularly productive.

Of course, we expect ~99% of the sample activity to be outside of the fullerene under the best possible mixing conditions. Of the 34.6 μCi transferred form the container into the flask, only ~0.35 μCi at most would be expected in the fullerene. Yet after washing, 5.82 μCi remains in solution. Either the acac ligands are able to hold onto the Lu atoms following recoil, or the remaining DMF forms charge transfer complexes with the free Lu ions. If the latter case was true, and radioactive lanthanides cannot be washed out of a charge-transfer complex with a secondary amine (DMF), then Braun and Rausch had no hope of washing radioactive lanthanides from charge transfer complexes with a primary amine (aniline).

It is difficult to assess the recoil implantation efficiency from this technique, without knowing the chemical state of so much of the ¹⁷⁷Lu. HPLC analysis of this sample, described in Section 3.4, would reveal that 0.11% of the injected portion would elute from the column with the fullerenes. Though some of the radiofullerene ester would undoubtedly be lost to active sites on the column, using the 0.11% as a lower bound for the portion of the 5.82 μCi that is contained in the fullerene gives an overall yield of (0.0011 * 5.82 / 34.6) = 0.018%, a tenfold improvement over the oxides.

Though clearly on the right track, the DMF method could still be less than optimum for several reasons. Even though the sludge appears uniform, microcrystals of Lu(acac) and C₆₀ could still form during evaporation, similar to the experience with xylene. It is also possible that the DMF forms charge-transfer
complexes with C\textsubscript{60} and/or Lu\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{60}. Though these ought to be broken by the action of the hydride on the C\textsubscript{60}, it has never been studied. Significantly reducing the esterification reaction yield would appear as a reduction in recoil implantation yield in our calculation, since we are calibrating off of the portion that eluted through the HPLC as an ester. We have by no means exhausted the list of solvents potentially suitable for co-precipitation mixing of C\textsubscript{60} and Lu(acac), and the encouraging result versus the oxide suggests that further work is warranted.

It is possible that the optimum solution was to mix the fullerene with the lutetium at and atomic level, i.e. prepare a Lu\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{60} salt. Fullerene salts of certain lanthanides are known, specifically those with Sm (Chen and Roth, 1995), Eu (Ksari-Habiles et al., 1997), and Yb (Ozdas et al., 1995). These compounds are either superconductors (Sm, Yb) or ferromagnetic (Eu). Given their interesting solid state properties, it is, at first glance, surprising that no other lanthanide salts have been reported. The reason is that, amongst lanthanides, Sm, Eu, and Yb have by far the greatest vapor pressure (Figure 21Figure ) and therefore most prone to undergo solid state reactions. These salts were prepared by simple heating with C\textsubscript{60} at ca. 600 C, with reaction times varying between hours and weeks. All authors report a narrow temperature range through the reaction occurs without decomposing the C\textsubscript{60}. Sadly, Lu has a rather low vapor pressure, and the C\textsubscript{60} would decompose at any temperature when the reaction proceeds at a measurable rate.

Other fulleride salts have been prepared with Group I and II metals, since they also have high vapor pressure (relative to other metals). These have also found to be superconducting, sparking a flurry in interest in their preparation. Electrochemical methods are prone to contamination by counter ions, which usually contain halides, which are forbidden from the HFIR’s pneumatic tubes. However, Chen et al. (1997) offer an interesting preparation of Rb\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{60} at room temperature. The authors mixed C\textsubscript{60} powder and Rb powder in a flask with propylamine as the sole liquid. The propylamine readily forms charge transfer complexes with the C\textsubscript{60}, and a tell-tale green solution. This complex is subject to reduction by the Rb, forming an Rb-C\textsubscript{60} salts which precipitates. The amine is then removed under vacuum.

Although Lu is \textasciitilde 0.6 V less powerful a reducing agent than Rb, we thought to try this reaction. In the dry box, 36 mg C\textsubscript{60} and 8 mg Lu (40 mesh) were added to a 3-neck 50mL flask. The flask was removed to a Schlenk line, to which 25 mL of degassed propylamine was added, and the reaction stirred vigorously. We also thought to try a tertiary amine, which might be easier to remove. To that end, C\textsubscript{60} and Lu were added to DBU in the dry box. Both reactions took on a green color within hours. After several days, metallic Lu could still be seen at the bottom of both flasks. However, the propylamine reaction had lost its green color, becoming brownish-red, as predicted by Chen et al. The DBU reaction remained green, and was not pursued further.

The propylamine was removed under vacuum, and the recovered solids were split in two to create two samples. They were both irradiated for 20 min in PT-2. After several days’ worth of cooling off, their gamma ray spectrum was similarly clean as that in Figure 22Figure . Addition of the THF/NaH slurry, however, resulted in a green solution, some of which was set aside. The THF of the set aside portion was removed, and xylene was added, creating a brown solution reminiscent of the ester. Washing of the THF portion caused a precipitate, while washing the xylene was rather slow, but ultimately resulted in complete loss of activity from the organic. In summary, the presence of leftover propylamine, with
unclear charge states on the fullerenes, caused the esterification reaction to veer off, at least partially, into unknown products.

Probably the optimum method to prepare $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ is to mix isotopically enriched $^{176}$Yb with C$_{60}$, heat to 600 C to form the Yb$_3$:C$_{60}$ salt (after Ozdas et al., 1995), and activate that salt. The reaction $^{176}$Yb(n,$\gamma$)$^{177}$Yb($\beta$,$\gamma$)$^{177}$Lu is in fact the common process to make $^{177}$Lu. $^{177}$Yb has a half-life of only 1.9 h, so high $^{177}$Lu radionuclidic purity is readily obtained. The issue however, is that the neutron cross section of $^{176}$Yb is only 2.4 b, requiring a fairly long irradiation time (or higher neutron flux) to acquire much activity.

To summarize this section, radionuclides can be implanted in C$_{60}$ by recoil following neutron activation and derivatized via multiple malonic ester addition reactions to become soluble in a wide variety of organic solvents. However, the implantation occurred at rather low yields, a result due entirely to the preparation of the cold material. Unless the cold atoms to be activated are within a few nanometers of a fullerene cage, there is essentially no chance for them to be encapsulated via recoil. Physical mixing of oxides and fullerenes, despite a report in the literature of high yields, allows only extremely poor encapsulation efficiencies (~0.001%). Fortunately, the fundamental mixing problem is solvable, and probably solvable by a variety of methods, including co-precipitation of C$_{60}$ and organometallics, formation of metal-C$_{60}$ salts, and co-deposition of C$_{60}$ and organometallic vapors on a substrate under vacuum. We have already observed a 10-fold increase in encapsulation efficiency by the most rudimentary of these techniques (co-precipitation), and believe that an efficiency of >1% would be rapidly attainable with continued effort.

4.4. HPLC Separation of $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ Bingel Ester from Cold C$_{60}$ Bingel Esters

Although the malonic ester derivative of the $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ is now in hand, it is but a tiny portion of all the fullerene esters in solution. It must be purified before it is suitable for conjugation to an antibody (Ab). Unlabeled mAbs or mAbs that are chelated with non-radioactive atoms are essentially “shooting blanks”. The final radiofullerene complex (before conjugation to the mAb) should contain at least 10% radioactive atoms to be competitive with current radiotherapy techniques. However, this is only a guide; lower specific activity may be satisfactory depending on the specific therapy and advantage gained by using the fullerene. Therefore, we needed to demonstrate that the radiofullerenes could be separated from the cold fraction.

We had originally considered exhaustive washing of the activated fullerene material prior to derivatization. Since Ln@C$_{60}$ (Ln = lanthanide) is insoluble in aromatic solvents such as xylene, while empty C$_{60}$ is soluble, there appears to be the basis for a separation. Additional thought, however, suggests that the tiny percentage of Ln@C$_{60}$ would more than likely be merely carried along in the solvent, perhaps in fullerene micelles. There are no regions of Ln@C$_{60}$; no nanocrystals from which the surrounding material can be washed away. The Ln@C$_{60}$ is intermolecularly mixed with the empty C$_{60}$. The odds are strongly against the solvent selectively removing all fullerenes round the endohedral one, while letting the endohedral fullerene settle to the bottom of the flask.

Fortunately, the chemical differences between empty and lanthanide-filled fullerenes manifest themselves in the number of malonic ester groups that add to the exterior of fullerene cage. In the reaction conditions employed here, empty fullerenes add a mixture of 4, 5, or 6 malonic ester groups. It is known that adding a lanthanide atom to C$_{60}$ increases the number of malonic ester ligands to 10 when subjected to the same conditions (Bolskar et al., 2003). The varying number of malonic esters on the fullerene shell provides a means to chromatographically separate the endohedral fullerene esters from the empty fullerene esters.
Our approach to this problem was to search for a chromatographic method suitable for the separation of the 4, 5, and 6 esters, reasoning that such a method would also be sufficiently powerful to separate the 10 ester endohedral fullerene from the others. We initially predicted that a mobile phase of methanol with a little xylene in it over a reverse phase (C18) column would provide the necessary separation. While it provided separation into two distinct peaks, which were collected as fractions, the TOF-MS analysis of the fractions revealed that the esters had each lost 155 mass units. TOF-MS analysis of the ester dissolved in methanol (it is very slightly soluble) and filtered did not display such action, and neither the rationale for nor the identity of the fragment have been determined. In any case, a different method would be required.

While using hexane to cut the toluene did not slow the esters from rushing straight over the C18 column, a one-to-one mixture provided both retention and separation on our semi-prep BuckyPrep column. Moreover, TOF-MS of the collected fractions was able to identify each of the three peaks as corresponding to one of the esters. Their elution order was 4, 5, and then 6. Using the photodiode array detector (PDA) at ORNL, the uv-vis spectrum of each of the esters was observed as it eluted. The spectra, shown in Figure 22, have distinctive features that allow us to identify them without further TOF-MS analysis. That the features exist perhaps suggest that, as the C₆₀ becomes crowded, not so many isomers of a particular composition are possible.

Figure 22: Left: Absorbance at 310 nm of a mixture of empty C₆₀ with 4, 5, and 6 malonic esters in toluene chromatographed on a semi-prep BuckyPrep column with 3 mL/min each toluene and hexane. Right: UV – vis absorbance at times corresponding to the vertical lines of the same color in the chromatogram, i.e. the double-humped dark blue spectrum elutes after the single-humped light blue, which is after the red with the absorbance in the visible. The two spectra with little absorbance are the last two vertical lines in the chromatogram.
Predicting that the 10-ester Lu@C_{60} would elute far later than the empty fullerenes with 4, 5, or 6 esters, we injected the mixture of hot and cold malonic ester derivatives using a flow of 3 mL/min each toluene and hexane over the BuckyPrep column. We collected fractions every 30 seconds starting from injection, and counted the fractions individually on the Wallac Wizard.

The results of the toluene/hexane hot ester separation are given in Figure 23. In an effort to increase the counts, we saturated the detector in the uv with cold ester, making it appear that we failed to achieve separation between the 4, 5, and 6 esters. Nevertheless, spectra taken at various times within the large unresolved peak in Figure 23 show similar uv-vis as those in Figure 22. The plot of activity in each fraction, however, is hard to completely understand. The little bit of activity eluting prior to 2 minutes is indicative of a small amount of the $^{177}$Lu@C_{60} rushing through with the pure toluene it was injected in, the so-called “injection peak.” This is to be expected. The large amount of activity eluting between 2.5 and 3.5 min is very difficult to understand in the context of the empty fullerene ester HPLC work, and the cold metallofullerene ester TOF-MS work. That some $^{177}$Lu@C_{60} ester would elute with the empty fullerene esters between 4 and 5.5 min is perhaps also reasonable if the empty fullerene esters solvate, to some extent, the hot fullerene ester. Lastly, some activity seems to dribble off the column from six minutes on. Satisfyingly, over 40% of the injected activity eluted. We were concerned that active sites within the column would cause the tiny amount of hot fullerene ester to retain on the column, since its different chemistry precludes the empty fullerenes from acting as true carriers. So it was very encouraging that a large portion of the $^{177}$Lu was briefly retained on the column, and then eluted, more or less like the fullerene ester in which we expect it to be irreversibly encapsulated. Arguments that the $^{177}$Lu is not really in the fullerene (if any could be left after the washing exercise) are dispelled by this result. However, it also appeared that a different chromatographic method would be required to effectively separate and collect the $^{177}$Lu@C_{60}.

Since the BuckyPrep stationary phase has excellent selectivity for the fullerene, we reasoned that the mobile phase should be directed towards the malonic ethyl esters. Thus, we selected ethylacetate for the mobile phase. An injection of cold esters into pure ethyl acetate produced a trio of partially resolved peaks, as well as a leading peak that absorbs moderately in the uv, and in invisible in the visible spectral region. The chromatogram and the uv-vis of the major peaks in the chromatogram are shown in Figure 24. Interestingly, in comparison to the spectra obtained with toluene/hexane (Figure 22), the elution order of the esters is reversed. With ethylacetate as the mobile phase, fullerenes with six malonic esters, as identified by uv-vis and TOF-MS, elute first. The fullerenes with five malonic esters are still in the middle, and those with 4 elute last. Thus, in ethylacetate, we expect the Lu metallofullerenes to be first off of the column.

The merits of the ethylacetate-BuckyPrep chromatographic method were initially assessed with the ester formed from neutron activation of Lu(acac) / C_{60} mixtures in DMF (Section 4.3.3). As can be seen in Figure 25, the activity eluted slightly before the empty fullerene peaks, exactly as expected. Obviously, some tailing under or co-solvation with the empty fullerenes occurs, but further development of the this method can be expected to solve these problems. This result was later repeated with $^{177}$Lu@C_{60} esters.
Figure 23: Chromatogram of $^{176}$Lu oxide + C$_{60}$ mixture, derivatized by the Bingel procedure, loaded onto the BuckyPrep column, and eluted with 3 ml/min toluene + 3 ml/min hexane. Top: uv absorbance @ 310nm. Bottom: $^{177}$Lu activity in fractions collected every 30 seconds from injection.
Figure 24: Left: Absorbance at 310 nm of a mixture of empty C$_{60}$ with 4, 5, and 6 malonic esters in toluene chromatographed on a semi-prep BuckyPrep column with 6 mL/min ethylacetate. Right: UV–vis absorbance at times corresponding to the vertical lines of the same color in the chromatogram, i.e. the double-humped red spectrum elutes before the weak single-humped dark blue, which is before the light blue with the absorbance in the visible (470 nm).
4.5 Summary of $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ Studies

The primary achievement of this part of the project was that incontrovertible evidence for the production of $^{177}$Lu@C$_{60}$ via recoil implantation following neutron activation was obtained. We also established a rapid protocol for the chemical derivatization of the hot endohedral fullerene, leading to a robust, non-aggregating water-soluble radiofullerene derivative. Furthermore, we developed a chromatographic technique suitable for its fast, convenient purification from cold, derivatized fullerenes. The chromatography will allow for arbitrarily high specific activity of $^{177}$Lu-containing fullerenes to be obtained, limited only by the time between activation and separation. Although the (neutron capture) recoil implantation yield was not as high as originally expected, the reason for the discrepancy is understood, and solutions have been identified. In summary, we have demonstrated the promise of the recoil implantation technique for producing radiofullerenes suitable for pharmaceutical development, met only readily surmountable hurdles, and remain enthusiastic about the continued development of the technique.

Figure 25: Chromatogram of Lu(acac) - C$_{60}$ mixture, coprecipitated from DMF, activated, derivatized by the Bingel procedure, loaded onto the BuckyPrep column, and eluted with 4 mL/min ethyl acetate + 2 mL/min hexane. Top: uv absorbance @ 310nm. Bottom: $^{177}$Lu activity in fractions collected every 30 seconds from injection.
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