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I. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to develop dense ceramic membranes for separating hydrogen from other gaseous components in a nongalvanic mode, i.e., without using an external power supply or electrical circuitry.

II. HIGHLIGHTS

1. Hydrogen flux measurements showed that ANL-3e membranes are stable:

   (i) during short-term (≃1 h) exposures to feed gas at 600 and 900°C with partial pressure of steam (pH₂O) in the range 0.03-0.49 atm;

   (ii) for >150 h in tests with feed gas composed of 50% H₂/2% H₂O/balance He at 500-800°C; and

   (iii) during short (≃100 h) exposures at 600-700°C in feed gas that contained 52.7% H₂/20.6% H₂O/10.1% CO/8.0% CO₂/0.6% CH₄/balance He.

2. The location of the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary was determined in tests using feed gas that contained 10% H₂ and 8-50 ppm H₂S.

3. The hydrogen flux was measured through a disk-shaped ANL-3e sample in tests using Argonne's high-pressure reactor with feed gas of 90% H₂/balance He at temperatures up to 900°C and pressures up to 300 psig.

4. Methods for fabricating tubular hydrogen separation membranes were developed during FY 2007. The tubes contain a dense film of an ANL-3 type membrane on a porous alumina support.

5. Permeability values for a tube made with Pd (60 vol.%)/Y₂O₃-stabilized ZrO₂ were close to the expected values, based on permeability values found in the literature for palladium and the volume fraction of palladium in the membrane.
III. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this project is to develop dense hydrogen transport membranes (HTMs) that nongalvanically (i.e., without electrodes or external power supply) separate hydrogen from gas mixtures at commercially significant fluxes under industrially relevant operating conditions. HTMs will be used to separate hydrogen from gas mixtures such as the product streams from coal gasification, methane partial oxidation, and water-gas shift reactions. Potential ancillary uses of HTMs include dehydrogenation and olefin production, as well as hydrogen recovery in petroleum refineries and ammonia synthesis plants, the largest current users of deliberately produced hydrogen. This report describes progress that was made during FY 2007 on the development of HTM materials.

Our materials development for the HTM follows a three-pronged approach. In one approach, we utilize principles of solid-state defect chemistry to properly dope selected monolithic electronic/protonic conductors (perovskites doped on both A- and B-sites) to obtain materials that are chemically stable and have suitable protonic and electronic conductivities. The second approach uses cermet (i.e., ceramic/metal composite) membranes that are prepared by homogeneously mixing electronic/protonic conductors with a metallic component. The metal phase in these cermets enhances the hydrogen permeability of the ceramic phase by increasing the electronic conductivity and by providing an additional transport path for the hydrogen if the metal has high hydrogen permeability. In our third approach, we disperse a metal with high hydrogen permeability (called a “hydrogen transport metal”) in a support matrix composed of either a ceramic or a metal. In these composites, hydrogen is transported almost exclusively through the hydrogen transport metal, and the matrix serves primarily as a chemically stable structural support. Our focus during FY 2007 was on the development of HTMs in which a ceramic phase provided structural support for a hydrogen transport metal. In particular, we focused on ANL-3e membranes (composed of Pd mixed with Y₂O₃-stabilized ZrO₂), which have given the highest hydrogen flux to date for membranes made at Argonne (≈22 and ≈32 cm³/min·cm² at 500 and 900°C, respectively). Various membranes developed at Argonne are summarized elsewhere. [1]

Good chemical stability is a critical requirement for HTMs due to the corrosive nature of product streams from coal gasification and/or methane reforming. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is a particularly corrosive contaminant that HTMs are expected to encounter. When H₂S reacts with the ANL-3e membrane, palladium sulfide (Pd₄S) forms on the surface of the membrane. Because Pd₄S impedes hydrogen permeation through the membrane, the chemical stability of ANL-3e membranes was evaluated by determining the conditions under which Pd₄S forms. The Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary was determined in the temperature range 450-650°C in tests using various feed gases that contained 10-73% H₂ and 8-400 ppm H₂S. We also began to assess the effect of syngas components on the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary by locating the phase boundary in feed gas that contained 73% H₂/0.497% CH₄/6.188% CO₂/7.845% CO/400 ppm H₂S/balance He. To assess the effect of steam on hydrogen permeation through ANL-3e membranes, we
tested the chemical stability of an ANL-3e membrane in the presence of steam by measuring its hydrogen flux in feed gas that contained 0.03-0.49 atm H₂O.

Besides chemical stability in corrosive environments, practical HTMs must have good mechanical integrity at high temperature (≈900°C) and high pressure (≈350 psi). Although the performance of Argonne HTMs has been evaluated in high-pressure tests at the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) [1], a reactor was constructed at Argonne to expand the capability for measuring hydrogen flux at high pressures. Argonne's reactor allows membranes and seals to be tested at temperatures up to 900°C and pressures up to 300 psig. Using this reactor, we measured the hydrogen flux of disk-shaped ANL-3e samples in feed gas that contained either 4% H₂ or 90% H₂ at temperatures up to 900°C and pressures up to ≈300 psig. Results from these measurements are included in this report. To aid in the development of HTMs that meet the requirements described above, the following milestones were established in the Field Work Proposal for FY 2007:

1. Determine stability of membrane in steam-containing atmosphere.
2. Determine the Pd/Pd₃S phase boundary at low H₂ concentrations.
3. Test membrane in high-pressure feed streams with higher H₂ concentration.
4. Fabricate and evaluate tubular membrane.

All experimental milestones for FY 2007 were met using membranes that were developed at Argonne. In addition to meeting these milestones, we continued developing new membrane materials and fabrication methods to enhance the hydrogen flux of HTMs.

IV. RESULTS

Results obtained during FY 2007 are presented below in relation to the pertinent milestone. After discussing the work related to the milestones, work that was done outside the scope of the milestones is described.


Because HTMs will encounter steam during operation, we studied the effect of water vapor on the hydrogen flux of an ANL-3e membrane (Pd/TZ-3Y, i.e., 50 vol.% Pd mixed with Y₂O₃-stabilized ZrO₂) with a thickness of 0.22 mm. The disk-shaped membrane was sintered at 1480°C for 10 h in air, and then both faces were polished with 600-grit SiC paper. The hydrogen flux was measured at 600 and 900°C in tests using a sweep gas of ultra high purity (UHP) N₂ flowing at a constant rate of 150 cm³/min. Feed gas with a pH₂O in the range 0.03-0.49 atm was obtained by bubbling mixtures of H₂ and He through a water bath (Fisher Scientific EX-35D1) at a temperature of 25-81°C. The H₂ partial pressure in the feed gas was kept constant (0.5 atm) by using mass flow controllers to adjust the flow rates of H₂ and He.
Figure 1 shows the hydrogen flux versus pH\textsubscript{2}O in the feed gas at 600 and 900°C. Each point for moist feed (i.e., 0.03, 0.07, 0.18, and 0.49 atm H\textsubscript{2}O) is the average of three or four separate measurements that were made over a period of 0.5-1.0 h, during which time the hydrogen flux showed no significant change. Although 0.5-1.0 h is a relatively brief period, it is expected that an ongoing reaction of the membrane would cause a discernible change in the flux. Before each measurement in a moist condition, the flux was measured in dry feed gas to confirm that the previous exposure to moisture had not irreversibly changed the membrane. The points for dry feed gas are the average of all flux values that were measured before and after each exposure to moisture at 600 and 900°C. The "dry" flux values at both temperatures showed good reproducibility. The reproducibility of flux values measured in dry gas and the steadiness of values measured in "moist" conditions suggest that the membrane is stable during short exposures to moisture (up to 0.49 atm H\textsubscript{2}O). Longer-term stability was tested at 500, 700, and 800°C using an ANL-3e membrane (thickness = 0.15 mm) in feed gas that was composed of 50% H\textsubscript{2}/2% H\textsubscript{2}O/balance He. Figure 2 shows that the flux was stable for >150 h at each of these temperatures.

**Fig. 1** Water-vapor pressure dependence of H\textsubscript{2} flux at 600 and 900°C for ANL-3e membrane (=0.22-mm thick).
The hydrogen flux was independent of p$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ in feed gas at 600°C (Fig. 1) but showed a slight systematic decrease versus p$_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ in feed gas at 900°C. The decrease at 900°C is probably not due to water vapor creating a barrier to H$_2$ absorption by occupying absorption sites, because a similar decrease would be evident at 600°C if this were the case. Oxygen diffusion through TZ-3Y, a component of the membrane and a known oxygen ion conductor, might explain the decrease in hydrogen flux at 900°C. Oxygen that is produced by water dissociation in the feed gas could permeate to the sweep side of the membrane, where it would react with permeated hydrogen. In addition, the diffusion of oxygen ions through TZ-3Y would be coupled with the diffusion of electrons through Pd, which might impede the permeation of hydrogen. Due to the thermally activated nature of oxygen permeation, these effects would be expected to influence the hydrogen flux more at 900°C than at 600°C.

After testing ANL-3e membranes in feed gas containing just hydrogen and steam, their stability was tested with a feed gas whose composition resembled "real-life" more closely. Figure 3 plots the hydrogen flux at 600-900°C for an $\approx$0.27-mm-thick ANL-3e membrane in tests using simulated "syngas" as the feed gas. The composition of the feed gas was 52.7% H$_2$/20.6% H$_2$O/10.1% CO/8.0% CO$_2$/0.6% CH$_4$/balance He. The initial values were measured in dry syngas, and then moisture was added by bubbling the syngas through water at a temperature of $\approx$61°C. When moisture was added at 600 and
700°C, the flux initially increased slightly and then remained stable for >100 h. There was also a slight initial increase in the flux during tests at 800 and 900°C, but the flux decreased very slightly over longer times. Longer-term (100-1000 h) exposures at 800 and 900°C are needed to determine whether the flux continues to decrease or reaches a constant value. Calculation of the equilibrium gas compositions (Fig. 4) suggests that the initial increase in flux at each temperature resulted from an increase in hydrogen concentration in the feed gas. The fact that the flux remained stable at 600-700°C after an initial small increase indicates that the membrane is stable under these conditions. Longer-term studies will be necessary to evaluate the long-term stability at 800-900°C.

![Graph of hydrogen flux vs time](image)

**Fig. 3** Time dependence of hydrogen flux for 0.27-mm-thick ANL-3e membrane at 600-900°C using feed gas initially composed of dry 52.7% H₂/10.1% CO/8.0% CO₂/0.6% CH₄/balance He and then 52.7% H₂/20.6% H₂O/10.1% CO/8.0% CO₂/0.6% CH₄/balance He.

In another experiment, the hydrogen flux of an ANL-3e membrane was measured at 400-900°C in tests using a feed gas composed of ≈50% CO/balance H₂O. In this experiment, feed gas was produced by bubbling 100% carbon monoxide through a water bath at ≈81°C, and hydrogen was produced via the water-gas shift reaction:

\[
\text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \tag{1}
\]

The hydrogen concentration was measured on both the feed and the sweep side of the membrane. Figure 5 plots the hydrogen flux through the membrane, and Fig. 6 shows the total hydrogen production rate (i.e., on both the feed and sweep sides of the membrane).
The hydrogen flux was low, because the membrane was relatively thick (0.27 mm) and the hydrogen concentration in the feed gas was low (<0.1% at 400°C and <3% at 900°C). The large difference between the measured and calculated equilibrium values for the total hydrogen production rate (Fig. 6) indicates that the reaction conditions were far from optimum. To increase the hydrogen production rate, the membrane thickness must be decreased and the residence time of the feed gas should be increased; nevertheless, these preliminary results indicate that the ANL-3e membrane functions as expected under conditions for the water-gas shift reaction.

Fig. 4 Calculated equilibrium composition for (a) dry gas containing 52.7% $\text{H}_2$/10.1% CO/8.0% $\text{CO}_2$/0.6% CH$_4$/balance He and (b) humidified gas containing 52.7% $\text{H}_2$/20.6% $\text{H}_2$O/10.1% CO/8.0% $\text{CO}_2$/0.6% CH$_4$/balance He.
Fig. 5  
Hydrogen flux through 0.27-mm-thick ANL-3e membrane using 50% CO/balance H₂O as feed gas.

Fig. 6  
Total hydrogen production rate (i.e., sum of production rate on feed and sweep side) of 0.27-mm-thick ANL-3e membrane using 50% CO/balance H₂O as feed gas. HSC software was used to obtain the calculated (equilibrium) values.
Milestone 2. Determine the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary at low H₂ concentrations.

Previous work [1] identified the position of the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary in gases that contained 73% H₂. Because the H₂ concentration affects the position of the phase boundary [1], we determined in FY 2007 the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary in tests using feed gas with 10% H₂. For these tests, we mixed TZ-3Y (i.e., ZrO₂ partially stabilized with Y₂O₃) powder from Tosoh Ceramics with Pd powder (50 vol.%) from Technic, Inc. ANL-3e membrane samples were made by uniaxially pressing the Pd/TZ-3Y mixture into disks, and then sintering the disks at 1400-1500°C for 5-10 h in ambient air.

The Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary was determined in a feed gas mixture composed of 10% H₂/8-50 ppm H₂S/balance He. Mass flow controllers (MKS 1179A) were used to blend appropriate gases. The total flow rate of the mixture was 200 cm³/min, and the chamber holding the sample had a volume of ≈2600 cm³; therefore, a volume of gas equivalent to the volume of the sample chamber flowed in ≈13 min, and the gas composition in the sample chamber equaled the expected composition within ≈1 h of starting the flow of the gas mixture.

The ANL-3e samples were equilibrated for 48 h at selected temperatures in feed gas composed of 10% H₂/8-50 ppm H₂S/balance He. After each equilibration, the surface of the sample and a polished cross section were examined with a JEOL 5400 scanning electron microscope (SEM) to determine whether Pd₄S had formed. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) for chemical analysis of microstructural features was done with a Voyager system (Thermo Electron). Equilibrations were done by heating at 180°C/h in flowing He and then switching to a feed gas of 10% H₂/8-50 ppm H₂S/balance He after the sample reached the selected temperature. When the sample had been held for 48 h at the selected temperature, the feed gas was switched back to He, the sample was held for an additional 6 h, and then it was cooled in flowing He at a rate of 180°C/h.

Figure 7 shows the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary that was determined by experiments at Argonne and by calculation using thermodynamic data for the Pd-S system [2] and the H₂/S/H₂S equilibrium [3]. Each calculated point is a temperature at which Pd and Pd₄S are in equilibrium for given H₂ and H₂S concentrations; at higher temperature, Pd is stable and Pd₄S unstable, whereas Pd₄S is stable and Pd unstable at lower temperature. Points were calculated for gas with 73% H₂ and with 10% H₂ to illustrate the effect of hydrogen concentration on the phase boundary (through the H₂/S/H₂S equilibrium). Points with bars were determined at Argonne by examining ANL-3e samples after they were equilibrated in H₂/H₂S mixtures [1], and include new results that were obtained in tests using feed gas with 10% H₂/8-50 ppm H₂S/balance He. The low-temperature end of the bar represents the highest temperature at which Pd₄S was found after an equilibration; the high-temperature end gives the lowest temperature at which Pd was stable; the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary lies somewhere between these two temperatures. For example, the phase boundary for ANL-3e membranes exposed to 10% H₂/8 ppm H₂S/balance He lies in the range 450-475°C.
Fig. 7  Partial pressure for H$_2$S vs. temperature illustrating Pd/Pd$_4$S phase boundary for gases containing 73% and 10% H$_2$. Calculated using data from literature [2, 3] or determined at Argonne by equilibrating samples at various temperatures and then examining for evidence of reaction.

The Argonne data for the Pd/Pd$_4$S phase boundary (Fig. 7) show that the ANL-3e membranes are stable in feed gas of 10% H$_2$/50 ppm H$_2$S/balance He at temperatures above $\approx$625°C. At lower temperatures, Pd$_4$S forms on the surface of the membrane and impedes hydrogen permeation. Formation of Pd$_4$S would not necessarily render the membrane useless, however, if the Pd$_4$S layer is not too thick and its permeability is not too low. In addition, we showed earlier that membranes can in some cases be regenerated after they react with H$_2$S [1]. While the phase boundary lies at $\approx$625°C for feed gas with 10% H$_2$ and 50 ppm H$_2$S, it shifts to significantly lower temperature ($\approx$450°C) in feed gas with the same H$_2$S concentration but with 73% H$_2$, because the phase boundary depends on the H$_2$ as well as the H$_2$S concentration.

For both 10% and 73% H$_2$, the phase boundary determined at Argonne is at lower temperature than the boundary calculated from thermodynamic data [2, 3]. Considering that the thermodynamic data for the calculated boundary were extrapolated from higher temperatures ($\approx$675-780°C), the discrepancy in the results is not large. Based on this small difference, we speculated [4] that the ceramic matrix might stabilize Pd slightly against reaction with H$_2$S in the way that acidic catalyst supports increase the sulfur.
tolerance of Pd-Pt catalysts [5, 6]. To test this hypothesis, we included Pd foil along with ANL-3e samples during equilibrations at 500-600°C in 10% H₂/27 ppm H₂S/balance He and at 600-650°C in 10% H₂/50 ppm H₂S/balance He. In all cases, the results were identical for foil and membrane samples, indicating that the ceramic matrix does not stabilize Pd under these conditions.

To extract thermodynamic parameters from our phase boundary data, we considered the following reactions:

\[ 4 \text{Pd} + 1/2 \text{S}_2 = \text{Pd}_4\text{S} \quad (2) \]
\[ \text{H}_2\text{S} = \text{H}_2 + 1/2 \text{S}_2 \quad (3) \]
\[ 4 \text{Pd} + \text{H}_2\text{S} = \text{Pd}_4\text{S} + \text{H}_2 \quad (4) \]

Using the reactions in Eqns. 2-4, we derived the following relationship between \( K_2 \), the equilibrium constant for reaction (2), and the values of temperature, \( \text{pH}_2 \), and \( \text{pH}_2\text{S} \) for points on the phase boundary:

\[-RT \ln K_2 = -RT \ln (\text{pH}_2/\text{pH}_2\text{S}) - \Delta G^\circ_3\]

where \( \text{pH}_2 \) and \( \text{pH}_2\text{S} \) are the partial pressures given by the feed gas composition; \( \Delta G^\circ_3 \), the standard free energy of formation for reaction (3), is taken from Knacke et al. [3]; and the temperature \( T \) is taken from Fig. 7. Using Eqn. (5), we plot \( \ln K_2 \) vs. inverse temperature (Fig. 8), which can be used to predict the phase boundary versus temperature and feed gas composition (\( \text{pH}_2/\text{pH}_2\text{S} \)).

\[ y = -6.1338 + 1.7933x \quad R = 0.99409 \]

**Fig. 8** Equilibrium constant for reaction (2), calculated using Eqn. (5) and experimental data (i.e., bars in Fig. 7) determined at Argonne.
Because Fig. 7 gives a range of temperatures in which the phase boundary lies for a given feed gas composition, rather than a specific temperature, Fig. 8 includes the low- and high-temperature ends of the bars from Fig. 7. Figure 9 shows phase boundaries that were calculated for feed gas with 73% and 10% H$_2$ along with experimental points that were taken from Fig. 7 for the same feed gas compositions. Using Fig. 8, we calculated the standard free energy of formation for reaction (2), $\Delta G^\circ_2$, and compared our values to those reported by Taylor [2]. As seen in Table 1, the difference in $\Delta G^\circ_2$ values is not large, Argonne's values differing from Taylor's by only $\approx$5-10%. Nevertheless, the difference is large enough to account for the shift in the phase boundary seen in Fig. 7.

![Temperature vs. pH$_2$S diagram](image)

*Fig. 9 Partial pressure for H$_2$S vs. temperature illustrating Pd/Pd$_4$S phase boundary for gases containing 73% and 10% H$_2$. Lines were calculated using fit of data in Fig. 8; bars are reproduced from Fig. 7 and represent Argonne experimental data.*
Table 1  Free energy of formation for Pd₄S (reaction 2) calculated from Argonne's data (Fig. 7) and from Taylor (Ref. 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feed Gas</th>
<th>Phase Boundary (°C)</th>
<th>ΔG°(Argonne) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>ΔG°(Taylor) (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T(Low) = 625</td>
<td>-102</td>
<td>-109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 650</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 650</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 575</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 575</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(Low) = 450</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 500</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td>-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(Low) = 600</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 625</td>
<td>-103</td>
<td>-109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 575</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(Low) = 550</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 575</td>
<td>-107</td>
<td>-113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(Low) = 450</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T(High) = 475</td>
<td>-112</td>
<td>-121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Milestone 3. Test membrane in high-pressure feed streams with higher H₂ concentration.

Using Argonne's high-pressure reactor, we measured the hydrogen flux through a disk-shaped ANL-3e sample at temperatures up to 900°C and pressures up to 280 psig using a feed gas of 4% H₂/balance He [1]. This report presents results obtained during FY 2007 at Argonne in tests using a feed gas of 90% H₂/balance He at temperatures up to 900°C and pressures up to 300 psig.

The hydrogen flux through an ANL-3e membrane was measured for a disk-shaped sample (∼0.86-mm thick x ∼20-mm diameter) that was made by uniaxially hot-pressing a Pd/TZ-3Y powder mixture at 1250°C for 25 min. The powder mixture for the membrane was prepared by mixing TZ-3Y (i.e., ZrO₂ partially stabilized with Y₂O₃) powder from Tosoh Ceramics with Pd powder (50 vol.%) from Technic, Inc.

Both sides of the sample were polished with 600-grit SiC polishing paper, and the sample was sealed between two high-strength Haynes HR-160 tubes by means of graphite gaskets in a VCR-type fitting.

The feed gas composition was 90% H₂/balance He, and the sweep gas composition was 100 ppm H₂/balance N₂. The hydrogen flux was measured at 500°C in ∼75-psi increments up to a total pressure of ∼300 psig in both the feed and sweep gases. While maintaining the total pressure at ∼300 psig, we measured the flux in ∼100°C increments up to ∼900°C. Shortly after the sample reached 900°C and 300 psig, the seal on the feed side of the sample began to leak; therefore, all subsequent measurements had to be made at lower pressures (<100 psig).
For each reported value of hydrogen flux, the hydrogen and helium concentrations in the sweep stream were measured at least four times by using a Hewlett-Packard 6890 gas chromatograph. While measurements were being made, the total pressures in the feed and sweep streams differed by <5 psi. To calculate the hydrogen flux, the measured H$_2$ concentration in the sweep stream was corrected for the initial H$_2$ concentration in the sweep stream (100 ppm) and for leakage (based on the measured helium concentration).

Figure 10 plots hydrogen flux values that were measured at 500-900°C versus $\Delta$H$_2^{1/2}$, which is defined in terms of the partial pressures of hydrogen on the feed and sweep sides of the membrane:

$$\Delta$H$_2^{1/2} = \sqrt{p_{H_2}(\text{feed})} - \sqrt{p_{H_2}(\text{sweep})}$$

Argonne's recent data are shown by solid symbols, whereas data collected previously at NETL [1] are shown by open symbols. As expected for hydrogen diffusion through a metal, the flux at each temperature varies linearly with $\Delta$H$_2^{1/2}$.

![Graph showing hydrogen flux vs. $\Delta$H$_2^{1/2}$](image)

*Fig. 10* Hydrogen flux vs. $\Delta$H$_2^{1/2}$ (defined in text) for ANL-3 membranes tested in high-pressure reactors at Argonne and NETL. All values are normalized for a membrane thickness of 20 µm.

The slope increases as temperature increases because it is directly related to the membrane's thermally activated hydrogen permeability. The maximum value of $\Delta$H$_2^{1/2}$ decreases as temperature increases (e.g., 4.0 at 490°C vs. 3.7 at 890°C), because pH$_2$(sweep) increases as the hydrogen flux increases, while pH$_2$(feed) is fixed by the maximum allowable pressure for the experiment (300 psig). Flux values from Argonne and NETL agree in general, but Argonne's values are shifted $\approx$25°C relative to NETL's values (e.g., Argonne's values at 490°C agree well with NETL's values at 465°C).
Figure 11 compares hydrogen flux values measured in Argonne’s high-pressure reactor, Argonne’s ambient-pressure reactor [7], and NETL’s high-pressure facility [7]. The hydrogen partial pressure was varied in the ambient-pressure reactor by changing the composition of the feed gas while maintaining a total pressure of 15 psi (1 atm), whereas it was varied in the high-pressure reactors at Argonne and NETL by changing the total pressure while fixing the composition of the feed gas at 90% H\textsubscript{2}/balance He. In Fig. 11, all flux values measured in the high-pressure reactors are normalized for a membrane sample thickness of 20 µm. The dashed lines show linear fits of the data measured at ambient pressure and temperatures of 600°C and 900°C, and are provided mainly as guides to the eye. The values measured in Argonne’s high-pressure reactor are consistent with those measured previously at Argonne at ambient pressure and at NETL at high pressure. This agreement suggests that the values obtained with Argonne's high-pressure reactor are reasonable.

The high-pressure reactor will be used in several future investigations. Measurements made at NETL [1] indicated that the measured hydrogen permeability for the ANL-3e membrane increased as the sweep gas flow rate increased, possibly due to concentration polarization at low flow rates. Based on these results, we will investigate the effects of gas (sweep and feed) flow rates on hydrogen flux. We will also measure the hydrogen flux while imposing a gradient in total pressure across the sample rather than a gradient in hydrogen partial pressure, as was done in gathering data (Fig. 10) for this report, and we will study the effects of cycling pressure and temperature on the hydrogen flux of ANL-3 membranes.

![Figure 11](image-url)
Milestone 4. Fabricate and evaluate tubular membrane.

To be practical, HTMs must be available in a shape that has a large active area, such as tubes; therefore, we began to develop methods for fabricating tubular membranes during FY 2007. In one approach, we are adapting the paste-painting technique that has been used to fabricate disk-shaped ANL-3e thin films. In this approach, we first produce porous alumina support tubes in a cold isostatic press (Engineered Pressure Systems) by pressing alumina hydrate powder in a rubber mold (Trexler Rubber) with a stainless steel mandrel at 10,000-15,000 psig. After pressing, tubes are pre-sintered in air for 3-5 h at 800-950°C. Figure 12a shows a photograph of such a tube. After the tube is pre-sintered, its outside surface is painted with a slurry of ANL-3e components and is then sintered at 1400-1500°C for 5 h in air. Figure 12b shows a photograph of two porous alumina support tubes next to an ANL-3e film that was sintered on a tubular porous alumina support. Tubes presently being produced are typically 8-10 cm in length with an outside diameter of ≈1 cm and a membrane thickness of 25-50 µm.

![Fig. 12 a) Porous alumina tube after pre-sintering for 5 h at 700-800°C in air and b) two porous alumina tubes and ANL-3e film on porous alumina tube after sintering for 5 h at 1400°C in air.](image)

Tubular thin films are tested for pinholes and/or microcracks by checking for penetration of the film by isopropyl alcohol (IPA). In this test, the tube is filled with IPA, and the tube is examined for evidence that IPA is penetrating the film on its outer surface. Penetration of the film by even a small amount of IPA is visible as a darkening of the film and indicates that the membrane film contains cracks or interconnected porosity. An IPA-penetration test of the tube coated with an ANL-3e film (Fig. 12b) showed that some areas were porous, probably because the slurry had been painted on too thinly.

If an IPA-penetration test reveals no leakage, we then measured the tube's hydrogen flux in a spring-loaded test fixture like that shown in Fig. 13. With this fixture, graphite gaskets are placed on the ends of the tube, and the tube and gaskets are squeezed between an alumina tube and an alumina plate. To achieve a leak-tight seal, the open ends of the tube are polished so they are flat and perpendicular to the axis of the tube. When the pre-sintered support tube is coated with membrane material, the paste for making the membrane film is painted onto the entire outer surface of the support tube, including the open end, to improve the seal at the ends of the tube.
After a tube passes the IPA test, it is sealed to a spring-loaded fixture and checked for leakage at room temperature, which is done by pressurizing the tube with 5 psi He and then submerging it in IPA. If bubbles are not observed through the wall of the painted tube, and if bubbling is minimal at the graphite gasket between the membrane tube and the test fixture, the tube is placed into the reactor to measure its hydrogen flux. It is then heated to 500°C while flowing helium on its feed side and N\textsubscript{2} on its sweep side at a rate of 150 ml/min. The hydrogen flux and permeability are measured with the hydrogen concentration in the sweep gas being corrected for leakage based on the measured leakage of helium.

Figure 14 shows the hydrogen flux and Fig. 15 the hydrogen permeability versus temperature (500-900°C) for a tubular HTM. The tube consisted of an ANL-3 thin film [Pd (60 vol.%)/CeO\textsubscript{2}, thickness \approx 30 \mu m] on porous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and had a length of 8.03 cm and outside diameter of 0.85 cm. The feed gas contained either 90% H\textsubscript{2}/balance He or 4% H\textsubscript{2}/balance He. The flux (Fig. 14) and permeability (Fig. 15) values are low compared to values we have measured using disk-shaped samples that were either polished or consisted of thin films on porous disks.

We attribute this decline to several factors. First, CeO\textsubscript{2} was used as the ceramic component of the ANL-3 film because films containing CeO\textsubscript{2} attain high density during sintering. The tendency of CeO\textsubscript{2}-containing films to reach high density increases the likelihood that they will be free of leaks. However, we earlier found [8] that disk-shaped films made with CeO\textsubscript{2} have hydrogen flux values that are 15-40% lower than films made with TZ-3Y, even though the films made with TZ-3Y were 50% thicker. The CeO\textsubscript{2}-containing films might have low flux and permeability values because the Pd content on their surface is low [8], or because the increased density impedes hydrogen transport (if gas-phase transport in isolated pores contributes significantly to hydrogen transport). Although the explanation is unclear at this point, our data indicate that the performance of CeO\textsubscript{2}-containing films is inferior to that of films made with TZ-3Y or Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. 

Fig. 13 Porous alumina tube coated with dense ANL-3e film on spring-loaded fixture used to measure tube’s hydrogen flux.
Fig. 14 Hydrogen flux for tubular ANL-3 thin film on porous Al₂O₃. Thin film contained Pd (60 vol.%)/CeO₂ and had thickness of ≈30 µm.

Fig. 15 Hydrogen permeability for tubular ANL-3 thin film on porous Al₂O₃. Thin film contained Pd (60 vol.%)/CeO₂ and had thickness of ≈30 µm.
Two observations suggest that the low flux and permeability values might also result partly from concentration polarization during the measurements. First, the flux and permeability are essentially independent of temperature when 4% H₂/balance He is used as the feed gas, and second, the permeability depends strongly on the hydrogen concentration in the feed gas, especially at high temperatures. Concentration polarization might be expected to be more important for films made on tubular porous supports, because the porous support might facilitate the establishment of a boundary layer at the membrane surface.

Higher values of hydrogen flux and permeability were measured recently with another tubular HTM that consisted of a porous alumina tube coated with a thin film of Pd (60 vol.%)/TZ-3Y. The tube was closed on one end with a length of ≈8.3 cm and an outside diameter of ≈1 cm. Based on measurements made with an SEM, the thickness of the membrane film was 58(±4) µm. Cross-sectional views of the tube after flux measurements are shown in Fig. 16. The dense HTM film was on the outside surface of the tube, and the relatively thick porous layer was on the inside. To investigate the possibility that concentration polarization influenced the results, the flow rates of the feed and sweep gases were varied in the range 150-500 ml/min. In addition, two flow patterns were used. In one pattern, feed gas flowed inside the tube while sweep gas on the outside of the tube; in the other pattern, feed gas flow was the opposite. The feed gas was composed of either 4% H₂/balance He or 90% H₂/balance He, while the sweep gas consisted of 100% N₂.

![Cross-sectional views of tubular Pd (60 vol.%)/TZ-3Y film](image)

**Fig. 16** Cross-sectional views of tubular Pd (60 vol.%)/TZ-3Y film (thickness ≈58 µm) on porous Al₂O₃ tube. Tube's hydrogen flux and permeability values are given in Figs. 19 and 20.

Figure 17 shows the effect of the gas flow pattern on the tube's hydrogen flux, and Fig. 18 shows the effect on its hydrogen permeability. The flow pattern has only a minimal effect on the flux and the permeability. Because the effect of flow pattern was negligible, the rest of the measurements were done in the normal flow pattern, in which feed gas flows on the outside of the tube and sweep gas on the inside.
Fig. 17 Hydrogen flux for 58-µm-thick Pd (60 vol.%)/TZ-3Y film on porous Al₂O₃ measured with different flow patterns.

Fig. 18 Hydrogen permeability for 58-µm-thick Pd (60 vol.%)/TZ-3Y film on porous Al₂O₃ measured with different flow patterns.
Figure 19 shows the hydrogen flux values that were measured for the tube with a Pd/TZ-3Y film, and Fig. 20 shows the permeability values. The data are plotted as a function of temperature for different flow rates in the feed and sweep gases and different hydrogen concentrations in the feed gas. At every temperature, whether the feed gas contained 4% or 90% H\textsubscript{2}/balance He, the flux and permeability values for the tube made with Pd/TZ-3Y (Fig. 19 and 20) were several times larger than the values for the tube made with Pd/CeO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 14 and 15), even though the Pd/TZ-3Y film was approximately twice as thick. We reported earlier [4] that Pd/TZ-3Y films also exhibited better performance than Pd/CeO\textsubscript{2} films on disk-shaped porous alumina supports. We are not certain why Pd/TZ-3Y films exhibit superior performance, but the performance of Pd/CeO\textsubscript{2} films might be degraded by evaporation of Pd during sintering, while the performance of Pd/TZ-3Y films might be enhanced by trapped porosity [4].

![Graph showing hydrogen flux and temperature](image)

**Fig. 19** Hydrogen flux for 58-\textmu m-thick Pd (60 vol.\%)/TZ-3Y film on porous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} tube measured with various gas flow rates (ml/min). Inset gives feed flow rate/sweep flow rate/H\textsubscript{2} concentration (%) in feed. Sweep was 100% N\textsubscript{2}.

The tube with Pd/TZ-3Y showed improved performance, compared to the tube made with Pd/CeO\textsubscript{2}, but effects from concentration polarization are still evident, especially for feed gas with 4% H\textsubscript{2} (at all flow rates tested) and 90% H\textsubscript{2} (at low sweep gas flow rate, 150 ml/min). The flux and permeability values are essentially independent of temperature under these conditions, and the permeability values are significantly lower than predicted from literature values for palladium [9]. Figure 20 shows a line that represents 60% of Koffler et al.’s permeability value for palladium [9]. Because Argonne’s membrane contains 60 vol.% Pd, this line plots the "expected" permeability values for Argonne's membrane, if we assume concentration polarization does not affect
our values or the literature values. The significant difference between expected permeability values and Argonne's measured values indicates that concentration polarization is a factor for feed gas with 4% H\textsubscript{2} over a wide flow rate and feed gas with 90% H\textsubscript{2} at low gas flow rate.

The effects from concentration polarization are largely overcome with high hydrogen concentration in the feed gas and high gas flow rates. Whether the feed gas contains 4% or 90% H\textsubscript{2}, the flux and permeability values increased significantly when the sweep flow rate increased from 150 to 300 ml/min and the feed flow rate increased from 150 to 500 ml/min. It is not clear whether the increase in measured values is related to polarization on the feed side or the sweep side of the membrane, because the feed and sweep flow rates were increased together. Even with high gas flow rates, however, the permeability values for feed gas with 4% H\textsubscript{2} are much smaller than the expected values, indicating that concentration polarization remains a factor for feed gas with low hydrogen concentration. By contrast, permeability values measured with 90% H\textsubscript{2} as the feed gas and sweep gas flow rate ≥300 ml/min were close to the expected values, and both flux and permeability increased with temperature, as expected due to the activated nature of hydrogen permeation. These results suggest that concentration polarization is minimized by high gas flow rates and high hydrogen concentration in the feed gas.
V. FUTURE WORK

Development of Tubular HTMs. We will continue fabricating tubular HTMs to increase their effective area and demonstrate that they can be made into practical devices. These tubes can be fabricated as either monoliths, in which the entire tube is composed of HTM components, or as thin films on porous tubular supports. We will focus on fabricating HTM thin films on porous supports, because they have produced promising results. Paste painting has been an effective method for depositing HTM thin films, but other methods (e.g., dip coating or spray deposition) might also be tested for fabricating thinner, stronger, defect-free thin films. To evaluate the performance of tubular HTMs, we will obtain a gas-tight seal by using the spring-loaded fixture developed in FY 2007. The hydrogen flux and permeability of HTM tubes will be measured in gases that simulate the atmosphere in "real-life" gasifiers; the tubes' flux and permeability will be monitored for periods of ≈100 h to evaluate their short-term chemical stability in syngas. The hydrogen flux and permeability of tubular membranes will be measured as a function of sweep and feed gas flow rates to determine more precisely the source of concentration polarization.

Pd/Pd₄S Phase Boundary. Because HTMs will likely come into contact with H₂S, which reacts with Pd-containing membranes to form Pd₄S, we will continue to explore the effect of Pd₄S-formation on the performance of HTMs. Based on our work during the past fiscal year and in FY 2006 [1], we know the position of the Pd/Pd₄S phase boundary as a function of hydrogen concentration in the feed gas. With this information, we will be able to correlate hydrogen flux measurements with the phase boundary data. In particular, we will compare the hydrogen flux when Pd₄S is stable to that when Pd is stable. To compare the behavior of Argonne's cermet membranes to metallic membranes, the flux will be measured for both cermet and metallic membranes on both sides of the phase boundary.

High-Pressure Testing. We will continue using Argonne's high-pressure reactor to study the performance of Argonne membranes and seals at high pressures, using ΔpH₂₁/₂ values approaching ≈3.5 atm₁/₂, where ΔpH₂₁/₂ is defined in Eqn. (6). Because previous high-pressure measurements suggested that the flow rates of feed and sweep gases influence the flux under some conditions, we will investigate the effects of sweep and feed gas flow rates during our high-pressure flux measurements. The fixture for making high-pressure measurements will be modified to enable the testing of HTM tubes at high pressure.

System Analyses. The Aspen Plus® Simulation module will be used to evaluate the economics of an integrated gasification and combined cycle (IGCC) system for hydrogen production that employs HTMs for hydrogen purification. In our evaluation, we will compare the economics of an IGCC system operating at 900°C to one operating at 400°C. In addition to identifying novel equipment, estimating its cost, and considering challenges with interfacing the equipment with the overall system, we will explore opportunities to optimize the overall process. In particular, heat source temperature,
pressure and duty requirements, heat carrier medium, and conditions and purity of the process streams will be considered. While most of the plant will be based on well-understood equipment, we will need to develop user modules for the HTM units, because they represent a departure from commonly used equipment.

Evaluation of process issues and economics will continue as technical progress warrants. As directed through consultations with NETL’s program managers, contacts will be made and discussions will be held with potential collaborators. We will work with NETL’s in-house R&D team and their Systems Engineering Group to validate the process concept and conduct techno-economic evaluation of proton-conducting membrane technology for separating hydrogen in the power and petrochemical industries. We will provide technical input and engineering data to the NETL team to develop models for process viability and for thermal management studies.
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