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TECHNICAL BASIS DOCUMENT OF MARSSIM FIELD CALIBRATION FOR QUANTIFICATION OF CS-137 VOLUMETRICALLY CONTAMINATED SOILS IN THE BC CONTROLLED AREA USING A 4X4X16 INCH SODIUM IODIDE DETECTOR

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to provide the Technical Basis and Documentation for Field Calibrations of radiation measurement equipment for use in the MARSSIM Scoping Surveys of the BC Controlled Area (BCCA). The BC Controlled Area is bounded on the north by (but does not include) the BC Crib & Trenches and is bounded on the south by Army Loop Road. Parts of the BC Controlled Area are posted as a Contamination Area and the remainder is posted as a Soil Contamination Area. The area is approximately 13 square miles and divided into three zones (Zone A, Zone B, and Zone C). A map from reference 1 which shows the 3 zones is attached. The MARSSIM Scoping Surveys are intended to better identify the boundaries of the three zones based on volumetric (pCi/g) contamination levels in the soil. The MARSSIM Field Calibration, reference 2, of radiation survey instrumentation will determine the Minimum Detectable Concentration (MDC) and an algorithm for converting counts to pCi/g. The instrumentation and corresponding results are not intended for occupational radiation protection decisions or for the release of property per DOE Order 5400.5.

Based on the observed beta-gamma to alpha ratio, alpha surveys of the BC cribs and trenches, and the surrounding area are not required. The primary isotopes of concern are Cs-137 and Sr-90. Other isotopes are found only in trace amounts and are not considered to be significant. Although the Cs: Sr ratio may vary, both isotopes are present in all samples analyzed and found to be above background. This Cs tag allows the MARSSIM Scoping Surveys to be performed with gamma-only detection instrumentation. The calculated Cs-137 concentrations and survey locations will be used to identify the 41 and 12 pCi/g concentration boundary lines.

Evaluation of Current Conceptual Model and Zone Designations for BC Controlled Area.

- Current model has Zone A as most contaminated area with nearly continuous elevated radiological activity in surface soils. Assumed a remedial action will be needed in this zone. Soil concentrations of Cs-137 ≥ 41 pCi/g.
- Zone B is transitional in contamination levels and distribution of contamination. Some hot spots may be disseminated in the zone, particularly near Zone A boundary. Soil concentrations of Cs-137 are between 41 pCi/g and 12 pCi/g.
- Zone C is assumed to not to be contaminated above background levels. There are potential hot spots where animal scat was deposited. Soil concentrations of Cs-137 ≤ 12 pCi/g.

Reference 3 provides extensive documentation for the area. In the late 1950s through 1965 animal intrusion into the southern-most BC Trenches spread contamination from the area. An asphalt pad was placed over approximately 2/3rds of trench 216-B-28. In 1969 about 60,000 yd2 of sand and gravel were used to cover and stabilize the BC Trenches halting the spread of contamination from these sources by animals. In the late 1970s and early 1980s stabilization measures that had been taken in the 1960s failed and contamination was spreading primarily due to contaminated tumbleweeds. In 1981 the entire area of the cribs and trenches was covered with about 2 feet of soil and reseeded with vegetation designed to resist tumbleweed growth. This stabilization was judged to be effective on the surface of the crib. Contamination Transfer
Surveys of the BC Cribs in late 2004 found only one small area with transferable radioactive material on the surface of the BC Cribs and Trenches.

Current Conceptual Site Model Showing Contamination Zones Within the BC Controlled Area
MATERIALS & METHODS

Actual contaminated soil collected from the BC Controlled Area was used as the source for field calibration of radiological survey instruments to be used in the MARSSIM Scoping Surveys. Using a GM instrument, hotspots were located within the BC Controlled Area. The hottest of these locations contain the highest levels of contamination and were used as source material. As expected, the highest levels of contamination were located in Zone A. Once a hotspot was located, the contaminated soil was collected (shoveled) into a plastic 5 gallon bucket and transferred into a 55 gallon drum at the end of each shift, or whenever the plastic bucket became too full or heavy to handle.

The 55 gallon drum was moved to the RCT trailer near the southern boundary of the BC Controlled area. This trailer was used as a staging area for Field Calibration and MDC determination activities. Source preparation, background measurements, and field calibration measurements were all performed in this vicinity.

The contaminated soils were transferred to large tubs inside the trailer where it was dried and thoroughly mixed. Large rocks and other debris were removed from the contaminated soil during the mixing process. The mixing drying and sieving process continued until a uniform “source” material was created. Once direct measurements and tape presses confirmed the “source” material was uniformly mixed, samples were taken and sent to the lab for analysis.

The poly sample bottles used for samples sent to lab for gamma-scan analysis were also used for calibration sources. The 3" X 3" X 5 ½" poly bottles were filled with the uniform source material. These containers will be used as calibration sources for the MARSSIM Field Calibration of radiological survey instrumentation. The results of the laboratory analysis will be used to determine the isotopic content of the calibration sources. Any unused source material was returned to the barrel.

A 4 X 4 X 16 inch NaI Detector, property tag # WD33787 was attached to an Ortec DigiBase Multichannel Analyzer. Ortec Search System Software was loaded onto a Panasonic Toughbook Laptop Computer, property tag # WD46885 to complete the instrument. Sodium Iodide (NaI) crystal gamma scintillators are primarily used for detecting high energy radiation in the range of 60 keV – 2 MeV. Some common applications for this type of detector include background radiation monitoring, high sensitivity surveying, and spectrum analysis when used in conjunction with a single or multi-channel analyzer. These probes are only used to detect photons, but they may be sensitive to high energy beta radiation as well. The detectors are typically calibrated for Cs-137 (> 300 KeV Gamma). Because of their large signal and high gamma efficiency, these detectors can be used to detect gamma contamination with a high level of sensitivity. These detectors are typically used for the qualitative detection of contamination and not for the quantitative measurement of the amount of contamination.

The entire instrument was mounted on a John Deere Gator. The Detector and Multichannel Analyzer were mounted to the rear of the Gator in a metal box. The detector was positioned with the 16 inch side parallel to the surface of the ground and the rear of the Gator. Foam rubber cushioned the detector from mechanical shock, and a cooling system removed excess heat away from the Ortec DigiBase Multichannel Analyzer. The detector was shielded with lead on the top, sides, and one end to reduce unwanted background radiation and focus the instrument for the detection of ground contamination. The laptop computer was mounted in the cab of the Gator.
MARSSIM Field Calibration Measurements were performed with the radiological survey instrument as described above and identified in Attachment 3. This instrument will be used to perform the MARSSIM Scoping Surveys of the BC Controlled Area and the MARSSIM Field Calibration allows for the quantitative measurement of the amount of contamination. These measurements will be used with the laboratory isotopic analysis to determine the pCi/g algorithm and MDC for the radiological survey instruments. MARSSIM allows for one-time field calibration and does not require that this calibration be repeated. The instrument will be disassembled following the Scoping Surveys and any periodic re-calibration of the instrumentation is therefore not required. Six sample bottles containing the "source" material that was analyzed by the laboratory were used for the MARSSIM Field Calibration. The MDC calculation and conversion factor apply only to Cs concentrations. The bottles were buried side to side flush with the ground such that only the top side of the bottles were exposed. The source bottles, numbered 1 thru 6, were weighed so that the source strength could be determined. The bottom of the metal box containing the detector and mounted to the Gator was measured at 28 cm from the ground (46 cm to the center of the detector).

Two Methods were used for calibration purposes.

METHOD 1:

The Hotspot Program (developed by the University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract with the U.S. Department of Energy) contains a section devoted to FIDLER calibration. The FIDLER program is used to calibrate a FIDLER (Field Instrument for the Detection of Low-Energy Radiation) for measurement of plutonium contamination on the ground. However, the technique can be applied to any instrument suitable for measuring external radiation levels and non-plutonium mixtures, e.g. NaI detection system for surveying 137Cs ground contamination. The FIDLER procedure describes a method for calibrating a detector to measure surface contamination with a single-point check source. Six radial measurement positions (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 cm) are required for this calibration procedure. A series of measurements were taken by moving the detector and gator to each radial distance (0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 cm). Ten one second counts were taken at each radial distance. The total, full spectrum, gross counts were recorded, converted to a 60 second count total, and used for calibration purposes. The primary goal of the calibration procedure is to integrate the instrument's counting efficiency for an assumed uniform area contamination per square meter of surface. This parameter is referred to as the areal counting efficiency (Sa). This efficiency differs considerably from the simple point-source efficiency, which is commonly used to determine an instrument's sensitivity for locating small "point" sources. Here, we must account for the instrument's sensitivity for off-axis radiation sources and field of view.

The measurement results taken with the 4 X 4 x 16 inch NaI Instrument were analyzed using the FIDLER calibration program. The FIDLER analysis results were then used as the basis for calculating the MDC and uniform volumetric contamination algorithm. These are the values required by MARSSIM to show that the instrumentation is capable of measuring the necessary pCi/g levels as specified in reference 1.

METHOD 2:

A series of direct measurements were performed and equivalents assumed. The six sources were arranged in a 9" X 11" rectangle buried flush with the ground. The area was divided into 4 quadrants with the detector centered in the middle of the quadrants. A series of four measurements were taken in quadrant 1 as illustrated below. Each measurement was made with the 9" X 11" source array. Therefore, quadrant 1 measures a total of 18" X 22". Quadrant 1
Measurements are assumed to be equivalent to measurements that could have been (but were not) performed in quadrants 2, 3, and 4. Quadrant 1 measurements are a conservative measure of source counts since the photomultiplier tube is located on the quadrants 3 and 4 side of the detector.

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Quadrant 4} & \textbf{Quadrant 1} \\
\hline
\textbf{mnt 2} & \textbf{mnt 4} \\
\hline
\textbf{mnt 1} & \textbf{mnt 3} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Quadrant 3} & \textbf{Quadrant 2}
\end{center}

\section*{Assumptions}

All source material (contaminated soil) collected from the BCCA, dried, and blended is uniform. The samples sent to Fluor Hanford WESCF Analytical Chemistry for analysis contains identical volumetric contamination (activity/gram) as the soil remaining in the drum.

The 500 ml poly sample bottle sent to the lab for Cs-137 analysis measures 3" X 3" X 5 ½". Six of these bottles, filled with BCCA contaminated and characterized soil makes an appropriate source for calibrating a 4 X 4 X 16 inch2X2 NaI detector at 28 (46) cm. Burying the source bottles on their sides to a depth of 3 inches is assumed to be an accurate representation of BCCA contaminated soil.

FIDLER assumes that contamination lies entirely on the surface of the soil, characteristic of newly deposited activity. If significant weathering has occurred, a correction factor is required to account for the attenuation of the emitted photons as a function of soil depth. This correction factor should be based upon actual soil sample analyses. The source bottles as described above and buried on their sides is assumed to account for weathering and the attenuation of emitted photons as a function of soil depth (3 inches).

The Contamination is assumed to be uniformly distributed in the soil to a depth of 3 inches or equivalent to 7.5 cm. With a soil density of 1.6 g/cc, 10,000 cm2/m2, and 7.5 cm deep, the uniform volumetric contamination is 120,000 g/m2.

Instrumentation described above can be used for MARSSIM scoping surveys (pilot study) to determine volumetric contamination, pCi/g in the BCCA.
RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Analytical results from the soil samples sent to Fluor Hanford WSCF Analytical Chemistry are attached. The laboratory reported:

Cesium – 137  530 pCi/g +/- 84 pCi/g  
Strontium – 89/90  460 pCi/g +/- 69 pCi/g

A Cesium : Strontium ratio of 1.15 is indicated by the sample results.

Since we know that the Cs – 137 sample mass was 923.2 grams (and that the same sample was used as our source in this calibration), then we calculate the source activity as:

\[
\text{SOURCE ACTIVITY} = (5529.0 \text{ g}) \times (530 \text{ pCi/g}) = 2,930,370 \text{ pCi} \\
\text{OR} \\
2.93 \text{ uCi}
\]

METHOD 1:

The FIDLER program was run for the source activity, geometry, and instrument using the average of the ten 1 second, full spectrum, gross accumulated counts at each radial position and at background with the source removed. The MARSSIM Field Calibration FIDLER program results printout is included as ATTACHMENT 3.

FIDLER results give us Detection Limits and Efficiency which we were then able to use in calculating MARSSIM Minimum Detectable Concentration, MDC and the algorithm converting counts into pCi/g for field measurements. The calculation is given below.

Minimum Detectable Concentration =

\[
\text{Area Detection Limit of } 3.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ uCi/m}^2 \times (1 \times 10^6 \text{ pCi/uCi}) \\
\text{Soil Volume of 120,000 g/m}^2
\]

\[
= 0.308 \text{ pCi/g}
\]

And the conversion/calibration algorithm =

\[
\text{Efficiency of } 3.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cpm/uCi/m}^2 \times (\text{Area Detection Limit of } 3.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ uCi/m}^2)
\]
MDC of 0.308 pCi/g

= 3604 cpm/pCi/g

OR

= 60 cps/pCi/g

METHOD2:

The four quadrant 1 measurements were made for the source activity, geometry, and instrument using the average of the ten 1 second, full spectrum, gross accumulated counts at each position and at background with the source removed. Results (averages) are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>GROSS CPS - BKG CPS = NET CPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total net CPS (all 4 positions) 4110

X 4 quadrants => 16,440 CPS

and

16,440 CPS = 30 CPS/pCi/g

530 pCi/g

SUMMARY/CONCLUSION

MARSSIM Field Calibration results are used to give us a calculated MDC of 0.308 pCi/g and 60 cps/pCi/g calibration factor. The MARSSIM Scoping Survey measurements in cps are divided by the calibration factor (cps/pCi/g) to convert measurements into volumetric contamination levels in pCi/g.

The field of view for the instrument was estimated by moving a sample bottle forward, backward, and side to side of the detector. The 0.308 pCi/g MDC and 60 cps/pCi/g calibration factor were
considered. The instrument detected the source at ~ 1 meter distance front and back and at ~ 30 cm side to side. The detector also saw the source along it's 16 inch, 41 cm length. Therefore, the instrument's field of view can be approximated as \((41\text{cm} + 30\text{cm} + 30\text{cm}) (100\text{cm} + 100\text{cm}) \approx 20,000\text{ cm}^2\) or 2 square meters when the Gator is stationary.

Surveys will be performed in the BCCA on a grid with a survey point every 1 second. GPS equipment will be used to record location with the instrument readings. The continuous 1 second counts along transect lines will be downloaded into EXCEL. Background counts are subtracted from the gross counts to yield net counts above background. Dividing the net1 second counts by the calibration factor of 60 cps/pCi/g turns the data into pCi/g. The final result is pCi/g Cs-137 volumetric contamination for the measurement location.

Further sampling will be conducted in the BCCA to support and verify the surveys described above.

FIDDLER Error Analysis is summarized below

### ERROR ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERROR</th>
<th>VALUE</th>
<th>PERCENT</th>
<th>SQUARE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE ANALYSIS (WESC) CS-137</td>
<td>530 +/-</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>249.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIDDLER CALIBRATION SDEV</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOURCE BOTTLE MAXIMUM WEIGHT DEVIATION FROM AVERAGE</td>
<td>922 +/- 102</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>123.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM OF THE SQUARES</td>
<td>375.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQUARE ROOT OF THE SUM OF THE SQUARES</td>
<td>19.40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of known sources of error associated with the FIDDLER calibration indicate an error of +/- 20%. The MARSSIM Field Calibration of the Gator Mounted 4 X 4 X 16 inch Nal detector calculates an MDC of 0.3 pCi/g and 60 cps/pCi/g (+/- 20% error). The Gator mounted instrument is able to measure the 12 and 41 pCi/g BCCA zone boundaries with >99% confidence.

The direct measurement and equivalents method calculates 30 CPS/pCi/g over an area of 1.02 square meters. This is considered to be the most conservative number to calculate and measure the 12 and 41 pCi/g BCCA zone boundaries. The number we will use for this calibration is:

### 30 CPS/pCi/g
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3. FIDLER Field Calibration run
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Memorandum

To: S. J. Trent A0-21

From: S. L. Fitzgerald, Manager
WSCF Analytical Chemistry

cc: w/Attachments
T. F. Dale S3-30
H. K. Meznarich S3-30
P. D. Mix S3-30
J. E. Trechter S3-30
File/LB

Date: March 28, 2006

Subject: FINAL RESULTS FOR BC CONTROLLED AREA SAMPLE – SAMPLE DELIVERY
GROUP WSCF20060197 – SAF NUMBER F06-013

Reference: (1) Groundwater Protection Program-Letter of Instruction, FH-EIS-2003-MEM-001,
October 31, 2002
(2) HNF-SD-CD-QAPP-017, Rev. 7, Waste Sampling & Characterization Facility Quality
Assurance Plan

This letter contains a narrative (Attachment 1) for sample delivery group WSCF20060197, the analytical
results (Attachment 2), and the sample receipt information (Attachment 3).

SLF/grf

Attachments 3
Sample Delivery Group | WSCF20060197
Sample Matrix | SOIL
Sample Visual | N/A
SAF Number | F06-013
Data Deliverable | Summary Report

Introduction

One (1) BC Controlled Area sample (B1HTJ7) was received at the WSCF Laboratory on March 21, 2006. The sample was analyzed for the analyte indicated on the attached copy of the chain of custody (COC) form in accordance with the Groundwater Remediation Program – Letter of Instruction, referenced in the cover letter.

The narrative (Attachment 1) will address sample characteristics, analyses requested and general information in performance of the analytical methods. A Data Summary Report (Attachment 2) includes analytical results, a comment report detailing method abnormalities, tentatively identified peaks if applicable, method references, and Laboratory QC information. Copies of the chain of custody and sample receipt are included as Attachment 3.

Analytical Methodology for Requested Analyses

Refer to WSCF Method References Report, page 11, for a complete listing of approved analytical methods.

Radiochemical Comments

RadChem – There are no hold times associated with WSCF radiochemical methods. A Blank, Laboratory Control Sample and Duplicate QC sample were analyzed with each delivery group per the GRP Letter of Instruction. See page 8 for QC details.

All QC controls are within established limits.

This Summary Report is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness. Release of the data contained in this hard copy report has been authorized by the WSCF Laboratory Analytical Manager and Client Services, as verified by the following signature.

Pauline D. Mix
WSCF Client Services
## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>ion chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>inductively coupled plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP/AES</td>
<td>ICP/atomic emission spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP/MS</td>
<td>ICP/mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U</td>
<td>total uranium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT/7B</td>
<td>total alpha/total beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA</td>
<td>Alpha Energy Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTPH-G</td>
<td>Total Hydrocarbons-Gasoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am</td>
<td>americium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>curium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu</td>
<td>plutonium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>neptunium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEA</td>
<td>gamma energy analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Tritium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>Strontium 89, 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTPH-D</td>
<td>Total Hydrocarbons-Diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>Total Suspended Solids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATTACHMENT 2

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Consisting of 8 pages
Including cover page
WSCF
ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT

for

Groundwater Remediation Program

Richland, WA 99354

Attention: Steve Trent

Analytical: 

Client Services: 

All results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise noted in the comment section.

CONTROLLED USE INFORMATION: The recipient of this report has the responsibility to protect and safeguard the requested information from unauthorized disclosure or misuse. This information is intended for the use of the addressee only. If the reader of this report is not the intended recipient or is not authorized by the recipient to receive the report, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this report is strictly prohibited. If you have received this report in error, please notify WSCF Laboratory immediately by telephone at (509) 373-7020 or (509) 531-8004. WSCF will not identify analytical reports as Official Use Only (OUO) or Sensitive. This classification must be determined by the owner of the report.

Contract#: FH-EIS-2003-MEM-001
Report#: WSCF20060197
Report Date: 27-mar-2006
Report WGPPr ver. 1.3
Groundwater Remediation Program
## WSCF
### ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>Test Performed</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>RQ</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Analyze Date</th>
<th>Sample Received Date</th>
<th>Receive Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W060000475 B1HT17 TREVENT</td>
<td>10045-97-3</td>
<td>Caesium-137</td>
<td>SOIL.</td>
<td>LA-508-481</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>pCi/l</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>03/22/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476 B1HT17 TREVENT</td>
<td>EC</td>
<td>Cs-137 Rad. Count Error (GEA)</td>
<td>SOIL.</td>
<td>LA-508-481</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>pCi/l</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>03/22/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MDL** = Minimum Detection Limit  
**RQ** = Result Qualifier  
**DF** = Dilution Factor  
* - Indicates results that have NOT been validated;  
+ - Indicates more than six qualifier symbols

---

**Report WGPP/ver. 1.3**  
Groundwater Remediation Program
WSCF ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QC REPORT

SDG Number: WSCF20060197
Matrix: SOLID
Test: Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Type</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>QC Found</th>
<th>QC Yield</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Analysis Date</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>RQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUP</td>
<td>Cs-137</td>
<td>10045-97-3</td>
<td>5.32e+02</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>03/22/06</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BATCH QC ASSOCIATED WITH SAMPLE

Lab ID: W060000476

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Type</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>QC Found</th>
<th>QC Yield</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Analysis Date</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>RQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td>Cs-137</td>
<td>10045-97-3</td>
<td>1.3e-4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>pCi/l</td>
<td>03/22/06</td>
<td>-10.000</td>
<td>1000.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS</td>
<td>Cs-137</td>
<td>10045-97-3</td>
<td>4.01e+03</td>
<td>112.011</td>
<td>% Recov</td>
<td>03/22/06</td>
<td>80.000</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample #</td>
<td>Client ID</td>
<td>Lab Area</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lab Areas:  
- VALGROUP - Group Validation  
- LOGSAMP - Login for Sample  
- VALTEST - Test Validation  
- LOGTEST - Login for Tests  
- TESTDATA - Test Data Entry

This report may not be reproduced, except in its entirety without the written approval of the WSCF Laboratory.
# WSCF

**TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED PEAK REPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>Test Name</th>
<th>Peak Name</th>
<th>CAS#</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>RQ</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>K-40 Count Error</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>AC-228 Count Error</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>RA-228 Count Error</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>AC-228</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>pCi/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>RA-228</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>pCi/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>81HTJ1</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis:334 H20</td>
<td>K-40</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>pCi/g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RQ = Result Qualifier
WSCF
METHOD REFERENCES REPORT

The results provided in this report were generated using the following WSCF Laboratory procedures. For your convenience, this table provides a listing of the regulatory or industry methods that are referenced by each of these WSCF procedures. Please note that the most recent version of the regulatory or industry method is listed here even though the WSCF procedure may reference an older version of the method. Also, a reference to a regulatory or industry method here does not necessarily indicate a verbatim implementation of that method.

LA-508-481 LA-508-481: GAMMA ENERGY ANALYSIS USING PROCOUNT SOFTWARE
None No reference to any industry method.

Note: A complete list of WSCF analytical procedures and referenced regulatory or industry methods is available online at
\ap006\aspdocs\WSCF\Sample Mgmt\ProcedureMethodCrossReference.pdf. This document includes on-line
links to full-text versions of the procedures and methods, where available.
**W13q Worklist/Batch/QC Report for Group# WSCF20060197**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WL#</th>
<th>S#</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>QC#</th>
<th>Tray Type</th>
<th>Sample#</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28294</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28666</td>
<td>32501</td>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28294</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28666</td>
<td>32501</td>
<td>LCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28294</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28666</td>
<td>32501</td>
<td>DUP</td>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28294</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28666</td>
<td>32501</td>
<td>SAMPLE</td>
<td>W060000476</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M8141-SLF-06-062
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Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility  
P.O. BOX 1970 S3-30, Richland, WA 99352  
PHONE: (509) 373-7004/FAX: (509) 373-7134

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SAMPLES RECEIVED

Groundwater Remediation Program  
Customer Code: GPP  
PO#: 121640/ES10  
Group#: 20060197  
Project#: F06-013  
Proj Mgr: Steve Trent A0-21  
Phone: 373-5869

The following samples were received from you on 03/21/06. They have been scheduled for the tests listed beside each sample. If this information is incorrect, please contact your service representative. Thank you for using Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample#</th>
<th>Sample Id</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Tests Scheduled</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W0600000476 B1HTJ7</td>
<td>TRENT @GEP-GPP</td>
<td>Solid, or handle as if solid</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Acronym Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@GEP-GPP</td>
<td>Gamma Energy Analysis-grd H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLECTION</td>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff R. Papper</td>
<td>3/26/01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample to return to client, lab is to retain undisturbed.

Sealed sample of B.C. Controlled Area calibration soil.

Lab to return undisturbed sample to client.

Jeff R. Papper, 3/26/01.
WASTE SAMPLING AND CHARACTERIZATION FACILITY (WSCF)

NOTICE OF IMPROPER SAMPLE SUBMITTAL

Customer Name: Steve Trent

Sample ID: BI1117 Date Received: 3/21/06

Project Contact: Steve Trent Phone Number: 373-5869

☐ Sample not iced.
☐ Sample does not pass radiological screening.
☐ Sample not accompanied by a Chain of Custody (COC) or Request for Special Analysis (RSA).
☐ Improperly completed COC or RSA.
☐ Sample information does not agree with documentation.
☐ Handwriting is illegible.
☐ Sample container broken/leaking.
☐ Sample container not labeled/label unreadable.
☐ Sample received with custody seal broken.
☐ Sample improperly packaged.
☐ Improper sample container for analyses requested.
☐ Insufficient sample quantity for requested analyses.
☐ Holding time exceeded.
☐ Other (see below)

Comments: __________________________________________
_____________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________

For information on proper sample submittal procedures, contact WSCF Sample Custodian at 373-7423, 373-7001, or Sample Receiving at 373-7019.

Signature: _______________________________ Date: ___________________
Memorandum

To: S. J. Trent  
A0-21

From: S. L. Fitzgerald, Manager  
WSCF Analytical Chemistry

cc: w/Attachments  
T. F. Dale  
H. K. Meznarich  
P. D. Mix  
J. E. Trechter  
File/LB

Date: April 18, 2006

Subject: FINAL RESULTS FOR BC CONTROLLED AREA SAMPLE WITH REVISED SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER – SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP WSCF20060211 – SAF NUMBER F06-013

Reference:  
1) Memo, SL Fitzgerald to SJ Trent, same subject (M8141-SLF-06-068), dated April 6, 2006  
3) HNF-SD-CD-QAPP-017, Rev. 7, Waste Sampling & Characterization Facility Quality Assurance Plan

This letter contains a revised narrative (Attachment 1), the analytical results (Attachment 2) with the revised sample identification number, and revised sample receipt information (Attachment 3) for sample delivery group WSCF20060211.

Please note that this submittal supersedes Reference 1 in its entirety. If you have any questions, don’t hesitate to call on Pauline Mix, telephone 372-1488, for assistance.

SLF/grf

Attachments 3
M8141-SLF-06-075

ATTACHMENT 1

NARRATIVE

Consisting of 3 pages
Including cover page
### Introduction

One (1) BC Controlled Area sample (B1HTJ7-A) was received at the WSCF Laboratory on March 23, 2006. The sample was analyzed for the analyte indicated on the attached copy of the chain of custody (COC) form in accordance with the *Groundwater Remediation Program – Letter of Instruction*, referenced in the cover letter.

The narrative (Attachment 1) will address sample characteristics, analyses requested and general information in performance of the analytical methods. A Data Summary Report (Attachment 2) includes analytical results, a comment report detailing method abnormalities, tentatively identified peaks if applicable, method references, and Laboratory QC information. Copies of the chain of custody and sample receipt are included as Attachment 3.

### Analytical Methodology for Requested Analyses

Refer to WSCF Method References Report, page 11, for a complete listing of approved analytical methods.

### Radiochemical Comments

**RadChem** – There are no hold times associated with WSCF radiochemical methods. A Blank, Laboratory Control Sample and Duplicate QC sample were analyzed with each delivery group per the GRP Letter of Instruction. See page 8 for QC details.

All QC controls are within established limits.

**Strontium-85** – Radiochemical Tracer Recovery Data are summarized below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Tracer Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strontium-85</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sr-85</td>
<td>86.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sr-85</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Radiochemical Tracer Percent Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Lab Sample ID</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Tracer Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1HTJ7-A</td>
<td>W0600000492</td>
<td>Sr-85</td>
<td>105.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUPLICATE</td>
<td>W0600000492</td>
<td>Sr-85</td>
<td>105.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Summary Report is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness. Release of the data contained in this hard copy report has been authorized by the WSCF Laboratory Analytical Manager and Client Services, as verified by the following signature.

Pauline D. Mix  
WSCF Client Services

---

**Abbreviations**

- Hg – mercury  
- IC – ion chromatography  
- ICP – inductively coupled plasma  
- ICP/AES – ICP/atomic emission spectroscopy  
- ICP/MS – ICP/mass spectrometry  
- Total U – total uranium  
- AT/IB – total alpha/total beta  
- AEA – Alpha Energy Analysis  
- WTPH-G – Total Hydrocarbons-Gasoline  
- Am – americium  
- Cm – curium  
- Pu – plutonium  
- Np – neptunium  
- GEA – gamma energy analysis  
- H3 – Tritium  
- Sr – Strontium 89,90  
- WTPH-D – Total Hydrocarbons-Diesel  
- TSS – Total Suspended Solids
ATTACHMENT 2

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Consisting of 8 pages
Including cover page
WSCF
ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT
for
Groundwater Remediation Program

Richland, WA  99354

Attention:  Steve Trent

Analytical:  [Signature]
Client Services:  [Signature]  4/18/2006

All results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise noted in the comment section.

CONTROLLED USE INFORMATION: The recipient of this report has the responsibility to protect and safeguard the requested information from unauthorized disclosure or misuse. This information is intended for the use of the addresses only. If the reader of this report is not the intended recipient or is not authorized by the recipient to receive the report, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this report is strictly prohibited. If you have received this report in error, please notify WSCF Laboratory immediately by telephone at (509) 373-7020 or (509) 531-8004. WSCF will not identify analytical reports as Official Use Only (OUO) or Sensitive. This classification must be determined by the owner of the report.

Contract#:  FH-EIS-2003-MEM-001
Report#:  WSCF20060211
Report Date:  18-apr-2006
Report WGPP/ver.  1.3
Groundwater Remediation Program
## WSCF
### ANALYTICAL RESULTS REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Test Performed</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>WSCF Method</th>
<th>RQ</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>MDL</th>
<th>Analyze Sample</th>
<th>Receive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W050000493</td>
<td>R1HTJ-A</td>
<td>TREN7T</td>
<td>Sr-RaC</td>
<td>SOIL</td>
<td>LA-SOF-415</td>
<td></td>
<td>480</td>
<td>pCilg</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W050000493</td>
<td>R1HTJ-A</td>
<td>TREN7T</td>
<td>Sr-89/90 Ref. Count Error</td>
<td>SOIL</td>
<td>LA-SOF-415</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>pCilg</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MDL** = Minimum Detection Limit  
**RQ** = Result Qualifier  
**DF** = Dilution Factor

* - Indicates results that have NOT been validated;  
+ - Indicates more than six qualifier symbols

*Report WGPP/ver. 1.3, Groundwater Remediation Program*
WSCF ANALYTICAL LABORATORY QC REPORT

SDG Number: WSCF20060211
Matrix: SOLID
Test: Strontium 89/90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QC Type</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>QC Found</th>
<th>QC Yield</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Analysis Date</th>
<th>Lower Limit</th>
<th>Upper Limit</th>
<th>RQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab ID</td>
<td>W060000492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATCH QC ASSOCIATED WITH SAMPLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.U.P</td>
<td>Strontium-89/90</td>
<td>10098-97-2</td>
<td>4.9e+02</td>
<td>5.316</td>
<td>RPD</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BATCH QC</td>
<td>Strontium-89/90</td>
<td>10098-97-2</td>
<td>7.64-02</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>pC/g</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>300.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td>Strontium-89/90</td>
<td>10098-97-2</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>101.660</td>
<td>% Recov</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>80.000</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCS</td>
<td>Strontium-90/90</td>
<td>10098-97-2</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>101.660</td>
<td>% Recov</td>
<td>03/30/06</td>
<td>80.000</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## WSCF

### ANALYTICAL COMMENT REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>Lab Area</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Lab Areas:
- VALGROUP - Group Validation
- LOGSAMP - Login for Sample
- VALTEST - Test Validation
- LOGTEST - Login for Tests
- TESTDATA - Test Data Entry

*This report may not be reproduced, except in its entirety without the written approval of the WSCF Laboratory.*
## WSCF
### TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED PEAK REPORT

| Attention: Project Number | Group #: 20060211 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Client ID</th>
<th>Test Name</th>
<th>Peak Name</th>
<th>CAS#</th>
<th>RT</th>
<th>RQ</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**RQ = Result Qualifier**

---

This report may not be reproduced, except in its entirety without the written approval of the WSCF Laboratory.
The results provided in this report were generated using the following WSCP Laboratory procedures. For your convenience, this table provides a listing of the regulatory or industry methods that are referenced by each of these WSCP procedures. Please note that the most recent version of the regulatory or industry method is listed here even though the WSCP procedure may reference an older version of the method. Also, a reference to a regulatory or industry method here does not necessarily indicate a verbatim implementation of that method.

LA-508-415

LA-508-415: OPERATION OF THE PROTEAN 2-INCH ALPHA/BETA COUNTING SYSTEM FOR GROSS

None

No reference to any industry method.

Note: A complete list of WSCP analytical procedures and referenced regulatory or industry methods is available online at \la006\aspdocs\WSCP\Sample Mgmt\Procedure\MethodCrossReference.pdf. This document includes on-line links to full-text versions of the procedures and methods, where available.
## WL3q Worklist/Batch/QC Report for Group# WSCF20060211

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WL#</th>
<th>S#</th>
<th>Batch</th>
<th>QC#</th>
<th>Tray Type</th>
<th>Sample#</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28354</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28727</td>
<td>32596</td>
<td>BLANK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strontium 89/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28354</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28727</td>
<td>32596</td>
<td>LCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strontium 89/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28727</td>
<td>32596</td>
<td>DUP</td>
<td>W0600000492</td>
<td>Strontium 89/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28354</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28727</td>
<td>32596</td>
<td>SAMPLE</td>
<td>W0600000492</td>
<td>Strontium 89/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M8141-SLF-06-075
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Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility
P.O. BOX 1970 S3-30, Richland, WA 99352
PHONE: (509) 373-7004/FAX: (509) 373-7134

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SAMPLES RECEIVED

Groundwater Remediation Program

Richland, WA 99354
Attn: Steve Trent

Customer Code: GPP
PO#: 121640/ES10
Group#: 20060211
Project#: F06-013
Proj Mgr: Steve Trent A0-21
Phone: 373-5869

The following samples were received from you on 03/23/06. They have been scheduled for the tests listed beside each sample. If this information is incorrect, please contact your service representative. Thank you for using Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample#</th>
<th>Sample Id</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Tests Scheduled</th>
<th>Sample Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W0600000492 B1HTJ7-A</td>
<td>GRP</td>
<td>TRENT</td>
<td>Solid, or handle as if solid</td>
<td>03/21/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@SR89_90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Acronym Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@SR89_90</td>
<td>Strontium 89/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WASTE SAMPLING AND CHARACTERIZATION FACILITY (WSCF)

NOTICE OF IMPROPER SAMPLE SUBMITTAL

Customer Name: Steve Trent
Sample ID: BIHT17 Date Received: 03-23-04
Project Contact: Steve Trent Phone Number: 373-5869

☑ Sample not iced.
☑ Sample does not pass radiological screening.
☑ Sample not accompanied by a Chain of Custody (COC) or Request for Special Analysis (RSA).
☑ Improperly completed COC or RSA.
☑ Sample information does not agree with documentation.
☑ Handwriting is illegible.
☑ Sample container broken/leaking.
☑ Sample container not labeled/label unreadable.
☑ Sample received with custody seal broken.
☑ Sample improperly packaged.
☑ Improper sample container for analyses requested.
☑ Insufficient sample quantity for requested analyses.
☑ Holding time exceeded.
☑ Other (see below)

Comments: COC 20 F 04-013-001

For information on proper sample submittal procedures, contact WSCF Sample Custodian at 373-7423, 373-7001, or Sample Receiving at 373-7019.

Signature: [signature]
Date: 03-23-04
FIDLER Calibration

The FIDLER program is used to calibrate a FIDLER (Field Instrument for the Detection of Low-Energy Radiation) for measurement of plutonium contamination on the ground. However, the program can be applied to any instrument suitable for measuring external radiation levels and non-plutonium mixtures, e.g., using a Geiger-Mueller instrument for the measurement of 137Cs ground contamination.

When measuring plutonium ground contamination, the FIDLER is typically calibrated for the 60-keV gamma ray from the 241Am component of the mixture (typically of the order of 1000s of ppm by weight), or the low-energy x rays centered around 17 keV. Measurements using x rays are very sensitive to overburden, e.g., rain, contamination fixative, dust, etc., and generally not recommended. Measurements using the 60-keV gamma from 241Am are much less sensitive to the overburden effects due to their higher energy. FIDLER assumes that contamination lies entirely on the surface of the soil, characteristic of newly deposited activity. If significant weathering has occurred, a correction factor is required to account for the attenuation of the emitted photons as a function of soil depth. This correction factor should be based upon actual soil sample analyses.

Calibration of the FIDLER Detector

The standard FIDLER consists of a 12.7-cm diameter by 0.16-cm thick NaI(Tl) crystal. The detector entrance window is typically 0.025-cm thick beryllium. The crystal is connected to a photomultiplier tube, and the output of the tube is connected to a hand-held rate meter, or scaler.

A radiation detector can be calibrated by several methods for measuring surface contamination. As shown in Figure 1, the most obvious method is suspending the detector over a known area source. Here, every square meter of the source contains, for example, 1 μCi of 241Am, and the counts per minute (cpm) indicated on the instrument is, by definition, the areal counting efficiency for 241Am (cpm/[μCi·m2]). However, such a source is not practical in terms of size, cost, and transportability.
Semi-infinite plane Am-241 check source.

The following procedure describes a method for calibrating a detector to measure surface contamination with a single-point check source. Figure 2 shows the six measurement positions required for this calibration procedure. The calibration method was originally intended for a standard FIDLER instrument, but the technique is applicable to any suitable detector and contamination, e.g., a 2-in. diameter x 2-in.-thick NaI(Tl) detection system for surveying 137Cs ground contamination.
Radial check source position.

The primary goal of the calibration procedure is determining the instrument’s counting efficiency for an assumed uniform area contamination per square meter of surface. This parameter is referred to as the areal counting efficiency ($S_a$). This efficiency differs considerably from the simple point-source efficiency, which is commonly used to determine an instrument’s sensitivity for locating small "point" sources. Here, we must account for the instrument’s sensitivity for off-axis radiation sources and field of view. Figure 3 shows the count rate for a typical FIDLER system as a function of radial position of a 5.9-μCi 241Am check source.
Figure 3. Typical FIDLER calibration data.

**Determination of the Areal Counting Efficiency \((S_a)\)**

The FIDLER is suspended at height \((h)\) above the ground. The FIDLER’s areal counting efficiency \((S_a)\) for 241Am (using the 60-keV gamma ray), from a uniform distribution over a circular area \((A)\) of radius \((R)\) is—

\[
S_a = \frac{1}{q} \int C(r) \, dA
\]

(Eq. 1)

where:

- \(q\) = Activity in area, \(dA\) (\(\mu\)Ci).
- \(C(r)\) = Count rate from activity \((q)\) in area, \(dA\).

Because \(dA = r \, dr \, d\theta\),
\[
\left( 1 - 2 \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{U}_3 \right) \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\nu} \frac{\mathcal{E}_q}{\nu} - \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\mathcal{U}_2}{\nu} \right) \frac{\mathcal{U}_3}{\nu} \mathcal{U}_q - \left( 1 - \frac{\mathcal{U}_3}{\nu} \right) \frac{\mathcal{U}_2}{\nu} \mathcal{U}_q \right] \frac{\mathcal{U}_q}{\nu} \sum_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}_q}{\nu} \right) \right] \frac{b}{\nu} = v_S
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\nu} \int \frac{\nu}{\nu} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\nu} \int \frac{\nu}{\nu} \sum_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}_q}{\nu} \right) \right] \frac{b}{\nu} = v_S
\]

Letting \( C = \alpha \) in Eq. 4,

\[
\alpha = \rho
\]

Radial distance = \( r \)

Solution = \( q \)

\( \alpha \)-axis intercept = \( n \)

Where:

\[
\alpha_q = \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu} \right)
\]

Radial distance is well represented by a simple exponential function of the form.

The observed FIDLER count rate between any two adjacent calibration points is a function of measurements at 6 locations (\( 0, r \)). Each location being radially offset by 20 cm.

The determination of the areal counting efficiency requires the evaluation of

\[
\alpha_p \int_0^\infty \frac{b}{\nu} = v_S
\]

(3)

\[
\rho \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\nu} \int_0^\infty \frac{b}{\nu} = v_S
\]

(2)
where:

\[
\int r e^{br} dr = \frac{e^{br}}{b^2} (br - 1),
\]

\[
b_n = \ln \left( \frac{C(r_n)}{C(r_{n+1})} \right) \frac{1}{r_n - r_{n+1}}, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
a_n = C(r_n) e^{-b_n r_n}.
\]

This is the \( S_a \) value for a mixture consisting of 100% 241Am. Because we are interested in the \( S_a \) value for a plutonium mixture, we need to account for the activity fraction of 241Am in our actual sample mixture,

\[
S_{mix} = \frac{S_a}{f} \cdot \frac{\text{counts} - m^2}{\text{min} - \mu Ci_{mix}}
\]  
(Eq. 6)

where:

\( f = \) the ratio of alpha \( \mu Ci \) of mix to \( \mu Ci \) of 241Am.

The \( f \) ratio is a function of the assumed mix and is automatically determined once you have either selected the default mix and age, default mix and user-input age, or input a specific mix and age. The value of \( f \) is always displayed with the final calibration data (computer display) and is stated as follows:

"1 microcurie of Am-241 = 8.66E+00 alpha \( \mu Ci \) of MIX."

In this example, \( f = 8.66 \). Note: If you were actually measuring a mix of 100% 241Am, the \( f \) ratio = 1, and no adjustment of the \( S_a \) value is required. If you are calibrating the FIDLER using the 17-keV window, the x-ray abundance ratio of the 241Am check source to the plutonium mixture is automatically determined and used in calculating the 17-keV window efficiency. If you are measuring a specific nuclide, e.g., 137Cs, it is assumed your calibration source is identical to the "sample" mix, and again the \( f \) ratio is 1.
Once the areal counting efficiency has been determined for an instrument, a simple "spot check" calibration scheme based on the $K$ ratio is possible. The $K$ ratio is defined as the ratio ($K$) of the areal source counting efficiency ($S_a$) to the point source counting efficiency ($S_p$).

$$K = \frac{S_a}{S_p} \text{, m}^2$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Eq. 7)}

where:

$S_a = \text{cpm per } \mu\text{Ci/m}^2$.

$S_p = \text{cpm per } \mu\text{Ci}$.

Once the $K$ ratio has been determined for a particular instrument geometry, the ratio will remain relatively constant. The electronics might drift (e.g., the PRM-5-3 window shift away from the desired photopeak), but the system's $K$ ratio will not be affected. In other words, the $S_a$ and $S_p$ can change, but their ratio will remain constant. The "quick" calibration consists of measuring the point source efficiency ($S_p$) with an $^{241}$Am check source. The FIDLER's areal counting efficiency is then calculated by multiplying $S_p$ by the $K$ ratio. Any changes in the detection system will be accounted for by the current $S_p$ measurement. This allows for a quick field-calibration scheme. For example, a monitoring team can measure the point efficiency before and after a series of field measurements to confirm the status of the areal counting efficiency ($K \times S_p$). This provides an "audit" trail of the detector efficiency for post-survey data reduction and documentation.

The Limit of Sensitivity (LOS) is reported with a Type 1 and Type 2 error (alpha and beta) equal to 0.05. The following determines the LOS.

Scaler: (from Currie, 1975)

$$LOS = \frac{2.7 + 4.65 \sqrt{r_b / t_s}}{S_a} \text{, } \mu\text{Ci}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Eq. 8)}

Rate Meter:

$$LOS = \frac{3.3 \sqrt{r_b/2RC}}{S_a} \text{, } \mu\text{Ci}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Eq. 9)}

where:

$RC = \text{Rate meter time constant (min)}$.

$r_b = \text{Background count rate (cpm)}$.

$t_s = \text{Sample counting time (min)}$.

$S_a = \text{Areal counting efficiency (cpm/}[\mu\text{Ci/m}^2])$. 
The standard deviations of the areal counting efficiency and $K$ factor are also output to the calibration information display. If you are using a weak check source, short integration time, etc., this will be reflected in a large standard deviation.

Example FIDLER Calibration

A FIDLER will be used to survey a contaminated area following a recent event involving weapons-grade plutonium. No information on the plutonium mixture is available, but it is known that the mixture is 30 years old (i.e., 30 years since processing). The following data were obtained using the FIDLER at a ground-to-detector distance of 30 cm. Determine the FIDLER calibration constants.

Calibrate the FIDLER using a 5.9-μCi Am-241 check source. It is important to confirm the self-absorption characteristics of the source (e.g., due a paper label covering the activity material). The 60-keV gamma rays will not be appreciably attenuated in most check sources, and the absorption factor is assumed to be 1.00, i.e., SELF(60) = 1.00. However, the 17-keV x-ray absorption factor can be significant and is required information for the FIDLER calibration (unless you are only interested in 60-keV measurements). If you do not know the SELF(17) factor, you can always update the calibration data at a later date. In this example, we will assume a 17-keV self-absorption factor of 60%, i.e., SELF (17) = 0.60. In other words, 60% of the 17-keV x rays emitted and directed towards the FIDLER detector reach the detector (40% are attenuated by the source itself). The FIDLER code assumes a constant thickness of "overburden" material associated with the check source and automatically adjusts the increase in self-absorption path length as the FIDLER is position at increasing radial distances during the calibration procedure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibration Date</th>
<th>August 05, 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>John Doe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calibration Notes**

This is an actual FIDLER calibration, and the values are typical of most FIDLER configurations.

**Detector Information**

- **Manufacturer**: Harshaw
- **Model Number**: 35
- **Serial Number**: 66776
- **Bar Code Number**: 333

**Survey Meter Information**

- **Manufacturer**: Eberline
- **Model Number**: ESP2
- **Serial Number**: 33425
- **Bar Code Number**: 55555

*Current Calibration File Location Address*

C:\Hotspot2\FIDLER\current.fid
### FIDLER Calibration

#### Data Entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radial Position (cm)</th>
<th>60-keV Window Total, S (counts)</th>
<th>17-keV Window Total, S (counts)</th>
<th>Text File Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>36035</td>
<td>15048</td>
<td>Text File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>23118</td>
<td>8602</td>
<td>Save Text File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>9806</td>
<td>3148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>4614</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2504</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>View Saved Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1684</td>
<td>592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Efficiency (Sa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPM</th>
<th>ug/m²</th>
<th>uCi/m²</th>
<th>Detection Limits</th>
<th>Bkg</th>
<th>Source K</th>
<th>Sdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.6E+01</td>
<td>5.8E+02</td>
<td>2.6E+01</td>
<td>1.3E+01</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>36.085</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### QA Graph

```

```
### Plutonium Mixture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Halflife (years)</th>
<th>Initial Mixture Age = 0.00 years (weight%)</th>
<th>Current Mixture Age = 30.00 years (weight%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pu-238</td>
<td>87.74</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu-239</td>
<td>24.085</td>
<td>93.3400</td>
<td>93.2594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu-240</td>
<td>8.857</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>5.9809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu-241</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>0.5800</td>
<td>0.1362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu-242</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am-241</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.4309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current Mixture Specific Activity**

- **ALPHA Curie**
  - 0.0316 curie / gram

- **TOTAL Curie**
  - 0.2320 curie / gram

1 microcurie of Mix = 0.1609 microcurie of Am-241

1 microcurie of Am-241 = 6.2137 alpha microcurie of Mix
Hotspot FDLER Text File Output

Hotspot FDLER Calibration Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report Date</td>
<td>Nov 20 2006 03:24 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration Date</td>
<td>August 15, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Mix</td>
<td>Other Nuclide Check Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radionuclide</td>
<td>Cs-137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Barcode Number</td>
<td>property # WD 46885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meter Barcode Number</td>
<td>property # WD 46885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Manufacturer</td>
<td>Ortec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Model Number</td>
<td>DigiBase Multichannel Analyzer attached to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Serial Number</td>
<td>4 X 4 X 16 inch NaI Detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meter Manufacturer</td>
<td>Ortec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meter Model Number</td>
<td>Search System Software loaded onto:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meter Serial Number</td>
<td>Panasonic Toughbook Laptop Computer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check Source I.D.</td>
<td>WSCF200060197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration Date</td>
<td>August 15, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrated by</td>
<td>Jeff Pappin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check Source Activity (uCi)</td>
<td>2.930E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Check Source 17-kev Self</td>
<td>6.000E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Counting Time (minutes)</td>
<td>1.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector Height (cm)</td>
<td>2.800E+01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Cs-137 Window Information:

| Background (cpm)                  | 58,986                                     |
| Areal Limit of Sensitivity (uCi/m2)| 3.7E-02                                   |
| Point Limit of Sensitivity (uCi)  | 3.2E-02                                   |
| K-factor (m2)                     | 0.85                                       |

Counting Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance (cm)</th>
<th>Counts (cpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>163758.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>138408.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>102072.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>80748.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>72720.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>64998.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrument Type: Other

Window Option: Only 60 keV

Units: Classic

This is a 4x4x16 inch NaI calibration. Measurements taken outside with 6 source bottles buried flush to ground surface, detector is mounted to Gator. MCA total counts, full spectrum. Ten 1 second counts x 6 = 1 minute.

Detector Calibration Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Window Information:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector Efficiency (cpm/(uCi/m2))</td>
<td>3.0E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector Areal LOS (uCi/m2)</td>
<td>3.7E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector Point LOS (uCi)</td>
<td>3.2E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector Background Rate (cpm)</td>
<td>58.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector Check Source Rate (cpm)</td>
<td>104.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector K-Factor (m2)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs-137 Detector K-Factor sdev (%)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration Date</td>
<td>August 15, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Jeff Pappin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration Notes</td>
<td>This is a 4x4x16 inch NaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>calibration. Measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>taken outside with 6 source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bottles buried flush to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ground surface, detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mounted to Gator. MCA total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>counts, full spectrum. Ten 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sec counts x 6 = 1 minute.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detector Information**

- **Manufacturer**: Ortec
- **Model Number**: DigiBase Multichannel Analyzer attached to: 4 x 4 x 16 inch NaI Detector
- **Serial Number**: 46885
- **Bar Code Number**: property # WD 46885

**Survey Meter Information**

- **Manufacturer**: Ortec
- **Model Number**: Search System Software loaded onto: Panasonic Toughbook Laptop Computer
- **Serial Number**: property # WD 46885
- **Bar Code Number**: property # WD 46885

**Current Calibration File Location Address**

[C:\Hotspot20\FID\LER\Nov 20 2006 4 x 4 x 16 inch Gator.fd]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibration Setup</th>
<th>Equipment I.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counting Mode:</td>
<td>WSCF20060197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Calibration Source**

- **Nuclide**: Cs-137
- **Activity (uCi)**: 2.930

**Target Mixture Selection**

- Other Radionuclide (e.g., cesium-137, etc.)
## Calibration Data Entry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position (cm)</th>
<th>Total, S counts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.3758.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.8408.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.2072.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>8.0748.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>7.2720.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.4998.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>58.986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Efficiency (Sa) cpm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detection Limits</th>
<th>Bkg</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>sdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area uCl/m2</td>
<td>cpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point uCl/m2</td>
<td>cpm</td>
<td>cpm</td>
<td>m2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>3.0E+04</td>
<td>3.7E-02</td>
<td>3.2E-02</td>
<td>58986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QA Graph