

**EFFECT OF SALT IDENTITY ON THE PHASE DIAGRAM FOR A GLOBULAR
PROTEIN IN AQUEOUS ELECTROLYTE SOLUTION**

Mathias Boström¹, Frederico W. Tavares², Barry W. Ninham³, and John M. Prausnitz⁴

¹Department of Physics, Chemistry and Biology, Linköping University SE-581 83, Linköping,
Sweden,

²Escola de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Caixa Postal 68542, CEP 21949-
900, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil,

³Department of Applied Mathematics, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia,

⁴Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-1462,
USA and Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA
94720, USA.

ABSTRACT

Monte Carlo simulations are used to establish the potential of mean force between two globular proteins in an aqueous electrolyte solution. This potential includes non-electrostatic contributions arising from dispersion forces first, between the globular proteins, and second, between ions in solution and between each ion and the globular protein. These latter contributions are missing from standard models. The potential of mean force, obtained from simulation, is fitted to an analytic equation. Using our analytic potential of mean force and Barker-Henderson perturbation theory we obtain phase diagrams for lysozyme solutions that include stable and metastable fluid-

fluid and solid-fluid phases when the electrolyte is 0.2 M NaSCN or NaI or NaCl. The nature of the electrolyte has significant effect on the phase diagram.

I. Introduction

The phase behavior of colloidal solutions is relevant to a variety of technical applications. Examples include paints, inks, coatings and high-tech materials for optical, structural and medical purposes. In addition, for biotechnology it is important to understand the phase behavior of aqueous protein solutions [1]. However, previously published models cannot account for the effect of salt identity on the phase diagram; they do not explain the classical Hofmeister problem: why, for example, different concentrations of NaCl and NaSCN are needed to precipitate hen-egg-white protein from aqueous solution. Contrary to experiment, previous models predict that the required concentration for NaCl should be the same as that for NaSCN. In this work we show that inclusion of ion-specific dispersion potentials [2,3], missing from standard models of colloidal interactions, gives ion-specific phase diagrams that agree with experiment. Inclusion of these non-electrostatic dispersion potentials has previously been shown to explain ion specificity in protein-protein interactions when the salt concentration exceeds (about) 0.1 M [3].

Anderson and Lekkerkerker [4] (and others) have indicated that colloidal solutions display intriguing phase transitions spanning fluid-fluid, fluid-solid, and solid-solid phases. Ishimoto and Tanaka [5] were the first to report the existence of temperature-induced liquid-liquid phase separation in aqueous lysozyme-electrolyte solution. Taratuta et al. [6] showed that for such solutions, the cloud-point temperature depends on the choice of anion in the electrolyte solution.

We are here interested in the phase behavior of lysozyme solutions containing any one of three monovalent different salts, all at the same concentration. First-order perturbation theory,

combined with a realistic potential of mean force between two protein particles, allows prediction of the rich phase behavior. Our calculations require no adjustable fitting parameters.

Aqueous protein solutions often exist for a long time under metastable conditions [7]. Existence of a metastable region in a phase diagram may be important because it influences the rate of phase transformations, e.g., crystallization [4,8,9]. The literature provides numerous articles that discuss phase diagrams of colloidal and similar solutions; see, e.g., pertinent references in Tavares and Prausnitz [10].

Most articles in the literature present phase behavior in a temperature-density plot obtained from molecular simulations. However, for applications, especially for optimization in product and process design, it is preferable to use perturbation theory using an analytical potential of mean force acting between two aqueous proteins. We first describe how we use the Monte Carlo simulation to obtain the potential of mean force between two lysozyme particles. After fitting this potential to an analytic function, we then use first-order perturbation theory to predict the phase behavior of aqueous lysozyme solutions that contain a specific electrolyte. (We have earlier shown that first and second-order perturbation theories are nearly equivalent for calculating phase diagrams for this kind of potential [10]).

II. Potential of mean force for lysozyme

We calculated the potential of mean force between two macroions that mimic lysozyme at pH~4.3; the macroions were represented by hard spheres with effective diameter $\sigma = 33 \text{ \AA}$, bearing a charge of $+10 e^0$ [11]. In our calculations we include not only conventional electrostatic, hard-sphere and macroion-macroion dispersion (Hamaker) interactions, but also

ion-specific ion-ion and ion-macroion dispersion interactions. The dispersion interactions are the same as those used recently by Tavares et al. [3]. The ion-ion dispersion potentials are given by $U_{ion-ion} = B_{ion-ion} / (r)^6$; for ion-macroion, the potentials are $U_{ion-macroion} = B_{ion-macroion} / (r - r_p)^3$, where r is the center-to-center distance and $r_p = \sigma / 2$ is the radius of the protein. The dispersion coefficients $B_{ion-ion}$ depend on the ions and $B_{ion-macroion}$ depend on the ion and on the protein. The dispersion potentials are ion-specific because they depend on the polarizabilities of the ions and macroion. Following our previous work [3a], Table 1 gives dispersion coefficients (for SCN^- , the dispersion coefficients are taken from [3c]).

Standard canonical Monte Carlo simulation is used. During each run, the two macroion particles are fixed at a given separation, along the box diagonal, while the small ions are free to move throughout the box. The Ewald-sum method is applied to account for long-range electrostatic interactions. The large size of the protein requires that we perform simulations larger than those used by Tavares et al. [3a] to account for the large number of counterions physisorbed on the protein surface whenever the anion is highly polarizable. The cubic simulation box contains two proteins and 600 small ions; all of the latter have the same diameter (4 Å). We maintain overall charge neutrality. The volume of the box is adjusted to give the desired ionic strength, here fixed at 0.2 M. The box size is about one order of magnitude larger than the Debye screening length. For each macroion-macroion configuration we performed 60×10^6 trial moves for equilibration and 120×10^6 for production. Details of the simulation are similar to those given by Tavares et al. [3].

Figure 1 shows the ion-specific potential of mean force in three different monovalent salt solutions (0.2 M NaCl, 0.2 M NaI, and 0.2 M NaSCN). In addition to classical ion-ion, ion-

macroion and macroion-macroion electrostatic interactions, these potential include contributions from ion-ion and ion-protein dispersion forces. They become more attractive from NaCl to NaI to NaSCN, consistent with the experimental observation that NaSCN is much more effective in precipitating hen-egg-white protein than the other salts.

In our phase-diagram calculations, we used the potential of mean force calculated numerically from our simulations. The resulting potentials were fitted to a 5th-order polynomial in r/σ , as expressed in Table 2; results are shown in Figure 1.

The total potential of mean force is

$$W^{total} = W^{HS} + W^{Hamaker} + W^{MC} . \quad (1)$$

where superscript *MC* stands for Monte Carlo and *HS* for hard-sphere; for each salt the total potential of mean force is obtained by combining the result from Monte Carlo simulations with a hard-sphere potential:

$$W^{HS} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } r \leq \sigma \\ 0 & \text{for } r > \sigma \end{cases} , \quad (2)$$

and the well-known attractive Hamaker dispersion interaction [12]:

$$W^{Hamaker} = \begin{cases} \frac{-H}{12} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma+2\kappa)^2 - \sigma^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma+2\kappa)^2} + 2 \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma+2\kappa)^2} \right) \right) & \text{for } r \leq \sigma+2\kappa \\ \frac{-H}{12} \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{r^2 - \sigma^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{r^2} + 2 \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{r^2} \right) \right) & \text{for } r > \sigma+2\kappa \end{cases} . \quad (3)$$

where H is the Hamaker constant, here $10 k_B T_0$, and κ is the protein hydration-layer thickness, 1.5 Angstrom [12]. T_0 is 298K and k_B is Boltzmann's constant.

III. Phase diagrams in lysozyme solutions

Long-range attractive interactions between two molecules have a strong influence on fluid-fluid equilibria. As shown by numerous authors, the range of the attractive interaction affects the stability of a fluid-fluid transition (see references in Tavares and Prausnitz [10]). When the interaction potential becomes short-ranged, the stable fluid-fluid phase transition disappears and only the solid-fluid coexistence curve is thermodynamically stable. The range of attraction in the potential cannot be changed for ordinary fluids, but it can be adjusted in aqueous protein systems by adding, for example, a non-adsorbing polymer. Notably, as shown here, consistent with experiment, it can also be adjusted by changing the specific electrolyte.

We obtain thermodynamic properties and phase diagrams using pertinent first-order perturbation theories, one for the fluid and another for the solid. The potential of mean force (Equation (1)), is assumed to be independent of temperature. The total Helmholtz energy of the system, A , is the sum of the hard-sphere and perturbation contributions:

$$\frac{A}{Nk_B T} = \frac{A^{HS}}{Nk_B T} + \frac{A^P}{Nk_B T} \quad (4)$$

where A^{HS} is the total Helmholtz energy for the hard-sphere fluid (reference system) and A^P is the perturbation contribution. N is the number of molecules. The equation of state is obtained by differentiating the Helmholtz energy with respect to the packing fraction η :

$$Z = \eta \left(\frac{\partial \left(\frac{A}{Nk_B T} \right)}{\partial \eta} \right) = Z^{HS} + Z^P \quad (5)$$

where $Z = p/(\rho k_B T)$ is the compressibility factor of the system; p is the pressure, and ρ is the density. The packing fraction $\eta = (\pi \rho \sigma^3)/6$, and $\rho \sigma^3$ is the reduced density. Z^{HS} and Z^P are compressibility-factor contributions, one from the hard-sphere reference system and the other from the perturbation. Here, the perturbation part of the potential is the sum $W^{Hama\text{ker}} + W^{MC}$. The chemical potential of the protein, μ , is obtained from:

$$\frac{\mu}{k_B T} = \frac{A}{Nk_B T} + Z = \frac{\mu^{HS}}{k_B T} + \frac{\mu^P}{k_B T} \quad (5)$$

where μ^{HS} and μ^P are, respectively, the hard-sphere and perturbation contributions. For the hard-sphere contribution, we use the Carnahan-Starling equation for the fluid and the Velasco et al. model for the solid ([10,13] and references therein).

We use first-order Barker-Henderson perturbation theory to calculate the perturbation contribution to the Helmholtz energy for the fluid and for the solid as discussed previously by Tavares and Prausnitz [10].

For calculating the phase diagram at a fixed temperature, either for solid-fluid or for fluid-fluid coexistence, we use the conventional equations for phase equilibria:

$$\mu' = \mu'' \quad (6)$$

$$p' = p'' \quad (7)$$

where superscripts ' and '' refer to phases.

In the isothermal equations for phase equilibria, chemical potential μ and pressure p are functions of density (or packing fraction). There are two unknowns: the density of phase ' and the density of ''. These densities of the coexisting phases are obtained by simultaneous solution of Eqs. (6) and (7).

Using first-order perturbation theory and the potential of mean force described above, we obtain the phase diagrams shown in Fig. 2. The three different electrolytes give significantly different results. At low packing fractions, the systems with 0.2 M NaCl and 0.2 M NaI show stable fluid-fluid phase transitions. However, for liquid-liquid equilibria, sodium iodide exhibits a critical temperature higher than that for sodium chloride. At higher packing fractions, these electrolytes give stable fluid-solid phase transition. However, in 0.2 M NaSCN with its large attractive potential of mean force, the fluid-fluid phase transition is metastable, i.e., at a fixed temperature smaller than the fluid-fluid critical point, Eqs (6) and (7) give two possibilities of phase coexistence, solid-fluid and fluid-fluid, but the chemical potentials obtain for solid-fluid calculations are smaller than those for fluid-fluid. Therefore, the solid-fluid equilibrium is stable when compared with fluid-fluid equilibrium. At all packing fractions this system shows a stable

fluid-solid phase transition, consistent with laboratory use of 0.2 M NaSCN for precipitating lysozyme and consistent with light-scattering data that indicate more attractive lysozyme-lysozyme interactions in aqueous NaSCN than in aqueous NaCl [12,14].

IV. Conclusions

Calculated phase diagrams for aqueous lysozyme solutions with three different electrolytes show, in accord with experiment, that interactions between a pair of lysozyme particles become more attractive when the 0.2 M electrolyte changes from NaCl to NaI to NaSCN. Our calculations take into account that, at constant ionic strength, phase transition equilibria depend on the choice of salt. This dependence can be traced to differences in adsorption of ions to the macroion surface caused by ion-ion and ion-macroion dispersion potentials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For financial support M.B. thanks the Swedish Research Council; J.M.P. thanks the Office for Basic Sciences of the US dept. of Energy; F.W.T. thanks CNPq and FAPERJ.

REFERENCES

[1] Evans, D. F.; Wennerström, H. The colloidal domain: where physics, chemistry, biology, and technology meet, 2nd ed., New York, 1999.

- [2] Boström, M.; Williams, D.R.M.; Ninham, B. W. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, 87, 168103/1.
- [3] (a) Tavares, F. W.; Bratko, D.; Blanch, H.; Prausnitz, J. M. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, 108, 9228; (b) Boström, M.; Tavares, F.W.; Bratko, D.; Ninham, B.W. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2005, ASAP article; (c) Boström, M.; Williams, D. R. M.; Ninham, B. W. *Biophys. J.* 2003 85, 686.
- [4] Anderson, V. J. ; Lekkerkerker, H. N. W. *Nature*, 2002, 416, 811.
- [5] Ishimoto, C.; Tanaka, T. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1977, 39, 474.
- [6] Taratuta, V. G.; Holschenbach, A.; Thurston, G. M.; Blankshtein, D.; Benedek, G. B. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1990, 94, 2140.
- [7] Debenedetti, P. G. *Metastable Liquids: Concepts and Principles*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [8] Renth, F.; Poon, W.C.K; Evans, R.M.L. *Phys. Rev. E* 2001, 64, 031402.
- [9] Evans, R.M.L.; Poon, W.C.K.; Renth, F. *Phys. Rev. E* 2001, 64, 031403.
- [10] Tavares, F. W. ; Prausnitz, J. M. *Colloid. Polym. Sci.* 2004, 282, 620.
- [11] (a) Teske, C. A.; Blanch, H. W.; Prausnitz, J. M. *Fluid Phase Equilibria* 2004, 219, 139; (b) Kuehner, D.E.; Prausnitz, J.M.; Fergg, F.; Wernick, M.; Blanch, H.W.; Engmann, J. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1999, 103, 1368–1374.
- [12] Curtis, R. A.; Ulrich, J.; Montaser, A.; Prausnitz, J. M.; Blanch, H. W. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 2002, 79, 367.
- [13] Striolo, A.; Tavares, F. W.; Bratko, D.; Blanch, H. W.; Prausnitz, J. M. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 5, 4851.
- [14] Boström, M.; Tavares, F.W.; Finet, S.; Skouri-Panet, F.; Tardieu, A.; Ninham, B.W. *Biophys. Chem.* 2005, 117, 217.

Table 1 - Dispersion coefficients for ion-ion and for ion-macroion interactions from Tavares et al. [3a], except the dispersion coefficients for SCN^- from Ref. [3c] .

Ion	$B_{ion-ion} (10^{-80} Jm^6)$	$B_{ion-Na^+} (10^{-80} Jm^6)$	$B_{ion-macroion} (10^{-50} Jm^3)$
Na^+	-5.4	-5.4	-0.45
Cl^-	-330.3	-26.9	-3.57
I^-	-965.6	-40.9	-4.44
SCN^-	-1930	-82.5	-10.0

Table 2 - Potential of mean force obtained from Monte Carlo Simulation. The fitted potential is

$$W^{MC}/(k_B T_0) = y_0 + as + bs^2 + cs^3 + ds^5, \text{ where } s = r/\sigma \text{ and } 1 < s < 1.3. \text{ The coefficients } y_0, a,$$

b, c and d are obtained by a non-weighted least-squares minimization method. These expressions correlate well the Monte Carlo data.

SALT	y_0	a	b	c	d
<i>NaCl</i>	-224.32	819.4	-1027.56	471.879	-37.9813
<i>NaI</i>	494.621	-1505.25	1623.79	-654.982	42.2869
<i>NaSCN</i>	1623	-5274	6008	-2537	175.9




Fig. 1. Effect of electrolyte on the protein-protein potential of mean force (W^{MC}) at 298 K, obtained from Monte Carlo simulations, when that salt concentration is 0.2 M. These calculations exclude the direct lysozyme-lysozyme Hamaker dispersion interaction. The lysozyme charge is $+10 e^0$ (for pH~4.3) and the lysozyme hard-sphere diameter, σ , is 3.3 nanometers. The ion-ion and ion-lysozyme dispersion parameters are given in Table 1. All (small) ion diameters are 4 Angstrom. Here $T_0=298$ K.




Fig. 2. Phase diagrams calculated from first-order perturbation theory for three aqueous lysozyme solutions containing NaCl, NaI or NaSCN. For all cases, salt concentration is 0.2 M. Stable fluid-fluid and fluid-solid transitions appear for solutions containing NaCl and NaI (solid lines). When the electrolyte is NaSCN, the stable transition is the fluid-solid in all packing fraction range. The dashed line represents a meta-stable fluid-fluid transition when NaSCN is the electrolyte. Here T_0 is 298K.