Abstract—The CDF experiment uses a three-level trigger system to select events produced during \( p\bar{p} \) collisions. As the luminosity of the Tevatron accelerator falls by a factor of four over a 24 hour period, trigger selections are adjusted automatically in order to make full use of the data processing bandwidth. The selections are made to maximize high purity triggers and keep the deadtime as low as possible at any given luminosity throughout the entire course of a run. We describe the algorithms used to obtain these goals and how the changing conditions are accounted for in the analysis of the data.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Tevatron is the highest energy collider currently in operation. It is located at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory in Batavia, IL. The Tevatron collides proton and antiproton beams of 980 Gev each in two interaction points where the CDF and D0 experiments are currently taking data. The CDF experiment [ref. 1] is a multipurpose detector with a broad high energy physics program studying many interesting physics processes like:

- Top quark measurements
- Precision Electro-Weak studies
- Search for new phenomena
- B physics
- Search for Higgs boson

The cross sections of these processes vary by a factor of \( 10^{10} \), going from 50 mb of inelastic \( p\bar{p} \) collisions to a 7pb for \( t\bar{t} \) and 0.1pb for a light Higgs.

The Tevatron provides collisions at a rate of 1.7 MHz. The average event size of CDF is about 250 kB. Clearly we cannot write or analyze data at this rate. We need to scale down to a manageable rate of 40 MB/s to tape. So the trigger system is crucial and must reject 99.99% of beam crossing rate but keep the events of interest with high efficiency.

A. CDF Trigger System - Deadtime

1) CDF Trigger System: The CDF experiment employs a three-level trigger system [see fig. 2 for details] to select events and achieve an output rate of approximately 150 Hz, the current limit to write on tape.

The Level 1 is implemented with a synchronous 42 stage pipeline hardware trigger with an accept rate up to 35kHz. A Level 1 decision is returned to the front-end crates in about 5\( \mu \)sec after bunch crossing time. When an event is accepted at Level 1, it is moved to one of four Level 2 buffers. At the same time the Level 1 information is sent to the Level 2 decision stage where some limited event reconstruction is performed and a Level 2 decision is taken. The Level 2 trigger operates asynchronously and is a combination of custom electronics for reconstruction and a cpu for decision (about 35\( \mu \)sec) and an accept rate up to 800 Hz.

When an event is accepted at Level 2, the corresponding Level 2 buffer is read out and the data are transferred to the Level 3 for a full event reconstruction. If the event is accepted by Level 3, is then written to tape with an output rate of about 40 MB/s. The overall data rejection is therefore 1:10000.

2) Deadtime: If all four Level 2 buffers fill up, CDF will incur in Deadtime. Infact, if all four buffers are full, it is not possible for the system to accept an otherwise good Level 1 trigger event: we refer to this as Deadtime. The deadtime has two primary sources:

- the product of (L1 Accept rate) * (L2 Processing time) is large
- the product of (L2 Accept rate) * (Readout time) is large

The Deadtime can be controlled adjusting trigger rates to stay within the DAQ limits. The CDF collaboration’s goal is to keep deadtime below 5%.

In addition to selection based on quantities such as calorimeter energy or charged particle track moments in the trigger systems, we can limit the number of events from high rate processes accepting only a fraction of the events that pass the
Fig. 2. Example behaviour of dynamic prescaling: A-F refer to the stages of running described in the text.

selection criteria: this is called a Prescale of N.

3) Luminosity, Trigger Rates and Deadtime: Luminosity is a measure of the beam intensity. As collisions remove particles from the beams, the luminosity decreases with time [see fig. 3]. As the luminosity drops, if we do nothing, the trigger rate also decreases and eventually there will be very small rates of interesting processes to be written on tape. On the other hand, the rates decrease, more data acquisition bandwidth becomes available. To make use this available bandwidth at all times CDF relies on a special system to relax trigger selection criteria during data taking so that we improve physics yield while keeping the total Level 1 and Level 2 trigger rates stable. This method is called Dynamic Prescaling.

**B. Dynamic Prescaling**

Dynamic Prescaling is used for both Level 1 and Level 2 trigger selections. The adjustments of dynamic prescales follows rules that take into account the total and individual trigger rates. Both Level 1 and Level 2 dynamically prescaled triggers are controlled by parameters that define a Maximum, a Default and a Minimum prescale values that are stored in an Oracle Database, along with the trigger cut values.

Two trigger rate ranges of operation, High and Low Range, are defined for both Level 1 and Level 2. A Java program adjusts the prescale values to keep the total rate in one of those target ranges. Changes happen based on rate information accumulated on a time scale of minutes and the amount of the prescale change depends on the available bandwidth available.

Fig. 2 shows the behavior of the total trigger rate (for either Level 1 or Level 2) as a function of time.

The following steps of operation can be seen:

A) At the beginning of the colliding period (called store) the prescales are set to their Maximum values.

B) The total trigger rate starts decreasing, and when it reaches the lower end of the High range, the prescales are lowered from Maximum toward Default to set the total rate to the target of upper end of High range.

C) The process repeats until all prescales are at their Default values.

D) All prescales are at Default values.

E) When the rate reaches the lower limit of the Low range, the prescales are adjusted from Default towards Minimum.

F) When all prescales are at Minimum, no more prescale changes will take place, and the data taking will continue in these conditions until the store ends.

Fig. 4 and 5 show, respectively, the Level 1 Accept and Level 2 Accept rates versus time where are clearly visible the rate’s increases due to the dynamic prescaling. Most noticeable in fig. 5 is the steady L2 rate for the whole data taking period, despite the luminosity falling of a factor of four. Fig. 6 shows the Deadtime for the same period of data taking.

**C. Prescale Accounting**

Many data analyses measure rates of physics processes, or cross sections.

Since the cross section of a physics process is constant but the background (other processes’ signals that can simulate it) grows with increasing luminosity, we cannot simply calculate the prescale efficiency as simple ratio of totals in a given run.

We need to evaluate the “effective integrated luminosity” per each prescaled trigger. In order to do this we take advantage of the fact that the data collected is divided in small units, called
“run sections”, which are short compared to the changing operating conditions. Therefore, in each run section, the luminosity changes are so small the luminosity can be considered constant. For each run sections, the number of events Before \((B_i)\) and After \((A_i)\) prescale is recorded for each trigger. We can then perform numeric integration by summing over run sections to get the effective luminosity for each trigger

\[
\int L_{eff} = \sum_i (A_i/B_i)\left(\int Ldt\right)_i
\]

This information is recorded in an Oracle Database to be used in physics data analysis.

II. CONCLUSIONS

The CDF experiment uses powerful techniques to control trigger rates and deadtime during data taking. Releasing trigger prescales maximizes the physics content on tape and the feedback based on rates gives operational stability, since the system responds automatically to changes in conditions for both individual and total trigger rates. The changed trigger conditions are accounted for in the analysis of the data.
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