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Future energy scaling of high-energy chirped-pulse amplification systems will benefit from the capability to coherently tile diffraction gratings into larger apertures. Design and operation of a novel, accurate alignment diagnostics for coherently tiled diffraction gratings is required for successful implementation of this technique. An invariant diffraction direction and phase for special moves of a diffraction grating is discussed, allowing simplification in the design of the coherently tiled grating diagnostics. An analytical proof of the existence of a unique diffraction grating eigenvector for translational and rotational motion which conserves the diffraction direction and diffracted wave phase is presented.

Fast ignition\(^1\) for high-yield inertial confinement fusion (ICF) represents an attractive route to enhancement of conventional ICF driven by nanosecond lasers. Recent experiments\(^2\) suggest that the delivery of energy in excess of 100 kJ in 10-20 ps pulses to the compressed target will be needed for efficient full-scale fast ignition. Production of energy on this scale utilizing a chirped-pulse amplification (CPA) laser system represents a formidable challenge. This is particularly the case due to limited apertures and energy handling capability of diffraction gratings in the pulse compressors of such laser systems.\(^3\) Coherent tiling of gratings for high energy laser pulse compression has been proposed\(^4\) and recently demonstrated\(^5\) as a route to overcome the limited energy handling of diffraction gratings. Remaining challenges to the full adoption of this technique include the design and operation of coherently tiled grating alignment diagnostics and the interpretation of the misaligned grating diagnostics signatures. In this Letter we report the existence of a unique eigenvector for diffraction grating translational and rotational motion. Grating diffraction direction is unaffected by the grating translation in the direction parallel to, or rotation around the axis parallel to this grating eigenvector, unique to a selected diffraction order. Multiple practical design features and capabilities of the coherently tiled grating diagnostics will be enabled by taking advantage of the existence of diffraction eigenvectors.

Maintaining alignment of the multiple gratings presents a significant technological challenge in any implementation of the coherent grating tiling concept. Of the six possible rigid-body motions (three translational and three rotational) of one grating relative to another, only one, translation along the grooves, obviously does not affect the phasing of the gratings. As pointed out earlier,\(^5\) since there are only three
possible errors in the wavefront: tip, tilt, and path length, the effect on phasing of the five
remaining rigid-body motions must be coupled. This may lead to a significant reduction
in the complexity of controls needed to maintain grating alignment since one motion may
be used to compensate for errors in another.

Fig. 1 shows two gratings with groove spacing $d$ displaced from each other in a
direction perpendicular to the grooves. The magnitude of the displacement of some
Corresponding point on the grooves of each grating is characterized by $p$, the amount of
piston motion, and $t$, the amount of translation in the plane of the grating surface. A plane
wave is incident on the gratings with a propagation direction $\Theta$ from the grating normal.
As a result of the translation, the optical path difference ($OPD$) for the light striking
grating 2 compared to the path length to grating 1 is $p \cos(\Theta) - t \sin(\Theta)$. Identical analysis
can be done to find the $OPD$ for the diffracted wave. Using the sign convention that a
diffracted angle on the opposite side of the normal from a positive incidence angle is
positive and denoting the diffracted angle by $\Theta'$, the total $OPD$ due to the translation is

$$OPD = p[\cos(\Theta) + \cos(\Theta')] - t[\sin(\Theta) - \sin(\Theta')]. \quad (1)$$

The diffraction from a pair of gratings displaced with respect to each other is identical to
two perfectly aligned gratings when the $OPD$ equals an integral number of waves.
Without loss of generality we can consider alignment to be achieved when $OPD=0$.
Adding an integral number of waves corresponds to shifting the second grating by an
integral number of grooves. The gratings will remain phased provided the translation
direction satisfies

$$\frac{p}{t} = \frac{\sin(\Theta) - \sin(\Theta')}{\cos(\Theta) + \cos(\Theta')} = \tan \left( \frac{\Theta - \Theta'}{2} \right). \quad (2)$$
Eq. (2) shows that the motion that results in zero \( OPD \) is a translation perpendicular to the bisector of the input and diffracted wave vectors. Thus the translational eigenvector for a diffraction grating is parallel to the bisector of the input and diffracted wave vectors. As a consequence of this result and the invariance of the system to translation along the grating grooves, only one of the three rigid-body translations contributes to the \( OPD \) at a single wavelength. Any translational motion which is not parallel to either of the motions that leave the \( OPD \) invariant can be used to control the \( OPD \) in a phased grating system. In practice, the piston, \( p \), would seem to be the easiest variable to control.

We next consider the rotational eigenmotion of the diffraction grating. Rotation of the grating leads to conical diffraction. The vector form of the grating equation is used in this analysis\(^6\) for treatment of general conical diffraction. After preliminaries to establish notations, the existence of the rotational eigenmotion is demonstrated. It is shown that the rotational eigenvector is identical to the translational eigenvector defined by Eq. (2), i.e. parallel to the bisector of the input and diffracted wave vectors. The grating equation in vector form is\(^6\)

\[
(S - S') \times N = m \frac{\lambda}{d} G.
\]

The notation is explained in Fig. 2. \( N \) and \( G \) are unit vectors fixed to the grating and in the direction of the outward normal and along the grooves, respectively. The unit vector in the plane of the grating and normal to the grooves, \( P = N \times G \), is also needed for the analysis. The vectors \( S \) and \( S' \) are the unit vectors in the direction of the incident and diffracted waves, respectively. Several other mutually orthogonal sets of unit vectors will be used in the subsequent development. Let us define \( \Lambda \) as the unit vector parallel to the bisector of the angle between the incident and diffracted wave vectors, i.e. the grating
translation eigenvector. The grating is rotated by an angle $\Phi$ about the rotation axis parallel to $\Lambda$. A coordinate system independent of $\Phi$ which coincides with $(P, N, G)$ when $\Phi=0$ is denoted by $(X, Y, Z)$. The incident wave direction does not rotate and is in the $(X, Y)$ plane. At $\Phi=0$, the diffracted wave direction is also the $(X, Y)$ plane. It is be helpful to define a coordinate system $(X', Y', Z)$ which is generated from the $(X, Y, Z)$ system by rotating about the $Z$ axis to bring the $Y$ axis into the rotation axis. It can then be seen that the unit vectors in this system are $(X', Y', Z)$ where

$$Y' = \frac{S - S'}{\sqrt{2(1 - S \cdot S')}} \text{ and } X' = Y' \times Z.$$ (7)

Since $G = Z$ when $\Phi = 0$, it is readily seen that

$$G = Z \cos(\Phi) + X' \sin(\Phi).$$ (8a)

It can be also shown that

$$N = Y' (Y' \cdot Y) + (Y' \times G)(X' \cdot Y)$$ (8b)

$$P = (Y' \times G)(Y' \cdot Y) - Y' (Y' \cdot X)$$

With the notation established, the proof that rotation about the bisector of the incident and diffracted wave directions leaves the diffracted wave direction unchanged is straightforward. Taking the scalar product of Eq. (6) with $P$ gives

$$G \cdot (S - S'(\Phi)) = 0.$$ (9)

From the definition of $Y'$ and Eq. (8a), it can also be seen that

$$G \cdot (S - S'(0)) = 0,$$ (10)

and therefore

$$G \cdot (S'(\Phi) - S'(0)) = 0.$$ (11).
Next, take the scalar product of Eq. (6) with $G$ to get
\[ P \cdot (S - S'(\phi)) = m \frac{\lambda}{d}. \] (12)

From Eqs. (6), (7), and (8b) we can also find
\[ G \cdot (S - S'(0)) = m \frac{\lambda}{d}, \] (13)
and therefore
\[ P \cdot ((\phi) - S'(0)) = 0. \] (14)

Now according to Eqs. (11) and (14), the two unit vectors $S'(\Phi)$ and $S'(0)$ have identical components in each of two mutually orthogonal directions. This requires that the two unit vectors are equal. Thus the invariance is proven. Of the three independent rigid-body rotations, one, rotation about the bisector of the incident and diffracted rays, does not affect the diffracted wavefront. Therefore, similar to the situation in the previous section, any two rotations not parallel to this eigenmotion need to be controlled in order to phase the gratings at one wavelength. Experimental mounting a 600-mm$^{-1}$ grating and the use of a 543-nm laser beam confirmed the existence of the grating rotation eigenvector.

It has been shown above that the coupling of degrees of freedom of the grating motion may be expressed very intuitively in terms of two ‘eigenmotions’ of the relative positions of the gratings that leave the diffracted waves phased. The two eigenmotions (translational and rotational) share the identical eigenvector, which is defined by the bisector of the incident and diffracted wave vectors. Only the three degrees of freedom orthogonal to these eigenmotions must be controlled to maintain coherence between the gratings for a monochromatic beam. However, these eigenmotions depend both on the wavelength and the incidence angle of the incoming light and this has significant
implications for the application of phased gratings to CPA systems. The wavelength
dependence means that there is no one eigenmotion that will maintain the phasing over
the entire bandwidth of the chirped pulse. The dependence on angle of incidence implies
that any diagnostic that utilizes an alignment laser must operate at the same angle of
incidence as the high-energy chirped-pulse beam. If the diagnostic beam does not share
the grating eigenvector with the use beam, the motions for which the diagnostic is not
sensitive will affect the use beam. The effect of the spectral bandwidth, diagnostic beam
direction, and differences in groove density on grating tiling will be the subject of the
forthcoming analysis.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Energy by the
University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No.
W-7405-Eng-48.
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Figure 1. OPD for a wave incident at an angle $\Theta$ on a set of parallel gratings displaced by the piston motion $p$ and lateral motion $t$. The two gratings are assumed to have identical grating period $d$.

Figure 2. (a) Notation for grating conical diffraction analysis. The unit vector normal to the grating surface is denoted by $N$, while the unit vector along the grooves is denoted by $G$. The unit vector in the plane of grating and normal to the grooves is denoted by $P$. The incident and diffracted wave unit vectors are $S$ and $S'$, respectively. The reflection vector is denoted by $R$. In (b) and (c) we show the rotation of the grating about the grating eigenvector $\Lambda$ by 45° and 90°, respectively.
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