Comparing Soil Carbon of Short Rotation Poplar Plantations with Agricultural Crops and Woodlots in North Central United States

MARK D. COLEMAN*

USDA Forest Service
Savannah River Southern Research Station
P.O. Box 700
New Ellenton, South Carolina, 29809, USA

J. G. ISEBRANDS

Environmental Forestry Consultants, LLC
P.O. Box 54
New London, Wisconsin 54961, USA

DAVID N. TOLSTED

USDA Forest Service, North Central Research Station
5985 Hwy K
Rhinelander, Wisconsin, 54501, USA

VIRGINIA R. TOLBERT

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008
Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 37831, USA

ABSTRACT / We collected soil samples from 27 study sites across North Central United States to compare the soil carbon of short rotation poplar plantations to adjacent agricultural crops and woodlots. Soil organic carbon (SOC) ranged from

20 to more than 160 Mg/ha across the sampled sites. Lowest SOC levels were found in uplands and highest levels in riparian soils. We attributed differences in bulk density and SOC among cover types to the inclusion of woodlot soils in the analysis. Paired comparison found few differences between poplar and agricultural crops. Sites with significant comparisons varied in magnitude and direction. Relatively greater SOC was often observed in poplar when native soil carbon was low, but there were important exceptions. Woodlots consistently contained greater SOC than the other crops, especially at depth. We observed little difference between paired poplar and switchgrass, both promising bioenergy crops. There was no evidence of changes in poplar SOC relative to adjacent agricultural soils when considered for stand ages up to 12 years. Highly variable native SOC levels and subtle changes over time make verification of soil carbon sequestration among land cover types difficult. In addition to soil carbon storage potential, it is therefore important to consider opportunities offered by long-term sequestration of carbon in solid wood products and carbon-offset through production of bioenergy crops. Furthermore, short rotation poplars and switchgrass offer additional carbon sequestration and other environmental benefits such as soil erosion control, runoff abatement, and wildlife habitat improvement.

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) has increased dramatically since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution as a result of human activities (Keeling and others 1995, Houghton and others 2001). The primary causes of CO₂ increases are worldwide fossil fuel burning, biomass burning, and cement manufacturing. These activities are, in turn, tied to the expanding world population and a rising demand for energy. If the steady increase of CO₂ continues, there may be profound effects on the environment and the world economy from a "greenhouse effect" that has led to

global warming of the atmosphere (Houghton and others 2001).

Even if energy technology improves, atmospheric CO₂ is predicted to continue increasing until the year 2100. Realistic mitigation options are needed to decrease emissions to the atmosphere (Rubin and others 1992). The International Climate Change Treaty, known as the Kyoto Protocol, recognized removal of CO₂ from the atmosphere by plants as a valid approach to mitigating climate change (Marland and Schlamadinger 1999), and identified the need to conduct long-term monitoring of carbon stocks with various land uses (Sarmiento and Wofsy 1999).

Ideally, policies can be formed that simultaneously achieve both carbon sequestration and increased agricultural (and forest) productivity. The soil plays an important intermediary roll between fixed organic carbon, and atmospheric carbon, primarily through exchange of CO₂. Retention and accumulation of soil

KEY WORDS: Carbon sequestration; Hybrid poplar; Switchgrass; Soil bulk density; Bioenergy; Climate change

Published online March 4, 2004.

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed, email: mcoleman01@fs.fed.us

carbon is critical for sustaining quality and productivity of agricultural and forest soils. There are a number of land management practices that can increase soil carbon sequestration including reestablishment of perennial vegetation (Binkley and others 1997, Bruce and others 1999).

Large-scale forest plantations have great potential for sequestering atmospheric carbon and offsetting the greenhouse effect (Sedjo 1989, Birdsey 1992, Dewar and Cannell 1992, Nilsson and Schopfhauser 1995, Sedjo and others 1997, Schimel and others 2000). Short rotation woody crops and other renewable bioenergy crops can also offset carbon emissions to the atmosphere through fossil fuel displacement (Schlamadinger and Marland 1996, Tolbert and others 2000, Tuskan and Walsh 2001). However, soil organic carbon (SOC) may initially decline during establishment of short rotation poplar plantations (Hansen 1993), followed by a predicted increase after 5 years (Grigal and Berguson 1998). More information is needed on carbon sequestration potential of short rotation poplars. There is also a critical need to overcome challenges in measurement, monitoring, and verifying changes of SOC in the field because of heterogeneity of soils, environmental conditions, and land use history (Post and others 1999).

In this research, we expand the baseline SOC sequestration information on short rotation poplar plantations in comparison to adjacent agricultural crops, switchgrass, and farm woodlots in North Central United States. Our research addresses the following questions: 1) will short rotation poplar plantations accelerate soil organic carbon sequestration when compared to agricultural crops; 2) if so, when in the rotation, and 3) how does soil carbon sequestration of short rotation poplars compare to that of adjacent farm woodlots?

Materials and Methods

Site Selection

The 27 sites included in our inventory of soil carbon stocks were located in Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, and North Dakota, USA, and selected from several poplar plantation networks established during the past 2 decades (Figure 1, Table 1). We chose sites based on stocking (1600 to 1333 stems/ha), stem quality, age (1- to 12-year-old), and presence of adjacent agricultural crops and woodlots. Older stands were chosen from a regional plantation network established by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA)-Forest Service during 1987 and 1988 (Hansen and others 1994, Netzer and others 2002). Younger poplar stands were selected from

Figure 1. Location of soil organic carbon study sites across the North Central region, USA. Labels indicate the county in which the sites are located (full names are listed in Table 1).

plantations established (mostly in Minnesota) as part of the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) that encourages tree planting (USDA 2002). We sampled a range of plantation ages, including younger plantings, to evaluate the validity of model-predicted initial declines in SOC in short rotation poplar stands (Grigal and Berguson 1998). Productivity of the regional plantation network averaged 6.7 ± 1.9 Mg/ha/yr at age 8 (Netzer and others 2002). Younger plantations were at the high end of this range because of improved technology.

We also selected sites to represent the range of site qualities used for poplar plantations. Bottomlands are high-quality poplar sites, but difficult to farm because of frequent flooding. These bottomland sites have rich organic soils compared to upland sites. Upland sites varied in soil quality, some sandy with low nutrient-holding capacity and others with finer texture soil.

Poplar stands were selected for occurrence of adjacent agricultural land. We chose two types of adjacent agricultural lands for comparison: those with a history of row crop agriculture with regular annual cultivation, and those with perennial hay crops where cultivation did not typically occur, and the forage crop was removed once or twice a year. Where possible we sampled switchgrass, because it is emerging as a potential bioenergy crop (Tolbert and others 2000), but in the North Central region, poplar and switchgrass plantations

Table 1. North Central region; USA short rotation poplar soil carbon study sites

Location ^a	County	Topography	Soil texture	Clone	Planting date	Age at sampling (Mon)	Companion site
<i>Minnesota</i>							
Alexandria, Erickson	Douglas	Upland	Fine-loam	NM6	1994	51	CRP
Alexandria, Grundman	Douglas	Upland	Fine sand	NM2	1992	75	Soybeans
Alexandria, Kreyer	Oter Tail	Upland	Sandy-loam	NM6	1994	51	Oats/woodlot
Alexandria, Stroot	Douglas	Upland	Sandy-loam	NM6	1994	51	Corn
Birchdale	Koochiching	Upland	Clay-loam	DN5	1995	39	Alfalfa
Cloquet	Carlton	Upland	Silt-loam	NM6	1988	123	Hay
Fairfax	Renville	Flood plain	Silt-loam	DN17	1994	51	Soybeans/woodlot
Fertile	Norman	Seasonally wet	Loamy-fine sand	DN34/NM6	1990	123	—
Milaca	Mille Lacs	Seasonally wet	Silt-loam	NM2	1987	135	Alfalfa
Montevideo, Gibson	Chippewa	Upland	Silty-clay-loam	DN34/DN182	1996	51	Corn
Montevideo, Minn. River	Lac Qui Parle	Flood plain	Silty-clay-loam	Mix	2000	3	Riparian forest
Moose Lake	Carlton	Seasonally wet	Loam	NM6	1993	63	CRP
New Ulm	Brown	Flood plain	Silt-loam	DN34	1997	15	Switchgrass
Oklee	Red Lake	Seasonally wet	Sandy-loam	Mix	1990	123	Hay/switchgrass/woodlot
Raymond	Chippewa	Flood plain	Silt-loam	Mix	1999	5	Sugar beets/woodlot
Staples	Todd	Seasonally wet	Sandy-loam	DN164	1993	63	Hay
Westport, Rosholt Farm	Pope	Upland	Loam	Mix	1995	50	Switchgrass
<i>Wisconsin</i>							
Arlington	Columbia	Upland	Silt-loam	NM6	1991	87	Corn
Hancock	Waushara	Upland	Sand	NM154	1991	87	Potatoes
LaCrosse	LaCrosse	Ridge	Silt-loam	Crandon	1992	75	Clover/woodlot
Lancaster	Grant	Ridge	Silt-loam	NM6	1991	87	Corn-wheat rotation
Lodi	Columbia	Upland	Silt-loam	NM6	1996	27	Corn/woodlot
Mondovi	Buffalo	Upland	Silt-loam	NM2	1987	135	CRP
Willow Springs	Price	Upland	Sandy-loam	Natural	—	—	—
<i>Iowa</i>							
Ames, Hinds	Story	Flood plain	Silty-clay-loam	Mix	1994	51	Soybeans
Ames, Reactor Site	Story	Flood plain	Loam	Mix	1995	39	Corn
<i>North Dakota</i>							
Fargo	Cass	Seasonally wet	Silty-clay	DN17	1987	135	Soybeans

^aLocation identifies the individual sites in each state and county.^bCRP, Conservation Reserve Program; DN, *Populus deltoides* × *nigra*; NM, *Populus nigra* × *maximowiczii*.

rarely co-occur. We sampled farm woodlots if they occurred near poplar sites. Woodlots are typically on steeper slopes and may have soil with higher rock content, making them unsuitable for agriculture. The woodlots sampled may also have been clearcut once or more during the past century and were largely dysgenic and degraded. There was also little available information about their land use history. Although it is confounded with these other site factors, the comparison of poplar stands with woodlots is useful for understanding relative carbon stocks. Thus, we sampled three cover types for SOC: 1) short rotation poplar stands, 2) agricultural crops, and 3) woodlots.

Sampling Protocol

Soil carbon was sampled in a representative spot from each stand by taking three cores with a 5-cm diameter coring device either in a 2-m circle (spaced 120° around the center), or spaced along a line at 2-m intervals. Three depths were sampled from each core: 0 to 8 cm, 8 to 32 cm, and 32 to 128 cm. Therefore, a total of nine samples were taken from each cover type at each location. A total of 531 SOC samples were analyzed.

Analysis

Bulk density (g/cm^3) was also determined for each depth increment. Soil samples were collected into a container of known volume and weighted after oven drying. The samples were passed through a 2-mm sieve and any rock or coarse root fractions were separated, dried, and weighed. The sieved soil fraction was weighed and ground to pass through a 40-mesh screen. Prepared soil samples were analyzed for total organic carbon using a Dumas combustion analyzer (University of Minnesota 2002).

SOC was expressed as a percentage on a dry weight basis (g/kg). Bulk density and rock content were used to express SOC on a unit volume basis (mg/cm^3). Depth of the core was used to express SOC on a surface area basis (Mg/ha). Coarse root occurrence was variable and was not included in the calculations. Coarse root fractions can be accurately predicted as a fraction of above-ground biomass (e.g., Scarascia-Mugnozza and others 1996).

Statistics

We analyzed SOC data by pairing the short rotation poplar, agricultural crop, and woodlot values to obtain relative differences. A paired *t*-test was used to determine differences between poplar and agricultural crops at each location, or poplar and switchgrass at three locations. We also used least-squared linear regression

to compare poplar and agricultural crops. The overall difference among poplar, agricultural crops, and woodlots were tested in a factorial analysis of variance including depth (three levels) and cover type (three levels). All statistical analyses were performed in SAS (SAS 2000).

Results

SOC showed a high level of variation across the 27 study sites. SOC on an area basis ranged from 20 Mg/ha to 160 Mg/ha (Figure 2). As expected, the lowest SOC values were on sandy soil sites and the highest values were on lowland riparian sites. Rock content was greatest at depth where it reached 31% by weight, but it only reached 8% in the surface layer. Similar results were obtained for the top 8 cm, the top 32 cm, or the entire soil profile. We have chosen to focus on the top 32 cm because it represents the agricultural plow layer, and is the most common sample depth for similar studies.

The comparisons between short rotation poplars with adjacent agricultural crops were site dependent and variable (Table 2). For many sites, the comparisons for bulk density and SOC of the top 32 cm were not significant ($p > 0.1$). Furthermore, results were inconsistent for those sites that were statistically different. In some cases, the short rotation poplars were higher than their agricultural counterparts; in some cases they were lower. When short rotation poplar SOC was compared to that of adjacent agricultural crops over the entire study, there was no difference in the top 32 cm of soil (Figure 3). Note that short rotation poplars were greater than the 1:1 line on sites with lower SOC and lower on sites with higher SOC; however, the overall differences across the study were not significant. More specifically, the SOC of short rotation poplars at Mondovi, WI, Fairfax, MN, and Alexandria, MN, Grundman were higher than their agricultural counterparts, whereas the agricultural crops at Staples, MN and Moose Lake, MN were higher than the adjacent short rotation poplar stands (Figure 2, Table 2).

Bulk density and SOC of all three cover types were compared across all soil depth increments (Figure 4). Bulk density varied with cover type and depth. Bulk density was higher in agricultural crops and lower in woodlots when compared with the poplar stands (each is significantly different from the others, $p > 0.05$). Bulk density increased with depth for each of the cover types, but the increase was greatest in woodlots. However, the shallow soil layers of woodlots were relatively low compared to those of poplar and agricultural crops (Figure 4A).

Figure 2. Soil organic carbon (SOC) for the 27 study sites ranked by agricultural crop for the top 32 cm of soil. Data presented are the mean $\pm$ standard error ($n = 3$). Significant paired *t*-test results comparing poplar plantations to adjacent agricultural crops are indicated with asterisks. (*** = $p \leq 0.01$; ** = $p \leq 0.05$; * = $p \leq 0.10$) MN, Minnesota; WI, Wisconsin; IA, Iowa; ND, North Dakota.

We expressed SOC on a weight, volume, and area basis (Figure 4). SOC on a weight basis was significantly higher in woodlots ($p > 0.05$) at all depths, but poplar stands were not statistically different from agricultural crops (Figure 4B). SOC decreased with depth (each depth is significantly different from the others). SOC differences when expressed on a volume basis were not as great among cover types (Figure 4C). Differences among cover types in both bulk density and SOC on a weight basis tended to offset. Nonetheless, results for carbon concentration were statistically identical when expressed on per unit weight or per unit volume basis.

SOC expressed on an area basis is the product of SOC by weight, bulk density, and sample depth. SOC on an area basis was significantly higher in woodlots, but poplar stands were not statistically different from agricultural crops ($p > 0.05$, Figure 4D). SOC increased with depth (each depth is significantly different from the others), mainly because of the greater soil volume. Comparisons for bulk density and SOC were very similar when only row crops were included in the analysis and forage crops were excluded. Statistically, the results for agricultural crops were identical to those for row crops only (data not shown).

At most sites, the woodlot SOC was higher than that of the short rotation poplars and the agricultural crops, but not at all. It is noteworthy that the SOC of a mature native hardwood forest on the Chequamegon National

Forest at Willow Springs, WI was only 45 ± 6 Mg/ha. This low value was a result of low site quality compared to agricultural sites.

There were significant statistical differences in bulk density and SOC among cover types, depth, and their interaction across all 27 sites (Table 3). The interaction occurred because of proportional, not directional differences between cover types at each depth. If the direction had differed, it would not have been possible to combine layers and summarize results using the top 32 cm.

SOC values on an area basis were also compared between short rotation poplar and adjacent agricultural crops at different ages (Figure 5). Clearly, there was no apparent relationship between age and the difference between short rotation poplar SOC and adjacent cropland. There was a trend of higher SOC at the early ages of the poplar rotation, but this trend did not continue after 40 months. Our results differ in this regard from results reported by Hansen (1993) and Grigal and Berguson (1998).

Bulk density and SOC were compared between short rotation poplar and switchgrass for the top 32 cm for three sites where they co-occurred (Table 4). There were no significant differences in SOC for any of the three poplar/switchgrass sites, and the overall averages (although limited) were not significantly different ($p > 0.10$). The bulk densities were also not significantly

Table 2. Significance levels of paired *t*-tests

	Bulk density g/cm ³	Soil organic carbon		
		g/kg	mg/cm ³	Mg/ha
<i>Minnesota</i>				
Alexandria, Erickson	**	*	*	ns
Alexandria, Grundman	ns	**	***	**
Alexandria, Kreyer	ns	ns	ns	ns
Alexandria, Stroot	ns	ns	ns	ns
Birchdale	*	**	ns	*
Cloquet	ns	ns	ns	ns
Fairfax	ns	**	**	***
Milaca	ns	ns	ns	***
Montevideo, Gibson	*	***	ns	**
Moose Lake	ns	ns	ns	*
New Ulm	ns	ns	ns	ns
Oklee	ns	ns	ns	ns
Raymond	*	**	ns	ns
Staples	*	***	***	***
Westport, Rosholt Farm	*	ns	ns	ns
<i>Wisconsin</i>				
Arlington	ns	ns	ns	**
Hancock	**	**	**	ns
LaCrosse	ns	*	ns	ns
Lancaster	**	*	ns	ns
Lodi	ns	*	ns	ns
Mondovi	**	***	***	***
<i>Iowa</i>				
Ames, Hinds	ns	ns	ns	ns
Ames, Reactor Site	ns	ns	ns	ns
<i>North Dakota</i>				
Fargo	ns	ns	ns	ns

Tests compared bulk density and soil organic carbon for the top 32 cm in short rotation poplars to that in agricultural soils (ns, not significant; *** = $p \leq 0.01$; ** = $p \leq 0.05$; * = $p \leq 0.10$).

Figure 3. Short rotation poplar soil organic carbon (SOC) content (y) plotted versus adjacent agricultural crop soil carbon content (x) for the top 32 cm. Data presented are the mean $\pm$ standard error ($n = 3$). Solid line is least-squares linear regression ($y = 1.2895x - 188.81$; $R^2 = 0.8549$). Dotted line is 1:1 line.

Figure 4. Bulk density (A) and soil organic carbon (SOC) comparisons by cover type and depth. SOC is presented as a concentration on a weight (g/kg) (B), volume (mg/cm³) (C), and area basis (Mg/ha) (D). Data presented are the mean $\pm$ standard error (poplar, $n = 78$; agriculture, $n = 75$; woodlots, $n = 24$).

Table 3. Analysis of variance significance levels

	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	Soil organic carbon		
		g/kg	g/cm ³	Mg/ha
CT	***	***	***	***
D	***	***	***	***
CT $\times$ D	**	**	ns	*

Bulk density and soil organic carbon parameters were tested for their response to cover type (CT) and depth (D) (ns, not significant; *** = $p \leq 0.01$; ** = $p \leq 0.05$; * = $p \leq 0.10$).

different except for the Westport, MN, Rosholt Farm, where the poplar was lower. More sites are needed to make valid comparisons of short rotation poplars and switchgrass. More of these sites should be available in the future as a result of new USDA Farm Bill programs.

Discussion

Comparing SOC for different crops across the diverse landscape of a region is challenging and expensive. Numerous authors have pointed out the difficulty of comparing SOC among locations and crops (Binkley and others 1997, Rollinger and others 1998, Garten and Wulschleger 1999, Post and others 1999, Yanai and

Figure 5. The differences in soil organic carbon (SOC) between short rotation poplar stands and adjacent agricultural crops (SR-Ag) as a function of stand age for the top 32 cm of soil.

others 2000). In fact, there have been entire scientific workshops and texts dedicated to the science and methodology of measuring, monitoring, and verifying SOC sequestration (Brown 1998, Rosenberg and others 1999).

Soil properties of our 27 study sites varied across the North Central region. SOC varied significantly by location, crop, topography, depth in soil, and soils within the location. Soil heterogeneity dominated the results of our SOC comparisons. Adequate demonstration of soil carbon sequestration will require multiple sampling locations per site.

There are numerous conflicting reports of the effects of agricultural and forestry practices on SOC sequestration (Rollinger and others 1998, Allmaras and others 1998). In many cases, the site and soil heterogeneity in a region is so great that it is difficult to monitor and/or verify positive carbon sequestration in soils (Binkley and others 1997, Huggins and others 1998, Garten and Wullschlegel 1999). This makes it difficult to generalize about the positive SOC benefits on such practices as no-till agriculture, switchgrass cropping, or short rotation poplar culture for the region.

SOC differences among adjacent crops were difficult to quantify for our study. For most of our sites, the short rotation poplar performed similarly to agricultural crops (Figure 2). SOC for poplars did not differ significantly from that of agricultural crops except where poplars were planted on poorer soils, which were marginal for agriculture. In those cases, short rotation poplars sometimes had higher SOC than their agricultural counterparts. On better soils, agricultural crops sometimes had higher SOC than the short rotation poplars. Again, these variable results illustrate how difficult it can be to monitor SOC effectively, and thereby verify carbon sequestration by cropping systems in the region.

Such large variation in sequestration potential among sites requires that verification occur on a site-by-site basis. To be reliable and accurate, proposed modeling approaches would need to include processes to control intersite differences in carbon accumulation. The actual expense of documenting soil carbon sequestration using laboratory analysis also needs to be considered and can be determined through statistical sampling computations. Data presented in this paper show that overall variation (positive and negative) in the poplar-to-agriculture differential is 3 Mg/ha. To identify soil carbon sequestration of 10 Mg/ha, with 95% confidence, would require four samples per hectare in both the poplar and reference fields (SAS Analyst module). To cover the cost of verification (at \$10 per sample), carbon credits for offsetting CO₂ emissions would need to exceed \$8/Mg.

We found that woodlots consistently had higher SOC than the adjacent agricultural crops and short rotation poplars (Figures 2 and 4). These woodlots typically had a long history of disturbance and mismanagement; however, they were not subject to as much of the compaction that increases bulk density as their agricultural and short rotation counterparts. Also, the presence of more woody debris and larger older root systems probably contributed to the higher SOC in woodlots. This finding is consistent with other studies (Vance 2000).

We also found consistent patterns in the effect of depth on bulk density and SOC. Bulk density was consistently lower in the woodlots than in the short rotation poplars and agricultural crops at lower soil depths. Again, the short rotation poplar was not significantly different from agricultural crops, indicating that the cultural practices in agricultural and short rotation poplar crops were probably having a similar effect on soil compaction. This effect serves to increase bulk density and decrease SOC on an area basis.

Our results did not show a decrease in SOC during early years of short rotation poplar stand establishment as previously reported by Hansen (1993), Grigal and Berguson (1998), and Rollinger and others (1998). On the contrary, we found a small increase in SOC in the first 40 months of short rotation poplar followed by inconsistent positive and negative results thereafter (Figure 5). The model results of Grigal and Berguson (1998) were based on five sites in the region that were similar to our sites. However, with such soil heterogeneity among sites, a large number of sites are needed to draw conclusions about the positive or negative benefits of poplar culture on SOC.

We compared short rotation poplar with switchgrass on three sites where the two crops co-occurred. Both

Table 4. Comparison of short rotation poplar and switchgrass

Location	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	Soil organic carbon		
		g/kg	g/cm ³	Mg/ha
New Ulm, MN				
Poplar	1.44 ± 0.03a	32 ± 1a	23 ± 2a	68 ± 5a
Switchgrass	1.42 ± 0.00a	29 ± 1a	20 ± 1a	60 ± 2a
Westport, MN, Rosholt Farm				
Poplar	1.12 ± 0.04b	102 ± 2a	57 ± 1a	140 ± 5a
Switchgrass	1.23 ± 0.02a	98 ± 2a	60 ± 2a	148 ± 5a
Oklee, MN, Fore				
Poplar	1.40 ± 0.04a	47 ± 10a	33 ± 6a	86 ± 10a
Switchgrass	1.44 ± 0.02a	31 ± 1a	22 ± 0a	61 ± 1a
Average				
Poplar	1.32 ± 0.04b	60 ± 4a	37 ± 3a	98 ± 7a
Switchgrass	1.36 ± 0.01a	53 ± 1a	34 ± 1a	90 ± 3a

Mean (± standard error, n = 3) bulk density and soil organic carbon are shown for the top 32 cm. Paired values followed by different letters are significantly different ($p \leq 0.10$)

crops have been promoted extensively in the United States as bioenergy crops (Tolbert and others 2000). However, our limited data set on the comparison of switchgrass bulk density and SOC with adjacent short rotation poplar data showed no differences between the two (Table 4). Many more sites must be sampled before conclusions can be reached about the merits of the two crops for carbon sequestration. Moreover, both crops are likely to sequester more SOC over longer rotations and continuous cropping.

If the intent is to use woody crops for soil carbon sequestration in North Central United States to offset greenhouse gas emissions, our study demonstrates that results will vary. Soil and site variability will make it difficult and expensive to monitor and verify anticipated SOC gains. Moreover, there will probably be major effects of the ever-changing climate on SOC sequestration (Ceulemans and Mousseau 1994, Isebrands and others 2001). No-till agriculture and the CRP provide some promise for increasing SOC in agricultural systems (Gebhart and others 1994, Ismail and others 1994, Allmaras and others 1998). However, farmers in North Central United States have been slow to adopt such practices because of lower soil temperatures with no-till and uncertainties of government policies with CRP. Moreover, the gains made with no-till agriculture are likely to have only incremental impact on the growing greenhouse gas emission problem (Houghton and others 2001), and therefore must be considered one tool in an overall strategy for CO₂ offsets.

In our view, the primary benefits of short rotation woody crops and switchgrass culture will come when they are used as bioenergy crops to displace fossil fuels (Tuskan and Walsh 2001). Woody crops also have the

added benefits of long-term carbon storage in the wood products made from them, which can tie up carbon for centuries. Both crops have added environmental benefits (Isebrands and Karnosky 2001) when they are planted as riparian buffers. Riparian buffers decrease soil erosion, as well as water, nutrient, and chemical runoff, while at the same time enhancing wildlife habitat. More importantly, the most positive carbon sequestration benefit from riparian buffers comes from the decrease in soil erosion, which has been reported to result in up to 30% of soil carbon lost from the agricultural belt of the North Central region (Allmaras and others 1998, Lal and others 1998). In addition, these benefits can often be accomplished on land considered marginal for agriculture because of its close proximity to streams and the likelihood of flooding. Successful soil carbon management will consider practices that sequester rather than deplete soil carbon stocks. It is encouraging that improved genetic and cultural practices can increase the SOC sequestration of agricultural and short rotation woody crops in the North Central region (Sedjo and others 1997). Only time will provide the answers to this complex problem.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Dr. Ken Brooks for soil carbon analyses, Frank Lenning for field technical support, Sharon O'Leary for clerical support, as well as Stan Wullschleger and Eric Vance for valuable review comments. We also acknowledge financial support from the US Department of Energy Biofuels Feedstock Development Program, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, contract #OR22368.

References

- Allmaras, R. R., D. E. Wilkins, O. C. Burnside, and D. J. Mulla. 1998. Agricultural technology and adoption of conservation practices. Pages 99–158. in F. J. Pierce, and W. W. Frye. Eds, *Advances in Soil and Water Conservation*. Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, Michigan.
- Binkley, C. S., M. J. Apps, R. K. Dixon, P. E. Kauppi, and L. O. Nilsson. 1997. Sequestering carbon in natural forests. *Critical Review in Environmental Science and Technology* 27:S23–S45.
- Birdsey, R. A. 1992. Carbon storage and accumulation in United States forest ecosystems. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report WO-59. Washington, D.C., 51 pp.
- Brown, P. 1998. Climate, biodiversity, and forests: Issues and opportunities emerging from the Kyoto Protocol. World Resources Institute, Washington, D.C., 36 pp.
- Bruce, J. P., M. Frome, E. Haïtes, Janzen, H., Lal, R., Pau-Stian, K. 1999. Carbon sequestration in soils. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 54:382–389.
- Ceulemans, R., and M. Mousseau. 1994. Tansley review No. 71: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on woody plants. *New Phytologist* 127:425–446.
- Dewar, R. C., and M. G. R. Cannell. 1992. Carbon sequestration in the trees, products, and soils of forest plantations: an analysis using UK examples. *Tree Physiology* 11:49–71.
- Garten Jr., C. T., and S. D. Wulschleger. 1999. Soil carbon inventories under a bioenergy crop (switchgrass): Measurement limitations. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 28:1359–1365.
- Gebhart, D. L., H. B. Johnson, H. S. Mayeaux, and H. W. Pauley. 1994. The CRP increases soil carbon. *Journal of Soil Water Conservation* 49:488–492.
- Grigal, D. F., and W. E. Berguson. 1998. Soil carbon changes associated with short-rotation systems. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 14:371–377.
- Hansen, E. A. 1993. Soil carbon sequestration beneath hybrid poplar plantations in the north central United States. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 5:431–436.
- Hansen, E. A., M. E. Ostry, W. D. Johnson, Tolsted, D.N., Netzer, D.A., Bergason, W.E., Hall, R.B., Noguier, M., vander Linden, P.J. 1994. Field performance of *Populus* in short-rotation intensive culture plantations in the North-Central U.S. USDA Forest Service North Central Forest Experimental Station Research Paper NC-320, St. Paul, MN, 13 pp.
- Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguier, M., Vander Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., Johnson, C.A., 2001. Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 892 pp.
- Huggins, D. R., C. E. Clapp, R. R. Allmaras, Lamb, J.A., Layes, M.F. 1998. Carbon dynamics in corn-soybean sequences as estimated from natural carbon-13 abundance. *Soil Science of America Journal* 62:195–203.
- Isebrands, J. G., and D. F. Karnosky. 2001. Environmental benefits of poplar culture. Pages 207–218. in D. I. Dickmann, J. G. Isebrands, J. E. Eckenwalder, and J. Richardson. Eds, *Poplar culture in North America*. NRC-CNRC Press, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
- Isebrands, J. G., E. P. McDonald, E. Kruger, G. Hendrey, K. Percy, K. Pergitzer, J. Sober, and D. F. Karnosky. 2001. Growth responses of *Populus tremuloides* clones to interacting elevated carbon dioxide and tropospheric ozone. *Environmental Pollution* 115:359–371.
- Ismail, I., R. L. Blevins, and W. W. Frye. 1994. Long term no-tillage effects on soil properties and continuous corn yields. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 58:193–198.
- Keeling, C. D., T. P. Wart, M. Wahlen, and J. vander Plicht. 1995. Interannual extremes in the rate of rise of atmospheric carbon dioxide since 1980. *Nature* 375:666–670.
- Lal, R., M. Kimble J., and B. A. Stewart. 1998. Management of carbon sequestration in soil. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL 457.
- Marland, G., and B. Schlamadinger. 1999. The Kyoto Protocol could make a difference for the optimal forest-based CO₂ mitigation strategy: some results from GORCAM. *Environmental Science & Policy* 2:111–124.
- Netzer, D. A., D. N. Tolsted, M. E. Ostry, J. G. Isebrands, D. E. Riemenschneider, and K. T. Ward 2002. Growth, yield and disease resistance of 7- to 12-year-old poplar clones in the north central United States. General Technical Report NC-229. USDA-Forest Service, North Central Research Station, St. Paul, Minnesota. 31 p.
- Nilsson, S., and W. Schopfhauser. 1995. The carbon-sequestration potential of a global afforestation program. *Climate Change* 30:267–293.
- Post, W. M., R. C. Izaurralde, L. K. Mann, Bliss, N. 1999. Monitoring and verifying soil organic carbon sequestration. Pages 41–66 in N. J. Rosenberg, R. C. Izaurralde, and E. L. Malone (eds.), *Carbon sequestration in soils: science, monitoring and beyond*. Proceedings of the St. Michaels Workshop, December 1998. Battelle Press, Columbus, Ohio.
- Rollinger, J. L., T. F. Strong, and D. F. Grigal. 1998. Forested soil carbon storage in landscapes of the northern Great Lakes region. Pages 335–350. Page 457 in R. Lal, M. Kimble, R. F. Follett, and B. A. Stewart. Eds, *Management of carbon sequestration in soil*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Rosenberg, N. J., R. C. Izaurralde, and E. L. Malone. 1999. Carbon sequestration in soils: science, monitoring and beyond. Battelle Press, Columbus, Ohio 199.
- Rubin, E. S., R. N. Cooper, R. A. Frosch, Lee, T.H., Marland, B., Rosenfeld, A.H., Stine, D.D. 1992. Realistic mitigation options for global warming. *Science* 257:148–266.
- Sarmiento, J. L., and S. C. Wofsy. 1999. A U.S. carbon cycle science plan. U.S. Global Change Research Program, 69 pp. Washington DC www.carboncyclescience.gov/PDF/Sciplan/ccsp.pdf [date accessed 12/31/03]
- SAS Institute Inc. 2000. Computer Software, SAS® System for Windows: Release 8.1. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Scarascia-Mugnozza, G. E., R. Ceulemans, P. E. Heilman, J. G. Isebrands, R. F. Stettler, and T. M. Hinckley. 1996. Production physiology and morphology of *Populus* species and their hybrids grown under short rotation. II. Biomass components and harvest index of hybrid and parental species clones. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 27:285–294.
- Schimmel, D.J., Melillo, H. Tian, et al. 2000. Contribution of increasing CO₂ and climate to carbon storage by ecosystems in the United States. *Science* 287:2004–2006.

- Schlamadinger, B., Marland, G. 1996. Full fuel cycle carbon balances of bioenergy and forestry options. *Energy Conservation and Management* 37: 813–818.
- Sedjo, R. A. 1989. Forests to offset the greenhouse effect. *Journal of Forestry* 87:12–15.
- Sedjo, R. A., N. R. Sampson, and J. Wisniewski. 1997. *Economics of carbon sequestration in forestry*. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Florida 364.
- Tolbert, V. R., Thornton, F. C., Joslin, J. D. 2000. Increasing below-ground carbon sequestration with conversion of agricultural lands to production of bio-energy crops. *New Zealand Journal of Forest Science* 30:138–149.
- Tuskan, G. A., and M. E. Walsh. 2001. Short-rotation woody crop systems, atmospheric carbon dioxide and carbon management: a U.S. case study. *Forestry Chronicle* 77:259–264.
- University of Minnesota, College of Agricultural, Food and Environmental Sciences, Department of Soil, Water and Climate, Research Analysis Laboratory. 2002. *Soil analysis and methods*. <http://ral.coafes.umn.edu/soil.htm>. [Date accessed: May 5, 2003]
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2002. Farm Bill 2002. http://www.usda.gov/farmbill/conservation/_fb.html. [Date accessed: May 5, 2003]
- Vance, E. D. 2000. Agricultural site productivity: principles derived from long term experiments and their implications for intensively managed forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 138:369–396.
- Yanai, R. D., M. A. Arthur, T. G. Siccama, and C. A. Federer. 2000. Challenges of measuring forest floor organic matter dynamics: Repeated measures form a chronosequence. *Forest Ecology and Management* 138:273–283.