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Introduction.

The purpose of this memo is to lay the groundwork for non-destructively determining the position and ultimately the concentration of Cu gradient steps in sputtered Be capsules (or Ge steps in CH capsules) by "simple" radiography. A second personal objective was for me to learn something about radiography, and for that reason this memo may be more detailed than necessary.

Steve Haan has suggested a design for Be capsules that makes use of a gradient of Cu-doping in a sputtered Be shell. The capsule for a 300 eV design is shown in Figure 1. The question that this memo wants to address is whether the copper concentration boundaries (and ultimately the concentration itself) can be seen and measured with our radiography system. I will focus only on the relative opacities, and not get into the practicalities of film reading, lateral resolution and the like. I will assume a monochromatic 8 keV source; clearly there are other sources and filters that

---

1 Steve Haan, et al., Fusion Sci. and Technol, (2004), to be published. A copy of the paper can be obtained from Bob Cook.
2 Figure and caption taken directly from the paper in reference 1.
can be used, as well as the fact that the source is not monochromatic. So given all these approximations let's proceed.

**Background, material properties, and model.**

The transmittance of light through a material is governed by Beer’s Law, which for x-rays is usually written as

$$\frac{I_z}{I_0} = e^{-\mu \rho z} \tag{1}$$

where $I(z)/I_0$ is the fractional intensity transmitted through a distance $z$ filled with an element at density $\rho$ that has a mass attenuation coefficient of $\mu$. Since x-rays interact primarily with inner shell electrons one in general doesn’t worry about the chemical nature of the atom, but rather simply with the density with which it fills space. The mass attenuation coefficients, which are the x-ray version of extinction coefficients, are generally given in units of cm$^2$/g, thus the densities must be given in g/cm$^3$ and the distance in cm. For a transmission through a mixed media, such as Cu-doped Be, the attenuation of the elements is additive, thus

$$\mu \rho z = \sum_j \left( \sum_i \mu_i \rho_j \right) z_j \tag{2}$$

where the sum over $j$ is over the regions (lengths) where the composition is constant and the sum over $i$ is over the atomic constituents in that region.

The model shell we will look at is that pictured in Figure 1, except that instead of a DT ice layer there will be a 14 µm thick CH$_{1.3}$ plasma polymer shell on the inside of the Be ablator. Ultimately this will be thermally removed, but it is likely that our first looks at the shell will be with the mandrel still in place. The $\mu$ values at 8 keV for the relevant elements that were used are given in Table 1. It is worth noting that for Cu the value is in this work an approximation, since the source is Cu 8 keV falls very close to the absorption edge, the value of 50 is on the low side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

4 These values were taken from *The Handbook of Spectroscopy*, J. W. Robinson, ed., CRC Press (1974).
5 The value will depend upon the actual spectrum of the source. 50 is about right for monochromatic 8 keV photons. Thank you Bob Turner for help in understanding this.
The densities of the various elements in their layers were calculated as follows. For the plasma polymer shell the density of CH\textsubscript{1.3} is 1.05 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}, thus the densities of C and H are 12.0/13.3 and 1.30/13.3 times this value or 0.947 and 0.103 g/cm\textsuperscript{3} respectively. The density of pure Be was taken as 1.85 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}. For the Cu-doped Be I simply assumed that atoms of Cu replaced atoms of Be without any volume change, thus the density of the Be in the doped sections is

$$
\rho(\text{Be in Cu-doped layers}) = 1.85 \times \frac{100 - \text{atom} \% \text{ Cu}}{100}
$$

The mass density of the Cu is given by

$$
\rho(\text{Cu in Cu-doped layers}) = 1.85 \times \frac{63.546}{9.012} \times \frac{\text{atom} \% \text{ Cu}}{100}
$$

where the first fraction on the right side is the ratio of atomic weights of Cu to Be. For the CH\textsubscript{1.3} mandrel, pure Be, 0.35 % and 0.70 % Cu-doped Be the net values of $\mu \rho$ are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Values of $\mu \rho$ for various layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>layer</th>
<th>$\mu \rho$ (cm\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH\textsubscript{1.3}</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pure Be</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 % Cu-doped Be</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70 % Cu-doped Be</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We now need distance information for the thickness of each layer as seen by x-rays traveling through the capsule. We will model the capsule as a series of concentric spheres with origins at (0,0) whose surfaces define the interfaces between layers, in general

$$
x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = r_j^2
$$

where each $r_j$ represents a radial change in composition. For the capsule shown in Figure 1 there are 7 relevant $r_j$'s listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Values of $r_j$ in \textmu m taken from Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>interface</th>
<th>$r_j$ (\textmu m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>inside of CH\textsubscript{1.3} mandrel</td>
<td>914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mandrel/pure Be</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pure Be/0.35% Cu-doped Be</td>
<td>934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35%/0.70% Cu-doped Be</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70%/0.35% Cu-doped Be</td>
<td>995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35% Cu-doped Be/pure Be</td>
<td>1011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pure Be/outside of shell</td>
<td>1105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
If we take the x-rays as traveling in the z direction, the chord length of a sphere of radius $r$ that is intercepted for an x-ray at $(x,y)$ is

$$z = 2\sqrt{r^2 - x^2 - y^2} \quad \text{if} \quad r^2 > x^2 + y^2$$
$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise} \quad (6)$$

Thus for a specific layer (other than the outer layer) we have

$$z_{j\text{th}} \text{ layer} = 2\left(\sqrt{r_{j-1}^2 - x^2 - y^2} - \sqrt{r_{j-1}^2 - x^2 - y^2}\right) \quad \text{for} \quad r_{j-1}^2 > x^2 + y^2. \quad (7)$$

If the outer layer $(r_{j-1} = 1011 \, \mu m < \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} < 1105 \, \mu m = r_j)$ then the second term of eq 7 is zero.

**Results.**

The problem is easily programmed. What will be shown are effectively “line-outs” through the center of the 2D projection of the shell on the $xy$ plane. Let’s start with a uniform Cu-doped Be shell over a plastic mandrel, the results for 0.0%, 0.35% and 0.7% Cu doping throughout the Be are shown in Figure 2. There are several things to note. First, what we will see is exposed film, thus the transmission curves are the ones to focus on. Second, both at the inside surface of the plastic and at the plastic/Be interface the curves are continuous but there is a discontinuous change in slope. This change can, however, be very small if the values of $\mu \rho$ for the materials on either side of the interface are similar. Such is the case for the plastic and 0.70 % Cu-doped Be.

![Figure 2](image)

*Figure 2. The left axis and blue curves show the exponent (eq 1) and the right axis and red/yellow curve show the % transmission of 8 keV x-rays through a 2 mm Be shell with uniform Cu-doping. The vertical black dashed lines show the position of the inside, outside and CH/Be interface.*
Fortunately that situation doesn’t come up in the gradient doped capsule where the plastic is in contact with undoped Be. In Figure 3 is a plot of what we would expect for the capsule described in Figure 1. What we see is distinct changes in slope at each interface. In Figure 4 I plot the derivative of the transmission with respect to radius (somewhat arbitrary units of Δ%T / µm), which accentuates the changes in slope at the interfaces.

![Figure 3. Exponent and transmission plot for Cu-doped Be graded capsule as shown in Figure 1 and Table 3.](image)

![Figure 4. Derivative of transmission data shown in Figure 3.](image)
Conclusions.

What is suggested is that standard radiography can see the interfaces and perhaps also measure the concentrations, particularly if standards are produced. These might be shells which have been destructively analyzed in order to associate a given exposure level (x-ray transmission) with concentration. Clearly one can play with the x-ray source to change the photon energy distribution, and this may make the differences more stark. One area of concern is the lateral resolution, which historically has been the order of a µm. Work to sharpen this may be fruitful.

Clearly this is not a finished story, but only a beginning. Someone needs to look more carefully at the relevant parameters. Experimentally we will be fabricating Cu-doped Be gradient capsules in the next several months, as well as Ge-doped CH gradient capsules, with which this analysis can be tested and refined.
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