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ABSTRACT

Mercuric iodide (HgI$_2$) is a well known material for the direct detection of gamma-rays; however, the largest volume achievable is limited by the thickness of the detector which needs to be a small fraction of the average trapping length for electrons. We report results of using HgI$_2$ crystals to fabricate photocells used in the readout of scintillators. The optical spectral response and efficiency of these photocells were measured and will be reported. Nuclear response from an HgI$_2$ photocell that was optically matched to a cerium-activated scintillator is presented and discussed. Further improvements can be expected by optimizing the transparent contact technology.
1. INTRODUCTION

Photomultiplier-based scintillator spectrometers are the systems of choice for a number of x-ray and gamma radiation measurement applications. They are commercially available in sizes sufficient to provide high-detection efficiency for the entire spectrum of gamma-ray energies of interest to the emergency response, national security, and nonproliferation communities. Despite this widespread use, they have numerous shortcomings. The most serious is the relatively poor energy resolution, which makes isotope identification problematic particularly where trace quantities are involved and where gamma-rays of similar energies are present. The inability to resolve closely spaced lines contributes to false alarms and reduces detection efficiency. Energy resolution in scintillator/photomultiplier tube (PMT) spectrometers is governed by a combination of the crystal intrinsic resolution, which includes non-linearity effects, photomultiplier statistics and transfer variance, and the variability in the probability of a scintillation photon generating a photoelectron at the photocathode. It is evident that energy resolution in these systems is linked to both the physics of light generation in the scintillator as well as the characteristics of the PMT. PMTs also present design problems especially in the case of handheld and portable instruments due to their considerable weight and volume.

The goal of this project is to provide instrument-designers of scintillation based gamma-ray spectrometers with superior energy resolution and greatly reduced weight and volume. To achieve this advancement we planned to optimize the performance of a new class of inorganic scintillators by matching their emission spectra with the enhanced quantum efficiency (QE) of photocells. These new scintillators include LaBr₃:Ce⁺³ (cerium-activated lanthanum tri-bromide) and LaCl₃:Ce⁺³ (cerium-activated lanthanum tri-chloride) [1, 2]. Photocells to be investigated include Si-PiN, (HgI₂), and TlBr. The potential for improvements is illustrated by the case of the recent development of LaBr₃:Ce⁺³ scintillators, which have provided the community with a material that has about three times better energy-resolution than typical NaI(Tl) PMT units. This dramatic result is due to very high conversion efficiency (>60,000 photons/MeV) and improved linearity. However, data indicate that the resolution has not been optimized. The substitution of a silicon avalanche photodiode (APD) for the PMT substantially improved resolution due primarily to the diode’s higher QE in the spectral region of the peak of the cerium emission in LaBr₃ lattice [3]. This was achieved with the APD cooled to 250 K to suppress noise. Cooling, however, is not considered an option in this study because the emphasis is on room temperature operation. This feature is of particular importance in portable instruments where low power consumption is required. While the temperature dependence of light emission in many scintillators is a problem, we note that LaBr₃ is essentially free of this temperature dependence. Since other photodetectors with better matches to the cerium emission spectrum than PMTs are available, further improvements in resolution can be expected. HgI₂ photocells, for example, have very high QE.

2. TECHNICAL APPROACH

2.1 Brief Summary

Apart from the saline contact HgI₂ photocells, which were assembled at the Fisk Center for Photonic Materials and Devices, all photocells and scintillators were obtained from commercial sources. The QE of an HgI₂ photocell was measured as a function of wavelength and compared...
Preliminary investigations revealed a number of photocells of possible interest to this project: CdS, Se, SiC, GaN, GaP, GaAs, Si-PiN, Si-APDs, Si-drift, and HgI₂. Few, however, have all of the requisite characteristics: high QE in the spectral region of interest, response time, size, and availability. For example, CdS and Se have useful spectral response, but the response times are too slow for this application. GaAs has adequate response time, but the spectral response is too far to the red. Si-APD and Si-drift devices have good spectral response but need cooling. Based on this preliminary work, HgI₂ photocells appear to best suited for the advanced scintillators. In addition to HgI₂, we investigated Si-PiN. The tests were confined to LaBr₃ scintillators except a CsI/HgI₂ measurement, which was made to assess the performance of legacy HgI₂ photocell [4, 5]. To provide a basis for comparison, the gamma-ray response of the LaBr₃ scintillator was also measured with a bi-alkali cathode PMT. This baseline was considered necessary to remove any ambiguities that might arise from the known variations in the energy resolution of available LaBr₃.

Based on these preliminary studies and component availability we confined our studies to the following photocell/scintillators combinations:

- Si-PiN/LaBr₃
- HgI₂/LaBr₃
- HgI₂/CsI
- TlBr/LaBr₃

Commercially-available photocells were tested as received from vendors. Scintillators were attached directly to the cathodes using a thin layer of coupling grease (Bicron-630). Details of these measurements and the results follow.

2.2 Photo Response of Photocells

Since the only published QE information [4] on HgI₂ photocells was nearly two decades old and obtained from different material with different fabrication techniques, the device under test was measured for comparison with the earlier data. The device under test was fabricated with a saline contact similar to that reported [5]. The cell was then fabricated from a 10 mm × 10 mm HgI₂ crystal 1.5 mm thick and shown in Figure 1. The test configuration is shown in Figure 2. The photocathode of the cell was illuminated with an Oriel lamp/monochromator (Model 74000) combination. Input optical power to the cell was monitored with an Oriel Power Meter (Model 70310). The output current of the cell was monitored with a Keithley Model 237 electrometer. We note that the test system was not capable of reaching wavelengths shorter than 400 nm.
The results of these measurements, together with the data of Reference 1, are shown in Figure 3. Good agreement with the referenced data is seen in the range 400 to 624 nm. Using a different methodology, it is possible to make an estimate of the QE at wavelengths shorter than 400 nm by comparison of the direct response of HgI₂ to radiation to the response of HgI₂ to the light from the scintillator. This method yielded a significantly lower value of the QE in the region below 400 nm than the data of Figure 3, possibly due to less than optimal surface preparation and
contacting of the photocell. It should be noted, however, that the bias voltage yielding the best QE does not necessarily produce the best nuclear response.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 3. Photo response of HgI₂ as reported by Markakis (black) and that obtained in this study (red).**

The TlBr cell was fabricated from a $10 \times 10 \times 2.6 \text{ mm}^3$ TlBr crystal. The photocell had a transparent indium tin oxide (ITO) contact and a gold anode (Figure 4). The resistivity, as determined from an I-V plot, was $4.8 \times 10^{10} \Omega\text{-cm}$. The photocurrent, as a function of wavelength, was measured in the region 400 to 600 nm and is shown in Figure 5. One notes that the current falls precipitously at wavelengths shorter than the peak at $\approx 420$ nm to very low values in the region of the $\text{Ce}^{3+}$ emission. The photocurrent, while not precisely the QE, is a good indicator of optical efficiency.
Figure 4. TlBr photocell (top view) with gold frame (5 × 5 mm), TlBr 10 × 10 × 2.6 mm³.

Figure 5. Photocurrents vs. wavelength in the region of 400 to 600 nm.

2.3 Scintillator/Photocell Convolutions

As part of the selection process, qualitative estimates of the performance of a number of scintillators-photocell combinations, the emission spectrum of LaBr₃ (provided by St. Gobain) was convoluted with the QE of the candidate photocells. The QE values shown in Figure 6 were taken from manufacturers’ data sheet, except for Hgl₂, where the published data [4] was used. The results of the calculations are summarized in Table 1.
Figure 6. The QE of typical photocells compared with measured values of Hgl₂. The Hgl₂ values were from published data; other data were taken from the manufacturers’ data sheets.

Table 1. Calculated figure of merit obtained from the Convolution Scintillator Optical Emission Spectrum and Photocell QE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scintillator</th>
<th>Photocell</th>
<th>Radiation Measurement Devices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>St. Gobain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hgl₂</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5390-01 Si-pin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5390-02 Si-pin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 394-70-74-591*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 630-70-73-500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD 630-70-75-500</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data started at 350 nm and is cooled
S=Hamamatsu SI-PiN photodiodes
SD=Advanced Photonix APDs

The Table 1 data indicate the two best candidates for coupling with LaBr₃ are the Hgl₂ photocell and an uncooled APD (Advanced Photonix number SD 630-70-73-500). However, despite the good QE of this APD, electronic noise would likely degrade the resolution obtained with this device at room temperature.

2.4 Nuclear Response

The energy resolution of the scintillator/photocell combinations were measured with a 2 µCi ¹³⁷Cs source placed on the scintillator. The test setup for the radiation measurements is shown in Figure 7. Note the preamplifier, in the foreground, is also in the test box. The test box isolated
the scintillator/photocell from ambient light. A block diagram of the electronic system is shown in Figure 8.

Figure 7. Test setup showing LaBr₃ coupled to saline contact HgI₂ photocell with preamplifier in the foreground.

Figure 8. Block diagram of nuclear test electronics.

The spectra of a LaBr₃ (right cylinder, 6 mm in diameter) detector coupled to a saline contact HgI₂ photocell is shown in Figure 9. The overall energy resolution is 4.8% at 662 keV ($^{137}$Cs). The second peak is from a pulser and shows the electronic noise. The same scintillator achieved 3.5% resolution with a PMT and 13.7% with a Si-PiN diode (Hamamatsu S5390-02 windowless), Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The 6-mm diameter LaBr₃ scintillator was coupled to the TLBr photocell and exposed to the Cs source. No discernable photo peak was observed, however, due presumably to poor QE of the cell in the spectral region of the Ce$^{+3}$ emission.
Figure 9. Spectral response of a 6 mm LaBr₃ coupled to a saline contact HgI₂ photocell to a $^{137}$Cs source. The second peak is from a pulser.

Figure 10. Response to 662 keV ($^{137}$Cs) by LaBr₃ read with a PMT.
Figure 11. Response to 662 keV ($^{137}$Cs) by LaBr$_3$ read with a Si-PIN diode without a window.
3. DISCUSSION

The objective of this study was to demonstrate the feasibility of solid-state photocells as replacements for available PMTs used with scintillators. The chief candidates discussed in this report were LaBr₃:Ce³⁺ for the scintillator and HgI₂ for the photocell. Significant progress was achieved in demonstrating high-resolution with a scintillator/photocell combination. Such a device promises a significantly smaller, lighter instrument for gamma-ray spectroscopy with reduced power requirements than can be achieved with PMTs. Note these results were achieved at room temperature.

Despite apparent QE greater than twice that of the PMT, the saline HgI₂ cell achieved a slightly poorer resolution (4.8% vs. 3.5%) than the PMT. Possible reasons for not achieving the desired result are that the HgI₂ had either poor surface or bulk properties. Additionally, the optical coupling may have been sub-optimal, and there are electronic noise contributions as can be seen from Figure 9.

4. CONCLUSION

These results indicate that substituting photocells for a PMT will become an increasingly viable alternative for modest-sized scintillators. Large photocells will be noise limited because of capacitance. The use of pixels on larger photocells may allow for the use of this technique with larger scintillators. Also, new scintillators that emit at longer wavelengths (redder) will be even better candidates for use with photocells than lanthanum halides. HgI₂ photocells remain a viable candidate for use with to LaBr₃ scintillators, particularly if better contacting methods can be developed, and may be the best alternative to PMTs when room temperature operation is required.
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