Report of the APS Summer Study
on
Physics Problems Relating to
Energy Technologies:
Radiation Effects on Materials

F. L. Vook, Chairman
Sandia Laboratories

H. K. Birnbaum
U. of Illinois

T. H. Blewitt
Argonne National Laboratory

W. L. Brown
Bell Telephone Laboratories

J. W. Corbett
State U. of New York at Albany

J. H. Crawford, Jr.
U. of North Carolina

A. N. Goland
Brookhaven National Laboratory

G. L. Kulcinski
U. of Wisconsin

M. T. Robinson
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

D. N. Seidman
Cornell University

F. W. Young, Jr.
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The senior participants responsible for the preparation of this report would like to thank the many briefers who made presentations at the summer study workshop. We would also like to thank Brookhaven National Laboratory for its hospitality and efficiency in hosting the meeting on very short notice. We would especially like to thank the Division of Physical Research of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission for their financial support of the workshop. We also would like to acknowledge several people who were major contributors to particular chapters of this report. Prof. W. A. Sibley, Oklahoma State U., contributed strongly to both Chapters IX and XII. G. W. Arnold, Sandia Laboratories, contributed a major part of Chapter IX. Prof. R. A. Yeske, U. of Illinois, helped write Chapter VII.

MASTER

(Draft #6)

PHYSICS PROBLEMS RELATING TO ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES:

RADIATION EFFECTS ON MATERIALS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS
 - A. Introduction
 - B. Recommendations
- II. TECHNOLOGICAL PROBLEMS
 - A. Controlled Thermonuclear Reactors
 - B. Thermal Reactors
 - C. Fast Breeder Reactors
- III. POINT DEFECTS IN METALS
- IV. VOIDS AND DISLOCATIONS IN METALS
- V. THEORY OF DAMAGE PRODUCTION
- VI. SIMULATION OF REACTOR NEUTRON DAMAGE
- VII. HYDROGEN AND HELIUM IN METALS
- VIII. INTERACTION OF SURFACES AND RADIATION
- IX. INSULATORS
- X. SEMICONDUCTORS
- XI. SUPERCONDUCTIVITY
- XII. INTERACTIONS BETWEEN UNIVERSITIES AND LABORATORIES
- XIII. APPENDIX: Program of the APS Summer Study

MASTER

I. INTRODUCTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I.A. Introduction

This report presents the conclusions and recommendations of a summer study on Radiation Effects on Materials, which was sponsored by the APS as one of a series on Physics Problems Relating to Energy Technologies.

The goal of the summer study was to survey the state of research and development in radiation effects on materials for the purpose of identifying basic scientific problems which limit progress in energy applications and which could be addressed by physical scientists, especially those in universities.

The United States is conducting an intensive search for more and lower cost energy. Because of its relatively low cost, nuclear energy is rapidly becoming an important source of such energy. In 1974 6% of the electricity generated in this country is provided by nuclear reactors, up from 3% in 1973 and 1% in 1972. At present in some areas such as New England and Chicago, more than 1/4 of the electric power is provided by nuclear reactors. Because of recently increased costs for fossil fuels, the operating costs of nuclear power plants have become significantly lower than the present alternatives.¹ However, there are still substantial technological problems in the present and projected nuclear industry. Many of these problems arise from the interaction of nuclear particles with the solid materials in the reactor.

The radiation damage research done in the past has been of great value in the design of the power reactors now in operation. However, it is important to realize that a considerably enhanced effort in radiation damage research will be required to provide the insight and information necessary for the solution of troublesome problems in the present light water reactors and for the design of future fission and fusion reactors which will need to operate in temperature and fast flux regimes far exceeding those of present-day reactors.

In 1972 the AEC Division of Research sponsored two very useful meetings, mostly limited to AEC contractors, on "Fusion Reactor First Wall Materials"² and on "Critical Questions in Fundamental Radiation Effects Research"³. As we will delineate in the present report many of the scientific problems identified in the previous AEC reports remain unsolved. The economic urgency of their solution, however, has greatly increased.

We note that although the scientific and technological motivations which warrant increased effort by the scientific community have existed unabated, recent years have seen a decline in the number of graduate students in the materials science area, a decline in funding, and indeed layoffs in government laboratories working in this area. Only recently has funding increased in this area. We wish to emphasize that an increased and stable funding policy is necessary and desirable. Solutions to the energy crisis will certainly be limited by funds and probably by scientific manpower. In order to utilize efficiently the talents of university physicists, greater opportunities for cooperation between universities, and governmental or industrial laboratories are recommended. Where such interactions have existed they have proved to be mutually beneficial and scientifically productive. These interactions should involve both university faculty and graduate students as well as government and private industrial laboratories' staff and facilities.

The field of radiation effects on materials has applications throughout fission and fusion reactor technology as well as in space technology. Deficiencies in basic understanding of radiation induced changes in material properties in reactor environments such as embrittlement, swelling and void formation have required design changes and operational procedures which will lead to an estimated economic cost in 1974 of $\sim$ \$480 million dollars, increasing to a projected cost of $>$ \$1 billion in 1982 as shown in Table I. These costs were estimated by E. Zebrowski of the Electric Power Research Institute. The costs for light water reactors arise because the lack of basic knowledge requires increased empirical testing, increased inspection power outages, increased auxiliary systems, lower fuel burnup, increased environmental costs, and possible loss of plant if pressure vessel integrity is questionable. Future breeder and fusion reactors will have additional problems, particularly the very high neutron displacement effects, swelling and loss of ductility in breeder reactors and the very troublesome first wall and surface effects in fusion reactors. Especially crucial to breeder reactors are the known swelling effects, which could result in lengthening the fuel breeding doubling time to uneconomic levels. The estimated economic impacts of these problems are shown in the table.

Radiation effects problems in materials other than reactor materials were also considered but to a much lesser degree. In space environments, the effects of Van Allen and solar wind irradiations on semiconductor materials and devices

such as solar cells have received extensive investigation. Of particular interest at the present time are studies of the effects of radiation on the insulating oxides and nitrides of MOS devices. These devices are important for energy considerations because of their small size and very low power consumption.

For these reasons we urge more effort designed to obtain fundamental understanding of the basic physics of radiation effects on materials. This better understanding will often lead to technological solutions to the problems or at least delineate the limits of the solutions. More work is also necessary to relate the results of the basic research to the technological applications. It should be recognized, however, that research requires long lead times to be reduced to technological practice.

In the following sections of this report we first delineate the more important technological problems resulting from the effects of radiation on materials; then we subdivide the subject into several areas of research and in each section we indicate the present status, the basic unknowns and areas of uncertainty, and promising areas of research.

The summer study consisted of a working committee of senior and junior members, who were joined for varying periods of time by 35 additional briefers expert in a broad range of fundamental and applied areas related to radiation effects on materials. The meeting was held June 17-28, 1974 at Brookhaven National Laboratory. After six days of extensive presentations and discussions, the committee began the preparation of this report.

1. The generating cost of nuclear power plants now planned for completion in 1983 by the New England Electric System is estimated to be 2.4 cents per kilowatt hour versus 3.8 cents and 3.4 cents respectively if plants were built for oil or coal. Primarily because of increased construction costs this nuclear power cost is more than double the estimated 1 cent per kilowatt hour long term cost of power from New England nuclear plants built in the late 1960's and early 1970's. Wall Street Journal, June 21, 1974.
2. Fusion Reactor First Wall Materials, WASH-1206, April 1972
3. Critical Questions in Radiation Effects Research, WASH-1240-73, April 1973
4. E. Zebrowski, Electric Power Research Institute, this conference.

TABLE 1

ECONOMIC IMPACTS TO THE NUCLEAR POWER INDUSTRY OF DEFICIENCY IN
UNDERSTANDING OF RADIATION EFFECTS ON MATERIALS (after E. Zebrowski).

	<u>1974</u>	<u>1982</u>
I. <u>Light Water Reactors</u>	(Millions per year)	
Pressure Vessel Steel,	\$ 20 - 30	\$ 100 - 300
Rise in Nil Ductility Temperature		
Zirconium Fuel Cladding,	>\$100	>\$500
Loss of Ductility Under Irradiation		
Reactor Core Internals - Stainless	\$ 50	Potentially
Steel Core Supports, Control Rods		>\$200
and Guides, Flow Shrouds,		
Loss of Ductility, Distortion		
II. <u>Breeder Reactors</u>		
Stainless Steel Cladding & Structures,	\$200	<\$100, if solved
Swelling (void formation) and Loss of	(R&D)	>\$3000 if not
Ductility		solved and
		alternate energy
		used.
Graphite and Fuel Carbides (HTGR core)	<\$50	\$ 100 - 500
Shrinkage, Swelling, Distortion	(R&D)	
III. <u>Fusion Reactors</u>		
Structural Materials	<\$50	<\$50 or
Stainless Steel, Vanadium, Niobium,	(R&D)	>\$3000 if not
Sputtering, Swelling, Loss of		solved and
Ductility		alternate energy
		used.

I-B-I

I.B. RECOMMENDATIONS

I.B.I GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

We make the following general recommendations

for increased effort to attack the most important scientific problem areas that limit progress in energy applications involving radiation effects on materials;

1. A much firmer experimental and theoretical base for ion and electron simulation of fission and fusion neutron damage should be established.
2. Increased emphasis should be placed on understanding the effects of impurities on the production, migration, and agglomeration of radiation-produced defects, particularly the nucleation and growth of voids and dislocation loops.
3. The experimental and theoretical understanding of mechanical properties as they are affected by point defects and their agglomerates, impurities, and defect-impurity combinations should be significantly improved.
4. Experimental and theoretical understanding should be established for the dynamic effects of high flux irradiations such as enhanced diffusion, creep, precipitation and re-solution.

I.B.II SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

More detailed specific recommendations of this study are contained in each of the respective chapters of this report and are summarized below. The most urgent recommendations are starred.

Technological Problems (see Chapter II)

A. CTR Reactors

- * 1. Gain an understanding of the effects of fusion reactor radiation on the mechanical properties of the plasma containment vessel (first wall) with particular emphasis on ductility, creep, stress rupture and fatigue life.
2. Understand dimensional stability of the containment vessel material and the effect of high helium and hydrogen concentrations on the nucleation and growth of voids.

3. Surface problems, insulator problems, and superconducting magnet problems are discussed respectively in Chapters VIII, IX, and XI.

B. Thermal Reactors

- * 1. Improve the understanding of embrittlement in pressure vessel steels and fuel cladding materials.

C. Fast Breeder Reactors

- * 1. Understand and reduce void swelling and radiation creep by developing resistant materials.
- 2. Develop radiation damage models to allow use of neutron damage simulation techniques.
- 3. Develop embrittlement resistant alloys through research into radiation embrittlement.

Point Defects in Metals (see Chapter III)

- * 1. Investigate mechanisms of the interaction of point defects with themselves and with dislocations.
- 2. Confirm the properties of interstitials by physics experiments.
- 3. Establish the defect responsible for Stage III and the associated defect processes.
- 4. Catalogue the thermodynamic properties of point defects in all metals; give immediate emphasis to b.c.c. metals.

5. Develop new techniques for quantitative characterization of point defects and their clusters.
6. Develop theoretical understanding of the relation of property change to defect concentration and state of aggregation for better identification and counting of defects.

Voids and Dislocations in Metals (see Chapter IV)

- * 1. Perform detailed experimental and theoretical studies to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the nucleation and growth of voids in model fcc and bcc alloy systems with well-characterized specimens from both a chemical and a microstructural point of view.
- 2. Perform experiments on model fcc and bcc alloy systems to try to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the saturation of void growth. The experiments should, be performed on specimens well characterized chemically and microstructurally.

Theory of Damage Production (see Chapter V)

- * 1. Develop theory of heavy ion simulation of neutron damage.
- * 2. Improve theory of effects of defects on mechanical properties.
- 3. Study processes by which voids and dislocation loops are nucleated, including the roles of foreign atoms and stress.

Simulation of Reactor Neutron Damage (See Chapter VI)

- * 1. Establish the range of validity (i.e., energy, displacement level, displacement rate, T, etc.) of ion and electron simulation techniques in providing an accurate means for anticipating fission and fusion neutron damage effects.

- * 2. Devise techniques for simulation studies of changes in mechanical properties such as creep and embrittlement with and without externally applied stress. In addition to providing data more quickly, such simulation could provide information on stress enhanced effects.
- 3. Develop a wider range of ion beam facilities for simulation studies. These should include higher beam currents, a wider variety of ions and clean target systems in which accelerator contamination of refractory metal targets at high temperature can be avoided.
- 4. Assess the need for simultaneous gas atom injection and ion beam irradiation as contrasted with pre-injection.
- 5. Establish the theoretical relationship between 'free' defect concentrations (those defects surviving initial cascade recombination) associated with electron, proton, heavy ion, fission and fusion neutron bombardment (see Theory Section).
- 6. Develop techniques for performing simulation experiments using neutron sources.

Hydrogen and Helium in Metals (see Chapter VII)

- * 1. Develop an understanding of the effects of hydrogen on the mechanical properties of alloy systems.

The effects of both solute hydrogen and hydrogen atmospheres must be considered.

- * 2. Establish the behavior of helium in solids and its effects on the mechanical properties using both theoretical and experimental methods. Interactions of helium with other defects must be understood.

Interaction of Surfaces with Radiation (see Chapter VIII)

- * 1. Investigate charge exchange and the energy and particle reflection coefficients for H and He atoms and ions in the energy range from 100 eV to 20 keV for UHV surfaces and also for surfaces covered with adsorbed hydrogen.
- 2. Obtain a comprehensive understanding of the sputtering coefficients of refractory metals and high temperature insulators by hydrogen and helium ions from 100 eV to 20 keV for structurally well-characterized materials.
- 3. Studies of neutron sputtering may be needed, but clarification of the experimental situation is needed to determine its importance.
- 4. Understand the retention, diffusion, precipitation and re-solution of impurities at and near surfaces under bombardment by relatively low energy particles.

Insulators (see Chapter IX)

- * 1. Extend to high temperatures, high doses and high fluxes studies of electrical, mechanical, and optical properties, as well as the effects of radiation on processes such as diffusion and creep.
- 2. Identify basic radiation-induced defects, their properties and their annealing characteristics, in insulators of interest.
- 3. Understand the basic radiation damage mechanisms in MOS insulators and glasses for optical communications.
- 4. Investigate insulator crystal growth and characterization.

Semiconductors (see Chapter X)

- 1. Obtain further identification of defects and defect migration mechanisms.
- 2. Improve the theory of defect production, energy states, defect migration, interaction, and formation.
- 3. Further investigate ion implantation, and particularly dynamic rate effects as important and promising avenues of research.

Superconductivity (See Chapter XI)

- * 1. Measure the superconductor parameters I_c , H_{c2} , and T_c as a function of fluence, particularly at 4°K.
- 2. Measure the recovery behavior of T_c , I_c and H_{c2} after irradiation.
- 3. Study the stability of sample coils irradiated at 4 K and compare the results with stability theories.
- 4. Study irradiation effects in superconductor materials as a function of their crystal structure.
- 5. Perform basic physical measurements on irradiated superconductors, e.g., heat capacity, resistivity and magnetic susceptibility.
- 6. Study the influence of metallurgical variables e.g. (heat treatment, fabrication techniques, impurities, etc.) on the parameters of superconductors.
- 7. Investigate the properties of magnetic fluxoids including the pinning of fluxoids by defects.

Interactions Between Universities and Laboratories (see Chapter XII)

- 1. Increase university-laboratory cooperation by joint research, summer programs, and interchange seminars.

II. TECHNOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

II.A. CONTROLLED THERMONUCLEAR REACTORS

A.I. INTRODUCTION

There are many different materials in fusion reactors which will suffer radiation damage if a D-T fuel cycle is used. Since 14 MeV neutron sources for engineering testing are not expected to be readily available in the near future greater reliance and importance are placed on a fundamental understanding of radiation damage to materials of interest. Some examples of those materials are given in Table I. It is not possible to go into detail on the specific problems of these materials and the reader is referred to references 1-5. We will only discuss in this report what is commonly accepted as the most critical problem of fusion reactors; that is the material of the vessel which contains the plasma, i.e., the first wall material. Surface problems are covered in Chapter VIII. Other problems which concern electrical insulators and optical materials (for lasers) are discussed in Chapter IX. Superconducting magnet material problems are discussed in Chapter XI.

A.II. OPERATING ENVIRONMENT

The environment which the CTR first wall materials will face is very much a function of two things: Reactor Type (e.g. Tokamak, short pulsed reactors like the theta pinches or laser systems, and steady state devices like mirrors); and Metal-Coolant Choice (e.g. Steel-He or Li, Nb-Li, Al-Al₂O₃-He, etc.).

We may obtain an approximate range of operating conditions from current designs by various laboratories (Ref. 6-9). Table 2 lists a few of the more pertinent conditions. Note the wide range of temperatures and thermal pulses that typify current fusion reactor designs. Neutron fluences are time averaged values

TABLE 1

TYPICAL MATERIALS WHICH WILL BE DAMAGED DURING OPERATION IN CONTROLLED THERMONUCLEAR REACTORS

<u>Material Function</u>	<u>Typical Examples</u>
First Wall and Blanket Structural Components	Austenitic Stainless Steels (304, 316, 347, etc.) Nickel Based Alloys (PE16, Inconel, Incoloy, etc.) Refractory Metals (V, Nb, Mo, or alloys of those metals with Ti, Zr, or Cr) Others [Sintered Aluminum Product (SAP), SiC, graphite, etc.]
Reflection, Moderation	Graphite
Neutron Multiplication	Be, BeO
Breeding	Li, Li_2O , $\text{Li}_2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4$, Li-Al, etc.
Radiation Shielding	B, B_4C , Pb, Austenitic Steel
Electric Insulation (especially for pulsed reactors)	Al_2O_3 , MgO, Y_2O_3 and Others
Optics for Laser Systems	Windows: Ge, Alkali Halides (NaCl, KCl, etc.) Chalcogenides (GaAs, CdSe, etc.)
	Mirrors: Al, Al-7178, Al-Ni, Be-Ni, Be-Cu, etc.
Thermal Insulation (for S/C Magnets)	Mylar or Other Hydrocarbons
Superconducting Magnet Filaments	NbTi, Nb_3Sn , V_3Ga , etc.
Superconducting Stabilizing Materials	Cu, Al
Magnet Support Structure ($< 10^0\text{K}$)	Austenitic Steel

TABLE 2

RANGE OF OPERATING CONDITIONS ENVISAGED FOR THE FIRST WALL COMPONENTS OF FUSION REACTORS

<u>Parameters</u>	<u>Value</u>
Temperature °C	300-1000 (steady state) $\Delta T = 50-300$ (thermal pulse)
Neutron Fluence $n/cm^2/yr$	$10^{21} - 10^{22*}$ (14.1 MeV) $10^{22} - 10^{23*}$ (Total)
Damage Rate dpa/sec	$10^{-6} - 10^{-1}$
Gas Generation Rates appm/yr**	75-500 (hydrogen) 30-600 (helium)
Stress Levels - bars (psi)	100-1000 (1500-15000)
Number of Cycles (excluding mirrors), yr^{-1}	6×10^3 to 3×10^8

* Values correspond to 1 and 10 MW/m² wall loadings.
 ** 1 MW/m² only, 10 times that number for 10 MW/m².

for 14 MeV neutrons and total neutron spectra. Extremes in Table 2 correspond to 1-10 MW/m² neutron wall loadings.

One effect of pulsed reactor operation can be seen in the high instantaneous displacement values. Most reactors which operate on a steady state or "quasi steady state" basis have instantaneous displacement rates of $\sim 10^{-6}$ dpa/sec (dpa = displacement per atom). Some reactors like the theta pinch reactors have instantaneous displacement rates $\sim 10^{-5}$ to 10^{-4} dpa/sec. Laser systems have instantaneous displacement rates of 10^{-2} to 10^{-1} dpa/sec for the same average power level.

Gas generation rates are a function of the first wall material, but for a 1MW/m² neutron wall loading, the helium generation rate will vary from ~ 30 atomic parts per million (appm) per year for Nb to 600 appm for aluminum alloys.¹⁰ Similar numbers are given for hydrogen production.

The exact stress levels in fusion reactors again depend on design; but with the coolant pressures typical of liquid lithium and helium gas cooling, in addition to the thermal stresses arising from temperature gradients across the first wall, it is estimated that the stress levels will vary from 100-1000 bars (1,500-15,000 psi). Probably more serious than the absolute level of stresses is the fact that in all systems, except the mirror reactors, these stresses will be repeated many thousands to tens of millions of times per year. The exact value depends on the length of the burn cycle and the recharging time.

A. III. MECHANICAL PROPERTY DAMAGE

Many of the mechanical property damage problems of fusion reactors are also common to thermal and fast breeder reactors. Ideally one would like the structural members of a fusion reactor first wall to retain the following properties during irradiation in order to assure vacuum tightness for the plasma and containment of the reactor coolant. (i) Reasonable ductility ($\geq 1\%$ Uniform Elongation);

(ii) Low creep rate ($\leq 10^{-7} \text{ hr}^{-1}$); (iii) Acceptable stress rupture - life at reactor operating conditions ($\sim 10^5 \text{ hr}$); (iv) Long fatigue life during 10^4 to 10^8 thermal cycles. These properties must be maintained even though every atom theoretically will be displaced over 10 times per year, large amounts of soluble and insoluble gas will be generated and the chemical composition of the material will be changing.

The present status of experimental and theoretical information on the effects of irradiation on the mechanical properties of potential CTR materials is certainly marginal at best. The first obvious fact that emerges from a review is that there are no known experimental data on the effects of 14 MeV neutron damage ($> 10^{17} \text{ n/cm}^2$) on the high temperature mechanical properties of potential CTR materials. However, a large body of information does exist on the stress-rupture ductility of a few alloys irradiated with fission neutrons (e.g. 304-SS, 316-SS, PE16, graphite) up to $\sim 10^{23} \text{ n/cm}^2$.

Experimental data pertaining to the fatigue failure of irradiated metals are almost non-existent. The existing data are usually from post irradiation tests where the damage state is not the same as it would be during cyclic stress in a reactor.

The theoretical explanations for the effect of radiation produced defects on the mechanical properties of metals are not very well developed, nor do they allow extrapolation from the present fission reactor data to 14 MeV neutron damage. We do know that high temperature creep-ductility values for steels and Ni based alloys drop to the 1% level after fission neutron fluences of $\sim 3-5 \times 10^{22} \text{ n/cm}^2$ and associated helium concentrations of 10-20 appm. It is also known that the stress-rupture life of steel is very strongly reduced at irradiation temperatures above 550°C due to helium bubble formation and accumulation at internal surfaces such as grain boundaries.

The refractory metals also show a loss of ductility from helium gas bubble accumulation. In addition, it has been known for some time that bcc metals are very sensitive to an increase in the ductile to brittle transition temperature (DBTT) depending on the irradiation conditions. Normally the DBTT for refractory metals is below room temperature, but it is possible to raise it above RT by modest fluences (10^{20} - 10^{21} n/cm²). The significance of this shift is that while most refractories can operate in a ductile fashion at high temperature, lowering of the temperature during reactor cycles or normal maintenance may initiate brittle failures. This effect is particularly acute in Mo alloys, but it applies to V and Nb alloys as well.

Finally, the theoretical basis for fatigue failures even in fission neutron irradiated metals is not well understood. Part of this stems from lack of experimental facilities to superimpose the proper conditions on the irradiated metal, and part of it comes from the fact that such fatigue problems are not incurred in fission reactors which operate in 'steady-state'. It is not possible to extrapolate the small amount of present data on fatigue life to irradiation with 14 MeV neutrons, but it seems certain that the fatigue life will not improve over fission neutron data per unit of damage.

A. IV. DIMENSIONAL STABILITY

The swelling of metals and non-metals (e.g. graphite, SiC) is well known from recent fission reactor work. This swelling not only represents a problem from the standpoint of absolute volume changes, but the existence of swelling gradients can impose severe stresses on rigid reactor components. These stresses can result in warping or cracking of relatively thin first wall structures. It is easily seen that the problems of swelling and mechanical properties cannot be completely decoupled.

Swelling in first wall components at typical operating conditions can come from at least four different sources:

- (i) Distortion of the lattice due to the generation of isolated point defects. This effect is negligible in metallic components but may be of considerable importance in SiC and graphite at high temperature;
- (ii) Collection of interstitials into loops or vacancies into voids. This is the major swelling mechanism in metallic components.
- (iii) Production of gas atoms and formation of gas bubbles. This may be a particular problem in Al, C, SiC or Al_2O_3 .
- (iv) Transmutation reactions which produce atoms which are larger than original atoms. One example of this effect is the production of Zr in Nb, but it will occur in practically all CTR materials.

Ideally, one would like to set limits on the maximum swelling (or swelling gradient) that could be tolerated, and then try to correlate that limit with experimental data or theoretical predictions. Roughly speaking, a maximum tolerable limit may be $\sim 10\%$ and one would not like to exceed swelling gradients of 1% per cm until more detailed design and stress analyses are completed.

A large body of data exists on the effect of fission neutron damage and irradiation temperature on the swelling of SiC and graphite. There are no known data on the swelling of these materials at high temperature after 14 MeV neutron damage.

The mechanism for swelling in SiC and graphite is fairly well understood. The production, migration and preferential agglomeration of point defects on different crystallographic planes appears to account in principle for the observed swelling. In most cases, the starting material is not 100% dense so that the material is initially densified until all of the space is occupied. After

the void space is used up, further irradiation can cause a phenomenon called 'break away swelling' which can lead to very severe distortions. The threshold for 'break away swelling' is temperature sensitive but it generally occurs in the neighborhood of $2-3 \times 10^{22}$ n/cm² of fission neutron damage. Some progress is being made in developing isotropic graphite and SiC to alleviate this problem, but it appears very difficult to obtain a material which will stand neutron fluences of $> 10^{23}$ n/cm².¹¹

There are currently three methods of investigating void induced swelling in metals: fission neutrons, charged particle bombardment, and high voltage electron microscopy (HVEM). The reasons for void formation and growth are fairly well understood although nucleation mechanisms are still somewhat vague. More detail on this subject can be found in Section IV, on Voids.

There is a large body of experimental information on the stainless steels and nickel base alloys from fission reactors, ion bombardment and HVEM studies.¹² Much less information is available on the refractory metals. There is little information on the swelling of SAP, although irradiation of other Al alloys reveals high levels of swelling.

The nucleation and growth of gas bubbles in metals has been studied for over 15 years and the swelling mechanisms are fairly well understood. The gas bubbles expand by collecting vacancies in the solid which have been produced thermally or by irradiation. There is not very much information on the combined effects of high gas generation rates and high damage rates ($\sim 10^{-4}$ - 10^{-1} dpa/sec) but such results should be predictable from current models.

A.V. REQUIRED INFORMATION

The main requirements for future work can be divided into the different areas listed below.

1. Comparison of 14 MeV neutron displacement damage to fission reactor and heavy ion damage. It is of paramount importance to determine if 14 MeV neutron displacement damage is simply an extension of fission reactor damage when appropriate interaction cross sections are taken into account. For example does the increased displacement spike size or structure facilitate or retard the formation of micro-structural defects such as loops, voids, or precipitates, which in turn will greatly influence the strength of metals? It is also necessary to test the validity of using charged particle bombardment to simulate displacement damage in CTR materials. Such studies are of a fundamental nature and could be done with existing sources.

2. Investigation of the synergistic effects of helium and hydrogen on displacement damage produced by neutrons and on the nucleation and growth of voids should be pursued. It is important that gas atoms be added to the solid in direct proportion to the displacement damage predicted by theory in a fusion reactor. Samples, pre-injected with hundreds if not thousands, of ppm gas before irradiation will not necessarily give the same result at high temperature as gas generated monatomically with damage. It is known that such pre-injection can significantly alter the spatial distribution and size of defects which in turn can influence the movement of dislocations in the solid.

Simulation studies with ion bombardment and intermittent or continuous gas injection look feasible provided a reasonable correlation can be obtained between ion bombardment and 14 MeV neutron irradiation. HVEM damage simulation may help in this area, but care should be exercised in

the interpretation of the results due to the vastly different nature of displacement spikes (thousands of atoms/neutron versus one or two atoms per electron). The effects of helium bubble swelling in graphite, SiC, and a few alloys like SAP or stainless steel need to be investigated. Such work can be accomplished with current simulation techniques and careful attention paid to the damage rate effects.

The mobility of neutronically generated gases and their collection at grain boundaries must be thoroughly investigated. If alternative experiments and theoretical interpretation are not sufficiently conclusive such work will require expensive, high temperature, 14 MeV neutron test facilities which produce fluxes of 10^{13} - 10^{15} n/cm²/sec.

3. The effect of displacement rate on the microstructural distribution of defects and on void nucleation and growth must be understood. This effect is very important for pulsed reactor systems such as the theta pinch and the laser reactors. Evidence from charged particle simulation studies reveal that the denuding of damage around internal surfaces such as grain boundaries or precipitates is substantially reduced at higher dpa rates. Such effects might alter the failure mechanism at the grain boundaries. It is also important to understand the effects of the relatively long (0.1-10 second) annealing times between burns in pulsed reactor systems.

It is known that under increased damage rate conditions, the amount of recombination reaction between vacancies and interstitials greatly increases. Increased recombination has the effect of reducing the supersaturation levels and hence the amount of void

swelling. It is therefore possible that pulsed reactors will have different void swelling characteristics than steady state systems at the same temperature. This particular problem can be addressed by current ion bombardment techniques which can vary the displacement rate from 10^{-6} dpa/sec to 10^{-1} dpa/sec simply by controlling the particle output from high energy accelerators. If alternative experiments and theory are not conclusive this work will require an expensive source of $\sim 10^{14}$ neutrons/cm²/sec with instantaneous neutron fluxes of 10^{15} - 10^{19} n/cm²/sec. The pulse frequency must be of the order of once every ten seconds to ten times a second.

4. It is also necessary to understand the effect of non gaseous transmutation products on the microstructure of the damage state and on the formation of voids. In metals like Nb, the concentration of the major transmutation product, Zr, may actually exceed the solubility limit in the host metal. A similar situation exists for the production of Si in Al. Precipitation of second phases can drastically alter the mechanical properties by producing barriers to dislocation motion, and, in some cases, causing dislocation pile-up, often a precursor to crack formation. The production of Cr in V-Ti alloys, or the production of Mn, Ti and V in 316 SS may also alter the creep-rupture properties even though the above elements are soluble in the host metal.¹²

The effect of non-gaseous transmutation products on the formation of voids in metals should be measured. It is known that void swelling tends to decrease with additions of impurity elements in

most metals. The continuous generation of new elements in irradiated CTR materials may alter the swelling behaviour. Such effects might not be observed in fission reactor, ion bombardment or HVEM work unless care is taken to irradiate a wide series of alloys.

5. We must mount a concerted effort towards the theoretical understanding of the effects of 14 MeV neutrons on the mechanical properties of materials. For example, most of the present fusion reactor designs are sorely lacking in the assessment of the fatigue lifetime that might be expected under large stress, strain and thermal excursions. A large part of the theoretical model work can be, and is being, pursued in the various fission reactor programs of the world. It is recommended that these programs be closely monitored for progress and that solid state theorists be brought into the CTR program. Further work on understanding the irradiation effects on the DBTT is necessary if we are ever to use bcc metals in fusion reactors. Theoretical efforts should also be directed toward analyzing the effect of defect annealing between D-T burns in pulsed devices.
6. The effect of stress, either static or cyclic, on swelling must be understood in more detail. This is even more critical in fusion than in fission reactors because of the pulsed nature of most fusion reactors. The movement of dislocations through pinning sites will alter the microstructure such that void nuclei may be diminished (or enhanced?). The theoretical foundation on this subject is sorely lacking and must be developed before future

reactor studies can progress to the design stage.

7. More information on the allowable swelling and swelling gradients in severely embrittled material must be forthcoming. Present design limits require that the first wall be changed about every five years or so with the associated cost and environmental penalties that must be paid. If detailed stress analysis raises or lowers the presently used design limits, then whole design concepts may change. These calculations could be performed now and more definitive design limits might be stated for future reactor studies.
8. It will be necessary to investigate the effects of 14 MeV neutron irradiation on the 'runaway' swelling phenomena in SiC and graphite if these materials are even to be used for first walls of CTR's. The effect of extremely high helium concentrations (the helium production cross section for carbon is ~ 100 times that of Nb) on the fluence threshold of this effect is also needed. Damage rate studies may also be required if SiC and graphite were used for first walls of pulsed systems. The above work requires a neutron source of $\sim 10^{15}$ n/cm²/sec if damage levels of 10^{23} n/cm² are to be reached in reasonable times. Provisions also need to be made for heated specimens.
9. Finally, because reasonable size 14 MeV neutron sources will probably not be available in the next 5-10 years, we must obtain as much data as possible from fission reactors and simulation studies. Efforts will have to be made to simulate reasonable CTR environments (e.g. Li or He) by clever design of test capsules and perhaps recycling specimens several times after modification for

transmutation reactions. A large variety of materials should be screened by simulation studies when possible so that more valuable core space can be used for those materials which are truly high on the priority list.

An effort should be made to test the properties in situ when possible if any realistic assessment of their engineering usefulness is to be made. Post irradiation tests can reveal the gross features of damaged material, but cannot be relied upon for dependable quantitative results. Experience from fission reactor work clearly showed that post-reactor creep studies could not predict in-reactor properties nor could they be particularly helpful in developing theoretical models. In addition, such in-reactor testing at high temperatures requires a volume of the order of $\sim 10 \text{ cm}^3$ per sample in test facilities. Several alloy systems may have to be tested and 10-100 specimens of each alloy may be required if reasonable statistics are to be developed. Therefore it is not sufficient to produce 10^{14} - $10^{15} \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{sec}$ fluxes in a volume of only $1\text{-}2 \text{ cm}^3$. Test facilities should be designed to utilize much larger test capsules.

REFERENCES

1. Fusion Reactor First Wall Materials, ed. by L. C. Ianniello, Wash - 1206, 1972.
2. Proceedings of the Intern. Working Sessions on Fusion Reactor Technology, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, June 28-July 2 1971, Conf - 710624.
3. Technology of Controlled Thermonuclear Fusion Experiments and the Engineering Aspects of Fusion Reactors; ed. E Linn Draper Jr., Austin Texas, Nov. 20, 1972, AEC Symposium Series 31.
4. G. L. Kulcinski, Radiation Damage by Neutrons to Materials in DT Fusion Reactors, to be published in Proceedings of IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Fusion Technology Tokyo, Nov. 1974.
5. Proceedings of the First Topical Meeting on the Technology of Controlled Nuclear Fusion, ed. G. R. Hopkins, Conf-740402-April, 1974.
6. B. Badger et.al., UWMAK-I, A Wisconsin Toroidal Fusion Reactor Design, UWFD-68, Nov. 1973.
7. An Engineering Design Study of a Reference Theta Pinch Reactor (RTPR) LA-5336, ANL-8019, Joint Report of the Los Alamos Scientific Lab. and Argonne National Laboratory (March 1974).
8. J. Williams, T. Merson, F. Finch, F. Schilling and T. Frank, P.70 in Reference 5.
9. R. W. Werner, et.al, A Progress Report on a Mirror Reactor Design UCRL-74054, Nov. 1972.
10. G. L. Kulcinski, P. G. Doran, and M. A. Abdou, To be published in ASTM STP series, proceedings of Conference held at Gatlenburg Tenn. June, 1974.
11. G. B. Engle and W. P. Eatherly, High Temperatures and High Pressures, Vol. 4, 119, 1972.
12. Proceedings of Consultants conference on Void Formation, Harwell, England, Sept., 1974, to be published.

II.B. Thermal Reactors

The thermal neutron power reactors now in service could operate much more efficiently and at lower cost if it were not necessary to make allowances in the reactor design for the fact that the pressure vessel and the fuel cladding are subject to radiation embrittlement.

The pressure vessel is irradiated by both fast and thermal neutrons, which results in an increase in the nil ductility temperature. The obvious danger lies in the fact that pressure vessels can become brittle at room temperature or even at the reactor operating temperature, leading to the possibility of failure of the vessel. The lack of understanding of this embrittlement, both the phenomenon itself and the changes due to radiation damage, has led to a monitoring program which involves periodic physical inspections of the vessel together with the testing for embrittlement of small steel samples located on the vessel walls. Both this surveillance program and the fact that these reactors must operate under less than optimal conditions because of this safety hazard are expensive; it is estimated that their cost to the power industry will be \$100 to \$300 million annually by 1982. Although new steels that are more resistant to embrittlement are being developed, the problem is still a very important one both in relation to the safety of existing reactors and the reduction of safety tolerances in new reactors. Thus research on radiation embrittlement of steels can have important consequences for the safe operation of reactors under more efficient conditions.

Consider now the embrittlement of fuel cladding. The cladding, a zirconium alloy, is subjected to a higher neutron flux, $\sim 10^{13}$ n/cm²/sec, and the principal effect of the radiation damage is a loss in ductility.

This embrittlement increases the frequency of cladding rupture, leading possibly to the release of radioactivity to the primary cooling circuit. It is frequently necessary to replace ruptured fuel elements prior to optimum fuel burn-up, resulting in inefficient operating conditions. Improved understanding of the radiation embrittlement of the fuel cladding material which would lead to an embrittlement resistant material would have important consequences for more economical reactor operations.

II.C. Fast Breeder Reactors

The fast neutron fluxes to be expected in a typical fast breeder reactor are about 10^{16} neutrons/cm²/sec, compared to fluxes of a few times 10^{13} in thermal reactors. This high fast flux, and higher fast fluences for fast breeder fuel assemblies will lead to materials problems not previously experienced or anticipated. In addition, those problems encountered in thermal reactors, such as loss in ductility, are much more serious and will have a more pronounced effect on the economic viability of breeder reactors.

The most crucial materials problem in fast breeder reactors at the present time is swelling associated with void formation. This phenomenon is discussed in detail in the section on Voids and Dislocations. At the high fluxes in these reactors there is a rapid generation of vacant lattice sites and interstitial atoms. At the reactor ambient temperatures these defects are mobile and the great majority recombine, but the annihilation is not complete and a steady state supersaturation of vacancies and interstitials occurs. The excess interstitials cluster into platelets which collapse to form dislocation loops. An appreciable number of the vacancies nucleate, probably on impurity gas atoms, three-dimensional precipitates called voids, which are easily observable with transmission electron microscopy. Conse-

quently the metal swells, and volume swelling of approximately 20% has been observed in reactor components irradiated to neutron doses which would be accumulated during their expected lifetime in the reactor. The void swelling has particularly serious effects on the breeding process. The fuel pins have to be more widely spaced to provide sufficient cooling as the fuel pins swell. Also, the non-uniformity of the neutron flux results in non-uniform swelling, causing the fuel pins to bow, and the density of fuel in the reactor core must be reduced further. This decrease in core density softens the neutron spectrum, resulting in a substantial decrease in the breeding ratio.

The development of swelling resistant materials will play an important role in the development of breeder reactors. Several possibilities to control the void swelling exist. For example, the annihilation process can be more highly favored through the retention of interstitial atoms by trapping them with precipitates or impurity atoms. Alternatively, it may be possible to force the vacancy clusters to form dislocation loops instead of voids. In any event it is clear that this is an area where clever research can have important effects on reactor technology.

Another area where fundamental information can be of great assistance is in the development of a radiation damage model which will permit the simulation of the large neutron fluxes and neutron doses encountered in fast breeder reactors by the use of heavy ion or electron bombardment (High Voltage Electron Microscope). The void swelling, which occurs in years in existing steady state reactors such as the EBR-II, in principle can be produced in hours by these charged particle bombardments. The difficulty in properly assessing the results in terms of neutron bombardment lies in accounting for the differences in displacement

IIC-3

cascade effects, in the kinetic effects due to the much higher defect production rates, and in effects of (n,p) and (n, α) reactions. This is obviously an area where fundamental concepts play an important role.

Alteration of mechanical properties during irradiation and as a result of the total irradiation ranks with dimensional instability as a major concern. Irradiation creep, stress effects on swelling, and thermal creep are deformation mechanisms that control the behavior of the reactor core. It is essential that these deformation mechanisms be understood in terms of their dependence on temperature, flux, fluence, stress, etc. Reduction of ductility due to reduced uniform strain at temperatures below about 500°C (in steels) and due to enhanced intergranular fracture above 500°C may become a limiting factor. Extremely low fracture strains (near zero) are observed after irradiation to fluences of 10^{23} n/cm². Of particular concern is the behavior under short-time temperature transients.

Progress in this area is hindered by a lack of understanding of the controlling deformation and fracture mechanisms in the absence of radiation, and even less understanding of the effect of irradiation produced defects on these mechanisms or in changing the mechanisms. This area can be divided into two parts: dynamic or in situ effects during irradiation and postirradiation properties. Much more is known by far about the latter. Both areas are important in determining the usefulness of a given metal or alloy for a reactor core component. The dynamic behavior determines the response of the component to other radiation-produced changes (i.e., the creep rate and rupture ductility may be increased while the material is being irradiated so that the swelling can be accommodated). The post-irradiation properties probably determine the limits of degradation of mechanical properties and define the properties that will be present during

transient changes in the core.

Particular problems that have been identified are radiation creep, the deformation under the action of an applied stress during irradiation; effects of irradiation on thermal creep; radiation hardening, the increase in yield strength after irradiation to high fluences; and elevated-temperature fracture, the reduction in ductility after irradiation to high fluences which often results in intergranular fracture. The loss of ductility is a more severe problem in the fast breeder reactors than in the thermal reactors because of the enhanced flux.

REFERENCES:

1. "Irradiation Effects in Structural Alloys for Thermal and Fast Reactors," ASTM Symposium San Francisco, 1968 (Special Technical Publication 457, ASTM, Philadelphia, 1969).
2. Symposium on Materials Performance in Operating Nuclear Systems, M. S. Wechsler and W. H. Smith, editors, Ames, Iowa, Conference 1973 (USAEC, TN 37830).
3. "Advanced Nuclear Power," H. Bethe; "Report of the Cornell Workshops on the Major Issues of a National Energy Research and Development Program," Cornell University, 1973.
4. Publication Hearings on the "Key Issues Related to LMFBR Development," National Science Foundation, Fission Energy Working Group, Chicago (August 14, 1974).
5. LMFBR Program Plan: Fuels and Materials, WASH-1107 (2nd ed), December 1972.

III. POINT DEFECTS IN METALS

III.A. Introduction

When metals are irradiated with energetic particles, intrinsic point defects, i.e. interstitials and vacant lattice sites, are initially created. In neutron irradiations, transmutations will also be produced, resulting in a buildup of extrinsic point defects during an irradiation. Both intrinsic and extrinsic point defects affect the properties of metals. Some of the intrinsic defects annihilate by recombination, others aggregate into clusters of like kind or interact with impurities initially in the metal or with the transmutation products. The ability of the defects to migrate and thereby to cluster or to form defect-impurity complexes depends upon the temperature of the metal. Moreover, rate processes of great technological significance such as nucleation, precipitation, and segregation are diffusion-controlled, and diffusion can be enhanced by the presence of a supersaturation of mobile defects. The degree of supersaturation is a function of dose rate and temperature. The extent to which a change in a rate process is significant depends upon the duration of exposure to radiation. Therefore, kinetic processes are governed not only by the fundamental parameters of point defects but also by the important controllable factors of irradiation temperature, flux and integrated flux (dose or fluence).

In order to understand radiation damage it is necessary to be able to measure the number of defects produced during an irradiation, to understand the mechanisms of motion and clustering of the defects, and to measure their thermodynamic properties. It has become clear that the various types of

defect clusters have significant effects on technologically important properties. Because clusters are built up of point defects, it follows that the understanding of the basic physics of point defects will aid in the solution of present and future technological problems related to radiation damage.

III.B. Present Status of Knowledge

Many experimental techniques have been developed for investigating point defects in metals. All these techniques have proved to be necessary to arrive at unique answers to questions of defect properties, and we have now deduced a reasonable model for some metals which is consistent with the information obtained from all the techniques. From resistivity recovery data annealing stages were established, and in past years effort has been devoted to identifying the defects responsible for these various stages.

- Stage I. ~ 50°K. Best understood. Corresponds to close-pair annihilation and long-range motion of interstitials. Form of the interstitial and its dynamics established by diffuse x-ray scattering, elastic constants, Mössbauer, and annealing kinetics measurements, and computer simulation studies. A few metals do not exhibit this stage of annealing - no correlation with crystal structure.
- Stage II. ~ 50 - 200°K. Trapping and de-trapping of the migrating interstitials at impurities, and clustering of interstitials to form interstitial loops. The latter phenomenon was recently established by diffuse x-ray scattering. The details of the clustering mechanism are not understood; the interactions of interstitials with impurities are only partly catalogued and poorly understood.
- Stage III. ~ 200 - 350°K. Presumably this stage is associated with vacancy aggregation to form vacancy loops. Processes which occur in this stage are controversial and poorly understood.

Stage IV. Most probably this stage only exists for certain impurity-vacancy interactions - generally not observed.

Stage V. Dislocation loops annihilated through evaporation of vacancies, through interaction with each other and with dislocations in the lattice, etc. Transmission electron microscopy and x-ray scattering techniques used to establish these processes.

Complete reviews of our present status of knowledge can be found in the recent technical literature. (1,2)

III.C. Basic Unknowns and Areas of Uncertainty

1. The defect responsible for Stage III and the associated processes.
2. Interaction of point defects with themselves and with impurities, including transmutation products.
3. Interaction of point defects and their aggregates with extended defects, e.g. dislocations, stacking faults, grain boundaries, etc.
4. Mechanisms of growth of clusters and loops - also, the migration of loops.
5. Theory of relation of property change, e.g. resistivity, change in lattice parameter, positron trapping at vacancies, etc., to concentration and state of aggregation of defects.

III.D. Promising Areas of Research

1. Investigate mechanisms of the interaction of point defects with themselves and with dislocations.
2. Confirm the properties of interstitials by physics experiments.
3. Establish the defect responsible for Stage III and the associated defect processes.
4. Catalogue the thermodynamic properties of point defects in all metals; give immediate emphasis to b.c.c. metals.

5. Develop new techniques for quantitative characterization of point defects and their clusters.
6. Develop theoretical understanding of the relation of property change to defect concentration and state of aggregation for better identification and counting of defects.

REFERENCES

1. Vacancies and Interstitials in Metals, edited by A. Seeger, D. Schumacher, W. Schilling, and J. Diehl, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
2. J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. 3 (1973).

IV. VOIDS AND DISLOCATIONS IN METALS

IV.A. Introduction

The swelling of metals that are subjected to a high fluence of fast neutrons at elevated temperatures (0.3 to 0.6 of the absolute melting point of the metal) was an unexpected physical phenomenon that was first discovered by Cawthorne and Fulton⁽¹⁾ in 1966. These investigators found that austenitic stainless steel fuel cladding subjected to a high fluence of fast neutrons in the Dounreay Experimental Reactor in Great Britain contained a microstructure which consisted of empty cavities (hereafter called voids) which were approximately 100A in diameter. Since that time much experimental research has shown that voids are a general result of irradiating metals in certain temperature ranges to the point where every atom has been displaced to a minimum total of between 1 to 10 times. The macroscopic swelling (often exceeding 10%) that accompanies the formation of these microscopic voids is a serious technological problem in the economic design and operation of a liquid metal fast breeder reactor (LMFBR) as well as in the cases of a gas-cooled fast breeder reactor and the controlled thermonuclear fusion reactor. The phenomenon of void formation in irradiated metals has been the subject of two recent international conferences,^(2,3) and several review articles;^(4,5,6) the reader is referred to these proceedings and articles for the myriad of details that, by necessity, must be omitted from the present discussion.

A basic requirement for the nucleation and growth of voids is the existence of a preferential sink, as well as a neutral sink, for one of the two mobile point defects (vacancy or self-interstitial atom) produced by the irradiating particle. This sink is now generally believed to be the edge dislocation. The edge dislocation acts as a preferential sink* for the self-interstitial atom (SIA) because the larger strain field associated with the SIA, when compared to the strain field of the vacancy, results in a preferential drift of the SIA's to the edge dislocation. Direct experimental evidence for this effect has been found in the high voltage electron microscope (HVEM) work of Norris⁽⁷⁾. The presence of a biased sink for SIA's and at least one neutral sink is a very basic requirement for void growth, and hence even though the experimental effort has been placed on voids it is the existence of edge dislocations that makes possible void growth. The general physical picture of the formation of voids is as follows. The incident radiation produces vacancies and SIA's in equal numbers and if the specimen is at a temperature where both types of point defects are mobile and where the lattice is also supersaturated with respect to both species then one

* This statement assumes that the climb of edge dislocations in this situation is diffusion-limited and not absorption-limited. If the supersaturation of vacancies and SIA's is low enough then the climb processes can become absorption-limited. In this case the edge dislocation can still act as a preferential sink if its absorptive properties are different for either the vacancy or the SIA.

has met the first condition for void nucleation and growth. Next since the SIA's are more mobile than the vacancies they will cluster in the form of dislocation loops which are usually of the pure edge type. The small net flux of SIA's to edge dislocation loops also means that the point defect flux into any other neutral sink will be vacancy in nature. Thus any void nuclei that have formed may grow, as long as the lattice is supersaturated with respect to vacancies. Continued particle irradiation results in the additional growth of the interstitial edge dislocation loops into a three-dimensional dislocation network. The voids will then continue to grow as long as no mechanism exists which results in the recombination of the equal numbers of vacancies and SIA's produced. Therefore all mechanisms which produce a saturation in the void growth must involve a means of making the vacancies recombine with the SIA's before they lose their point defect character. With the above as an introduction to the general problem we now proceed to specific problem areas.

IV.B. Nucleation Problems

The nucleation problem is really a two-fold problem, since it is clear that we must consider the nucleation of both interstitial edge dislocation loops and voids. Mechanisms for void nucleation have been given the most attention both theoretically and experimentally and much of the experimental

evidence has been reviewed by Stiegler⁽⁸⁾ and Norris⁽⁴⁾. In the case of void nucleation it has been suggested that nucleation is possible at or on impurity atoms (both metallic and non-metallic), depleted zones, gas bubbles, and also as a result of simple homogeneous nucleation. No single mechanism is able to explain all the experimental observations⁽⁸⁾, although it is generally believed that mobile gas atoms play a critical role in the nucleation of voids. In particular it is believed that the helium gas atoms produced as a result of neutron-alpha particle reactions play a critical role in both the nucleation and stabilization of voids. Almost no experimental attention has been paid to the equally very important problem of the nucleation of the interstitial dislocation loops. It is clear that in this latter situation it is also a question of a homogeneous nucleation mechanism versus heterogeneous nucleation mechanisms.

The theoretical aspects of nucleation have been considered in some detail and the reader is referred to the papers of Burton⁽⁹⁾, Katz and Wiedersich^(10,11), and Russell⁽¹²⁾, for further details. The theoretical side must be further developed to include the following problems:

1. Time-dependent nucleation theory of interstitial dislocation loops.
2. Influence of diffusion gradients toward the embryos on nucleation rate.
3. The effect of the high-mobility divacancies on nucleation rate.

4. Effect of stress on the nucleation rate. In particular on the nucleation rate of interstitial dislocation loops. The preferred nucleation of loops on certain crystallographic planes is important for the problem of radiation-induced creep.
5. Heterogeneous nucleation of interstitial type loops.

A strong effort is also required on the experimental side to determine specific nucleation mechanism(s) on model systems under well-defined experimental conditions. This effort requires research on specimens which are well-defined initially from both a chemical and a microstructural point-of-view. The nucleation studies should concern both the voids and the interstitial dislocation loops. It is also worth noting that vacancy dislocation loops also provide a preferential sink for SIA's and that they may be of importance in the early portions of the nucleation process, but would, of course, disappear as a result of accumulating SIA's. The following experimental areas are of interest:

1. The influence of specific impurities on the observed densities of voids and dislocation loops (both interstitial and vacancy) in a number of well-characterized pure metals and alloys. The refractory bcc metals are of particular interest.
2. The effect of a known stress distribution on the observed density and crystallographic habit plane(s) of dislocation loops (both interstitial and vacancy).

3. Measurements of the local concentration of impurity atoms (both metallic and non-metallic) in voids and dislocation loops. The atom-probe field ion microscope⁽¹³⁾ and the field desorption microscope⁽¹⁴⁾ should be very valuable in obtaining both qualitative and quantitative information on the local chemistry in the vicinity of these defects.
4. The trapping of SIA's by impurity atoms. This is a very important area of research since it represents a possible mechanism for forcing all the vacancies to recombine with SIA's, and hence completely suppressing nucleation of interstitial dislocation loops and therefore voids.

IV.C. Growth Problems

The modeling of the growth of stable voids is an area in which a considerable effort has been expended in the past few years. The models have included both the so-called "mathematical models" which attempt to fit the observed swelling data to a number of arbitrarily chosen parameters contained in a mathematical function, as well as more fundamental physical models. The physical models are usually based on either a diffusion-limited or a surface-reaction limited growth model. The diffusion-limited growth model is mathematically more tractable than the surface-reaction limited growth model and is based on the physical assumption that the void growth is limited solely by the rate at which vacancies are transported through the lattice to the void-lattice interface. Alternatively, the surface-reaction limited growth model⁽¹⁵⁾ is based on the physical assumption that the void growth is lim-

ited by the ability of the voids to absorb vacancies at the void-lattice interface.

There is a reasonable body of experimental evidence, obtained from the annealing behavior of quenched and aged specimens⁽¹⁶⁾, which indicates that voids shrink by a diffusion-limited mechanism. Unfortunately, there is no experimental evidence to prove that void growth occurs by a diffusion-limited vacancy mechanism. On the contrary, one would expect an asymmetry between the shrinkage and growth of a void⁽¹⁶⁾ just as there is an asymmetry between the evaporation and growth behavior of a macroscopic crystal. In the growth behavior of a void, the void very quickly becomes faceted parallel to low-index crystallographic planes and at this point one would expect continued growth to become nucleation limited; i.e., limited by the rate at which the void-lattice interface can absorb vacancies. There is also the possibility that dynamic segregation (i.e. non-Gibbsian) effects could cause the growth of voids to become surface-reaction limited.

The problem just described for the growth of voids also applies to the growth of the interstitial dislocation loops and it is the opinion of the author that the growth of the dislocation loops may also be a surface-reaction limited problem and not a diffusion-limited one. The interstitial dislocation loop growth problem is a very important and neglected research area. It is essential to re-emphasize

the fact that the interstitial dislocation loops provide the necessary biased sink for SIA's and hence are the primary cause of void growth. Very little quantitative information is available on the growth of interstitial dislocation loops and this is clearly an area in which further research is desirable.

The effect of dose rate on the growth kinetics of both voids and interstitial dislocation loops is also a very important area of research. In order to understand the effectiveness of the electron and ion-irradiation experiments in simulating the neutron irradiation situation we must understand in detail the effect of dose rate on growth kinetics. Some particular areas of research for future work are:

1. Experimental studies of the growth kinetics of both voids and interstitial dislocation loops in irradiated materials. The experiments should be designed to distinguish between diffusion-limited and surface-reaction-limited growth. The diffuse x-ray scattering methods recently developed at both the Oak Ridge National Laboratory⁽¹⁷⁾ and KFA in Julich, West Germany⁽¹⁸⁾ could be particularly useful here. In addition, the field-ion microscope (FIM) could be useful if the void or interstitial dislocation loop number densities were above approximately $3 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

2. Experimental studies of the effect of the radiation-induced dynamic segregation effect⁽¹⁹⁾ on the growth kinetics of both voids and interstitial dislocation loops. This problem could be studied employing electron microscopy, atom-probe field-ion microscopy and diffuse x-ray scattering techniques.

IV.D. Point Defect--Impurity Atom Interactions

It is clear from the problems discussed so far that the interaction of impurity atoms with vacancies and SIA's play an important role in both the nucleation and growth of voids and dislocation loops in irradiated materials. There is a strong and urgent need for experimental values of the Gibbs binding free energy (g^b) between impurity atoms and both vacancies and SIA's in a wide variety of systems at elevated temperatures. The quantity g^b is defined by the relationship

$$g^b = h^b - Ts^b,$$

where h^b is the binding enthalpy, s^b is the binding entropy and T is the temperature. This quantity has been measured only in a series of dilute aluminum base alloys containing non-transition element impurities for the case of the vacancy-impurity atom Gibbs binding free energy.

The use of the length and lattice parameter change method (Simmons-Balluffi technique⁽²⁰⁾) and the positron annihilation technique⁽²¹⁾ appear to be particularly promising approaches for the measurement of g^b of vacancy-impurity atom pairs in fcc alloy systems. The refractory bcc alloy systems are more

difficult to study by means of the Simmons-Balluffi technique because of the very elevated temperatures at which the measurements must be performed and it is clear that some heroic efforts are needed to make further progress with this method. The positron annihilation technique appears to be a more promising one for the study of vacancy-impurity atom binding free energies in the refractory bcc alloys and an expanded experimental effort in this area is required.

The determination of values of g^b for impurity atom-SIA pairs is almost non-existent. Isochronal warming experiments on some irradiated fcc alloys and bcc alloys indicate strong effects of the concentration of impurity atoms in solid solution on the amount of recovery in a given temperature range, but values of g^b have not been determined because of difficulties in interpreting the observed recovery spectrum in terms of exact mechanisms. The binding energies of larger clusters is also of importance. For example, detailed information on the binding energy of a SIA to a metal-gas atom cluster, and the binding energies of di-SIA's, tri-SIA's etc. is relevant to the void problem and experimental and theoretical work in this area is urgently needed. The use of atom-probe field ion microscope^(13,14,22) techniques, and diffuse x-ray scattering methods in combination with detailed and quantitative electrical resistivity measurements appear to be promising tools for studying impurity atom-SIA interactions.

IV.E. Saturation Mechanisms

Mechanisms which cause the voids to stop growing are referred to as saturation mechanisms. A number of possible saturation mechanisms have been suggested and discussed in detail in the review articles by Norris^(4,5) and Bullough and Nelson⁽⁶⁾. Some of these possible saturation mechanisms are as follows:

1. Coherent precipitates serving as recombination centers for vacancies and SIA's.
2. Coherent precipitates pinning dislocations and retarding them from climbing.
3. The formation of a stable three dimensional void lattice.
4. The lattice losing all its dislocations as a result of the climb and slip of dislocation loops into voids.
5. The transformation of a void from a neutral sink into biased sink for SIA's as a result of an induced interaction between the elastic stress field of a dislocation and a void.
6. Impurity atom trapping of all SIA's would result in copious recombination of the mobile vacancies with the SIA's.
7. Preferential vacancy trapping at coherent precipitates in conjunction with pipe diffusion of vacancies along the dislocation lines connecting

the coherent precipitates would result in the destruction of the excess SIA's arriving at the dislocation lines.

The problem of understanding saturation effects in detail is extremely important as it is the key to producing alloys which are resistant to swelling under LMFBR irradiation conditions. The recent discovery by Johnston and co-workers⁽²³⁾ at the General Electric Laboratories in Schenectady, New York that certain nickel rich alloys in a model Fe-Cr-Ni ternary system are almost completely resistant to swelling is ample proof that it is possible to control swelling. The exact mechanism or mechanisms which are responsible for this resistance to swelling have not yet been ascertained, but it is very possible that impurity atoms could play an important role via mechanism number 6. Further detailed experiments on additional bcc and fcc model alloy systems are required. These experiments should be performed on specimens which are very well characterized both chemically and microstructurally. This point is strongly emphasized since it is clear that the possible saturation mechanisms listed above involve complex interactions between the chemistry and microstructure of the specimen. Conventional electron microscopy, high-voltage electron microscopy, field ion microscopy and atom-probe field ion microscopy appear to be useful tools for studying this problem.

SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Further detailed experimental and theoretical studies to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the nucleation and growth of voids in model fcc and bcc alloy systems with well-characterized specimens from both a chemical and a microstructural point-of-view.
2. Experimental studies on model fcc and bcc alloy systems to try to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the saturation of void growth. The experiments should, once again, be performed on specimens well characterized chemically and microstructurally.

REFERENCES

1. C. Cawthorne and E. J. Fulton, Nature 216, 575 (1966).
2. S. F. Pugh, M. H. Loretto and D. I. R. Norris (editors), Voids Formed by Irradiation of Reactor Materials, Reading, England, March 24-25, 1971, British Nuclear Energy Society.
3. J. W. Corbett and L. C. Ianniello (editors), Radiation Induced Voids in Metals, Albany, N. Y., U.S.A., June 9-11, 1971 AEC Symposium Series (Conf-710601).
4. D. I. R. Norris, Radiation Effects, 14, 1(1972).
5. D. I. R. Norris, Radiation Effects, 15, 1(1972).
6. R. Bullough and R. S. Nelson, Physics in Technology, 5, (1974).
7. D. I. R. Norris in Ref. 2, p. 247.
8. J. O. Steigler, In Ref. 3, p. 292.
9. J. J. Burton, Scripta Met. 5 (1971) 449.
10. J. L. Katz and H. Wiedersich, J. Chem. Phys. 55, 1414 (1971).
11. J. L. Katz and H. Wiedersich, Proc. 1973, Intern. Conf. on Defects and Defect Clusters in BCC Metals and Their Alloys, Nucl. Met. 18, 530 (1973).
12. K. C. Russell, Acta Met. 19 733 (1971).
13. E. W. Müller, S. B. McLane and J. A. Panitz, Surface Science 17, 430 (1969); E. W. Müller, S. V. Krishnaswamy and S. B. McLane, Surface Science 23, 112 (1970); S. S. Brenner, and J. T. McKinney, Surface Science 23, 88 (1970); S. S. Brenner and D. N. Seidman, Cornell University Materials Science Center Report #2168 (1974). Accepted for publication in Radiation Effects.
14. J. A. Panitz, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 44, 1034 (1973); J. A. Panitz J. Vac. Sci Tech. 11, 206 (1974).

15. L. Mansur, P. Okamoto, A. Taylor and Che-Yu Li, Proc. 1973, Intern. Cof. on Defects and Defect Clusters in BCC Metals and Their Alloys, Nucl. Met. 18, 509 (1973); L. Mansur, P. Okamoto, A. Taylor and Che-Yu Li, to appear in Proc. of 7th ASTM Intern. Sympos. on Rad. Effects on Structural Materials, June 11-13 (1974).
16. See R. W. Balluffi and D. N. Seidman, in Ref. 3, p. 563.
17. B. C. Larson and F. W. Young, Jr., Phys. Rev. B4, 1709 (1971).
18. P. H. Dederichs, Phys. Rev B1, 1306 (1970); B4, (1971).
19. P. R. Okamoto, A. T. Santhanam, H. Wiedersich, and A. Taylor, Nuclear Technology, 22, 45 (1974); P. R. Okamoto and H. Wiedersich, to be published in the Proceedings of the Conference on Surface Effects in Controlled Thermonuclear Fusion Devices and Reactors, (1974).
20. R. O. Simmons and R. W. Balluffi, Phys. Rev. 119, 600-5 (1960); D. R. Beaman, R. W. Balluffi and R. O. Simmons, Phys. Rev. 134, A532 (1964).
21. A. N. Goland, NATO Advanced Study Institute, Istanbul, Turkey, 1971 BNL Report 50336 (1971); also BNL Report 16517; C. L. Snead, Jr., T. M. Hall and A. N. Goland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 62 (1972); A. Seeger, J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. 3, 248 (1973).
22. D. N. Seidman, J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. 3, 393 (1973); P. Petroff and D. N. Seidman, Acta Met. 21, 323 (1973); K. L. Wilson and D. N. Seidman, Defects and Defect Clusters in B.C.C. Metals and Their Alloys, Nuclear Met. 18, Edited by R. J. Arsenault, University of Maryland, pp. 216-239 (1973); A. S. Berger, D. N. Seidman and R. W. Balluffi, Acta Met. 21, 123 (1973); R. M. Scanlan, D. L. Styris and D. N. Seidman, Phil. Mag. 23, 1439 (1971).
23. W. G. Johnston, unpublished research, General Research Laboratories, Schenectady, New York (1974).

V. THEORY OF DAMAGE PRODUCTION

Radiation damage theory encompasses a broad class of problems, ranging from the dynamics of displaced atom production through the kinetics of point defect migration and agglomeration to the effects of the final defect configurations on the physical properties of an irradiated solid. While there is at least partial theoretical understanding of many of these problems, further work in several areas appears to be important to the development of the nuclear energy technology. The most critical need certainly arises from the increasing use of heavy ion irradiation to simulate the effects of fast neutrons, a procedure which rests on still uncertain descriptions of displacement cascade production. Further study is needed of the processes whereby dislocation loops and voids are nucleated, especially of the role played therein by foreign atoms, whether present as impurities in the solid or introduced as transmutation products during irradiation. Improvements in theoretical understanding of the effects of radiation produced defects on the mechanical properties of solids would be very welcome, especially in the areas of creep, fracture, and ductility changes. In the following paragraphs, the current status of radiation damage theory will be summarized briefly and some places indicated where further work is needed.¹ It seems likely that most of this research could be carried out in universities, although it must be emphasized that close interaction between theorists and experimentalists will be necessary. Thus, the university theorist will find it helpful or even essential to maintain close contacts with experimentalists, not only in his own department, but also in the large nuclear energy research and development laboratories.

The production of radiation damage commences with the ejection of an atom, the primary recoil, from its lattice site by interaction with an incident neutron, ion, or electron. Theoretical estimates of the energy spectra of the primary recoils produced by various incident radiations require differential cross section data for the many possible processes. In power reactors, elastic and inelastic scattering of fast neutrons, $(n, 2n)$ reactions, and various charged particle production reactions are the most significant. In general, the necessary data are available although some gaps exist. When reactor damage is simulated by ion irradiations, the incident ion itself is conveniently regarded as the primary particle. The initial kinetic energy of the primary is dissipated in part in electron excitation and in part in quasielastic atomic collisions, some of which lead to additional displaced atoms. Calculation of the portion of the initial primary energy which appears as electron excitation is an important theoretical problem. Its solution is essential in establishing the basis of the ion simulation technique for accelerated materials testing. There is ample experimental evidence² that the widely used LSS theory³ of the electronic stopping cross sections of moderate energy ions does not correctly predict the dependence of these cross sections on the projectile velocity, mass, and charge nor on the mass and charge of the target atoms. An improved solution to this challenging problem is urgently needed.

After about 10^{-12} sec, the energies of the displaced atoms reach the thermal range. This nascent damage state consists of a number of displaced atoms, an equal number of vacant lattice sites, and (in polyatomic systems) some amount of chemical disorder. In metals, the nascent damage state is produced entirely by the atomic collisions, but in insulators and possibly in semiconductors, electron excitation also can lead to damage. The structure of the nascent cascade

is determined by the primary recoil energy and in turn determines the subsequent development of the damage. This structure is still very poorly understood, especially for the most important case of fast neutron irradiation. Particular problems concern the occurrence of defect clusters in the nascent cascade and the role of long range replacement sequences in transporting the interstitials far from the vacancies. Studies of the conditions under which stable Frenkel pairs are produced in dense cascades are needed. Does the concept of a displacement threshold energy remain valid in such dense cascades? That part of the primary energy which is not expended in electron excitation is termed the damage energy. In comparisons of ion and neutron damage, it is usual to assume that the number of nascent displacements in metals is proportional to the damage energy, but this assumption may be incorrect for the dense cascades produced by fast neutrons.⁴ It is observed⁵ that the stress induced into irradiated materials is less for light incident ions than for heavy ones for the same density of total damage energy. Is this effect related to the more dense collision cascades induced by the heavier ions, or is it evidence of different effective displacement thresholds for heavy and light ions? The interaction of deposited damage with local electronic excitation may also play a role in the effectiveness of damage production by the various charged particle irradiations. A satisfactory solution to these problems is needed to allow meaningful comparisons of ion, electron, and fast neutron irradiations to be made.

The defects produced in the nascent cascade are generally mobile at the temperatures of interest in power reactors. A large fraction of them will annihilate; the balance will either produce new agglomerates, add themselves to existing agglomerates from previous cascades, or interact with impurities and extended defects in the irradiated solid. Theoretical understanding of these processes is needed. The most important topic seems to be the nucleation of dislocation loops and of voids. The possible role of clusters produced directly in the nascent cascade needs study. Impurities initially present in the target, dislocation structures, and transmutation products may alter the cascade structure or influence agglomerate nucleation in other ways.

The influence of the final defect configurations on the technologically important properties of the irradiated solid require careful study. The most important area for fast fission reactors, and perhaps for CTR devices, appears to be the influence of irradiation on high temperature creep: very little useful theoretical work seems to be available. While it is unlikely that an accurate predictive theory could be developed to reduce significantly the need for pressure vessel surveillance, studies are needed of the effects of irradiation produced defect structures on ductility and on fracture mechanics, in systems of power reactor interest.

Additional work is also needed on the effects of radiation damage at material interfaces, particularly bonding interfaces and electrical and thermal contacts. In the vicinity of these interfaces radiation damage will not only produce defect clusters, but will also lead to transport of the dissimilar atomic species across the common boundaries, both through enhanced diffusion and through recoil of atoms from collisions with the primary radiations. Further understanding of the role these effects might have on the degradation of the interface properties is needed to allow meaningful design choices of materials to be used in such applications.

Many other interesting problems have been omitted in the previous paragraphs, a few of which should be mentioned because of their possible technical importance. The introduction of damage into insulators and semiconductors by the electronic excitation component of the primary recoil energy needs further study. Experiment shows a damage component in some insulators⁶ and semiconductors⁷ which is directly proportional to the average energy deposited into electronic excitation⁸ by ion bombardment. In order to determine the details of the damage mechanism, which are not known, study of the individual excitations may be necessary. In addition, the enhanced annealing and diffusion of defects and impurities observed in these materials, may also be related to the deposition of energy into electronic excitation since the kinetic properties of these centers are often strongly charge state dependent. (See Chapters IX and X.) The striking nature of the void lattice⁹ also calls for study, especially of the mechanism of binding and of the possible significance that this may have for other areas of defect physics.

REFERENCES

1. General reviews of radiation damage production theory include:
 - a. G. Leibfried, Bestrahlungseffekte in Festkörpern
(Teubner, Stuttgart, 1965)
 - b. M. W. Thompson, Defects and Radiation Damage in Metals
(Cambridge University Press, 1969)
 - c. P. Sigmund, Revue Roum. Phys. 17, 823, 969, 1079 (1972)
 - d. M. T. Robinson in Radiation Induced Voids in Metals, edited by
J. W. Corbett and L. C. Ianiello (U.S.A.E.C., CONF-710601, 1972),
p. 397
2. M. D. Brown and C. D. Moak, Phys. Rev. B 6, 90 (1972); L. C. Northcliffe
and R. F. Schilling, Nuclear Data A7, 233 (1970); I. M. Cheshire and
J. M. Poate in Atomic Collision Phenomena in Solids, edited by
D. W. Palmer, M. W. Thompson, and P. D. Townsend (North-Holland, Amsterdam,
1970), p.351.
3. J. Lindhard, M. Scharff, and H. E. Schiott, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab,
Mat.-fys Medd. 33 no. 14 (1963)
4. J. R. Beeher, Jr., Phys. Rev. 150, 470 (1966); M. T. Robinson and I. M.
Torrens, Phys. Rev. B 9, 5008 (1974).
5. E. P. EerNisse in Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and Other Materials
ed. B. L. Crowder (Plenum, New York, 1973), p. 243.
6. E. P. EerNisse, Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and Other Materials, ed by
Billy L. Crowder, (Plenum, New York, 1973) p. 531; C. B. Norris and E. P. EerNisse,
J. Appl. Phys. 45, 3876 (1974); E. P. EerNisse and C. B. Norris, J. Appl. Phys.
(to be published).
7. H. J. Pabst and D. W. Palmer, Rad. Effects 21, 135 (1974).
8. D. K. Brice, Rad. Effects 6, 77 (1970); Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and
Other Materials, Ed. by Billy L. Crowder, (Plenum, New York, 1973) p. 171.
9. J. H. Evans, R. Bullough, and A. M. Stoneham in Radiation Induced Voids in Metals,
ed. J. W. Corbett and L. C. Ianiello (U.S.A.E.C., CONF-710601, 1972), p. 522.

VI. Simulation of Reactor Neutron Damage

VI.A. Introduction

The use of energetic ions and electrons to simulate the effects on materials of the massive neutron fluences expected in the design life of current and next generation reactors arose from the unavailability of sources of neutrons of sufficient intensity to carry out meaningful tests in reasonable lengths of time. Simulation began at Harwell in 1969 with 100 keV H, C, O and Fe ions⁽¹⁾ as an imaginative approach to estimating materials swelling at first generation LMFBR goal neutron fluences. Ion simulation now makes principal use of nickel ions in the 3-50 Mev range and protons and deuterons of 1-10 Mev. To these have been added simulation by electrons of 0.6 to 1.0 Mev as are available at high current densities in high voltage electron microscopes (HVEM). The attempt in all cases is to provide a means of creating defects in solids at very high rates. Figure 1 compares ion and neutron atomic displacement rates for particles of major interest. Simulation studies have been extremely fruitful and have led to relatively rapid assays of void produced swelling in materials of potential reactor interest. Recent reviews of the field are available in references 2 - 6 . Recommended procedures for carrying ion simulation are shortly to be issued as an ASTM report⁽⁷⁾.

A summary of the advantages and disadvantages of simulation as initially perceived are listed in Table I. The advantages A2 and A3 and disadvantage D5 are related to the importance of impurities in the nucleation and growth of voids and other defect microstructures. This is discussed further in connection with Table II. For a more detailed discussion of these effects see Section IV - Voids and Dislocations.

TABLE I

Assessment of Neutron Damage Simulation With Charged Particles

Advantages of Simulation

- A1. Acceleration of damage rates by $10^5 - 10^6$.
- A2. Allows selective impurity doping.
- A3. Allows separation of atomic displacement and gas atom effects.
- A4. Non-radioactive samples
- A5. HVEM can examine defect structures as they develop.
- A6. Low cost
- A7. Rapid screening tool for new alloy development

Disadvantages of Simulation

- D1. Short particle ranges produce damage not well suited to study of mechanical properties.
- D2. Non uniform damage.
- D3. Temperature shift required to scale swelling rates.
- D4. Different primary knock-on atom energy distributions, particularly for HVEM.
- D5. Precipitation not necessarily simulated.

Disadvantage D1 refers to the problems of studies of creep and reduced ductility in the very shallow layers affected by the simulating ions. D3 has to do with the necessity of raising the temperature in a simulation experiment with respect to the temperature in a reactor in order to increase the diffusion rates of defects and hence try to keep the defect reaction rates in scale with the higher production rate.

The simulation of reactor neutron damage effects using accelerator produced neutrons is an alternative to the use of ions or electrons. The neutron spectra from sources such as (d,t) reactions or from the beam dump in LAMPF are in general different from the spectra of fission and fusion reactors. This leads to somewhat different energy distributions for the primary knock-on atoms in a material. However, the major difference in simulation arises from the different rates at which nuclear reactions produce impurities - gas or otherwise- that may shift the balance in the subsequent defect reaction rates. It should be noted that reaching interesting neutron flux levels in this way is relatively expensive and the flexibility of radiation geometry is rather constrained. Major new facilities for this type of simulation using 14 MeV neutrons are under consideration.

Transmission electron microscopy has provided the most valuable tool for defect studies in simulation although the measurement of the height of the surface step produced in an ion bombarded region has been used to good advantage in rapid assays of the temperature dependence of material swelling. TEM permits microscopic evaluation of the structure of the defects formed - dislocation loops, precipitates, voids, etc. An example is shown in Figure 2. This work is tedious because of the necessity of thinning

each sample to a few thousand angstroms or less for microscopic examination. Nevertheless it is extremely revealing of the sensitive balance of defect reaction processes that take place. In some cases electron microscopes have been coupled with ion accelerators to permit dynamic observations of defect processes. Microscopes in the 100 kV range are useful here but the thinness of samples required makes the proximity of surfaces as defect sinks a significant problem. High voltage electron microscopy allows thick samples to be used, thick enough that surface sinks for defects are of less importance. It also permits studies of defect structure changes to be made dynamically since the high energy electrons themselves produce atomic displacements.

It would be valuable if other techniques of defect analyses as well as techniques for studying the mechanical property damage could be devised to complement the microscopic defect observations for simulation studies. X-ray scattering might be useful in this way. Higher energy ion beams would make some of these problems easier by extending the damage further into the bulk of the material. However, this reasoning cannot be carried too far, eg. it would be extremely difficult to produce a high enough energy self ion ($Z > 10$) that would pass completely through a specimen suitable for mechanical property measurements (~ 100 micron). For example the range of 100 MeV Al ion in Al is ~ 20 microns.

VI.B. Status of TechnologyB.I. Charged Particle Simulation

After the first initial successes of this field, more detailed studies of ion simulation techniques have revealed some critical physics related problems that need to be thoroughly examined. Some of the more important are listed in Table II, and discussed below.

Due to the limited range of high energy particles and the thickness limit for transparency in HVEM, one must be extremely careful about the interaction between the damage zone and the surface of the samples. It is generally known that the thickness of denuded zones from free surfaces increases with increasing temperature. However, the exact extent of this denuded zone cannot be predicted with any accuracy from first principles. More information on defect diffusion and agglomeration during high rate damage processes is required.

Another difference between ion simulation and neutron damage is the primary knock-on atom (PKA) spectrum. Whereas the average PKA ^{fission} energy for/neutrons is ~ 20 KeV, it is only ~ 2 KeV for heavy ions and ~ 100 eV for 1 MeV electrons. Processes which are sensitive to the size of the displacement cascade from a single primary knock on, such as the formation or re-resolution of precipitates in alloys, will not be accurately simulated by HVEM and may be altered by the smaller displacements spikes of heavy ion irradiation. The use of protons for simulation must be critically examined in this regard.

There are also spatial variations in the production of damage with the various types of bombarding particles. Both the neutron and electron damage cascades are homogeneously distributed whereas there is a high density of cascades along the path of a high energy heavy ion. We need to

understand how these high microscopic damage densities will alter solid state processes such as the nucleation and growth of voids and dislocation loops.

Another question which has recently been raised is the effect of beam sweeping versus beam defocussing to insure uniform irradiation of a specimen. The question here goes deeper than just that of an experimental technique, it has to do with the production of damage in short, high density bursts with relatively long annealing times between the bursts. Experiments are required to not only assess the validity of such a technique, but also to see how it might apply to real irradiation conditions in pulsed nuclear systems such as theta pinch and laser fusion reactors, (see fusion technology section).

Another critical area, and one which lies at the very heart of the simulation technique, is the non linear scaling of diffusion and reaction rates of point defects. At very high displacement rates the amount of recombination is greatly enhanced. This has the effect of reducing the supersaturation levels below what one might expect by simply scaling the defect production rates. Rate theories and defect trapping efficiencies must be developed to adequately describe this situation before the technique will be accepted by those in charge of engineering design considerations.

The fact that the ion simulation techniques do not produce transmutation reactions is both an advantage and disadvantage. The disadvantage is that since impurities, especially gas atoms, are known to have drastic effects on the formation of microstructural defects, one is never sure that the

relatively 'clean' charged particle bombardments duplicate the in-reactor response. The doping of gas atoms has, up to now, been accomplished by preinjection of an unirradiated specimen with the amount of gas that would be accumulated over the irradiated lifetime of the specimen.

However, such large initial concentrations of gas may completely bias the formation of certain microstructural defects which would not be formed if the impurity were added monotonically with the damage. The sensitivity of cluster defect production to the manner in which the impurities are added must be understood and experimental methods of adding these impurities along with the point defect damage must be devised.

A final question on simulation studies has to do with the stress state imposed on the damage region in thin foils during irradiation. This effect is considerably different for HVEM studies where the 'cylindrical' damage region in the foil, bounded by denuded regions on the top and bottom and undamaged crystal around the circumference, may be subjected to considerable compressive stresses during irradiation. This effect is particularly dramatic for high swelling values ($\sim >10\%$). The damage zone in the heavy ion bombarded material is always subjected to the stresses imposed by the undamaged region beyond the range of the bombarding particle as well as the undamaged surface around the beam. Analysis of this state of stress, and the effect of such stress on the formation and growth of microstructural defects is absolutely essential if meaningful simulation work is to be accomplished.

B. II Simulation with Neutron Sources

In the previous section, critical problems associated with the interpretation of charged-particle simulation of neutron damage have been outlined. From that discussion it is evident that there are at least five reasons why neutron irradiation experiments must be carried out:

1. To determine the validity of ion simulation, comparison with neutron damage is necessary.
2. Ions usually simulate displacements per atom but not the recoil spectra or damage cluster size generated by neutrons.
3. Neutrons create the gaseous impurities, H and He, homogeneously throughout the volume of a relatively large specimen during irradiation.
4. Neutrons produce nongaseous transmutation products which can alter physical properties of alloys. Impurity concentrations are, thus, time dependent during an irradiation.
5. The study of runaway swelling in such solids as SiC and graphite requires an intense neutron source.

Information concerning neutron damage in breeder and fusion reactors is needed. In the breeder-reactor program EBR-II is the primary source of neutrons, and it will be joined by the FFTF some time in the future. However, present additional sources are needed to accommodate the large number of experiments that are being planned.

For the foreseeable future there will be no fusion reactor available for studies of radiation effects produced by this new neutron spectrum. Thus, existing or proposed neutron sources will have to be used. Several low-intensity 14-MeV neutron sources already are in use, others have been proposed. The beam

dump at LAMPF will soon be available as a source, and a number of cyclotrons are expected to be used as deuteron sources for inducing the (d, n) reaction on suitable targets. In all cases, the neutron spectrum is not that expected at the first wall of a typical fusion reactor. Therefore, even when neutrons are being used directly in an experiment, the question of simulation remains. To answer this question it will be necessary to conduct experiments at a variety of neutron sources, and to relate the results to the differences between spectra. It should then be possible to predict the results for a hypothetical fusion spectrum. Such a program has a number of research components:

1. Good dosimetry techniques must be developed to ensure accurate knowledge of each neutron source spectrum.
2. High-energy neutron cross section data will be needed for use in conjunction with those sources which produce neutron energies greater than 14 MeV.
3. Models which relate energy losses in a solid to damage production will have to be developed further.
4. Techniques for the production of intense neutron fluxes must be investigated so that eventually high-fluence experiments can be conducted.

VI.C. Recommendations

1. Establish the range of validity (i.e., energy, displacement level, displacement rate, T, etc.) of ion and electron simulation techniques in providing an accurate means for anticipating fission and fusion neutron damage effects.
2. Devise techniques for simulation studies of changes in mechanical properties such as creep and embrittlement with and without externally applied stress. In addition to providing data more quickly, such simulation could provide information on stress enhanced effects.
3. Develop a wider range of ion beam facilities for simulation studies. These should include higher beam currents, a wider variety of ions and clean target systems in which accelerator contamination of refractory metal targets at high temperature can be avoided.
4. Assess the need for simultaneous gas atom injection and ion beam irradiation as contrasted with pre-injection.
5. Establish the theoretical relationship between 'free' defect concentrations (those defects surviving initial cascade recombination) associated with electron, proton, heavy ion, fission and fusion neutron bombardment (see Theory Section).
6. Develop techniques for performing simulation experiments using neutron sources.

TABLE II

Physics Related Questions for Neutron Damage Simulation Techniques

<u>Phenomena</u>	<u>Heavy Ion</u>	<u>HVEM</u>
Influence of Surface Proximity on Damage State	Requires $E_{ion} \geq 1 \text{ MeV}$	Requires Sample Thickness $\leq 1 \text{ micron}$
PKA Spectrum	$\frac{E_{neutron}^{ave}}{E_{ion}^{ave}} \sim 10$	Single atom displacement $E_{elec}^{ave} \sim 100 \text{ eV}$
Spatial Distribution of Damage	High density along track Beam Sweeping Effects	Homogeneous
Rate Effects	Non Linear scaling of Diffusion and Reaction Rates of Point Defects	
Transmutation Effects	Requires Pre- or Simultaneous Injections	
Stress State	Constraint of Damage Zone by Undamaged Material

Figure 1. Displacement Effectiveness for Various Energetic Particles in Nickel.

VOIDS IN VANADIUM AFTER 7.5 MeV Ta
BOMBARDMENT TO ~ 30 dpa

700°C

800°C

FIGURE 2

References

1. R. S. Nelson, D. J. Mazey, and J. A. Hudson, J. Nucl. Mater. 37,1,1970.
2. G. L. Kulcinski, Applications of Ion Beams to Solids, ed. by S. T. Picraux, E. P. EerNisse and F. L. Vook (Plenum Press, N.Y., 1974) p. 613.
3. Proceedings of the Seventh Int. Symp. on Effects of Radiation on Structural Materials, Gatlenburg, Tenn., June 11-13, 1974, to be published
4. Effects of Radiation on Substructure and Mechanical Properties of Metals and Alloys, ASTM STP 529,1973.
5. Radiation Induced Voids in Metals, ed. by J. W. Corbett and L. C. Ianniello, AEC Symposium Series 26, (1972).
6. Voids Formed by Irradiation of Reactor Materials, ed. Pugh et.al., BNES,(1971).
7. Proposed Standard Recommended Practice for Neutron Radiation Damage Simulation by Charged Particle Irradiation, Prepared under the Jurisdiction of the E-10 Committee of the ASTM, Code E521.00, 1974.

VII. HYDROGEN AND HELIUM IN METALS

VII.A. Hydrogen in Metals

Hydrogen-related effects on materials in radiation environments are important in present reactor systems and will be increasingly significant in the proposed fast breeder and fusion systems (see section II and references 1 and 2). The major anticipated problems are listed in Table I.

Hydrogen embrittlement is presently recognized as a problem at temperatures near 300°K in those systems which form stable hydrides⁽³⁾ (Group Vb, Zr, Ti, etc.) and in ferritic steels.⁽⁴⁾ Austenitic stainless steels and nickel base alloys are known to be subject to embrittlement under certain conditions, but the magnitude of the problem in these alloys has not been well defined. Group VIb metals, such as Mo, are believed to be "immune" to hydrogen embrittlement; a belief based on little experimental foundation. In all of these systems the effects of hydrogen on the high temperature ductility is not known. The mechanism of low temperature hydrogen embrittlement in "hydride forming" systems has been shown to be associated with cleavage of stress-induced hydrides. In the "non-hydride" forming systems the embrittlement mechanism has not been established.

The basic understanding of hydrogen embrittlement would be advanced by studies of:

TABLE 1

HYDROGEN-RELATED TECHNOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

1. Embrittlement of refractory metal alloy systems (Nb, V, Zr, etc.).
2. Embrittlement of stainless steels, nickel base alloys and low alloy steels.
3. Accelerated high temperature creep and fatigue failure.
4. Stress Corrosion of the Fe-Cr-Ni alloys.
5. Tritium containment.
6. Fusion plasma contamination by hydrogen leakage from the first wall.
7. Gas bubble formation (H_2 , CH_4 , H_2O).
8. Helium production by tritium decay.
9. Internal hydriding of substitutional solutes.
10. Void nucleation.

- a. hydrogen embrittlement in refractory metal alloys and stainless steels above room temperature; particularly under conditions where lattice defects are being simultaneously generated by irradiation.
- b. hydrogen solute effects on phase equilibria.
- c. precipitation mechanisms in stress fields.
- d. the energy to form a crack in the absence of plastic deformation (i.e., the "cohesive energy") and the effects of hydrogen thereon. Of particular interest is the value of the maximum force required to break an atomic bond in the presence of hydrogen.
- e. "The thermodynamics and kinetics of high temperature gas bubble formation (H_2 , CH_4 , H_2O , etc.) involving hydrogen reactions with other interstitial solutes.

An estimation of the concentration of hydrogen isotopes in various reactor components requires a knowledge of hydrogen isotope production rates, hydrogen isotope diffusivities and permeabilities.⁽⁵⁾ The latter two parameters are poorly known in most b.c.c. systems, particularly at elevated temperatures. It has been shown that the diffusivity and permeability are sensitive to interstitial solutes, precipitates, surface phases and other lattice defects. Hydrogen diffusion in the b.c.c. metals is non-classical; anomalously large isotope effects and non Arrhenius tunneling behavior at low temperatures have been observed. The mobility of solute hydrogen in both

the b.c.c. and f.c.c. metals is extremely high ($D \approx 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ at 300°K in the Group Vb metals). In addition to volume diffusion, permeation requires the transport of hydrogen across surfaces; a process which is poorly understood.

Further work on hydrogen diffusion and permeation should include:

- a. high temperature diffusivity and permeability studies.
- b. an extension of the theoretical understanding to include isotope effects. (6)
- c. studies of the mechanism of hydrogen transport across surfaces.
- d. an examination of stress effects on diffusion and solubility.
- e. investigations of local phonon modes and the local electronic structure near the hydrogen.
- f. studies of hydrogen diffusion in a radiation environment and of the interaction of hydrogen with radiation-induced defects.

Since the properties of b.c.c. metals are particularly dependent on interstitial impurities, attention should be given to the thermodynamics of gas-metal systems, (7) ~~and~~

to the problem of gas formation by nuclear reactions. In particular, careful studies of neutron cross sections for both direct (n,p) and (n,d) reactions and for indirect reactions which involve transmutation products should be carried out.

The relatively high hydrogen concentrations expected in reactor components coupled with the high diffusivities suggest that the role

of hydrogen in void nucleation and gas bubble formation be carefully examined, particularly in those systems having low hydrogen solubility. A large number of synergistic alloy effects such as internal hydriding of alloying elements and transmutation products must also be considered.

As a basis for solution of the above problems, improvements in understanding the fundamental properties of hydrogen in crystal lattices are required. Calculations of the effect of hydrogen on the electronic band structure by the A.P.W. method have been carried out⁽⁸⁾ and need to be extended, particularly to low hydrogen concentrations. Another theoretical approach to understanding the effect of hydrogen on the surface of metals is the SCF-X- α scattered wave calculations,⁽⁹⁾ and these should lead to understanding the mechanism of hydrogen transport across surfaces. The method also appears applicable to calculations of hydrogen effects on surface energies (i.e., on the "cohesive energy") and to calculation of the crystal site location of hydrogen. Experiments designed to test these theories, such as experiments on the hydrides and field ion microscopy to determine the state of hydrogen on surfaces, should be extended. Ion channeling studies⁽¹⁰⁾ appear to be a promising method of determining both the site at which hydrogen is located and the interactions of hydrogen with specific trapping defects.

The scope for imaginative research on hydrogen effects in solids is very great. Some of the most promising areas of research not previously discussed are:

- a. In situ electron microscope studies of processes occurring at crack tips in metal-hydrogen systems.
- b. Electron microscope studies of hydride precipitation.
- c. Anelastic studies (high frequency and Gorsky effect)⁽¹¹⁾ of hydrogen diffusion and of the interaction of hydrogen with lattice defects produced by irradiation.
- d. Studies of the phonon modes associated with hydrogen and of the critical phenomena⁽¹²⁾ in systems such as Nb-H, V-H, etc., using techniques such as neutron scattering⁽¹³⁾ and Raman spectroscopy.
- e. Ion channeling and scattering experiments to determine the site location and interaction of hydrogen with specific types of traps.
- f. Theoretical studies of the electronic structure of dilute metal-hydrogen systems.
- g. Theoretical studies of the interaction of hydrogen with surfaces.
- h. Studies of the interaction of hydrogen with surfaces using selective techniques such as the atom probe and the field desorption microscope.

VII.B. Helium in Metals

Anticipated helium production rates in the IMFBR and the CTR suggest that helium-induced intergranular fracture with corresponding loss of ductility may be a serious problem at elevated temperatures.

Helium also has been postulated as contributing to the stabilization of radiation-induced voids during nucleation. The behavior of helium in metals has been examined using:¹⁴

- a. Theoretical and experimental studies of properties on an atomic scale such as solubility, diffusivity, trapping, site location, and
- b. bulk property measurements of samples charged with helium by irradiation techniques. The processes by which helium agglomerates into bubbles, migrates to grain boundaries, and induces fracture have not been studied in detail.

Some calculations of helium configuration, diffusivity, and trapping have been carried out using interatomic potentials developed for various b.c.c. and f.c.c. metals.¹⁵ The experimental verification of the formation, migration, and binding energies thus calculated is lacking, primarily because the usual techniques for measuring these values are not applicable for inert gases with very low solubility. The techniques required to introduce helium into metals normally involve ion implantation or (n, α) transmutations of solutes. These methods also introduce defect trapping sites. Once introduced into the metal, helium is amenable to study by many of the techniques of solid state physics, although few such experiments have been carried out. Recently, thermal desorption spectra studies¹⁶ and ion channeling experiments¹⁰ have examined the trapping, mobility and site location of He and have shown reasonable agreement with the theoretical calculations. Theory and experiment both indicate a strong interaction between He and radiation-induced defects.

Helium embrittlement has been studied by elevated temperature creep rupture or tensile testing subsequent to helium charging by neutron or α -particle irradiation. The embrittlement occurs only at temperatures where substantial

migration of He is possible, i.e., above a threshold temperature on the order of half the melting temperature. Although strength parameters at these temperatures are not affected by helium, premature intergranular fracture causes a reduction in ductility. A qualitative model of He bubble formation and migration to grain boundaries (a process strongly affected by stress or temperature gradients) has been postulated on the basis of a few electron microscopy and fractography studies. Little information is available regarding microstructural influences on this process. The effects of simultaneous helium and defect production by irradiation have not been studied, although the strong interaction of He with traps suggests that such studies are necessary.

In view of the limited understanding the opportunities for promising research in helium embrittlement are numerous as indicated in the following examples:

1. Helium production rates under anticipated reactor conditions must be determined taking into account energy dependent cross sections and (n,α) reactions involving prior transmutation products, e.g., $^{58}\text{Ni}:(n,\gamma) \text{ } ^{59}\text{Ni}:(n,\alpha) \text{ } ^{56}\text{Fe}$.
2. The solubility, diffusivity and trapping parameters of helium in metals must be determined, along with the kinetics of bubble information and migration.
3. The role of microstructure and lattice defects (such as dislocations and vacancies) in the formation and migration of helium bubbles must be determined. Embrittlement under simultaneous irradiation and helium production conditions should be studied.

4. Simplified methods of helium charging to simulate reactor conditions should be developed. These may possibly be based on alpha particle irradiation or on the decay of tritium to ^3He . In the latter case, access to National Laboratory facilities seems essential.

VII.C. SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS

1. An understanding of the effects of hydrogen on the mechanical properties of alloy systems should be developed. The effects of both solute hydrogen and hydrogen atmospheres must be considered.
2. The behavior of helium in solids and its effects on the mechanical properties should be established using both theoretical and experimental methods. Interactions of helium with other defects must be understood.

References

1. "Critical Questions in Fundamental Radiation Effects Research;" Wash. 1240-73, April, 1973, Ed. by L. C. Ianniello.
2. "Fusion Reactor First Wall Materials;" Wash. 1206, Jan. 1972, Ed. by L. C. Ianniello.
3. H. K. Birnbaum, M. Grossbeck and S. Gahr; "Hydrogen Effects in Metals," A.S.M. (1974).
4. H. H. Johnson, "Fundamental Aspects of Stress Corrosion Cracking," National Association Corrosion Eng., p. 439 (1969).
5. H. K. Birnbaum and C. Wert; Berichte der Bunsen Gesellsch. für Physik. Chemie 76 806 (1972).
6. A. M. Stoneham; Ibid. 76 816 (1972).
7. See articles by T. B. Flanagan and W. A. Oates, p. 706, B. Baronowski, p. 714; and H. Brodowsky, p. 740; Ibid. 76 (1972).
8. A. C. Switendick; Ibid. 76 535 (1972).
9. K. H. Johnson, Advances in Quantum Chemistry, ed. P-O. Löwdin (Academic Press, N.Y. 1973) Vo. 7, p. 143.
10. S. T. Picraux and F. L. Vook; Applications of Ion Beams to Metals, ed. S. T. Picraux, E. P. EerNisse, and F. L. Vook (Plenum Press, NY, 1974) p. 407.
11. J. Völkl; Berichte der Bunsen Gesellsch. für Physik. Chemie, 76 797 (1972)
12. G. Alefeld; Ibid. 76 746 (1972).
13. W. Gissler; Ibid. 76 770 (1972).
14. R. Blackburn, Met. Rev., 11 #110, 159 (1966).

15. W. D. Wilson, Rad. Effects 19 53 (1973).

16. E. V. Kornelson, Rad. Effects 13, 227 (1972).

VIII. INTERACTION OF SURFACES AND RADIATION

VIII.A. The Problems

The interaction of radiation with a solid surface can be looked at from two points of view: as changes that occur in the particle or photon distributions outside the surface or as changes in the material of the surface itself. There is little evidence that either of these is important in fission reactors, but both will be of major significance in fusion systems. The remarks to follow will thus focus on surfaces that are interfaces to the vacuum of a CTR. Such a surface is shown schematically in Figure 1.

Processes important to the plasma include:

1. the reflection of particles (and energy) back into the plasma;
2. charge exchange of plasma particles at the wall;
3. secondary electron and photon production;

as well as contamination of the plasma by:

4. desorption of adsorbed species;
5. sputtering of wall material; and
6. blister formation and exfoliation of the wall.

Processes 5 and 6 are important to the wall because they produce erosion.

In addition the wall is sensitive to mechanical creep and ductility changes due to

7. defects and foreign atoms introduced into the wall.

At this early stage in CTR development, the more critical problems concern the plasma since energy and particle losses must be minimized in order to achieve successful heating of magnetically confined plasmas. When fusion reactors (magnetically confined or otherwise) are

producing useful levels of power, the problems of wall property degradation will become critical. Study in both areas is important at this time. It must be emphasized that surface effects in CTR devices are strongly influenced by impurities, by adsorbed surface films, and by surface topography. Scrupulous attention must be paid to these points in all investigations in this area. The following paragraphs indicate briefly the current status of research on this almost bewildering array of phenomena and some promising areas of future research.¹

In present magnetic confinement devices such as the tokamak machine ORMAK, the walls are irradiated with neutral hydrogen atoms produced by charge exchange reactions in the plasma. Particles in the energy range below about 500 eV are currently the most important, although higher energies will be reached as plasma heating becomes more effective. The energies of He particles escaping from a thermonuclear plasma will range up to about 3.5 MeV depending on detailed plasma properties. An important question is the so-called recycling of these particles, that is, their reflection back into the plasma with reduced energies. Experimental measurements of the energy-, angular-, and charge- distributions of such particles are needed, both from highly perfect flat surfaces and from surfaces of practical quality. Both single crystal and disordered surfaces (perhaps produced by keV particles) must be studied. Crystallographic effects are likely to be quite significant in the single crystal results and channeling studies may be a valuable technique for monitoring surface disorder as it proceeds. Such investigations are just beginning² and need extension especially to lower energies. Theoretical description of neutral particle reflection is needed also. This is impeded by a lack of reliable data on the electronic stopping cross sections of low energy H and He atoms and ions in solids.

Sputtering of the first wall of a CTR device leads both to erosion of the wall and to contamination of the plasma with wall material. Since bremsstrahlung energy losses from the plasma electrons depend very strongly on the charge of the impurity ions, very stringent limitations must be placed on contamination from the wall. Experimental measurements are needed of the sputtering yields of candidate CTR wall materials by H and He ions at energies from 100 eV to 20 keV or more. Since sputtering yields increase rapidly as the mass of the incident particle approaches that of the target atoms, the second order effect of sputtering by "self ions" may also be significant, even though plasma contamination is kept small. Measurements in this area are needed also. The sputtering yield must be measured as a function of the angle of incidence of the ions on the surface. A fairly satisfactory theory of sputtering is available;³ it is probably least satisfactory for light atoms such as H or He. The study of small cluster (two to ten atoms) sputtering is of interest not only for its contribution to surface erosion and plasma contamination, but also because of the atomic line broadening effects expected in this transition from atoms to solids.⁴ At present the topic of sputtering by fast neutrons is highly controversial,⁵ both as to the amount of sputtering and as to the state of aggregation of the ejected material. In this connection the recent 16 MeV proton sputtering experiments of Robinson and Thompson⁶ may be cited. Experimental work in progress⁷ should clarify this area; until this is done, no sound judgement of its importance can be made.

The He and H which enter the first wall from the plasma (as well as He and H produced by neutron induced transmutations) can agglomerate into bubbles. If these are close enough to the surface, small blisters may appear which can eventually rupture and eject wall material and cold gas into the plasma. The general problem of gases in metals is discussed elsewhere in this report. However, it may be noted that experimental conditions in accelerator studies of gas

retention using MeV H and He ions are much more severe than can be anticipated in a CTR, where both dose rates and average ion energies will be much lower. Furthermore, the defect enhancement of diffusion of gas to the surface (or entrapment) as a result of a broad energy spectrum of damaging particles with different penetration depths has not been studied.

Radiation enhancement of surface rate processes is very likely to be significant in CTR devices. Enhancement of diffusion processes, chemical reactions, and precipitation may all occur. These can be expected to alter the mechanical properties of the wall. Such changes may significantly alter the conditions under which blister exfoliation takes place. The material may be subject to defect enhanced creep which may effectively soften the surface or to embrittlement which may harden it. In addition, precipitates and defect clusters may serve as sites for initiation of structural changes (including cracks) deeper in the stressed wall material. Considerable research in this technologically important area is required. It may be possible to take advantage of the new techniques of field ion microscopy and scanning Auger spectroscopy as well as the specific ligand information available from Mossbauer spectroscopy. Nuclear reactions⁸ and nuclear enhanced elastic scattering⁹ can serve as very useful tools for studying subsurface impurity atom distributions.

VIII.B. Recommendations

1. The charge exchange and energy and particle reflection coefficients for H and He atoms and ions in the energy range from 100 eV to 20 keV or more must be investigated. The study needs to include not only ultra high vacuum surfaces but also surfaces covered with adsorbed hydrogen.

2. A comprehensive understanding of the sputtering yields of refractory metals and high temperature insulators by hydrogen and helium ions from 100 eV to 20 keV or more must be achieved. Structurally well characterized materials will be required.

3. Studies of neutron sputtering may be needed, but clarification of the experimental situation is needed to determine its importance.

4. The retention, diffusion, precipitation and re-solution of impurities at and near surfaces under bombardment by relatively low energy particles must be understood.

REFERENCES

1. Detailed discussion of many aspects of CTR surface physics problems will be found in the Proceedings of the Conference on Surface Effects in Controlled Thermonuclear Fusion Devices and Reactors, Argonne, Illinois, January 10-12, 1974. J. Nuc. Materials 53, 1-359 (1974).
2. P. Meischner and H. Verbeek, J. Nuc. Materials 53, 276 (1974); G. Sidenius, Phys. Letters 49A, 409 (1974).
3. P. Sigmund, Phys. Rev. 184, 383 (1969).
4. N. H. Tolk, W. van der Weg and C. W. White, private communication.
5. Compare papers by M. Kaminsky and S. K. Das; M. Guinan; M. T. Robinson; T. H. Blewitt, et al.; and R. Behrisch, et al.; included in Ref. 1.
6. J. E. Robinson and D. A. Thompson, Phys. Rev. Letters 33, 1569 (1974).
7. L. H. Jenkins, T. S. Noggle, R. E. Reed, M. J. Saltmarsh, and G. J. Smith, Appl. Phys. Letters 26, (1975) (in press).
8. R. A. Langley, S. T. Picraux, and F. L. Vook, Proc. Hydrogen Economy Miami Energy Conf., March 1974 p. S6-45 (to be published, Plenum Press); P. P. Pronko and J. G. Pronko, Phys. Rev. B9, 2870 (1974).
9. R. S. Blewer, Appl. Phys. Letters 23, 595 (1973); Applications of Ion Beams to Metals, ed. by S. T. Picraux, E. P. EerNisse and F. L. Vook, (Plenum Press, N.Y. 1974) p. 557.

FIG. 1 Schematic diagram of the interaction of radiation from a plasma with a surface (see text).

IX. INSULATORS

IX-A. INTRODUCTION

Although priority attention is usually given to radiation damage in metals and alloys, the characteristics of inorganic insulators in intense radiation fields are crucial to the success of nuclear energy conversion devices and are also important in other energy technologies. Our understanding of the effects of radiation on the insulating materials used in these technologies is inadequate. There is, for example, a demand for an understanding of the defects which affect electrical and thermal conductivity, gas permeation rates, sputtering yields, and structural integrity for refractory oxides, nitrides, glasses, and other insulators, under the high-temperature, high-neutron fluence conditions which will exist in controlled thermonuclear fusion reactors (CTR) (see Chap. II-A). The radiation effects problems associated with the rapidly developing field of optical wave guides and integrated optics in communications, although not directly related to the fulfillment of national energy goals as is the CTR program, are of very substantial importance from an economic viewpoint.

The radiation sensitivity of metal-oxide-semiconductor (MOS) devices is directly related to the physical properties and structure of the SiO_2 layer. These units, as components of integrated circuits, are economically important in space vehicles and missiles because of the light weight and the volume saving made possible with such circuits. Space radiation can bring about deleterious effects on operating conditions and these effects are predominantly associated with the oxide layer.

The defect structures of more complicated oxides, including Al_2O_3 which is of great CTR interest, are not well understood. For example, the point defects introduced into single crystal Al_2O_3 by fast electron irradiation cause the growth of an optical absorption band at 204 nm, but this band has not been correlated with a specific defect.² EPR studies have not been very useful in radiation-induced defect identification because of the lack of hyperfine structure on the broad resonance peaks.³

In glass research, studies of the properties of fused silica have a venerable history, but rapid progress has only been made in the past few years because of the economic importance to the semiconductor industry of an understanding of the defect structure of SiO_2 layers on Si. This information is needed not only because of the sensitivity of the $SiO_2:Si$ device to radiation-induced defects in the oxide, but also because of the need to better understand impurity and defect diffusion, and interface defect configurations. Glasses are also of great interest for CTR applications⁴ because of the ease of deposition on metal substrates and the anticipation that impurities (transmutation products) can be incorporated readily into the glass structure. It is also felt that gas bubble formation may not be such an intense problem in glasses because of the easy diffusion of helium in these structures.

It is somewhat ironic that both our present very considerable understanding of the defect structure of insulating solids and our weaknesses in this area with regard to current high-priority goals, arise from our past heavy research involvement with the effects of radiation on alkali halide crystals.³ The concentration of effort on this class of insulators has been, to a large degree, due to the ease with which large high-quality

✓

crystals can be grown. The intense localization of trapped electronic charge at radiation-induced defect trapping sites, peculiar to ionic systems, has allowed the experimental investigative tools of optical absorption, luminescence, and electron spin resonance (EPR) to be developed to a high degree of sophistication. As a consequence, the defect structure of the alkali halides and the mechanism of defect introduction by irradiation is rather well understood. This knowledge has been extremely useful when similar investigative techniques have been applied to other classes of insulating materials. Unfortunately, many materials are less amenable to such attacks either because of difficulty in obtaining good quality, high-purity material, or because the experimental techniques fail. The alkaline earth oxide MgO, for example, has long been studied, but success in understanding the defect structure has been slow and is directly related to the gradual development of high-purity crystal growth methods.³

For the materials of greatest interest in the CTR program, the radiation induced atomic displacements are governed by Coulomb and hard-sphere interactions. The ion displacement mechanism (Pooley-Hersh effect⁵) which can occur when electronic energy is deposited in alkali halides is not likely to be important in these materials. There is, however, recent evidence⁶ which indicates that the charge-state of defects in insulators, particularly Al_2O_3 , is of great importance in determining the radiation-induced dilation. For example, ion implantation induced lattice expansion in Al_2O_3 can be greatly reduced by electron injection or H-ion implantation. It is worth pointing out that, since there are no conduction electrons in insulator crystals, ionization caused by the radiation field can have enormous effects

upon electrical resistivity; e.g., Hughes⁷ has measured electron mobilities as high as $20 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ volt}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ in fused silica under pulsed irradiation. As a rule of thumb, the energy per electron-hole pair is about 3 times the bandgap energy E_g .⁸ Hence, a 2 MeV particle creates around 10^5 electron-hole pairs as it is stopped in an insulator with $E_g = 7 \text{ eV}$. For a typical lifetime of 10^{-7} sec and a range of one mm a $10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ beam of electrons produces a steady-state carrier concentration of $10^{13} \text{ electrons/cm}^3$. In many ionic crystals the room temperature mobility of electrons is about $10 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ volt}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$; therefore this level of exposure could be expected to produce a resistivity of about 10^5 ohm cm compared to a normal value of $10^{12} - 10^{15} \text{ ohm cm}$. Because of its much shorter range, a 2 MeV proton beam of the same intensity would decrease the resistivity even more. Trapping of the electrons by impurities would reduce the lifetime and increase the resistivity. These changes are only semipermanent being greatly affected by both heat and light. Conductivity changes may be serious in certain applications and need to be thoroughly explored. Increased electron and hole concentrations also have an important effect upon the nature and amount of lattice damage retained by the crystals. In the following sections we discuss specific problems of interest and have underlined those questions or areas that need in-depth research.

IX-B. DISCUSSION OF SPECIFIC PROBLEM AREAS

B.I. CTR

Insulator radiation effects problems exist for all proposed CTR designs, i.e., Tokamak, mirror machines, or θ -pinch. Common to these designs are the problems of insuring good electrical resistivity for high-voltage and magnet coil insulation under high intensity radiation fields. For the LASL θ -pinch concept, however, the insulator problems⁹ are different and formidable, and the proper choice of material for the first-wall is crucial to the successful operation of the device. In this design, the insulator (0.3 mm Al_2O_3 ceramic is the prototype insulator for design purposes) constitutes, together with niobium metal structural backing (1.0 mm), the plasma-containing first-wall of the reactor. The insulator is needed to prevent breakdown through the plasma due to the large voltages induced when the fast-rising implosion heating magnetic field is applied at the beginning of the 10 sec duty cycle. During the application of this field (~ 10 msec), the design specifications call for a resistivity $\geq 10^6 \Omega\text{-cm}$ and a dielectric strength of ≥ 100 kV/cm at the reactor operating temperature (~ 800 K with ~ 300 K transients). During the duty cycle, the insulator is exposed to a total neutron flux of $\sim 10^{15} \text{ n}^0/\text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$ ($\sim 2 \times 10^{14} \text{ 14 MeV n}^0/\text{cm}^2/\text{sec}$) and a Bremsstrahlung energy flux of $\sim 70 \text{ J/cm}^2$ per 10-sec pulse. A survey¹⁰ of possible insulators for which data are available and which meet the resistivity requirement at high-temperature has produced the following candidates: Al_2O_3 , BeO , HfO_2 , MgO , SiO_2 (vitreous), Y_2O_3 , AlN , BN , and Si_3N_4 . SiC is also of great interest¹¹ for first-wall applications, but its resistivity seems to be too low for the

θ -pinch device. Mixed oxides, aluminates, spinels, zirconates, garnets, and glasses are of interest but data on these materials are not readily available.

The θ -pinch first-wall insulator requirements also include good thermal conductivity in order that excess thermal energy in the wall can be removed during the plasma cooling stage (~ 2 sec) and before the next power cycle. Fast-neutron irradiation is known¹² to decrease the thermal conductivity of Al_2O_3 and crystalline SiO_2 ¹³ because of the introduction of phonon scattering centers but little information exists on this property change in the other materials of interest. The radiation induced expansion of the insulator may cause separation from the metal substrate, if excessive, or if different than the expansion of the metal. A survey of this important parameter change has recently been made¹⁴ and shows that Y_2O_3 shows virtually no irradiation growth for fast-neutron fluences of $\sim 10^{22}/cm^2$. Very little¹⁵ is known about void formation, as distinct from lattice expansion, in insulating materials at high-neutron fluences and high temperature. The production of He and H in Al_2O_3 due to transmutation is estimated⁹ to be 1685 ppm/yr and 975 ppm/yr, respectively. The use of glass as a first-wall material is attractive, as mentioned above, because of the rapid diffusivity of He in silicate glasses. However, as indicated above bubble formation in the metal backing and migration to the metal/insulator interface could also bring about spallation of the insulator from the composite.⁹

Ion, neutral atom, and neutron sputtering of the first-wall under operating conditions represents an important factor for which more research is needed because of possible excessive wall and divertor erosion and also because of plasma contamination and quenching from sputtered impurities. Neutron sputtering

should be small, but particulate ejection from Nb has recently been reported.¹⁶ The status of plasma-wall interactions has recently been reviewed.¹⁷ (See Chap. VIII).

Many of the CTR designs utilize molten lithium as coolant, tritium breeder, and neutron moderator. The flow of lithium across magnetic field lines causes currents to flow in the tube wall and this in turn causes pressure drops because of the effective increase in viscosity. To reduce the pressure drop, it would be desirable to have an insulating coating on the tube walls. In this application, the additional problem of compatibility of the insulator with molten Li is presented. It would also be desirable¹⁸ to use the insulator as a permeation barrier against tritium diffusion in order to confine the tritium and to prevent plant contamination. Hydrogen permeation data is scarce for insulating compounds other than the oxides and even less is known about the effects of irradiation on this property.

The use of lasers to initiate fusion in thermonuclear reactors (LCTR) by implosion compression of a DT pellet is receiving much research effort both in the U. S. and abroad.¹⁹ In this application the laser-beam handling and transport system utilizes windows and mirrors for beam focusing, deflection, and switching. In addition to the necessity to withstand damage due to the intense laser beam, some of the optical elements will be exposed to X-rays, γ -rays, and neutrons. The use of CO₂ laser light (10.6 μ m) in prototype reactor designs has limited the window materials to NaCl, KCl, Ge, and the chalcogenides (GaAs, CdGe, etc.). While considerable information exists concerning the laser damage threshold in these materials,²⁰ information is needed on the effects of radiation and ablative material from the reactor cavity on optical and structural properties.

The radiation effects problems associated with insulators in fusion reactor environments are also discussed in a very recent article by A. Holmes-Siedle.²¹

B.II. Optical Waveguides and Integrated Optics

Glass fibers for optical communication purposes have been developed which have attenuation losses of the order of 4 dB/km or less. This insures that the already rapid growth of this field and the development of associated integrated optics devices will continue. Some of the advantages of fiber communication are: (1) negligible effects of electromagnetic interference, (2) heat resistance, (3) small size and light weight, (4) freedom from electrical shorts, and (5) large bandwidth. Integrated optics devices are needed for coupling to the fibers and multiplexing and processing the signal. Such devices are, also, small in size and weight, and have low power requirements. Radiation effects on fiber optics are of particular concern because of the long length of the light path. Some experiments have been made on selected²² fibers which show that there is little effect on the infrared (> 800 nm) attenuation for several materials. Some fibers, however, did not perform well in this spectral region. The light-emitting diodes (GaAs and GaAlAs) used with the fibers emit in the 800-900 nm range.

Ion implantation was first used by Schineller et al.²³ as a means of making waveguides for light in fused silica. Fused silica, as is true for many glasses, compacts when irradiated and the irradiated volume thus has a higher density and index of refraction than does the unirradiated material. For guiding light, in single mode operation, only about a one percent increase in refractive index is necessary. The index increase for ion-implanted fused silica can be as large as 4 percent as shown by Standley et al.,²⁴ EerNisse,²⁵

Presby and Brown,²⁶ and Namba et al.²⁷ have shown that most of the compaction is due to energy deposition through nuclear interactions.

B.III. Metal-Oxide-Silicon (MOS) Devices

The micro-miniaturization of electronics made possible through the use of integrated circuits is obviously of great importance. There are, however, inherent radiation effects problems with MOS devices which make these units vulnerable in military and space-radiation environments. The radiation sensitivity is due to positive fixed charge buildup^{28,29} at the $\text{SiO}_2\text{:Si}$ interface which occurs when the oxide is exposed to ionizing or displacing radiation. This charge develops an interface field which shifts the threshold voltage of the device. The shift can be as large as 100 volts under positive bias conditions. The positive charge buildup has been explained in two ways:²⁹ (1) Si-O bonds are broken by the irradiation and holes are retrapped while electrons migrate away, or (2) impurities, such as Na or H, incorporated in the oxide either as neutral atoms or linked to a nonbridging oxygen, lose an electron during irradiation and then are free to drift toward the interface.

The radiation sensitivity of these devices can be reduced either through oxide processing techniques³⁰ or by ion implantation.³¹ In the latter case, the radiation sensitivity is decreased either by increasing the number of electron trapping centers to compensate for the hole-trap, or by introducing efficient electron-hole recombination centers.³² The exact mechanism is not known.

B.IV. Ancillary Opportunities

The major problem facing insulator materials used in fission or fusion reactor systems will be high radiation fields and fluences. Not only will

there be instantaneous effects such as ionization currents, but the mechanical and optical properties of the material will be strongly and most likely adversely affected. This means that swelling, creep and embrittlement will occur as well as a degradation in the insulating properties of the material. The optical properties, even infrared absorption, of window materials are known to be affected by radiation. To this end, methods of suppressing the production of defects by photochemical processes, such as impurity doping, must be explored and studies of materials in simulated high current and high dose situations must be undertaken.

Many new and exciting research opportunities exist in this area. Methods must be sought to suppress stable defect production, and to decrease the transient conductivity caused by secondary electrons in new materials as well as in insulators already in use. Thus, an intensive study of the role of impurities as defect traps and electron-hole recombination centers will be required. But aside from evaluating radiation resistance of materials, real opportunities for using radiation effects in fruitful ways should be explored. For example, radiation can be used in strengthening materials, e.g., the recent work on surface recrystallization of glass.³³ Dosimetry is another area where insulators have contributed in valuable ways. Radiation damage processes in these dosimeters--whether they be a glass such as silver activated phosphate glasses or MgO--for both gamma and neutron detection must be understood. In the area of display devices color centers were first used in the skiatron tube developed during the second world war. There have been other display devices which have utilized color centers such as bromide sodalite and the Harwell Memory Plane³⁴ which utilizes the radiation damage process in

KI:Tl crystals for information storage and display. There are too many opportunities in the areas of ion implanted devices, up-conversion, dosimetry, display devices, holography, etc., for this field to be neglected.

IX.C. RESEARCH PRIORITIES

C.1. CTR Reactor Materials

1. High dose-high flux radiation damage studies with special attention to radiation enhanced processes in insulators such as radiation enhanced diffusion, creep, defect interaction rate (void formation), etc.
2. Identification of primary radiation-induced defects in insulators of interest and their relaxation products and annealing characteristics.
3. Investigations of radiation-induced changes in electrical resistivity, dielectric breakdown and thermal conductivity.
4. Studies of mechanical property changes (lattice expansion, void formation, thermal stress, grain spallation) of both the insulator and the insulator-metal composite and the effect of defect charge-state on the magnitude of these changes.
5. Measurements of hydrogen permeation and its change under irradiation.
6. Sputtering of insulators and identification of charge-state of ejected ions.

C.2. Optical Communications and Integrated Optics

1. Basic studies of radiation-induced defect studies in simple and complex glasses.
2. Further investigations of radiation effects on optical attenuation in specific fiber optics configurations.
3. Ion-implantation refractive index modification of glasses.

C.3. MOS

1. Determination of charge-buildup mechanism in SiO_2 , i.e., whether intrinsic or extrinsic (impurities).
2. Radiation-hardening mechanism in SiO_2 layers through ion implantation.
3. SiO_2 :Si interface studies and effect on radiation sensitivity.
4. Diffusion and interaction of impurities, especially hydrogen, and their role in radiation sensitivity.
5. Basic studies of role of traps and defect studies in oxide and nitride layers in MNOS devices and their radiation sensitivity.

C.4. Crystal Growth

1. There is an urgent need for research into insulator crystal growth and characterization in order to provide a base of fundamental material information for subsequent radiation studies.

REFERENCES

1. C. M. Stickley, D. F. Albares, H. F. Taylor, and T. G. Giallorenzi,
Topical Meeting on Integrated Optics (sponsored by Lasers and Electro-optics Technical Group, Optical Society of America), Jan. 21-24, 1974, Paper WB1-1.
2. G. W. Arnold and W. D. Compton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 66 (1960).
3. A. E. Hughes and B. Henderson and E. Sonder and W. A. Sibley, Point Defects in Solids, edited by J. H. Crawford, Jr., and L. M. Slifkin (Plenum Press, N. Y., 1972), Vol. 1, p. 381 and p.
4. "Development of Glass Electrical Insulator for Fusion Reactors," edited by T. G. Parker, Jr., Atomic International Division Report AI-73-45, 25 Oct. 1973 (unpublished).
5. D. Pooley and W. A. Runciman, Solid State Commun. 4, 351 (1966);
H. N. Herish, Phys. Rev. 148, 928 (1966).
6. G. W. Arnold, G. B. Krefft, and C. B. Norris, Appl. Phys. Lett. (to be published 15 Nov. 1974).
7. R. C. Hughes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1333 (1973).
8. D. S. Billington and J. H. Crawford, Radiation Damage in Solids (Princeton Press, 1961).
9. R. A. Krakowski and F. W. Clinard, Jr., Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report LA-UR-74-627, April 1974.
10. J. L. Bates, Battelle-Northwest Laboratories (private communication).
11. G. R. Hopkins, Proc. 1st Topical Meeting on the Technology of Controlled Nuclear Fusion, April 16-19, 1974, San Diego, Calif., CONF-740402-P2, p. 437.

12. R. Berman, E. L. Foster, and H. M. Rosenberg, "Defects in Crystalline Solids," Report of the Bristol Conf. (The Physical Society, London, 1954); R. Berman, E. L. Foster, B. Schneidmesser, and B.M.A. Tirmizi, J. Appl. Phys. 31, 2156 (1960); W. H. Reichelt, W. A. Ranken, C. V. Weaver, A. W. Blackstock, A. J. Patrick, and M. C. Chaney, Proc. Thermionic Conversion Specialist Conf., Miami, Fla. (Oct. 1970).
13. R. Berman, P. G. Klemens, F. E. Simon, and T. M. Fry, Nature 166, 864 (1950).
14. A. A. Bauer, Battelle-Columbus Laboratories (private communication).
15. W. Primak, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 4927 (1972).
16. M. Kaminsky, J. H. Peavy, and S. K. Das, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 599 (1974).
17. H. Vernickel, Proc. 1st Topical Conf. on the Technology of Controlled Nuclear Fusion, April 16-18, 1974, San Diego, Calif., CONF-740402-P2, p. 347.
18. R. G. Hickman, Technology of Controlled Thermonuclear Fusion Experiments and the Engineering Aspects of Fusion Reactors, Nov. 20-22, 1972, Austin, Tex., CONF-721111, p. 105.
19. J. Nuckolls, J. Emmett, and F. Wood, Physics Today 26 (8), 46 (1973).
20. J. W. Williams and T. G. Frank, Nucl. Technol. 22, 360 (1974).
21. A. Holmes-Siedle, Nature 251, 191 (1974).
22. P. L. Mattern, L. M. Watkins, C.D. Skoog, J. R. Brandon, E. H. Barsis, IEEE Nuclear and Space Radiation Effects to be published.
23. E. R. Schineller, R. P. Flam, and D. W. Wilmot, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 58, 1171 (1968).
24. R. D. Standley, W. M. Gibson, and J. W. Rodgers, Appl. Opt. 11, 1313 (1972).

25. E. P. EerNisse, J. Appl. Phys. 45, 167 (1974).
26. H. M. Presby and W. T. Brown, Appl. Phys. Lett. 24, 511 (1974).
27. S. Namba, H. Aritome, T. Nishimura, and K. Masuda, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 10, 936 (1973).
28. A. G. Revesz, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 11, 309 (1973).
29. B. E. Deal, J. Electrochem. Soc. 121, 198C (1974).
30. K. G. Aubuchon, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-18, 117 (1971).
31. H. L. Huges, R. D. Baster, B. Philips, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-19, 256 (1972).
32. R. P. Donovan and M. Simons, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 2897 (1972)..
33. G. B. Krefft and G. W. Arnold in Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and Other Materials, edited by B. L. Crowder (Plenum Press, N.Y., 1973), p. 523.
34. H. E. Bishop, R. P. Henderson, P. Iredale, and D. Pooley, Appl. Phys. Lett. 20, 504 (1972).

X. Semiconductors

Although semiconductors themselves are likely to have a small direct role in the energy problems of nuclear reactors, the advanced understanding of radiation effects in semiconductors can serve perhaps as a guide to the attack on radiation effects problems in other materials. The advanced understanding of radiation effects in silicon, for example, has been of great importance to the semiconductor industry in areas such as ion implantation doping techniques. These techniques are almost identical to those which can be used for the simulation of neutron damage as well as for the study of enhanced diffusion and transmutation effects. In addition, the role of semiconductors as detectors of nuclear radiation is very important and needs continuing work.

First, we will discuss the status of our understanding in semiconductors. The field is extensively covered in Vol. II of "Point Defects in Solids", Edited by J. H. Crawford and L. Slifkin (Plenum, N.Y., 1974)¹ and in the proceedings of the Freiburg,² Reading,³ Albany,⁴ Santa Fe⁵ Defect Conferences and the various Ion-Implantation Conferences.⁶⁻⁸ We then return to the implications of this work.

In a sense we have a more detailed understanding of defects in a semiconductor, silicon, than in any other system, but that knowledge emphasizes how little we know. The reason for our advanced knowledge in silicon is that Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) experiments have been systematically carried out yielding detailed defect models. These studies have resulted in the identifications of a number of vacancy defects (V^+ , V_2^+ , V_3 , V_4 , and V_5) and of vacancy plus impurity pairs (e.g., plus oxygen, P, Sb, As, Al, B, Ga, etc.). Annealing studies on such defects reveal the panorama of the disappearance of simple defects and the evolution-then dissolution of more complex defects resulting ultimately in dislocation-type defects which also disappear. While there

are a number of gaps in the vacancy picture, a coherent view is emerging. This is not so on interstitial defects. The "missing self-interstitials" have been a problem for sometime, but recent theoretical work and companion experimental studies seem to be bearing fruit. In any event it seems clear that the self-interstitial (and some impurity interstitials, e.g., boron and carbon) are probably "interstitialcy" type defects (such as the split-interstitials found in metals and alkali halides) rather than having the canonical interstitial configuration, as does the Al-interstitial in Si. Further, the interstitials can agglomerate and precipitate in various complexes. Still a well-established picture of the interstitial defects in Si is not at hand.

[In view of all the other discussion in this report about voids, it is appropriate to note that voids have not been observed in semiconductors, although extensive experimentation along these lines has not been carried out.]

EPR has also yielded a large number of spectra in diamond, in SiC and in II-VI compounds. In the latter case chalcogenide and metal vacancies have been identified in a number of compounds, establishing a firm foundation upon which to build subsequent knowledge. In SiC and diamond extensive defect identification has not been carried out, but the observation of a number of spectra holds promise of establishing a defect inventory comparable to (or exceeding) that in silicon. EPR in Ge and III-V compounds is more difficult than the other systems and the results not as promising.

EPR is certainly not the only measurement made. Its virtue has been the detailed knowledge it gives on the atomic level--giving symmetry information about the defect. As we have seen in the work on metals in this report, other techniques can also give symmetry information. Indeed in semiconductors, the stress response of optical and photoelectric transitions has proven to be a powerful technique. Recent internal friction results in silicon indicate the power of this approach. Still we must emphasize the importance of the further exploitation of these approaches (e.g., internal friction, elastic constant, small angle and diffuse x-ray scattering measurements), even in, and perhaps especially in, systems where EPR results exist.

In summary, an extensive defect inventory exists in Si; there's a lesser one in II-VI's; there's promise of one in diamond and SiC. In Ge, largely based on electrical measurements, there is an extensive model (in some cases, several models) describing defect processes; the models in III-V compounds are not as well established. In every semiconductor there is need for much more work.

We also note that defect migration mechanisms are not well established in semiconductors. For example the interstitials apparently move by an athermal mechanism in Si and Ge, i.e., migrate long-distance at or below 4°K. There are examples where migration energies are charge-state dependent. There is clear evidence in CdS (as in some metals) that collisional recoils due to a bombarding beam can enhance defect migration. Much more work is needed to investigate these topics systematically.

We note that in semiconductors, as apparently in metals and alkali halides, there are difficulties in reconciling results from high-

temperature equilibrium (e.g., diffusion) measurements and from low-temperature radiation damage studies. Part of the difficulty is clearly in the interpretation of self diffusion measurements (e.g., there are quite high entropy factors in a number of semiconductors), but we do not pretend that a ready solution to the controversies in this field is at hand.

The theory of defects in semiconductors, although marked by substantial progress in recent years, is still not adequate and represents a major area of opportunity for further work.

An important part of the understanding of defects is that of defect production. Here the understanding for semiconductors is clearly behind that in the metals; dynamic computer calculations of damage events, as are available in metals, do not exist for semiconductors. The reason is that the semiconductor clearly requires that that valence bonds be included (i.e., the atom-atom interactions are not just two-body, pair-wise interactions). Further, what work there is, indicates that the Frenkel pair may not just be neutral (e.g., $I^0 + V^0$) but may become charged during their formation (e.g., $I^+ + V^-$), necessitating the inclusion of the Coulomb interaction and polarization effects.

The theory needs to encompass many-electron effects, non-neutral charge states, polarization effects, etc. Hence the need for a satisfactory theory includes the theory of static defect states (their energies, wave-functions and electronic transitions), defect migration, interaction and formation.

There are extensive data on defect production, including the

displacement production of identified defects. The temperature, orientation and Fermi-level dependence has been studied on some cases. Information on the number of "free defects" (i.e., those escaping correlated annihilation) and on capture radii of some impurities for some defects have been found in a few instances. In no case, however, is a full, satisfactory picture of defect production established.

Furthermore there are instances of sub-threshold damage and of damage produced by ionization alone! (The latter observed in InSb and in Si.) While there are uncertainties and controversies in these areas, we must note that the state of our understanding of these areas is unsatisfactory.

Let us jump, for the moment, to the question of the relevance of such studies, i.e., their technological and societal motivation. Certainly one of the early motivations was military, e.g., concern for weapons affects on semiconducting devices. The space program has also provided a motivation since the satellite solar cell power supplies deteriorated in the solar wind and van Allen belt particle fluxes. The renewed interest in terrestrial solar cell power sources could also profit from increased semiconductor research, but to a lesser extent from particle-semiconductor interaction studies than from conventional studies of device degradation in use. The use of ion implantation to improve the efficiency of solar cells and the use of ion surface analysis techniques to analyze device structures are examples where particle-solid interaction studies can help technology. As noted elsewhere in this report the pulsed plasma machines will require insulators, but this is not likely to involve semiconductors. On the other hand, SiC is of interest for CTR walls since a large proportion of the sputtered particles are ions and thus easier to control.

In our view the main technological motivations for particle-semiconductor studies is the multi-billion dollar semiconductor industry itself. Major portions of this industry are converting to ion-implantation as a manufacturing technique. But ion-implantation creates complex defect structures whose nature and properties need to be unraveled. Furthermore the defects produced in implantation, as well as the attendant collisional recoils and ionization can all produce enhanced diffusion, whose features need to be established. We note, that a special opportunity exists for the physics community to establish the separate aspects which may exist for the field-enhanced, defect-enhanced, recoil-enhanced and ionization-enhanced diffusion of defects, all of which mechanisms may occur simultaneously in an ion-implantation experiment.

References

1. Point Defects in Solids, ed by J. H. Crawford, Jr. and L. Slifkin (Plenum, N.Y., 1974).
2. Proceedings of the 1974 International Conference on Lattice Defects in Semiconductors, Freiburg, July 22-25, 1974 (to be published).
3. Radiation Damage and Defects in Semiconductors, ed. J. E. Whitehouse (The Institute of Physics, London, 1973).
4. Radiation Effects in Semiconductors, ed. J. W. Corbett and G. D. Watkins (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1971).
5. Radiation Effects in Semiconductors, ed. F. L. Vook (Plenum Press, N.Y. 1968).
6. Proc. of the IV Int. Conference on Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and Other Materials, Osaka, 1974 (Plenum Press, to be published).
7. Ion Implantation in Semiconductors and Other Materials, ed. B. L. Crowder (Plenum Press, N.Y., 1973).
8. Ion Implantation in Semiconductors, ed. I. Ruge and J. Graul (Springer Verlag, N.Y., 1971).

XI. SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

XI.A. Introduction

Many of the concepts of a controlled thermonuclear reactor involve plasma containment by very strong magnetic fields (~ 50 - 150 kilogauss), to be produced by superconductor magnets. The neutrons emitted by the fusion reaction are partially absorbed by the blanket and shields surrounding the fusion chamber, but some will bombard the superconducting materials of the magnet; the magnitude of this neutron flux will be determined by the best compromise for liquid helium loss due to absorption of all forms of radiant energy, magnet size and the effect of irradiation on the properties of superconductors. Hence it is essential that the effects of neutron irradiation on the properties of superconductors be well established and well understood. Also, it is probable that in the process of understanding the effects of radiation on superconductors we may gain increased understanding of the complex subject of radiation damage.

XI.B. Present Status of Knowledge

Type II superconductors can be divided into three groups for present purposes:

- a) pure metals and well-annealed single phase alloys, which have low or intermediate transition temperatures T_c and low critical fields H_{c2} ;
- b) commercial alloys, which have intermediate T_c and H_{c2} ;
- c) compounds (e.g. A-15 type, laves phases, NaCl type) which have high T_c and H_{c2} .

Group a)

It is established that the upper critical field can be changed by neutron irradiation in direct proportion to the change in electrical resistivity produced by the irradiation, in good agreement with theory. T_c for these materials is little affected by neutron irradiation ($\sim 0.1K$ for neutron irradiation at room temperature to a dose $\sim 10^{20}$ nvt).⁽¹⁾

The magnetic fluxoids in these materials are strongly pinned by the defects produced by neutron irradiation. After irradiation with electrons, which produces a random distribution of vacancy-interstitial pairs, there is a small fluxoid pinning effect which rapidly saturates with increasing defect concentration. This effect is well understood and is in agreement with theory.⁽¹⁾ After neutron irradiation at 4 K strong pinning of fluxoids occurs. Annealing studies show it is the point defects which pin, but by comparison with the electron irradiation results the pinning must be related to the non-uniform distribution of the defects due to the displacement cascades. After room temperature irradiation the fluxoids are also strongly pinned, presumable by the small dislocation loops resulting from this irradiation. This pinning results in increases in the magnetic remanance in metals and alloys initially in the annealed condition, and the critical current I_c is increased accordingly.⁽²⁾ The mechanism(s) of this fluxoid pinning is not well understood.

Group b)

T_c for these materials is also little affected by neutron irradiation ($\sim 0.1K$). The fluxoids in these materials are strongly pinned by the dislocations and dislocation cell walls, resulting in intermediate to high values of I_c at large values of applied field. The effect of irradiation is to reduce I_c ; multifilamentary composite wires of NbTi were irradiated at

60 C to a dose of 6×10^{19} neutrons/cm² and a reduction in I_c at 40kG of 18% was observed. (3) Similar effects were found in low magnetic fields after 4 K irradiations. (4) These effects are poorly understood. No measurements of I_c at magnetic fields higher than about 30kG after low temperature neutron irradiation have been made.

Group c)

Neutron irradiation at room temperature above a certain critical fluence results in catastrophic decreases in T_c , H_{c2} and I_c in A-15 compounds. For doses $\geq 3 \times 10^{18}$ nvt these properties decrease rapidly with increasing dose, e.g., in Nb₃Sn $T_c \approx 3$ K after a dose $\sim 5 \times 10^{19}$ nvt. (5) There are similar drastic changes in H_{c2} and I_c . To recover these property changes it is necessary to anneal to ~ 750 C. Apparently these effects are associated with a decrease in the degree of order in these compounds due to the atomic displacements resulting from the irradiation. Neutron irradiations of these materials at 4°K are in progress.

Apparently any pinning effects of the fluxoid lattices in these superconductors is overwhelmed by the disordering effects. No irradiations of other types of high H_{c2} high T_c superconductors have been reported, but some experiments are in progress.

XI.C. Basic Unknowns

1) An understanding of the fluxoid pinning mechanisms for defects produced by neutron irradiation (Groups a and b).

2) The interrelations of fluxoid pinning by dislocations and dislocation substructures and by radiation produced defects (point defects and/or small dislocation loops).

3) The effects of irradiations at low temperatures on I_c at high fields in Group b materials (known for proton irradiation).

4) Confirmation that the disorder resulting from neutron irradiation is correlated with changes in the superconducting properties of A-15 compounds. An understanding of the changes in electronic structure associated with order-disorder which determine the superconducting properties.

5) Superconducting properties of A-15 compounds after 4 K irradiation.

6) Effects of irradiation on superconductors with other crystal structures.

XI.D. Promising Areas of Research

1) Measure the superconductor parameters I_c , H_c , and T_c as a function of fluence, particularly at 4°K.

2) Measure the recovery behavior of T_c , I_c and H_{c2} after irradiation.

3) Study the stability of sample coils irradiated at 4 K and compare the results with stability theories.

4) Study irradiation effects in superconductor materials as a function of their crystal structure.

5) Perform basic physical measurements on irradiated superconductors, e.g., heat capacity, resistivity and magnetic susceptibility.

6) Study the influence of metallurgical variables e.g. (heat treatment, fabrication techniques, impurities, etc.) on the parameters of superconductors.

7) Investigate the properties of magnetic fluxoids including the pinning of fluxoids by defects.

REFERENCES:

- 1) H. Ullmaier, p. 363 in Proceedings of the 1973 International Conference on Defects and Defect Clusters in BCC Metals and Their Alloys, ed. by R. J. Arsenault, National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Md., 1973.
- 2) S. T. Sekula, J. App. Phys., 42 16 (1971).
- 3) D. M. Parkin and D. G. Schweitzer, Nuclear Tech., 22, 108 (1974).
- 4) B. S. Brown, H. C. Freyhardt, and T. H. Blewitt, J. Appl. Phys., 45, 2724, (1974).
- 5) A. R. Sweedler, D. G. Schweitzer and G. W. Webb, Phys. Rev. Letters, 33, 168 (1964).

XII. INTERACTIONS BETWEEN UNIVERSITIES AND LABORATORIES

Fruitful interaction between the university research community and the large government supported laboratories has developed in a number of instances over the years with considerable benefit to both. Longer range perspectives derived from university research have been injected into the mission oriented objectives of the government laboratories, and an understanding of how basic and applied research interact as well as new appreciations of current technological interests are carried back to the universities. The purpose of this section is to emphasize the need for enhancing this type of interaction and to discuss effective modes for promoting it.

Some industrial laboratories are involved in programs that study radiation damage effects or the use of radiation in device fabrication, but most of the research involving radiation effects is done at large government supported laboratories, especially AEC laboratories. Therefore, in this discussion "laboratory" will usually be defined as a government supported laboratory where large unique radiation facilities are located and energy programs are given high priority. This section will be divided into two parts: (1) Present profitable programs and (2) New programs suggestions.

(1) A number of successful programs are currently being pursued which bring together laboratories and universities. The need to help students mature and to further the mutual interaction of professors and laboratory staff is met at present by summer research visits sponsored by organizations at the various laboratories and funded by the AEC. These programs are extremely valuable and certainly should be expanded as funds permit. The use of the unique facilities

at various laboratories is another aid to university programs. The possibility of conducting collaborative experiments with the **scientists** operating these facilities offers a faculty member an opportunity to add to his experimental capabilities and the laboratory the opportunity to enhance the research program. In addition some laboratories have speaker lists from which universities can select speakers for colloquia (these programs of late have not been too successful owing to a lack of supplemental funds available in small universities); others have a sabbatical leave policy which enables their employees to spend extended periods at a university.

In addition to the mutual benefits of the student and faculty research-participation programs the laboratories have benefitted by the identification of a number of high-caliber people who have been subsequently employed by the laboratories. These programs will need to be expanded if the anticipated shortage of available scientists in this research area becomes a reality. It should be remembered in this connection that the missions of laboratories and universities are usually quite different. The universities cannot become research arms of the government, moving from one crisis area to another, nor can most laboratories' primary mission be service to universities.

(2) Where possible new collaborative programs should be developed and some of the existing informal ones should be strengthened, formalized and publicized. Often the academic people who could most benefit from the participation in such programs are at small universities where information about such arrangements is not readily available. An effort must be made to disseminate this information to the academic community as a whole in order to take advantage of some of the important resources both in innovative ideas and research facilities that exist at some of the smaller schools. We propose two specific new programs to do this, but many other variations of these proposals could be made. One program would be the formal coordination of a research program at a university with one at a

laboratory. The mechanism of this coordination would be that the funding agency for the laboratory would set aside some funds for supporting special research problems at universities which are of particular interest to the laboratories. A joint proposal from the laboratory and the university groups would be submitted which would request funding for a professor and an assistant plus some travel and maintenance for the academic year. In the summer the professor and assistant would be at the laboratory participating in research with their joint laboratory group. This approach will bring new blood to the laboratory and allow academic people to become acquainted with new techniques and the missions of the technological community.

Another program we would like to suggest is a series of symposia on a continuing basis which are addressed to current missions of the technological community, both national laboratories and industries. These symposia would be structured after the Gordon Conferences and would consist of selected university people (especially those at smaller schools with research capabilities) and project scientists from laboratories. The symposia would deal with current technological missions, and hopefully would convey the idea that basic research and applied research are mutually supportive. It would also further the recognition of the interconnection of academic research with the technological goals of society.

Wherever feasible, it would be desirable to extend such collaborative interactions to industrial research institutes or industrial laboratories.

XIII. APPENDIX

XIII-1

APS SUMMER STUDY

PHYSICS PROBLEMS RELATING TO ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES:

RADIATION EFFECTS ON MATERIALS

PROGRAMPhysics Bldg. 510 Large Seminar RoomMonday, June 17, 1974

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Title</u>
8:40 AM	F. L. Vook, Sandia	Introductory Remarks
8:50 AM	A. N. Goland, BNL	Welcome
		I. <u>Introductory Talks</u>
9:00 AM	H. J. C. Kouts, Div. of Reactor Safety Research AEC	Problems of Reactor Safety Research
9:40 AM	T. C. Reuther, Jr., Div. of Reactor R&D AEC	High Exposure Fast Neutron Irradiation Damage and Damage Analysis in IMFBR Development
10:20 AM	D. K. Stevens, Div. of Research AEC	Radiation Effects Research in DPR and its Relation to Other Programs
11:00 AM	R. Thompson, Energy R&D Office FEO	Energy Options and Fundamental Problems
11:40 AM	B. R. Frost, ANL	Radiation Effects Studies on Fission and Fusion Reactor Materials
		II. <u>Applied Problems A</u>
7:30 PM	M. Billone, ANL	Modeling Fast Reactor Fuel Elements and CTR
8:10 PM	F. W. Wiffen, ORNL	Effects of Radiation on High Temperature Mechanical Properties of Metals - IMFBR-CTR
8:50 PM	M. S. Wechsler, Ames Laboratory	Radiation Effects on Fracture Behavior
<u>Tuesday, June 18, 1974</u>		
9:00 AM	G. L. Kulcinski, U. of Wisconsin	Highlights ASTM Symposium Radiation Effects on Structural Materials
		III. <u>Theory</u>
9:40 AM	M. T. Robinson, ORNL	Theory of Damage Production
10:20 AM	D. K. Brice, Sandia	Ion Implantation Simulation of Radiation Damage: Depth Distributions
11:00 AM	H. Wiedersich, ANL	Voids and Interstitial Loop Nucleation Mechanisms
11:40 AM	A. D. Brailsford, AERE Ford Research Lab.	Kinetics of Void Growth in Irradiated Materials
		IV. <u>Voids, Dislocations and Experimental</u>
7:30 PM	A. Taylor, ANL	Ion Simulation of High Temperature Radiation Effects - Aust. SS - HVEM
8:10 PM	H. A. Mook, ORNL	Small-Angle Neutron Scattering as a Technique for Studying Voids in Metals
8:50 PM	B. C. Larson, ORNL	Diffuse X-Ray Scattering for the Study of Dislocation Loop Damage

Wednesday, June 19, 1974

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Title</u>
		V. <u>Point Defects in Metals</u>
9:00 AM	F. W. Young, Jr., ORNL	Broad View of Low Temperature Annealing
9:40 AM	K. Sonnenberg (KFA Julich)	Low Temperature Electron Damage and its Recovery (experimental)
10:20 AM	T. H. Blewitt, ANL	Basic Neutron Damage Displacement Effects
11:00 AM	B. C. Larson, ORNL	Diffuse X-Ray Scattering to Study Point Defects and Their Clustering
11:40 AM	G. J. Dienes, BNL	Enhanced Diffusion
7:30 PM	D. N. Seidman, Cornell University	Point Defects in Metals Using Field Ion Microscopy
8:10 PM	J. T. Holder, U. of Illinois	Split-Interstitials and Elastic Constants of Irradiated Copper
8:50 PM	A. N. Goland, BNL	Positron Annihilation in Imperfect Solids

Thursday, June 20, 1974

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Title</u>
		VI. <u>Applied Problems B</u>
9:00 AM	C. J. McHargue, ORNL	Relationships of Fundamental Research in Radiation Damage to Reactor Project Needs
9:40 AM	K. M. Zwilsky, DCTR, AEC	Radiation Damage Problems in Controlled Thermonuclear Research
10:20 AM	G. L. Kulcinski, U. of Wisconsin	Fusion Reactor Radiation Damage Problems
11:00 AM	M. S. Kaminsky, ANL	Wall Erosion and Plasma Contamination in Fusion Reactor Devices
11:40 AM	R. S. Blewer, Sandia	Depth Profiles of Low Z Elements in First Wall Materials
7:30 PM	E. Zebroski, EPRI	Energy Impacts of Radiation Effects in Nuclear Power Development
8:10 PM	W. Bauer, Sandia	Charged Particle Irradiation Effects on Surfaces
		VII. <u>New Techniques</u>
8:50 PM	R. L. Cohen, BTL	Mössbauer and Hyperfine Interaction Studies

Friday, June 21, 1974

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Title</u>
		VIII. <u>H and He in Metals</u>
9:00 AM	K. H. Johnson, MIT	Energy and Quantum Chemistry (SCF-X- α Scattered Wave Calculations)
9:40 AM	A. C. Switendick, Sandia	Theoretical Investigations of H in Metals (APW)
10:20 AM	W. D. Wilson, Sandia	Helium Defect Configurations in Metals
11:00 AM	F. L. Vook, Sandia	Ion Implantation and Channeling Study of H and He in Metals

Friday, June 21, 1974

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Title</u>
1:30 PM	H. Birnbaum, U. of Illinois	Interstitial Solutes in Metals (H and He Embrittlement)
2:10 PM	J. Panitz, Sandia	Field Desorption Microscopy to Study H in Metal Surfaces

Monday, June 24, 1974

		IX. <u>Radiation Damage in Insulators and Semiconductors</u>
9:00 AM	W. A. Sibley, Oklahoma State U.	Radiation and Impurity Defects in Insulators
9:40 AM	G. W. Arnold, Sandia	Ion Damage in Insulators
10:20 AM	P. W. Levy, BNL	Radiation Effects in Laser Fusion Materials
11:00 AM	J. W. Corbett, State U. of NY	Radiation Effects in Semiconductors
		X. <u>Superconductors</u>
7:00 PM	D. M. Parkin, LASL	Radiation Damage in Superconductors: Order and Disorder in A-15 Alloys
7:40 PM	A. Sweedler, BNL	Critical Temperatures and Critical Fields in Nb and V Based A-15 Alloys
8:20 PM	B. S. Brown, ANL	Low T Irradiation of Superconductors
9:00 PM	S. T. Sekula, ORNL	Radiation Effects in Type II Semiconductors