

SGP - TR - 30





PROCEEDINGS FOURTH WORKSHOP GEOTHERMAL RESERVOIR ENGINEERING

Paul Kruger and Henry J. Ramey, Jr. Editors

December 13-15, 1978



Stanford Geothermal Program INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND EARTH SCIENCES Stanford University, Stanford, California

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS COOLMENT IS UNLIMITED

COMPRESSIONAL AND SHEAR WAVE VELOCITIES IN WATER FILLED ROCKS DURING WATER-STEAM TRANSITION

Hisao Ito,* John DeVilbiss and Amos Nur Rock Physics Project Department of Geophysics Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ABSTRACT

Both compressional and shear wave velocities were measured in water-filled Berea sandstone as a function of pore pressure under a constant confining pressure of 200 bar. At 145.5°C, compressional velocity increased from steam-saturated (low pore pressure) to water-saturated (high pore pressure) rock, whereas shear wave velocity decreased. Furthermore, a velocity minimum, attenuation and dispersions occur at water-steam transition for compressional wave. Results at 198°C show that both compressional and shear velocities decrease from steam-saturated to watersaturated rock, and a small velocity minimum is observed for compressional waves, but no attenuation nor dispersion occur. At both temperatures, the V_p/V_s ratio and Poisson's ratio increased from steam-saturated to watersaturated rock.

The results are reasonably compatible with the mechanical effects of mixing steam and water in the pore space near the phase transition, and may be applicable to in situ geothermal field evaluation.

-84-

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

INTRODUCTION

One of the methods of exploration for geothermal resources is seismic surveying, including microearthquake studies, V_p/V_s ratios, and seismic wave attenuation.

However, few laboratory measurements of velocity of rocks at high temperature with hot water or steam have been made (e.g., Spencer and Nur, 1976). It is important therefore to extend our knowledge of velocities in water-filled rocks at high temperature with an emphasis of difference between the liquid (water) and gas (vapor) phase of pore fluid, which we have done in this study. Specifically, we have measured both compressional V_p and shear V_s velocities and wave amplitudes in porous rock at geothermal temperatures, as the water in the pores is converted to steam and steam to water, with particular attention to the effects of the phase transition itself. From the velocities, we also computed Poisson's ratio.

Experimental Procedure

The basic method used is the measurement of pulse travel time through rock samples with pore water. At fixed temperature, we vary the pore pressure P_p back and forth across the transition from steam (low P_p) to water (high P_p). The transition pressures for the temperatures used,145.5°C and 189°C, were taken from Keenan et al., 1969.

Ultrasonic compressional and shear wave velocities and amplitudes were measured by the conventional pulse transmission method, with a mercury delay line as a reference. 1 MHz PZT ceramic transducers were used for generating compressional and shear waves.

-85-

Results

We measured both compressional and shear wave velocities at a constant confining pressure (300 bar) and temperature (19.5°C, 145.5°C, and 189° C) as a function of pore pressure. The pore pressure was changed from high pore pressure to low pore pressure (decreasing pore pressure cycle) and then increased again (increasing pore pressure cycle). Varying the pore pressure over the range of 7.0 bars in a saturated sample at 19.5°C produced almost no changes in either V_p or V_s.

In contrast, marked changes of velocities, Poisson's ratios, and wave amplitudes with changing pore pressure were observed at the higher temperatures of 145.5°C and 198°C. The results show:

(1) There is a minimum for compressional velocity at pore pressure of 4 bar (Fig. 2) which is very close to the water-vapor transition pressure of 4.212 bar at 145.5°C (Keenan et al., 1969). Below this pore pressure, water is in vapor phase (steam) and above the transition pressure it is in liquid phase. No minimum is observed for shear wave velocity (Fig. 3).

(2) Compressional wave velocity of steam-filled rock is lower than that of water-filled rock. Shear wave velocity in steam-filled rock is higher than that of water-filled rock.

(3) Poisson's ratio and V_p/V_s ratio calculated from compressional and shear wave velocities increase from steam-saturated to water-saturated rock, as shown in Fig. 4.

(4) We observe changes of wave amplitude vs pore pressure (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). We notice a sharp drop in the compressional wave amplitude at the water-vapor transition, which is reproducible with pore pressure cycling. However, no minimum of shear wave amplitude is observed. In Fig. 5, the time intervals from first arrival to the first, second, and third peaks are plotted vs pore pressure with a large time interval corresponding to lower frequency of the wave. Again the water-steam transition, a peak time interval, was observed for compressional wave but not for shear wave. This suggests that high frequency components of the compressional wave are significantly more attenuated at the water-vapor transition.

Because attenuation depends so sensitively on many factors, and because the sample length is short, we cannot yet calculate exact Q values for these data. Nevertheless, it is obvious that attenuation and dispersion occur at the water-steam transition in our rock sample for compressional waves, but not for shear waves.

CONCLUSION

We have studied experimentally the nature of wave propagation in steam-, water-, and mixture-saturated sandstone. The results show that the P wave velocity is abnormally low in the phase transition region at 145°C and 198°C, whereas the shear velocity has no minimum there. Poisson's ratio undergoes a marked increase upon the transition from the steamsaturated to water-saturated state. The amplitude of the P wave at 145°C also has a strong minimum at the transition region, whereas the S amplitude does not.

-87-

All these results can be explained by the effects of a mixture of steam, vapor, and water in the pores at the transition conditions: for a few percent steam, the density of the mixture is relatively high, similar to water, whereas the bulk modulus, \overline{K} , is low, similar to steam. The shear velocity, which is insensitive to the bulk modulus of the fluid inclusion, is therefore barely influenced, whereas the compressional velocity is sensitive to \overline{K} , and thus undergoes a measurable change. Furthermore, the large relative P attenuation at the transition is probably due to local flow in the partially saturated state.

The results of this study suggest that in situ interfaces between steam and hot water, if they exist, may be recognizable using the seismic method. Furthermore, regions with both steam and hot water should exhibit anomalous low velocity and high attenuation of P waves, but not of S waves. Furthermore, Poisson's ratio is, as expected, a good discriminator between steam and hot water in the pore space and may be a useful tool, as suggested in previous work (e.g., Combs and Rotstein, 1976) on the basis of room temperature measurements. The data presented here demonstrate that the conclusion is valid also at temperatures anticipated in a geothermal area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank John Weeks, who helped in the design and initiation of this project. We are grateful to P. Gordon for the design, construction, and maintenance of the special equipment used in the experiments. We are also indebted to J. Walls for the shear transducer design and extensive discussions. This study was supported by grant EY-76-S-03-0326 PA#45, from the Office of Basic Research, the Department of Energy.

-88-

References

Anderson, D.L., and J.H. Whitcomb, Time-dependent seismology, <u>J</u>. <u>Geophys</u>. Res. 80, 1497-1503, 1975.

- Combs, T., and Y. Rotstein, Microearthquake studies of the Coso geothermal area, China Lake, California, <u>Proc. 2nd U.N. Symp.</u>, <u>Develop. use of Geo-</u> thermal Res., v. 2, 909-916, 1976.
- Domenico, S.N., Elastic properties of unconsolidated porous sand reservoirs, Geophysics 42, 1339-1368, 1977.
- Elliot, S.E., and B.F. Wiley, Compressional velocities of partially saturated, unconsolidated sand, <u>Geophysics</u> 40, 949-954, 1975.
- Hayakawa, M., The study of underground structure and geophysical state in geothermal areas by seismic exploration, <u>Geothermics</u>, <u>Spec</u>. <u>Issue 2</u>, <u>v</u>. 2, pt. 1, 347-357, 1970.

Keenan, J.H., F.G. Keyes, P.G. Hill and J.G. Moore, Steam Tables,

John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1969.

Mavko, G.M. and Amos Nur, Wave attenuation in partially saturated rocks, Geophysics, in press, 1978.

Nur, A. and G. Simmons, The effect of saturation on velocity in low porosity rocks, <u>Earth Planet. Science Lett.</u>, <u>7</u>, 183, 1969.

O'Connell, R.J. and B. Budiansky, Seismic velocities in dry and saturated cracked solids, J. Geophys. Res., 79, 35, 5412, 1974.

Richter, D., and G. Simmons, Thermal expansion behavior of igneous rocks., Inter. J. Rock Mech. Min Sci., 11, 403-411, 1974.

Spencer, J. and A.M. Nur, The effects of pressure, temperature, and pore water on velocities in Westerly granite, <u>J. Geophys. Res. 81</u>, 899-904, 1976.

-89-

Takeuchi, S., and G. Simmons, Elasticity of water-satured rocks as a function

of temperature and pressure, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u> 78, 3310-3320, 1973. Todd, T. and G. Simmons, Effect of pore pressure on the velocity

of compressional waves in low porosity rocks, <u>J. Geophys. Res.</u>, <u>77</u>, 3731-3743, 1972.

Toksoz, M.N., C.H. Cheng and A. Timur, Velocities of seismic waves in porous rocks, <u>Geophysics</u> 41, 621-645, 1976.

Walsh, J.B., New analysis of attenuation in partially melted rock,

J. Geophys. Res., 74, 4333, 1969.

- Walsh, J.B., Wave velocity and attenuation in rocks undergoing polymorphic transformations, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 1253-1261, 1973.
- White, J.E., Computed seismic speeds and attenuation in rocks with partial gas saturation, Geophysics 40, 224-232, 1975.

Winkler, K., and Amos Nur, Attenuation and dispersion in partially

saturated rocks, (abstract) SEG meeting, San Francisco, 1978.

Zoback, M.D., High pressure deformation and fluid flow in sandstone, granite and granular materials: Ph.D. thesis, Stanford Univ., 1975.


TABLE 1

Physical Properties of Berea Sandstone

grain density	2.66	*
total porosity	18.9%	*
	18.75%	**
crack porosity	0.25%	**
pore porosity	18.50%	**
water permeability	160 md	**

* present measurement

** Zoback



-92-




FIG. 2. Compressional wave velocity V_p vs pore pressure at 19.5°C and 145.5°C. Open circles show the data during decreasing pore pressure cycle, closed circles show the velocities during increasing pore pressure cycle. Saturation pressure at 145.5°C is also shown.




FIGURE 3. Shear wave velocity V_s vs pore pressure at 19.5°C and 145.5°C.

-93-






FIGURE 4. Poisson's ratio vs pore pressure calculated from compressional and shear wave velocities at 145.5°C.





FIGURE 5. V_p/V_s ratio vs pore pressure at 145.5°C.



Peak amplitude (first and third) of compressional wave vs pore pressure at 145.5°C.