Evolution of Technetium Speciation in Reducing Grout

Wayne W. Lukens, Jerome J. Bucher, David K. Shuh, and Norman M. Edelstein*

Actinide Chemistry Group

Chemical Sciences Division

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Berkeley, CA 94720

wwlukens@lbl.gov

RECEIVED DATE (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)

ABSTRACT Cementitious waste forms (CWFs) are an important component of the strategy to immobilize high-level nuclear waste resulting from plutonium production by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Technetium (^{99}Tc) is an abundant fission product of particular concern in CWFs due to the high solubility and mobility of pertechnetate, TcO_4^- , the stable form of technetium in aerobic environments. CWFs can more effectively immobilize ^{99}Tc if they contain additives that reduce mobile TcO_4^- to immobile Tc(IV) species. Leaching of ^{99}Tc from reducing CWFs that contain Tc(IV) is much slower than for CWFs containing TcO_4^- . Previous X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) studies showed that the Tc(IV) species were oxidized to TcO_4^- in reducing grout samples prepared on a laboratory scale. Whether the oxidizer was atmospheric O_2 or NO_3^- in the waste simulant was not determined. In actual CWFs, rapid oxidation of Tc(IV) by NO_3^- would be a concern, whereas oxidation by atmospheric O_2 would be of less concern due to the slow diffusion and reaction of O_2 with the reducing CWF. To address this uncertainty, two series of reducing grouts were prepared using TcO_4^- containing waste simulants with and without NO_3^- . In the first series of samples, the TcO_4^- was completely reduced using Na_2S , and the samples were placed in containers that permitted O_2 diffusion. In these samples, all of the technetium was initially present as a Tc(IV) sulfide compound, TcS_x , which was characterized using extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS)

spectroscopy, and is likely Tc_2S_7 . The TcS_x initially present in the grout samples was steadily oxidized over 4 years. In the second series of samples, all of the TcO_4^- was not initially reduced, and the grout samples were placed in airtight containers. In these samples, the remaining TcO_4^- continued to be reduced as the samples aged, presumably due to the presence of reducing blast furnace slag. When samples in the second series were exposed to atmosphere, the lower-valent technetium species were rapidly oxidized to TcO_4^- .

KEYWORDS technetium, cement, grout, nuclear waste

BRIEFS The speciation of technetium in reducing grout samples was followed using X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy. The reduced technetium species were susceptible to oxidation by oxygen, but nitrate had no observable effect over the duration of the experiment.

MANUSCRIPT TEXT

Introduction Immobilization of the high-level nuclear waste created during plutonium production by the U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) is the most expensive and complex remediation project in the U. S.^{1,2} An important component of this effort is the use of grout based cementitious waste forms (CWFs) to immobilize the low-activity waste stream at the Savannah River Site and to stabilize the waste residues in high-level tanks at both the Savannah River and Hanford Sites.³⁻⁶ The long-term effectiveness of these measures to prevent the migration of radionuclides is described by performance assessments that depend on the leach rates of the radionuclides.^{3,5,7,8} ^{99}Tc is one of the radionuclides of greatest concern for leaching from CWFs because of the high mobility and lack of sorption of pertechnetate, TcO_4^- , the most stable form of technetium under aerobic conditions.^{8,9}

For soluble contaminants such as TcO_4^- or NO_3^- , leach rates from CWFs can be modeled using an effective diffusion coefficient, $D_{\text{eff}} = N_m D_m$ where D_m is the molar diffusion coefficient of the contaminant in water and N_m is the MacMullin number, a characteristic of the porous solid that is identical for solutes such as gases or anions that are highly soluble and not adsorbed by the matrix.¹⁰ Among potential CWFs, $D_{\text{eff}}(\text{NO}_3^-)$ varies $1.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $6.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$.^{5,9,11} The D_{eff} values for NO_3^- and TcO_4^- are similar

since their molar diffusion coefficients are almost identical, 1.53×10^{-5} and $1.48 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively.^{12,13} The leachability of technetium can be greatly decreased by reducing soluble TcO_4^- to insoluble Tc(IV) species by the addition of blast furnace slag (BFS) or other reductants to the grout. The $D_{\text{eff}}(^{99}\text{Tc})$ values of reducing grouts are much smaller, $3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, because Tc(IV) has low solubility and readily sorbs to the grout matrix.^{9,11} Reducing conditions used in actual CWFs take advantage of this decreased leachability to create a more effective waste form.^{6,11}

A previous research study showed that although TcO_4^- is reduced to Tc(IV) in reducing grouts, the degree of reduction varied with experimental conditions.¹⁴ In some cases, TcO_4^- was initially reduced to Tc(IV) but was later oxidized. Two species, NO_3^- and O_2 , are present in large quantities in or around CWFs and are potentially capable of oxidizing Tc(IV) to TcO_4^- . Whether NO_3^- or O_2 is responsible for oxidizing Tc(IV) has a profound effect on the behavior and immobilization of technetium in CWFs.

Oxidation by NO_3^- or O_2 produces two very different scenarios for the speciation and leaching of technetium from reducing CWFs. If NO_3^- is chiefly responsible for the oxidation, Tc(IV) would be oxidized throughout the entire CWF increasing the leachability of ^{99}Tc in the entire volume of the waste. In this scenario, the rate of oxidation of Tc(IV) to TcO_4^- would depend only on the reaction rate and the concentration of the reactants.

The scenario involving oxidation by O_2 is more complicated. In this case, diffusion of O_2 into the CWF would result in the formation of an oxidized surface region that would have greater technetium leachability. However, the leachability of technetium in the bulk of the waste would be unchanged since it would remain Tc(IV). As shown by Smith and Walton, the thickness of the oxidized region depends mainly upon N_m and the reductive capacity of the CWF.¹⁵ Using typical parameters for reducing CWFs, the thickness of the oxidized region is small compared to the dimensions of the CWF, and oxidation by O_2 is less of a concern than oxidation by NO_3^- .

Therefore, the primary concern raised by the rapid oxidation of Tc(IV) species observed in the previous study was that NO_3^- rather than O_2 was responsible for the oxidation. Such rapid oxidation of Tc(IV) by

NO_3^- would mean that all of the initially reduced technetium in actual CWFs would be quickly oxidized back to TcO_4^- . In this paper, the evolution of ^{99}Tc speciation in a series of grout samples both sealed and unsealed and with and without NO_3^- was followed for an extended period by X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) to determine whether NO_3^- or O_2 was responsible for oxidizing Tc(IV) species in these grout samples.

Experimental

Procedures *Caution:* ^{99}Tc is a β^- -emitter ($E_{\text{max}} = 294 \text{ keV}$, $\lambda_{1/2} = 2.1 \times 10^5 \text{ years}$). All operations were carried out in a radiochemical laboratory equipped for handling this isotope. Technetium, as $\text{NH}_4^{99}\text{TcO}_4$, was obtained from Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The solid $\text{NH}_4^{99}\text{TcO}_4$ was contaminated with a large amount of dark, insoluble material. Prolonged treatment of this sample with H_2O_2 and NH_4OH did not appreciably reduce the amount of dark material. NH_4TcO_4 was separated by carefully decanting the colorless solution from the dark solid. A small amount of NaOH was added to the colorless solution, and the volatile components were removed under vacuum. The remaining solid was dissolved in water, and the colorless solution was removed from the remaining precipitate with a cannula. The concentration of NaTcO_4 was determined spectrophotometrically at 289 nm ($\epsilon = 2380 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).¹⁶ UV-visible spectra were obtained using an Ocean-Optics ST2000 spectrometer.

All operations were carried out in air. Water was deionized, passed through an activated carbon cartridge to remove organic material and then distilled. All other chemicals were used as received. The grout samples examined here are similar to those previously used for the study of chromium reduction in reducing grout samples and are similar to Saltstone, the CWF used to immobilize low activity waste at the Savannah River Site.^{11,17} The dry cement consisted of 46% Type F fly ash, 46% BFS, and 8% Portland cement.¹⁷ The fly ash, BFS, and Portland cement are those used by the Savannah River Saltstone facility, and were provided by C. A. Langton. Two series of grout samples were prepared.

The first series was prepared using solutions with and without NO_3^- and NO_2^- as shown in Table 1. To the waste simulant was added TcO_4^- (0.02 mmol, 0.1 mL, 0.2 M NH_4TcO_4), which was then reduced with Na_2S (0.21 mmol, 0.1 mL, 2.1M Na_2S) in 1 M LiOH forming a very dark solution with a black precipitate.

The dry cement mixture was added forming a slurry that was placed in a polystyrene (PS) cuvette, which was capped and closed with vinyl tape and sealed inside two thin-walled polyethylene (PE) bags. This first series of samples will be referred to as “unsealed samples”, and the final composition of the waste solution after addition of the TcO_4^- and Na_2S solutions is listed in Table 1.

The second series of samples was prepared analogously to the first. To the waste simulant was added TcO_4^- (0.012 mmol, 0.30 mL, 0.039 M NaTcO_4) and an oxidized Na_2S solution in 1 M LiOH (0.065 mL), which formed a dark solution with a black precipitate. The dry cement mixture was added, forming a slurry that was placed in an acrylic cuvette that was sealed with a plug of epoxy and further sealed inside two heavy-walled (PE) bags. This second series of samples will be referred to as “sealed samples”, and the final composition of the waste solution after addition of the TcO_4^- and Na_2S solutions is also listed in Table 1. Samples A and C were opened after 26 months and placed in loosely capped jars that were opened weekly. Sample B remained sealed throughout the experiment.

Table 1: Composition of cement samples

Sample	Tc (mg)	Solution (ml)	Final Solution Composition	Cement (g)
Unsealed Samples				
1	2	1.5	1.85M NaNO_3 , 1.07M NaOH , 0.57M NaNO_2 , 0.23M NaAl(OH)_4 , 0.16M Na_2CO_3 , 0.14M Na_2SO_4 , 0.02M NaCl , 0.02M $\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$, 0.008M Na_3PO_4 , 0.13M Na_2S	3
2	2	1.5	As Sample 1, but no NaNO_3 , NaNO_2	3
3	2	0.95	As Sample 1, but 0.05M Na_3PO_4	1.5
4	2	0.95	As Sample 2, but 0.05M Na_3PO_4	1.5
Sealed Samples				
A	1.2	0.66	2M NaOH , 2M NaCl	1.0
B	1.2	0.66	2M NaOH , 2M NaNO_3	1.0
C	1.2	0.66	2M NaOH , 2M NaNO_2	1.0

The reductive capacity of the BFS was determined using a slightly modified version of the Angus and Glasser method.¹⁸ The BFS (~0.5 g) was slurried in 5-10 mL of water to which was added 25.0 mL of 0.059 M $(\text{NH}_4)_4\text{Ce}(\text{SO}_4)_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 2 M sulfuric acid. After 1 hour, the solution was titrated with freshly prepared 0.050 M $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Fe}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ in 0.75 M sulfuric acid. The end point was determined using 0.25 mL of 0.025 M Fe(II) tris-(1,10-phenanthroline) complex.^{19,20} The reductive capacity of the BFS sample was 0.82(1) meq g⁻¹ as determined from the difference in the volume of Fe(II) solution needed to titrate 25.0 mL of the Ce(IV) solution alone and with the BFS.

XAFS spectra were acquired at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) at Beamline 4-1 using a Si(220) double crystal monochromator detuned 50% to reduce the higher order harmonic content of the beam. All ⁹⁹Tc samples were triply contained inside sealed polyethylene vessels. X-ray absorption spectra were obtained in fluorescence yield mode using a multi-pixel Ge-detector system.²¹ The spectra were energy calibrated using the first inflection point of the Tc K-edge spectrum of TcO_4^- adsorbed on Reillex-HPQTM anion exchange resin defined as 21044 eV. To determine the Tc K-edge absorption energies, the energies of the Tc K-edges at half height were used. EXAFS data analysis was performed by standard procedures²² using the EXAFSPAK suite of programs developed by G. George of SSRL as previously described.²³

The X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra were fit using the spectra of TcS_x , TcO_4^- , and $\text{TcO}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as standards. The fitting was done using the code “fites” developed by C. H. Booth.²⁴ The fit used 4 parameters and the XANES spectra had 19 independent data points (8 eV resolution).

The determination of the thickness of the oxidized region in samples exposed to atmosphere was carried out using the probability of detecting an X-ray photon from within a sample as described by Tröger et al.²⁵ The contribution, c , of a surface region of thickness, d , to the total fluorescent XANES signal is given in Eq 1, where $\mu(E)$ and $\mu(F)$ are the total absorption coefficients of the sample at the incident photon energy and the fluorescent photon energy, respectively, and θ and ϕ are the angles of the sample with respect to the incident photon beam and the fluorescent detector, respectively. For these experiments, $\mu(E)$ and $\mu(F)$ are

5.3 and 7.8 mm⁻¹, respectively, and were determined from the elemental composition of CWF given by Serne, et al.⁵

$$c = \frac{1}{K} \int_0^d e^{-Kx} dx \quad K = \frac{\mu(E)}{\sin \theta} + \frac{\mu(F)}{\sin \theta} \quad (1)$$

Results and Discussion

As discussed in the experimental section, two series of cement samples, “sealed” and “unsealed” were prepared. The speciation of technetium in these samples was determined using XAFS as the samples aged over a period of 4 years.

EXAFS studies of initial technetium speciation. A prerequisite for investigating the long-term behavior of technetium is identifying which technetium species are present. While it is obvious that TcO_4^- will be present under oxidizing conditions,¹⁶ the species present in grout under reducing conditions are less obvious. The hydrous Tc(IV) oxide, $\text{TcO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, results from the reduction of TcO_4^- in the absence of other ligands both in solution and in grout samples.^{14,26} In addition, sulfide, either added to the grout as Na_2S or BFS, reduces TcO_4^- to a lower-valent technetium sulfide species thought to be similar to TcS_2 .¹⁴ Interestingly, the reaction of sulfide with TcO_4^- in alkaline solution is a known route to Tc_2S_7 ,²⁷ which is generally believed to be the technetium species present in reducing CWFs.^{15,27} While these results appear to be contradictory, the inconsistency is largely due to the Tc(VII) oxidation state implied by the stoichiometry of Tc_2S_7 . If Tc_2S_7 is not actually a Tc(VII) sulfide complex but a lower-valent disulfide complex, no contradiction exists between these previous studies. Although Tc_2S_7 is generally assumed to be a Tc(VII) compound, this assumption has never been examined.¹³

To identify the technetium sulfide species present in reducing grouts, the Tc K-edge EXAFS spectra of the unsealed samples were examined shortly after they were prepared. Only these samples contained a single technetium species. All other samples, including these samples at later times, contained more than

one technetium species. The unsealed samples initially had identical Tc K-edge EXAFS spectra, as shown in Figure 1. The parameters derived by fitting the spectra, listed in Table 2, are also comparable. Therefore, in addition to containing only one technetium species, all of these samples contain the same technetium species, which will be termed TcS_x.

Table 2: Initial technetium coordination environment in the unsealed samples

Scattering Atom		Sample			
		1	2	3	4
S	N	7.4(2)	7.9(2)	7.3(2)	7.8(2)
	R	2.367(2)	2.364(2)	2.371(2)	2.367(2)
	σ^2	0.0108(4)	0.0115(3)	0.0111(3)	0.0121(3)
Tc	N	2.1(1)	2.0(2)	2.0(2)	2.0(2)
	R	2.767(2)	2.766(2)	2.774(2)	2.770(2)
	σ^2	0.0073(6)	0.0071(4)	0.0074(4)	0.0071(4)
Tc	N	0.22(8)	0.25(4)	0.24(4)	0.25(5)
	R	3.82(2)	3.841(9)	3.840(9)	3.87(1)
	σ^2	0.003(1)	0.0023(5)	0.0023(5)	0.0023(6)
Tc	N	0.78 ^a	0.75 ^a	0.76 ^a	0.75 ^a
	R	4.28(1)	4.295(7)	4.291(1)	4.317(8)
	σ^2	0.003 ^a	0.0023 ^a	0.0023 ^a	0.0023 ^a
S	N	2.5(5)	2.9(3)	2.5(3)	2.7(4)
	R	4.41(2)	4.44(1)	4.44(1)	4.47(1)
	σ^2	0.005 ^b	0.005 ^b	0.005 ^b	0.005 ^b
ΔE_0		-7.1(4)	-10.0(3)	-9.9(3)	-9.7(4)

a) Parameter determined by the corresponding parameter in the preceding shell.

b) Parameter fixed.

Figure 1: Tc K-edge EXAFS spectra (left) and their Fourier transforms (right) of the technetium species initially present in grouts prepared by initially reducing the TcO_4^- with excess sodium sulfide. Data is shown in red and the fits are shown in black. Sample numbers are indicated next to the traces.

The coordination environment of TcS_x can be described by considering the first two and last three coordination shells separately. The first two coordination shells, which comprise the large feature in the Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra, consist of ~ 7 sulfur neighbors at 2.37 Å and 2 technetium nearest neighbors at 2.77 Å. These distances and coordination numbers are similar to those of the molybdenum sulfide complex, $\text{Mo}_3\text{S}(\text{S}_2)_6^{2-}$, shown in Figure 2, in which each molybdenum center has 7 sulfur and 2 molybdenum neighbors at 2.44 and 2.72 Å, respectively.²⁸ The $\text{Mo}_3(\square^3\text{-S})(\square\text{-S}_2)_3$ core of this complex forms the building block of the MoS_3 structure,²⁹ which has an EXAFS spectrum similar to that of TcS_x .^{30,31} The nearest neighbor environments in both compounds are analogous; in MoS_3 , each molybdenum center has ~ 6 sulfur neighbors at 2.44 Å and 2 molybdenum neighbors at 2.75 Å. The similarities of the distances and coordination numbers of the first two coordination shells of MoS_3 , $\text{Mo}_3\text{S}(\text{S}_2)_6^{2-}$ and TcS_x strongly suggest that the TcS_x structure is built from the same triangular core, $\text{Tc}_3(\square^3\text{-S})(\square\text{-S}_2)_3$ as shown in Figure 2, with two additional sulfur-based ligands linking adjacent triangular

clusters. Furthermore, the 2.77 Å Tc-Tc distance is typical for such a triangular complex composed of seven-coordinate metal centers; analogous triangular complexes with six-coordinate metal centers have substantially shorter metal-metal distances.³²

Figure 2: Structures of $\text{Mo}_3\text{S}(\text{S}_2)_6^{2-}$ and the analogous $\text{Tc}_3\text{S}(\text{S}_2)_3$ core that forms the building block of TcS_x metal atoms are illustrated by solid circles, sulfur atoms are illustrated by open circles.

The last three coordination shells, which form the small features at higher R in the Fourier transform, result from the next nearest neighbors of the technetium center. Each technetium has a next-nearest technetium neighbor at either 3.85 Å (~25% of the technetium centers) or 4.3 Å (~75% of the technetium centers), and has ~3 additional sulfur neighbors at 4.4 Å due to ligands on adjacent Tc centers. The two different Tc-Tc distances suggest that different ligands bridge the technetium centers. Since the presence of 7 first shell sulfur neighbors requires that each technetium center has an additional 2 sulfur ligands in addition to those that comprise the $\text{Tc}_3\text{S}(\text{S}_2)_3$ core, possible identities of the bridging ligands are two bridging sulfide (or hydrosulfide) ligands or an edge-bound disulfide similar to the bridging disulfide of the $\text{Tc}_3(\square^3\text{-S})(\square\text{-S}_2)_3$ cluster without the Tc-Tc bond. The Tc-Tc distance of two technetium centers symmetrically bridged by an edge-bound disulfide ligand would be close to 4.3 Å. In a similar copper complex,³³ two Cu centers are separated by 4.03 Å, but the Tc-S bonds in TcS_x are 0.1 Å longer than the Cu-S bonds. Moreover, the S-S distance of this bridging disulfide, determined from the Tc-Tc and Tc-S distances, is 2.0 Å, typical for a bridging disulfide.^{28,33} For these reasons, the 4.3 Å Tc-Tc distance is assigned to two Tc centers symmetrically bridged by a disulfide ligand.

The 3.85 Å Tc-Tc distance could be due to either two bridging sulfide or hydrosulfide ligands. If the Tc and S atoms are coplanar, the Tc-Tc and Tc-S distances produce a Tc-S-Tc angle of 109°. Although few families of complexes exist in which the parameters for bridging sulfide and hydrosulfide ligands can be compared directly, a M-S-M angle of 109° is more typical of a bridging sulfide than of a hydrosulfide, which generally have M-(SH)-M angles of ~100°. ³⁴⁻³⁶ For this reason, the 3.85 Å Tc-Tc distance is assigned to two Tc centers symmetrically bridged by two sulfide ligands. Overall, the EXAFS data is consistent with a TcS_x structure composed of triangular Tc₃(□³-S)(□-S₂)₃ clusters linked by either bridging disulfide or by two bridging sulfide ligands as shown in Figure 2.

The structure of TcS_x, derived from EXAFS and shown in Figure 2, yields a stoichiometry of Tc₃S₂(S₂)₄ or Tc₃S₁₀, which is almost identical to that determined for Tc₂S₇ prepared under similar conditions, TcS_{3.2}. ²⁷ Since the conditions used to prepare these samples are analogous to those used to prepare Tc₂S₇, it seems likely that TcS_x is actually Tc₂S₇. However, the technetium centers in TcS_x are clearly not heptavalent. As dictated by the ligands, TcS_x is a Tc(IV) compound, which is consistent with its Tc-K edge absorption energy, 6.5 eV below that of TcO₄⁻. For comparison, the energies of the Tc-K edges of Tc(IV) complexes with oxygen coordination shells occur at ~5.5 eV below that of TcO₄⁻. ²³ Consequently, the technetium sulfide species present in reducing containing grouts, TcS_x, appears to be Tc₂S₇ as previously suggested; ^{15,27} however, the technetium centers in TcS_x are tetravalent in agreement with the previous XAFS analysis. ¹⁴

Evolution of technetium speciation determined by XANES spectroscopy. The speciation of technetium in the grout samples was determined by least squares fitting of the XANES spectra using the XANES spectra of TcO₂•xH₂O, TcO₄⁻, and TcS_x as components. This method is analogous to those previously described by Ressler et al. and Sarrao et al., which have been shown to yield quantitative speciation information. ^{24,37} The evolution of technetium speciation in the unsealed and sealed samples is addressed separately.

Unsealed samples. As described in the previous section, the technetium speciation in all the unsealed samples is initially identical since all samples contain only TcS_x. However, as the samples age, their

XANES spectra change as shown in Figure 3, which also shows the deconvolution of the XANES spectrum of an aged sample. As is clear from the figure, the agreement between the fit and data is excellent for the unsealed samples. The mole fraction of TcO_4^- in these samples is reported in Table 3 and shown in Figure 4 as a function of the age of the sample. The scatter of the data shown in Figure 4 is much greater than the error in the measurement and is believed to arise from the spatial inhomogeneity of the technetium speciation in these samples. The origin of this inhomogeneity will be discussed later. Unfortunately, this large degree of scatter results in a correspondingly large standard deviation in the rate of oxidation of Tc(IV) in the samples. The rates of oxidation of the technetium in samples 1-3 are statistically identical, and oxidation of sample 4, which contained no NO_3^- or NO_2^- , was slightly faster. The presence of NO_3^- and NO_2^- in these samples did not accelerate the oxidation of the Tc(IV) species.

Figure 3: (left) Evolution of the Tc-K edge XANES spectra of unsealed sample 1 as a function of age. The age of cement (in months) is given next to the corresponding spectrum. (right) Deconvolution of the XANES spectrum of a 45 month old sample. Data are shown in red and the least squares fit is shown in black.

Table 3: Mole fraction of TcO_4^- in the unsealed samples.

Age of sample (months)	Fraction TcO_4^- (percent)			
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
0.0	0.00(2)	0.5(4)	0.5(4)	0.4(5)
2.0	1(1)	0(2)	0(1)	0(2)
8.6	0(1)	0(2)	16(2)	0(1)
11.9	25(2)	0(2)	0(2)	0(2)
15.3	9(1)	0(5)	23(2)	16(2)
20.9	7(1)	26(1)	22(1)	31(1)
24.8	27(2)	1(7)	16(2)	24(1)
33.6	14(2)	39(1)	32(2)	42(1)
45.3	38(2)	27(1)	29(2)	49(2)
Rate of oxidation (% month ⁻¹)	0.7(2)	0.8(3)	0.7(2)	1.3(2)

Figure 4: Evolution of the mol. fraction of TcO_4^- in the unsealed samples as a function of age

Sealed samples. Unlike the unsealed samples, ~20% of the TcO_4^- in the sealed samples was not reduced to Tc(IV) at the beginning of the experiment. However, as the samples aged, the amount of TcO_4^- present decreased as shown in Figure 5 and reported in Table 4. The quality of the fit is not as good for these samples as it was for the unsealed samples; however, the errors are small. Unlike the unsealed samples, the sealed samples appear to be spatially homogenous since very little scatter is present in the fraction of TcO_4^- in these samples and the fraction of TcO_4^- varies little among the samples until samples A and C were exposed to air. The large increase in the amount of TcO_4^- observed in samples A and C at 26 months is due to exposure of these samples to atmosphere at 22 months; sample B remained sealed. As in the unsealed samples, the presence of NO_3^- has no observable effect on the speciation of technetium.

Table 4: Evolution of the mol. fraction of TcO_4^- in the sealed samples

Age of sample (months)	Fraction TcO_4^- (percent)		
	Sample A	Sample B	Sample C
0.0	19(3)	16(3)	20(3)
5.6	15(2)	8(3)	19(3)
9.5	5(2)	4(2)	9(3)
18.3	8(3)	5(2)	9(3)
30.0	41(2)	3(2)	56(2)

Figure 5: Evolution of the mol. fraction of TcO_4^- in the sealed samples as a function of age. Arrow indicates when samples A and C were opened (the fraction TcO_4^- at that point is assumed to be the same as previously determined at 18 months).

The data from both series of samples show that TcS_x in grout is unstable towards oxidation. As noted previously, both NO_3^- and O_2 could oxidize the lower-valent technetium species present in these grout samples. Since the presence of NO_3^- had no significant effect on the rate of oxidation of technetium in these samples, atmospheric O_2 is the likely oxidizing agent. This is also consistent with the spatial inhomogeneity of the technetium speciation present in the unsealed samples. The technetium speciation in the unsealed samples was initially homogenous: all of the technetium in each sample was present as TcS_x . However, as atmospheric O_2 diffused into the sample, TcS_x in the grout near the unsealed top of the cuvette was oxidized, while TcS_x further down in the sample remained reduced. Therefore, XANES experiments would reveal a different technetium oxidation state depending upon whether grout near the top of the cuvette was examined (TcO_4^-) or grout near the bottom was examined (TcS_x). The scatter of the data in Figure 4 is consistent with this spatial inhomogeneity of technetium speciation combined with the fact that the portions of the cement examined using XANES were randomly chosen.

The premise that O₂ is the actual oxidizer is strongly supported by the results from the sealed samples illustrated in Figure 5. Although the TcO₄⁻ in these samples was not initially reduced to TcS_x, the amount of TcO₄⁻ in these samples declined in later months presumably due to reduction by BFS. Furthermore, the technetium speciation in the sealed samples was spatially homogeneous. Although the technetium speciation evolved and the portions of the samples probed by XANES were randomly chosen, little scatter exists in the technetium speciation among the different samples. Since these samples were sealed, atmospheric O₂ was not present to oxidize the technetium species near the top of the cuvette, and technetium speciation did not vary with position. The most dramatic evidence for O₂ oxidation is the 40-50 % increase in the amount of TcO₄⁻ in the initially sealed samples after 4 months exposure to atmosphere.

One unexpected result is the appearance of TcO₂•2H₂O in the aged samples. Formation of TcO₂•2H₂O cannot result from the hydrolysis of TcS_x since this compound is stable to hydrolysis under the conditions present during the synthesis of the grout samples. Rather, the observation of TcO₂•2H₂O implies that the oxidation of TcS_x proceeds by initial oxidation to TcO₂•2H₂O that is then oxidized to TcO₄⁻ as shown in equations 2 and 3. This mechanism is consistent with the potentials for the reduction of SO₄²⁻ to S²⁻ (-0.67 V) and of TcO₄⁻ to TcO₂•2H₂O (-0.28 V) at pH 13. Although the detailed mechanism is more complex than this simple picture, oxidation of TcS_x to TcO₄⁻ proceeds with TcO₂•2H₂O as an intermediate.

The thickness of the oxidized region formed in the initially sealed samples after exposure to atmosphere can be determined using a variation of the method used to calculate X-ray self-absorption developed by Tröger, et al.²⁵ The observed 40% increase in TcO₄⁻ content over 4 months corresponds to the oxidation of the outer 0.28 mm of the sample. This thickness can be compared with the thickness of the oxidized region determined using the model developed by Smith and Walton, which is based upon an oxidized region formed by the diffusion and reaction of O₂ with the reduced species in CWFs.¹⁵ In this model, the

thickness of the oxidized region, X , is determined using equation 4 where C_{O_2} is the concentration of dissolved O_2 ($3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mol mL}^{-1}$), C_{red} is the concentration of reducing equivalents in the CWF in moles of electrons ($3.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol mL}^{-1}$ for a CWF composed of 28% BFS with a measured reducing capacity of 0.81 meq g^{-1}), t is time in s, D_{O_2} is the molar diffusion coefficient of O_2 in water ($2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and N_m is the MacMullin number defined above.¹⁵ The observed thickness, 0.28 mm, of the oxidized region in grout samples exposed to air corresponds to a N_m of 5.7×10^{-4} or a $D_{eff}(NO_3^-)$ of $8.8 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is within the range of $D_{eff}(NO_3^-)$ reported for CWFs. The observed rate of oxidation of the grout samples exposed to air is consistent with the simple reaction/diffusion model.

$$X = \sqrt{\frac{8N_m D_{O_2} C_{O_2} t}{C_{red}}} \quad (4)$$

The effect of oxidation by O_2 on an actual waste form, Saltstone, also can be illustrated using this model. In comparison to the grout sample, Saltstone has a smaller $D_{eff}(NO_3^-)$, from $1.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, but a similar C_{red} since Saltstone is prepared from the same BFS used to prepare the grout samples. Using a $D_{eff}(NO_3^-)$ of $5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, the thickness of the oxidized region would be 17 cm after one ^{99}Tc half-life (213,000 yr), and after ten half-lives, the oxidized region would be 53 cm thick. For comparison, the dimensions of a Savannah River Saltstone cell are 30.5 m $\times$ 30.5 m $\times$ 7.5 m.⁷ Therefore, approximately 4% of the technetium in the waste form would be oxidized after one ^{99}Tc half-life, and approximately 14% would be oxidized after ten half-lives based on the assumption that oxidation occurs at the top and bottom of the Saltstone cell. Cracking and flow of surface water through the CWF could greatly increase these numbers and the leaching of TcO_4^- by effectively decreasing the size of the Saltstone cell to the intercrack spacing,^{7,8} so this discussion is intended only to illustrate the difference between oxidation by O_2 , which produces an oxidized surface region with an increased $D_{eff}(^{99}\text{Tc})$, and oxidation by NO_3^- , which would result in an increased $D_{eff}(^{99}\text{Tc})$ throughout the entire volume of the waste. All of the results in this study indicate that the oxidation of Tc(IV) species in these grout samples is due solely to O_2 , and NO_3^- has no observable effect on the speciation of technetium in these samples. While these results do not show that NO_3^- is unreactive towards Tc(IV) in reducing grouts, this reaction occurs too slowly to be observed in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors thank Corwin Booth for helpful discussions about least-squares fitting of the XANES data and for the use of the code “fites”. The authors thank Christine Langton for providing the pulverized fly ash, BFS, and Portland cement used in this study. This work was supported by the Environmental Management Science Program of the Office of Science and Technology of the U. S. DOE and was performed at the Ernest O. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, which is operated by the U. S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. Portions of this research were carried out at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory, a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

REFERENCES

- (1) Gray, R. H.; Becker, C. D. *Environ. Manage.* **1993**, *17*, 461.
- (2) "Response to Requirement for Report to Congress Under Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001," Office of River Protection, 2000.
- (3) Oblath, S. B. "Relative Release Rates of Nitrate, Tc, Cs, and Sr from Saltstone," DPST-84-620, Savannah River Laboratory, 1984.
- (4) Oblath, S. B. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1989**, *23*, 1098.
- (5) Serne, R. J.; Lokken, R. O.; Criscenti, L. J. *Waste Manage.* **1992**, *12*, 271.
- (6) National Research Council. *Research Needs for High-Level Waste Stored in Tanks and Bins at U.S. Department of Energy Sites*; National Academy Press: Washington, D.C., 2001.
- (7) Seitz, R. R.; Walton, J. C.; Dicke, C. A.; Cook, J. R. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1993**, *294*, 731.
- (8) Kaplan, D. I.; Serne, R. J. *Radiochim. Acta* **1998**, *81*, 117.
- (9) Gilliam, T. M.; Spence, R. D.; Bostick, W. D.; Shoemaker, J. L. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **1990**, *24*, 189.
- (10) Taffinder, G. G.; Batchelor, B. J. *Environ. Eng.* **1993**, *119*, 17.

- (11) Langton, C. A. "Challenging Applications for Hydrated and Chemically Reacted Ceramics," DP-MS--88-163, Savannah River Laboratory, 1988.
- (12) Yeh, B. S.; Wills, G. B. *J. Chem. Engin. Data* **1970**, *15*, 187.
- (13) Rard, J. A.; Rand, M. H.; Anderegg, G.; Wanner, H. *Chemical Thermodynamics of Technetium*; Elsevier Science: Amsterdam, 1999.
- (14) Allen, P. G.; Siemering, G. S.; Shuh, D. K.; Bucher, J. J.; Edelstein, N. M.; Langton, C. A.; Clark, S. B.; Reich, T.; Denecke, M. A. *Radiochim. Acta* **1997**, *76*, 77.
- (15) Smith, R. W.; Walton, J. C. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1993**, *294*, 247.
- (16) Colton, R. *The Chemistry of Technetium and Rhenium*; Interscience Publishers: New York, 1965.
- (17) Bajt, S.; Clark, S. B.; Sutton, S. R.; Rivers, M. L.; Smith, J. V. *Anal. Chem.* **1993**, *65*, 1800.
- (18) Angus, M. J.; Glasser, F. P. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1985**, *50*, 547.
- (19) Walden, G. H.; Hammett, L. P.; Chapman, R. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1931**, *53*, 3908.
- (20) Smeller, J. A. *Amer. Lab. News* **1999**, *October*, 6.
- (21) Bucher, J. J.; Allen, P. G.; Edelstein, N. M.; Shuh, D. K.; Madden, N. W.; Cork, C.; Luke, P.; Pehl, D.; Malone, D. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **1996**, *67*, 4.
- (22) Koningsberger, D. C.; Prins, R. *X-Ray Absorption: Principles, Applications, Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS, and XANES*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988.
- (23) Lukens, W. W.; Bucher, J. J.; Edelstein, N. M.; Shuh, D. K. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36*, 1124.
- (24) Sarrao, J. L.; Immer, C. D.; Fisk, Z.; Booth, C. H.; Figueroa, E.; Lawrence, J. M.; Modler, R.; Cornelius, A. L.; Hundley, M. F.; Kwei, G. H.; Thompson, J. D.; Bridges, F. *Phys. Rev. B* **1999**, *59*, 6855.

- (25) Tröger, L.; Arvanitis, D.; Baberschke, K.; Michaelis, H.; Grimm, U.; Zschech, E. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46*, 3283.
- (26) Cartledge, G. H. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1971**, *118*, 231.
- (27) Lee, S. Y.; Bondietti, E. A. *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* **1983**, *15*, 315.
- (28) Müller, A.; Pohl, S.; M., D.; Cohen, J. P.; Bennett, J. M.; Kirchner, R. M. *Z. Naturforsch.* **1979**, *34b*, 434.
- (29) Weber, T.; Muijsers, J. C.; Niemantsverdriet, J. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 9194.
- (30) Hibble, S. J.; Rice, D. A.; Pickup, D. M.; Beer, M. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 5109.
- (31) Cramer, S. P.; Liang, K. S.; Jacobson, A. J.; Chang, C. H.; Chianelli, R. R. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 1215.
- (32) Müller, A.; Jostes, R.; Cotton, F. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1980**, *19*, 875.
- (33) Fujisawa, K.; Moro-oka, Y.; Kitajima, N. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 623.
- (34) Mueting, A. M.; Boyle, P.; Pignolet, L. H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 44.
- (35) Bianchini, C.; Mealli, C.; Meli, A.; Sabat, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1986**, *25*, 4617.
- (36) Pleus, R. J.; Waden, H.; Saak, W.; Haase, D.; Pohl, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1999**, 2601.
- (37) Ressler, T.; Wong, J.; Roos, J.; Smith, I. L. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34*, 950.