High-Resolution Mineralogical Characterization and Biogeochemical Modeling of Uranium Reduction Pathways at the NABIR Field-Research Site

> DOE Grant FG02–ER03764 02/01/2004 – 01/31/2006

Final Technical Report: DOE/ER/63764–1

Prepared by David R. Veblen Morton K. Blaustein Professor & Chair Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218 In our proof-of-concept work, we have extensively studied two samples from Area 3 (FWB103-00-40E, 39.5 ft depth, and FWB103-00-42D, 42 ft) using a electron microprobe analys (EMPA) and variety of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) methods: conventional TEM amplitude-contrast imaging, high-resolution (HRTEM imaging), selected-area electron diffraction (SAED), convergent-beam electron diffraction (CBED), energy-dispersive X-ray emission spectroscopy (EDS), electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS), and chemical mapping by energy-filtered TEM (EFTEM). Since we have already published this work in the premier journal *Environmental Science and Technology* (Stubbs et al., 2006), only a brief summary of this work will be given here.

The primary conclusion of our study was that there are at least three, distinct mineralogical hosts for uranium in the Area 3 core samples we studied: (1) iron oxides (including oxyhydroxides), (2) mixed Mn–Fe oxides; and (3) a discrete uranium phosphate mineral having



U:P \cong 1:1 (consistent with minerals in the autunite and meta-autunite groups). As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the Fe oxides occur as two texturally different forms: pseudomorphs after pyrite (FeS₂) within weathered shale and freefloating particles in the very fine-grained

Figure 1. (a) Bright-field TEM image of iron oxide pseudomorph occurring within shale. (b-e) SAED and EDS collected from (b) hematite core with no detectable U; (c) ferrihydrite rim, which contains appreciable U and P, as well as Cr; (d) goethite halo containing lesser amounts of U and P, as well as Cr; and (e) surrounding clay matrix with no detectable U (Stubbs et al., 2006a).







Figure 3. Uranium-containing Mn-Fe oxides. Left: Bright-field TEM image of a small particle from fines, containing U, Ba, and Pb. Right: Backscattered electron image of botryoidal, vein-filling morphology in coherent shale, containing U and Ba.

unconsolidated portions of the samples. Similarly, the mixed Mn–Fe oxides occur as both free particles and vein fillings in coherent shale (Fig. 3). Morphologically, most of the loose particles are consistent with a phyllomanganate, whereas the vein-filling materials are probably chain and tunnel structured oxides. Perhaps the most interesting mineral host for uranium is the discrete U-phosphate mineral that occurs within coherent pieces of shale (Fig. 4). As noted above, its chemical composition is consistent with a mineral of the autunite or meta-autunite groups, and it's morphology is also consistent with these uranyl phosphate layer minerals (Suzuki et al., 2005).



Figure 4. (a) and (c) BSE showing uranium phosphates. (b) and (d) EDS of uranium phosphates. Other peaks in spectra may result from difficulty in isolating phases under the beam (Stubbs et al., 2006a).

It is interesting to note, and perhaps very significant, that in all of these different hosts uranium is always associated with phosphate. Immobilization of uranium by phosphorus has been observed previously in nature (Jerden and Sinha, 2003; Jerden et al., 2003; Murakami et al., 2005) and could also be an important process at the Oak Ridge FRC.

References

Buck, E.C., and J.K. Bates (1999). Microanalysis of colloids and suspended particles from nuclear waste glass alteration. *Applied Geochemistry*, v. 14, pp. 635-653.

Buck, E.C., P.A. Finn, and J.K. Bates (2004). Electron energy-loss spectroscopy of anomalous plutonium behavior in nuclear waste materials. *Micron*, v. 35, pp. 235-243.

Colella, M., G.R. Lumpkin, Z. Zhang, E.C. Buck, and K.L. Smith (2005). Determination of the uranium valence state in the brannerite structure using EELS, XPS and EDX. *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, v. 32, pp. 52-64.

Curran G., Y. Sevestre, W. Rattray, P. Allen, and K.R. Czerwinski (2003). Characterization of zirconia-thoria-urania ceramics by x-ray and electron interactions. *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, v. 323, pp. 41-48.

Douglas, M., S.B. Clark, J.I. Friese, B.W. Arey, E.C. Buck, and B.D. Hanson (2005). Neptunium(V) partitioning to uranium(VI) oxide and peroxide solids. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, v. 39, pp. 4117-4124.

Douglas, M., S.B. Clark, J.I. Friese, B.W. Arey, E.C. Buck, B.D. Hanson, S. Utsunomiya, R.C. Ewing (2005). Microscale characterization of uranium(VI) silicate solids and associated neptunium(V). *Radiochimica Acta*, v. 93, pp. 265-272.

Jerden, J.L. and A.K. Sinha (2003). Phosphate based immobilization of uranium in an oxidizing bedrock aquifer. *Applied Geochemistry*, v. 18, pp. 823-843.

Jerden, J.L., A.K. Sinha, and L. Zelazny (2003). Natural immobilization of uranium by phosphate mineralization in an oxidizing saprolite-soil profile: chemical weathering of the Coles Hill uranium deposit, Virginia. *Chemical Geology*, v. 199, pp. 129-157.

Moore, K.T., G. van der Lann, R.G. Haire, M.A. Wall, and A.J. Schwartz (2006). Oxidation and aging in U and Pu probed by spin-orbit sum rule analysis: indication for covalent metal-oxide bonds. *Physical Review*, v. 73, Article No. 033109.

Murakami, T., T. Sato, T. Ohnuki, and H. Isobe (2005). Field evidence for uranium nanocrystallization and its implications for uranium transport. *Chemical Geology*, v. 221, pp. 117-126.

Stubbs, J.E., D.C. Elbert, D.R. Veblen, and C. Zhu (2006). Electron microbeam investigation of uranium-contaminated soils from Oak Ridge, TN, USA. *Environ. Sci. & Technol*, v. 40, 2108-2113.