

OCT 15 1963

Facsimile Price \$ 1.10

Microfilm Price \$.80

Available from the
Office of Technical Services
Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D. C.

This paper was submitted for publication in the open literature at least 6 months prior to the issuance date of this Microcard. Since the U.S.A.E.C. has no evidence that it has been published, the paper is being distributed in Microcard form as a preprint.

BNL 7329

MASTER

Rare Gas Isotope Contents in Mineral Fractions of the Indarch Meteorite*

E. L. Fireman[‡]

Chemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, L. I., New York
and Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts

and

O. A. Schaeffer

Chemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, L. I., New York

We have measured the rare gas isotopes in mineral concentrates of the Indarch meteorite. We obtained samples from C. Frondel, who crushed some of the meteorite into small particles mainly in the 44 to 88 micron size. He fractionated the minerals into groups according to specific gravity, using heavy liquids and magnetic techniques. The fraction with specific gravity less than 2.4 is approximately 95% tridymite and represents about 1% of the whole stone. The fraction with specific gravity between 2.4 and 2.8 contains calcium sulfide, calcium phosphate, two unidentified minerals, and tridymite and enstatite impurities. This fraction represents a few percent of the meteorite. The fraction with specific gravity between 2.8 and 3.3 consists largely of clinoenstatite and represents about 75% of the meteorite. The troilite is concentrated in the fraction with specific gravity greater than 3.3, and kamacite is concentrated in the magnetic fraction. Each represents about 10% of the stone. The magnetic fraction is coarsest. Frondel plans to publish more detailed descriptions of the mineral fractions.

We heated samples of 45 to 182 mg weight in a molybdenum crucible by an R.F. generator. Most of the sample evaporated during the heating process.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

We cleaned the released gas with a titanium getter at 950°C, condensed the xenon in a glass trap at liquid nitrogen temperature, and then trapped the argon and krypton on charcoal at liquid nitrogen temperature. The rare gases were measured in a high sensitivity mass spectrometer under static conditions [Schaeffer, 1959]. The mass spectrometer was calibrated before each measurement by adding known amounts of He³, He⁴, atmospheric neon and argon.

The sensitivity of the mass spectrometer varied less than 5% during the entire series of measurements. The calibrations for krypton and xenon sensitivity were determined from earlier measurements where a comparison could be made with radioactivity measurements on Ar³⁷, Kr⁷⁹, and Xe¹²⁷. The accuracy of the krypton calibration is 20%; the accuracy of the xenon calibration is 50%. The total xenon content was only measured in one stone sample and in one sample of the mineral fraction with specific gravity less than 2.4. We measured the ratios of the xenon isotopes in every sample.

We have presented our results in Tables 1 and 2, together with previous measurements on whole stone samples on Indarch by Reynolds [1960] and by Zähringer [1961]. Our results lead to several interesting conclusions. These are:

- (1) The radiogenic argon-40 is highly concentrated in the ^{s.g.} 2.4 to 2.8 fraction. As a result, we conclude that potassium is concentrated in this fraction.
- (2) The helium-4 content is surprisingly uniform. This indicates that there are no large variations in the uranium and thorium contents of the fractions and that there are no large variations in helium-4 diffusion loss.
- (3) A large part of the cosmogenic helium-3 and neon-21 ^{has been} lost by diffusion from the tridymite and the 2.4 to 2.8 fractions. It appears that the helium-4 is not in the major mineral component of these fractions.

- (4) The cosmogenic argon-38 is highest in the 2.4 to 2.8 fraction. ^{Therefore} a large percentage of the argon-38 is produced by cosmic ray interactions on potassium and calcium.
- (5) The krypton is concentrated in the tridymite fraction. In view of the uniformity of the Ne^{20} and Ar^{36} , one can conclude that primordial krypton is concentrated in this fraction.
- (6) The xenon-129 anomaly is highest in the enstatite fraction and lowest in the tridymite fraction. The xenon-132 contents of the whole rock and tridymite fractions are similar. This may support the xenon-129 to iodine correlation obtained in heating experiments by Jeffery and Reynolds [1961].

One of us, ELF, would like to express his thanks to the hospitality extended to him during his stay in the Brookhaven Chemistry Department in the course of this work.

* Research performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

‡ Permanent address: Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

References

- Jeffery, P. M., and J. H. Reynolds, Z. Naturforsch., 16a, 431, 1961.
- Reynolds, J. H., Z. Naturforsch., 15a, 1112, 1960.
- Schaeffer, O. A., Brookhaven National Laboratory Report BNL 581(T-161), 1959.
- Zähringer, J., Z. Naturforsch., 17a, 460, 1962.

Table 1. Rare Gas Isotope Contents (10^{-8} cc/g) in Mineral Fractions of the Indarch Meteorite

Specific Gravity	Sample	Helium		Neon			Argon			Kr ⁸⁴ ‡	Xe ¹³²
	Weight	3	4	20	21	22	36	38	40		
	mg										
Whole stone	154	12.5	350	7.4	4.1	5.1	7.0	1.9	7,000	0.08	---
" "	92	12.5	390	6.7	3.9	4.6	6.7	1.6	6,000	0.08	0.06
Less than 2.4	45	1.49	530	---	0.35	0.5	5.2	1.36	2,200	0.21	---
" " "	48	1.07	575	---	0.43	0.5	5.3	1.47	2,300	0.24	0.08
2.4 to 2.8	79	4.64	880	6.1	1.68	2.3	7.4	3.9	23,800	0.04	---
2.8 to 3.3	182	13.3	340	5.5	5.6	6.1	6.2	1.7	5,900	0.04	---
Greater than 3.3	113	8.0	260	2.3	2.4	2.7	5.0	1.17	3,600	0.04	---
Magnetic	99	11.6	290	2.7	3.3	3.8	4.8	1.28	3,750	0.03	---
Whole stone, Zahringer [1962]	---	13	1270	7.7	3.6	4.5	6.3	1.6	5,620	0.04	0.07

‡ The krypton isotopes in each fraction have natural abundances.

Table 2. The Ratios, Xe^i/Xe^{132} , in Mineral Fractions of the Indarch Meteorite

Specific Gravity	Sample	Xenon Isotope Ratios					
	Weight mg	128/132	129/132	130/132	131/132	134/132	136/132
Whole stone	154	0.10	3.60	0.18	0.84	0.38	0.30
" "	92	0.1	3.30	0.17	0.82	0.38	0.32
Less than 2.4	45	0.1	1.65	0.17	0.80	0.45	0.31
" " "	48	0.1	1.73	0.22	0.86	0.52	0.35
2.4 to 2.8	79	0.11	2.96	0.21	0.84	0.38	0.30
2.8 to 3.3	182	0.15	4.93	0.19	0.89	0.35	0.29
Greater than 3.3	113	0.25	2.1	0.18	0.91	0.47	0.28
Magnetic	99	0.28	3.2	0.25	1.0	0.45	0.35
Whole stone, Reynolds [1960]	1830	---	3.43 ± 0.02	0.160 ± 0.001	0.808 ± 0.005	0.390 ± 0.002	0.334 ± 0.002
Whole stone, Zähringer [1962]	---	---	4.0	---	---	---	---
Atmosphere		0.0713	0.983	0.152	0.788	0.388	0.330