OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operated by

UNION CARBIDE NUCLEAR COMPANY

Division of Union Carbide Corporation

Post Office Box X
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

MASTER

ORNL
CENTRAL FILES NUMBER

58-10-60

DATE: October 17, 1958
SUBJECT: Survey of Low Enrichment
Molten-Salt Reactors
TO: Listed Distribution
FROM: H. G. MacPherson

COPY NO. 41

External Transmittal
Authorized

~~Distribution Limited to
Recipients Indicated~~

Abstract

A rough survey of the nuclear characteristics of graphite-moderated molten-salt reactors utilizing an initial complement of low enrichment uranium fuel has been made. Reactors can be constructed with initial enrichments as low as 1.25% U-235; initial conversion ratios of as high as 0.8 can be obtained with enrichment of less than 2%. Highly enriched uranium would be added as make-up fuel, and such reactors could probably be operated for burnups as high as 60,000 Mwd/ton before buildup of fission products would make replacement of the fuel desirable. A typical circulating fuel reactor of this class might contain an initial inventory of 3600 tons of 1.8% enriched uranium, operated at 640 Mw (thermal), and generate a net of 260 Mw (electrical). The total fuel cycle cost would be approximately 1.3 mills/kwhr, of which 1.0 mill is burnup of enriched U-235.

NOTICE

This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

The information is not to be abstracted, reprinted or otherwise given public dissemination without the approval of the ORNL Patent Branch, Legal and Information Control Department.

APPROVED BY

PATENT DEPARTMENT

10-23-58

DATE

SIGNATURE

W. H. Oline

6641

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

SURVEY OF LOW ENRICHMENT MOLTEN-SALT REACTORS

To survey the field of low enrichment graphite-moderated reactors a number of calculations have been made with the four-factor formula $k_{\infty} = \eta \epsilon p f$. The following fuel salt was considered:

	mole %
UF ₄	20
Li ⁷ F	70
BeF ₂	10

This salt has a melting point of about 900°F. It is probably more corrosive than one mole % fuel, but is probably satisfactory for use with INOR-8. The atomic concentrations were as follows at 600 - 650°F, per L. A. Mann:

Li	- 175.7 x 10 ²⁰	atoms/cc
Be	- 25.12 x 10 ²⁰	atoms/cc
U	- 50.22 x 10 ²⁰	atoms/cc
F	- 426.8 x 10 ²⁰	atoms/cc

The slowing down power of the salt is 0.0228 cm⁻¹, composed of contribution as follows:

Fluorine	.0149
Li	.0051
Be	.0028

The concentration of UF₄ is 2.62 g/cc; that of uranium is 1.98 g/cc. Graphite was assumed to be of density 1.7, with 8.54 x 10²⁰ atoms/cc, and a slowing down power of 0.0631 cm⁻¹. The graphite was assumed to have a $\sigma_a = 0.0045$ barns.

In the four-factor formula, η is taken for convenience to be that for U-235, while the thermal utilization factor f is defined as the proportion of thermal absorption in U-235. Thus, using cross sections derived from Fig. 2.3, page 2.20, of ORNL-2500, Part 2, η for U-235 is taken as $2.47 \times \frac{528}{650}$ or $\eta = 2.00$. Effective thermal fission cross sections and absorption cross sections are assumed to be 528 barns and 650 barns, respectively, corresponding to neutron temperatures of 600°C.

The fast fission factor was assumed to be 1.02, since the values calculated for graphite lattices vary from 1.02 to 1.04. This assumption is sufficiently accurate for survey purposes.

For the calculation of the resonance escape probability, the resonance integral was calculated from,

$$\sigma_r = 3.8 \left(\frac{\Sigma_s}{N_o} \right)^{0.42} + 24.7 \frac{S}{M}$$

In this formula, $\frac{\Sigma_s}{N_o}$ is the scattering cross section in barns per uranium atom within the fuel channel, and $\frac{S}{M}$ is the surface area of the fuel channel per gram of U-238 in the channel. The first term is the same as the resonance integral for an infinite medium of the fuel salt composition. This formula, involving the first power of $\frac{S}{M}$ instead of $\sqrt{\frac{S}{\eta}}$, was used because it is more logically extrapolated to the case of dilution of uranium with fuel salt. For uranium metal this reduces to the familiar $\sigma_r = 9.25 \times 24.7 \frac{S}{M}$. In the fuel considered here, $\frac{\Sigma_s}{N_o} = 43.3$, and $\sigma_r = 18.5 + 24.7 \frac{S}{M}$.

A series of reactors having tubular fuel channels on a square array is considered. The spacing of these channels was arbitrarily taken as 8 in. center to center, and the calculations are made for various volume fractions of fuel in the graphite from 0.05 to 0.25. The following table gives the fuel channel diameter, the value of $\frac{S}{M}$, the value of σ_r , and the value of the resonance escape probability p for the different volume fractions. p is calculated from $p = e^{-A}$ where A is given by,

$$A = \frac{N_u \sigma_r F}{(1 - F)(\xi \Sigma_s)_g + F(\xi \Sigma_s)_{\text{salt}}}$$

where N_u is the U-238 atom density in the fuel channel and F is the volume fraction of fuel in the core. Numerically,

$$A = \frac{50.22 \times 10^{20} \sigma_r F \times 10^{-24}}{0.0631 (-1-F) + 0.0228 F}$$

Table I

<u>F</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>S/M</u>	<u>σ_r</u>	<u>p</u>	<u>$\eta \epsilon p$</u>
.05	2.08 in.	.382	27.95 barns	0.891	1.82
.075	2.54	.313	26.25	0.848	1.73
.10	2.94	.271	25.20	0.807	1.65
.15	3.60	.221	23.97	0.733	1.495
.20	4.15	.192	23.25	0.653	1.332
.25	4.65	.171	22.73	0.584	1.191

The thermal utilization factor is given by,

$$f = \frac{F e N_u \hat{\sigma}_a^u}{F e N_u \hat{\sigma}_a^u + F N_u \sigma_a^{238} + F \Sigma_a^{\text{salt}} + (1 - F) \Sigma_a^g \gamma}$$

where e is the enrichment, $\hat{\sigma}_a^u$ is the effective absorption cross section for U-235, and γ is the thermal flux disadvantage factor. For this survey, γ is assumed to be 2.0, which seems a reasonable value based on ORNL-2500. This simplifying assumption was made to avoid calculating the flux distribution, and probably is the roughest approximation made in this survey. Numerically the above equation reduces to,

$$f = \frac{3.22 F e}{3.22 F e + 0.0137 F + 0.0028 F + 0.000768 (1 - F)}$$

An easy computational form is,

$$\frac{1}{f} = 1 + \frac{0.0157 + \frac{0.00077}{F}}{3.22 e}$$

To calculate the enrichment necessary to achieve a given k_∞ , the following transformations are made,

$$k_\infty = \eta \epsilon p f$$

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{\eta \epsilon p}{k_\infty}$$

$$\text{and } e = \frac{0.0157 + \frac{0.00077}{F}}{3.22 \left(\frac{\eta \epsilon p}{k_\infty} - 1 \right)}$$

The conversion factor is calculated as follows,

$$R_c = \frac{(1 - p) + p \times (\text{proportion of thermal absorptions in U-238})}{p \times (\text{proportion of thermal absorptions in U-235})}$$

$$\text{From } k_\infty, B^2 \text{ is obtained from } B^2 = \frac{k_\infty - 1}{M^2}$$

and M^2 is taken as,

$$M^2 = \frac{\tau_{\text{graphite}}}{1 - F} + L_{\text{graphite}}^2 \times (\text{proportion of thermal absorptions occurring in graphite})$$

The correction for τ_{graphite} is an approximate one, but not too important numerically. τ_{graphite} is taken as 324 cm^2 and L_{graphite}^2 is 2950 cm^2 .

From B^2 the dimensions of a minimum volume cylindrical core were calculated using a reflector savings of 2 ft. This is less than used in ORNL-2500, but may still be optimistic because some fuel must be used in the reflector to cool it.

Table II gives the results of calculations for six values of the volume fraction of fuel in the core and for two values of k_{∞} . The volume of fuel in the core should be evaluated in terms of the external volume for a circulating fuel reactor, which is about 0.56 cu ft per thermal megawatt, or 360 cu ft for a 260 Mw (electrical) plant. It is evident that one must pay for conversion ratios above 0.8 with enrichments of over 2%.

The selection of an economically optimum reactor of this type requires a knowledge of the method of chemical reprocessing and its cost, and a way of calculating the effect of poisoning by buildup of fission products. The latter problem was looked at briefly, using as a basis the calculations of Blomeke and Todd (ORNL-2127), and assuming a buildup of Pu sub infinity as defined in the Brookhaven Geneva Paper 461. For the very long exposures it is probable that the one-group calculations can not give a good answer because of the large buildup of absorbing Pu isotopes. However, it might be possible to operate a reactor such as Case 8 of Table II for as

long as 30 years at 640 Mw (thermal) without a need for the U-235 inventory to increase by more than a factor of two, and with a breeding ratio averaging greater than 50%, without any reprocessing.

For purposes of estimating the fuel cycle cost, a life of 10 years without reprocessing was assumed. For this period, the U-235 concentration would probably not have to be increased over its initial value, and the breeding ratio should average at least 60%.

Applying the formula of ORNL-2500, but using the thermal cycle of the reference design molten-salt reactor (CF-58-5-3), the chemical reprocessing charges and fuel inventory charges are 0.034 mill/kwhr and 0.14 mill/kwhr, respectively. Ten-year depreciation and capital charges on the base salt amount to 0.093 mill/kwhr. Burnup of U-235 would be ~1.0 mill/kwhr at a conversion ratio of 0.6. Thus, total fuel cycle costs would be approximately 1.3 mills/kwhr.

This reactor was based on Case 8 of Table II, using a total fuel volume of 600 ft³ and an inventory of 36 tons of uranium of 1.8% enrichment. The ten-year reprocessing cycle represents a fuel life of approximately 60,000 Mwd/ton.

More accurate calculations are needed to confirm the above conclusions.

Table II

Case	Vol fraction of fuel in core F	k_{∞}	Percent Enrichment of uranium e	Vol of fuel in core cu ft V_f	Uranium in core kg M_u	Critical mass of U-235 M_{235}	Initial conversion Ratio R_c
1	0.05	1.05	1.30	395	22,100	298	.546
2		1.10	1.45	143	8,000	116	.492
3	0.075	1.05	1.25	427	23,900	298	.635
4		1.10	1.39	167	9,350	130	.600
5	0.10	1.05	1.275	445	24,900	318	.707
6		1.10	1.46	179	10,000	146	.668
7	0.15	1.05	1.525	474	26,600	405	.796
8		1.10	1.80	197	11,000	198	.780
9	0.20	1.05	2.24	520	29,100	652	.865
10		1.10	2.88	206	11,540	332	.865
11	0.25	1.05	4.36	575	32,200	1400	.900
12		1.10	7.05	240	13,430	952	.865

Distribution

1. L. G. Alexander	27. H. G. MacPherson
2. C. J. Barton	28. R. E. MacPherson
3. E. S. Bettis	29. W. D. Manly
4. J. P. Blakely	30. E. R. Mann
5. F. F. Blankenship	31. L. A. Mann
6. A. L. Boch	32. W. B. McDonald
7. W. F. Boudreau	33. H. J. Metz
8. E. J. Breeding	34. R. P. Milford
9. W. E. Browning	35. F. C. Moesel, AEC, Washington
10. D. O. Campbell	36. G. J. Nessel
11. W. H. Carr	37. W. R. Osborn
12. G. I. Cathers	38. J. T. Roberts
13. R. A. Charpie	39. H. W. Savage
14. D. A. Douglas	40. A. W. Savolainen
15. W. K. Ergen	41. M. J. Skinner
16. H. L. Falkenberry	42. E. Storto
17. A. P. Fraas	43. J. A. Swartout
18. W. R. Grimes	44. A. Taboada
19. H. W. Hoffman	45. R. E. Thoma
20. W. H. Jordan	46. D. B. Trauger
21. G. W. Keilholtz	47. F. C. VonderLage
22. F. Kertesz	48. G. M. Watson
23. B. W. Kinyon	49. A. M. Weinberg
24. M. E. Lackey	50. G. D. Whitman
25. J. A. Lane	51. J. Zasler
26. W. J. Larkin, AEC, ORO	52. Laboratory Records, R.C.

RECEIVED
APR 10 1954
AEC-100-100