UCRL-20358
Preprint

Conf-701026--1

MASTER

NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS

Y. R. Shen

October 1970

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY

UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

UCRL-20358

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Nonlinear Optical Effects

Y. R. Shen

Department of Physics, University of California
and
Inorganic Materials Research Division,
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory,
Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

Third-harmonic generation in cholesteric liquid

crystals, far-infrared generation by ultrashort pulses,

and self-focusing of light in nonlinear media are taken

as examples for illustration of nonlinear optical effects.

Depending on the problems, different approximations are

used in solving the nonlinear wave equations.   Connection

between nonlinear optical effects and properties of the

media is briefly discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

By definition, nonlinear optics deals with problems involving nonlinear interaction of light with matter.[1] It arises because the response of a medium to the fields is generally nonlinear, as indicated by the nonlinear constituitive equation $\underset{\sim}{D} = \underset{\approx}{\epsilon}(\underset{\sim}{E}) \cdot \underset{\sim}{E}$. Only when the field dependence in the dielectric tensor $\underset{\approx}{\epsilon}(\underset{\sim}{E})$ is negligible, can the medium be approximated as linear. If, however, the fields are sufficiently strong, then the field dependence in $\underset{\approx}{\epsilon}$ can no longer be neglected. The nonlinear response of the medium changes drastically the characteristics of wave propagation in the medium, and gives rise to many interesting nonlinear optical phenomena.

As an example, suppose we can expand $\underset{\approx}{\epsilon}(\underset{\sim}{E})$ into a power series of $\underset{\sim}{E}$.

$$\underset{\approx}{\epsilon}(\underset{\sim}{E}) = \underset{\approx}{\epsilon}_o + \underset{\approx}{\epsilon}^{(2)} : \underset{\sim}{E} + \text{---} \; . \tag{1}$$

The second term gives rise to a nonlinear polarization $\underset{\sim}{P}^{NL} = \underset{\approx}{\epsilon}^{(2)} : \underset{\sim\sim}{EE}/4\pi$. If $\underset{\sim}{E}$ is oscillating at a frequency ω, then $\underset{\sim}{P}^{NL}$ is oscillating at a frequency 2ω. Since oscillating dipoles radiate, the nonlinear polarization $\underset{\sim}{P}^{NL}$ now acts as a source for the generation of the second-harmonic field at 2ω. Usually in the visible, ϵ_o is around 3 esu, and $\epsilon^{(2)}$ ranges from $10^{-4} - 10^{-7}$ esu. For an input beam of 1 Mwatts/cm^2 corresponding to $E \approx 20$ esu, the second-harmonic generated from a 1-cm LiNbO$_3$ crystal ($\epsilon^{(2)}_{zxx} \approx 9 \times 10^{-6}$ esu) can have a maximum intensity around 100 Kwatts/cm^2 which is certainly non-negligible.

Just as in linear optics, there are two types of problems in nonlinear optics. One is to relate the nonlinear optical constants to

the microscopic properties of a given medium. Because of the higher-rank tensors, a nonlinear dielectric tensor usually contains more independent elements than the linear one. We can, at least in principle, learn more about the properties of the medium by studying the nonlinear case. For this type of problems, what we often do is to measure the nonlinear optical constants on the one hand, and, on the other hand, to calculate them from some microscopic theory. By comparing the theoretical and experimental results, we hope to learn something about the properties of the medium. Recently, there has been considerable interest in developing simple microscopic theories for evaluating lower-order nonlinear optical constants. In this respect, the chemical bond theory developed by Phillips, Van Vechten, Levine, etc.[2] has turned out to be most successful. Here, since we already have several papers on the subject in the conference proceedings, we shall not dwell on it further.

The other type of problems in nonlinear optics is to study how the light waves propagate in a nonlinear medium with given nonlinear optical constants. This type of problem is basically more important. For example, in order to deduce the nonlinear optical constants of a medium from measurements, one must first understand nonlinear wave propagation in such a medium. In principle, these problems are fairly simple. All we have to do is to solve the nonlinear wave equations. Unfortunately, nonlinear wave equations are generally difficult to solve. Specific approximations must be used for specific problems. Physics actually comes in when we try to use various approximations to find a solution. In this paper, we shall give three examples to

illustrate the point.   These are the problems which we are presently

working on in our laboratory.   Therefore, they also serve as examples

for a section of the current nonlinear optical research.

<div align="center">

II.   PHASE-MATCHED THIRD-HARMONIC GENERATION IN
LIQUID CRYSTALS

</div>

Harmonic generation in a nonlinear medium is one of the oldest

problems in nonlinear optics.   Phase-matched third-harmonic generation

has been achieved in anisotropic crystals[3] and in dye solutions.[4]   Here,

we would like to show that it can also be achieved in cholesteric liquid

crystals which have some unusual characteristics.[5]

For convenience in later discussion, let us first review briefly

the general characteristics of a cholesteric liquid crystal.[6]   A

cholesteric liquid crystal is usually composed of rod-like molecules.

Fig. 1 shows the arrangement of molecules in such a medium.   In each

plane perpendicular to the z-axis, the molecules are all aligned

with the principal molecular axes along $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$.   As the plane advances

along the z-axis, the direction of molecular alignment gradually rotates,

so that the medium acquires an overall helical structure.   Referred to

the coordinates $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ in the lab system, the molecular axes $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ can

be written as

$$\hat{\xi} = \hat{x} \cos(2\pi z/p) + \hat{y} \sin(2\pi z/p)$$

$$\hat{\eta} = -\hat{x} \sin(2\pi z/p) + \hat{y} \cos(2\pi z/p)$$

<div align="right">(2)</div>

where p is the helical pitch, which can be varied by varying temperature,

composition, external fields, etc.   Because of its helical structure, a

cholesteric liquid crystal has some interesting optical properties.
It behaves like a grating in diffracting light and possesses strong
optical activity. Since the pitch is comparable with optical wavelengths,
the macroscopic optical dielectric constants now vary periodically
along z. In each plane of molecular alignment, the dielectric constants
ε_ξ and ε_η along $\hat\xi$ and $\hat\eta$ respectively are clearly different. As a
result of these characteristic properties, we have found some unusual
phase-matching conditions for third-harmonic generation in a cholesteric
liquid crystal as we shall see.

From the Maxwell equations, we can easily derive the nonlinear
wave equation for generation of the third-harmonic field $\underset{\sim}{E}^{(3\omega)}\exp(-i3\omega t)$
in a cholesteric liquid crystal.

$$[\nabla\times(\nabla\times) - (3\omega/c)^2 \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}(z)]\ E^{(3\omega)} = (3\omega/c)^2\ 4\pi\ \underset{\sim NL}{P}^{(3\omega)} \tag{3}$$

where the nonlinear polarization $\underset{\sim NL}{P}^{(3\omega)}$ is given by

$$4\pi\ \underset{\sim NL}{P}^{(3\omega)} = \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(z) : \underset{\sim}{E}^{(\omega)}\underset{\sim}{E}^{(\omega)}\underset{\sim}{E}^{(\omega)}. \tag{4}$$

Because of the helical structure, both $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}(z)$ and $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}^{(3)}(z)$ are periodic
functions of z. We assume that the beam is collimated and is propagating
along $\hat z$. Since the beam diameter is much larger than the optical
wavelength, λ, in this case, the diffraction effect is negligible.
Therefore, we can use the plane-wave approximation with $\nabla\times(\nabla\times)$ in
Eq. (3) replaced by $-\partial^2/\partial z^2$. The resulting equation is most easily

solved by first eliminating the z-dependence in $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}$ and $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}^{(3)}$. This is done by transforming to a coordinate system rotating around $\hat{z}$ with a rotational transformation $\underset{\approx}{R}(\theta = 2\pi z/p)$.[5,7] Physically, the helical structure can be considered as a twisted birefringent medium, and the rotational transformation is designed to untwist the helical structure.

In this rotating coordinate system, Eq. (3) now becomes

$$[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - (\frac{2\pi}{p})^2 + (\frac{4\pi}{p})\underset{\approx}{\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + (\frac{3\omega}{c})^2 \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T]\underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(3\omega)}$$

$$= -(\frac{3\omega}{c})^2 \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)} : \underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(\omega)}\underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(\omega)}\underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(\omega)} \tag{5}$$

where $\underset{\sim}{E}_T = \underset{\approx}{R}\cdot\underset{\sim}{E}$, $\underset{\approx}{\sigma} = \underset{\approx}{R}(3\pi/2)$, $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T$ and $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)}$ are now independent of z, and $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T$ is diagonalized with the principal values ε_ξ and ε_η along $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ respectively. Consider first the linear wave propagation in the medium. The solution can be obtained by neglecting the nonlinear term in the wave equation, and is in the form[7]

$$\underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(\omega)} = \sum_{j=\pm} \underset{\sim}{\&}_{Tj}^{(\omega)} \exp[i\kappa_j z-i\omega t] \tag{6}$$

with $\quad \underset{\sim}{\&}_{Tj}^{(\omega)} = (\hat{\xi}\,\&_\xi + \hat{\eta}\,\&_\eta)$

$$|\kappa_\pm^{(\omega)}| = (\omega/c)\{(\varepsilon + \lambda'^2)^2 \pm [(\varepsilon+\lambda'^2)^2 - (\varepsilon-\lambda'^2)^2 + \alpha^2]^{1/2}\}^{1/2}$$

$$\left(\&_\eta^{(\omega)}/\&_\xi^{(\omega)}\right) = if_j^{(\omega)} = i[\kappa_j^2+(\omega/c)^2(\lambda'^2+\alpha-\varepsilon)]/2\kappa_j(\omega/c)\lambda'$$

where $\varepsilon \equiv (\varepsilon_\xi+\varepsilon_\eta)/2$, $\alpha \equiv (\varepsilon_\xi-\varepsilon_\eta)/2$, $\lambda' \equiv \lambda/p$, κ_- is negative for $\lambda'^2 > \varepsilon_\xi$

and $\mathscr{E}_{\sim Tj}^{(\omega)}$ is independent of z.

If the nonlinear term becomes non-negligible, there is then a continuous transfer of energy from the fundamental to the third-harmonics through nonlinear coupling. As a result, the field amplitudes $\mathscr{E}^{(\omega)}$ and $\mathscr{E}^{(3\omega)}$ should now depend on z. However, physically, since the nonlinear coupling is weak, we expect that the rate of energy transfer is small, and hence

$$|\partial\mathscr{E}_T^{(3\omega)}/\partial z| \ll |\kappa^{(3\omega)}\mathscr{E}^{(3\omega)}| \text{ and } |\partial^2\mathscr{E}_T^{(3\omega)}/\partial z^2| \ll |\kappa^{(3\omega)}\partial\mathscr{E}_T^{(3\omega)}/\partial z|.$$

Equation (5) can therefore be approximated by

$$[2i\kappa_j + (4\pi/p)\sigma]\underset{\approx}{(\partial/\partial z)}\mathscr{E}_{\sim Tj}^{(3\omega)} = -(3\omega/c)^2 \sum_{k,\ell,m} \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon_T^{(3)}}:$$

$$: \mathscr{E}_{\sim Tk}^{(\omega)}\mathscr{E}_{\sim T\ell}^{(\omega)}\mathscr{E}_{\sim Tm}^{(\omega)}\exp(i\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}z) \qquad (7)$$

where $\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m} = \kappa_k^{(\omega)} + \kappa_\ell^{(\omega)} + \kappa_m^{(\omega)} - \kappa_j^{(3\omega)}$.

Usually, the depletion of the fundamental pump energy by third-harmonic generation is also small. As a good approximation, the fundamental field amplitude $\varepsilon_T^{(\omega)}$ can be taken as a constant. It is then easy to solve Eq. (7). We find,[5] assuming $E_T^{(3\omega)} = 0$ at $z = 0$,

$$E_{\sim T}^{(3\omega)} = \sum_{j,k,\ell,m} A_j(\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon_T^{(3)}})_{jk\ell m}\mathscr{E}_{Tk}^{(\omega)}\mathscr{E}_{Tk}^{(\omega)}\mathscr{E}_{Tm}^{(\omega)}\frac{\sin(\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}z/2)}{(\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}/2)} \times$$

$$\times \exp[i(\kappa_j^{(3\omega)} + i\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}/2)z] \qquad (8)$$

where $(\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)})_{jk\ell m} = \hat{\varepsilon}_{Tj}^{(3\omega)} \cdot \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)} : \hat{\varepsilon}_{Tk}^{(\omega)}\hat{\varepsilon}_{T\ell}^{(\omega)}\hat{\varepsilon}_{Tm}^{(\omega)}$

$$A_j = i(3\omega/c)^2/2[\kappa_j^{(3\omega)} - 4\pi f_j^{(3\omega)}/p(1+f_j^2)].$$

It is clear from the above equation that the third-harmonic intensity will be a maximum if the momentum or phase matching condition $\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m} = 0$ is satisfied. This is possible in a cholesteric liquid crystal for several combintations of j, k, ℓ, and m, since $\kappa_\pm$ in Eq. (6) can be varied by varying the helical pitch p. Near phase-matching, $\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m} \approx 0$, the generated third-harmonic power is given by $(c/2\pi n)\int|\underset{\sim}{E}^{(3\omega)}|^2 \, dx \, dy$, where

$$|\underset{\sim}{E}^{(3\omega)}|^2 = |\underset{\sim}{E}_T^{(3\omega)}|^2$$

$$\cong |A_j(\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)})_{jk\ell m} E_{Tk}^{(\omega)}E_{T\ell}^{(\omega)}E_{Tm}^{(\omega)}|^2 \frac{\sin^2[\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}z/2]}{(\Delta\kappa_{jk\ell m}/2)^2} . \qquad (9)$$

If $\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)}$ for the medium is known, we can predict the third-harmonic power generated at phase-matching by a given input beam. Conversely, measurements of the third-harmonic output near phase-matching should, in principle, enable us to determine the nonlinear dielectric constant $(\underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}_T^{(3)})_{jk\ell m}$ from Eq. (9).

The experimental setup for harmonic generation experiments is fairly standard.[8] In our case, a mode-locked Nd-glass laser beam was normally incident on a liquid crystal sample whose helical axis was made parallel to the direction of beam propagation. The third-harmonic output was then detected by a photomultiplier with proper filtering. In order to achieve phase-matching, the helical pitch of the sample was

tuned by adjusting the sample temperature. We have observed in our experiments most of the predicted phase-matching conditions.[9] Here, we shall mention only two of them.

In the first case, a cholesteric mixture composed of 1.75 parts of cholesteryl chloride and 1 part of cholesteryl myristate was used.[5] Knowing ϵ and α in Eq. (6) from measurements, we calculated $\kappa_\pm$ as functions of the pitch p. We then predicted that the phase-matching condition $\Delta\kappa_{++++} = 0$ can be satisfied with $p = \pm 17.3$ µm where + and - refer to right and left helexities. The sample temperatures corresponding to these pitch values are 49.4 and 54.2°C respectively. The third-harmonic waves in this case propagate in the same direction as the fundamental waves. In Fig. 2, the observed third-harmonic intensity is plotted as a function of temperature. It is seen that the phase-matching peaks indeed appear at the predicted temperatures.

In the second case, a mixture of 30% cholesteryl chloride, 35% cholesteryl nonanoate, and 35% cholesteryl oleyl carbonate was used.[9] The phase-matching condition $\Delta\kappa_{----} = 0$ can now be satisfied with $p = 0.47$ µm at a sample temperature of 38.2°C. However, in this case, $\kappa_-^{(\omega)}$ and $\kappa_-^{(3\omega)}$ correspond to fundamental and third-harmonic waves propagating in opposite directions in the laboratory frame. The observed third-harmonic phase-matching peak again appeared at the predicted temperature, as shown in Fig. 2. Here, the observed peak is much broader than the theoretical one calculated under the assumption that the fundamental laser beam is monochromatic. In the experiments, the mode-locked laser pulses had roughly a 10 cm^{-1} band of frequencies.

Since different frequency components lead to slightly different phase-matching temperatures here, the overall phase-matching curve was now appreciably broadened. One may have noticed that in this case, with the fundamental and the third-harmonic waves propagating in opposite directions, the linear momentum does not seem to be conserved in the laboratory frame. However, the momentum difference is actually compensated by the lattice momentum.[10] This is equivalent to an umklapp process in a crystal. The unit lattice momentum here is given by $2\pi/p$, as a result of the helical structure of the liquid crystals.

III. FAR-INFRARED GENERATION BY ULTRASHORT PULSES IN NONLINEAR CRYSTALS

Far-infrared radiation can be generated by the difference-frequency generation process in a nonlinear crystal.[11] Two laser beams with two different frequencies can be used as the primary pumping fields.[12] An ultrashort pulse, however, contains a broad band of frequencies. For example, a 1-psec. pulse has a bandwidth of about 15 cm^{-1}. In a nonlinear crystal, the different frequency components in the band can beat with one another to generate difference-frequency fields in the far-infrared. From the practical point of view, this nonlinear optical process has the potential of providing a powerful, far-infrared source.

The wave equation for the far-infrared field $\underset{\sim}{E}$ generated by beating of the laser field $\underset{\sim}{E}_\ell$ has the form

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \underset{\sim}{E}) + (\partial^2/c^2 \partial t^2)\underset{\sim}{D} = -4\pi \underset{\sim}{P}^{(2)}_{NL} \tag{10}$$

where $\underset{\sim}{D} = \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon} \cdot \underset{\sim}{E}$ and $4\pi \underset{\sim}{P}^{(2)}_{NL} = \underset{\approx}{\varepsilon}^{(2)} \cdot \underset{\sim}{E}_\ell \underset{\sim}{E}_\ell$ if we neglect dispersion at

the far infrared. One would expect that the solution of the above
equation is quite similar to the case of harmonic generation.
However, since the far-infrared frequencies are now comparable with the
inverse of the ultrashort pulse width, we can no longer neglect the
time-derivative of the field amplitude in the wave equation as we did
in the case of harmonic generation. The approximation of replacing
$\nabla \times (\nabla \times E)$ by $-(\partial^2/\partial z^2)E$ also breaks down because the far-infrared wave-
lengths are comparable with the transverse dimension of the beam.
Diffraction of the far-infrared now becomes important, especially in
the low-frequency limit. As a result, the wave vectors of the far-
infrared radiation generated in the nonlinear crystal span over a
large cone. Because the refractive index at the far-infrared is usually
large (6.6 for ordinary ray in $LiNbO_3$) reflection and refraction at
the boundaries are also important. For a crystal slab with the beam
propagating perpendicular to the plane surfaces, the far infrared
generated with a wave vector at a large angle is totally reflected at
the boundary surfaces and can never get out of the crystal. The far
infrared generated at small angles sees a strong Fabry-Perot interference.
It also suffers strong refraction at the boundaries, so that the
far-infrared radiation is spread into a broad cone outside the crystal.

We then realize that, in the present case, we must solve the
complete 4-dimensional equation in (10) with proper boundary conditions.
The calculation is clearly much more difficult than that for harmonic
generation. However, with appropriate approximations, we can greatly
simplify the solution. Because of the limited space here, we shall

not go into the discussion of how we actually solve the equation. We

have calculated far-infrared spectra generated in LiNbO$_3$ by Nd mode-locked

pulses under different conditions. Figure 4 gives one example.[13]

In Fig. 4, the far-infrared is generated in a 1 mm slab of LiNbO$_3$

through the dielectric constant $\epsilon_{zzz}^{(2)}$ by pulses with a 2-psec. pulse

width. This spectrum is quite easy to understand physically. The

dashed curve is calculated without boundary conditions. The three

peaks at 5, 8.4, and 11.8 cm^{-1} come in as the secondary peaks of the

phase-matching curve with phase matching occurring at zero frequency.

They correspond to $\Delta k = 3\pi/2$, $5\pi/2$, and $7\pi/2$ respectively, where Δk

is the momentum mismatch   in the difference-frequency generation

process. There would be the major phase-matching peak at zero frequency,

if it were not for the fact that low-frequency fields do not radiate

efficiently. The cutoff of the phase-matching curve at the low-

frequency end due to radiation and diffraction effects gives rise to

the first peak at 2 cm^{-1} in Fig. 4. With the boundary conditions

included, the curve is now modified by the Fabry-Perot interference

pattern, as shown by the solid curve with spikes. However, in actual

experiments, the spectrometer has limited resolution. If the resolution

is larger than the width of the spikes, then after convoluting the

solid curve in Fig. 4 with the slit function, the spectrum would again

have the form of the dashed curve. This has been shown to agree well with

the experimental results.[14] A Nd mode-locked laser generating pulses with

peak power of a few Gwatts was used in the experiments. The spectrum of

the far-infrared output was analyzed by a Michelson interferometer.

Normalization against fluctuations was achieved by the usual method
of splitting the far-infrared output into two beams. Details of the
experiments will be published elsewhere.[14]

Instead of $\varepsilon_{zzz}^{(2)}$, we can also use the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{yyz}^{(2)}$ of
$LiNbO_3$ by properly orienting the crystal with respect to the laser
polarization. Phase matching of the collinear difference-frequency
generation now occurs at different frequencies depending on the orienta-
tion of the crystal. Our calculations show that, in this case, the
far-infrared spectra consist of sharp phase-matching curves. The
positions of the phase-matching peaks can be tuned by rotating the
crystal. Experimental results are again in agreement with theoretical
prediction.

IV. SELF-FOCUSING OF LIGHT IN LIQUIDS

As a last example, let us discuss how the propagation of a laser
beam in a medium can be vastly different from the linear wave propagation
because the refractive index of the medium depends on the laser intensity.
This is one of the most fascinating, but also the most difficult problems
in nonlinear optics. Only after many years of struggle, we now begin
to gain insight in the solution of the problem.

Let us first have a qualitative discussion on the self-focusing
phenomenon. Consider an isotropic medium, such as a liquid, as an
example. Since the medium has an inversion symmetry, its refractive
index (or dielectric constant) can be written as

$$n(E) = n_o + \Delta n \tag{11}$$

where we have, in the steady-state,

$$\Delta n = \Delta n_o = n_2 |E|^2 + n_4 |E|^4 + --- .$$

Physically, the induced refractive index Δn arises because of molecular re-orientation and redistribution, electrostriction, and electronics effects. In experiments with Q-switched laser pulses propagating in liquids, the contribution from molecular reorientation often dominates.[15] The magnitude of n_2 ranges from 10^{-11} to 10^{-13} esu. In most media, the induced Δn is a positive quantity.

We now propagate a laser beam into such a medium. Assume that the collimated laser beam has initially a plane wavefront, but has a finite cross-section with a Gaussian intensity profile. Then, as the beam propagates into the medium, the central part of the beam sees a larger refractive index than the edge, and therefore travels at a slower speed than the edge. As a result, the wavefront of the beam gets distorted more and more as the beam propagates (see Fig. 5). Since propagation of energy must be normal to the wavefront, the beam now appears focused by itself. The beam distortion, being a nonlinear, cumulative effect, makes the beam focus rather suddenly to a small spot of a few microns in radius.

From the above description, it is seen that the finite beam cross-section is important for self-focusing, and in the focusing region, both the intensity and the phase of the optical field change rapidly, so that they cannot be considered as subject to small pertur-bation. The wave equation for this problem can be written as

$$[\nabla x\ (\nabla x) + (\partial^2/c^2\partial t^2)(n_o + \Delta n)^2]\underline{E} = 0 \qquad (12)$$

where Δn, if it is mainly due to molecular orientation, can be assumed to obey a simple relaxation equation

$$(\partial/\partial t + 1/\tau)\Delta n(t) = \Delta n_o/\tau. \qquad (13)$$

For ordinary, non-viscous liquids, the orientational relaxation time τ is of the order of a few picoseconds. The solution of the above equations, being extremely nonlinear, is clearly very difficult. In order to facilitate the solution by approximations, we must rely very much on our physical judgment.

When the laser pulse is much longer than the relaxation time, the time derivative in Eq. (13) can be neglected. If the beam intensity is not exceptionally high, then we can write

$$\Delta n(t) \cong n_2 |E(t)|^2.$$

Assuming a steady-state case with the above nonlinearity, Kelley[16] has found that a beam with power P would self-focus to a point at

$$z_f \cong K/(P^{1/2} - P_{cr}^{1/2}) \qquad (14)$$

$$K = (n/4)(a^2/f)(c/n_2)^{1/2}$$

where P_{cr} is the critical power for self-trapping,[17] a is the beam

radius, and f is a parameter of the order of 1.[18] As seen from Eq. (14),

there is a critical power P_{cr} below which the beam cannot self-focus

$(z_f < 0)$. This happens because diffraction overcomes the self-focusing

action. Eq. (14) has actually been verified by experiments.[18]

However, for a pulsed laser beam, the input power P is a function

of time. Consequently, from Eq. (14), z_f should also be a function of

time, and hence, the focal spot should appear moving along the axis.[19]

If we take a time-integrated photograph of the self-focused beam from

the side, the continuous series of focal spots would then appear as a

bright filament. This is the so-called small-scale filament many

research groups have observed.[20]

Let us see more quantitatively how the focal spot moves along the

axis. Suppose we have a   1 -nsec. input pulse with a given pulse

shape as shown in Fig. 6. At t_A, the light beam with a power $P(t_A)$

enters the medium. It then propagates in the medium with the light

velocity c/n_o (along the dashed line in Fig. 6), and finally self-

focuses at z_A. At an earlier time, the light beam entering the medium

has a smaller power, and it therefore self-focuses at a distance far-

ther away. With the help of Eq. (14), we can now plot out the whole curve

describing the position of the focal spot as a function of time.[21]

If ℓ is the length of the medium, the focal spot should first appear inside the medium at z_D. It then immediately splits into two. One first moves backward towards the laser to z_B and then turns around and moves forward. The other simply moves all the way to the end of the medium. Here, let us consider only the second branch since it is more interesting, although experiments have proved the existence of both branches.[21-23] As shown in Fig. 5, the forward-moving branch has a slope larger than c/n_o, and therefore the focal spot must have a velocity faster than the velocity of light in the medium. This can be demonstrated quite easily by experiments. We can use liquid as the nonlinear medium, and immerse two beam splitters in it. Each beam splitter couples out a short light pulse when the focal spot strikes the beam splitter. Knowing the distance between the two beam splitters, we can find, by measuring the time separation between the two pulses, the average velocity for the focal spot to travel from one beam splitter to the other. This was actually done.[23] In one case, for example, the two beam splitters immersed in toluene were separated by 15 cm. It would take 0.75 nsec. for light to travel such a distance, but we measured a time of 0.25 nsec. between the two pulses. That the focal spot can move faster than light does not violate the law of relativity, since the continuous series of focal spots is actually formed by continuous focusing of different parts of the input pulse. A direct consequence of the fact that the focal spot moves faster than light is the possible formation of trapped filaments of light.[23] Because of its

high intensity, the moving focal spot leaves a track of induced Δn,

which lasts over a period of the order of the relaxation time τ. This

channel of Δn forms an optical waveguide traveling along with the

focal spot. The self-focused light trailing after the forward-moving

focal spot can then be partially trapped in the waveguide for a certain

distance. The trapping length depends on the relative velocity of the

focal spot with respect to light. If the focal spot has a velocity

close to the light velocity, so that it keeps in step with the light

for a long distance, then a long trapped filament can result. Since

the trapping length varies with time as a result of the focal-spot

movement, light emitted from the filament at the end of the medium

is strongly phase-modulated, and hence shows a remarkable spectral

broadening with semi-periodical structure (a broadening of a few

hundred to a few thousand cm^{-1} against a linewidth of less than 1 cm^{-1}

for the input laser beam).[24] The model is also successful in explaining

many anomalous observations on small-scale filaments.[23] However, we

shall not go into detailed discussion here.

V. CONCLUSION

The above three examples illustrate some general aspects of non-

linear optical research. There are, of course, many other interesting

nonlinear optical problems, such as stimulated light scattering, nonlinear

propagation of ultrashort pulses, self-induced transparency effect, etc.

The field of nonlinear optics is still growing. So far, research on

nonlinear optics has been mainly on investigation of basis phenomena.

However, quite a few important applications of nonlinear optics have

already been found. The coherent, tunable light source is just one

example. It is hopeful that someday we may find nonlinear optics at

least as useful as linear optics both in practical applications and in

studying properties of matter.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

1. For detailed discussion see, for example, N. Bloembergen, <u>Non-linear Optics</u> (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1965).

2. J. C. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{20}$, 550 (1968).

   J. C. Phillips and J. A. Van Vechten, Phys. Rev. $\underline{183}$, 709 (1969).

   J. A. Van Vechten, Phys. Rev. $\underline{182}$, 891; $\underline{187}$, 1007 (1969).

   B. F. Levine, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{22}$, 787 (1969); $\underline{25}$, 440 (1970).

3. P. D. Maker and R. W. Terhune, Phys. Rev. $\underline{137}$, A801 (1965).

4. P. P. Bey, J. F. Giuliani, and H. Rabin, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{19}$, 819 (1967).

5. J. W. Shelton and Y. R. Shen, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{25}$, 23 (1970).

6. See, for example, G. W. Gray, <u>Molecular Structure and the Properties of Liquid Crystals</u> (Academic Press, New York, 1962) p. 39.

7. H. N. de Vries, Acta Cryst. $\underline{4}$, 219 (1951).

8. See, for example, R. K. Chang and L. K. Galbraith, Phys. Rev. $\underline{171}$, 993 (1968).

9. J. W. Shelton and Y. R. Shen (to be published), presented at the Sixth International Quantum Electronics Conference, Kyoto, Japan, 1970) paper 11-3.

10. N. Bloembergen (private communication).

11. F. Zernike and P. R. Berman, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{15}$, 999 (1965).

12. D. W. Faries, K. A. Gehring, P. L. Richards, and Y. R. Shen, Phys. Rev. $\underline{180}$, 363 (1969).

    N. Van Tran and C. K. N. Patel, Phys. Rev. Letters $\underline{22}$, 463 (1969).

    T. Yajima and K. Inoue, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. $\underline{QE-5}$, 140 (1969).

13. J. R. Morris and Y. R. Shen (to be published).

14. K. H. Yang, Y. R. Shen, J. W. Shelton, and P. L. Richards, (to be published). A preliminary report was presented at the Sixth International Quantum Electronic Conference at Kyoto, Japan, 1970; paper 8-6.

15. Y. R. Shen, Phys. Letters 20, 378 (1966).

16. P. L. Kelley, Phys. Rev. Letters 15, 1005 (1965).

17. R. Y. Chiao, E. Garmire, and C. H. Townes, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 479 (1964).

18. C. C. Wang, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 344 (1966).

19. A. L. Dyshko, V. N. Lugovoi, and A. M. Prokhorov, Zh. Eksperim. i Theo. Fiz. Pis'ma Redakt. 6, 655 (1967), [Translation: JETP Letters 6, 146 (1967)]; V. N. Lugovoi and A. M. Prokhorov, ibid. 7, 153 (1968) [Translation: JETP Letters 7, 344 (1966).

20. R. Y. Chiao, E. Garmire, and C. H. Townes, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 479 (1964); R. Y. Chiao, M. A. Johnson, S. Krinsky, H. A. Smith, C. H. Townes, and E. Garmire IEEE J. Quantum Electron. QE-2, 467 (1966); R. G. Brewer, J. R. Lifsitz, E. Garmire, R. Y. Chiao, and C. H. Townes, Phys. Rev. 166, 326 (1968).

21. M. M. T. Loy and Y. R. Shen, Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 994 (1969).

22. V. V. Korobkin, A. M. Prokhorov, R. V. Serov, and M. Ya. Shchelev, ZHETF. Pis. Red. 11, 153 (1970); [Translation: JETP Letters 11, 94 (1970)].

23. M. M. T. Loy and Y. R. Shen (to be published); presented at the Sixth International Quantum Electronic Conference, Kyoto, Japan 1970, paper 9-7.

24. F. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 1097 (1967);

R. G. Brewer, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 8 (1967);

A. C. Cheung, D. M. Rank, R. Y. Chiao, and C. H. Townes, Phys.

Rev. Letters 20, 786 (1968).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Arrangement of molecules in a cholesteric liquid crystal.

(Taken from I. G. Chistyakov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 89, 563 (1966)

[Translation: Uspekhi 9, 551 (1967)]).

Fig. 2. Normalized third-harmonic intensity vs temperature near the

phase matching temperatures for the mixture of 1.75 cholesteryl

chloride and 1 cholesteryl myristate, in a cell 130 μm thick.

The peak at the lower temperature (corresponding to left helical

structure) is generated by left-circularly polarized fundamental

waves and the one at the higher temperature by right-circularly

polarized fundamental waves. The solid line is the theoretical

phase-matching curve and the dots are experimental data points.

The uncertainty in the experimental third-harmonic intensity is

about 20%.

Fig. 3. Backward-propagating third-harmonic intensity vs temperature

near the phase-matching temperature for the mixture of 30% choles-

teryl chloride, 35% cholesteryl nonanoate, and 35% cholesteryl

oleyl carbonate, in a cell of 130 μm thick. The solid curve is

the theoretical phase-matching curve assuming a monochromatic

incoming field.

Fig. 4. Calculated spectrum of the far-infrared generated by a 2-psec.

short Nd laser pulse in a 1-mm slab of $LiNbO_3$, oriented with the

z-axis parallel both to the laser polarization and to the surfaces

of the slab. The dashed and the solid curves were calculated with

and without the boundary conditions respectively.

Fig. 5. Description of self-focusing of a laser beam in a nonlinear
         medium.

Fig. 6. The lower trace describes the input power P(t) as a function of
         time t. The peak power is 42.5 Kwatts and the half width at the
         e^{-1} point is 1 nsec. The upper trace, calculated from Eq. (1),
         describes the position of the focal spot as a function of time.
         The values of P_{cr} and K used are 8 Kwatts and 11.6 cm/(KW)$^{1/2}$
         respectively, which correspond roughly to an input beam of 400 μ
         in diameter propagating in CS_2. The dotted lines, with the slope
         equal to the light velocity in CS_2, indicate how the light propagates
         along the z-axis at various times.

XBL 7010-6790

Fig. 1

XBL 704-2692

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

XBL709-3793

Fig. 4

XBL 7010-6789

Fig. 5

Fig. 6