Magnetic Error Compensation and Computer Modeling in RHIC

I. Introduction

II. Triplet (IR) region error compensation

- field quality issues
- misalignment issues

III. Arc region error compensation

- field quality issues
- misalignment issues

IV. Computer modeling

TEAPOT filters

tune diagram comparison, tracking

V. Conclusion

Jie Wei

BNL

Oct. 1995

I. Introduction

- * Large beam size at storage —

triplet dominates, multipole dominates

Au^{79+} , IBS growth strong;

beam-beam $\Delta U \approx 0.005$, 0 cross angle mostly

- * Two-ring, separated mostly, small crosstalk

exception: triplet in common cryostat

- * high injection field, small persistent b_2

$B(5\text{ kA}) / B(0.6\text{ kA}) \sim 8.5$

small filament, small random

- * multi-layer correctors, common cryostat

need good alignment

C-Q-S, Triplet

Injection (1 minute) (Au^{79+} , $\gamma = 12.6$)

arc dominates (+ space charge, $\Delta\nu \approx 0.02$)

arc dipole, b_2 , b_4 , and a_1

arc quadrupole, b_3 , b_5

Storage (10 hours) (Au^{79+} , $\gamma = 107.$)

triplet dominates (+ beam-beam, $\Delta\nu: 0.015 \rightarrow 0.004$)

Q_1 , Q_2 , Q_3 , b_3 , b_5 , Q_5 , b_2 (random)

	injection	storage ($\beta^* = 1m$)
ϵ_N (95%)	10 π mm mrad	40 π mm mrad
$\sigma_{op/p}$	0.43×10^{-3}	0.89×10^{-3}
β_{arc}	50 m	50 m
$\beta_{triplet}$	145 m	1400 m
σ_x arc	2.5 mm	1.8 mm
σ_x triplet	4.5 mm	9.3 mm

coil ID: arc 80 mm, triplet 130 mm

reference radius (5/8): 25 mm, 40 mm

II. Triplet region error compensation

Figure 1 Schematic layout of the RHIC triplet, showing the quadrupoles, the orientation of the quadrupole lead ends, and the local correctors C1, C2, and C3 .

6. interaction points

2 IP: $\beta^* = 1\text{ m}$

$\beta \sim 1400\text{ m}$

anti-symmetr

4 IP: $\beta^* = 10\text{ m}$

Fig. 11-6. Betatron and dispersion functions in the insertion region.

Field quality issues

error source

* Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 quadrupoles

lead end : b_5, a_5

body : b_3, b_5 (systematic)
 $\uparrow$ $\nwarrow$ allowed
 asym. in H, V plane
 b_2 (random)

* $D\phi$ dipole

ends & body : b_2, b_4, a_1
 $\uparrow$ $\nearrow$
 allowed asym. in V placement

cross talk : $b_1, b_3, \dots$

Sep 8, 1994

Triplet quadrupole expected harmonics

EXPECTED_QRK.TABLE2k_E

QRK (Q3)

Table 2k(e)

Expected values of body harmonics in 130 mm Insertion Quadrupoles
QRK (Q2) at 5000 A : storage, after shimming

[<bn> = mean, d(bn) = uncertainty in mean, sig(bn) = sigma for bn]

n	<bn>	d(bn)	sig(bn)	<an>	d(an)	sig(an)
1	0	10.	10	0	0	10
2	0.	0.5	<u>2.4</u>	0.0	0.1	<u>1.2</u>
3	0	<u>1.0</u>	.6	0.0	0.3	<u>.7</u>
4	0.	0.3	.6	0.0	0.1	.5
5	<u>-1.2</u>	0.5	.5	0.0	0.4	.6
6	0.0	0.12	.11	0.0	0.09	.12
7	-0.2	.05	.05	0.0	0.03	.12
8	0.0	0.04	.05	0.0	0.05	.09
9	0.0	0.2	.03	0.0	0.03	.03

body
compensation

Expected body harmonics at 660 A
(same as above except for b5 and b9)

5	-4.7	0.5	.5
9	-0.2	0.2	.03

Expected body harmonics at 1450 A
(same as above except for b5 and b9)

5	-2.2	0.5	.5
9	-0.1	0.2	.03

Expected values of harmonics in LEAD END at "ALL" currents (Unit-m)

n	<Bn>	d(Bn)	sig(Bn)	<An>	d(An)	sig(An)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.0	0.1	0.7	0.0	1.	2.
3	0.0	0.3	.3	0.0	0.4	.8
4	0.0	0.1	.3	0.0	0.3	.4
5	<u>4.6</u>	.5	.3	<u>-1.5</u>	0.5	.2
6	.0	0.01	.04	0.0	0.06	.06
7	0.0	0.04	.05	0.0	0.02	.01
8	0.0	0.04	.05	0.0	0.02	.02
9	-0.5	.05	.02	0.2	.05	.03

strong
Lead end
bs . as

Expected values of harmonics in RETURN END at "ALL" currents (Unit-m)

n	<Bn>	d(Bn)	sig(Bn)	<An>	d(An)	sig(An)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.0	0.3	1.8	0.0	0.7	1.
3	0.0	0.1	.2	0.0	0.1	.3
4	0.0	0	.25	0.0	0.2	.2
5	<u>1.</u>	0	.6	0.0	0.1	.1
6	0.0	0.06	.03	0.0	0.06	.02

Q1 Warm measurement

QRI (Q1) Storage Units -- Body b5 (Warm)

Desired value = 1

With tuning shim at 5ka

$$b_5 \approx -1.2$$

need $b_5 = 0.8$ before tuning shim insertion can fix most of b_5 but a large change in T.F.

Q1TREND.XLS

7/27/95

① = Completed Unit

QRI (Q1) Storage Units -- Body b9 (Warm)

← used cross-section for Q2, Q

Q1TREND.XLS

7/27/95

QRI (Q1) Storage Units -- Body b3 (Warm)

Tuning shim
can make
all this zero

Q1TREND.XLS

① Completed Unit

7/27/95

QRI (Q1) Storage Units -- Body b7 (Warm)

↑
After (QRI 110-120)
asymmetric
tuning shim

Q1TREND.XLS

7/27/95

Warm-Cold Correlations for 13cm Insertion Quadrupoles (QRI)

Warm = 10A in Dewar; Cold = 5000A, Avg. of Up and Dn Ramps

Warm-Cold Correlations for 13cm Insertion Quadrupoles (QRI)
 Warm = 10A in Dewar; Cold = 5000A, Avg. of Up and Dn Ramps

Warm-Cold Correlations for 13cm Insertion Quadrupoles (QRI)

Warm = 10A in Dewar; Cold = 5000A, Avg. of Up and Dn Ramps

Expected harmonics in $D\phi$ dipole (5 kA)

Oct 18, 1993

EXPECTED_D10.TABLE4_D

Table 4(d)

Expected values of body harmonics in 100 mm Insertion Arc dipoles D0 at 5000 A : @ 31 mm radius

[$\langle bn \rangle$ = mean, $d(bn)$ = uncertainty in mean, $sig(bn)$ = sigma for bn]

n	$\langle bn \rangle$	$d(bn)$	$sig(bn)$	$\langle an \rangle$	$d(an)$	$sig(an)$
1	0.4	0	0.8	-2.5 ⁰	1.	1.3
2	0	2	2.3	-0.4	0	.5
3	0.2	0	.3	0	.3	1.0
4	0	.5	.6	0	.06	.2
5	0	.03	.1	-0.1	0	.26
6	0.0	.1	.1	0	.03	.1
7	0	.03	.1	0	.03	.1
8	0.0	.1	.1	0	.03	.1
9	0.0	.03	.1	0	.03	.1

optimized at 5 kA.

b_2 , b_4 , b_6 are stronger at lower currents

Cross talk between $D\phi$ dipoles

10 cm coil ID (instead of 10 cm), minimized

$b_1 < 0.5$

$b_3, b_5, \dots < 0.3$

Figure of merit" in IR error compensation

minimize "action kick"

$$\frac{\Delta J}{J} \sim \frac{1}{p} \sum b_n \cdot L \cdot \beta^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \cdot (2J)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot (2 \sin \chi \cdot \cos^n \chi)$$

$\uparrow$
 b_{n+1}

$\uparrow$
no phase advance

$$J = \frac{1}{2\beta} (x^2 + (\alpha x + \beta x')^2)$$

this has the same scaling as tune shift

e.g. $\boxed{\frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum b_n \cdot L \cdot \beta^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \cdot (2J)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} < 10^{-3} ?$

for each triplet

using separated lead end, return end,
and body harmonics

Compensation method

only correct at
top field. (5 kA)

- * coil, cross section iteration (≈ 0.1 mm level)
body - ends compensation on allowed harmonics
use body & ends harmonics allowed
weighted by β -function variation Systematic
+ semi.
 b_5, b_3
- * iron modification, tuning shims (~ 1 mm level)
minimize body $a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, b_2, b_3, b_4$
after warm measurement, actual (systematic + random)
- * choose lead-end orientation & corrector
locations
low β for lead ends, c_3 , & c_2, c_3 cancellation
orthogonal locations (H, V) for correctors
- * use local correctors, $a_2/b_2, a_3/b_3, b_4, a_5/b_5$
correction based on magnetic measurements
weighted by β -function variation "active" knob
- * sort "golden" magnets for $\beta^* = 1$ m IP.
split tune, 90° phase advance between IP

016-205-43

000169

000169

000169

* body - end b_s compensation

based on the minimization of "action kicks"
over each triplet pair

$$\frac{\Delta J_x}{J_x} \sim b_n L \cdot \beta_x^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \cdot (2 J_x)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot (2 \sin \chi_x \cdot \cos^n \chi_x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{FDF} G \beta_x^3 b_s ds + \int_{DFD} G \dot{\beta}_x^3 b_s ds = 0$$

$$\int_{FDF} G \beta_y^3 b_s ds + \int_{DFD} G \dot{\beta}_y^3 b_s ds = 0$$

optimized lead end location
↓

$$b_s(\text{body}) = -0.17 B_{s_{\text{Lead}}} - 0.35 B_{s_{\text{return}}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} -1.2 & \text{unit} & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & (m^{-1}) & & 4.6 \text{ (unit} \cdot m) & & (m^{-1}) \\ & & & & & & \uparrow \\ & & & & & & 1.0 \text{ (unit} \cdot m) \end{array}$$

* works for any β^*

* compensated within each triplet,

using Q_2, Q_3 cancellation to minimize $b_s(\text{body})$

* same b_s body for all three quads of diff. length

before
body-end
compensation

Figure 2: Tune shift of on-momentum particles with betatron amplitude from 0 to 5σ with the 1 meter β^* storage lattice produced by one pair of triplet with lead end error $B_5 = 4.6$ unit-m and return end error $B_5 = 1.0$ unit-m. The horizontal and vertical integer tunes are 28 and 29, respectively.

* after
body-end
compensation

Figure 3: Similar to Figure 2, with $b_5 = -1.2$ unit in the body for compensation.

* tuning shims

- * 8 shims in quadrupole symmetry, can correct 8 multipoles

$a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, b_2, b_3, b_4, (b_5)$ random

- * limited by shim thickness (3.1mm) and feed-ups
- * shim size determined by warm measurement $\Rightarrow \int_{a_i} b_n ds =$
(in simulation, assume 10% r.m.s. measurement error)

RHIC 13cm INSERTION QUADRUPOLE

Fig. 3. A comparison between calculations and warm measurements for the field harmonics created by tuning shims. These are the changes in harmonics relative to the "no shim" or "zero iron thickness" case for each shim. The eight symbols represent the measurements for the eight tuning shim locations and eight lines are the calculations for these locations.

From : Ramesh Gupta
To : A. Jain, S. Kahn, G. Morgan, P. Thompson, P. Wanderer, E. Willen
Subj : Shim Experiment at 5 kA

There is a good agreement between the predicted (computed) and measured harmonics due to shims at 5 kA (the current for which the shims are designed). In magnet QRI104 eight shims of nominal thickness were inserted. The harmonics were measured with and without shims. Since the eight shims have the same thickness, then in a pure quadrupole field, the change would be expected only in the transfer function and b5, b9, ... harmonics.

Enclosed are the tables prepared by Animesh Jain where he has listed the harmonics due to shims in QRI104 at 5 kA. He has obtained this from the two sets of measurements (a) harmonics without shims and (b) harmonics with shims. (b)-(a) gives harmonics due to shims. Animesh has divided the measurements in integral, body and ends parts.

Here is the comparison between the computed and measured change

	T.F. (T/kA)	d(b5) (unit)	d(b9) (unit)	d(b13) (unit)
Measured (5 kA)	.075	-1.75	-0.29	+0.02
Computed (5 kA)	.065	-1.76	-0.23	+0.02
Measured (Warm)		-2.7	-0.3	0.03
Computed (Warm)		-2.4	-0.23	0.02

Since the overall transfer function is about 13.6 T/kA, the error is 0.7 part in 1,000 (I guess within the measurement accuracy).

It may be mentioned that the calculated harmonics are for the case when there is a perfect contact between the shim and the yoke. A good agreement between the measurements and calculations can also be seen that the shims were pulled towards the iron when the magnet was energized. I have also included the results of computations.

Non-zero harmonics in the lead end might be due to the interaction of shims with the leads. This simple explanation needs to be verified with calculations.

It may be pointed out that these shims were, though very close to the actual shims, were not exactly the same. The next step will be to do a detailed experiment with the actual shim where the harmonics due to the individual shims are obtained. That will give the coefficients to be used in fixing the harmonics in the future magnets.

* Local $b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, a_1, a_2$ correctors

b_3 & b_5 correctors. 2 per triplet
↑
most effective

* correct both errors from $D\phi$, and residual errors from triplet quadrupoles

* based on the minimization of action kicks over each triplet

* strength determined by cold measurement (assume 10% r.m.s. error in simulation) and design β function

a_1 correctors. 1 per triplet

* correct both magnetic error (triplet & $D\phi$) and misalignment effect

* minimize $\int \sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} a_1 ds$

* use the minimization of verticle dispersion

S. Tepikian & S. Peggs

or by observing BPM turn-by-turn data "eigenmodes" F. Pilat et. al.

Fig. 2-13. Corrector power supplies at 12, 4 and 8 o'clock.

ORDER, n	NORMAL, b_n	SKEW, a_n
0	C1 or C3	C3 or C1
1	-	C2
2	S, (C3)	S (C2)
3	B, S, C1, C3	S, (C2)
4	S, C1	S
5	B, S, C1, C3	S, (C2)
6+	-	-

ble 4 The triplet quadrupole correction strategy.

B : body - ends compensation

S : tuning shims effective

C $_n$: use C $_n$ corrector

Figure 2 The tune footprint before and after correction, by shimming and excitation of the local correctors. The tune spread after correction is negligible compared to the tune spread due to linear chromaticity. (assume $\xi = -3 \rightarrow +2$)

Misalignment issues

error source

- * misalignments between 4 corrector layers
 - * misalignments between quadrupole and attached correctors
 - * misalignment between individual magnets
one cryostat contains 8 elements of 2 rings
 - * misalignment of the cryostat
 - * warm - cold play
- ⇒ center offset, roll, play
- high β , large closed orbit error

triplet dipole correctors are crucial.

Table 2: Effects of a transverse offset of the magnet center in the triplet assembly from its design beam trajectory. When not specified, it is assumed that $\beta^* = 1$ m at storage, the quadrupoles Q1, Q2, and Q3 are operating at 5 kA, and the various layers of the correctors C1, C2, and C3 are operating at 50 A.

Magnet	Offset (mm)	Effects for $\beta^* = 1$ m operation (for $\beta^* = 2$ m operation)	Correction needed (if available)
D0	0.5	aperture reduction of 8% rms beam radius (11% rms beam radius at $\beta^* = 2$ m)	local triplet
Q1	0.5	closed orbit offset of 7 mm at arc ^a (5 mm if $\beta^* = 2$ m)	↓ a_0/b_0 corrector at 5 A
Q2	<u>0.5</u>	closed orbit offset of <u>23 mm</u> at arc ^a (<u>16 mm</u> if $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_0/b_0 corrector at <u>13 A</u>
Q3	0.5	closed orbit offset of 14 mm at arc ^a (10 mm if $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_0/b_0 corrector at 8 A
C2 (a_1)	1	equivalent to $4 \times 10^{-3} I_{max}$ in a_0/b_0 ^b layer	
C2, C3 (a_2/b_2)	1	equivalent to $20 \times 10^{-3} I_{max}$ in a_1/b_1 ^b layer	
C1, C2, C3 (a_3/b_3)	1	equivalent to $30 \times 10^{-3} I_{max}$ in a_2/b_2 ^b layer	
C1 (b_4)	1	equivalent to $60 \times 10^{-3} I_{max}$ in a_3/b_3 ^b layer	
C1, C3 (a_5/b_5)	1	equivalent to $100 \times 10^{-3} I_{max}$ in a_4/b_4 ^b layer	

a) At arc section with $\beta = 50$ m.

b) The amount of feed-down produced is expressed relative to the maximum current I_{max} (Table 1) of the specified 13 cm corrector layer.

Table 3: Effects of a roll of the magnet along the beam trajectory in the triplet assembly. It is assumed that D0, Q1, Q2, and Q3 are operating at 5 kA, and the various layers of C1, C2, and C3 are operating at 50 A.

Magnet	Roll (mrad)	Effects for $\beta^* = 1$ m operation (for $\beta^* = 2$ m operation)	Correction needed (if available)
D0	0.5	closed orbit offset of 1.3 mm at arc (0.9 mm at $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_0/b_0 corrector at 1 A
Q1	0.5	equivalent to $\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.006^a$ ($\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.003$ at $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_1 corrector at 3 A
Q2	0.5	equivalent to $\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.026^a$ ($\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.013$ at $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_1 corrector at 7 A
Q3	0.5	equivalent to $\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.016^a$ ($\Delta Q_{\min} = 0.008$ at $\beta^* = 2$ m)	a_1 corrector at 5 A
C1, C3 (a_0/b_0)	5	equivalent to $5 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in b_0/a_0^b layer	
C2 (a_1)	5	equivalent to $10 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in b_1/a_1^b layer	
C2, C3 (a_2/b_2)	5	equivalent to $15 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in b_2/a_2^b layer	
C1, C2, C3 (a_3/b_3)	5	equivalent to $20 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in b_3/a_3^b layer	
C1 (b_4)	5	equivalent to $25 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in a_4^b layer	
C1, C3 (a_5/b_5)	5	equivalent to $30 \times 10^{-3} I_{\max}$ in b_5/a_5^b layer	

a) Minimum tune split due to linear coupling.

b) Relative to the maximum-current strength of the specified 13 cm corrector layer.

III. Arc region error compensation

Fig. 11-2. Layout of inner(outer) arc half cell.

Field quality issues

error source

* arc dipole

integral transfer function variation $\sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ s.

integral field angle ~ 1 mr s.d.

b_2 , b_4 , a_1
↑ allowed ↑ coldmass asym. in V

body field angle twist pol. proton

* arc quadrupole

ITF $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ s.d.

IFA ~ -1.8 mr, systematic from ends

b_5 , a_5 , b_3
↑ allowed ↑ asym. in H, V. plane

Table II

Some integral multipole harmonics of the RHIC dipoles measured at various test currents (mean $\pm$ standard deviation in prime units⁶ at the reference radius of 2.5 cm).

	a_1	b_2	a_2	b_4
30 A (warm)	0.1 ± 1.5	4.8 ± 1.4	-1.0 ± 0.2	0.0 ± 0.4
660 A (cold)	0.7 ± 1.3	1.0 ± 1.4	-1.0 ± 0.2	-0.6 ± 0.5
1450 A (cold)	0.6 ± 1.2	2.9 ± 1.4	-1.0 ± 0.2	-0.4 ± 0.5
5000 A (cold)	-1.3 ± 1.4	1.4 ± 1.5	-1.1 ± 0.2	-0.1 ± 0.5

Table IV

Some integral multipole harmonics of the RHIC quadrupole magnets measured at various test currents (mean $\pm$ standard deviation in prime units⁶ at the reference radius of 2.5 cm).

	b_3	b_5	a_5
10 A (warm)	-1.4 ± 1.2	1.4 ± 0.5	-3.7 ± 0.3
660 A (cold)	-0.7 ± 1.7	-1.9 ± 0.6	-3.7 ± 0.4
1450 A (cold)	-0.7 ± 1.7	0.5 ± 0.6	-3.7 ± 0.3
5000 A (cold)	-0.7 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 0.6	-3.8 ± 0.3

Table III

Warm measured means and standard deviations (SD) of the integral and body transfer function, integral field angle, body field angle standard deviation, and center offsets of the RHIC arc magnets.

	DRG	QRG	SRE
Integ. trans. func. (relative SD)	3.0×10^{-4}	4.8×10^{-4}	1.8×10^{-3}
Body trans. func. (relative SD)	3.1×10^{-4}	—	—
Integ. field angle (Mean $\pm$ SD) (mr)	-0.5 ± 0.8	-1.8 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.3
Body field angle SD (Mean) (mr)	0.8	—	—
Center offset X_0 (Mean $\pm$ SD) (mm)	—	0.03 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.09
Center offset Y_0 (Mean $\pm$ SD) (mm)	—	0.13 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.03

early stage of arc dipoles; improved later

Figure. 2. RHIC dipole magnet a) vertical field profile at horizontal midplane and b) horizontal field profile at vertical midplane.

Compensation method

- * cross section iteration at design & early production stage no b_3 , b_4 corr. needed
minimize systematics at injection & storage
- * quick feed-back from BNL to builder
when ITF drops 10^{-3} , fixed in 2 weeks
~ 20 magnets
- * sort dipoles on ITF, minimize corrector strength
- * spare "silver" magnets
- * use correctors
 a_0/b_0 , a_1 , (b_3 , b_4)

Fig. 1-11. Arc corrector coil cross-section.

Table 1-19. Operating Parameters of Arc Correctors

Multipole	Inductance (mH)	I_{op} (A)	Field @ 2.5 cm (T)	L_{eff} (m)	I_0 (A)
Decapole	4.3	59.0	0.0154	0.575	202
Octupole	6.9	50.6	0.0164	0.571	198
Quadrupole	25.0	49.8	0.0675	0.555	190
Dipole	687	52.2	0.5903	0.508	160

b_n'
 44.6
 47.5
 195.7

Misalignment issues

error source

- * dipole: displacement of iron center line w.r.t. beam trajectory (sagitta complication)
pipe mismatch,
 - * relative alignment error between sextupole, quadrupole, corrector.
each cryostat contains C, Q, S, (BPM)
 - * transverse & longitudinal plays in cryostat
"springs" inserted
- ⇒ center offset, roll, play

Figure 3: Beam-pipe position measurement data from the survey of 31 dipole (DRG) magnets performed before July 1995.

(cm)

N-G Sagitta Measurement Data (DRG)

June 27, 1995, from 159 magnets

5.08

2.54

0.0

0.0

254

508

762

1016 (cm)

Figure 1: Single arc (solid line) and arc plus straight ends (dashed line) fits to measurements of the iron profile.

mechanically measured at 8 locations

Iron (field) center displacement

July 7, 1995

Figure 2: The radial displacement of the iron center line, relative to the injection trajectory, for dipole placement with the recommended radial offset of 50 mils (solid line), and no offset (dashed line). A positive radial displacement means that the magnet is moved away from the ring center. The end points of the curves are the "beam tubes" referred to in Tables 1 and 2.

Fig. 1-5. Corrector, quadrupole, sextupole (CQS) assembly. Lamination length is shown.

Figure 2: Corrector center offset from the ideal beam orbit determined by averaging the warm-measured positions of the four corrector mechanical fiducials.

Compensation method

- * accurately determine magnetic center
use colloidal cell measurement
- * correct dipole and quadrupole roll
individually during installation
- * align CAS by centering Q and S.
& align C using welding "choreograph"
- * quick feed-back from AP group to
magnet division

See corrector offset trend

208q5a w1

09/27/1995 11

IV. Computer Modeling

TEAPOT filters :

IR correction

split thick dipole, add feeddown

⋮

Comparison between analytical formula & TEAPOT

(storage)

Figure 2: Tune shift of particles of momentum deviation $\Delta p/p = 0, \pm 2.5 \sigma_p$ and betatron amplitude from 0 to 5 σ with the storage lattice and the multipole error in Table 1 when the tuning shims are inserted, calculated a) by using the perturbation methods with HARMON output; b) from the TEAPOT tracking data.

(1993 data)

Comparison between analytical formula & TEAPOT

(injection)

Figure 4: Tune shift of particles of momentum deviation $\Delta p/p = 0, \pm 2.5\sigma$, and betatron amplitude from 0 to 7 σ with the injection lattice and the multipole error for MAC93 tracking run seed #0, calculated a) by using the perturbation methods with HARMON output; b) from the TEAPOT tracking data. Tuning shims are inserted, but octupole and decapole corrections are not.

(1993 data)

Au⁷⁹⁺ Storage in RHIC

→ systematic < a_n/b_n > only

	Baseline ^a	Baseline & random ^b	Baseline ± db ₃ (max) (triplet) ^c	Baseline ± db ₉ (max) (triplet) ^d	Baseline & random ^b & db _n ^e
$\Delta\beta_{x,\text{trip}}/\beta_{x,\text{trip}}$	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02	0.09 ± 0.02
$\Delta\beta_{y,\text{trip}}/\beta_{y,\text{trip}}$	0.20 ± 0.15	0.21 ± 0.15	0.20 ± 0.15	0.20 ± 0.15	0.20 ± 0.15
$\Delta\beta_x^*/\beta_x^*$	-0.03 ± 0.01	-0.03 ± 0.01	-0.03 ± 0.01	-0.03 ± 0.01	-0.03 ± 0.01
$\Delta\beta_y^*/\beta_y^*$	0.10 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.08	0.10 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.09	0.10 ± 0.09
$\Delta D_{x,\text{max}}$ (m)	0.07 ± 0.05	0.08 ± 0.08	0.07 ± 0.05	0.07 ± 0.05	0.07 ± 0.05
$\Delta D_{y,\text{max}}$ (m)	0.9 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.5
<u> Shim size (mm)</u> (maximum) ^f	1.35 ± 0.03	2.69 ± 0.09	1.55 ± 0.03	1.35 ± 0.03	2.56 ± 0.06
<u>Corrector strength:^g</u> <u> a_{1,max} (Amp.)</u>	18 ± 3	19 ± 4	18 ± 3	18 ± 3	19 ± 4
b _{3,max} (Amp.)	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1
b _{4,max} (Amp.)	0.1 ± 0.0	3 ± 1	0.1 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.2
b _{5,max} (Amp.)	10 ± 2	15 ± 2	10 ± 2	10 ± 2	15 ± 2
<u>Tune shift:</u> $\Delta Q_{\text{max}}(5\sigma) (\times 10^{-3})$ (before corrections) ^h	3.0 ± 0.1	5.7 ± 1.6	6.5 ± 0.3	5.4 ± 0.3	7.5 ± 1.2
$\Delta Q_{\text{max}}(5\sigma) (\times 10^{-3})$ (after corrections) ^h	3.1 ± 0.4	4.0 ± 1.5	3.9 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 0.8	5.5 ± 0.8
<u>D. Aperture ($\sigma_{x,y}$)</u> ($\Delta p/p = 0$) ⁱ	<u>5.8 ± 0.4</u>	5.1 ± 0.2	<u>5.5 ± 0.3</u>	<u>5.1 ± 0.2</u>	5.6 ± 0.4
<u>D. Aperture ($\sigma_{x,y}$)</u> ($\Delta p/p = 2.5\sigma_p$) ⁱ	<u>5.1 ± 0.2</u>	4.9 ± 0.2	<u>5.0 ± 0.2</u>	<u>4.6 ± 0.2</u>	5.3 ± 0.4

Table 1: The study is based on Au⁷⁹⁺ ions stored at 100 GeV/u with 95% normalized transverse emittance $\epsilon_N = 40\pi$ mm·mr, r.m.s. momentum spread $\sigma_p = 0.00089$, and $\beta^* = 1$ m. The value listed corresponds to “mean ± r.m.s.” calculated from the four cases of random number seeds #0, 1, 2, and 3.

30 k turns, 100 sync. osc. period
(1993 data)

V. Conclusion

Triplet :

- * An effective triplet compensation requires the knowledge of fringe fields + warm-cold correlation
- * Magnetic field quality can be optimized individually after measurement of coldmass
- * Triplet correctors are crucial for misalignment correction

Arc :

- * Field quality can be improved and maintained at design and production.
"cost negative": no need for decapole correction
- * Accurate survey techniques like the colloidal cell measurement is essential for good alignment

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank G. Ganetis for many helpful discussions.

S. Peggs, R. Gupta, M. Harrison, A. Jain, P. Wanderer,
References S. Tepikian, D. Trbojevic, et.al.

- [1] J. Wei and M. Harrison, *Tune Shift Due to Magnetic Multipoles in RHIC*, XV Intern. Conf. High Energy Accel. (Hamburg), p.1031 (1992).
- [2] J. Wei, R. Gupta, and S. Peggs, *Magnetic Correction of RHIC Triplets*, Proc. 1993 Particle Accelerator Conferences, Washington, D.C., p. 258 (1993).
- [3] J. Wei and S. Peggs, *Requested Systematic Body b_5 Multipoles in RHIC Triplet Quadrupoles*, Note RHIC/AP/18 (1993).
- [4] J. Wei, S. Peggs, S. Tepikian, P.A. Thompson, and D. Trbojevic, *Effects of CQS and Dipole Misalignments in RHIC*, Note RHIC/AP/71 (1995).
- [5] F. Pilat, private communications (1995).
6. S. Peggs, S. Tepikian, D. Trbojevic, J. Wei,
The warm Iron Geometry of Average RHIC
Dipole, RHIC/AP/62 (1995)
7. J. Wei, M. Harrison, S. Peggs, P. Thompson, D. Trbojevic
An estimate on the effect of RHIC Triplet
Misalignment, RHIC/AP/72 (1995)
8. J. Wei, F. Dell, S. Peggs, T. Satogata, S. Tepikian
Comparison of Perturbation Method and
TEAPOT Tracking on Tune Shift Calculation,
RHIC/AP/5 (1993)

Tue Sep 19 16:50:45 1995

Survey information for 'CQS' magnet CQS179; (Model) 8; (BPM type) Horizontal

BNL optical measurement of the fiducials [Inches]:

(x - radially inward;
y - longitudinal;
z - vertical upward)

(** means more than either 2SD from the mean)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
M1(x) :	46.567	40.084	-0.012	-1.2
M4(x) :	47.364	40.773	-0.011	-1.2
M5(x) :	36.966	40.078	-9.621	-1.2
M8(x) :	37.772	40.763	-9.609	-1.2
M1(y) :	47.348	40.753	-0.002	-1.2
M4(y) :	155.296	40.742	107.954	-1.2
M5(y) :	47.411	40.809	0.002	-1.2
M8(y) :	155.367	40.808	107.963	-1.2
M1(z) :	-6.702	5.765	-0.004	1.2
M4(z) :	-6.700	5.769	-0.003	1.2
M5(z) :	-6.713	5.774	-0.019	1.2
M8(z) :	-6.703	5.781	-0.017	1.2

BNL magdiv mechanical measurement of the fiducials [Inches]:

(** means more than either 2SD or 20 mil from mean)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
M1(x) :	-0.005	0.007	-0.006	-0.2
M4(x) :	-0.002	0.007	-0.005	-0.3
M5(x) :	-9.605	0.011	-9.611	-0.6
M8(x) :	-9.593	0.019	-9.602	-0.5
M1(y) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
M4(y) :	108.000	0.000	108.000	NaN
M5(y) :	0.002	0.001	0.002	-0.2
M8(y) :	108.002	0.001	108.002	-0.2
M1(z) :	-0.003	0.005	-0.004	-0.3
M4(z) :	-0.000	0.008	-0.001	-0.1
M5(z) :	-0.005	0.009	-0.017	-1.3
M8(z) :	0.005	0.025	-0.017**	-0.9

magdiv mechanical measurement of the flats [Inches]:

(** means more than either 2SD or 20 mil from mean)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
MP1(x) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP2(x) :	-0.001	0.002	-0.001	0.1
MP3(x) :	0.005	0.004	0.011	1.3
MP4(x) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP5(x) :	-9.602	0.003	-9.600	0.7
MP6(x) :	-9.603	0.004	-9.599	0.9
MP7(x) :	-9.595	0.004	-9.593	0.5
MP8(x) :	-9.601	0.002	-9.599	1.4
ML1(x) :	0.002	0.002	0.005	1.9
ML2(x) :	-0.001	0.006	0.005	0.9
ML3(x) :	-0.007	0.006	-0.001	1.2
ML4(x) :	0.001	0.004	0.006	1.4
ML5(x) :	-9.600	0.003	-9.596	1.1
ML6(x) :	-9.602	0.006	-9.598	0.7
ML7(x) :	-9.607	0.006	-9.597	1.6
ML8(x) :	-9.602	0.005	-9.594	1.6

MP1(y) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP2(y) :	36.000	0.000	36.000	NaN
MP3(y) :	71.000	0.000	71.000	NaN
MP4(y) :	108.000	0.000	108.000	NaN
MP5(y) :	0.002	0.001	0.002	-0.2
MP6(y) :	36.002	0.001	36.002	-0.2
MP7(y) :	71.002	0.001	71.002	-0.2
MP8(y) :	108.002	0.001	108.002	-0.2
ML1(y) :	0.000	0.000	-0.001	-1.5
ML2(y) :	36.000	0.000	35.999	-1.5
ML3(y) :	71.000	0.000	70.999	-1.5
ML4(y) :	108.000	0.000	107.999	-1.5
ML5(y) :	0.002	0.001	0.001	-1.0
ML6(y) :	36.002	0.001	36.001	-1.0
ML7(y) :	71.002	0.001	71.001	-1.0
ML8(y) :	108.002	0.001	108.001	-1.0
MP1(z) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP2(z) :	-0.002	0.006	-0.006	-0.7
MP3(z) :	-0.009	0.007	-0.015	-0.9
MP4(z) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP5(z) :	0.000	0.000	0.000	NaN
MP6(z) :	-0.004	0.006	-0.009	-0.8
MP7(z) :	0.005	0.005	-0.002	-1.5
MP8(z) :	-0.000	0.004	-0.007	-1.6
ML1(z) :	-9.602	0.002	-9.602	0.0
ML2(z) :	-9.601	0.007	-9.604	-0.5
ML3(z) :	-9.606	0.008	-9.612	-0.8
ML4(z) :	-9.599	0.002	-9.599	-0.3
ML5(z) :	-9.602	0.002	-9.603	-0.4
ML6(z) :	-9.602	0.007	-9.607	-0.8
ML7(z) :	-9.591	0.007	-9.598	-1.0
ML8(z) :	-9.599	0.006	-9.606	-1.2

Transformation between magdiv mechanical and survey optical data:

(** means more than either 2SD or 20 mil from mean)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
T_theta :			0.000	
T_psi :			0.000	
T_phi :			-0.000	
T_x[In] :			0.008	
T_y[In] :			0.022	
T_z[In] :			0.000	
T_rms[In] :			0.002	

Transformation between magdiv mechanical and local beam system:

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
Theta :			1.928	
Psi :			-0.726	
Phi :			1.571	
X :			-0.000	
Y :			-0.000	
Z :			-0.000	
rms :			0.000	

Raw warm colloidal data [Inches]:

(5 points for each CQS element)

(** means more than either 2SD or 20 mil from mean)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
Count :	5.000	0.000	5.000	NaN
Mean(x) :	-0.026	0.018	-0.041	-0.8
S.D.(x) :	0.003	0.003	0.010**	2.6
Mean(y) :	73.054	0.000	73.054	0.0
S.D.(y) :	12.847	0.000	12.847	NaN
Mean(z) :	0.012	0.007	0.022	1.5
S.D.(z) :	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.2

Centers of the individual components:

(magdiv coordinate system: x - radially inward;
y - longitudinal;
z - vertical upward)

(Except for colloidal measurement, the y data is from the design value)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from mean (sigma)
--	------	--------------------	----------	------------------------

Quadrupole center from warm colloidal data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

(x) :	-122.096	0.252	-122.499	-1.6
(y) :	1376.299	0.000	1376.299	0.0
(z) :	-121.964	0.201	-121.717	1.2

Quadrupole center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

(x) :	-121.955	0.098	-121.835	1.2
(y) :	1376.299	0.000	1376.299	0.0
(z) :	-121.946	0.137	-122.087	-1.0

Sextupole center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

(x) :	-121.918	0.043	-121.858	1.4
(y) :	315.250	0.000	315.250	0.0
(z) :	-121.950	0.022	-121.956	-0.2

Corrector center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

(x) :	-121.933	0.058	-121.836	1.7
(y) :	2476.551	0.000	2476.551	0.0
(z) :	-121.907	0.061	-122.000	-1.5

Beam position monitor center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

(x) :	-121.763	0.357	-121.739	0.1
(y) :	-286.851	0.471	-287.773	-2.0
(z) :	-122.428	0.300	-122.638	-0.7

Individual warm colloidal data [mm]:

(relative to local beam coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from the mean)

COLW1 x:	-122.085	0.309	-122.603	-1.7
COLW2 x:	-122.112	0.270	-122.567	-1.7
COLW3 x:	-122.106	0.280	-122.684**	-2.1
COLW4 x:	-122.092	0.217	-122.394	-1.4
COLW5 x:	-122.086	0.223	-122.256	-0.8
COLW1 y:	958.977	0.417	958.323	-1.6
COLW2 y:	1165.361	0.422	1164.698	-1.6
COLW3 y:	1371.746	0.427	1371.073	-1.6
COLW4 y:	1578.130	0.432	1577.448	-1.6
COLW5 y:	1784.514	0.437	1783.823	-1.6
COLW1 z:	-122.006	0.224	-121.624	1.7
COLW2 z:	-121.992	0.209	-121.700	1.4
COLW3 z:	-121.959	0.215	-121.726	1.1
COLW4 z:	-121.938	0.206	-121.726	1.0
COLW5 z:	-121.927	0.192	-121.802	0.7

reviewed & accepted

BNL magdiv magnetic measurement of the field angles [m rad]:

(** means more than either 2SD or 2 mrad (Q, S) or 10 mrad (C) from zero)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from zero (sigma)
Quad :	-1.971	0.531	-1.940	0.1
Sext :	-0.846	0.335	-1.070	-0.7
Corr1 :	-6.290	3.435	-10.010**	-1.1
Corr2 :	-5.940	4.934	-11.410**	-1.1
Corr4 :	-4.165	4.043	-6.040	-0.5
Corr5 :	-5.109	2.349	-4.650	0.2

Difference and offset information:

(magdiv coordinate system: H - radially inward;
V - vertical upward;

	Mean	Standard deviation	Measured	Dev. from zero (sigma)
--	------	--------------------	----------	------------------------

Quadrupole center (colloidal - mechanical) [mm]:

(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 0.25 mm from 0)

(H) :	-0.141	0.252	-0.664**	-2.1
(V) :	-0.018	0.176	0.371**	2.2
TOTAL :	0.260	0.204	0.761**	2.4

Corrector (mechanical) offset from beam system origin [mm]:

(relative to local beam coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from 0)

(H) :	0.347	0.525	1.327**	1.9
(V) :	0.071	0.392	-0.531	-1.5
TOTAL :	0.626	0.366	1.430**	2.2

Beam position monitor (electrical) offset from beam system origin [mm]:

(relative to local beam coordinate system)

(** means more than either 2 SD or 1 mm from 0)

(H) :	0.054	0.308	-0.244	-1.0
(V) :	-0.486	0.291	-0.547	-0.2
TOTAL :	0.592	0.236	0.599	0.0

statistical report on alignment (CAS)

jwei@bnl.gov

CQS statistics

1

Tue Sep 19 16:34:35 1995

Survey statistics for 'CQS' magnets:

Data from MAGBASE and SURVBASE

The following magnets from SURVBASE are represented:

CQS150 CQS165 CQS175 CQS176 CQS178 CQS179 CQS181 CQS185 CQS189 CQS190

(total 10 elements)

Survey information for 'CQS' magnet

BNL optical survey measurement of the fiducials [Inches]:

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
M1(x) :	46.567	40.084	10
M4(x) :	47.364	40.773	10
M5(x) :	36.966	40.078	10
M8(x) :	37.772	40.763	10
M1(y) :	47.348	40.753	10
M4(y) :	155.296	40.742	10
M5(y) :	47.411	40.809	10
M8(y) :	155.367	40.808	10
M1(z) :	-6.702	5.765	10
M4(z) :	-6.700	5.769	10
M5(z) :	-6.713	5.774	10
M8(z) :	-6.703	5.781	10

BNL magdiv mechanical measurement of the fiducials [Inches]:

(magdiv coordinate system: x - radially inward;

y - longitudinal;

z - vertical upward)

(Except for colloidal measurement, the y data is from the design value)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
M1(x) :	-0.005	0.007	10
M4(x) :	-0.002	0.007	10
M5(x) :	-9.605	0.011	10
M8(x) :	-9.593	0.019	10
M1(y) :	0.000	0.000	10
M4(y) :	108.000	0.000	10
M5(y) :	0.002	0.001	10
M8(y) :	108.002	0.001	10
M1(z) :	-0.003	0.005	10
M4(z) :	-0.000	0.008	10
M5(z) :	-0.005	0.009	10
M8(z) :	0.005	0.025	10

magdiv mechanical measurement of the flats [Inches]:

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
MP1(x) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP2(x) :	-0.001	0.002	10
MP3(x) :	0.005	0.004	10
MP4(x) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP5(x) :	-9.602	0.003	10
MP6(x) :	-9.603	0.004	10
MP7(x) :	-9.595	0.004	10
MP8(x) :	-9.601	0.002	10

ML1(x) :	0.002	0.002	10
ML2(x) :	-0.001	0.006	10
ML3(x) :	-0.007	0.006	10
ML4(x) :	0.001	0.004	10
ML5(x) :	-9.600	0.003	10
ML6(x) :	-9.602	0.006	10
ML7(x) :	-9.607	0.006	10
ML8(x) :	-9.602	0.005	10
MP1(y) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP2(y) :	36.000	0.000	10
MP3(y) :	71.000	0.000	10
MP4(y) :	108.000	0.000	10
MP5(y) :	0.002	0.001	10
MP6(y) :	36.002	0.001	10
MP7(y) :	71.002	0.001	10
MP8(y) :	108.002	0.001	10
ML1(y) :	0.000	0.000	10
ML2(y) :	36.000	0.000	10
ML3(y) :	71.000	0.000	10
ML4(y) :	108.000	0.000	10
ML5(y) :	0.002	0.001	10
ML6(y) :	36.002	0.001	10
ML7(y) :	71.002	0.001	10
ML8(y) :	108.002	0.001	10
MP1(z) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP2(z) :	-0.002	0.006	10
MP3(z) :	-0.009	0.007	10
MP4(z) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP5(z) :	0.000	0.000	10
MP6(z) :	-0.004	0.006	10
MP7(z) :	0.005	0.005	10
MP8(z) :	-0.000	0.004	10
ML1(z) :	-9.602	0.002	10
ML2(z) :	-9.601	0.007	10
ML3(z) :	-9.606	0.008	10
ML4(z) :	-9.599	0.002	10
ML5(z) :	-9.602	0.002	10
ML6(z) :	-9.602	0.007	10
ML7(z) :	-9.591	0.007	10
ML8(z) :	-9.599	0.006	10

Transformation between magdiv mechanical and survey optical data:

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
T_rms[In]:	0.002	0.001	10

Raw warm colloidal data [Inches]:

(5 points for each CQS element)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
Count :	5.000	0.000	10
Mean(x) :	-0.026	0.018	10
S.D.(x) :	0.003	0.003	10
Mean(y) :	73.054	0.000	10
S.D.(y) :	12.847	0.000	10
Mean(z) :	0.012	0.007	10
S.D.(z) :	0.002	0.001	10

Centers of the individual components:

(magdiv coordinate system: H - radially inward;

Distribution statistics for 'DRG' magnets

Tue Oct 10 13:22:04 1995

Harmonic data were read from file
'/home/owl/public/magdiv_data/sds/se15.sds'

The following magnets are fully or partially represented:

DRG101 DRG102 DRG103 DRG104 DRG105 DRG106 DRG107 DRG108 DRG109 DRG110 DRG111
DRG112 DRG113 DRG114 DRG115 DRG116 DRG117 DRG118 DRG119 DRG120 DRG121 DRG122
DRG123 DRG124 DRG125 DRG126 DRG127 DRG128 DRG129 DRG130 DRG131 DRG132 DRG133
DRG134 DRG135 DRG136 DRG137 DRG138 DRG139 DRG140 DRG141 DRG142 DRG143 DRG144
DRG145 DRG146 DRG147 DRG148 DRG149 DRG150 DRG151 DRG152 DRG153 DRG154 DRG155
DRG156 DRG157 DRG158 DRG159 DRG160 DRG161 DRG162 DRG163 DRG164 DRG165 DRG166
DRG167 DRG168 DRG169 DRG170 DRG171 DRG172 DRG173 DRG174 DRG175 DRG176 DRG177
DRG178 DRG179 DRG180 DRG181 DRG182 DRG183 DRG184 DRG185 DRG186 DRG187 DRG188
DRG190 DRG191 DRG192 DRG193 DRG194 DRG195 DRG196 DRG197 DRG198 DRG199 DRG200
DRG201 DRG202 DRG203 DRG204 DRG205 DRG206 DRG207 DRG208 DRG209 DRG210 DRG211
DRG212 DRG213 DRG214 DRG215 DRG216 DRG217 DRG218 DRG219 DRG220 DRG221 DRG222
DRG223 DRG224 DRG501 DRG502 DRG503 DRG504 DRG505 DRG506 DRG507 DRG508 DRG509
DRG510 DRG511 DRG512 DRG513 DRG514 DRG515 DRG516 DRG517 DRG518 DRG519 DRG520
DRG521 DRG522 DRG523 DRG524 DRG525 DRG526 DRG527 DRG528 DRG529 DRG530 DRG531
DRG532 DRG533 DRG534 DRG535 DRG536 DRG537 DRG538 DRG539 DRG540 DRG541 DRG542
DRG543 DRG544 DRG545 DRG546 DRG547 DRG548 DRG549 DRG550 DRG551 DRG552 DRG553
DRG554 DRG555 DRG556 DRG557 DRG558 DRG559 DRG560 DRG561 DRG562 DRG563 DRG564
DRG565 DRG566 DRG567 DRG568 DRG569 DRG570 DRG571 DRG572 DRG573 DRG574 DRG575
DRG576 DRG577 DRG578 DRG579 DRG580 DRG581 DRG582 DRG583 DRG584 DRG585 DRG586
DRG587 DRG588 DRG589 DRG590 DRG591 DRG592 DRG593 DRG594 DRG595 DRG596 DRG597
DRG598 DRG599 DRG600 DRG601 DRG602 DRG603 DRG604 DRG605 DRG606 DRG607 DRG608
DRG609 DRG610 DRG611 DRG612 DRG613 DRG614 DRG615 DRG616 DRG617 DRG618 DRG619
DRG620

Test current [Amps]	Up, Down, Warm	average	standard deviation	no. of elements evaluated
---------------------------	----------------------	---------	-----------------------	---------------------------------

Effective Magnetic Length [m]

30.0	W	9.4485	0.0022
570.0	U	9.4218	0.0041
660.0	U	9.4235	0.0031
1405.0	U	9.4154	0.0000
1450.0	U	9.4308	0.0034
2400.0	U	9.4291	0.0049
5000.0	U	9.4414	0.0036
6800.0	U	9.4386	0.0000

Mechanical Length (N-G) [m]

9.7170 0.0017 241

Integrated Transfer Function [Tm/kA] (>X means from intfield table)

30.0	W	6.65348	0.00273	243
30.0	U	6.65479	0.00215	240 X
570.0	U	6.66657	0.00373	11
660.0	U	6.67015	0.00264	51 X
660.0	D	6.69135	0.00263	50 X
1405.0	U	6.66506	0.00000	1
1450.0	U	6.67716	0.00214	51 X
1450.0	D	6.68624	0.00211	50 X
2400.0	U	6.67397	0.00200	51 X
2400.0	D	6.67984	0.00197	50 X
5000.0	U	6.41767	0.00242	51 X
5000.0	D	6.42042	0.00221	50 X
6800.0	U	6.06393	0.00000	1

Body Transfer Function [T/kA]

30.0	W	0.70418	0.00022	243
570.0	U	0.70757	0.00036	11
660.0	U	0.70785	0.00033	55
1405.0	U	0.70789	0.00000	1
1450.0	U	0.70800	0.00030	52
2400.0	U	0.70780	0.00015	8
5000.0	U	0.67971	0.00032	54
6800.0	U	0.64246	0.00000	1

Integrated Field Angle [mrad]

30.0	W	-0.7208	0.7348	243
570.0	U	-0.6400	0.7145	11
660.0	U	-1.0010	1.0413	50
1405.0	U	0.7900	0.0000	1
1450.0	U	-1.0448	1.0188	50
2400.0	U	-1.3025	0.9140	8
5000.0	U	-1.0818	1.0439	51
6800.0	U	-0.8400	0.0000	1

Body Field Angle Average [mrad]

30.0	W	-0.5840	0.7624	243
570.0	U	-0.4464	0.8322	11
660.0	U	-0.9145	1.0836	55
1405.0	U	1.1400	0.0000	1
1450.0	U	-0.9842	1.0544	52
2400.0	U	-1.1887	0.8800	8
5000.0	U	-0.9441	1.0793	54
6800.0	U	-0.7100	0.0000	1

Body Field Angle Maximum Absolute Deviation [mrad]

30.0	W	1.1111	0.5563	243
570.0	U	1.2409	0.6234	11
660.0	U	1.1515	0.6304	55
1405.0	U	0.4300	0.0000	1
1450.0	U	1.1692	0.6332	52
2400.0	U	0.7113	0.2459	8
5000.0	U	1.1580	0.6373	54
6800.0	U	0.5100	0.0000	1

Body Field Angle Standard Deviation [mrad]

30.0	W	0.6820	0.3405	243
570.0	U	0.7645	0.4075	11
660.0	U	0.6940	0.3825	55
1405.0	U	0.2300	0.0000	1
1450.0	U	0.7085	0.3839	52
2400.0	U	0.4587	0.2009	8
5000.0	U	0.7013	0.3838	54
6800.0	U	0.3300	0.0000	1

Center Offset X_0 [mm]

Center Offset Y_0 [mm]

N-G Mechanical Data:

Field Angle Average [mrad]
-0.0547 0.0753 241

Field Angle Standard Deviation [mrad]
0.9645 0.5363 241

Field Angle Twist (Max. - Min.) [mrad]
2.3679 1.2733 241

V - vertical upward;

L - longitudinal)

(Except for colloidal measurement, the L data is from the design value)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
--	------	-----------------------	-------------------

Quadrupole center from warm colloidal data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -122.096	0.252	10
V	: -121.964	0.201	10
L	: 1376.299	0.000	10

Quadrupole center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -121.955	0.098	10
V	: -121.946	0.137	10
L	: 1376.299	0.000	10

Sextupole center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -121.933	0.058	10
V	: -121.907	0.061	10
L	: 2476.551	0.000	10

corrector center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -121.933	0.058	10
V	: -121.907	0.061	10
L	: 2476.551	0.000	10

Beam Position Monitor center from mechanical data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -121.763	0.357	10
V	: -122.428	0.300	10
L	: -286.851	0.471	10

Individual colloidal data [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

COLW1 x:	-122.085	0.309	10
COLW2 x:	-122.112	0.270	10
COLW3 x:	-122.106	0.280	10
COLW4 x:	-122.092	0.217	10
COLW5 x:	-122.086	0.223	10
COLW1 y:	958.977	0.417	10
COLW2 y:	1165.361	0.422	10
COLW3 y:	1371.746	0.427	10
COLW4 y:	1578.130	0.432	10
COLW5 y:	1784.514	0.437	10
COLW1 z:	-122.006	0.224	10
COLW2 z:	-121.992	0.209	10
COLW3 z:	-121.959	0.215	10
COLW4 z:	-121.938	0.206	10
COLW5 z:	-121.927	0.192	10

BNL magdiv magnetic measurement of the field angles [m rad]:
(relative to base plate fiducials):

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
Quad :	-1.971	0.531	10
Sext :	-0.846	0.335	10
Corr1 :	-6.290	3.435	10
Corr2 :	-5.940	4.934	8
Corr4 :	-4.165	4.043	8
Corr5 :	-5.109	2.349	8

Difference and offset information:

(magdiv coordinate system: H - radially inward;

V - vertical upward;

L - longitudinal)

(Except for colloidal measurement, the L data is from the design value.
The beam system is defined by quad center measured by warm colloid
and sextupole center measured mechanically)

	Mean	Standard deviation	Magnet counted
--	------	-----------------------	-------------------

Quadrupole center (colloidal - mechanical) [mm]:
(relative to magdiv coordinate system)

H	: -0.141	0.252	10
V	: -0.018	0.176	10
L	: 0.000	0.000	10
TOTAL	: 0.260	0.204	10

Corrector (mechanical) offset from beam system origin [mm]:
(relative to local beam coordinate system)

H	: 0.347	0.525	10
V	: 0.071	0.392	10
L	: 0.000	0.000	10
TOTAL	: 0.626	0.366	10

Beam position monitor (electrical) offset from beam system origin [mm]:
(relative to local beam coordinate system)

H	: 0.054	0.308	10
V	: -0.486	0.291	10
L	: -0.000	0.000	10
TOTAL	: 0.592	0.236	10

Mean Cold Mass Sagitta (N-G) (m)

0.0485	0.0011	240
--------	--------	-----

Fiducial Deviation (Ref: 4.8 Inches) (Inches):

(LE: Lead end; H: Horizontal; I: Inner)
(NLE: Non-lead end; V: Vertical; O: Outer)

LEIH	-0.001	0.009	212
LEIV	-0.002	0.009	216
LEOH	-0.004	0.011	222
LEOV	0.000	0.012	218
NLEIH	-0.003	0.010	216
NLEIV	-0.001	0.010	220
NLEOH	-0.002	0.008	221
NLEOV	-0.002	0.008	215

Sagitta (Inches):

SAGITTA1	0.000	0.000	0 (at	4.000 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA2	0.636	0.019	240 (at	56.000 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA3	1.487	0.037	240 (at	120.000 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA4	1.825	0.043	240 (at	183.200 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA5	1.814	0.042	240 (at	197.600 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA6	1.540	0.035	240 (at	268.200 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA7	0.848	0.023	240 (at	339.200 inches f
+ rom LE)				
SAGITTA8	0.000	0.000	0 (at	376.800 inches f
+ rom LE)				

Upper Yoke Weight [lb] (starting Seq. No.63)

3038.7914	2.2990	179
-----------	--------	-----

Lower Yoke Weight [lb] (starting Seq. No.63)

3045.7724	2.1793	179
-----------	--------	-----

Total Yoke Weight [lb] (starting Seq. No.63)

6084.5638	2.3736	179
-----------	--------	-----

Harmonics reported below are sometimes followed by '!', '*', or '***'

This means either that

- 1) is outside its expected +/- D range by 'error', or
- 2) sig(b) is larger than its expected sig(b) by 'error', where

'!' means 'error' > 0.1,
'*' means 'error' > 0.5, and
'***' means 'error' > 2.0

INTEGRAL harmonics in Units

Normal harmonics					Skew harmonics				
n	Expected 	D	Measured sig(b)	Measured sig(b)	Expected <a>	D<a>	Measured sig(a)	Measured sig(a)	
30.0 Amps W (from 243 elements)									
0			10000.0	0.0					
1	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.0	
2	4.0	4.0	2.3	3.8	-1.1	0.1	0.5	1.6	
3	0.0	0.2	0.3	-0.0	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.5	

4	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	-0.0	0.2
6	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
10	-0.5	0.0	0.1	-0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0

570.0 Amps U (from 11 elements)

0				10000.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
1				0.2	0.3				-0.5	1.3
2				-1.9	1.3				-0.9	0.2
3				0.0	0.1				0.2	0.4
4				0.0	0.5				0.2	0.1
5				0.0	0.0				0.1	0.2
6				-0.1	0.1				-0.1	0.0
7				-0.0	0.0				0.0	0.1
8				0.0	0.1				0.0	0.0
9				0.0	0.0				0.1	0.0
10				-0.6	0.0				-0.0	0.0

660.0 Amps U (from 50 elements)

0				10000.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
1	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.1	0.2	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.4	1.4
2	0.0	4.0	2.3	0.3	2.0	-1.1	0.1	0.5	-1.0	0.2
3	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.4
4	0.0	1.0	0.6	-0.4	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.2
6	0.0	0.2	0.2	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0
8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
10	-0.5	0.0	0.1	-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0

1405.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)

0				10000.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
1				0.2	0.0				-2.1	0.0
2				1.6	0.0				-0.8	0.0
3				-0.0	0.0				0.2	0.0
4				0.5	0.0				0.2	0.0
5				0.0	0.0				0.2	0.0
6				-0.0	0.0				-0.1	0.0
7				0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
8				-0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
9				0.0	0.0				0.1	0.0
10				-0.6	0.0				-0.0	0.0

1450.0 Amps U (from 50 elements)

0				10000.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
1	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.0	1.3	0.4	1.4
2	1.7	4.0	2.3	2.5	1.6	-1.1	0.1	0.5	-1.0	0.2
3	0.0	0.2	0.3	-0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.4
4	0.2	1.0	0.6	-0.2	0.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1
6	0.1	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0
8	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
10	-0.5	0.0	0.1	-0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0

2400.0 Amps U (from 8 elements)

0				10000.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
1				-0.0	0.2				1.5	1.3
2				4.1	1.0				-1.1	0.2
3				0.0	0.1				-0.1	0.4
4				-0.8	0.3				0.2	0.0
5				0.0	0.0				-0.1	0.1

6	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0
7	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
8	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	-0.6	0.0	-0.0	0.0

5000.0 Amps U (from 51 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0 0.4 0.8	0.1 0.2	-2.0 0.5 1.3	-1.4 1.4
2	0.0 4.0 2.3	1.2 1.6	-1.1 0.1 0.5	-1.1 0.2
3	0.0 0.2 0.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3 1.0	-0.3 0.4
4	0.4 1.0 0.6	0.1 0.6	0.2 0.1 0.2	0.2 0.1
5	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 0.3	-0.0 0.2
6	1.3 0.1 0.2	1.2 0.1	-0.1 0.0 0.1	-0.1 0.0
7	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
8	0.3 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0
9	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0
10	-0.5 0.0 0.1	-0.6 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0

6800.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.4	0.0	-1.1	0.0
2	-4.1	0.0	-0.6	0.0
3	0.1	0.0	-0.6	0.0
4	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
6	1.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0
7	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10	-0.6	0.0	-0.0	0.0

BODY harmonics in Units

n	Normal harmonics			Skew harmonics		
	Expected	Measured		Expected	Measured	
		D	sig(b)	<a>	D<a>	sig(a)
30.0 Amps W (from 243 elements)						
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0 0.4 0.8	0.2 0.4	0.0 1.0 1.3	0.2 1.6		
2	1.4 4.0 2.3	1.4 1.7	0.0 0.4 0.5	-0.0 0.2		
3	0.0 0.2 0.3	-0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3 1.0	0.0 0.5		
4	0.5 1.0 0.6	0.2 0.5	0.0 0.1 0.2	-0.1 0.1		
5	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 0.3	0.0 0.2		
6	0.2 0.2 0.1	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0		
7	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.1		
8	0.3 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0		
9	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0		
10	-0.5 0.0 0.1	-0.5 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0		

570.0 Amps U (from 11 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.2	0.2	-0.1	1.3
2	-4.3	1.3	0.1	0.2
3	-0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4
4	0.1	0.6	-0.0	0.1
5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2
6	-0.3	0.1	-0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
8	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10	-0.6	0.0	-0.0	0.0

660.0 Amps U (from 55 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

1	0.0 0.4 0.8	0.1 0.2	0.0 1.0 1.3	0.7 1.5
2	-2.5 4.0 2.3	-2.2 2.0	0.0 0.4 0.5	0.0 0.2
3	0.0 0.2 0.3	-0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3 1.0	0.0 0.4
4	0.0 1.0 0.6	-0.4 0.6	0.0 0.1 0.2	-0.0 0.1
5	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 0.3	0.0 0.2
6	-0.1 0.2 0.2	-0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
7	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.1
8	0.3 0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
9	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0
10	-0.5 0.0 0.1	-0.6 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0

1405.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.2	0.0	-2.1	0.0
2	-0.8	0.0	0.2	0.0
3	-0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
4	0.6	0.0	-0.1	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
6	-0.1	0.0	-0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10	-0.6	0.0	-0.0	0.0

1450.0 Amps U (from 52 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0 0.4 0.8	0.2 0.2	0.0 1.0 1.3	0.6 1.4
2	-0.8 4.0 2.3	0.1 1.7	0.0 0.4 0.5	0.0 0.2
3	0.0 0.2 0.3	-0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3 1.0	0.0 0.4
4	0.0 1.0 0.6	-0.2 0.6	0.0 0.1 0.2	-0.0 0.1
5	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 0.3	0.0 0.2
6	0.0 0.2 0.2	-0.1 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
7	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
8	0.3 0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
9	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0
10	-0.5 0.0 0.1	-0.5 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0

2400.0 Amps U (from 8 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0	0.2	1.9	1.4
2	2.0	1.1	-0.1	0.2
3	0.0	0.1	-0.1	0.4
4	-0.8	0.3	-0.0	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.1
6	-0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
7	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
8	0.3	0.1	-0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	-0.5	0.0	-0.0	0.0

5000.0 Amps U (from 54 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0 0.4 0.8	0.1 0.2	-2.5 0.5 1.3	-1.3* 1.5
2	-2.8 4.0 2.3	-1.7 1.6	0.0 0.4 0.5	-0.1 0.2
3	0.0 0.2 0.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.3 1.0	-0.4 0.4
4	0.5 1.0 0.6	0.1 0.6	0.0 0.1 0.2	-0.0 0.1
5	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.1 0.3	-0.0 0.2
6	1.2 0.2 0.2	1.1 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
7	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.1
8	0.3 0.1 0.1	0.2 0.1	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0
9	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	0.0 0.0
10	-0.5 0.0 0.1	-0.6 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.1	-0.0 0.0

6800.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)				
0	10000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.2	0.0	-0.9	0.0
2	-7.9	0.0	0.5	0.0

3	0.1	0.0	-0.6	0.0
4	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.0
5	0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0
6	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
10	-0.6	0.0	-0.0	0.0

LEAD END multipoles in Unit meters

n	Normal harmonics				Skew harmonics			
	Expected 	D	sig(b)	Measured sig(b)	Expected <a>	D<a>	sig(a)	Measured sig(a)
30.0 Amps W (from 243 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1	-2.0	2.0	1.0	0.7*	2.0	4.0	1.0	-2.3!
2	17.0	2.0	2.0	19.2!	-10.0	1.0	1.0	-10.1
3	0.3	0.2	0.2	-0.0!	0.0	1.0	1.0	-0.1
4	1.0	0.5	0.2	-0.4*	2.0	0.5	0.3	2.2
5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	1.0	0.2	-0.1
6	1.0	0.5	0.1	1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.2	-0.8
7	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0
8	-0.2	0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
9	-0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
10	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.0

570.0 Amps U (from 11 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1				-0.2				1.7
2				18.9				0.4
3				0.2				0.2
4				-0.4				0.1
5				0.0				0.1
6				1.1				0.0
7				0.0				0.0
8				-0.0				0.2
9				-0.0				0.0
10				-0.1				0.0

660.0 Amps U (from 53 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1	-2.0	2.0	1.0	-0.4	2.0	4.0	1.0	-2.3!
2	17.0	2.0	2.0	18.7	-10.0	1.0	1.0	-10.0
3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	1.0	1.0	-0.1
4	1.0	0.5	0.2	-0.2*	2.0	0.5	0.3	2.2
5	0.0	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	-0.0
6	1.0	0.5	0.1	1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.2	-0.8
7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
8	-0.2	0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
9	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
10	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.0

1405.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1				-1.3				-1.7
2				18.8				-9.9
3				0.1				-0.1
4				-0.7				2.2
5				0.1				-0.2
6				1.0				-0.9
7				0.0				-0.1
8				0.0				0.2
9				0.0				-0.0
10				-0.1				0.0

1450.0 Amps U (from 51 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1	-2.0	2.0	1.0	-0.3	2.0	4.0	1.0	-2.4!
2	17.0	2.0	2.0	18.6	-10.0	1.0	1.0	-9.9
3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	1.0	1.0	-0.1
4	1.0	0.5	0.2	-0.2*	2.0	0.5	0.3	2.2
5	0.0	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	-0.0
6	1.0	0.5	0.1	1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.2	-0.8
7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
8	-0.2	0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
9	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
10	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0

2400.0 Amps U (from 8 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1				-0.3				-2.4
2				17.6				-9.7
3				-0.0				-0.1
4				-0.1				2.1
5				0.0				0.0
6				1.1				-0.9
7				-0.0				-0.0
8				-0.1				0.2
9				-0.0				-0.0
10				-0.1				-0.1

5000.0 Amps U (from 53 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1	-2.0	2.0	1.0	-0.5	2.0	4.0	1.0	-1.4
2	21.0	2.0	2.0	22.1	-10.0	1.0	1.0	-9.9
3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.1
4	1.0	0.5	0.2	-0.4*	2.0	0.5	0.3	2.2
5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.2	0.0
6	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.9	-0.9	0.2	0.2	-0.9
7	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
8	-0.2	0.2	0.1	-0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2
9	-0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0
10	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	-0.0

6800.0 Amps U (from 1 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1				1.2				-2.5
2				26.3				-10.5
3				0.4				-0.2
4				0.4				2.3
5				0.2				-0.1
6				1.0				-0.9
7				0.0				0.0
8				0.0				0.3
9				-0.0				-0.0
10				-0.1				-0.0

RETURN END multipoles in Unit meters

n	Normal harmonics				Skew harmonics			
	Expected 	D	sig(b)	Measured sig(b)	Expected <a>	D<a>	sig(a)	Measured sig(a)
30.0 Amps W (from 243 elements)								
0				0.0				0.0
1	1.4	1.0	1.0	0.2!	3.0	0.5	1.0	-0.0**
2	-3.0	1.0	1.0	3.8**	-0.8	0.5	1.0	0.4*
3	0.3	0.1	0.1	-0.0!	0.2	0.3	0.5	-0.0
4	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
5	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	-0.0!

5000.0 Amps	U (from	52 elements)								
0		0.0	0.0						0.0	0.0
1	1.4 1.0 1.0	0.2	0.6	3.0	0.5	1.0		0.8*	1.8	
2	-1.0 4.0 1.0	5.9**	1.0	-0.8	0.5	1.0		0.3*	0.3	
3	0.3 0.1 0.1	-0.0!	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5		0.2	0.3	
4	0.8 0.5 0.2	0.0!	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1		0.0	0.1	
5	0.0 0.1 0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2		-0.0!	0.1	
6	0.0 0.1 0.1	-0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		-0.0	0.0	
7	0.0 0.1 0.1	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		-0.0	0.0	
8	-0.2 0.1 0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1		-0.0	0.0	
9	-0.2 0.1 0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		-0.0	0.0	
10	-0.1 0.1 0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1		0.0	0.0	

```

6800.0 Amps      U (from      1 elements)
0                0.0          0.0                0.0          0.0
1                0.5          0.0                0.4          0.0
2                10.1         0.0                0.3          0.0
3                0.1          0.0                0.1          0.0
4                0.5          0.0               -0.0          0.0
5                0.0          0.0               -0.2          0.0
6                0.1          0.0               -0.1          0.0
7               -0.0          0.0               -0.0          0.0
8               -0.2          0.0               -0.0          0.0
9               -0.1          0.0               -0.0          0.0
10              -0.1          0.0                0.0          0.0

```

2400.0 Amps	U (from	8 elements)		
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1	0.0	0.5	-1.0	2.1
2	2.7	0.7	0.1	0.2
3	-0.0	0.2	-0.0	0.2
4	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0
5	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.1
6	0.0	0.1	-0.0	0.0
7	-0.0	0.0	-0.0	0.1