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ABSTRACT

The study defines a program for the development of large sodium pumps for use with sodium cooled reactor systems of 1000–1500 MWe capability, and the functional requirements of a related sodium pump test facility for testing large pumps. The future pump requirements of large power systems have been estimated, a type of sodium pump recommended for further development, the development problems identified and a program research and development prepared to resolve these problems. The functional requirements of a sodium pump test facility for testing pumps for large reactor use have been established.
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1.0 SUMMARY

While many nuclear reactor systems have been shown to be technically capable of producing power, long range forecasts for the 1970's emphasize the economic importance of large (1000 - 1500 MWe) plants. The successful utilization of sodium cooled reactors for this service depends upon the development and testing of large plant components including pumps. This report investigates the requirements of pumps for this service and the related development program and test facility necessary to their development.

Sodium pumps may be classified into three general types: mechanical, electromagnetic and a combination of the two, mechanical-electromagnetic. Mechanical liquid sodium pumps have been constructed with fluid horsepower ratings from 2-1000 HP with capacities to 13,000 GPM with efficiencies to 77%. A majority of these pumps use oil lubricated mechanical face type gas seals shaft seals. Almost all the primary and secondary pumps in reactor service today are of this type. A large number of electromagnetic pumps have been constructed predominantly in small sizes, although capacities to 10,000 GPM with fluid HP ratings to 440 HP have been built and tested. Efficiencies as high as 43% have been obtained on large AC linear induction and DC conduction type pumps. Promising development work is currently underway on mechanical-electromagnetic pumps of the helical rotor type and pumps of this type rated at 1000 fluid horsepower and capacities to 3000 GPM have been tested with efficiencies to 28%.

Contrary to experience with other types of sodium components, pump performance to date has been essentially up to expectations and malfunctions have been comparatively few. Difficulties have been reported primarily in the area of seal and bearing design, which seem to be amenable to correction with further development. The present status of pump technology appear to offer a sound base from which to proceed to the development of larger pumps for future requirements. The current status of sodium pump technology has previously been reported in the topical report WCAP-2255, Survey of Sodium Pump Technology, and is summarized in the body of this report.

Because the pumps are but one component of a complex interrelated system, the functional requirements are dictated by many factors, among which are reactor core design and overall economic consideration. Experience with other reactor systems has indicated that improvement in the economics may be expected by increasing heat extraction per unit of coolant flow and by minimizing the number of coolant flow loops. Opposing this trend are safety limitations imposed by the sensitivity of reactor core design to increased heat removal per unit of coolant and requirement of adequate cooling upon failure of a single heat transfer loop. From a review of current designs and future trends in conjunction with the magnitude of the various functional parameters, it is concluded that it may be reasonably expected that future large reactor plants will require pumps with a capacity of 50,000 to 100,000 GPM developing heads of 200 to 350 feet of fluid.
1.0 SUMMARY (CONT.)

An evaluation of the relative reliability, design predictability, cost, both initial and operating, and consideration of reactor systems requirements led to the selection of the mechanical shaft sealed pump as the most desirable type of pump for development for future reactor use. The preferred design selected is based on the "free surface" concept in which a interface is maintained in the pump casing between the liquid sodium and an inert cover gas. A shaft seal is provided to maintain the inert gas atmosphere within the pump tank. The concept utilizes a sodium lubricated radial shaft bearing. A promising alternate is a totally enclosed motor pump in which the shaft seal is not required and in which the sodium is isolated from the motor cavity by inert gas pressure in the enclosed motor casing.

The successful operation of mechanical "free surface" shaft sealed pumps rated at 1000 fluid HP indicates the general feasibility of the concept. However, further development is indicated to be necessary in the key areas of seal design, bearing design and improved pump hydraulics before the concept can be reliably scaled up to future requirements.

An overall pump development program totaling approximately $22,000,000 to be carried out over a period of 12 years is defined. The program includes development of the fundamental design characteristics of critical pump components, the design and fabrication of development pumps of various sizes and types for testing and the cost of testing including the construction and operation of a Sodium Pump Test Facility.

In the area of sodium lubricated bearings the program provides for the determination of basic performance characteristics of both hydrostatic bearings and pivoted pad journal bearings; the selection, application, and fabricability of bearing materials and the accelerated wear testing of materials under stop-start and thermal shock conditions; and the measurement of radial bearing loads model testing of proposed pump designs.

The program requires the construction and model testing of both single and double suction pumps in order to optimize hydraulics and minimize both radial bearings loads and required net positive suction heads.

The program also provides for analysis of ancillary design problems related to flow control, fluid stability studies, thermal stress and expansion problems, dynamics and vibration analysis and instrumentation and control requirements.

Although the development program provides for testing and development of individual pump components, prudence dictates that full scale demonstration and proof testing of a prototype pump is necessary and desirable prior to installation in a major power reactor. The synergistic effects of individually tested model components on overall pump performance are traditionally unpredictable. Even
though firm price and performance guarantees might be obtained from suppliers, consequential damages resulting from pump failure are not recoverable. A full scale flexible sodium pump test facility to provide for full scale testing of development pumps and the demonstration and proof testing of reactor pumps is indicated.

A flexible sodium pump test facility must provide for testing: (1) pump characteristics, (2) speed/flow control response, (3) "thermal shock resistance", (4) performance under extended operational tests both in steady state and cyclic flow conditions.

To provide for the necessary testing of pumps required for future large reactor use such a facility must provide flow capability to 120,000 GPM, operating sodium temperature over the range of 400°F to 1200°F, provision for induced thermal transients of 10°F/second, variable net positive suction head up to 50 psig and variable discharge heads of up to 350 feet of sodium.

An initial concept of a sodium pump test facility to meet the general requirements of the program provides for two independent parallel 60,000 GPM test loops. Each test loop incorporates a pump test stand, a 5 MWe pump power supply, a sodium flow measurement device, a flow control valve, a sodium to air heat sink, and a sodium head tank. A drain tank common to both loops is provided. Thermal transients will be induced in one loop at a time by a feed and bleed system from the alternate loop operated at a different temperature. The concept includes a third pump test stand that will permit installation of a 120,000 GPM pump which will utilize both loops in parallel to provide the necessary flow capacity.

The overall test facility will include necessary auxiliary systems and equipment to provide for heating, inert gas pressurization, sodium purification, and pump handling and inspection.

The preliminary design and cost estimate of a sodium pump test facility will be investigated in Phase II (See Page 5) of the contract and reported separately.
2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 BACKGROUND

While many nuclear reactor systems have been shown to be technically capable of producing power, long range forecasts of power demands for the 1970's and nuclear system cost trends emphasize strongly the economic importance of larger (1000-1500 MWe) plants for future utility application. The successful utilization of sodium cooled reactors for this service depends to an important degree upon the development and testing of larger and more economic system components. Key components include sodium–sodium heat exchangers, sodium steam generators, sodium circulating pumps and related flow measurement and control devices. An extensive program for the design and development of sodium heat exchangers, and for the construction and operation of a facility in which to test them is being carried out by the AEC as an important step toward the development of large sodium components. This study investigates the requirements and scope of a similar type and complementary program for the development and testing of large sodium pumps and related flow components.

2.2 OBJECTIVES

This study was undertaken for the purpose of surveying and presenting the fundamental technical and economic information that is essential for the planning of a long range two phases; the first phase was concerned primarily with the establishment of program objectives and requirements, and the second phase was concerned with a conceptual preliminary design and test program (with costs thereof) for a test facility for large sodium pumps. The specific objectives of the study, by phases, are as follows:

**Phase I — Sodium Pump Development Program**

1. Establishment of tentative engineering requirements for sodium pumps compatible with large plant objectives.

2. Identification of specific pump development problems that must be solved.

3. Specification of a pump development program to implement the solution of identified problems.

4. Establishment of functional requirements of an experimental test facility for testing and demonstration of large sodium pumps.
2.2 OBJECTIVES (CONT.)

**Phase II — Pump Test Facility Design and Cost**

1. Preparation of a conceptual preliminary design of an experimental sodium pump test facility.

2. Preparation of a cost estimate and design schedule for design and construction of the facility.

3. Preparation of a preliminary test program and estimate of facility operating cost.

2.3 ORGANIZATION OF REPORT

This report encompasses only the work of Phase I of Contract AT(30-1)-3123 with the USAEC New York Operations Office. The work to be performed under Phase II will be separately reported at the completion of the contract.

This report includes, as Section 3, a summary of the current status of sodium pump technology which was abstracted from a topical report* prepared earlier in the study and issued separately.

*WCAP-2255 Survey of Sodium Pump Technology*
3.0 BACKGROUND OF SODIUM PUMP TECHNOLOGY

3.1 SODIUM PUMP DEVELOPMENTS

Over the past 15 years, the pumps for circulating liquid metals for reactor cooling have been designed and built as the needs arose. For early experimental reactor and heat transfer work, pumps of various designs were provided, but only a minimum of emphasis was placed on pump design. As the reactor technology progressed, so did the need for large and more reliable liquid metal pumps. Both mechanical and electromagnetic pumps with capacities up to 10,000 GPM have already been built for the reactors listed in Table 1. The general requirements which have affected the design of sodium pumps for these reactor plants are:

1. High temperature
2. Leakless or near leakless operation
3. Low maintenance
4. Good efficiency
5. Adequate NPSH
6. Size, weight and pump location
7. Reliability

3.2 SODIUM PUMP TYPES

From a purely hydraulic standpoint, the mechanics of pumping sodium is similar to pumping water. In addition, the good electrical conductivity and permeability of liquid metals makes it possible to pump sodium by electromagnetic means.

Sodium pumps may be classified by types as shown in Figure 1. The subsequent parts of this section will deal with various types in accordance with the classification.

The mechanical pumps are usually centrifugal units with a suitable rotating drive equipped with either a shaft seal or an enclosed motor to prevent sodium or inert cover gas leakage.

Electromagnetic pumps are units which produce a pumping force by the interaction of a current passed through the liquid metal and a magnetic field. They have no moving parts other than the flowing liquid and are completely hermetic.
### SODIUM COOLED REACTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REACTOR</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>OPERATOR</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>POWER (MW) t/e</th>
<th>COOLANT TEMP. MAX.</th>
<th>PUMPS PRIMARY</th>
<th>PUMPS SECONDARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1951</td>
<td>EBR-1</td>
<td>ANL</td>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>1.5/-</td>
<td>NaK 662°F</td>
<td>1 DC EM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>SIG</td>
<td>WEST MILTON</td>
<td>KAPL</td>
<td>SUB PROTO</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>SZG</td>
<td>SEAWOLF</td>
<td>USM</td>
<td>SUB PROP.</td>
<td>Na 960°F</td>
<td>2-MECH FREEZE SEAL</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>SRE</td>
<td>SANTA SUSANA, CALIF.</td>
<td>AEC/AI</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>20/62</td>
<td>Na 900°F</td>
<td>2-MECH FREEZE SEAL</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>BR-5</td>
<td>OBNINSK</td>
<td>(RUSSIA)</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>5/-</td>
<td>Na 915°F</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>SNAP 2</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Na 662°F</td>
<td>PERM. MAGNET EM PUMPS</td>
<td>24-AC EM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>DRF</td>
<td>DOUNREAY, ENGLAND</td>
<td>AEA</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>60/15</td>
<td>Na 662°F</td>
<td>24-AC EM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>BN-50</td>
<td>ULYANOVSKI, RUSSIA</td>
<td>(RUSSIA)</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>-/50</td>
<td>3-MECH FREE SURFACE GAS SEAL</td>
<td>3-MECH - GAS SEAL</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>KFAPP</td>
<td>DETROIT</td>
<td>PROC</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>300/100</td>
<td>Na 600°F</td>
<td>2-CANNED MOTOR, TOTALLY ENCLOSED</td>
<td>1-AC EM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>EBR-11</td>
<td>NRTS</td>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>62/20</td>
<td>Na 900°F</td>
<td>2-EM</td>
<td>3-MECH GAS SEAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>LAMPRE 1</td>
<td>LASL</td>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>1/-</td>
<td>Na 900°F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>HMNF</td>
<td>HALLAM, MEBR.</td>
<td>CPPD</td>
<td>POWER</td>
<td>240/76</td>
<td>Na 945°F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953</td>
<td>RAPSDOE</td>
<td>CADARACHE, FRANCE</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td>10/-</td>
<td>Na 645°F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1**
FIG. 1 PUMP TYPES
3.2 SODIUM PUMP TYPES (CONT)

Mechanical electromagnetic pumps combine both mechanical and electromagnetic principles by mechanically moving a magnetic field in the proximity of a conductive liquid. The field travels through the liquid and induces eddy currents within the liquid which interact with the magnetic field to produce a force on the liquid.

3.2.1 Mechanical Pumps With Shaft Seals

Mechanical pumps with some form of shaft seal are a widely used and efficient means of pumping liquid sodium. The most commonly used design consists of a vertical centrifugal pump operating with a "free surface" of sodium in the pump casing. A mechanical shaft seal separates a low pressure inert gas covering the surface of the sodium from the normal atmosphere.

Pumps of this design are characterized by conventional centrifugal pump hydraulic performance and efficiency and utilize conventional drive mechanisms. The disadvantages associated with this type of pump for reactor service are primarily the restriction on location and attitude required to maintain a free surface in the casing and the inherent limitations of flow control by conventional drive systems.

Maintenance requirements are primarily those associated with the shaft seals. For oil lubricated face type seals, six months to a year of service life without maintenance is typical.

3.2.2 Mechanical Pumps Without Shaft Seals

Mechanical pumps without shaft seals have been built for liquid metal service in a variety of configurations. In general the pumped fluid and pump rotor is separated from the driving mechanisms by a membrane or "can". The drive is usually effected by electromagnetic coupling.

The advantages of this class of pumps are the complete absence of moving seals, relative compactness of design, and independence of the attitude limitations of "free surface" designs.

This class of pumps has the disadvantage in general of achieving somewhat lower efficiencies than shaft sealed pumps and requires special motor designs with inherently difficult speed control characteristics and higher costs.

The lack of moving seals indicate a potentially favorably maintenance condition for this type of pump, providing adequate bearing life is achieved.
3.2.3 Electromagnetic Pumps

The good electrical conductivity and permeability of liquid metals makes it possible to pump these materials by electromagnetic means. A large number of types and configurations of electromagnetic pumps have been designed and constructed for sodium service, including both induction and conduction types utilizing both AC and DC.

In general, the electromagnetic pumps provide a means of achieving direct flow control, hermetically sealed flow systems, freedom from attitude limitations, and systems without moving parts.

In practice, these advantages have been attained at the expense of relatively low efficiency, heavy bulky units, and frequency very special power supply systems.

Maintenance of electromagnetic systems is quite low but thermal and radiation damage to electrical insulation are significant problems.

3.2.4 Mechanical Electromagnetic Pumps

A number of novel designs have been investigated that utilize some aspects of both the mechanical type pumps and the electromagnetic pumping principle. These designs have been attempts to incorporate the better features of each type of pump.

Generally these types incorporate the closed sodium liquid system and an electromagnetic pumping with the use of a mechanically progressed magnetic field driven by a conventional motor drive.

Results to date indicate that moderate efficiencies can be attained in pumps that have no moving parts in contact with sodium and which do not require unusual power supplier.

Disadvantages include the requirement of a thin membrane for containment of the pump sodium and problems of thermal protection of electrical systems.

3.3 SUMMARY OF CURRENT SODIUM PUMP TECHNOLOGY

The current status of sodium pump technology as related to use in the nuclear power industry may be summarized as follows:

1. Over 75 mechanical liquid sodium pumps have been constructed as of March, 1963. The fluid horsepower ratings range from 2-1000 HP with capacities up to 13,000 GPM. Over half of these units employ oil lubricated mechanical face type gas shaft seals. Maximum efficiency obtained is 77%.
2. Over 400 electromagnetic sodium pumps have been constructed as of March, 1963. The fluid horsepower ratings range from less than 1-440 HP with capacities up to 10,000 GPM. More than half of these units are small (less than 2 fluid HP) AC conduction pumps. Efficiencies up to 43% have been obtained on large AC linear induction and DC conduction pumps.

3. A mechanical-electromagnetic (helical rotor) liquid sodium pumps, rated at 1000 fluid HP, has been constructed for circulating 3000 GPM with an efficiency of 28%. Efficiencies of 44% have been estimated for larger units of this design.

Future requirements of the nuclear power systems are estimated to include pumps of over 100,000 GPM with fluid horsepower requirements of up to 8200 HP. Based on the review of current technology the only pump types that appear to be economically and technically feasible in sizes larger than approximately 50,000 GPM are the following:

a. Free surface mechanically shaft sealed.

b. Canned rotor.

c. Canned motor (totally enclosed).
4.0  FUTURE SODIUM PUMP REQUIREMENTS

4.1  SYSTEMS DEPENDENCY

In order to proceed with the development of pumps for use with future large reactors, it is necessary to establish the probable hydraulic requirements. The more important of these requirements are flow, head and pumping fluid temperature.

These requirements for reactor coolant pumps are essentially systems dependent and are usually established on the basis of the overall thermal-hydraulic criteria of a specific reactor system in which they are to be used. In the absence of specific system designs for large sodium cooled reactor systems from which to establish pump requirements, it is useful to establish the relationship of the more important system parameters upon the pump requirements. From these relations, probable future pump requirements may be derived for any set of reasonably assigned limits of reactor system parameters.

4.1.1  Flow

Pump flow requirements are quite obviously related to the reactor heat load, number of coolant loops and allowable temperature rise of the coolant.

\[
F = \frac{P}{C_p \Delta T_r N}
\]

- \( F \)  coolant flow
- \( P \)  reactor thermal power
- \( C_p \)  coolant heat capacity
- \( N \)  number of coolant loops or pumps
- \( \Delta T_r \)  coolant temperature rise

The number of independent coolant loops selected for a reactor system requires consideration of both reactor safety and reliability. Where reactor safety permits and continued operation at part load is not an operation requirement, the single loop plant is feasible. More frequently, continued plant operation at part load is a required operating characteristic and multiple loops are necessary. Quite apart from these requirements, the minimum number of loops for large plants will, to a large extent, be dependent upon availability of large loop components such as heat exchangers and pumps.
4.1.1 Flow (Cont.)

The liquid range of sodium extends from a melting point of 208°F to a normal boiling point of 1620°F. The boiling point, which is well above any temperature necessary to achieve modern steam temperatures, suggests that physical properties of the sodium will not limit the allowable temperature rise.

In practice, the coolant temperature rise selected for use in a liquid metal reactor system is a compromise between a large number of considerations including heat exchanger terminal temperatures and pinch points, allowable thermal gradients in components, core flow limitations and pumping power. The low temperature rise systems tend to minimize heat exchanger surface and thermal gradients in the reactor core and vessel at the cost of increased pumping power, larger flow systems and the difficulties inherent in mechanical design of exchangers with large terminal differences.

4.1.2 Pump Head

The head developed by the circulating pumps must be adequate to meet the total system pressure drop requirements consisting of the core pressure drop and the external system drop. Of these, the core pressure drop component is severly circumscribed by nuclear considerations, heat transfer requirements and flow area limits. These restraints are quite dependent upon the type of reactor system, i.e. fast or thermal and upon the fuel geometry selection, plate, rod, pin or packed bed. In general the higher core pressure drops are to be found in fast reactor concepts due to the tighter lattice requirements and higher power densities.

The pressure drop in the external system is established by the usual design considerations for piping and heat exchanger design and are relatively independent of the reactor type.

4.1.3 Pumping Fluid Temperature

The temperature at which the coolant enters the pump is dependent upon the reactor core inlet and outlet temperature and upon the location of the pump in the external circuit. The trend is to improve cycle efficiencies for nuclear plants by increasing steam temperatures. Steam temperatures of 1050°F are representative of modern efficient steam cycles and can be achieved quite readily with sodium temperatures out of the reactor at 1200°F. For the purposes of this study 1200°F is the assumed maximum steady state sodium temperature. The maximum temperature of the sodium pumped then will be 1200°F if the pump is located in the reactor outlet (hot leg) or 1200°F minus the core temperature rise if located in the reactor inlet (cold leg).
4.2 CURRENT DESIGN CRITERIA

A literature survey was made of previous sodium cooled reactor designs and design studies in order to establish current practice with respect to pump design requirements and related systems design criteria.

Data from the more significant studies are shown in Table 2. It should be noted that all the reactors built or building are small and that even the "advanced" studies are, with rare exceptions, less than 500 MWe.

In reviewing these designs it may be seen that the thermal reactors of the sodium graphite (SGR) type are characterized by relatively high coolant temperature rises (350° - 450°F) and head requirements of less than 200 feet reflecting the relatively open core structure and low flow requirement. On the other hand, the fast reactors (FBR) are characterized by lower coolant temperature rises (250° - 350°F) and higher pump head requirements.

Corroboration of these trends and extrapolation to consideration of larger reactor capabilities was obtained by discussion with major reactor designers and from responses to a questionnaire circulated that the time this study was being made. The response to the questionnaire are summarized in Table 3.

4.3 ESTIMATE OF FUTURE PUMP HYDRAULIC REQUIREMENTS

A range of system parameters and the resulting sodium pump requirements for future large 1000-1500 MWe reactors and associated prototypes are summarized in Table 4. These ranges were selected after consideration of:

1. current systems criteria shown in Table 2.

2. current opinions of future requirements of major reactors design groups.

3. compatibility with size and capacity of other loop components under development.

4. preliminary review of probable pump feasibility.

The relationship between a number of system parameters and pump hydraulic requirements are graphically presented on Figure 2. The selected limiting values for the larger reactors of both fast and thermal types are indicated on the figure by appropriate shadings.

It was assumed that the minimum number of loops for a large power system is two. Past design studies have generally been based on three loops. Currently a number of reactor designers have expressed a preference for loops of
## Prior Designs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Plant Data</th>
<th>Coolant</th>
<th>Pumps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sodium Graphite Reactors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRE</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMPF MAA-SR-5700 MODULAR - SGR GEAP 3334</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 ADVANCED (1960) NAA-SR-3829 AI-CURRENT TECH (1960) NAA-SR-4873 AEC PROTOTYPE NUCLEAR ENGINEER OCTOBER 1962</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-SR-3829 AI-CURRENT TECH (1960) NAA-SR-4873 AEC PROTOTYPE NUCLEAR ENGINEER OCTOBER 1962</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 CURRENT TECH (1960) NAA-SR-4873 AEC PROTOTYPE NUCLEAR ENGINEER OCTOBER 1962</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fast Breeder Reactors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBR-1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBR-2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FERM-I</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU FAST BREEDER</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEC-1000 ADV. NUCLEAR ENGINEER OCTOBER 1962</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fast Ceramic Reactor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEAP-3271</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEAP-3271 Fast Oxide Breeder LA-2733</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEAP-3271 (T)</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEAP-3271 (T)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Constructed

### Table 2
### Table 3: Survey of Future Liquid Metal (Na or NaK) Pump Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATING</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>COOLANT</th>
<th>FLOW GPM</th>
<th>HEAD FEET</th>
<th>NPSH</th>
<th>TEMP. OF</th>
<th>SHAFT LENGTH FEET</th>
<th>HYD. EFF.</th>
<th>PREFERRED TYPE</th>
<th>PREFERRED DRIVE</th>
<th>COVER GAS</th>
<th>FLOW CONT.</th>
<th>PRES. SEAL</th>
<th>COMPANY CONTACTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>25°</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>CENT. TURBINE</td>
<td>TURBINE</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>POWER REACTOR DEP. CO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5 PSI A</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>PRE.E.M. RELIABLE MOTOR</td>
<td>ELECT. MOTOR</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>ATOMICS INTERNATIONAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>5 PSI A</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>PRE.E.M. RELIABLE MOTOR</td>
<td>ELECT. MOTOR</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>ATOMICS INTERNATIONAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>25 x 10^6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>SUMP</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>25 x 10^6</td>
<td>30°</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>SUMP</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>MOLTEN</td>
<td>10,000(PR)</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>BEST PRACTICE</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>500 RPM</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>SALT</td>
<td>14,000(SEC)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>BEST PRACTICE</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>500 RPM</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>626,000</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>55°(PR)</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>ROT IMP.</td>
<td>CENT. SUMP</td>
<td>1,000 TO 2,000 RPM ELECT.</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>10 x 10^6</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>800(PR)</td>
<td>750(SEC)</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>CENT. SUMP</td>
<td>1,200 RPM TURBINE OR ELECT.</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>250°</td>
<td>15°</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>1,200 RPM TURBINE OR ELECT.</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>25 x 10^6</td>
<td>150°</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>SHORT AS POSSIBLE</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>1,140 AC</td>
<td>50 PSI</td>
<td>FMC CORP. PNEUMATIC PUMP 50 EXP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>25 x 10^6</td>
<td>900°</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>SHORT AS POSSIBLE</td>
<td>VERT. TURBINE</td>
<td>1,750</td>
<td>1,140 AC</td>
<td>50 PSI</td>
<td>FMC CORP. PNEUMATIC PUMP 50 EXP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>APDA UNABLE TO COMMENT SINCE ACQUISITIONS OF FUTURE PUMP REQUIREMENTS NOT AVAILABLE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Prior Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Sodium Graphite</th>
<th>Fast Breeder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactor Size - MWe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>200-500</td>
<td>200-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>1000-1500</td>
<td>1000-1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MWe/Loop</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>300-600</td>
<td>300-600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>660-1200</td>
<td>600-1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core Temp. Rise - °F</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>400-500</td>
<td>250-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>400-500</td>
<td>250-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressure Drop - FT. Na</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>250-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>250-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pump</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flow - GPM x 10^-3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>15-48</td>
<td>23-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>35-85</td>
<td>50-114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LBS/HR x 10^-6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>6.6-19</td>
<td>10-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>15-34</td>
<td>22-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydraulic H.P.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prototype</td>
<td>500-1000</td>
<td>1200-4400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Size</td>
<td>1200-3600</td>
<td>3200-8200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4*
approximately 250 MWe capacity, i.e. 4 loop - 1000 MWe plants. Recently, design studies have been requested for fast reactors of the 1000 MWe size with a limitation of not more than 3 loops. It would appear that a range of 2 - 4 loops is reasonable. This is equivalent to 660 to 1200 MWt per loop for future plants with gross efficiencies in the range of 38 - 42%. This range of sizes is also compatible with current heat exchanger development programs directed toward 1000 MWt steam generator and sodium-to-sodium exchanger designs.

The selected range of temperature rise in the coolant reflects past practice. The detailed optimization of these temperatures is beyond the scope of this study and is dependent upon rather more detailed reactor system design information than is currently available for large systems at present. The result of at least one optimization study for large sodium graphite type reactors\(^1\) seems to indicate that for conditions studied, a core temperature rise of 400°F is more economical than 500°F for a 2400/1050°F/1000°F steam cycle.

The lower allowable temperature rise of coolant projected for the fast reactors also reflects past practice. The effect of this more conservative approach on flow requirement and pumping power is apparent in Figure 2. But even with minimum temperature rises and maximum estimated pressure drop it will be noted that the pumping power is less than 1% of the gross electric output. The economic optimization of the advantages of the more conservative design with respect to thermal gradient vs. the potential decrease size of piping, pumps, and components for greater temperature rises has not yet been developed for these systems.

\(\mathbf{J} = 1000 \text{ MWe} \)

FIG. 2 SODIUM PUMP PARAMETERS
4.4 FUTURE PUMP FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

4.4.1 General

In addition to the functional requirements which are related to specific reactor systems considerations, there are also a number of mechanical and operational requirements which must be satisfied for practical utilization of the pumps in nuclear reactor systems. These requirements are related to problems of coolant containment, pump maintenance, flow control and layout considerations. The problems are characteristic of liquid metal cooled nuclear plant service. The same problems have been encountered and resolved in the development of the current plants. While it is anticipated that the future increases in plant size will aggravate the problems sufficiently to require substantial additional test and development, fundamentally new problems are not envisioned.

4.4.2 Containment and Isolation of Sodium

The extreme reactivity of the coolant and hence the danger from sodium-water reactions and from sodium-air reactions, indicates the use of either hermetically sealed systems or of systems provided with adequate non-reactive seals. The danger from leakage of the primary system sodium is increased due to its extreme radioactivity. The undesireability of contaminants in the coolant stream requires either the elimination or control of in-leakage to the coolant system.

4.4.3 Maintenance

Access to primary loop components of nuclear systems is generally severely limited by operations safety practice and in some cases radioactivity levels during reactor operation. Acceptable pump designs should not require routine maintenance more frequently than normal scheduled reactor shut-downs which may vary from 3 months to a year intervals. Inaccessible parts or parts that are extremely difficult to repair or replace must be designed for service life in the order of 20 years.

4.4.4 Flow Control

The excellent heat transfer properties of the sodium coolant make it necessary and desireable to control the coolant flow in response to power variations in such a way as to maintain reasonably constant system temperatures and thus minimize the mechanical problems of thermal shock. The required control of coolant flow can be accomplished by either varying the discharge head of the circulating pumps or by use of control valves. Both methods have been used to varying degrees in past reactor system designs. Experience to date has indicated that flow control valves are a source of trouble in sodium system and show every indication of being less desireable as flow streams.
4.4.4 **Flow Control (Cont.)**

(valve sizes) increase. The necessity of flow control by variation in pump output characteristics thus becomes correspondingly more important in the larger sizes.

4.4.5 **Layout Compatibility**

The necessary requirement to locate the primary coolant loop within a radiation shield, the mechanical limitations on pump shaft lengths, the pump attitude requirements for systems containing free surface pumps, and the desireability of access for maintenance of seals and conventional drives are all rather severe and often contradictory design limitations.
5.0 SELECTION OF PUMP FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT

5.1 CRITERIA

A number of the pump types that have been developed and tested for current applications (Section 3.0) show at least some promise of meeting future requirements after sufficient additional development. Among the more promising types are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical</th>
<th>Electro-magnetic</th>
<th>Mech.-Electro-magnetic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;free surface&quot; shaft seal</td>
<td>AC linear induction</td>
<td>Helical rotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned (totally enclosed) motor</td>
<td>DC conduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned rotor (dry rotor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned rotor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Schematic diagrams depicting the features of these pumps are shown on Figure 3.

In order to establish a practical development program, a selection from among these types is necessary. The criteria used for selection included consideration of (1) reliability, (2) cost, (3) engineering design predictability, (4) system considerations.

5.2 RELIABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

Reliability of any of the pump types, or any of the components of a pumping unit, is of prime concern when selecting a liquid metal pump. The components which will be considered are: pumps, drives, bearings, seals, and auxiliaries.

5.2.1 Pump End

For the pumps classified as "mechanical" (M), the pump end is a centrifugal pump. For the "electromagnetic" (EM) pumps, the pump end is a channel, duct or annulus. For "mechanical-electro-magnetic" (MEM) units, the pump end is an annulus or duct with a moving (mechanically rotated) rotor which directs the electro-magnetic flux lines.

It has been estimated that future full scale reactors will require up to 8,200 fluid HP/loop (based on a 1500 MWe, fast breeder reactor). The maximum fluid HP for the liquid metal pump types under consideration that have been built to date are.
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FIG. 3 FEATURES OF MECHANICAL, ELECTROMAGNETIC AND MECHANICAL ELECTROMAGNETIC PUMPS
### 5.2.1 Pump End (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Fluid HP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Centrifugal Shaft Sealed (MCSS)</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned Rotor (CR)</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally Enclosed Motor (TE)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Linear Induction (EM)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Conduction (EM)</td>
<td>150*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical Rotor (MEM)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned Motor (Dry Rotor)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designed rating was 450 fluid HP, but most efficient operating rating was 150 fluid HP

Before a full scale plant or pump is constructed, a prototype will probably be built and the pump requirements will be 1200–4400 fluid HP. While the prototype requirements are closer to the capacities of units already built, it must be assumed that the prototype pump type will be the same as the eventual full size pump and the type selected must be capable of being scaled up to meet the full scale requirements.

The relatively small EM and MEM pumps now in operation, are for the most part, more reliable than mechanical pumps, but for units 20 to 50 times the capacity of the largest existing units the reliability to be expected is unknown.

The most difficult problem encountered in the development of the EM and MEM pumps has been the manufacture of the pumping ducts and annuli which must be attached to the system piping by transition sections or diffusers. Wall thickness of the ducts in the pumping sections are limited to approximately 1/8" for electrical reasons. The huge ducts or annuli which would be required to handle 50,000 –100,000 GPM would be quite delicate especially at temperatures of 1000 – 1200°F. For this reason, helical rotor, induction, and conduction pumps do not appear to be very practical or reliable for high capacity applications.

Centrifugal pumps have already been built for handling over 100,000 GPM on water applications. The pump end of a centrifugal pump therefore appears to be much more reliable than the pumping sections of large EM or MEM pumps. Properly designed hydraulics (impeller, casing and diffusers, etc.) should give at least 20 years of reliable maintenance free operation.
5.2.2 Drive

Drives for M or MEM pumps can be any suitable rotating drive including electric motors, turbines, engines, etc. For EM pumps, the drives consist of conductors (coils) with electrically progressed fields (induction units) or wound cores and current conductors in the case of AC and DC conduction types.

The drives used for most M and MEM liquid metal pumps have been conventional electric motors. The majority of EM pumps have used the DC conduction or AC induction driving principle. For the size of the units built thus far, these drives have proved to be very reliable. However, for ratings of 5,000-10,000 HP, only motor or turbine drives have "proven reliability". While theory allows the projection of EM designs to these ratings, they have not been proven and it is doubtful that EM drive reliability will ever surpass that of conventional electric motors or turbines.

Canned rotor motors with ratings of 2000 HP have already been built for water applications, and electrically, their reliability is about equal to that of conventional motors. For liquid metal applications the highest rating for a canned rotor pump motor built thus far has been 750 HP. In order to project the canned rotor design above 1000 HP, can and bearing development work will be necessary.

Large turbine prime movers would be as reliable as large motors from a drive standpoint but containment problems for gas turbines, and the sodium-water reaction hazard for steam turbines reduces overall system reliability.

Considering all of the above, the most reliable type of drive for a large sodium pump is a conventional electric motor. The only pump types being considered - for this application capable of being driven by conventional electric motors are:

1. Mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed
2. Totally enclosed canned motors
3. Helical rotor

The totally enclosed motors can use conventional AC induction motors, but unless some method of preventing sodium vapors from entering the motor are adopted, the life of the motor winding insulation is questionable. EBR II prototype testing did not reveal any noticeable attack by the sodium vapor or oxide dust after several thousand hours of operation, but until a few years of operation are accumulated on the EBR II primary pumps the reliability or life of the motor insulation will be questionable.
5.2.2 Drive (Cont.)

This leaves the mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed and helical rotor as the only two types that can be driven by conventional motors with proven reliability.

5.2.3 Bearings

EM pumps ends do not have bearings because there are no moving parts. MEM pump ends do not have any bearings contacted by the liquid metal but the rotor of the pump end requires conventional radial bearings to support the rotor shaft unless an over-hung shaft design is used.

The pump ends of mechanical units require radial bearings in the liquid metal unless an over-hung shaft design is used.

The EM and MEM pumps do not have bearings operating in the liquid metal, so naturally, the question of sodium bearing reliability does not exist for EM and MEM pump types.

The reliability of liquid metal lubricated bearings for M pumps is still questionable. The EFAPP and HNPF hydrostatic bearings, and the thermoflex hydrodynamic bearings on the CANEL canned rotor pumps have performed satisfactorily thus far, but until more operational hours are accumulated they cannot be labeled as completely reliable. Bearings for 5,000 - 10,000 HP mechanical pumps can be projected from the existing smaller units but until full scale development testing is performed reliability will remain questionable.

Because of the efficiency advantage of M pumps and the areas of doubt of the reliability of large EM and MEM ducts, the direction of development efforts toward reliable liquid metal lubricated bearings is necessary. EM and MEM pumps have a definite advantage in the bearing reliability area but expected successful development of large sodium bearings could erase this advantage.

5.2.4 Seals

The seals for EM and MEM and canned rotor mechanical pumps are the ducts, annuli or cans which contain the liquid metal, and since these barriers are static enclosures more than seals, they are usually called "seal-less" or hermetically sealed pumps. The mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed (MCSS) pumps require some type of shaft seal to contain the liquid metal, or in the case of free surface to sump pumps, a seal to contain the cover gas.

The stationary metallic barriers (cans, ducts, annuli) used in conjunction with EM and MEM pumps are the major cause of the low efficiencies of these types. Non-metallic barriers would improve the efficiency but methods for joining and sealing non-metals (ceramics) for high temperature sodium applications have not been developed.
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5.2.4 Seals (Cont.)

As mentioned in the section on pump ends, the thin cans and ducts used on EM and MEM pumps present strength and thermal design difficulties which are amplified as the size or capacity of the units is increased. For this reason (and the drive and efficiency advantages of mechanical centrifugal pumps) shaft sealing appears to be the best approach for large units.

The most practical and reliable shaft sealing technique used on stationary sodium systems has been the free surface, gas blanketed approach. With this method, gravity keeps the liquid sodium away from the shaft seal area and the only requirement of the seal is to contain the cover gas.

The HNPF and EFAPP pumps use face type shaft seals to contain the low pressure (1/2 psi) cover gas. The EBR II primary pumps are totally enclosed types, but all three plants use the free surface technique. As mentioned in Section 5.2.2, the reliability of unprotected motor insulation in the TE pumps is questionable. So at the present time, the best seal approach appears to be a rotating shaft seal for the containment of low pressure argon or helium gas.

No shaft seal problems have been reported at the HNPF as yet, but excessive seal leakage (oil leakage) has been a problem at EFAPP. Both plants are using oil lubricated rubbing seals, but the EFAPP primary pumps are using a non-hydrogenous oil (fluorolube) which does not have as good lubricating properties as the mineral oil used at Hallam.

While the various types of shaft seals have been fairly reliable on many gas sealing applications, they have never been fully developed for sodium-nuclear applications. It would appear that a shaft seal especially designed for a large free surface sodium pump could be developed to perform more reliably and with less maintenance than presently used sodium pump seals. The type of seal used on large hydrogen cooled generators has "proven reliability", and this principle seems practical for sodium pump applications. Other types also have possibilities.

Assuming that reliable seals and bearings can be developed, the mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed pump will have better mechanical reliability than any other pump type.

5.2.5 Auxiliaries

Auxiliaries for liquid metal pumps include all apparatus necessary for cooling, lubricating, controlling flow, and supplying the power for their operation (not including standard AC power). The required auxiliaries for the various pump units are listed below.
5.2.5 **Auxiliaries (Cont.)**

1. **Mechanical Centrifugal Oil Lubricated Shaft Sealed**
   
   (a) Lube oil system for motor bearings unless anti-friction bearings are used.
   
   (b) Lube oil system for shaft seal
   
   (c) Variable frequency power supply or rheostats if wound rotor motors are used.

2. **Mechanical Centrifugal Gas Lubricated Shaft Sealed**
   
   (a) Lube oil system for motor bearings unless anti-friction bearings are used.
   
   (b) Inert gas supply to lubricate seal and to purge seal area of sodium vapors
   
   (c) Variable frequency power supply or rheostats if wound rotor motors are used.

3. **Totally Enclosed Canned Motor**
   
   (a) Inert gas supply to gas filled motor enclosure
   
   (b) Cooling system to remove motor losses
   
   (c) Lube oil system for motor bearings unless anti-friction bearings are used
   
   (d) Variable frequency power supply for flow (speed) control

4. **Canned Rotor (Enclosed Dry Rotor)**
   
   (a) Inert gas supply to rotor area
   
   (b) Motor cooling system (water, oil, gas)
   
   (c) Lubricating system for motor bearings unless anti-friction bearings are used
   
   (d) Variable frequency power supply
5.2.5 Auxiliaries (Cont.)

5. Canned Rotor

(a) Cooling system to remove motor losses

(b) Cold trap to remove oxides from motor end

(c) Variable frequency power supply

6. AC Linear Induction - AC linear induction pumps requiring no auxiliaries other than voltage control have been successfully operated. However, for large units, some cooling will probably be necessary.

7. DC Conduction - No auxiliaries are required for the pumping section, but the following would be needed for the motor driven homo-polar generator:

(a) Lubrication system for motor and generator bearings, unless anti-friction bearings are used.

(b) Water cooling for generator

8. Helical Rotor

(a) Air or gas cooling for helical rotor windings

(b) Lubrication supply for drive motor bearings, unless anti-friction bearings are used

(c) DC power supply for pump end rotor

The mechanical-centrifugal, oil lubricated shaft sealed, pump would not require any non-conventional auxiliary systems. The only auxiliary systems necessary in the reactor compartment would be the oil service systems for the motor bearings and shaft seal. The systems would be located above the floor level and would be easily accessible for inspection and maintenance. For these reasons, this type of pumping unit appears to have the most reliable auxiliary systems.

5.3 COST

In comparing pump costs, it is necessary to consider both the capital and the operating costs. The initial or capital costs for this comparison include the dollars which would be paid for the pumps and pump drives (including auxiliaries)
required for a 1500 MWe sodium cooled reactor plant after a prototype had been developed and tested. The operating costs include the maintenance costs, and the cost of energy consumed by the pumps, drives, and auxiliaries.

5.3.1 Operating Costs

An estimated approximate twenty year energy cost comparison for the various pump types, based on the maximum estimated efficiencies, is shown in Table 5. The plot of overall pump efficiency vs. 20 year energy cost shown in Figure 4 is based on estimated fluid HP requirements of:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Primary} & \quad -15,000 \text{ fluid HP} = 11,200 \text{ KW} \\
\text{Secondary} & \quad -7,500 \text{ fluid HP} = 5,600 \text{ KW}
\end{align*}
\]

From the curves of Figure 4, a plant with 80% efficient pumps will cost 15 million dollars less to operate than one with 45% efficient pumps over a 20 year period. The mechanical centrifugal shaft seal and totally enclosed pumps can be designed with overall efficiencies over 80%, and from a power cost standpoint, they are far superior to any of the other pump types available. In order to obtain speed (flow) control, a variable frequency power supply is required for the totally enclosed design (squirrel cage motor) whereas the shaft seal design could be driven by a wound rotor motor. The wound rotor motor drive would result in a 2-3% efficiency advantage for the shaft seal approach over the totally enclosed design.

The maintenance cost for units over 1000 HP are unknown, at the present time, but some estimations can be made based on past performance of smaller existing units. At the present time, EM pumps require the least maintenance of any of the pump types. The MEM (Helical Rotor) types do not contain any seals or wear parts other than conventional bearings and the maintenance requirements are quite low. The totally enclosed types do not contain seals and if bearing operation is satisfactory, the only possible maintenance problem may be the replacement of deteriorated motor windings after several years of operation.

Shaft sealed units contain both bearings and seals, and at the present time, bearings have not required any maintenance (EFAPP and HNPF), but the seals do require periodic maintenance.

Canned rotor units appear virtually maintenance free with the exception of cold trap draining requirements, but not enough hours have been accumulated as yet to make any definite statements.
## 20 Year Energy Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUMP TYPE</th>
<th>EFF %</th>
<th>DOLLARS FOR 20 YEARS OPERATION*</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRIMARY</td>
<td>SECONDARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECH-CENT. SHAFT SEAL</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>$11,800,000</td>
<td>$5,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALLY ENC.MOTOR</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12,250,000</td>
<td>6,125,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANNED (DRY) ROTOR</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15,100,000</td>
<td>7,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANNED ROTOR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16,300,000</td>
<td>8,150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC LINEAR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19,600,000</td>
<td>9,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC CONDUCTION</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19,600,000</td>
<td>9,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELICAL ROTOR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21,800,000</td>
<td>11,900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*POWER COST BASED ON .005 $/kw. hr.
PRI. 15,000 FLUID HP
SEC. 7,500 FLUID HP

TABLE 5
FIG. 4 OVERALL PUMP EFFICIENCY VS. 20 YEAR POWER COST

E. D. SK. 301856-B
5.3.1 Operating Costs (Cont.)

If a unit must be removed from the sodium system for maintenance or repairs to the pump end, EM pumps with removable coils or helical rotor pumps have a definite advantage. Either type can be removed without opening the sodium system. However, the possibility of duct repair due to erosion may be more of a problem with these types than electrical or drive repairs, and this would involve plant shut down and major maintenance work.

The mechanical centrifugal pump ends should not require any maintenance for 10-20 years (depending on the operation of the lower radial bearings usually located near the pump end). The only item requiring periodic maintenance, at the present time on the HNPF and EFAPP pumps, are the shaft seals and speed control apparatus.

Improved seal design should reduce, if not eliminate seal maintenance, and speed control systems (liquid rheostats or Ward-Leonard systems, etc.) can also be improved so as not to be a major maintenance item.

The bearing and seal performance for large sodium pumps will only be answered by developmental testing and final proof testing, but at the present time successful results are expected. Since the MCSS units offer other advantages in both cost and reliability, the development required can be justified. Even if maintenance costs remain higher with shaft sealed pumps, the savings in energy costs will probably outweigh the difference, and the MCSS units have to be rated "best" from an operating cost standpoint.

5.3.2 Initial Costs

Since liquid metal pumps of this size have never been constructed, manufacturing cost estimates are difficult to make. The EFAPP and HNPF mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed pumps cost approximately $300 per fluid HP. Based on this, a 5,000 fluid HP MCSS pump would cost slightly less than $1,500,000. The cost of a 5,000 fluid HP totally enclosed pump would be about the same, since both types are about the same physical size, and the only major difference in cost would be the required seals and cooling systems.

The helical rotor design would require a drive motor rated 40% higher than the motor required for the 80% efficient MCSS or TE pumps. This would increase the cost of the drive and it is doubtful whether the helical rotor pump end would cost less than a mechanical-centrifugal pump end. Capital costs then, for canned motor, canned rotor, and helical rotor pumps, would be more than MCSS or TE pumps.

The cost of the motor driven homo-polar generator and DC conduction pump would be considerably more than a MCSS or TE pump.
5.3.2 Initial Cost (Cont.)

The weight of a 115 fluid HP AC linear induction pump is approximately 8,000 lbs. A 5,000 fluid HP unit then may weight as much as 300,000 - 400,000 lbs. and the cost of the copper for the windings could cost $1,000,000. Therefore, it is doubtful if an AC linear pump could be built any cheaper than any of the other pump types.

In summary, MCSS and TE pumps would be the least expensive, and the DC conduction type would be the most expensive, with a 1/2 million dollar per primary pump spread between the two types (5000 HP units). The initial costs of the other four types would fall somewhere in between.

5.4 DESIGN PREDICTABILITY

None of the pump types under consideration have been built in sizes that are comparable to those indicated for future 1500 MWe sodium cooled plants. In order to scale up any of the existing types, some development and testing will be necessary. However, much of the design theory used for motor driven centrifugal water pumps can be applied to liquid metal pump design and electrically and hydraulically these designs would be quite predictable. EM pump designs for small units are predictable, but for high capacity pumps the magnetic design for the large magnetic gaps becomes very unpredictable as pointed out with the DC EM prototype pump tested for the EBR II application. Unexpected flux leakage in this design caused actual output to be 50-75% less than predicted output.

AC linear and helical rotor EM and MEM pumps have been predictable in small (less than 10,000 GPM) sized units. In extrapolating the design to 50,000-100,000 GPM capacities electrical (magnetic), the large flux gaps make the design unpredictable and cooling and fabricating techniques would have to be tried and tested before any degree of overall design predictability can be assured. While both of these types appear feasible, considerable development would be necessary, and since efficiency is less and reliability is unknown, this development cannot be justified.

Canned rotor pumps would have predictable hydraulics, but "can" and insulation development would be needed and efficiency still would not be as good as uncanned mechanical pumps. This development cannot be justified at this time, unless the free surface system cannot be used.

The centrifugal pump types which can be driven by conventional electric motors with conventional bearings are the totally enclosed motor, and shaft sealed units. Electrical and hydraulical characteristics of both types are very similar from an engineering design standpoint. The mechanical designs are also similar except for bearing and seal design. Therefore, the relative design predictability is dependent on the mechanical consideration of bearing and seal requirements.
5.4 DESIGN PREDICTABILITY (CONT.)

The totally enclosed type does not have a shaft seal, so the question of leakage rate predictability is nearly eliminated. Since the motor insulation life data is not available, the motor life cannot be predicted. Also, motor cooling and purging system design could also create problems.

The fluid seal design for a shaft seal pump could be based on extensive design experience with hydrogen cooled turbo-generator shaft seals. Excellent results would be expected, and while some development work on materials, fluid selection, and mechanical layout is necessary, the design approach would give a maximum degree of engineering design predictability in regard to life, reliability, and leak tightness.

Both of these types require a radial bearing to support the shaft near the pump end. While large diameter liquid metal bearings will require development, bearing operation (hydrostatic) on the EFAPP and HNPF pumps appear good, and this approach is feasible for larger units. Development testing could provide the analytical tools necessary for the design of both hydrostatic and hydrodynamic bearings for high capacity sodium pumping applications.

5.5 SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

5.5.1 Layout

Figure 5 shows the eight basic layout schemes for reactor primary loops. The direction of coolant flow can be either "up" or "down". The coolant circulating pumps can be placed before (hot leg) or after (cold leg) the heat exchanger, with respect to the direction of flow. The pumps can be located either "high" or "low" with respect to the reactor vessel.

Reactor "down-flow" is desirable since it would ease the requirements on hold down mechanisms. But, if a free surface is to be maintained at the top of the reactor vessel (for sealing reasons), the gas head on the free surface must be greater than the pressure drop across the reactor, or the free surface would be lost. The pressure drops across future sodium cooled reactors may be as high as 100-150 psi. (EFAPP reactor $\Delta P = 74$ psi).

With reactor "up flow", the pressure of the gas head on the reactor must approximate the pressure drop across the heat exchanger. Since this pressure drop is usually low (5-10 psi) "up flow" is more frequently used because of the resulting reduced reactor pressure sealing requirements.

From a thermal pump design standpoint, placement of the pump in the cold leg is desirable since design temperatures are only $600^\circ F$ compared to $1200^\circ F$, if placed in the hot leg.
## FIG. 5 BASIC PRIMARY LOOP ARRANGEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REACTOR UP-FLOW</th>
<th>REACTOR DOWN-FLOW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a. LOW - HOT</strong></td>
<td><strong>e. LOW - COLD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b. HIGH - HOT</strong></td>
<td><strong>f. HIGH - COLD</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c. LOW - COLD</strong></td>
<td><strong>g. LOW - HOT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d. HIGH - COLD</strong></td>
<td><strong>h. HIGH - HOT</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E.D. SK.301857
5.5.1 Layout (Cont.)

The "low" arrangements result in increased available pump suction head due to the added static head of the sodium. The "high" pump location allows the use of a shorter pump shaft (free surface pumps).

From hydraulic standpoint, the best layout scheme would be the "low-cold-up flow" arrangement, if the free surface pump approach is taken. However, the long shaft required for the "low design" would impose bearing and shafting problems and the "high-cold-up flow" approach is probably more practical.

If hermetic pumps are used, they can be located low or high with respect to the reactor without imposing long shaft or piping problems. With a completely hermetic system (including hermetic control rods), the system could be filled and pressurized and smaller high speed units could be used for either up or down flow. So, while hermetically sealed pumps and control rods are more expensive (initial and operating) and require more development than free surface designs, they would be more versatile from a layout standpoint.

While available NPSH is increased with the "low" arrangements, high capacity sodium pumps with low NPSH requirements can be developed. The few added feet of static height to be gained by locating the pump end low would not justify the added shaft length and associated dynamic design problems. The fluid sealed or totally enclosed approaches allow some cover gas pressurization (30-50 psi) which is equal to 82-137 feet of 1000°F sodium. A summary of the layout arrangement considerations is shown in Table 6.

The "high-hot-up flow" scheme appears to be the best approach from a reactor and piping layout standpoint. While "high-cold-up flow" would create less pump thermal design problems it is felt that pump operating temperatures of 1200°F for the free surface designs are feasible.

5.5.2 Flow Control

The degree of flow control required for a sodium cooled reactor power plant depends largely on the design of the reactor core and the heat exchangers. In order to balance heat input and output into the coolant loops some flow control is necessary. It is anticipated that at least (3:1 flow control) will be necessary during startup or for operation at reduced power levels for future (1500 MWe) plants.

At the present time, speed control (mechanical-centrifugal pumps) and voltage or current control (EM and MEM pumps) are the most commonly used methods of controlling flow. Throttle valves have not proved to be reliable as yet in sodium systems.
# Pump - Reactor Arrangement Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I Reactor Coolant Flow Direction</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Down Flow</td>
<td>Less hold-down mechanisms</td>
<td>High cover gas pressure necessary for sodium free surface. Flow reversal on loss of flow accident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Upflow</td>
<td>Low cover gas pressure ensures sodium free surface. Vented fuel with cover gas scavenging possible.</td>
<td>More hold-down mechanisms needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II Pump Flow Location</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Reactor inlet - low sodium temperature</td>
<td>Low pump design temperature</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Reactor outlet - high sodium temperature</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>High pump design temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III Pump to Reactor Height Relationship</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Pump low</td>
<td>Increased available pump suction heat</td>
<td>Long pump shaft. Limited accessibility of motor and pump end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Pump high</td>
<td>Short pump shaft. Motor and pump end easily accessible.</td>
<td>Small available pump suction head.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.5.2 Flow Control (Cont.)

The required equipment for EM and MEM pump flow control is relatively simple and inexpensive as compared to MG sets, eddy current couplings or wound rotor motors usually used in conjunction with AC motor driven mechanical centrifugal pumps. However, the centrifugal pump offers so many other advantages over EM and MEM pumps (size, cost, reliability, etc.) that the disadvantage of requiring auxiliary equipment for speed control is usually outweighed when considering high capacity requirements.

A detailed study would have to be made after more of the operating requirements are determined in order to select the best type of variable speed drive. However, 5000 - 10,000 HP wound rotor motors (4:1 speed range) with efficiencies of over 95% are available now and this appears to be the best direction to take for this application at the present time.

Figure 6 shows some of the possible variable speed drive arrangements with associated efficiency estimates. The wound rotor motor drive of 95% is the highest followed by the squirrel cage motor - eddy current coupling drive with an efficiency of 90%. Both of these drives are suitable for shaft seal approaches only. The best drive efficiency estimated for a totally enclosed variable speed drive is 85%.

5.5.3 Shielding

The shaft sealed, totally enclosed, and enclosed rotor pumps are usually vertical designs that allow location of the drive end above a shielded floor. This is in contrast to the totally enclosed and enclosed rotor designs where no means (other than baffling or purging) are available to prevent sodium vapor or other containments from entering the motor or rotor cavity.

The helical rotor, AC induction and canned rotor types contain electrical windings in close proximity to the sodium and require special heat and radiation resistant insulation.

The mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed pump is then the only pump type that mechanically divorces the drive end from the pump end and does not utilize electrical windings below the shield or floor level.

5.5.4 Safety

All EM and MEM pumps inherently require the use of thin walled ducts or annuli. On high capacity designs these ducts will be quite delicate, and the danger of sodium leakage will be a critical safety problem.

The casings of centrifugal pumps can be made to any desired wall thickness without sacrificing efficiency and the danger of a sodium leak developing in the pump end is remote.
FIG. 6 DRIVE EFFICIENCIES
5.5.4 Safety (Cont.)

Canned rotor pumps would have a secondary barrier to contain sodium if a leak developed in the stator can, since these units are usually enclosed in an outer shell. However, a can leak would completely destroy the stator and costly major repairs would be required.

The free surface centrifugal approach appears to be the safest scheme, in that failure of any of the components (bearings, seals or drive) would not create any sodium leakage or radiation hazard.

5.6 SELECTION OF TYPE FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

A comparative rating of the various pump types is presented in Table 7. The ratings are based on present estimations for the construction of these types with capacities in excess of 50,000 GPM and horsepower ratings over 3000 HP.

The centrifugal type pump is the only pump that is recommended for development at this time to meet the future large pump requirements. Programs are presented in Sections 7, 8, & 9 for the development of the two most promising types, (1) mechanical centrifugal with shaft seal and (2) mechanical centrifugal with totally enclosed motor.

In preparing Table 7 it was recognized that the "reliability" and "predictability" ratings for electromagnetic and mechanical-electromagnetic pumps are considerably better for small pumps, i.e. under 10,000 GPM or 1000 fluid HP than is indicated in Table 7. This is due to simpler duct fabrication and magnetic design problems in small sizes. On this basis electromagnetic and mechanical-electromagnetic pumps might show promise for use for prototype reactor use. The choice of mechanical centrifugal pumps for further development is based on the future requirements of large pumps in the order of 100,000 GPM capacities.
## COMPARATIVE PUMP RATINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PUMP TYPE</th>
<th>RELIABILITY</th>
<th>COST</th>
<th>DESIGN PREDICTABILITY</th>
<th>SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS</th>
<th>AVERAGE RATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MECHANICAL CENTRIFUGAL SHAFT SEALED (M)</td>
<td>EXCELLENT</td>
<td>EXCELLENT</td>
<td>EXCELLENT</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALLY ENCLOSED CANNED MOTOR (M)</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>EXCELLENT</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANNED ROTOR (M)</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELICAL ROTOR (MEM)</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENCLOSED ROTOR CANNED MOTOR (M)</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC INDUCTION (EM)</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC CONDUCTION (EM)</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>POOR</td>
<td>FAIR</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXCELLENT = 4   GOOD = 3   FAIR = 2   POOR = 1

**Table 7**
CONCEPTUAL DRAWING
OF A 6000 HP FREE SURFACE
SHAFT SEALED SODIUM PUMP

Figure 7
The conceptual design, Figure 7, shows a 6000 HP electrical motor coupled to a vertical pump shaft. The top of the pump shaft is located above the floor level. A combination thrust and radial bearing is shown below the coupling and a radial shaft seal is located just below the thrust bearing. The shaft extends down through the gas cover and the free surface of sodium. A self pressurized radial bearing is shown near the impeller end of the shaft, just above a thermal barrier. The thermal barrier is intended to reduce bearing operating temperatures. A static seal is indicated between the inside diameter of the pump tank and the lower end of the thermal barrier. A close fit or seal is required to prevent excessive leakage from the discharge size of the pump to the suction side, or excessive flow past the thermal barrier into the pump tank. Radial baffling within the pump tank would be required to prevent vortexing around the shaft. A labyrinth or vertical baffle is shown above the free surface to limit passage of sodium vapors and to limit convective transfer in the cover gas around the shaft. Equalizing lines from the reactor vessel or another free surfaced vessel (not shown) would be necessary to maintain the liquid level in the pump tank.

The pump end shown in Figure 7 is a double suction type in which the sodium is drawn into the top and bottom of the impeller and discharged through a diffuser into the pump casing or volate.

The pump internals (impeller, diffuser, thermal barrier and bearing assembly, and baffling), as shown, can be withdrawn vertically from the pump tank without requiring personnel to work below floor level.

Thermal insulation and heaters are indicated around the pump tank and casing, and radiation shielding is shown at the top of the pump tank where it passes through the floor.

The overall length of this 6000 HP 890 rpm unit is approximately 45 feet and the diameter of the pump tank is 5 feet. The shown suction piping and discharge nozzle inside diameter are 41 and 36 inches respectively.
7.0 PUMP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

7.1 SCOPE

It has been shown that liquid sodium pumps will be required in future plants which are many times larger than any sodium pumps which have been built to date. To some extent, these large pumps can be scaled up from present technology, but there are certain critical areas of pump technology which cannot be scaled up dependably, particularly where the large pump has specific problems and limitations not comparable to the 10,000 GPM pumps of today's technology. Three such critical areas are the shaft seals, bearings and hydraulic performance. The nature of these three critical problems and the details of the development required to resolve them are discussed in Section 8.0 of this report.

Various types of sodium pumps have been discussed, and selections have been made from a comparison of the predicted performance characteristics. This development program specifically recommends that the development of sodium pumps proceed along three relatively independent courses:

1. The mechanical centrifugal shaft sealed pump
2. The totally enclosed canned motor pump
3. An advanced sodium pump

The initial effort would begin with parallel development projects on the first two pumps followed, after 18 to 20 months, with the initiation of the advanced sodium pump program.

The advanced pump design is not specified at this time but is left open to include new ideas and possible new developments occurring during the initial stages of the first two pump development efforts.

The program, in an overall sense, provides for the design, development and operational testing and subsequent modifications of at least three types of sodium pumps. Operational testing and evaluation is conducted in a Sodium Pump Test Facility described briefly in Sections 10 and 11 of this report and in detail in the final report on Phase II for this contract. The testing program will provide comparative operational experience on steady state, and transient performance and general reliability characteristics.

7.2 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND SCHEDULE

The sodium pump development program is divided into a logical four phase program and integrated with the projected requirements of large sodium cooled reactor projects as shown in Figure 8.
# 1.0 PLANT CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULES

1.1 PROTOTYPE FAST BREEDER REACTOR (150-300 MW)
(PUMP SIZE ~ 40,000 GPM)

1.2 LARGE FAST BREEDER REACTOR (1000+ MW)
(PUMP SIZE 60-20,000 GPM)

## 2.0 PUMP DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE (SEE PERT DIAGRAM FIG. 1 FOR DETAIL)

### PHASE I - DEVELOPMENTAL PUMPS (20,000 GPM) DS-1 & DS-2

A. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN
B. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM
   1. HYDRAULIC MODEL TESTING
   2. SEAL DEVELOPMENT & TESTING
C. PRELIMINARY DESIGN & CHECKS
   1. DESIGN DS-1 & DS-2
   2. EVALUATION DS-1 & DS-2 DESIGN FOR 40-80,000 GPM USE
D. FINAL DESIGN, FABRICATION OF 20,000 GPM PUMPS
E. PERFORMANCE TESTING & PUMP EVALUATION

### PHASE II - IMPROVED PUMPS (20,000 GPM) DS-1A & DS-2A

A. CONTINUED BEARING WEAR TESTS
B. DESIGN OF MODIFICATIONS & IMPROVEMENTS TO BASIC PUMPS
C. PERFORMANCE TESTING & ANALYSIS OF IMPROVED PUMPS
   [PROOF TEST OF PRODUCTION PUMPS FOR PROTOTYPE FAST BREEDER REACTOR]

### PHASE III - ADVANCED PUMPS (20,000 GPM) DS-3

A. SELECTION OF ADVANCED TYPE
B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN & DEVELOPMENT OF ADVANCED "TEST" PUMP
C. DESIGN & FABRICATION OF ADVANCED "TEST" PUMP
D. PERFORMANCE TESTING & EVALUATION OF ADVANCED PUMP

### PHASE IV - DEVELOPMENT OF FULL SCALE PUMPS (60,000 GPM) DL-1 & DL-2

A. DETAIL DESIGN OF 60,000 GPM PUMPS
B. BEARING & SEAL TESTING & DEVELOPMENT [SCALE-UP TESTS]
C. FABRICATION OF TWO FULL SCALE PUMPS, DL-1 & DL-2
D. PERFORMANCE TESTING & EVALUATION OF 2 LARGE PUMPS
E. DESIGN AND MODIFY LARGE TEST PUMPS FOR IMPROVED PERFORMANCE
F. PERFORMANCE TESTING AND ANALYSIS OF "MODIFIED" FULL SCALE PUMP, DL-1A & DL-2A
   [PROOF TESTING OF PRODUCTION LARGE SCALE PUMPS]

### 3.0 SUPPORTING ACTIVITY

3.1 FLOW METER DEVELOPMENT
3.2 FLOW CONTROL DEVELOPMENT
3.3 SODIUM PUMP TEST FACILITY
   TEST SCHEDULE
   1. BASIC DEVELOPMENT PUMPS, DS-1, DS-2
   2. IMPROVED BASIC PUMPS, DS-1A, DS-2A
   3. ADVANCED PUMP, DS-3
   4. "TEST" PROTOTYPE PUMPS
   5. LARGE DEVELOPMENT PUMP TEST
   6. IMPROVED LARGE PUMP, DL-1, DL-2
   7. "PUMP TEST" LARGE REACTOR PUMP

---

**FIG. 8 OVERALL SODIUM PUMP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM**
7.2.1 **Plant Construction Schedule**

The schedule of pump requirements is based on a consideration of a 200-300 MWe Prototype Fast Breeder Reactor for operation in 1972 and a 1000 MWe Plant by 1978. Significant calendar year dates projected for these plants are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Date</th>
<th>200-300 MWe Prototype Plant</th>
<th>1000 MWe Plant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initiate Design</td>
<td>1st Quarter - 1967</td>
<td>1st Quarter - 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specify Sodium Pumps</td>
<td>1st Quarter - 1968</td>
<td>3rd Quarter - 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract for Pumps</td>
<td>2nd Quarter - 1968</td>
<td>1st Quarter - 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumps for Proof Test in SPTF</td>
<td>4th Quarter - 1970</td>
<td>4th Quarter - 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumps Delivered to Site</td>
<td>2nd Quarter - 1971</td>
<td>2nd Quarter - 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operational</td>
<td>2nd Quarter - 1972</td>
<td>2nd Quarter - 1978</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2.2 **Development Program Schedule**

In order to supply important information to the plant designers, it is mandatory to have a history of operating and performance analysis of development pumps prior to the critical dates for specifying sodium pumps. Two years of operation would be highly desirable but may not be possible on the already apparent tight schedule.

The schedule for this four phase program is shown in Figure 8 and the costs are presented in Table 8.

Time dependency PERT networks for the Four Phase Program and the Phase I Program are given in Figure 9 and 10 respectively.

**Phase I Development Pumps**

In this phase, two parallel contracts for the design, development and fabrication of 20,000 GPM pumps, DS-1 and DS-2, would be initiated. The programs would consist of the following tasks:

A. **Conceptual Design of 20,000 and 80,000 GPM pumps** (mechanical-centrifugal pump with shaft seal and totally enclosed motor types). This task would provide the important technical parameters for a full scale sodium pump which would provide the basis for the design criteria for the 20,000 GPM development pumps, DS-1 and DS-2. These parameters would, in turn, supply the technical requirements to the experimental program which follows.
### COST ESTIMATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE I – DEVELOPMENTAL PUMPS</th>
<th>PUMP DESIGN ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENTAL PUMPS</th>
<th>MAJOR DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES</th>
<th>FACILITIES OPERATION MAINTENANCE AND INTERIM REPLACEMENT</th>
<th>SUBTOTAL</th>
<th>PROOF TESTING OF PRODUCTION PUMPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS-1</td>
<td>05-1</td>
<td>05-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF 20,000 AND 80,000 GPM PUMPS</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM</td>
<td>381,000</td>
<td>539,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND ANALYSIS</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>05-1</td>
<td>05-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. FINAL DESIGN AND FABRICATION, OS-1 &amp; OS-2</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL PHASE I</td>
<td>736,000</td>
<td>888,000</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,116,000</td>
<td>6,140,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PHASE II – IMPROVED PUMPS     |                                        |                      |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| A. CONTINUED BEARING WEAR TESTS | 60,000                                  | 60,000               |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| B. MODIFICATIONS TO DEVELOPMENTAL PUMPS | -                                     | 60,000               | 60,000                      |                                                         |          |                                  |
| C. PERFORMANCE TESTING OF IMPROVED PUMPS | 50,000                                 | 58,000               |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| CONTRACT TOTALS               | 50,000                                  | 202,000              |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |

| PHASE III – ADVANCED PUMPS    |                                        |                      |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| A. SELECTION OF ADVANCED TYPE | 75,000                                   |                      |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT | 640,000                                 | 05-3                 |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| C. DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF ADVANCED PUMP | -                                     | 250,000              |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| D. PERFORMANCE TESTING AND EVALUATION | 65,000                                  |                      |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |
| CONTRACT TOTALS               | 800,000                                  | 250,000              |                             |                                                         |          |                                  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE IV – DEVELOPMENT OF FULL SCALE PUMPS</th>
<th>PUMP DESIGN ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT</th>
<th>DEVELOPMENTAL PUMPS</th>
<th>MAJOR DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES</th>
<th>FACILITIES OPERATION MAINTENANCE AND INTERIM REPLACEMENT</th>
<th>SUBTOTAL</th>
<th>PROOF TESTING OF PRODUCTION PUMPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL-1</td>
<td>DL-2</td>
<td>DL-1</td>
<td>DL-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. DETAIL DESIGNS OF 80,000 GPM PUMPS</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. BEARING, SEAL AND RELATED DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>440,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. FABRICATION OF TWO FULL SCALE PUMPS</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. DESIGN AND MODIFY LARGE PUMPS</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td>123,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION MODIFIED PUMPS</td>
<td>832,000</td>
<td>873,000</td>
<td>950,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACT TOTALS</td>
<td>9,760,000</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,816,000</td>
<td>630,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PROGRAM TOTALS                         | 4,382,000                                | 2,670,000            | 3,200,000                   |                                                         | 11,566,000 | 21,818,000                        | 1,092,000 |

### TABLE 8
ANALYZE RESULTS

• W(6)

DEFINE BEARING MATERIALS TESTS TO DS-I DESIGN (FIG. I)

FROM DS-I DESIGN TO DS-2 DESIGN (FIG. 12)

LEGEND:

DS-I - 20,000 GPM SHAFT SEAL DEVELOPMENT PUMP

DS-2 - 20,000 GPM TOTALLY ENCLOSED MOTOR DEVELOPMENT PUMP

BRNG - BEARING

HS - HYDROSTATIC

PP - PIVOTED PAD JOURNAL

EXTENDED WEAR TESTS

FIG. 10 PHASE I SODIUM PUMP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PERT NETWORK - SEAL & BEARING DEVELOPMENT
LEGEND:
- DS-I - 20,000 GPM SHAFT SEAL DEVELOPMENT PUMP
- SS - SINGLE SUCTION
- DS - DOUBLE SUCTION
- BRNG - BEARING
- SPTF - SODIUM PUMP TEST FACILITY

PROCUREMENT OF MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS
PREPARE ASSEMBLY AND DETAIL DRAWINGS FABRICATE AND ASSEMBLE PUMP
DESIGN PIPING FOR SPTF INSTALLATION

I (9) FROM STATIC SEAL TEST
FIG. 10

I (8) FROM SEAL SELECTION
FIG. 10

I (7) FROM BEARING MATERIAL SELECTION
FIG. 10

I (6) FROM BEARING SELECTION
FIG. 10

PROCURE PIPING TO SPTF FOR INSTALLATION OF TESTING
FIG. 9

TIME FROM START OF PROGRAM - MONTHS

FIG. 11a PHASE I SODIUM PUMP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PERT NETWORK - DEVELOPMENT PUMP DS-I
Development Program Schedule (Cont.)

B. **Experimental Program** - including model hydraulic and bearing load tests and full size seal and bearing tests. It is recommended that the bearing and seal test be conducted in a common facility but the other experimental programs would be most advantageously conducted on models more specific to the individual designers, thus requiring parallel facilities and effort. The details of these programs are discussed in Section 9.0.

C. **Preliminary Design and Engineering Analysis** - the design of the development pumps, DS-1 and DS-2, are undertaken on the schedule indicated and are consistent in concept to the 80,000 GPM design developed in A.

D. **Final Design and Fabrication of 20,000 GPM Pumps** - from the experimental and analytical studies made in the first 18 months, the final design and fabricating of DS-1 and DS-2 is undertaken. The design and production time required for these two pumps is about 21 months.

E. **Performance Testing and Pump Evaluation** - the two developmental pumps are then subjected to extensive testing in the Sodium Pump Test Facility and the results evaluated.

**Phase II Improved Pumps**

In this phase of the program, some additional bearing wear tests are conducted in the model testing facilities, and the first two development pumps, DS-1 and DS-2, are modified according to the results obtained in Phase I and submitted for new tests. These tasks are included:

A. **Continued Bearing Wear Tests**

B. **Design and Modification of Development Pumps, DS-1A, DS-2A**

C. **Performance Testing and Analysis of Improved Pumps**

No additional facilities are needed in this phase.

**Phase III Advanced Pumps, DS-3**

Approximately two years after the initiation of Phase I, an advanced pump development program should be considered. At the present time the pumps recommended in Phase I represent the most promising route to the program objectives. However, the possibility of advancing technology producing a more favorable advanced concept must not be overlooked. The Phase III program provides for the further development and testing of such a concept. While the advanced concept cannot be specified at this time, it would appear that continuing improvement of either the more favorable electromagnetic or mechanical-electromagnetic concepts could lead to the desirability of further development of one of these concepts in Phase III. This phase includes the following tasks:
7.2.2 Development Program Schedule (Cont.)

A. Selection of Advanced Type – from the operating experience of the Enrico Fermi Fast Breeder, the Experimental Breeder-2 and the Hallam Nuclear Power Facility and the experimental development conducted in Phase I, pump technology would have sufficiently progressed to allow the selection, on an evaluated basis, of an advanced sodium pump concept, DS-3.

B. Preliminary Design and Development of the Advanced Pump – following the selection of the advanced pump concept, a combined analytical and experimental program should be undertaken on the critical areas of technology similar to the program conducted in Phase I.

C. Design and Fabrication of Advanced Test Pump – the estimated time for the design and fabrication of this advanced pump is approximately 20 months.

D. Performance Testing and Evaluation of Advanced Pump – the results of the performance tests of the advanced pump would be thoroughly analyzed and compared in detail with the results obtained from DS-1, 2, 1A and 2A. At this time, it would be possible to select a preferred and an alternate pump concept for development and demonstration in the full scale sizes.

Phase IV—Development of Full Scale Pumps (60–80,000 GPM) DL-1, DL-2

At this point in the program, there would be a significant amount of pump development information from hydraulic and seal and bearing model tests and from the performance analysis of the basic and improved development pumps. A preferred and alternate pump design for 80,000 GPM application could be selected from this information. It is important that the full scale development and testing begin at this time in order to provide at least one year of operating and performance analysis before a design selection for a pump for the 1000 MWe reactor plant must be made (Third Quarter 1973).

A. Detail Design of 80,000 GPM Pumps – in the Phase I program, conceptual designs of two 80,000 GPM pumps were conducted, these providing a development guide to the early part of the pump program. In this task the detailed design of two large pumps would be undertaken on a slightly staggered schedule.

B. Bearing and Seal Testing and Development – the experimental program would include full size seal tests and near full size bearing and hydraulic tests. New, larger facilities would be required for these tests and could be readily added to the Sodium Pump Test Facility as a service facility, thereby eliminating the need for duplicating large, costly facilities.
7.2.2 Development Program Schedule (Cont.)

C. Fabrication of Two Full Scale Pumps, DL-1 and DL-2 - the estimated fabrication time for the large pump is 32 months.

D. Performance Testing and Evaluation of Two Large Pumps - testing of the first large pump should begin late in 1971 in order to provide data for the large plant designers in the third quarter of 1973. These pumps would receive extensive testing as noted in Section 11.

E. Design and Modify Large Test Pumps - this rigorous test program would doubtlessly reveal design flaws, weaknesses in performance and factors contributing to reduced reliability. A thorough evaluation of the operating performance of these pumps will clearly indicate areas for improvement. The existing pumps will be modified in conformance with the potential areas for improvement.

F. Performance Testing and Analysis of Modified Full Scale Pumps, DL-1A and DL-2A - extensive testing of the design modifications would then be undertaken.

7.3 SUPPORTING ACTION

The program outline in 7.2 is basically for the large sodium pumps. Additional work must be conducted in other areas of sodium technology relating to high sodium flow rates.

A. Flow Measurement Development - although sodium flow can be measured reasonably accurately with some difficulty in smaller pipe size and flow rate, the accurate measuring of sodium flow at high rates in large size pipes represents a formidable problem. Development should be conducted in parallel with the Phase I Pump Development Program on improved techniques for accuracy and reliability in sodium flow control. These techniques can be proof tested in the SPTF.

B. Flow Control Development - related development on optimum methods and practice of flow control in large systems is needed. The pump tests will require suitable means for varying flow and pressure drop in a convenient and reliable manner.

C. Sodium Pump Test Facility - this facility is the cornerstone for any significant pump development and test program. Its general description and capabilities are given in Sections 10 and 11 of this report and the estimated cost is noted in Table 8. A more detailed design and cost estimate will be issued shortly as the Phase II report of this contract.
7.3 SUPPORTING ACTION (CONT.)

C. Sodium Pump Test Facility (Cont.)

The construction schedule of this facility is estimated to be 24 months and constitute a critical schedule item on pump development as noted by the pump test schedule in Figure 8. From the 4th Quarter of 1966 there seems to be a continuing requirement for testing of one or more pumps of sizes from 20,000 GPM to 80,000 GPM. This facility would also be used to proof test production pump models as shown by the solid black line in Figure 8.

The facility will also have considerable value in testing many flow related problems in sodium systems and cores:

1. Control and stop valve testing.
2. Flow induced vibrations in reactor core models.
3. Full scale fluid dynamic tests in steam generators and intermediate heat exchangers.
4. Flow measurement.
5. Isothermal materials testing for corrosion, erosion etc.
6. Sodium purification system.

7.4 SODIUM PUMP DEVELOPMENT COSTS

The cost estimates for the four phase program are presented in Table 8 where it can be noted that the cost for each phase is segregated into four main categories:

1. Engineering and Development
2. Cost of Developmental Pumps
3. Major Facilities Costs
4. Facilities Operation and Maintenance

The overall program requires approximately $4,400,000 for the development of liquid metal pump technology. This includes all conceptual design effort, critical problem solving through experimental model, preliminary design and performance evaluation.

Five developmental pumps ranging in size from 20,000 GPM to 80,000 GPM are required which will test competing total pump assemblies. The estimate of 2,600,000 includes the cost of all developmental pumps and their modifications and improvements.
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The cost of a Sodium Pump Test Facility has been estimated in only a highly preliminary way to be $3,000,000 with projected modifications for large bearing tests costing an additional $200,000. The SPTF is vital to the development and testing of large, reliable sodium pumps and is required early in the program (by 1966) but the large bearing test modification can be added in 1969.

The cost of operation, maintenance and interim replacement and electrical power consumption is the largest single cost component of the entire program at $11,566,000. This estimate is based on almost full time operation of the development pumps. If endurance testing were eliminated, this cost could conceivably drop to only $3-4,000,000 but its inclusion is recommended, at least at this phase of the program planning.

The separation of the Pump Development Program into four phases is a logical orientation of development effort and progress. The effort from Phase I is completed and Phase II and III well along before final specifications have to be established for the Prototype Plant Pump. The development effort in Phase I is rather high in relationship to the pump costs because it is imperative that the early phases of this program give careful consideration to the optimum solution to the sodium pump problems.

Upon detailed inspection of the schedule in Figures 8, 9 and 10 and the cost in Table 8, it is apparent that this program could be conveniently implemented through several related but essentially independent development programs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Approximate Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Design, development, fabrication and performance analysis of a 20,000 GPM Mechanical shaft sealed pump, DS-1</td>
<td>$ 936,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Design, development, fabrication and performance analysis of a 20,000 GPM totally enclosed pump, DS-2</td>
<td>1,088,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Incremental extension of (1) and (2) modification for improvements and subsequent testing</td>
<td>372,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Design, development, fabrication and performance analysis of a 20,000 GPM liquid sodium pump of advanced design, DS-3</td>
<td>1,050,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Design, development, fabrication and performance analysis of an 80,000 GPM pump of a preferred design</td>
<td>1,783,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Scope

6. Design, development, fabrication and performance analysis of an 80,000 GPM pump of an alternate design $1,823,000

7. Design and construction of a sodium pump test facility 3,000,000 plus 200,000 modification

8. Operations, maintenance, and power supply for the SPTF 11,566,000

The total program cost of $22,000,000 does not include the cost of proof testing of production pumps. This total cost seems entirely commensurate with the objective of developing large sodium pumps having excellent performance characteristics and proven reliability.
8.0 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR MAJOR PROBLEM AREAS

8.1 SCOPE

The design of reliable large sodium pumps of the types selected for development, i.e. mechanical pumps with shaft seals and mechanical pumps with totally enclosed motors, require the solution to three major problems, namely

1. Development of seals for large diameter shafts
2. Development of large diameter sodium lubricated bearings
3. Development of high capacity pumps with low net positive suction head requirements.

The problems of bearing and pump hydraulic design are of equal importance for both of the pump concepts under consideration. The problem of seal design is primarily of importance to the shaft sealed design as the sealing requirements of a totally enclosed motor considerably less stringent.

The experimental program is to investigate those basic concepts which appear feasible for use in future large designs and to determine their basic characteristics and fundamental design information necessary to permit design and incorporation of such components in development pumps. The developmental pumps will be subsequently extensively tested in loops under simulated operating conditions.

8.2 SHAFT SEAL DEVELOPMENT

8.2.1 Discussion of Problems

The criteria which follow represents the factors which are relevant to shaft seal selection and development for large, free surface sodium pumps.

A. Proven reliability - i.e., the seal should have a good record under actual services. Some of the qualities which are embodied in the term "reliability" are:

(a) Conservative design - no areas of marginal operation.
(b) Ruggedness - ability to stand abuse during operation and installation.
(c) Simplicity - low chance of misuse.
(d) Low susceptibility to dirt, foreign matter and the usual hazards of operation.
(e) Minimum damage in the event of malfunction.
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B. No contamination of liquid sodium - A seal fluid, if required, should be either compatible with molten sodium, or capable of being prevented from coming in contact with it.

C. Low leakage - Zero cover gas leakage is ideal. If this is not possible, then leakage rates must be kept low to minimize cost. Possibility of the release of contaminated products should also be considered.

D. Long life - indefinite life is ideal.

E. Easy maintenance - the replacement and/or inspection of the seal should be mechanically simple to perform. Day-to-day servicing should be a minimum. The presence of the seal should not complicate service and maintenance to other parts of the machine.

F. Low power consumption - The seal should not lower appreciably the efficiency of the machine, or be the source of undue heating and allied effects.

G. Ease of manufacture - Tolerances should not be unduly restrictive. Parts should be capable of manufacture by conventional equipment. Materials should be readily available with regard to composition, properties, size, etc.

H. Low cost.

After reviewing the many types of seal configurations, those seals which appear promising in meeting the design objectives for this application may be categorized as (a) rubbing seals, (b) liquid seals, (c) gas seals, and (d) mercury seals.

A. Rubbing Seals

Figure llia illustrates schematically the rubbing seal, or mechanical contact seal, as it is often called. Although "circumferential" sealing could be affected by rubbing on the journal surface, low leakage rates dictate "axial" sealing against a runner as shown in Figure llia. As the diameter of the shaft would be about 12 inches, the sealing interface of the runner would necessarily be of the order of 18 inches in diameter. At 900 rpm, this would entail a rubbing speed of about 4200 feet per minute. Under these conditions, lubrication with oil would be necessary to prevent rapid wear and excessive heat generation. Many types of these seals using various mating materials are commercially available, and although a seal of this type of the required size is hardly an "off-the-shelf" item, it can be considered within the state-of-the-art. Moreover, it should be mentioned that operation speeds above 4200 fpm will take it out of this category and require extensive development work.
a. MECHANICAL RUBBING SEAL

b. LIQUID SEAL

c. GAS SEAL

d. MERCURY SEAL

FIG. 11 SEAL TYPES
Hence the design experience gained with this seal, should it be employed, will be of little value in designing larger pumps with the same or higher operating speeds. The designer would again be confronted with a sealing problem which has to be solved anew.

This type of seal has the following advantages: it is simple, cheap, readily available and would require a relatively short development time to adapt it to this application. In smaller size it has shown reasonably good reliability. Cover gas leakage at 5 psia would be insignificant and because of the small amount of oil required for lubrication, oil leakage into the system could be easily prevented by proper design.

In addition to the limitations pointed out above, rubbing seals would also have the following disadvantages:

(a) Limited life - which could be very short.

(b) Must be made and assembled with a high degree of precision. The mating surfaces must be lapped flat within a few light bands and the precision must be maintained in the equipment.

(c) The seal cannot be split readily. This complicates installation and replacement and tends to compromise the design of the machine itself.

(d) The seal has a PV (contact pressure x rubbing speed) limit. Larger sealing diameters or higher speeds may render this type of seal impractical.

(e) Sealing against higher cover gas pressures, if it should be necessary, may not be possible.

B. Liquid Seals

Figure 11b shows a schematic diagram of a liquid seal. Liquid is injected under slight pressure so as to form a sealing film between the shaft and the seal ring. In contrast to the rubbing seal, there is no contact between the parts and hence no wear. The seal, therefore, has indefinite life. There is no cover gas leakage past the interface. This type of seal has been used for many years in central station service to contain hydrogen gas in large electric generators where surface speeds and cover gas pressures far exceed those being considered in this study. They have proven to be extremely reliable.

While the design shown in Figure 11b seals radially, it could also be made to seal axially against a runner. The radial configuration facilitates a split construction thereby simplifying the design.
B. Liquid Seals (Cont.)

This type of seal possesses the following advantages:

(a) Proven reliability, especially in large sizes.

(b) Indefinite life.

(c) The seal is easily constructed and is separable radially. This permits easy replacement if necessary or removal, easy inspection, and simplified the construction of the machine.

(d) The seal does not require high precision in manufacture and installation.

(e) The sealing capability is independent of operating speed, i.e., there is no PV limitation.

(f) The seal is capable of sealing against a high cover gas pressure (30 psig is standard practice on hydrogen cooled generators).

It has the following disadvantages:

(a) Back-up equipment and auxiliaries such as pumps, valves, etc. are required.

(b) Relatively large quantities of liquid (as compared to the mechanical seal) are required. However, contamination of sodium can be prevented by proper design.

(c) Some cover gas replenishment may be necessary because of absorption by the liquid sealant. However, this can be minimized by proper design.

C. Gas Seals

Figure 11c illustrates schematically one type of gas seal. This seal can be designed to permit the sealing gas (which would be argon in this case) to (a) leak into the system as shown, or (b) to permit the cover gas to leak out of the system. In either case, leakage will occur and must be provided for the machine. The seal is actually a gas bearing. The thickness of the operating film and the pressure within it dictates the amount of leakage which will occur. The operating film can be generated either hydrodynamically or hydrostatically. For this application, hydrostatic techniques would be used, since the rubbing surfaces would be separated by a gas film, there would be no wear and life would be indefinite provided rubbing due to dirt, etc. did not occur.

Although the seal could be made so as to seal radially in a manner similar to
C. **Gas Seals** *(Cont.)*

the liquid seal, the very high leakage rates which would thus be encountered would be impractical because of the higher radial clearance which would be required (say .005 - .006 in. on a diameter of 12 inches) to prevent seizure. As the leakage varies with the cube of the film thickness (clearance) this would result in intolerably large flow rates. Much smaller film thicknesses can be maintained with comparative safety when sealing axially against a runner as shown. However, in order to keep leakage rates low, the film thicknesses must be kept very small, in the range of 0.005 to 0.001 inch. While this can be done, from a practical viewpoint it is no small feat when one considers the size of the bearing and the size of the attendant machine components. Scrupulous attention to all design details of the machine will be necessary to avoid mechanical and thermal distortions which could nullify completely the small film thickness, thus causing contact, and rapid failure of the seal.

The most outstanding advantage of this seal is that it uses gas as a sealant. This completely eliminates any contamination problem. (There could possibly still be some ingress of air into the system through the phenomena of back-diffusion, but this would be so small as to be probably negligible).

The gas seal has the following disadvantages:

(a) Unproven reliability - gas bearing seals of this type have been used successfully on small shafts (up to 2 in. diameter) running at very high speeds (15,000 rpm). They must be regarded, however, as extremely delicate high precision components requiring scrupulous care in manufacture, installation, and operation. The large size seal required for this application may be incompatible mechanically with the small tolerances and operating film thickness required. Small orifices which may become clogged are required on the seal design. As no lubricant is present, the seal would have relatively low tolerance to momentary rubs that may occur.

(b) Leakage - the system must be designed to allow for a controlled amount of leakage. This is not a zero leakage seal.

(c) Back-up equipment such as valves, sealant source (compressor), etc. is required.

(d) The seal cannot be split without some loss in precision of the mating surfaces thereby complicating the inspection, replacement, or removal of the seal and also the design of the machine in general.

D. **Mercury Seals**

Figure 11d indicates schematically one type of mercury seal. Mercury seals
D. Mercury Seals (Cont.)

can be both static and dynamic. The design shown does not effectively use the dynamic pressure which can be generated by centrifugal force to enhance sealing, but this can be done by proper design. Dynamic sealing against very high cover gas pressures can be accomplished utilizing this effect.

Many patents have been issued on this type of seal. It has been successfully on small equipment, particularly to seal against vacuum. However, its application in the size being considered here is unknown.

The mercury seal possesses the following advantages: It is very simple, requiring no fine clearances, and hence would be easy to make, install and service. It is a truly zero leakage seal, and as there are no rubbing parts would also have indefinite life. It also has the capability of sealing against high cover gas pressure. It is conceivable that little back-up equipment would be necessary.

However, the mercury seal has unproved reliability in the size being considered for this application. Extensive development may be required. The choice of materials which will allow Hg to wet the surfaces and yet withstand its corrosive effects may be difficult. The fact that Hg vapor is toxic does not appear to be a serious problem, but should be taken in account.

One of the most serious disadvantages is that Hg reacts with molten sodium to form amalgams and compounds which could be harmful in the reactor.

8.2.2 Experimental Program

Objective - The objective of this program is to determine experimentally the performance characteristics and fundamental information necessary to design a shaft seal suitable for use on large sodium pumps.

In view of the demonstrated successful application of liquid type seals for use on large shafts (sealing large turbo-generators) and on the general advantages of the liquid seal previously cited, the primary emphasis of the program is directed to the investigation of liquid type seals. In the event that unforeseen difficulties render the liquid seal inadequate, the rubbing seal would be a logical back-up choice. Rubbing seals probably provided the quickest method of getting a pump into operation, but the poor reliability and higher maintenance requirements make such seals less desirable. A parallel investigation of large rubbing seals will be performed as a backup to the liquid seal design.

Testing - The testing program will involve operating both fluid and mechanical seals under simulated operating conditions in order to determine:

1. Materials performance
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2. Leakage rates (effects of temperature and pressure)

3. Fluid suitability and safety of operation

4. Effects and type of failures

5. Wear and expected life data

The liquid seal program will include the selection of potentially suitable seal fluids and their evaluation on the basis of test performance and compatibility with sodium. Experimental models of liquid seals will be designed, fabricated and tested for general performance and leakage under varying gas pressures and subsequently under environmental conditions over a free sodium surface. The program will be carried out with a shaft diameter of approximately 6 inches which it is believed will provide adequate design information to permit engineering scale up of a successful liquid seal to full future pump size with a minimum of additional testing.

The program will also include the fabrication and testing of a number of mechanical seal configurations and materials for use on nominal 6 inch shafts. Wear rates and leakage will be determined. If liquid seals prove infeasible, a continuing program of test and development is anticipated as being required for mechanical seals. This results from the relatively poor scalability of this type of seal and the necessity to essentially test full scale seals.

Test Apparatus - The test apparatus would consist of a shaft (approximately 6 inches in dia.) and shaft seal driven by a variable speed motor. A vessel containing argon blanketed sodium would be used to simulate the free surface in the actual pump tank. Means would be provided to vary gas pressure, temperature and sodium level.

8.2.3 Schedule and Cost

A total time of 18 months has been estimated as necessary to complete the Phase I experimental program for seal development. The cost of the program is estimated to be $150,000. The schedule and cost are shown on Figure 12.

On the basis of an overall program keyed to the requirements of a prototype fast breeder reactor scheduled for initial operation in 1972, it is estimated that this seal program should be initiated by January 1964. The relationship of this experimental program to the overall program is shown on Figures 8, 9 & 10.

8.3 BEARINGS DEVELOPMENT

8.3.1 Discussion of Problems
| MONTHS FROM START OF CONTRACT | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | COST - $ |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| DEFINE PROGRAM               |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3,000 |
| TEST EQUIPMENT DESIGN &     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 44,000 |
| FABRICATION                 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 5,000 |
| SEAL FLUID SELECTION        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 16,000 |
| LIQUID SEAL DESIGN &        |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 19,000 |
| FABRICATION                 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 18,000 |
| LIQUID SEAL - LEAK TESTS    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 16,000 |
| LIQUID SEAL Na ENVIRONMENT  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 19,000 |
| TESTS                       |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 10,000 |
| MECHANICAL SEAL DESIGN &    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 150,000 |
| FABRICATION                 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |            |
| MECHANICAL SEAL - LEAK TEST |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |            |
| ANALYSIS OF RESULTS         |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |            |

**FIG. 12 SEAL EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM SCHEDULE AND COST**
The criteria for the selection of bearings include:

A. Proven reliability - the discussion of this topic under Section 8.2.1 "seals" applies here as well.

B. Long life - the relative inaccessibility of the bearing indicates that long life is especially desirable. Some of the factors which enter into this aspect are:
   1. Ability to withstand frequent start-stops.
   2. Ability to operate at reduced speeds when a full fluid film is not present.
   3. Ability to withstand thermal shocks, dynamic loads and vibration.
   4. Good material compatibility with molten sodium at 1200°F.
   5. Ability to withstand prolonged operation in reverse direction of rotation.

C. Easy maintenance - the bearing should be capable of being easily inspected and/or replaced. Its presence should not unduly complicate the service on the other parts of the machine.

D. Low power consumption - the bearing should not lower unduly the overall efficiency of the machine, nor be a troublesome source of heat generation.

E. Ease of manufacture - tolerances should not be unduly restrictive. Parts should be capable of manufacture by conventional equipment. Materials should be readily available with regard to composition, properties, size, etc.

F. Low cost.

It is realized that no bearing will fulfill all the above criteria. Moreover, each of the above yardsticks is subject to personal interpretation as to relative importance and desirability. Nevertheless, all the factors should be considered and evaluated.

Two types of bearings appear promising for this application: (a) the hydrostatic journal bearing, (b) and the pivoted-pad journal bearing (Figure 13). The former utilizes a source of pressure outside the bearing while the latter depends upon the relative motion of the parts to generate pressure with the film. The performance of the pivoted pad bearing, therefore, depends upon the speed of operation. Normally, the hydrostatic bearing is not dependent on speed, but if the external pressure source for the bearing is the pump itself (which is presently being considered because of the great design simplification which results), the hydrostatic bearing also becomes speed dependent.
FIG. 13 COMPARISON OF HYDROSTATIC & PIVOTED-PAD JOURNAL BEARINGS
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A. Hydrostatic Journal Bearing (Figure 13a)

The main advantage of this bearing for the particular conditions found in this pump is its high load capacity at the maximum speed of 900 rpm. The load capacity falls off quickly with speed, but is probably still superior to the pivoted-pad bearing up to the point where initial contact occurs. It operates equally well in either direction of rotation and is probably stable with respect to whipping (however, this should be determined). It is easy to split, thus making for easy assembly and disassembly on the shaft. It has shown reasonable reliability in similar applications in smaller sizes.

On the other hand, the bearing offers little supporting area for operation in the low speed range where rubbing may occur; consequently, the wear rate may be high. It may require as much as 7% of the total flow capacity of the pump. Moreover, if the design is chosen so as to prevent contact at the lowest operating speed, the size of the bearing could become very large; thereby not only complicating the overall machine design, but also absorbing much of the capacity of the pump when operating at maximum speed. It requires provisions for self-alignment in the housing and orifices for flow regulation which may become plugged. The bearing may be difficult to manufacture in the size required out of materials such as carbides, etc.

It is obvious that some of the above objections can be completely eliminated if a separate pressure source were used and this factor should not be overlooked. The advantages of such a system must be weighed against the complication that results in the overall design of the machine. This decision must await actual design calculations of the bearing sizes, loads, speeds, etc.

B. Pivoted-Pad Journal Bearing (Figure 13b)

The pivoted-pad journal bearing has a number of advantages which are of a practical nature. It is inherently self-aligning and consists of a number of elements, loosely held, so that fouling by dirt is unlikely. It may be easier to make, in that the elements, being comparatively small, are readily available materials in the sizes required. It provides considerable support area for operation in the low speed range. Moreover, it is inherently stable with regard to whip and operates equally well in either direction of rotation. Finally, it does not require large additional pump flow to satisfy its own bearing requirements.

The serious disadvantage of the pivoted-pad bearing is its poor load capacity in relation to the hydrostatic bearing in this relatively low speed application. At maximum speed the bearing could be designed to operate on a film of reasonable thickness, but still small in comparison to a
B. **Pivoted-Pad Journal Bearing (Cont.)**

hydrostatic bearing of the same size. It is felt, therefore, that contact would occur at a considerably higher speed than would be the case with its hydrostatic bearing. However, this objection to the pivoted-pad bearing would not be critical if (a) the contact speed were below 1/3 the maximum speed, or (b) the mating journal bearing materials were highly compatible and gave negligible, or minor wear. These questions can only be resolved by test.

8.3.2 **Bearing Development Program**

Object - The object of this program is to develop a radial bearing capable of meeting the requirements of future large sodium cooled reactor coolant pumps.

Aside from selecting the bearing type and obtaining the analytical tools required for the design of bearings, a program for the measurement of actual pump bearing loads is necessary. While test data will be obtained that will enable a bearing to be designed for any given conditions, some estimate of the actual radial bearing load is required to size a bearing for a given application. Also, the materials and method of fabrication must be evaluated for large diameter bearings.

The program may then be divided into three separate tasks:

1. Selection of bearing type and gathering of design data.

2. Determination of pump bearing loads.

3. Development of fabrication techniques and materials evaluation for large diameter sodium bearings.

**Task 1 - Selection and Hydraulic Testing**

If a source of sodium under constant pressure were available, a hydrostatic bearing would offer a clear advantage over a pivoted-pad bearing in this application because it can be designed to give a greater load capacity at full speed, see Figure 13. If the hydrostatic bearing is pressurized from the pump, however, the more important consideration may be, which of the two bearings can operate best under the low speed conditions. This must be resolved by a test program.

Testing - Water testing on models of hydrostatic and hydrodynamic (pivoted-pad) bearings to obtain design data so that both types can be evaluated. A comparison of load capacity throughout the entire speed range and general performance during starting and operating conditions will be investigated. This data, and actual pump radial thrust data, will be needed before selection of bearing type or bearing sizing can be made. After water testing either or both types would be
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operated in sodium in order to get load, stability, and film data under actual conditions.

Test Apparatus - A bearing test stand consisting of a variable speed drive, shaft and bearing, suitable water/sodium container, means of varying fluid pressure and temperature, and instruments to collect bearing test data (pressures, stability, film thickness, etc.) will be required. It is anticipated that 6 inch diameter bearing models will be adequate for these tests.

Back-up or Alternate - If neither the hydrostatic or the pivoted-pad bearing operates satisfactorily under the variable speed conditions (low speed ranges), increasing the supply pressure by a supplementary pump can correct the difficulty.

Task 2 - Bearing Load Determination

Testing - As mentioned in Task 1, testing actual pump radial thrust data is necessary in order to select and size the bearings. It is anticipated that this data would be obtained during pump model testing; however, separate journal or bearing mounts and load pickup apparatus will have to be installed in the model test apparatus during load determination testing.

Apparatus - Load determination apparatus to measure shaft load or bearing reaction loads will either be built into the pump model test stand, or be designed for installation into the test stand during pump model hydraulic testing.

Task 3 - Bearing Materials Selection and Fabrication

Discussion - Some of the bearing materials known to be compatible with high temperature sodium are listed in Table 9 along with some of the possible methods of application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Method of Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt base alloys</td>
<td>Hardfacing by oxyacetylene or heliarc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics (Alumina)</td>
<td>Plasma spray (non-transfer arc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten Carbide</td>
<td>Plasma spray or flame plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Carbide</td>
<td>Plasma spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel Base Alloys</td>
<td>Spray welded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9
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Bearing Development Program (Cont.)

Discussion (Cont.)

The cobalt base alloys for bearing applications can be supplied in three forms: castings, wrought or welding rod for hardfacing. Castings of alloys such as Haynes Stellite #3 or Star "J" material are feasible; however, due to their low ductility, they are not considered practical for shrinking onto a shaft unless some special means of holding them is designed. Hardfacing or weld cladding, therefore, is considered more practical to investigate. In this group, the harder alloys, such as Haynes Stellite #1 or #12 would be tried first. The base metal for this application would probably be an austenitic stainless steel (304, 316) or a nickel base alloy (Inconel).

Alumina Ceramics applied to 304 stainless steel by plasma spray offer good bearing qualities. The plasma spray produces a dense coating which can later be ground to size. No difficulty is contemplated in coating 20" O.D. or I.D. surfaces.

Tungsten or titanium carbide have already been used with success as liquid metal bearings. The size in these applications were considerably smaller (3-5" diameter) than those required for future 20" diameter designs. These bearings were solid pieces which, in a 20" diameter, is not practical. Therefore, plasma spray application appears to be a better method of obtaining carbide bearing surfaces. The base metal in this case would be austenitic stainless steel or nickel base alloys.

Nickel chrome boron alloys, such as the Colmonoy alloys or Haynes 40 alloys have been used in bearing applications and would be considered for this application. Spraywelded coatings also appear to have some chance of success. The base metal in this case could be austenitic stainless steel or a nickel base alloy.

Testing - Separate materials tests will be necessary to select the best combination or bearing materials to assure long life (minimum wear during start-up or low speed operation), dimensional stability, proper surface finish and hardness, and resistance to erosion and corrosion.

Test Apparatus - In order to check various materials and methods of applying or mounting these materials, a thermal shock and cycling test fixture is required. A sodium container capable of receiving 20" diameter cylinders and a hot sodium supply tank (with suitable gas piping so that sodium could be pushed from tank to tank) would be adequate for thermal shock and cycling testing.

Candidate bearings that have passed thermal tests would then be "load" and "stop-start" tested in the same or a similar bearing test stand as used for Task 1 testing.
8.3.3 **Schedule and Cost**

It is estimated that this experimental program can be accomplished within an 18 month period at a cost of $308,000 exclusive of the cost of bearing load determinations. The cost and schedule are shown on Figure 14. The cost of the bearing load determination is shown on the succeeding section on Pump Hydraulics Experiments in as much as it is planned to use the Pump Hydraulic models and test stand to determine the loads.

8.4 **PUMP HYDRAULIC DEVELOPMENT**

8.4.1 **Discussion of Problems**

A preliminary pump design study* based on the estimated hydraulic requirements for the pumps of a 1500 MWe sodium cooled nuclear power plant has pointed out the following major hydraulic problem areas.

1. The liquid metal plant system demands a pump which requires a low net positive suction head, and this is a primary consideration in the design of pump hydraulics. The low NPSH requirement will involve close attention to the design of the hydraulics, approach channels, impeller inlet areas, and the impeller blade inlet design. The fluid analytical techniques used to solve this problem in a water pump design will be applicable to liquid metals, and the resulting low NPSH design should be very similar to that for a water pump. To determine the degree of conservatism to be used in designing liquid metal hydraulics will require an understanding of the erosion and cavitation effects of liquid metals as compared to water. As a part of the design, it will be mandatory to search out any available information on this.

One design area which could be a problem of a special nature, is the extra large diameter shaft associated with the liquid metal pump. Because of the very high temperature liquid metal, extra shaft diameter is required to transmit power to the impeller. In the case of the double suction primary pump design, this extra shaft diameter could interfere with the impeller inlet design. A more detailed study of this situation could possible show that the effectiveness of a double suction impeller for low NPSH might be seriously limited for liquid metal pumps.

2. One of the critical areas in the design of liquid metal pumps is involved in the design and development of the hydrostatic radial bearing. Therefore, an important consideration in the design of the pump hydraulics will be the hydraulic radial thrust imposed by the pump. This will involve special design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTHS FROM START OF CONTRACT</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>COST - $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEARING CHARACTERISTICS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFINE PROGRAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN &amp; FABRICATION TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN &amp; FABRICATION TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEARING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDROSTATIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIVOTED PAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER TESTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDROSTATIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIVOTED PAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDROSTATIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIVOTED PAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANALYSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEARING LOAD DETERMINATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PERFORMED ON PUMP HYDRAULIC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEST - SEE FIG. 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEARING MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFINE PROGRAM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN &amp; FABRICATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THERMAL TEST MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN &amp; PROCURE WEAR TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIP.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEL. WEAR TEST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANALYSIS OF RESULTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 14 BEARING DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE AND COST**
of the pump guide vanes and volutes to arrive at a proper design to obtain an acceptable radial thrust component.

Initial calculations have indicated that a double suction design has the best combination of size, weight, efficiency, and NPSH requirements for a primary coolant pump. However, model testing will be required to compare single and double suction types and to optimize the design from a performance and economy standpoint. Full scale testing is then necessary to confirm mechanical and thermal design under actual operating conditions. Radial thrust data must be obtained during model testing so that radial bearing loads can be factored into the pump type selection and to provide information on the bearing requirements so that bearings can be sized properly.

8.4.2 Pump Development Program

Object - The object of this program is to develop and optimize pump hydraulics (approach channels, impeller inlet areas, impeller blade design, guide vanes, volutes, etc.) to obtain minimum radial thrust and required NPSH.

Testing - Model testing will be performed to verify design performance (head, flow, NPSH, etc.) while optimizing pump operation from radial thrust and low NPSH standpoints. These tests will determine which pump configuration offers the best combination of size, weight, NPSH, and load factors. The model tests will also confirm the vibration analysis.

Test Apparatus - Models (1/3 to 1/4 size of initial development pumps, i.e., 5000 - 7000 GPM will be made of at least two basic pump designs (single suction and double suction) and various diffuser and volute designs and modifications of these designs will be required. A fully instrumented water test loop will be needed along with the bearing load determination apparatus described in the Bearing Development section.

8.4.3 Schedule and Cost

It is estimated that the Phase I experimental program can be accomplished in 18 months at a cost of $231,000 including the cost of the bearing load determination tests. The details of schedule and cost are shown on Figure 15.
| MONTHS FROM START OF CONTRACT | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | COST - $ |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| DEFINE TEST PROG.            |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 3,000 |
| TEST EQUIPMENT DESIGN & FABRICATION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 65,000 |
| SS PUMP MODEL DESIGN & FABRICATION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 40,000 |
| SS PUMP HYDRAULIC TESTS      |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 11,000 |
| DS PUMP MODEL DESIGN & FABRICATION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 40,000 |
| DS PUMP HYDRAULIC TESTS      |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 11,000 |
| BEARING LOAD TEST EQUIPMENT DESIGN & FABRICATION |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 170,000 |
| BEARING LOAD DETERMINATION   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 34,000 |
| ANALYSIS OF RESULTS          |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 16,000 |
|                             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   | 61,000 |

**FIG. 15 PUMP HYDRAULIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM SCHEDULE AND COST**

**LEGEND:**
- SS - SINGLE SUCTION
- DS - DOUBLE SUCTION
9.0 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF ANCILLARY PROBLEMS

9.1 GENERAL

In addition to the experimental development effort necessary to solve the major development problems, an additional effort is necessary in a number of ancillary areas in order to proceed with the final design and fabrication of development pumps.

For the most part, work required in these areas will consist of analytical studies using existing engineering theory and practice. However, a certain amount of testing will be required to assure that application of current analytical methods and practice are adequate for prediction of performance of specific components of size and configurations required for the large pumps designs under consideration.

The problem areas to be investigated, the nature of the problems, and anticipated testing programs that will be required and an estimate of magnitude of effort are discussed in the succeeding sections.

9.2 THERMAL STUDIES

9.2.1 Problems

The use of sodium in heat transfer systems results in higher thermal stresses in structural materials than would occur in conventional steam cooler systems, due to the low thermal resistance of sodium films. The effects of thermal transient conditions on pump components not only must be analyzed for stress reasons, but also to check the effects of thermal expansion on clearances and fits.

It is envisioned that the thermal barrier will be developed whereby a sufficient thermal drop can be realized such as to suppress the sodium temperature contained in the pump column to about 500-600 °F. This would favor bearing operational requirements, the materials of construction in this area, the sodium vapor problem general stress levels, and the overall thermal and thermal shock problems.

9.2.2 Required Analysis and Testing

A complete thermal stress analysis will be performed during the preliminary design of all components that contact the sodium, and all areas where close clearance must be maintained will be analyzed.

In order to get meaningful proof test data, full scale components would have to be tested. To do this, the entire assembled pump should be installed in a test loop for thermal testing.
9.2.2 Required Analysis and Testing (Cont.)

Model thermal barriers may be built and tested prior to building a prototype pump. But, it is felt that a full size barrier for the prototype pump can be designed using existing theory, and more meaningful testing data will be obtained using the full scale pump test facility. Testing will involve placing thermocouples in strategic positions in and above the thermal barrier to check the effectiveness of the barrier for the suppression of temperatures in the lower bearing area.

9.3 FLUID STABILITY STUDIES

9.3.1 Problems

The free surface concept of pump design introduces problems of possible gas entrapment due to surface level instability and possible vortexing in the pump tank. A thorough understanding of the dynamic behavior of the liquid and vapor phase in this area under all conditions of pump operation is essential.

9.3.2 Required Analysis and Testing

Models can be built to check fluid stability within the pump tank, but testing of a prototype pump will give more assurance of satisfactory operation under actual running conditions. Some of the tests which will need to be performed are:

(a) The effects of sodium level on pump performance, gas entrapment, vapor conditions, thermal considerations, etc. The gas and vapor mixture in the shaft seal vicinity will be thoroughly analyzed and its effect on seal condition and performance will be examined under various operation conditions.

(b) The effectiveness of the static sodium seal (see "Mechanical Considerations") at various discharge pressures and the effect on the level height.

(c) The ability of equalizing lines to limit sodium level in the pump tank.

(d) The effectiveness of pump drainage design.

(e) The reliability of the pump heaters to liquify the sodium prior to cold pump start-up.

(f) The effect of fluid whirl and vortexing on free surface height.

9.4 DYNAMICS AND VIBRATION STUDIES

9.4.1 Problems
9.4.1 Problems (Cont.)

The use of the free surface pump concept for primary pumps inherently requires the use of relatively long pump shafts which may operate at relatively high temperatures. These conditions make it mandatory to investigate carefully system dynamics and vibrations.

9.4.2 Required Analysis and Testing

The required program will determine:

(a) Dynamics of rotating elements at all operating speeds.
(b) Torsional frequencies at all operating speeds.
(c) Vibration of external pump body and necessary mounting.

A preliminary analysis will be made based on expected operating requirements, so that a pump layout can be made. A model of the pump end and associated shaft will be constructed based on the theoretical dynamic analysis and be used to confirm the shaft analysis. The model can be the same model used in hydraulics tests.

9.5 MECHANICAL STUDIES

9.5.1 Problems

Aside from the structural design, consideration must be given to assembly, disassembly and maintenance of the unit. It will be necessary to assure that the pump internals and shaft assembly can be inserted into or removed from the casing and pump tank (see Figure 16). With this design the entire inside assembly including the impeller, diffuser, thermal barrier, and lower bearing, shaft and baffling can be withdrawn from the pump tank and casing.

Some type of static seal will be required to minimize or prevent leakage between the pump tank and suction and discharge fit areas. Since there will be relative motion between these parts, due to thermal expansion, the seal will have to be a flexible or sliding seal.

Another mechanical design consideration will be to assure natural (gravity) drainage of all pump parts when the system is to be emptied. This should not require any special development, but this will be a factor in the design of all components wet by sodium.

Pump mounting and support of the casing and piping is another major mechanical consideration.

9.5.2 Static Seal Development and Testing
FIG. 16 LOWER END OF PUMP AND TANK SHOWING STATIC SEAL AREA
9.5.2 Static Seal Development and Testing (Cont.)

The object of this program will be to design, test, and prove a large diameter seal capable of limiting or eliminating sodium leakage between the pump tank I.D. and the lower bearing and thermal barrier assembly (Figure 16) caused by differential pressures in the pump tank and casing suction and discharge areas.

Apparatus - A sodium tank 4 - 6 ft. in diameter (equivalent to diameter of pump tank) and approximately one foot long will be needed to receive the seal. A means of detecting and varying the pressure across the seal will be required to check the leakage rates across the seal. Provision for heating the sodium to design operating temperatures (1200°F) will be needed to check the effect of temperature on the seal.

Final testing of the pump in a full scale test facility should be performed to confirm drainage design and assembly and disassembly features. The static seal tests will also be confirmed during full scale pump testing, but it will be desirable to check some prospective seal designs.

9.6 MATERIALS SELECTION

9.6.1 Problems

For cost reasons, it appears desirable to use austenitic stainless steel (304) for the majority of pump structural. No developmental testing appears necessary for the pump structural, but the more critical areas such as bearings, seals and shafting may require testing.

9.6.2 Required Analysis and Testing

A survey of literature on existing materials would have to be performed for all pump components and initial material selection would be based on this. At the present time, bearing materials appear to be the only one which may require any extensive development.

The investigation and testing of bearing materials is included in the bearing development program discussed in Section 8.0.

9.7 ELECTRICAL DESIGN STUDIES

9.7.1 Problems

Electrical Design Considerations - A design study of drive motors for large shaft sealed sodium pumps has revealed that would rotor induction motors appear to be the most suitable type of drive, and the production of these motors would

* "Sodium Pump Design Study-Drive Motor Study" by J.S. Willhite, WAED E.M. #3123 See Appendix 2.
9.7.1 Problems (Cont.)

not require any major development. Motor design considerations will consist mostly of structural mounting and layout for vertical shaft sealed sodium pumps and development areas will be primarily mechanical and not electrical in nature, as long as speed regulation requirements are no greater than 4:1.

9.7.2 Required Analysis and Testing

The production of suitable would rotor motors will not entail any development in what might be considered unknown areas. Work in this area, unless another type of drive is selected will be limited to essentially standard design calculations for large special motors.

9.8 INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL

9.8.1 Problems

Instrumentation and control apparatus for the pump motor and auxiliaries will be necessary to assure that proper monitoring of temperatures, pressures, liquid level, vibration, etc. will be available on the prototype unit.

9.8.2 Required Analysis and Testing

A careful analysis must be made of experimental and developmental instrument requirements to achieve the required testing data both on models and prototypes. Apart from these requirements the only major developmental item anticipated is a high capacity sodium flow meter. While this latter item is not directly a part of pump development, it will be necessary for instrumentation of the pump testing facility.

9.9 SCHEDULE AND COST

The magnitude and distribution of the effort between the various programs described in this section that are estimated to be required for engineering analysis and preliminary engineering design of a development pump are shown on Figure 17.

In general, the costs estimated for work on these related problems, as shown in Figure 17, are based on engineering design and analysis only and experimental models and are not required or are provided for elsewhere in the program. The costs are the incremental costs that are additive to costs of other major programs.

Specifically, it is assumed that:

1) The experimental tests of thermal barriers will be carried on the prototype pump in the Sodium Pump Test Facility.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTHS FROM START OF CONTRACT</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>COST - $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>THERMAL &amp; STRESS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLUID STABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYNAMICS &amp; VIBRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MECHANICAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOWER STATIC SEAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEST EQUIP. DESIGN &amp; FABR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TESTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANALYSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELECTRICAL DRIVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INSTRUMENT &amp; CONTROL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIG. 17 ENGINEERING ANALYSIS OF ANCILLIARY PROBLEMS**
9.9 SCHEDULE AND COST (CONT.)

(2) Model tests for dynamic and vibration analysis Section 9.4.2 will be performed on the hydraulic test model Section 8.3.2.

(3) The fluid stability tests will be performed on the prototype in the Sodium Pump Test Facility.

The one exception to this rule is in conjunction with the development and testing of a lower static seal. In this instance, testing prior to completion of the pump prototype is desirable and will entail equipment not available under other major programs. In this case the cost of test apparatus is included.
10.0 SODIUM PUMP TEST FACILITY REQUIREMENTS

10.1 GENERAL

Experimental facilities for testing and demonstrating performance are a necessary and obvious adjunct to the successful development of large sodium pumps. The size, scope and requirements of such facilities are a direct outgrowth of the estimated pump requirements and the related development program. The pump requirements and related development program of the earlier sections of this study have been reviewed in order to develop the following design requirements and criteria of a single large multipurpose facility to meet the specific needs of the large sodium pump program.

In the past, the testing, development, and demonstration of sodium pumps for reactor power applications has been accomplished by building necessary facilities as required for testing a specific pump under development in conjunction with the related reactor. Such facilities provided essentially for testing steady state design performance and generally operated isothermally over a series of design temperatures, at flow and head conditions of the reactor under design. Such facilities provided valuable demonstration of general pump performance. These test programs, in conjunction with prior water model testing and additional flow testing in the actual reactor system, have resulted in pumps that appear satisfactory for current requirements. The developing emphasis on liquid metal cooled reactors coupled with the estimated substantial increase in pump size, suggests that further extension of this philosophy of separate facilities for each reactor will become prohibitively expensive and that the overall requirements of the liquid metal reactor program and related pump development program for the next decade can be better served by a single multipurpose sodium pump test facility.

10.2 FUNCTION

The primary function of the Sodium Pump Test Facility (SPTF) is to perform such testing as is necessary to the development of large sodium pumps and to demonstrate the performance and operation of such pumps for nuclear power plant service under simulated reactor operating conditions.

Concomitantly, the facility will provide a large flow loop which will permit demonstration of related flow measurement and control devices and will in itself provide additional information on the design and operation of large sodium piping systems.

10.3 TEST CAPABILITIES

10.3.1 General Considerations

The facility must provide the necessary basic fixed equipment and instrumentation
10.3.1 General Considerations (Cont.)

necessary to carry out a flexible and comprehensive test program necessary to
the development of large sodium pumps of various types that will be developed
under the overall pump development program. The facility should also provide
adequate provision for the addition of special test instrumentation and for
adapation to various pump types and pump drive requirements. Both steady state
and transient tests in the following categories are deemed to be necessary and
desirable on the basis of future pump requirements and proposed programs of
development as noted in previous sections. The major categories include:

(l) Steady State Hydraulic and Electrical Characteristics

(2) Hydraulic Transients

(3) Thermal Transients

(4) Flow Control - Speed Control Response Rates

(5) Component Evaluation

(6) Accelerated Life Tests

10.3.2 Steady State Hydraulic Tests

These tests are primarily for demonstrating pump operation over the specified
design range and for determining by actual test, the pump characteristics. The
laboratory shall be made for measuring head developed, flow, input power and
efficiency over the full design range of pump speed, pumping temperature and
NPSH.

10.3.3 Hydraulic Transients

The practical demonstration of pumps for reactor application require the
determination of operating characteristics and behavior under a variety of non-
steady state hydraulic conditions. It will be necessary to test, measure and
evaluate the performance under conditions of variable liquid level in the pump
 casing, variable cover gas pressure and to determine the interactions that occur
between parallel loop operation with common suctions, discharges or both.

10.3.4 Thermal Transients

In an operating reactor system, the circulating pumps and associated piping will
be subject to a series of thermal transients that result either from usual operating
conditions or from abnormal conditions of equipment failure. Typical conditions are
usual power changes not immediately compensated by adequate flow regulation and
reactor shutdown. More extreme conditions result from reactor scrams without
10.3.4 **Thermal Transients (Cont.)**

compensative flow control or from failure of secondary or primary pumps. It is important to demonstrate the adequacy of pump and loop design to withstand such changes. An important part of the testing requirement will entail inducing transients on pumps during tests to observe their performance and design characteristics. The facility will require a method of introducing thermal transients of varying severity and duration in both the upward and downward direction.

10.3.5 **Flow Control With Pumps**

In sodium cooled reactor systems it is usual to operate at essentially constant coolant temperatures and to vary the coolant flow in accordance with power changes. In the past, both flow control valves and variable speed pumps or combinations of these have been used to control coolant flow. Flow control valves have in the past been a source of some considerable difficulty for this service. With the advent of larger flows and piping it is anticipated that control of flow by means of pumps will assume even greater importance. It will, therefore, be necessary to provide for testing and evaluating performance of a variety of pump variable speed drives with respect to flow control response rate and accuracy of flow control.

10.3.6 **Component Evaluation**

The overall pump assembly consists of a considerable number of discrete mechanical components and subassemblies including bearings, shaft seals, shafts, casing, lower end static seals, thermal barriers, etc. These components will have been the subject of varying amounts of development, model testing and analysis during the prototype design and development as noted in the development program outlined in Sections 8 and 9. An extensive testing program will be required in the SPTF to confirm the design analysis and to provide improved design and performance data of these components as finally incorporated in the prototype designs.

Among the many tests that might arise, the following are typical and recognizable at this stage:

1. Determination of shaft seal leakage
2. Confirmation of vibration analysis for rotating machinery
3. Confirmation and measurement of bearing loads under operating conditions
4. Efficiency of thermal barrier design
5. Extent and conditions for cover gas entrainment
10.3.6 Component Evaluation (Cont.)

(6) Effectiveness of lower static seal design

To a large extent it is anticipated that this information can be obtained concurrent with other testing programs by the use of adequate test instrumentation.

10.3.7 Life Tests and Accelerated Wear Tests

The facility shall provide for determining some measure of pump reliability and maintenance free lifetime by operation for extended periods at any of the above test conditions or combinations of same. Accelerated wear tests can to some extent be obtained by means of start-stop tests and through the use of a large number of transient test cycles.

10.4 OPERATING RANGE FOR SODIUM PUMP TEST FACILITY

The key parameters that establish the design criteria for the SPTF are:

(1) Flow
(2) Coolant Temperature
(3) System Head
(4) Power

These parameters directly reflect the estimate of future pump requirements as discussed and selected in Section 4 and summarized in Table 4. A consideration of these pump requirements and the testing capability required established the following range of operation for the SPTF.

Flow

Maximum total flow of coolant for the facility of 120,000 GPM.

Temperature

Steady state operation at all sodium temperatures from 400°F to 1200°F.

Thermal transient operation over range of 400°F to 1200°F capable of maintaining 10°F/sec. for periods up to 30 sec. and for average rates of at least 4°F/sec. for periods up to 3 minutes.

System Head

Maintain system head of up to 350 ft. of Na over range of flows.
Maintain net positive suction head over range of 1 psig to 50 psig.
10.4 OPERATING RANGE FOR SODIUM PUMP TEST FACILITY (CONT.)

**Power**

Provide installed electrical power supply for pump motor drives to a maximum of 10 MW.

10.5 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

10.5.1 **Flow Loops**

In order to attain the maximum flow capacity of 120,000 GPM and still maintain reasonable equipment sizes and operating flexibility at less than this maximum flow, the facility will be designed as two identical flow loops of 60,000 GPM each. This arrangement permits the simultaneous independent operation of two pumps with individual ratings of up to 60,000 GPM or with suitable cross-connections the parallel operation of two pumps with individual ratings of up to 60,000 GPM. Operation of a single pump with capacity in range of 60,000 to 120,000 GPM is attainable by connecting such large pumps to the two loops operating as parallel flow paths.

10.5.2 **Temperature Adjustment**

Independent controllable sodium-air heat changers will be in each loop to reject pump heat and maintain essentially isothermal conditions in the test loop. The coolers will be designed to reject full pump heating load at lowest fluid temperature, thus providing some excess heat rejection capacity. The pump heat input and these coolers provide for adjustment of temperature level in the test loop.

10.5.3 **Transient Simulation**

The high rate short period thermal transients will be induced in a loop initially operating at temperature $T_1$ by a feed and bleed system from a reservoir (alternate loop and tankage) containing a supply of sodium at a substantially different temperature $T_2$. Proper selection of initial temperature and control of feed and bleed rates permits controlled simulation of a wide range of transient conditions without the need for excessive heat exchanger capacity or heat input.

Low rate, long period thermal transients may be obtained by control of the sodium-air heat exchangers.

10.5.4 **Flow Measurement**

Flow measurement devices will be provided in each loop.

10.5.5 **Flow Resistance**
10.5.5 Flow Resistance (Cont.)

Variable flow resistance in the form of some type of valve will be provided in each loop.

10.5.6 Tanks

Each flow loop will be provided with a supply tank to function as a surge tank and to provide the necessary heat supply and heat sink reservoirs for thermal transient tests. In addition, a common drain tank will be provided.

10.5.7 Pump Test Bed

The experimental nature of the facility requires that the pump test bed structure and arrangement be designed to permit a wide latitude in pump sizes and geometries. The necessary structures should be arranged to permit installation of two pumps at a time, one feeding each test loop and provide for the installation of a single larger pump connected to both loops as parallel flow circuits. Adequate room should be provided to permit replacement and modification of pump inlet and discharge piping from the pump casing to the fixed loops for varying sizes and varying nozzle orientations.

10.5.8 Supporting Systems and Services

In addition to the major design requirements noted above, a considerable number of supporting systems and services will be required in order to operate the loop and adequately carry out the experimental functions. These include:

(a) A sodium loading facility for initial loading and make-up of coolant.

(b) A sodium purification system including provision for both hot and cold trapping to maintain desired coolant purity.

(c) An inert gas handling system for supplying, storing and controlling inert cover gas necessary for precluding oxygen entrance into the system and in providing a variable cover gas pressure for adjustment of NPSH on the pumps.

(d) A secondary cooling system to provide for freeze seal valves etc.

(e) An auxiliary heating system to provide necessary heat input for preheating system, heat tracing as required, and maintaining temperature in storage tanks.

(f) Component handling facilities for installation, removal and transport of pumps between test bed and inspection area.

(g) Component decontamination and inspection facilities for inspection, partial
10.5.8 Supporting Systems and Services (Cont.)

disassembly and limited maintenance on experimental pumps.

(h) A safety system for detection and alarm, of leaks and for the control of fire.
11.0  **PRELIMINARY CONCEPT OF SODIUM TEST FACILITY**

A preliminary concept of the Sodium Pump Test Facility which embodies the major considerations and requirements is presented in a simplified flow diagram Figure 18 and two conceptual drawings of the facility Figure 19 and Figure 20.

A more detailed evaluation of the concept including necessary revisions, modifications and expansion based on an engineering design study and the preparation of a cost estimate will be carried out in Phase II of the contract work which will be subsequently reported.
FIG. 18 PRELIMINARY SPTF FLOW DIAGRAM
FIG. 19 ARTISTS CONCEPT OF SPTF TWO PUMP ARRANGEMENT

BUILDING DIMENSIONS
HEIGHT-80 FT.
WIDTH-150 FT.
DEPTH-120 FT.
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A. INTRODUCTION

The following report covers the hydraulic portion of the Large Liquid Metal Pump Study conducted by WAED on shop order 1D1121 for WAPD. Hydraulic design selections are offered for two separate applications -- (1) A primary pump to handle $32 \times 10^6$ lbs. per hour of $1200^\circ$F radioactive sodium at 300 ft. total head, and (2) A secondary pump suitable for $32 \times 10^6$ lbs. per hour of $700^\circ$F non-radioactive sodium at 150 ft. total head. The pump driving motor is to be mounted on top of the shield deck. The pump volute is to be mounted in the piping system below the shield with the pump rotor suspended from the driver and shafting through an interconnecting vertical column between the driver and the pump volute. A static height of liquid sodium is to be maintained with the free liquid surface at some intermediate point within the column. An inert gas cover is to be provided over the free surface with sufficient pressure to assure required NPSH to the pump. This preliminary study indicates that the best hydraulic design selections for these two pumping applications would be a double suction design driven at 890 R.P.M. for the primary unit, and a single suction hydraulic design driven at 710 R.P.M. for the secondary unit.

B. TABULATION OF DATA COVERING PRIMARY AND SECONDARY FULL CAPACITY PUMP DESIGNS

The following is a tabulation of some of the leading particulars of these two hydraulic designs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump Fluid</th>
<th>Primary 1200°F Sodium</th>
<th>Secondary 700°F Sodium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Sp. Gr.</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow (G.P.M.)</td>
<td>80,600</td>
<td>74,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Head (Ft.)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.P.M.</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Speed</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>4,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump Type</td>
<td>Double Suction</td>
<td>Single Suction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Eff. (%)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.H.P.</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>2,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N.P.S.H. Required (Ft.)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller O.D. (In.)</td>
<td>41-1/2</td>
<td>41-1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impeller Eye Dia. (In.)</td>
<td>31-5/8</td>
<td>31-5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide Vane O.D. (In.)</td>
<td>60-1/2</td>
<td>64-1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suction Nozzle I.D. (In.)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Nozzle I.D. (In.)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. DESIGN SELECTION CRITERIA

The principal criteria in making these hydraulic selections are:

(1) Economy in weight.
(2) High level hydraulic performance.
(3) Low net positive suction head requirements.

Curve D447 has been constructed to show how the pump hydraulic efficiency and volute weight will vary with pump specific speed. The hydraulic efficiency value is the best efficiency occurring at the pump design flow point. The weight curve shows the relative volute weight for pumps of various specific speed designs to meet a particular head - flow point. The pump specific speed (NS) = \( \frac{N\sqrt{Q}}{H^{3/4}} \)

where,
- \( N \) = pump speed in R.P.M.
- \( Q \) = pump design flow in G.P.M.
- \( H \) = pump design total head in feet.

Specific speed number is a convenient way of comparing pump designs where the pump similarity laws are used in computing pump size and performance.

Some important conclusions can be drawn from Curve D447. This curve indicates that a 2500 specific speed design is an optimum for maximum hydraulic efficiency, whereas 5200 specific speed is an optimum for minimum volute weight. For very large pumps where pump size is a critical consideration, as is the case in this pump study, Curve D447 illustrates that pumps within the 3000 to 4500 specific speed range would appear to be the best selection. Pump weight becomes excessive at specific speeds less than 3000; and for specific speeds greater than 4500, the hydraulic efficiency reduction becomes excessive.

For most pump applications the greatest proportion of the pump weight is concentrated in the pump volute, so the pump with the lightest weight volute will, in general, be the most economical selection. However, as will be pointed out later, this rule is not absolutely correct for the pump designs considered in this study.

The remaining consideration involved in selecting a pump design -- the low net positive suction head requirement -- is not covered by the foregoing Curve D447. As mentioned in the introduction to this hydraulic study, a static height of liquid sodium will be provided in the vertical pump column with a gas cover sufficiently pressurized to provide the required NPSH. However, to minimize the gas seal design problems, it would be advantageous to provide a pump design which
would be compatible with a gas cover requiring only a low pressure. The NPSH made available to the pump can be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{NPSH}_{\text{avail.}} = H_a + H_p + H_S - H_v \]

where, 
- \( H_a \) = atmospheric pressure
- \( H_p \) = gage pressure of gas cover
- \( H_S \) = static height of fluid column above the impeller centerline
- \( H_v \) = fluid vapor pressure

The units for the above is in feet of fluid being pumped.

With the use of the above formula, it can be shown that about 75 ft. NPSH is made available to the primary pump handling 1200°F sodium under a 6 ft. static fluid height in the vertical column and a 10 psig gas cover pressure. The secondary pump handling 700°F sodium under the same static fluid height and gas cover pressure would have 72 ft. NPSH available. Based on this line of reasoning, the following NPSH values have been selected as a design condition for the pump designs being considered in this study:

- Primary Pump - Required NPSH \( \leq 75 \) ft.
- Secondary Pump - Required NPSH \( \leq 72 \) ft.

D. PRIMARY PUMP DESIGN SELECTION

Curve D448 has been prepared for the primary liquid metal pumps with the head-flow parameters set at 300 ft. and 80,600 G.P.M. This curve shows how some of the other principal pump parameters and dimensions will change with the pump speed selection. The speed range covered is from 550 to 1200 R.P.M, with a corresponding specific speed range from 2150 to 4740. The solid line curves are for a single suction pump design -- the dashed line curves are for the double suction design where it differs from the single suction design. In general, this curve shows a rather rapid dimensional and weight increase with decreased pump design speed, and the required NPSH decreases with decreased pump speed.

In comparing the single suction design with the double suction pump, this Curve D448 shows that the main differences are in volute weight, NPSH requirements and suction nozzle size. An explanation for this follows:
(a) Approximate weight calculations indicate 30% greater volute weight for the double suction pump. This is the additional weight required for the larger diameter side suction nozzle furnished with the double suction design.

(b) Pump NPSH requirements can be approximately computed by the following formula:

\[
\text{Single Suction} \quad \text{NPSH} = \frac{N \sqrt{Q}}{S_N^{3/4}}
\]

\[
\text{Double Suction} \quad \text{NPSH} = \frac{N \sqrt{Q/2}}{S_N^{3/4}}
\]

where, \(N\) = pump speed in R.P.M.
\(Q\) = pump design flow in G.P.M.
\(S_N\) = suction specific speed = 8000.

(c) The suction flow passages for the double suction pump design are longer with more complicated shapes and, therefore, more prone to extra fluid losses. Therefore, to minimize these extra losses, the suction nozzle diameter is made bigger for the double suction pump design.

Curve D448 can be entered at four speed selection points:

(1) 1180 R.P.M. - induction motor speed for 6 poles, 60 cps
(2) 890 R.P.M. - induction motor speed for 8 poles, 60 cps
(3) 710 R.P.M. - induction motor speed for 10 poles, 60 cps
(4) 590 R.P.M. - induction motor speed for 12 poles, 60 cps

Examining Curve D448 at the above four speed values, the following single suction and double suction pump designs are found to be the most economical selections for the required NPSH ≤ 75 feet:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed (R.P.M.)</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Volute Wt.</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Req'd. NPSH (Ft.)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guide Vane O.D. (In.)</td>
<td>71-1/2</td>
<td>60-1/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At first glance, the above would appear to indicate that the 710 R.P.M., single suction hydraulic design with 1.28 relative volute weight would be the more economical selection of the two designs. However, the internal parts of the double suction design are smaller (60-1/2 in. dia. guide vane as compared to 71-1/2 in. for the single suction design). A closer study would probably show that the 12% greater volute weight for the double suction design is more than offset by the greater vertical pump column diameter required for the withdrawal of the larger diameter single suction guide vane. This fact, along with the somewhat better NPSH requirement obtainable with the double suction design is responsible for the 890 R.P.M. double suction selection for the primary liquid metal pump as previously outlined in this report.

E. SECONDARY PUMP DESIGN SELECTION

Curve D449 has been prepared for the secondary liquid metal pumps which are rated at 150 ft. total head and 74,500 G.P.M. This curve is constructed in the same manner as primary pump data curve D448. Curve D449 covers a speed range of 550 to 1200 R.P.M. with a corresponding specific speed range of 3500 to 7650. The most apparent difference between this Curve D449 and Curve D448 is in the shape of the relative weight characteristic. D449 shows a relatively flat weight characteristic, so that a pump selection can be made over a wide range of speeds with little effect in the pump volute weight. This is to be expected, because the secondary pump hydraulic conditions fit into a higher specific speed range than for the primary pump conditions.

The double suction pump design is not an attractive offering for the secondary pump application. Because of the flat relative weight characteristic shown on Curve D449, the increased speed obtainable with the double suction design will not result in a general weight reduction to offset the increased volute weight required for the double suction design. Also, the pump total head required for the secondary pump is only 150 feet. Rotor parts of about the same diameter as used for the primary pumps can meet this 150 ft. total head at a relatively lower speed.

Curve D449 shows that the economical pump selection for a required NPSH ≤ 72 feet is a single suction design operating at 710 R.P.M. Some of the principal design details for this pump are included in a tabulation in a previous section of this report.
F. HALF-CAPACITY HYDRAULIC DESIGNS FOR PRIMARY AND SECONDARY UNITS

During the progress of this hydraulic design study, it was requested that attention be given to half-capacity liquid metal pump designs. The following tabulation lists some of the leading particulars of these hydraulic designs. These pumps are a counterpart to the above full-capacity designs. The principal aim here was to show how the half-capacity designs will differ from the full-capacity pumps, maintaining approximately the same NPSH performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1200°F Sodium</td>
<td>40,300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>Double Suct.</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>2,755</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30-1/2</td>
<td>44-1/2</td>
<td>30-1/2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>700°F Sodium</td>
<td>37,250</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>Single Suct.</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>30-3/4</td>
<td>50-3/4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In going to the half-capacity size units, the following two generalizations can be made:

1. The half-capacity units can be run at the next higher synchronous motor speed without increasing the required NPSH level.

2. The half-capacity designs are comparable to the full-capacity designs in that the specific speed numbers are approximately the same. The half-capacity units can be modeled down from the full capacity units. The model ratio = approximately 0.74.
1. The liquid metal plant system demands a pump which requires a low net positive suction head, and this is a primary consideration in the design of the pump hydraulics. The low NPSH requirement will involve close attention to the design of the hydraulics approach channels, impeller inlet areas, and the impeller blade inlet design. The fluid analytical techniques used to solve this problem in a water pump design will be applicable to liquid metals, and the resulting low NPSH design should be very similar to that for a water pump. To determine the degree of conservatism to be used in designing liquid metal hydraulics will require an understanding of the erosion and cavitation effects of liquid metals as compared to water. As a part of the design, it will be mandatory to search out any available information on this.

One design area which could be a problem of a special nature, is the extra large diameter shaft associated with the liquid metal pump. Because of the very high temperature liquid metal, extra shaft diameter is required to transmit power to the impeller. In the case of the double suction primary pump design, this extra shaft diameter could interfere with the impeller inlet design. A more detailed study of this situation could possibly show that the effectiveness of a double suction impeller for low NPSH might be seriously limited for liquid metal pumps.

2. Due to the large size of these units, it is expected that the hydraulic performance will be verified by special model testing. The pump model laws are well established, and pump industrial experience has proven that model testing is an acceptable and accurate means for verifying head-flow, hydraulic efficiency, and hydraulic thrust performance.

3. One of the critical areas in the design of these liquid metal pumps is involved in the design and development of the hydrostatic radial bearing. Therefore, an important consideration in the design of the pump hydraulics will be the hydraulic radial thrust imposed by the pump. This will involve special design of the pump guide vanes and volutes to arrive at a proper design to obtain an acceptable radial thrust component. Hydraulic model tests should be designed to obtain radial thrust test data to confirm the hydraulic radial thrust analytical computations.
Supply pressure to the liquid metal hydrostatic radial bearing will involve careful consideration. The obvious supply source is from the discharge of the main impeller. However, this source will be subject to changing pressure with a variation in flow. Further studies might indicate that a separate hydrostatic bearing fluid supply source will be required. Again, analytical techniques should be sufficient to determine the approach to this solution.

The liquid metal level control within the vertical pump column is possibly a hydraulic problem. A solution here is probably tied into the system design and operation, and probably should be deferred until a better understanding of the plant system design has evolved.
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1. General Requirements of Drive Motor

1.2 The power required to drive the primary and secondary sodium loop pumps was determined from the head and flow given in SPD-201-1 by Mr. C. C. Randall on 1-14-63. The primary pump requires 5500 horsepower and the secondary pump requires 2800 horsepower.

1.3 Shaft seals are permissible and have been considered in the explorative study of various types of driving motors. The evaluation of shaft seals and bearings is given in the attached report by Mr. John Boyd and Mr. A. A. Raimondi of Westinghouse Research Laboratories.

1.4 The shaft speeds were determined from the hydraulic design study reported by Mr. H. Allen. The primary pump speed is 900 rpm and the secondary pump speed is 720 rpm.

1.5 Each plant is to have three primary loops and three secondary loops. The voltage selection was based on previous experience in building and designing pump motors at 440 volts, 2300 volts and 4000 volts, and a previous feasibility study made on 6900 volt motors. The 440 volt motor designs are limited by the turns per coil to about 1000 horsepower in size. This is far below the horsepower required for either the primary or the secondary pump. A 2300 volt design is quite practical, and can be used as conveniently as the 440 volt design. However, many of the present power plant auxiliary equipment systems are at 4000 volts. The 4000 volt motor designs have additional ground insulation over that for the 2300 volt designs. Several commercial pump designs at 4000 volts have been built. The experience has proven the adequacy of the insulation system. A previous study investigated the feasibility of using 6900 volts, the next higher standard voltage, for canned motor designs. At that time, it was shown that the extra insulation, creepage distance and lower efficiencies made this higher voltage design undesirable. However, it would not be as undesirable on conventional uncanned motors and might be reconsidered if there appears to be a plant system preference for 6900 volts. Therefore, the motors investigated by this study and reported herein are all 4000 volt motor designs.

2. Wound Rotor Induction Motor Drive

Wound rotor induction motors with shaft seals and water rheostats in the rotor circuits for speed control are the preferred drive systems for the following reasons:
2.1 Advantages:

2.1.1 A single full capacity machine is required for each pump to deliver the required horsepower over a 4 to 1 speed range. (The speed range specified is 3 to 1. Therefore, there is some speed control margin if it is desirable).

2.1.2 The operating efficiency is quite high. Above 90% for loads of 50% of full load and above.

2.1.3 Speed control is smooth and stepless. It can be obtained either by controlling the level of the electrolyte in the water rheostat or by controlling the depth to which the electrodes are submerged into the electrolyte.

2.1.4 The motor is separate from the loop piping and is easily accessible for inspection and maintenance.

2.1.5 Inspection and maintenance are simple and conventional, and do not require special procedures or skills.

2.1.6 The water rheostat absorbs the high rotor losses during starting at slow speeds which eliminates the necessity of providing for cooling the rotor during such operations.

2.2 A few minor disadvantages are inherent in the wound rotor induction motor drive system. These are:

2.2.1 Conventional bearing lubrication and periodic maintenance of the bearings, and lubricating system are required. This is minor, because the motor is in the open and access to the bearings is easy.

2.2.2 The wound rotor motor has slip rings and carbon brushes which are not entirely maintenance-free. The carbon brushes need to be replaced and the slip rings may require resurfacing. The pump must be stopped for these maintenance operations. This means that at least one of the loops must be shut down for short periods of time, and cannot be considered to be maintenance-free.

2.2.3 Shaft seals would be required for this system. The water rheostat requires some maintenance which normally can be done while power is on the motor. If control is obtained by mechanically raising and lowering the electrodes, some types of maintenance on the mechanical mechanisms will require that the pump be de-energized. If water level con-
trol is used, much of this type of maintenance is eliminated. The water level control system likely will require some maintenance.

2.3 Wound rotor motor specifications

2.3.1 The wound rotor induction motor for 5500 HP, 900 rpm would be a frame size 8 - 62-1/2-25 4400 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 78" outside diameter, 95" height (length) 27,000 lbs. total weight with the following calculated performance at full speed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary volts = 2000, secondary amperes at full load = 1300.

2.3.2 The wound rotor induction motor for 2800 HP, 720 rpm would be a frame size 10-62-1/2-20 4400 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 78" outside diameter, 85" height (length) 21,000 lbs. total motor weight with the following calculated performance at full scale:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Calculations for the wound rotor designs were done by hand by Mr. E. F. Merrill of Westinghouse LRAD, 5NS, East Pittsburgh. Computer programs are not available for special wound rotor designs. The method of performance calculations used is the standard equivalent circuit method.

2.5 The production of these wound rotor motors would not require any development in any area which might be considered to be an unknown area. The use of such conventional motors is dependent on the development of the shaft seals.

3. Canned, Single Speed Induction Motors

The second in preference is a single speed canned motor drive with any of several types of variable frequency power supply.

3.1 Advantages:

3.1.1 The canned motor is seal welded into the pump loop system and is, therefore, a zero leakage type of pump drive.
3.1.2 The drive motor rotor and its thrust and radial bearings are inside the pump loop. The bearings are self lubricating, long life and essentially maintenance free.

3.1.3 Periodic inspection and maintenance are not required.

3.1.4 The motor windings are inside a sealed shell and are, therefore, not subject to insulation deterioration from oxidation or contamination from air-born dirt and moisture.

3.1.5 Because the canned motor is maintenance-free, it can be on the line 100% of the time.

3.2 Disadvantages:

3.2.1 One major disadvantage is that a variable frequency power supply is required. This means that full power capacity must be provided in three machines and possibly four machines in addition to that of the motor. This makes a total of four or five full capacity machines for each pump.

3.2.2 The efficiency of the overall power supply and motor drive system is considerably less than for the wound rotor motor drive system. First, the canned motor efficiency is somewhat lower than that of the wound rotor motor. It is between 67 and 78% at loads of 50% of full load and above. In addition, the power supply efficiency is in the order of 85 to 90%. Therefore, the system efficiency is in the order of 57 to 70%.

3.2.3 The power supply is not considered to be maintenance-free. The synchronous motors and alternators would have slip rings and carbon brushes which require periodic maintenance. If speed control is obtained by d-c to a-c motor generator sets, even more brush and commutator maintenance will be required.

3.3 Canned, Single and Speed Motor Specifications

3.3.1 The single speed canned induction motor for 5550 Hp, 900 rpm would be a Series 10,045, Type 8P24-110, 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 37" outside diameter of core, 200" height (length) with the following calculated performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 The single speed canned induction motor for 2800 Hp, 720 rpm would be a Series 9019, Type 10P20-100 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 29" outside diameter of core, 185" height (length) with the following calculated performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor%</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Canned motor computer calculations

The performance calculations for the primary and secondary canned motors were made on the IBM 7094 computer in East Pittsburgh using a performance program specially written by WAED engineers for canned motors with fluid in the magnetic gap. This program is based on the standard equivalent circuit method for performance calculations with specific sections for items peculiar to these motors such as can loss, fluid friction loss, winding temperature, starting requirements and search subroutines for calculating the performance of any pre-selected horsepower or temperature rise.

3.5 Variable frequency power supply

Three types of variable frequency power supply systems were considered in this study and a description and evaluation of each type of system follows:

3.5.1 The preferred type of variable frequency power supply consists of a synchronous motor driving, a synchronous generator through a magnetic type of shaft coupling. This is preferred because only three full capacity machines are required to provide full capacity for each pump over a 3 to 1 speed range. The magnetic coupling would require excitation power but this is a small percentage of the total power involved. Speed, and thus frequency control, would be obtained by controlling the excitation to the coupling. The capacity for each of the three machines would be in the same order of magnitude as the pump motor capacity. Line starting would not be provided because this would require power supply capacities in the order of four or five times the pump motor capacity. Instead, the pump motor would be started with the power supply M-G set at zero speed. The pump motor would be connected electrically to the M-G set, and then the M-G set would be started on the line. The pump and M-G set would thus be started up together from zero speed. Only the full load pump capacity for the rating of each machine plus losses would be required with this type of starting.
3.5.2 A second type of variable frequency power supply would be the Ward-Leonard type which includes two M-G sets. One set would have a synchronous motor driving directly a-c generator. The second M-G set would have a d-c variable speed motor driving directly an a-c generator. The d-c motor would be electrically connected to the d-c generator and speed control would be obtained by voltage control on the d-c generator. The pump motor would be electrically connected to the a-c generator on the second or variable speed M-G set. The frequency output would be directly proportional to speed of the second M-G set.

3.5.3 A third type of variable frequency power supply is the Ignition cyclo-converter system which employs Ignition rectifiers to convert a-c power to d-c power and then to convert the d-c power to a variable frequency a-c. The present state of development of this system is not considered to be adequate for supplying large blocks of inductive loads. Furthermore, the low power factor of induction motors during starting and higher power factor at full speed makes power factor correction a continuously varying factor. Arc-backs and miss-fires are quite frequent in the systems presently operating. These spurious faults would render the system unsuitable for power plant operation where continuous operation is important.

3.6 Electromagnetic coupling for alternator drive

The electromagnetic couplings for the M-G sets which would supply variable frequency to the primary pump motors and the secondary pump motors would have sufficient capacity to supply the rated horsepower of the individual pump motors plus the losses in each alternator.

3.6.1 The electromagnetic coupling for the primary pump M-G set would have an approximately rating of 6900 horsepower at 1200 rpm with a speed range of 3 to 1.

3.6.2 The electromagnetic coupling for the secondary pump M-G set would have an approximate rating of 3600 horsepower at 1200 rpm with a speed range of 3 to 1.

3.7 The Coupling Controllers would be electronic exciter units mounted in NEMA type I ventilated enclosures. The controller capacity for the primary pump M-G set coupling would be approximately 25 KW. The capacity for the secondary pump M-G set coupling would be approximately 10 KW.
3.8 Development required.

Development and work beyond the scope of this particular study would include the investigation of M-G sets with capacities to drive three pumps each. With present knowledge, it appears that the couplings for such large frequency changer sets would be larger than those presently in production. If individual M-G sets are used for each pump, the couplings would require only a small amount of development work to assure proper application of present production couplings and controllers.

A normal amount of development work would be needed for the canned motors which are larger than those presently in production. Proven systems, materials and designs would be used which would require only a small amount of development because of the large size motors.

4. Conventional Induction Motors with Magnetic Couplings

A third type of drive for the primary and secondary pumps employs squirrel cage induction motors and conventional design with electromagnetic couplings between each motor and pump.

4.1 Advantages

4.1.1 Two full capacity machines are required for each pump to deliver the required horsepower over a 3 to 1 speed range.

4.1.2 The operating efficiency is quite high, approximately 85 to 90% for loads of 50% of full load and above.

4.1.3 Motors of conventional designs would have lower initial cost than canned motors of the same capacity.

4.1.4 Speed control is smooth and stepless. It would be accomplished by controlling the excitation to the electromagnetic couplings.

4.1.5 The motor is separate from the loop piping and is easily reached for inspection and maintenance.

4.1.6 No carbon brushes or slip rings would be used in the drive motors.
4.2 Disadvantages

4.2.1 Shaft seals would be required for this system.

4.2.2 Conventional bearing lubrication and periodic maintenance of the bearings and lubrication system are required.

4.2.3 Periodic shutdown of the pumps would be required for inspection and maintenance of bearings.

4.3 Conventional Motor Specifications

4.3.1 The squirrel cage induction motor for 5500 HP, 900 rpm would be a frame size 8 - 62-1/2 - 25, 4000 volt, 3 phase 60 cycle, 78" outside diameter, 85" height (length) 25,000 lbs. total weight with the following estimated performance at full speed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2 The squirrel cage induction motor for 2800 horsepower, 720 rpm would be a frame size 10 - 62-1/2 - 20, 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 78" outside diameter, 80" height (length) 20,000 lbs. total motor weight with the following estimated performance at full speed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power factor %</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4 The electromagnetic couplings would be similar to the following Dynamatic couplings manufactured by the Dynamatic Division of the Eaton Manufacturing Company, Kenosha, Wisconsin:

4.4.1 The 5500 HP, 900 rpm coupling would be similar to the Dynamatic Model 57WC26 adjustable speed, rotating field, water-cooled, eddy-current coupling with the following torque-speed characteristics:

- 32800 lb. ft. at 23 rpm slip
- 41900 lb. ft. at 30 rpm slip
- 49300 lb. ft. at 40 rpm slip
- 52700 lb. ft. at 50 rpm slip
- 66600 lb. ft. at maximum slip

Coupling is capable of dissipating 1100 HP at 900 rpm input speed. Speed range is 3 to 1.
4.5 The controllers for the electromatic couplings would be similar to the following Dynamatic electronic excitation and speed control units mounted in NEMA Type 1 ventilated enclosures.

4.5.1 The controller for the 5500 HP primary pump coupling would be similar to the Dynamatic Model B-200 which has a rating of 20 KW.

4.5.2 The controller for the 2800 HP secondary pump coupling would be similar to the Dynamatic Model B-75, which has a rating of 7.5 KW.

4.6 Development Required

The application of this type of drive system is dependent upon the development of shaft seals. Further development would be only that required for the combining of the conventional motor, coupling and pump into a single drive system. Each component is presently in production and would require no special development.

5. Two Speed Canned Motors

A fourth type of drive system using two speed canned motors would be practical if stepped flow is acceptable. With three loops in the primary system and secondary system, the following flow control would be obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pump No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 Advantages

5.1.1 A single full capacity machine would be used to supply full pumping capacity over a possible flow range of 6 to 1 (in steps).
5.1.2 The system would be seal welded and have zero leakage.

5.1.3 No M-G sets or frequency changer equipment would be used.

5.1.4 The drive motor rotor and its thrust and radial bearings are inside the pump loop. The bearings are self-lubricating, long life and essentially maintenance free.

5.1.5 Periodic inspection and maintenance are not required.

5.1.6 The motor windings are inside a sealed shell and are therefore not subject to insulation deterioration from oxidation or contamination from air-born dirt and moisture.

5.1.7 Because the canned motor is maintenance free, it can be on the line 100% of the time.

5.2 Disadvantages

5.2.1 The major deviation from the E.Spec. is the stepped flow method of operation as mentioned above.

5.2.2 The efficiency is somewhat lower than that of the wound rotor motor system described in section 1.

5.3 Two Speed Canned Motor Specifications

5.3.1 The two speed canned induction motor for 5500 HP, 900 rpm would be a Series 10,045 Type 8/16P24-110, 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle 37" outside diameter of core, 200" height (length) with the following estimated high speed performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>Efficiency %</th>
<th>Power Factor %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3.2 The two speed canned induction motor for 2800 HP, 720 rpm would be a Series 9019, type 10/20 P20-100, two winding, 4000 volt, 3 phase 60 cycle, 30" outside diameter of core, 185" height (length) with the following estimated high speed performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>Efficiency %</th>
<th>Power Factor %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Canned Motor Computer Calculations

The performance calculations for the primary two speed canned motor were made on the IBM 7094 program described in section 3.4. The complete computer print-out sheets for the primary pump are in Sec. 8. The design and performance for the secondary pump motor were estimated; computer calculations were not made.

5.5 Development Required

A normal amount of development work would be needed for the two speed canned motors which are larger than those presently in production. Proven systems, materials and designs would be used which would require only a small amount of development because of the large size motors.

6. Two Speed Conventional Motor Drive

A fifth type of drive system using two speed conventional industrial induction motors would be practical if stepped flow is acceptable. The flow control would be the same as that given in section 5.

6.1 Advantages

6.1.1 A single full capacity machine would be used to supply full pumping capacity over a possible flow range of 6 to 1 (in steps).

6.1.2 The operating efficiency is quite high, approximately 90 to 95% for loads of 50% of full load and above.

6.1.3 Motors of conventional designs would have lower initial cost than canned motors of the same capacity.

6.1.4 The motors are separate from the loop piping and are easily reached for inspection and maintenance.

6.1.5 No carbon brushes, slip rings or magnetic slip type couplings would be required.

6.2 Disadvantages

6.2.1 The major deviation from the E.Spec. is the stepped flow method of operation as mentioned in section 6.

6.2.2 Shaft seals would be required for this system.
6.2.3 Conventional bearings, lubrication and periodic maintenance of the bearings and lubrication system are required.

6.2.4 Periodic shutdown of the pumps would be required for inspection and maintenance of bearings.

6.3 Two speed conventional motor specifications

6.3.1 The conventional two speed squirrel cage induction motor for 5500 HP, 900/450 rpm would be a frame size 8/16-62-1/2-30 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 96" outside diameter, 110" height (length) 35,000 lbs. total weight with the following calculated performance at full speed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This motor would have a water cooled stator.

6.3.2 The conventional two speed squirrel cage induction motor for 2800 HP 720/360 rpm would be a frame size 10/20-62-1/2-22 4000 volt, 3 phase, 60 cycle, 96" outside diameter, 97" height (length) 25,500 lbs. total weight with the following calculated performance at full speed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load %</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency %</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Factor %</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This motor would have a water cooled stator.

6.4 Computer Calculations

The performance calculations for the primary and secondary canned motors were made on the IBM 7094 computer in East Pittsburgh using an IRAD design program.

6.5 Development Required

Little or no development work would be required beyond the shaft seal development being done as a part of this study.
7. Electromagnetic Pumps

Electromagnetic pumps of many different flow patterns have been made for pumping liquid metals such as sodium. For the head and flow values of this design study, the rotating d-c magnet type with a spiral duct of several turns appears to be preferable. Efficiencies of such pumps with smaller capacities are less than 30%. With head and flow values several times larger than those for pumps presently built, the efficiencies are likely to be lower. Furthermore, the area of cross-section of the flow must be several hundred square inches to allow a practical limit of velocity. This large area necessitates a magnetic gap of the order of 10 to 20 inches which appears quite impractical from the efficiency standpoint. A pump with several turns of the spiral flow pattern would be required to produce the high heads specified for this study. The hydraulic losses involved in a large number of turns in the spiral path tend to reduce the efficiency even further. Estimated efficiencies would be in the order of 10 to 20% for this size electromagnetic pump. It should be considered only for such applications as can sacrifice efficiency for some improvement in maintenance and in the elimination of rotating seals.

7.1 Advantages

7.1.1 The electromagnetic pump is 100% leak free.

7.1.2 No mechanical moving parts are involved in the pump.

7.1.3 Flow control is simple and continuous without steps.

7.2 Disadvantages

7.2.1 The major objection to electromagnetic pumps of this capacity is the extremely low efficiency estimated to be in the order of 10 to 20%.

7.2.2 Excitation power and electrode current are quite large and require special generating equipment.

7.2.3 The pump dimensions would be quite large, probably larger than the largest motor-pump combination considered in this study.

7.3 Development Required

The development of the electromagnetic type of pump would involve a large amount of original work for a pump of this type. Primarily, it would be in the development of the optimum
geometry for the magnetic circuits and fluid flow paths. Unknown parameters are the pumping efficiency and the head produced. The known parameters obtained from previous work on this type of pump are the electrical conductance of liquid metal, the fluid friction loss constants for liquid metal, and calculation methods.

7.4 Reference Data


7.4.2 Sodium Pump Technology by D. R. Nixon, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, WAED, EM No. 3082 and the references compiled therein.
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RECENT OPERATIONAL DIFFICULTIES OF PRIMARY SODIUM PUMPS IN EBR-II and HNPF

Operating difficulties have been encountered with the primary sodium pumps installed in EBR-II and HNPF. The history and nature of these difficulties as obtained from discussions with cognizant personnel connected with these reactors are reported in this appendix.

EBR-II-PUMPS

Pump Description

The EBR-II primary pumps are vertical single state centrifugal with totally enclosed motors. The pump nameplate rating is:

| 5500 GPM | 54.5 cycle | 1075 FS |
| 700°F   | 480 V, 3 phase | 200 TDH |
| 350 HP  | 0.875 sp.gr. |

A variable speed drive is obtained by means of a constant speed motor which drives an alternator thru a variable slip DYNAMATIC coupling. The alternator supplies a variable frequency power to induction motor drives of the pumps. The pumps are inserted thru a plug in the top shield with the motors above the shield and the pump casing submerged in a pool of sodium. A photograph of the M-1 pump at time of installation is shown in Figure 1.

The pumps for the EBR-II were purchased on performance specifications after extensive testing of an experimental unit. This unit was rated at 5000 gpm, 40 psi, at 700°F. It was operated at temperatures to 900°F. The temperature of its grease lubricated motor bearings did not exceed 175°F. This pump was operated successfully for 16,000 hours at ANL with 250 starts. The operating time consisted of 7000 hours at full speed (1750 rpm), 3700 hours at half speed, and 5200 hours at 10% speed. Details of testing this unit for the first 7500 hours are reported in Reference 1.

A schematic cross section of the EBR-II pump is shown in Figure 2. This indicates the major design features of this type of pump. The lower bearing is a hydrostatic (fluid piston) liquid metal bearing. The journal contains four equally spaced pockets supplied with sodium from the pump discharge through a distributing orifice located in the center of each pocket. The free sodium surface in the pump case is maintained by the sodium level in the EBR-II primary tank. The inert gas is common in
Fig. 1

Primary Sodium Pump Assembly in the Reactor Building Being Moved to Primary Tank for Installation
Figure 2. Internal arrangement of mechanical pump
the pump case and motor enclosure. Passage of sodium vapors into the motor enclosure is minimized by means of labyrinth seals on the pump shafts.

Operating History

The primary pumps M-1 and M-2 were installed and operated during primary sodium filtration runs. The approximate flow rates and periods are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April 16, 17, 1963</th>
<th>1 hour at 150 rpm and 750 rpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After one hour pump M-1 failed, M-1 was removed and replaced by a dummy plug.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both Pumps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 10, 1963</td>
<td>6 hours at 165 rpm and 900 gpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 17, 18, 1963</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On August 18th pump M-2 failed after total operation of approximately 188 hours. Upon removal of the pump motor, the pump shaft showed the same symptoms as for M-1.

Examination of Pump M-1

Disassembly and inspection of pump M-1 following failure indicated that the clearance between the shaft and the labyrinth seal had been lost and that the seal had severely galled on the shaft. If the pump shaft had originally centered perfectly with the labyrinth the original radial clearance would have been 0.015 inches. Photographs of the damaged shaft and seal are shown in Figs. 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Cause of Failure

A contributing cause of the failure may have been bowing or warping of the shaft as a result of inadequate stress relief heat treatment following welding of the three piece partially hollow shaft. Following welding the pump shafts were to have been stress relieved at 900°F but were
Fig. 3

Close-up of Gall Marks on M-1 Primary Pump Shaft
Fig. 4

Gall Marks on M-1 Pump Shaft
Fig. 5

Close-up of Damaged Labyrinth Seal of M-1 Primary Pump
Fig. 6

Close-up of Damaged Labyrinth Seal of M-1 Primary Pump

-8-
in fact only taken to 700°F. Some structural deformations were found in the pump shield plug. The detail of labyrinth seal design by the pump builders of the prototype and the EBR-II reactor pumps is believed to have been more favorable in the prototype pump. In the prototype the labyrinth seal was fabricated with very thin ends on the leaves adjacent to the shaft and with relatively deep grooves between leaves. The reactor pump M-1 was fabricated with much shallower grooves and thicker heavier leaves. In the prototype pump, shaft contact with the seal leaves due to any misalignment would cause rapid wearing away of the tips without causing serious damage to the shaft. In the later design the heavy (thick) tip ends and limited chip clearance in the shallow grooves caused severe labyrinth seal galling and seizing when the running clearances were lost due to the accumulated structural deformations, initial misalignment, and bowing of the shaft.

Remedial Action

Replacement shafts are being fully annealed and stress relieved at 900°F prior to a final grinding. The gap clearance of the labyrinth seal located at the bottom of the shield plug is being increased to 1/8". Pump M-2 will be removed for repair and modification following completion of wet criticals.

HALLAM NUCLEAR POWER FACILITY PUMPS

Pump Description

The primary sodium pumps are vertically mounted, centrifugal, free surface type as shown in Fig. 7. The pump cases are permanently mounted in shielded cells and the pump shafts extend upward thru the shielding to the motors. The design permits the removal of internal parts, including impeller, shaft, gland and bearings through the top without disturbing the pump case or piping. The pumps are driven by an A-C induction motor through a variable speed electromagnetic coupling.

Pump Design Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity (GPM)</td>
<td>7200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dynamic head (ft Na)</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPSH (ft Na) required available</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case design pressure (psig)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case design temperature °F</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7

Hallam Type Pump
Prototypes of the Hallam pumps were tested extensively prior to specification of the pumps for the reactor. (Reference 2) All three reactor pumps were tested at rated speed and temperature at the Santa Susanna Facility before they were installed at Hallam.

Operating History

The pumps were installed and operated for over 2000 hours without any difficulties except for an intermittent squeal. Careful examination and complete disassembly of one pump indicated no mechanical difficulties. No specific cause of the squeal was determined. It was believed the noise was "loop noise" due to some resonance in the piping system.

The pumps continued to operate satisfactorily until a period shortly after a welding repair on the sodium heat exchangers. Subsequent to this repair work all three primary sodium pumps failed within a short time interval.

Cause of Failure

Disassembly of the pumps revealed that the failure was due to foreign material lodged in the close wear ring clearances. The source of the foreign material has not been definitely established but is believed to have resulted from the heat exchanger repairs which required cutting and welding work.

During the course of examination a diagonal wear pattern was also noted on the sodium bearing. This was attributed to bearing misalignment resulting from uneven temperature distribution around the circumference of the pump casing at the bearing elevation. The uneven temperature distribution had been discovered during operation by uneven thermocouple temperature readings in this area. In this regard it should be noted that the pump casing was designed with external fins for cooling with forced convection. During construction of the plant the forced air supply was not provided and cooling in the plant installation was only by natural convection. In addition, an axial flow of sodium in the annulus between the pump shaft and the casing was provided. This axial flow path was partially blocked which resulted in the uneven circumferential temperature distribution.

Remedial Action

The pumps were cleaned up and particulate removed, score marks removed and wear ring clearances increased. The pumps are now back in operation.
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