RHIC-PG-55

COMMENT ON RHIC-PG-52

"ELECTRON TRAPPING IN

RHIC FROM A DEBUNCHED

PROTON BEAM"

G. R. Young

B. N. L.

May 4, 1984

5/4/84

Comment on RHIC-PG-52

"Electron Trapping in RHIC from a Debunched Proton Beam"

The following drift distances are quoted in RHIC-PG-52 for electrons moving for a time $t = \eta \tau_e$, where η is the allowable charge neutralization coefficient for a betatron tune depression $\Delta \nu = 0.0025$ and τ_e is the production time for one electron per proton. Values are $\eta = 0.0005$ and $\tau_e = 1.21 \text{ sec}$.

The drift velocities are

- free $v_e \sim 1 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/sec}$
- dipole trapping $v_D = 3.5 \times 10^5 \text{ cm/sec}$
- quadrupole trapping $v_Q = 6.8 \times 10^6 \text{ cm/sec}$

The drift lengths $v \eta \tau_e$ quoted were

$$l_D = 212 \text{ m}$$

$$l_Q = 4119 \text{ m}$$

These should be

$$l_D = 2.12 \text{ m}$$

$$l_Q = 41.19 \text{ m}$$

The dipole term dominates; it is less than the length of the proposed CBA dipoles. Thus these electrons cannot be cleared; one must use less beam or go to bunched proton operation.

In CBA there was even more current, but the vacuum was much better notably in the dipoles 10^{-10} torr, not cold. Half the problem at present comes from CO in the warm region at an assumed pressure of 10^{-9} torr.

A solution to the problem would be to drop the warm pressure to 10^{-11} torr and ensure ~~leak~~ leakout of all gas except H_2 which is always there.

Then one gets the following gas composition (see RHIC-PG-51)

warm 25% of circumference

Equivalent Nitrogen pressure 10^{-11} torr

100% H_2 300°K

cold 75% of circumference

Equivalent Nitrogen pressure 10^{-11} torr

50% He, 50% H_2 , 4.2°K

Gauge efficiency 100% CO, 50% H_2 , 50% He

in warm $(0.5) n_{H_2} = n_{N_2}$

in cold $(0.5) n_{H_2} + (0.5) n_{He} = n_{N_2}$

and $n_{H_2} = n_{He}$

3y Definition

so

$$n_{N_2} = 2.687 \cdot 10^{19} \frac{P_{\text{torr}}}{760} \cdot \frac{273.16}{T_{\text{°K}}}$$

$$n_{N_2} = 3.22 \cdot 10^5 / \text{cm}^3 \text{ warm}$$

$$2.30 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3 \text{ cold}$$

Densities

warm
(25%)

cold

(75%)

H_2 $6.44 \cdot 10^5 / \text{cm}^3$

$2.3 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3$

He

— $2.3 \cdot 10^7 / \text{cm}^3$

Then the electron production rates are $\frac{dn}{dt} = \text{~~some~~ } \text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}$
 where $\sigma = 1.8 \times 10^{-19} Z_{\text{target}} \text{ cm}^2$ for relativistic protons ionizing residual gas

$$\text{H}_2^+ \quad .188 \text{ /s/proton}$$

$$\text{He}^+ \quad .186$$

$$\text{total } e^- \quad .374 \text{ /s/proton}$$

or a time between electron productions of 2.67 sec
 which is 2.2 times longer than before.

This gives a dipole drift length of $l_D = 4.68 \text{ m}$

which is tantalizingly close to the length of 5 m dipoles.
 Clearing electrodes could then handle the electron load.

Better cold region vacuum would solve this;