MASTER

ORNL-3123
UC-20 - Controlled Thermonuclear Processes

PLASMA STABILITY ANALYSIS EMPLOYING
EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANTS OF MOTION

T. K. Fowler

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

operated by

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

for the

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in USA. Price \$0.50. Available from the

Office of Technical Services
Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D.C.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

ORNL-3123

UC-20 Controlled Thermonuclear Processes

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

NEUTRON PHYSICS DIVISION

PLASMA STABILITY ANALYSIS EMPLOYING EQUILIBRIUM
CONSTANTS OF MOTION

T. K. Fowler

Date Issued

JUN 9 - 1961

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

ABSTRACT

A first step in analyzing the stability of solutions of the Vlasov equation governing hot plasmas of low density is to solve the linearized equation for the perturbed space and velocity distribution function in terms of the perturbed electric and magnetic fields. This report presents an explicit Green's function solution for the distribution together with two examples of its use. The Green's function is found by first transforming to equilibrium constants of motion as variables, and thus the method is similar to that of Bernstein,¹ who employed constants of motion in solving for the distribution by direct integration.

-
1. I. B. Bernstein, A Proposed Method for the Analysis of the Stability of Highly Symmetric Hydromagnetic Equilibria via the Boltzmann Equation, NYO-7996 (1957).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
Abstract	iii
Introduction	1
I. Stability Criterion for the Vlasov Equation	1
II. Dispersion Relation	2
III. Transformation to Constants of Motion	6
IV. Two Examples	9

INTRODUCTION

This report treats a mathematical device useful in the analysis of the stability of solutions of the Vlasov equation, also called the collisionless Boltzmann equation, which governs the behavior of hot, fully ionized gases, or plasmas, at densities low enough that the effect of close collisions is negligible in comparison with that of fields due to the net charge and currents in the plasma. Methods of stability analysis have been reviewed by Jackson.² Here we shall present a variation of a method proposed by Bernstein.¹ By transforming from space and velocity to equilibrium constants of motion as variables, we are able to give a simple and explicit Green's function solution for the perturbed distribution function in terms of the perturbed electric and magnetic fields.

I. STABILITY CRITERION FOR THE VLASOV EQUATION

The time-dependent distribution function in space and velocity, $f^{(i)}$, for each species of charged particles in the plasma, charge e_i and mass m_i , is assumed to satisfy Boltzmann's equation without a collision term,

$$\frac{\partial f^{(i)}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(i)}}{\partial \vec{x}} + \frac{e_i}{m_i} (\vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(i)}}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

This becomes Vlasov's equation if those parts of the electric and magnetic fields, $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$, due to the plasma rather than external sources are required to satisfy inhomogeneous Maxwell's equations with charge density

2. J. D. Jackson, Plasma Oscillations, Space Technology Laboratory Report GM-TR-0165-00535 (1958).

$$\sum_i e_i \int d\vec{v} f^{(i)} \quad \text{and current density} \quad \sum_i e_i \int d\vec{v} \vec{v} f^{(i)}.$$

As usual, a set of time-independent solutions, $f_0^{(i)}$, of (1), one for each species, is regarded as stable if no small perturbation $f_1^{(i)}$ grows in time exponentially fast. Equivalently, $f_0^{(i)}$ is stable if the Laplace transform of $f_1^{(i)}$, given by

$$f_p^{(i)} = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-pt} f_1^{(i)}(\vec{x}, \vec{v}, t), \quad (2)$$

exists for all $\text{Re } p > 0$. If $f_p^{(i)}$ exists, it is obtained approximately by linearizing (1) in unperturbed quantities and taking the Laplace transform of the result,

$$(p + L_i) f_p^{(i)} + \frac{e_i}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_0^{(i)}}{\partial \vec{v}} \cdot (\vec{E}_p + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B}_p) = f_1^{(i)}(0), \quad (3)$$

where $f_1^{(i)}(0)$ is $f_1^{(i)}$ at $t = 0$ and L_i is the differential operator in (1), excluding $\partial/\partial t$, with $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{B}$ replaced by the unperturbed fields, $\vec{E}_0$ and $\vec{B}_0$, so that $L_i f_0^{(i)} = 0$. Conversely, $f_p^{(i)}$ exists for all $\text{Re } p > 0$ if for such p its solution obtained from (3) has no singularities.

II. DISPERSION RELATION

Because $\vec{E}_p$ and $\vec{B}_p$ depend only on the net charge and current

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_p(\vec{x}) &= \sum_i e_i \int d\vec{v} f_p^{(i)} \\ \vec{J}_p(\vec{x}) &= \sum_i e_i \int d\vec{v} \vec{v} f_p^{(i)},\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

the coupled system of (3) plus Maxwell's equation can, in fact, be reduced to one equation for the four current, $\tilde{J}_p$, composed of the three components $\vec{J}_p$ plus ρ_p , which are functions of $\vec{x}$ only. Solving (3) formally for $f_p^{(i)}$,

$$f_p^{(i)} = - (p + L_1)^{-1} \frac{e_i}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_0^{(i)}}{\partial \vec{v}} \cdot (\vec{E}_p + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B}_p) + (p + L_1)^{-1} f_1^{(i)}(0). \quad (5)$$

Substituting (5) into (4) and noting that $\vec{E}_p$ and $\vec{B}_p$ result from linear integral operations on $\tilde{J}_p$, we obtain a result of the form

$$\tilde{J}_p(\vec{x}) = \int d\vec{x}' K_p(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \cdot \tilde{J}_p(\vec{x}') + \int d\vec{x}' Q_p(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \cdot \tilde{J}_1(0). \quad (6)$$

Here $\tilde{J}_1(0)$ is the four-current perturbation at $t = 0$ given by (4) with $f_p^{(i)}$ replaced by $f_1^{(i)}(0)$, and the kernels K_p and Q_p , which shall be discussed in more detail later, are 4×4 matrices containing the operator $(p + L_1)^{-1}$, etc.

Transcribed, the stability criterion now states that $f_0^{(i)}$ is stable if solutions of (6) for $\tilde{J}_p$ are non-singular for all $\text{Re } p > 0$. Excluding $\tilde{J}_1(0)$ as a source of difficulty, singularities in the four current $\tilde{J}_p$ satisfying (6) correspond to solutions of the homogeneous counterpart of (6),

$$\int d\vec{x}' K_p(\vec{x}, \vec{x}') \cdot \tilde{J}_p(\vec{x}') = \tilde{J}_p(\vec{x}), \quad (7)$$

which we write symbolically as $K_p \tilde{J}_p = \tilde{J}_p$. Since K_p is a linear operator, (7) has solutions if and only if

$$\det (K_p - I) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where the identity operator I is a 4×4 diagonal matrix, each diagonal element being $\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$. Regarded as an equation for p , (8) constitutes a "dispersion relation" [for $\text{Re } p \neq 0$ only unless singularities in $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ for $\text{Re } p = 0$ are handled carefully].

The simplest situation would be that in which K_p is known in the representation of its eigenfunctions, its diagonal representation. The tractable cases of infinite, spatially uniform plasmas, with or without an applied, uniform magnetic field, are of this type, the eigenfunctions being simply plane waves, $\exp i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}$, independent of p . Then the secular equation (8) separates into one equation for each diagonal component (each $\vec{k}$), which may easily be found by substituting each eigenfunction into (7), operating by K_p to give back the eigenfunction multiplied by a function of p , and finally dividing the eigenfunction out of the result.

That plane waves, which are eigenfunctions of ∇^2 , are also eigenfunctions of K_p in the case of infinite, homogeneous plasmas implies that ∇^2 and K_p for that case commute. This commutation requirement affords a convenient criterion for determining whether other known orthogonal and biorthogonal sets,

being eigenfunctions of known operators, diagonalize K_p for more complicated plasma configurations. In this connection, we mention that choosing as complete sets any of the other harmonic functions in preference to plane waves does not extend the method beyond uniform plasmas if $\vec{E}_0$ and $\vec{B}_0$ are independent of $\vec{x}$, since then the commutation of ∇^2 with K_p requires also that f_0 be independent of $\vec{x}$.

Lacking eigenfunctions, the next simplest cases would be those in which K_p could be only partially diagonalized. For example, for cylindrically symmetric equilibria, eigenfunctions would be Fourier modes in θ and z , so that (7) would reduce to an integral equation in just the radial coordinate. If all else fails, one may consider the various series expansions of the secular equation,³ or, since the question is whether a single number, unity, can be an eigenvalue of K_p , one might attempt to apply theorems bounding the eigenvalues such as the "exclusion theorems" utilized in numerical analysis.⁴

However the dispersion relation may be handled once it is obtained, we first must evaluate K_p explicitly. Evidently, from (5), a first step is finding the inverse of the differential operator, $p + L_1$. When $\vec{E}_0$, $\vec{B}_0$, and $f_0^{(i)}$ are independent of $\vec{x}$, this can be accomplished by Fourier transformation in space. For cases when these quantities depend on just one space coordinate, Bernstein¹ proposed first to transform from $\vec{v}$ to three equilibrium constants of motion, solutions of $L_1 u = 0$, whereupon (3) reduces to a differential

3. Besides the familiar Fredholm expansion, see also the general "optical model" perturbation formulae of W. B. Riesenfeld and K. M. Watson, Phys. Rev. 104, 492 (1956).

4. Ky Fan, Duke Mathematical Journal, 25, 441 (1958).

equation in one variable to be integrated directly. As we shall show, our variation of his method, employing six constants of motion, also reduces (3) to quadrature but in what appears to be a more convenient form, essentially a Fourier integral. In short, Bernstein integrates (3) directly, while we seek a Green's function solution. Of course, as with Bernstein, employing the equilibrium constants of motion as variables is convenient in that $f_0^{(1)}$ must be a function of them, and, with our complete set, arbitrary equilibrium states can be constructed.

III. TRANSFORMATION TO CONSTANTS OF MOTION

In situations of sufficient symmetry to admit three evident constants of motion, say u_1, u_2, u_3 , independent of t , it is often not difficult to find two more, u_4 and u_5 independent of t , plus another of the form $t - u_6$. For example, if the equilibrium force, $-\nabla V$, depends on x but not y, z , and t , besides the three evident constants, v_y, v_z and the Hamiltonian function, H , which may serve as u_1, u_2 , and u_3 , we may construct other constants independent of these as follows. Since v_z is constant, z/v_z measures time, $t - z/v_z$ is constant, and thus z/v_z can serve as u_6 . Similarly, $y - v_y t$ is constant, and, employing z/v_z as time, $y - v_y z/v_z$ can serve as u_4 , independent of t . Finally, we construct u_5 from $z - v_z t$, now measuring time in terms of x -motion, to give

$$u_5 = z - v_z \int^x dx' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2}{m} [H - V(x')] - v_y^2 - v_z^2}}$$

To be a time-independent equilibrium distribution, $f_0^{(i)}$ may depend on u_1 to u_5 but not u_6 .

On transforming from $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{v}$ to the six u 's, L_1 becomes simply

$$L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_6}, \quad (9)$$

since $L_1 u = 0$ for u_1 to u_5 , and $L_1 u_6 = 1$ in order that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + L_1 \right) (t - u_6) = 0.$$

Thus eigenfunctions of L_1 are Fourier modes in u_6 ,

$$e^{i\omega u_6} h(u_1 \dots u_5),$$

with arbitrary dependence on u_1 to u_5 expressed by h . If we restrict ourselves to functions of the u 's normalizable either in the equivalent of all $\vec{x}, \vec{v}$ space or in a large box with periodic boundary conditions, we may take ω real, since L_1 , analogous to i times the quantum mechanical momentum operator, is anti-Hermitian over the space of such functions with respect to the scalar product

$$(\phi, \psi) = \int du_1 \dots du_6 \phi^* \psi.$$

Then, eigenfunctions of L_1 are complete and orthogonal, and $(p + L_1)^{-1}$

exists in the positive real half of the p -plane where $\tilde{J}_p$ must be examined for singularities. Expanding $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ in the eigenfunctions gives

$$(p + L_1)^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^5 \delta(u_j - u'_j) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega(u_6 - u'_6)}}{2\pi(p + i\omega)}. \quad (10)$$

If u_6 has the nature of an angle, special precaution is required to guarantee that eigenfunctions are single-valued in $\vec{x}$ and $\vec{v}$ space. ω may then be discrete and the integral in (10) becomes a sum.

We may return to the original coordinates by noting that the Green's function $G_{ip}(\vec{x}\vec{v}, \vec{x}'\vec{v}')$ representing $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ is given by (10) expressed in terms of $\vec{x}$, $\vec{v}$, and multiplied by the Jacobian

$$J = \frac{\partial(u_1 \dots u_6)}{\partial(\vec{x}, \vec{v})}. \quad (11)$$

However, as we shall see in the examples to follow, it is generally more convenient to employ the u 's if such a set is known. Because $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ contains five δ -functions in u_1 to u_5 , an integration over $\vec{x}'$, $\vec{v}'$ in the computation of K and Q is, in u -space, a line integral along a straight path parallel to the u_6 -axis, whereas in $\vec{x}$, $\vec{v}$ -space the integration path has complicated curvature. Furthermore, since the u_6' -dependence of $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ is exponential, on Fourier analyzing the rest of the integrand with respect to u_6' , the u_6' integration yields a sixth δ -function, in ω , and thus the complete $\vec{x}'$, $\vec{v}'$ integration is made trivial by the transformation, and K and Q are reduced to integrals over all $\vec{v}$ -space.

IV. TWO EXAMPLES

In order to illustrate the use of our solution for $(p + L_1)^{-1}$, we shall simplify the other parts of K_p by letting $\vec{B}_p = 0$ and taking $\vec{E}_p$ to be electrostatic,

$$\vec{E}_p = \int d\vec{x}' \frac{\vec{x} - \vec{x}'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^3} \rho_p(\vec{x}'). \quad (12)$$

Then

$$K_p(\vec{x}, \vec{x}'') = - \sum_i \frac{e_i^2}{m_i} \int d\vec{v} \int d\vec{x}' d\vec{v}' G_{ip}(\vec{x}\vec{v}, \vec{x}'\vec{v}') \frac{\partial f_0^{(i)}(\vec{x}'\vec{v}')}{\partial \vec{v}'} \cdot \frac{\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''}{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|^3}, \quad (13)$$

G_{ip} being $(p + L_1)^{-1}$ in $\vec{x}, \vec{v}$ representation.

We shall treat two well-known problems in which eigenfunctions of K_p are plane waves. First, we consider the stability of an infinite, uniform, one-dimensional plasma of electrons and a fixed positive background such that $\vec{E}_0 = \vec{B}_0 = 0$. f_0 for electrons can depend only on v , the constant of motion independent of t . We employ the transformation

$$u_1 = v$$

$$u_2 = x/v$$

where u_2 , analogous to u_1 , above, measures time in terms of particle motion. For one dimension, the kernel in (13) depending on $\vec{x}'$ and $\vec{x}''$, which arises from Maxwell's equation, is to be replaced by $2\pi(x' - x'')/|x' - x''|$. Fourier analyzing factor in order to get at x' and x'' separately, and transforming from x', v' to u_1', u_2' , we obtain from (7)

$$\rho(x) = -\frac{e^2}{m} \int dv \int dk \int du_1' \int du_2' \delta(u_1' - u_2') \int d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega(u_2' - u_1')}}{2\pi(p + i\omega)} \cdot \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u_1'} \frac{4\pi}{ik} e^{iku_1' u_2'} \int \frac{dx''}{2\pi} e^{-ikx''} \rho(x''),$$

where integration on x'' has introduced ρ_k , the Fourier transform of $\rho(x)$. Integrating first over u_2' to obtain $\delta(\omega - ku_1')$, and then on ω , yields

$$\rho(x) = -\frac{e^2}{m} \int dv \int dk \frac{e^{ikx}}{p + ikv} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} \frac{4\pi}{ik} \rho_k.$$

Fourier transforming and dividing out ρ_k gives the well-known dispersion relation for unstable p ,²

$$1 = \frac{4\pi e^2}{mk} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv \frac{\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v}}{kv - ip}.$$

Next, we consider a three-dimensional plasma of electrons and fixed positive

background, again with $\vec{E}_0 = 0$ but let $\vec{B}_0$ be a uniform field in the z-direction. As before, we shall Fourier analyze $(\vec{x}' - \vec{x}'')/|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|^3$ in order to get at the $\vec{x}'$ -dependence, and we shall perform the $\vec{x}', \vec{v}'$ integration by means of the transformation

$$u_1 = v_\perp \cos \phi - \omega_c r \sin \theta$$

$$u_2 = v_\perp \sin \phi + \omega_c r \cos \theta$$

$$u_3 = v_\perp$$

$$u_4 = v_z$$

$$u_5 = \phi + \omega_c (z/v_z)$$

$$u_6 = z/v_z.$$

We have employed polar coordinates in the x - y plane of both ordinary space and velocity space, these being r, θ in $\vec{x}$ -space and $v_\perp$, ϕ in $\vec{v}$ -space. The first five u's can be found to satisfy $Lu = 0$, as they are essentially the initial values of x, y, and $\vec{v}$, and the sixth is a proper measure of time, since z is cyclic. These u's are evidently independent in the necessary sense that no one is a function of the others. For the solvable case with f_0 independent of $\vec{x}$, f_0 can depend only on u_3 and u_4 . Then, in terms of u's, evaluation of two quantities we shall require to compute K_p gives

$$e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} = \exp i \left\{ \frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \sin(\theta - \phi) + \frac{k_{\perp} u_2}{\omega_c} \cos\theta - \frac{k_{\perp} u_1}{\omega_c} \sin\theta + k_z u_4 u_5 \right\}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \vec{v}} \cdot \vec{k} = k_{\perp} \cos(\theta - \phi) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u_3} + k_z \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial u_4}, \quad (14)$$

where $k_x = k_{\perp} \cos\theta$, $k_y = k_{\perp} \sin\theta$, ϕ in terms of u's is

$$\phi = u_5 - \omega_c u_6,$$

and $\omega_c = eB/mc$ is the cyclotron frequency.

If we Fourier analyze the integrand of (13) with respect to u_6' , then, as in the previous example, integration on u_6' yields a δ -function in ω . Since ϕ depends linearly on u_6 , we may simply Fourier analyze with respect to $\theta - \phi$, making use of the following relations involving Bessel functions:

$$e^{i \frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \sin(\theta - \phi)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_n \left(\frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \right) e^{in(\theta - \phi)} \quad (15)$$

$$\cos(\theta - \phi) e^{i \frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \sin(\theta - \phi)} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\left(\frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \right)} J_n \left(\frac{k_{\perp} u_3}{\omega_c} \right) e^{in(\theta - \phi)}. \quad (16)$$

Then, introducing (14), (15), and (16) into (13), transforming from $\vec{x}'$, $\vec{v}'$ to u's and performing these integrations and that over ω , we obtain from (7)

$$\rho(\vec{x}) = \frac{4\pi e^2}{m} \int d\vec{k} \frac{\rho_{\vec{k}}}{k^2} \int d\vec{v} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{Q_n J_n \left(\frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{\omega_c} \right) e^{in(\theta-\phi)}}{-ip + k_z v_z + n\omega_c} \cdot \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-im(\theta-\phi)} J_m \left(\frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{\omega_c} \right) \quad (17)$$

where $\rho_{\vec{k}}$ is the Fourier transform of the density and

$$Q_n = \frac{n\omega_c}{v_{\perp}} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_{\perp}} + k_z \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_z}.$$

Once again a quantity $\exp \left[-i \frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{\omega_c} (\theta - \phi) \right]$ which arises has been expanded

by (15). In carrying out $\int d\vec{v}$, the integration over ϕ yields by ortho-

gonality 2π is $m = n$, zero otherwise. Finally, Fourier transforming (17) and factoring out $\rho_{\vec{k}}$ gives the dispersion relation

$$1 = \frac{8\pi^2 e^2}{mk^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_z \int_0^{\infty} v_{\perp} dv_{\perp} \frac{Q_n J_n^2 \left(\frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{\omega_c} \right)}{-ip + k_z v_z + n\omega_c},$$

which agrees with the result obtained by Harris.⁵

5. E. G. Harris, Unstable Plasma Oscillations in a Magnetic Field, ORNL-2728 (1959).

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

ORNL-3123
UC-20 Controlled Thermonuclear Processes
TID-4500 (16th ed.)

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. C. E. Center	66. R. R. Coveyou
2. Biology Library	67. R. A. Dandl
3. Health Physics Library	68. L. Dresner
4-6. Central Research Library	69. J. L. Dunlap
7. Reactor Experimental	70-89. T. K. Fowler
Engineering Library	90. W. F. Gauster
8-29. Laboratory Records Department	91. E. Guth
30. Laboratory Records, ORNL R.C.	92. E. G. Harris
31. A. M. Weinberg	93. J. A. Harvey
32. L. B. Emlet (K-25)	94. L. B. Holland
33. J. P. Murray (Y-12)	95. D. K. Holmes
34. J. A. Swartout	96. G. G. Kelley
35. G. E. Boyd	97. N. H. Lazar
36. R. A. Charpie	98. J. S. Luce
37. W. H. Jordan	99. R. J. Mackin, Jr.
38. A. H. Snell	100. F. C. Maienschein
39. C. E. Winters	101. J. R. McNally, Jr.
40. E. P. Blizard	102. C. D. Moak
41. D. S. Billington	103. R. V. Neidigh
42. F. L. Culler	104. J. H. Neiler
43. J. L. Fowler	105. J. Newfeld
44. J. H. Frye, Jr.	106. C. E. Normand
45. C. S. Harrill	107. G. R. North
46. A. Hollaender	108. R. W. Peelle
47. C. P. Keim	109. H. Postma
48. M. T. Kelley	110. M. Rankin
49. S. C. Lind	111. R. H. Ritchie
50. R. S. Livingston	112. G. R. Satchler
51. K. Z. Morgan	113. Y. Shima
52. M. L. Nelson	114. H. C. Schweinler
53. H. E. Seagren	115. A. Simon
54. E. D. Shipley	116. G. T. Trammell
55. E. H. Taylor	117. T. A. Welton
56. P. M. Reyling	118. H. K. Wimmel
57. D. Phillips	119. A. Zucker
58. M. J. Skinner	120. P. F. Gast (consultant)
59. F. S. Alsmiller	121. H. Goldstein (consultant)
60. R. G. Alsmiller, Jr.	122. L. W. Nordheim (consultant)
61. C. F. Barnett	123. R. F. Taschek (consultant)
62. R. L. Becker	124. T. J. Thompson (consultant)
63. P. R. Bell	125. A. S. Householder
64. A. D. Callihan	126. ORNL - Y-12 Technical Library,
65. R. E. Clausing	Document Reference Section

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 127. I. Bernstein, Project Matterhorn, Princeton University
- 128. Division of Research and Development, AEC, ORO
- 129-661. Given distribution as shown in TID-4500 (16th ed.) under
Controlled Thermonuclear Processes