Analysis of Variability and Uncertainty in Wind Power Forecasting: An International Comparison
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Wind Power Forecasting

- One of the critical challenges of wind power integration is the variable and uncertain nature of the resource.

- Short-term forecasting of wind power generation is uniquely helpful for balancing supply and demand in the electric power system, thereby reducing economic costs and reliability risks.

- Wind forecasting models can be broadly divided into two categories: (i) forecasting based on the analysis of historical time series of wind; and (ii) forecasting based on numerical weather prediction (NWP) models.

- It is important to understand the nature of wind power forecast errors, especially for large and infrequent forecast errors that can dramatically impact system costs and reliability.
Research Motivation and Objectives

Motivation

- Understanding forecast errors and uncertainties in different power systems and scenarios is helpful for:
  - Developing improved wind forecasting technologies, and
  - Better allocating resources to compensate for wind forecast errors.

Research Objectives

- Investigate the uncertainty in wind forecasting at different times of year.
- Compare wind forecast errors in different power systems using large-scale wind power prediction data from six countries: the United States, Finland, Spain, Denmark, Norway, and Germany.
Wind Forecasting Scenarios

- Hourly day-ahead wind power forecast errors throughout a year
- Forecast errors at a specific time of day throughout a year
  - Hour 14:00 in this paper
- Forecast errors at peak and off-peak hours of the day
  - Peak hours: 7:00 – 22:00
  - Off-peak hours: 23:00 – 6:00
- Forecast errors during different seasons
  - Summer and winter
- Extreme events: large overforecast or underforecast errors
  - More than 25% of wind forecast errors are normalized by total wind capacity
- Forecast errors when the current wind power generation was at different percentages of the total wind capacity
  - Less than 25% of the total wind capacity
  - Between 25% and 75% of the total wind capacity
  - More than 75% of the total wind capacity
Methodology Development

- **Kernel density estimation (KDE):** estimates the distribution of wind power forecast errors

\[
\hat{f}(x; h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_h(x - x_i) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x - x_i}{h}\right)
\]

- The **Gaussian** kernel, \( K(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-1/2x^T x) \), is used.

- **Rényi entropy:** quantifies the uncertainty in wind forecast errors

\[
H_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\alpha
\]

- Large values of \( \alpha \) favor high probability events, whereas small values of \( \alpha \) weight all of the instances more evenly.

- **Heat maps:** allow the operator to simultaneously see the timing and magnitude of forecast errors
Data Summary

- Wind power forecast errors:
  \[ e_w = P_{wf} - P_{wa} \]

- The 1-hour-ahead forecasts for the six countries were synthesized using a 1-hour-ahead persistence approach.

- The day-ahead forecasts were estimated using different methodologies for the six countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Wind Power Plants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States (ERCOT)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>Concentrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>23 wind plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>Well dispersed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,265</td>
<td>Well dispersed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>4 wind plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>Well dispersed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Comparison of Uncertainty in Wind Forecasting

• According to the Rényi entropy metric, wind forecasting in the Danish power system maintains a relatively lower level of uncertainty for most scenarios.

• According to the standard deviation, there is the least uncertainty in the forecasting for the German power system, followed by the Danish power system.

• Power systems (Denmark, Germany, and Spain) with low forecast error variability have a significant amount of well-dispersed wind power.

• For most power systems, forecasts in winter generally had more uncertainty than forecasts in summer.
Concluding Remarks

- This paper compared the variability and uncertainty in wind power forecasts for multiple power systems from six countries.
  - Multimodal characteristics were observed in the extreme overforecast scenarios in the Danish and Norwegian systems, and in the high-power scenario in the German system.
  - The distribution of forecast errors in the German power system was relatively narrower than that in other countries.
  - For most power systems, more underforecast events were observed in the high-power scenario than in the low- and medium-power scenarios.

- Maximum “up” and “down” reserves were required when actual wind power generation was at medium to high percentages of the total wind capacity.

- There was generally less uncertainty in forecasting when wind power plants were dispersed throughout a wide geographic area.
Future Work

• Investigate multiple years of wind forecasting data to obtain a general trend of forecast errors.

• Compare the different methodologies in the forecasting systems in different countries and seek to identify the possible sources of bias and errors in the forecasts.
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