**Development of a Hydrogasification Process for
Co-Production of Substitute Natural Gas (SNG) and Electric
Power from Western Coals**

**FINAL SCIENTIFIC/TECHNICAL REPORT
REPORTING PERIOD START DATE: APRIL 1, 2006
REPORTING PERIOD END DATE: APRIL 30, 2011**

**PRINCIPAL AUTHOR: XIAOLEI SUN AND NANCY TURLEY RINK
REPORT ISSUE DATE: JANUARY 2013**

**THE PROJECT WAS FUNDED BY DOE NETL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
NO: DE-FC26-06NT42759**

**BY
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, ARIZONA, 85004**

**WORLEYPARSONS
2676 MORGANTOWN ROAD
READING, PA 19607**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was sponsored by the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), under Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC226-06NT42759. This financial assistance from DOE/NETL is gratefully acknowledged. NETL's Office of Research and Development (ORD) is acknowledged for their contribution of Computational Fluid Dynamic Modeling to aid in design of the bench-scale hydrogasifier, and the chemical and physical analyses of selected algae species. In addition, some cost-share for the project was by Worley Parsons.

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Acronym	Description
°C	Degrees Celsius
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
>	Greater than
≤	Less than or equal to
≥	Greater than or equal to
%	Percent
~	Approximate
ξ	Convection coefficient
ξ_o	Convection factor $\xi_o = 1$ if $Ro < 0$ else $\xi_o = 0$
ξ_o	Convection factor $1 - \xi_o$
ϵ_g	Volume fraction of the fluid phase (void fraction)
ϵ_m	Void fraction - where m=g or s for the gas or solid phase
ϵ_s	Volume fraction in the solid phase (void fraction)
$\mu E/m^2/s$	Micro Einstein per square meter per second
μg	Microgram
$\mu g/L$	Microgram/liter
μl	Microliter
μm	Micrometer (also known as micron)
$\mu ohms$	Micro-ohms
1000X	One Thousand Times
^{13}C NMR	Carbon 13 – Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
1-D	One-dimensional
1X	One Time
2X	Two Times
3-D	Three-dimensional
4C	Four Corners Power Plant, Farmington, New Mexico
6M	6 meter
15X	15 times
32X	32 times
AC	Activated Carbon
AED	Atomic-emission detector
AFM	Atomic Force Microscopy
Ag	Silver
AHP	Advanced Hydrogasification Process
Al	Aluminum
a.m.	Ante Meridiem
amu	Atomic Mass Unit
AOV	Air Operated Valve

Acronym	Description
APS	Arizona Public Service Company
ARCH	Advanced Rapid Carbon Hydrogasification
As	Arsenic
As(OH) ₃	Arsenic Hydroxide
AsCl ₃	Arsenic Trichloride
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	American Society of Testing and Materials
ASU	Arizona State University
B	Boron
Ba	Barium
BASF	Badische Anilin- und Soda-Fabrik
Be	Beryllium
BET	Brunauer-Emmett Teller
BHT	Butylated Hydroxytoluene
BOM	Bill of Material
BPVC	Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code
Br	Bromine
BSRx	Bench Scale Reactor
Btu	British Thermal Units
Btu/lb	British Thermal Units per Pound
BTX	Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene
C	Carbon
C1	Cultivator 1
C ₁ –C ₆	Alkane Hydrocarbon series
C2	Cultivator 2
C ₂ H ₄	Ethylene
C ₂ H ₆	Ethane
C3	Cultivator 3
C ₆ H ₆	Benzene
C ₇ H ₈	Toluene
C ₈ H ₁₀	Ethyl Benzene
C ₁₀ H ₈	Naphthalene
Ca	Calcium
CA	Compressed Air
CAER	Center for Applied Energy Research, University of Kentucky
cc/hr	Cubic Centimeter per Hour
Cd	Cadmium
Ce	Cerium
CF	Coal Feeder
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics (Model)
CH ₄	Methane

Acronym	Description
Cl	Chloride
Cm	Centimeter
Co	Cobalt
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	Cobalt (II) chloride
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CON	Concentrator
COS	Carbonyl Sulfide
Cr	Chrome
CSTR	Continuous Stir Tank Reactor
Cu	Copper
CVIP	Cryogenic Vessels, Instrumentation, and Piping, Inc.
DL	Detection Limit
D _{mn}	Diffusion coefficient for the nth species in the mth (g or s) phase kg/m·s
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EDS	Energy Dispersive Spectrometry
e.g.	Example
EIA	Energy Information Agency
F	Fluorine
F-76	Fuel Oil
f _j [*]	Maximum fractional amount of a component that can be gasified from the coal particle
f _{rc} [*]	Maximum fractional amount of carbon matter of coal that would only react in the presence of hydrogen
FAME	Fatty Acid Methyl Ester
FCV	Flow Control Valves
Fe	Iron
F _{gs}	Coefficient for the interphase force between the fluid phase and gas phase
FFA	Free Fatty Acids
FID	Flame Ionization Detector
FIO	Furnished and Installed by Others
f _j	Mass of Components/Mass of Coal
FM	Florescence Maximal/Flow Meter
ft ³ /h	Cubic Feet per Hour
g	Gram
→ g	Acceleration due to gravity
g/L	Grams per Liter
g/L/day	Grams per Liter per Day

Acronym	Description
g/m ² /d	Grams per Square Meters per Day
g/mL	Grams per Milliliter
g/mol	Grams per Mole
gal	Gallons
GC	Gas Chromatography
GE	General Electric
GF3	Algae Facility (name)
Ghg	Greenhouse Gas
gpm	Gallons per Minute
GTI	Gas Technology Institute
H	Hydrogen
H ₂	Coproducted Hydrogen
H ₂ O	Water
H ₂ S	Hydrogen Sulfide
H ₂ Se	Hydrogen Selenide
H ₂ SeO ₃	Selenious Acid
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulfuric Acid
H ₃ AsO ₃	Arsenite
HDPE	High-Density Polyethylene (Pipe)
H _g	Mercury
HgCl ₂	Mercury (II) Chloride
HgS	Mercury Sulfide
HHV	High Heating Value
HMI	Human Machine Interface
hp	Horsepower
HPTGA	High Pressure Thermogravimetric Analysis
HSeO ₃	Monobasic Selenite Oxyanions
Hz	Hertz
IC	Ion Chromatography
ICP – MS	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometer
ICP – OES	Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometer
ID	Inside Diameter
IES	Integrated Energy System with CO ₂ Use Project
in-lb	Inch-Pound
JP-5	Jet Propellant 5
JP8	Military Aviation Fuel
K	Potassium
kg	Kilogram
kg/hr	Kilogram per Hour
kg/kg-coal	Kilograms per Kilogram of Coal
kg/mol	Kilogram per Mole

Acronym	Description
KOH	Potassium Hydroxide
kw	Kilowatt
L or l	Liter
lb	Pound
lb/ft ³	Pounds per Cubic Feet
lb/hr	Pounds per Hour
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LCO ₂	Liquid Carbon Dioxide
LEED	Low-Energy Electron Diffraction
LEIS	Low-Energy Ion Scattering Spectroscopy
LHV	Low Heating Value
Li	Lithium
LSNE	Lyophilization Services of New England
M or m	Meter
m ²	Square Meters
mA	Millieamp
MATLAB	MATrix LABoratory
MAWP	Maximum Allowable Working Pressure
MD	Magnetic Drive
Me	Methyl
MFC	Mass Flow Controller
Mg	Magnesium
mg/kg	Milligram/Kilogram
mg/l	Milligram per Liter
mg/m ³	Magnesium/m ³
min	Minute
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts
mL	Milliliter
mL/s	Milliliter per second
mm	Millimeter
MMBtu	Million British Thermal Unit
mmol	Milli Mole
MMSCFD	Million Standard Cubic Feet per Day
Mn	Manganese
Mo	Molybdenum
MS	Mass Spectrometer/Spectroscopy
(MSS-SP-61)	Manufacturers Standardization Society, Standard Practice 61
MW	Megawatt/Molecular Weight
MWe	Megawatt Electric
n	Index of the nth chemical species

Acronym	Description
N	Nitrogen
N ₂	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
nd	Not Detected
NETL	National Energy Technology Laboratory
N _g	Total number of fluid - phase chemical species
NG	Natural Gas
ng/L	Nanogram per Liter
NGCC	Natural Gas Combined Cycle
Ni	Nickel
Ni/Mg/Al	Nickel/Magnesium/Aluminum
NJFL	New Jersey Field Laboratory
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides
NO	Nitric Oxide
NO ₂	Nitrogen Dioxide
NO ₃	Nitrogen Trioxide
N _s	Total number of solid-phase chemical species
O ₂	Oxygen
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OD	Outside Diameter
ORD	Office of Research and Development, NETL
P	Phosphorous
P&ID	Piping and Instrumentation Diagram
PAHS	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PAR	Photosynthetically Active Radiation
Pb	Lead
PbCl ₂	Lead Dichloride
PBR	Photo BioReactor
PBS	Pressure Balancing System
PCS	Pressure Control System
PCV	Pressure Control Valve
Pd	Palladium
P _g	Pressure in the fluid phase; Pa
P _{gs}	Microscopic (material) density of the solid phase: kg/m ³
pH	Parts of Hydrogen
PLC	Programmable Logic Control
P _m	Microscopic density of the m _{th} phase (kg/m ³)
p.m.	Post Meridiem

Acronym	Description
PO ₄	Phosphate
POX	Partial Oxidation
pph	Pounds per Hour
ppm	Parts per Million
ppmv	Parts per Million by Volume
ppmw	Parts per Million by Weight
psi	Pounds per Square Inch
psia	Pounds per Square Inch Absolute
psid	Pounds per Square Inch Differential
psig	Pounds per Square Inch Gage
Pt	Platinum
PT	Pressure Transducer
Pt/c	Platinum on Carbon
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control
R	Reactor
R&D	Research and Development
RC	Rapid Carbon
R _{gn}	Rate of production of the n th chemical species in the fluid phase/ kg/m ³ .s
RKG	Runge-Kutta-Gill
RL	Reading Limit
R _{mn}	Rate of production of the n th chemical species in the (g or s) phase Kg/m ² .s
RO	Reverse Osmosis
rpm	Revolutions per Minute
R _{sn}	Rate of production of the n th chemical species in the solid phase/ kg/m ³ .s
RT	Residence Time
s	Seconds
S	Sulfur
Sb	Antimony
scf/hr or scfh	Standard Cubic Feet per Hour
SCFM	Standard Cubic Feet per Minute
SE	Standard Error
Se	Selenium
SeCl ₂	Selenium Chloride
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
SeO ₃ ²⁻	Monobasic Selenite Oxyanion
SG	Sample Gas
Si	Silicon
SIMDIST	Simulated Distillation
Sn	Tin
SNG	Substitute Natural Gas

Acronym	Description
SO _x	Sulfur Oxides
SO ₂	Sulfur Dioxide
SO ₃	Sulfur Trioxide
SO ₄	Sulfate
Sr	Stronium
SS	Stainless Steel
$\bar{S}_{sm}$	Solids phase - m stress tensor: Pa
STM	Scanning Tunneling Microscopy
SV	Solenoid Valve
T	Temperature
TCD	Thermal Conductivity Detector
T _g	Gas Temperatures
$\bar{\tau}_g$	Fluid phase deviatoric stress tensor: Pa
TGA	Thermogravimetric Analysis
Th	Thorium
Ti	Titanium
TIG	Tungsten Inert Gas
Tl	Thallium
T _s	Solid Temperature
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
TRIS	(Hydroxymethyl) Aminomethane (HOCH ₂) ₃ CNH ₂
U	Uranium
USU	Utah State University
UV	Ultraviolet
V	Vanadium
v/v	Volume to Volume
VFD	Variable Frequency Drive
vol.	Volume
$\vec{V}_g$	fluid-phase velocity vector; m/s
$\vec{V}_s$	solid phase velocity vector; m/s
Vs.	versus
VTF	Vertical Thin Film
vvm	Vessel Volume per Minute
W	Watts
W.C.	Water Column
wt%	Weight Percent
X _{mn}	Mass fraction of the nth chemical species in the fluid phase
XPS	X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

Acronym	Description
XRD	X-Ray Diffraction Analysis
X_{smn}	Mass fraction of the n th chemical species in the m th solids phase
Zn	Zinc
ZnO	Zinc Oxide
ZnCl ₂	Zinc Chloride

ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of the research and development conducted on an Advanced Hydrogasification Process (AHP) conceived and developed by Arizona Public Service Company (APS) under U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) contract: DE-FC26-06NT42759 for Substitute Natural Gas (SNG) production from western coal. A double-wall (i.e., a hydrogasification contained within a pressure shell) down-flow hydrogasification reactor was designed, engineered, constructed, commissioned and operated by APS, Phoenix, AZ. The reactor is ASME-certified under Section VIII with a rating of 1150 pounds per square inch gage (psig) maximum allowable working pressure at 1950 degrees Fahrenheit (°F). The reaction zone had a 1.75 inch inner diameter and 13 feet length. The initial testing of a sub-bituminous coal demonstrated ~ 50% carbon conversion and ~10% methane yield in the product gas under 1625°F, 1000 psig pressure, with a 11 seconds (s) residence time, and 0.4 hydrogen-to-coal mass ratio. Liquid by-products mainly contained Benzene, Toluene, Xylene (BTX) and tar. Char collected from the bottom of the reactor had 9000-British thermal units per pound (Btu/lb) heating value. A three-dimensional (3D) computational fluid dynamic model simulation of the hydrodynamics around the reactor head was utilized to design the nozzles for injecting the hydrogen into the gasifier to optimize gas-solid mixing to achieve improved carbon conversion.

The report also presents the evaluation of using algae for carbon dioxide (CO₂) management and biofuel production. *Nannochloropsis*, *Selenastrum* and *Scenedesmus* were determined to be the best algae strains for the project purpose and were studied in an outdoor system which included a 6-meter (6M) radius cultivator with a total surface area of 113 square meters (m²) and a total culture volume between 10,000 to 15,000 liters (L); a CO₂ on-demand feeding system; an on-line data collection system for temperature, pH, Photosynthetically Activate Radiation (PAR) and dissolved oxygen (DO); and a ~2 gallons per minute (gpm) algae culture dewatering system. Among the three algae strains, *Scenedesmus* showed the most tolerance to temperature and irradiance conditions in Phoenix and the best self-settling characteristics. Experimental findings and operational strategies determined through these tests guided the operation of the algae cultivation system for the scale-up study. Effect of power plant flue gas, especially heavy metals, on algae growth and biomass adsorption were evaluated as well.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	iv
ABSTRACT	xiii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxiv
1 ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION – BENCH-SCALE TESTING	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 BENCH-SCALE HYDROGASIFICATION PROCESS DESCRIPTION	1-5
1.2.1 Process Description.....	1-5
1.2.2 Pressure Balancing System (PBS)	1-8
1.2.3 System Automation.....	1-11
1.3 COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS MODELING ON HYDROGEN INJECTOR OPTIMIZATION	1-16
1.4 HYDROGASIFIER DESIGN	1-20
1.4.1 Hydrogasifier Design Criteria	1-20
1.4.2 Inner Reactor Tube Design.....	1-24
1.4.3 Injector Design.....	1-26
1.4.4 Preheater Design.....	1-29
1.4.5 Coal Feeder Design.....	1-31
1.4.6 Chrapots Design.....	1-32
1.4.7 Liquid Collectors Design	1-35
1.4.8 Thermal Expansion Issues.....	1-36
1.4.9 Design Flexibility.....	1-40
1.4.10 Design drawing Pressure and Temperature Limits.....	1-43
1.5 HYDROGASIFIER TEST PLAN	1-43
1.6 GAS, LIQUID, AND SOLID ANALYSIS	1-45
1.6.1 Gas Analysis.....	1-45
1.6.2 Liquid Condensate Analysis.....	1-46
1.6.3 Coal and Char Analysis	1-47
1.7 COAL FEEDER CALIBRATION	1-47
1.7.1 Coal Samples	1-47
1.7.2 Coal Feeder Calibration Setup.....	1-50
1.7.3 Coal Feeder Calibration with Original Auger	1-52
1.7.4 Coal Feeder Calibration with New Auger	1-55
1.8 KINETICS ONE-DIMENSIONAL SIMULATION	1-58
1.8.1 ARCH Kinetics.....	1-58
1.8.2 Results and Discussion	1-65
1.9 EXPERIMENTAL DATA ANALYSIS	1-70
1.9.1 System Commissioning	1-70
1.9.2 Preliminary Hydrogasification Tests.....	1-72
1.9.3 Hydrogasification Testing on November 17, 2009 (Test 1 “After Repairs”).....	1-73

1.9.4	Hydrogasification Testing on December 1, 2009 (Test 2 - After "Repairs").....	1-75
1.9.5	Hydrogasification Testing on December 9, 2009 (Test 3 – After "Repairs").....	1-78
1.9.6	Significant Dates	1-81
1.10	MAIN ISSUES AND LESSONS LEARNED	1-82
1.10.1	Manufacturer Change	1-82
1.10.2	Preheater Modification	1-85
1.10.3	Coal Feeder P&ID Modification	1-88
1.10.4	Reactor Heater Modification	1-91
1.10.5	Other Process Improvements.....	1-100
1.11	CONCLUSIONS ON HYDROGASIFICATION BENCH TESTING	1-104
2	ALGAE TESTING	2-1
2.1	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND.....	2-1
2.1.1	Introduction to Algae	2-1
2.1.2	APS/GreenFuel Partnership.....	2-4
2.1.3	Incorporation of Algae Work into SNG Project.....	2-7
2.2	INITIAL ALGAE PRODUCTION.....	2-9
2.3	ALGAE LABORATORY AT 3RD AVENUE R&D FACILITY.....	2-15
2.3.1	Algae Laboratory Setup	2-15
2.3.2	Algae Strain Selection.....	2-16
2.3.3	Algae Growth Medium.....	2-20
2.3.4	Algae Nursery and Bottle Culture Maintenance.....	2-24
2.3.5	Inoculum Production	2-26
2.3.6	Summary of Algae Study at 3rd Avenue Lab Facility	2-27
2.4	SCALABLE CARBON CAPTURE WITH ALGAE	2-29
2.4.1	3rd Avenue Outdoor Test Site Description	2-29
2.4.2	6M Cultivator.....	2-29
2.4.3	Thermal Pond	2-36
2.4.4	Gas Distribution	2-37
2.4.5	Harvest/Dewatering.....	2-39
2.4.6	3rd Avenue Test System Results and Discussion	2-45
2.4.7	Summary of 6M Radius Algae Cultivator Test Results	2-63
2.5	ALGAE OIL EXTRACTION AND PROCESSING FOR CARBON RE-UTILIZATION.....	2-67
2.5.1	Outsourced Oil Extraction and Biofuel Production from Algae Biomass	2-67
2.5.2	In-House Lipid Analysis and Oil Extraction Development	2-79
2.5.3	Summary of Oil Extraction and Biofuel Production	2-82
2.6	EFFECTS OF FLUE GAS ON ALGAL GROWTH AND LIPID PRODUCTION.....	2-84
2.6.1	Introduction	2-84
2.6.2	Results and Discussion	2-90
2.6.3	Summary of Heavy Metal Study	2-103
2.6.4	References for Chapter 2.6.....	2-103

2.7	CONCLUSIONS ON ALGAE TESTING.....	2-107
3	PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING PACKAGE AND SYSTEMS ANALYSIS FOR HYDROGASIFICATION/SUBSTITUTE NATURAL GAS COMMERCIAL SCALE FACILITY COMMERCIAL DESIGN.....	3-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 – Temperature and Pressure Parameters for Alarm Strategy.....	1-15
Table 1-2 – H ₂ Injection Nozzle Optimization - Experimental Simulations	1-18
Table 1-3 – Design Criteria for Reactor Components.....	1-43
Table 1-4 – Hydrogasification Experimental Plan.....	1-44
Table 1-5 – Calibration Gas Components and Concentrations.....	1-46
Table 1-6 – Comparison of the Two Coal Samples	1-49
Table 1-7 – Coal Feeder Calibration at High Pressure with New Auger	1-56
Table 1-8 – Model Component Carbon Conversions Compared with Experimental Data.....	1-65
Table 1-9 – Model Component Carbon Conversions	1-69
Table 1-10 – Proximate and Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Char	1-72
Table 2-1 – Plant Material Oil Yields.....	2-2
Table 2-2 – Fatty Acid Analysis of GF3 by ASU	2-12
Table 2-3 – Fatty Acid Analysis of GF3 by POS.....	2-14
Table 2-4 – Lipid Content Study for Algae Strain Selection	2-20
Table 2-5 – Recipe for the Creation of the Artificial Seawater Medium Used for Marine Algae Strains.....	2-22
Table 2-6 – Medium Recipe for the Creation of the Freshwater Medium Used for Freshwater Algae Strains	2-23
Table 2-7 – Summary of <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Productivity and Harvest Data from 6M Radius Cultivator	2-52
Table 2-8 – Summary of CO ₂ Capture Data from 3rd Avenue Cultivator June 17 through June 23, 2009. Values are Derived from Averages of Measurements Taken Every Minute	2-53
Table 2-9 – Summary of <i>Selenastrum</i> Productivity and Harvest Data from 6M Radius Cultivator.....	2-57
Table 2-10 – Summary of <i>Scenedesmus</i> Productivity and Harvest Data from 6M radius Cultivator.....	2-61
Table 2-11 – Summary of Mechanical Operation, Algae Cultivation, and Harvests Conducted in the 6M Radius Cultivation System at 3rd Avenue R&D Test Site.....	2-65
Table 2-12 – Dried Algae GF3 Ultimate Analysis	2-68
Table 2-13 – Ultimate Analysis of Western Coal	2-68
Table 2-14 – Dried Algae GF3 Elemental Analysis and Comparison with Corn Stalk (Provided by NETL).....	2-69
Table 2-15 – Fresh City Water and Centrifuge Effluent Elemental Analysis (NETL) and Phoenix City Limit	2-70
Table 2-16 – ConocoPhillips Triglyceride Specifications	2-74
Table 2-17 – NETL/ORD, CAER, and APS Project Catalyst Testing and Product Analysis - Summary by Facility.....	2-75
Table 2-18 – Noble Catalyst Performance Comparison with Stearic Acid	2-77
Table 2-19 – Noble Catalyst Performance Comparison with Tristearin and Algae Oil	2-77
Table 2-20 – CAER In-house Ni Catalyst Performance Comparison with Tristearin.....	2-78
Table 2-21 – Results Obtained from 5 wt% Pd/AC Catalyst with Different Feedstocks	2-78
Table 2-22 – Results Obtained from the SAT002 Catalyst with Different Feedstocks	2-79
Table 2-23 – Fatty Acid Methyl Esters in the Biomass	2-81
Table 2-24 – Maximum Metal Concentration Likely to be Present in the Algae Growth Medium	2-88

Table 2-25 – Comparison of APS and USU Water Analyses on Redhawk Cooling Pond and Cholla Lake Water Sample	2-93
Table 2-26 – Anion Analysis of Water Samples	2-94
Table 2-27 – Total Metal Analysis of Water Samples	2-94
Table 2-28 – Analysis of Other Parameters on Water Samples	2-95

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 – AHP Conceptual Process Description.....	1-2
Figure 1-2 – Bench-Scale Hydrogasification Reactor Schematic	1-6
Figure 1-3 – LabVIEW Interface Process Overview	1-12
Figure 1-4 – LabVIEW Interface Temperatures – Overall.....	1-13
Figure 1-5 – LabVIEW Interface Temperature Profile along Reactor Internal Tube (Reactor Bottom Left and Reactor Top Right Sides of Graph, Respectively)	1-14
Figure 1-6 – Hydrogen Injection Nozzle Orientation.....	1-18
Figure 1-7 – A Case of Good Hydrogen and Coal Mixing.....	1-19
Figure 1-8 – A Case of Bad Hydrogen and Coal Mixing.....	1-20
Figure 1-9 – Simplified Diagram of the Bench-Scale Hydrogasification Reactor	1-23
Figure 1-10 – Reactor Inner Tube at Manufacturer	1-26
Figure 1-11 – Reactor Center Hub Assembly.....	1-27
Figure 1-12 – Injector and Injector Wands Assembly within the Top-Hat Section.....	1-29
Figure 1-13 – Reactor Preheater at Manufacturer.....	1-31
Figure 1-14 – Coal Feeder.....	1-32
Figure 1-15 –Two-Stage Charpots with Actuated Full Port Ball Valve on Testing Site	1-35
Figure 1-16 – Two-Stage Condensate Collection Cylinders with Actuated Full Port Ball Valve.....	1-36
Figure 1-17 – Reactor Inner Tube Bellows.....	1-38
Figure 1-18 – Flat to Groove Flange Connection Between Top Hat to Reactor Top.....	1-42
Figure 1-19 – Comparison of Coal Particle Size Distributions (wt% Retained on Screen)	1-48
Figure 1-20 – Comparison of Coal Sieve Analysis (Cumulative wt% Retained)	1-49
Figure 1-21 – Coal Feeding Testing Arrangement under Ambient Conditions.....	1-50
Figure 1-22 – Higher-Pressure Test Setup	1-51
Figure 1-23 – Coal Feeder Calibration Using Original Auger Design	1-52
Figure 1-24 –Left Photo shows Auger and Right Photo Shows Auger End Cap and Magnetic Drive Assembly.....	1-53
Figure 1-25 – Original Auger Design (Left Hand)	1-53
Figure 1-26 – Proposed Modification of Existing Auger.....	1-54
Figure 1-27 – Comparison of New and Old Augers (Front: New Auger; Back: Old Auger)	1-54
Figure 1-28 – Coal Feeder Calibration Using New Auger.....	1-55
Figure 1-29 – Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Coal Delivery Rate	1-56
Figure 1-30 – Comparison of Coal Feed Rate under Ambient Conditions and High Pressure with Carrier Gas Conditions	1-57
Figure 1-31 – Step Size Effect on Model Gas Temperature	1-63
Figure 1-32 – Statistical Design for Evaluating the Model	1-66
Figure 1-33 – Model Output at T = 1750°F, RT = 10s, and H ₂ :Coal = 0.2	1-67
Figure 1-34 – Model Output at T = 1500 °F, RT = 10 s, and H ₂ :Coal = 0.2	1-68
Figure 1-35 – Surface Response of Conversion to Methane.....	1-69
Figure 1-36 – GC Output from November 17, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 1 After “Repairs”).....	1-74
Figure 1-37 – Methane Mass Spectrometer Signal from the November 17, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 1 After “Repairs”).....	1-75
Figure 1-38 – Reactor Temperature Profile from the December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 2 After “Repairs”).....	1-76
Figure 1-39 – Temperature versus Time Profile from the December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 2 After “Repairs”).....	1-77

Figure 1-40 – Methane Concentration in the Product Stream from December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification Test 2 After “Repairs”	1-78
Figure 1-41 – Temperature-versus-Time Profile from the December 9, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 3 After “Repairs”)	1-79
Figure 1-42 – Methane Concentration in the Product Stream from the December 9, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test Three After “Repairs”)	1-80
Figure 1-43 – Hydrogasifier on June 29, 2009.....	1-81
Figure 1-44 – Large Insulation Box of the Original Zone 5 Preheater Orientation	1-86
Figure 1-45 – Reorientation of Hydrogen Crossover Line.....	1-86
Figure 1-46 – Plan View Orientation of Hydrogen Crossover Lines with New Watlow Heaters	1-87
Figure 1-47 – Left: Burned Heater during Preheater Zone 5 Re-commissioning. Right: Burned Inconel 625 ¼-Inch Tubing due to an Electrical Short.....	1-88
Figure 1-48 – P&ID of the Coal Feeder	1-89
Figure 1-49 – Ambient Pressure Coal Feeder Test with the Coal Feeder Vent Line Fully Opened.....	1-90
Figure 1-50 – Ambient Pressure Coal Feeder Test with Fully Opened Coal Feeder Top	1-90
Figure 1-51 – Modified P&ID around Coal Feeder for Better Pressure Equilibrium	1-91
Figure 1-52 – Temperature Profile around Preheater No. 1 (The One Closest to Preheater Outlet)	1-92
Figure 1-53 – Temperature Profile around Reactor Heater No. 1 (The One Closest to the Reactor Inlet)	1-93
Figure 1-55 – Hot Spot Locations (In Inches)	1-95
Figure 1-56 – Hydrogasifier Internal Tube after De-Telescoping (Left Photo) and Burned Power Wire—Fatal Failure of Top Four Zone Heaters (Right Photo).....	1-96
Figure 1-57 – Left: Inner Reactor Tube and Original Spider Plate; Right: Used Watlow Heater (Black Deposition was from the Burned Insulation).....	1-97
Figure 1-58 – Reactor Heat Loss Estimation Under the Original Set Up.....	1-98
Figure 1-59 – Left Photo shows the Modified Spider Plate and Newly Installed Thermocouples; Right Photo shows New Heaters Wrapped Around the Inner Reactor Tube	1-99
Figure 1-60 – Photo Left shows Increased Insulation Thickness; and photo right shows Stainless Steel Heat Shield to Limit Nitrogen Natural Convection	1-99
Figure 1-61 – Left photo shows the Final Layer with all Power Wires Sheathed by Ceramic; Right Photos show the Repaired Reactor Inner Tube Before Re- Telescoping	1-100
Figure 1-62 – Swagelok Valve between the Upper and Lower Charpots	1-101
Figure 1-63 – Left Photo Shows Picture of the Old Gas-Liquid Separator and the Right Photo Shows the New Separator	1-103
Figure 1-64 – Mass Spectrometer H ₂ S Output.....	1-104
Figure 2-1 – Ashqelon Israel, 2000--MW Coal-Fired Power Plant.....	2-3
Figure 2-2 – Natural Beta Technologies Ltd, Elat Israel, Open Raceway Algae Farm	2-3
Figure 2-3 – Aquatechnologies Algae Farm in Ketura, Israel - High-Value Products	2-4
Figure 2-4 – Left photo: BPS Algae Farm in Klotze, Germany. Right photo: 10,000-M ² System Operating since 2002.	2-4
Figure 2-5 – GreenFuel Early Demonstration at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts, 2004	2-6
Figure 2-6 – GreenFuel Gen3 System at APS's Redhawk Power Plant in Arizona 2005– 2006	2-6

Figure 2-7 – GreenFuel Gen5 System at APS’s Redhawk Power Plant in Arizona, June 2007	2-6
Figure 2-8 – GreenFuel Algae Adaptation Study at APS’s Four Corners Power Plant, Farmington, New Mexico, December 2007.....	2-7
Figure 2-9 – Bag Farm at 3rd Avenue R&D Facility	2-10
Figure 2-10 – The GF3 Strain under Microscope	2-13
Figure 2-11 – APS 3rd Avenue Algae Lab, Phoenix, Arizona.....	2-15
Figure 2-12 – Algae Nursery and 2 L Bottle Culture.....	2-26
Figure 2-13 – Thin Film, Flat Panel Culture System.....	2-27
Figure 2-14 – Schematic of the 3 rd Avenue Outdoor Site in Phoenix, Arizona, Used for Testing of the 6M Algae Cultivation System	2-29
Figure 2-15 – Photo of the Cultivator Floating in the Thermal Pond	2-30
Figure 2-16 – 6M Cultivator Floating in a Thermal Pond	2-30
Figure 2-17 – 6M Cultivator Interior	2-31
Figure 2-18 – Filtered Air Was Injected into the Canopy	2-33
Figure 2-19 – Air Was Exchanged and Removed, Moderating Headspace Oxygen Levels	2-33
Figure 2-20 – Center Hub Assembly.....	2-34
Figure 2-21 – Jets Propelled the Wands through the Culture, Creating Hydro Mixing	2-35
Figure 2-22 – Wands Showing Discharge of Liquid Volume through Exhaust Holes.....	2-35
Figure 2-23 – Pump Circulation Loop	2-36
Figure 2-24 – 3rd Avenue Thermal Pond under Construction	2-36
Figure 2-25 – 3rd Avenue Gas and Liquid Distribution Loop Diagram with Inline Sensors	2-38
Figure 2-26 – Dewatering System.....	2-41
Figure 2-27 – Culture from the Cultivator Entering the Dewatering System (through Transparent Pipe)	2-42
Figure 2-28 – Resulting Separated, Clear Water after Dewatering of Algae.....	2-42
Figure 2-29 – Concentrated Algae in Large Tank after Dewatering (Approximately 10 wt% Solids)	2-42
Figure 2-30 – Culture Dewatering Lavin (12-413V) Centrifuge.....	2-43
Figure 2-31 – Algae Paste out of Lavin Centrifuge.....	2-44
Figure 2-32 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Culture Density as Measured by FM (no unit) within the Cultivation System	2-46
Figure 2-33 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Culture Density as Measured by Ash-Free Dry Weight (grams of dry biomass per liter of culture)	2-46
Figure 2-34 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Culture Temperature within the Cultivation System	2-48
Figure 2-35 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Culture pH within the Cultivation System	2-49
Figure 2-36 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Culture Volume, Estimated by Salinity within the Cultivation System	2-50
Figure 2-37 – <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Growth Curve by Ash-Free Dry Weight.....	2-51
Figure 2-38 – Logged Culture PH for the 24-hour Period on 4/27/2009 during the <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Test in the Cultivator	2-53
Figure 2-39 – Logged Culture Condition Data for the 24-Hour Period on 4/27/2009 during the <i>Nannochloropsis</i> Test in the Cultivator. B: Culture Temperature; C: PAR; D, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Concentration in the Liquid Culture.	2-54
Figure 2-40 – <i>Selenastrum</i> Culture Density as Measured by Ash-Free Dry Weight (Grams of Dry Biomass per Liter of Culture).....	2-56
Figure 2-41 – Automatically Logged Culture Condition Data for the 24-hour Period on 5/25/2009 during the <i>Selenastrum</i> Test in the Cultivator. A: Culture pH. B: Culture Temperature. C: PAR. D: DO Concentration in the Liquid Culture.	2-58

Figure 2-42 – <i>Scenedesmus</i> Culture Density as Measured by Dry Weight (Grams of Dry Biomass per Liter of Culture)	2-60
Figure 2-43 – Settled <i>Scenedesmus</i> Culture after 5 Hours in a Conical-Bottom Vessel	2-62
Figure 2-44 – Gas Chromatographs of the Fatty Acid Methyl Esters in <i>Scenedesmus</i> Analyzed with Different GC Columns	2-80
Figure 2-45 – SO _x and NO _x Reactions in the Aqueous Phase	2-85

LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix A: Feasibility of SNG Formation through Coal Hydrogasification
Appendix B: Bench Scale Reactor Design from APS
Appendix C: Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Reactor Final Design from Gaspar Inc.
Appendix D: Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Preheater Final Design from Gaspar Inc.
Appendix E: Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Coal Feeder Final Design from Gaspar Inc.
Appendix F: Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Upper CharPot Assembly Final Design from Gaspar Inc.
Appendix G: Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Lower CharPot Assembly Final Design from Gaspar Inc.
Appendix H: Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Process PI&D
Appendix I: Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Process Bill of Material
Appendix J: Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Commissioning Procedure
Appendix K: Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Startup Procedure
Appendix L: Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Shutdown Procedure
Appendix M: Process Design, Plant Design, Systems Analysis and Cost Engineering

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of the project was to develop and demonstrate a coal hydrogasification-based process for producing Substitute Natural Gas (SNG) from coal and evaluate an algae process. for the management of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas that is normally emitted to the atmosphere during power generation and other industrial processes that utilize fossil fuels, by utilizing it for biofuel production. APS conducted an extensive research effort to achieve these goals under a Cooperative Agreement with the United States Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL).

The coal hydrogasification-based process was chosen over partial oxidation (POX) gasification because it produces significantly more fuel gas and SNG product from the same amount of feedstock. On a relative basis, the hydrogasification production of SNG is about 20% more than for the POX system, and water usage/consumption is dramatically reduced.

For the coal-to-SNG portion of the project an advanced double-wall down-flow hydrogasification reactor was engineered, constructed, commissioned and operated by APS in Phoenix, Arizona. The reactor - ASME-certified under Section VIII with a maximum allowable working pressure of 1150 psig at 1950°F and operated by a LabVIEW automation system – had the following distinguishing characteristics:

- The hydrogasification reactor could feed pulverized coal at rates up to 15 lb/hour.
- The hydrogen injection temperature could be varied up to 1350°F.
- The reactor assembly had two stages of charpots and liquid pots for transient and steady state sample collection.
- The hydrogasification reaction zone had a 1.75-inch internal diameter (ID) and was 13 feet long.
- On-line gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) were installed for product gas analysis.

During its early testing phase, the reactor experienced several major modifications to improve reactor heating, hydrogen preheating and a steady coal feeding rate. The successful tests demonstrated close to 50% carbon conversion and ~10% methane yield in the product gas under 1625°F, 1000 psig pressure, 11 seconds (s) residence time, and a 0.4 hydrogen-to-coal

mass ratio. GC/MS analysis on the organic phase indicated the liquid by-products mainly contained benzene, toluene, xylene (BTX) and tar. Char collected from the bottom of the reactor had a 9000-Btu/lb heating value.

Extensive computational fluid dynamics modeling of the hydrogen nozzle design was conducted to develop a design that would provide optimal gas and solid phase mixing to result in improved carbon conversion. The hydrodynamics (solid equatorial mixing, back mixing and temperature profile) around the reactor head were studied, resulting in 0.18-inch hydrogen nozzles ID, 45-degree shooting angle, and 30-degree swirling angle as optimum configurations.

A one-dimensional (1-D) model of the coal hydrogasification reactions was further developed based on Advanced Rapid Carbon Hydrogasification (ARCH) kinetics originally proposed in the early 1990s. The proposed reaction pathways for methane formation were first through coal devolatilization and second through further reaction of rapid carbon (RC) with hydrogen and hydro cracking of BTX and tar. Result comparisons indicated the model did a reasonable job of predicting the carbon conversion to methane (CH₄), BTX, and total conversion without additional tuning. However, the model did not accurately predict the distribution of conversions to carbon monoxide (CO) and oil.

For the CO₂ utilization portion of the program, a six meter (6M) radius algae cultivation system was built at APS's 3rd Avenue algae research and development (R&D) facility. This outdoor system included a 6M radius cultivator with a total surface area of 113 m² and a total culture volume between 10,000 L to 15,000 L; a CO₂ on-demand feeding system; an on-line data collection and process control system that captured data for temperature, pH, PAR and dissolved oxygen (DO) and automatically adjusted parameters to optimize algae growth; and a ~2 gallons per minute (gpm) algae culture dewatering system. The 6M cultivation system demonstrated approximately 170 days of total operation since the onset of testing. Approximately 77,000 L of culture was harvested. Three algae species were examined and cultured throughout the duration of experimentation – *Nannochloropsis*, *Selenastrum* and *Scenedesmus*.

The *Nannochloropsis* harvested at the site gave an oil content of 9.21 weight percent (wt%) of biomass, where 37 wt% of the total lipids consisted of omega 3, 6 and 9. *Selenastrum* produced oil content of 17 wt% total fat and 8 wt% nonpolar lipids. A total neutral lipid content of 80 wt% of the total fatty acids was obtained from an acetone-dried *Scenedesmus* biomass, which generally contains 9-17 wt% total lipids when grown in non-stressed conditions.

Depending on the algae growth rate, a CO₂ capture rate of up to 90 wt% was observed utilizing the 6M cultivator design. Among the three algae strains, *Scenedesmus* showed the most tolerance to temperature and irradiance conditions in Phoenix and the best self-settling characteristics. Experimental findings and operational strategies determined through these tests guided the operation of the algae cultivation system at APS's Redhawk test facility for a scale-up study.

In-house biomass and oil analysis protocols and experimental facilities were established. These significantly assisted in monitoring algae cultivation, studying stressing effects on algae oil content and even facilitating strain selection. It has been generally recognized that oil extraction using "green" algae is very challenging. Non-flowing green gum was obtained from all-oil extraction exercises using green algae, which was probably caused by the interaction of chlorophyll and phosphor lipids. Crude oil pretreatment will be required for any oil upgrading. It is necessary to manipulate the algae biomass cultivating condition to increase the fat level and reduce chlorophyll in the biomass, thereby easing the oil extraction process.

Utah State University (USU) in Logan, Utah, investigated and developed new procedures and methods to examine the effects of heavy metals and other chemical species present in flue gas. Reported results indicated that lead (Pb) did not adversely affect the growth of algae. Continued metal element analysis of algae culture water, algae biomass ion exchanged rinse water, algae biomass and crude algae oil would determine the deposition of metals. Metal deposition would occur in water, via physical adsorption on the algae biomass cell wall, or inside the algae cell.

Research work on the project commenced during the later part of 2009 with a particular focus on bench-scale hydrogasifier testing, 1D kinetics model simulation for coal hydrogasification, extensive algae stressing, oil extraction, and lipid analysis. The Coal-to-SNG study and carbon dioxide management via algae cultivation and conversion efforts, including the addition of efforts towards the demonstration of a one-third-acre algae farm at APS's Redhawk testing facility were continued under DOE award DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System (IES) with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Both projects were terminated by APS on March 31, 2010. This technical final closeout report should be reviewed in conjunction with the IES final technical closeout report for a comprehensive view of the research.

Additional work was performed by WorleyParsons Group Inc., Reading PA which advances the conceptual design for a 3000 ton-per-day commercial-scale AHP plant. The WorleyParsons

Systems Study is a continuation of work that was initially discontinued by APS in March 2010, and at the request of DOE resumed in July 2010. This work, titled, "Preliminary Engineering Package and Systems Analysis for Hydrogasification/Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility Conceptual Design" is presented in Chapter 3 of this report.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1 ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION – BENCH-SCALE TESTING

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The Advanced Hydrogasification Process (AHP) is a concept being developed to use America's abundant western coal supply to address concerns of diminishing domestic oil and natural gas (NG) resources as energy providers and reduce greenhouse gas emissions with renewable energy. APS is the prime recipient for this cooperative project with the DOE to conduct research and development on several features of the AHP. As shown in Figure 1-1, the APS AHP process is an integrated five-step systems process: (1) hydrogen production using incremental renewable energy to minimize CO₂ emissions, (2) SNG production by hydrogasification of coal, (3) oxy-combustion of coal/char to produce electricity, (4) carbon recycling of CO₂ emissions through biological processes, and (5) biofuels production by reuse of CO₂. The combination of these steps creates an integrated process that delivers energy and fuel in a long-term sustainable process. Ultimately, the Algae Farm will need to be developed.

The AHP uses coal as a source for carbon and hydrogen produced from renewable resources in a process (hydrogasification) that produces SNG with very little greenhouse gas CO₂ emissions. Hydrogen can be produced from many sources. In the AHP conceptualized facility, large-scale electrolysis produces hydrogen and oxygen at a commercial efficiency of 75% high heating value (HHV). Through incremental use of renewable energy (such as wind) this hydrogen can be produced without CO₂ emissions. Grid energy (from base load nuclear or coal) can also be incrementally used to firm the hydrogen supply and increase load factor during non-peak periods. In this manner, off-peak and seasonal renewable energy can be stored indefinitely in existing infrastructure and used in a wide array of applications including electric production.

Hydrogen can be produced using renewable energy and converted to NG (a desirable fuel for power generation or industrial use) via coal hydrogasification, which is the key R&D element under this Cooperative Agreement. This NG can be stored and distributed using existing NG infrastructure. This combination of technologies provides a strategy toward sustainability.

The need for the AHP is further supported by two inevitabilities: (1) without a viable CO₂ strategy, the future use of coal to produce electricity faces regulatory and legal challenges; and (2) electric utility renewable energy portfolio requirements are likely to increase over time, making a dispatchable renewable fuel valuable. Breakthroughs such as hydrogasification are required to make large-scale dispatchable electric power generation from renewable energy a

reality.

Figure 1-1 – AHP Conceptual Process Description

Gasification-based technology is the only environment-friendly technology that provides the flexibility to coproduce hydrogen (H₂), SNG, premium hydrocarbon liquids including transportation fuels, and electric power in desired combinations from coal and other carbonaceous feedstock. Rising costs and limited supply of crude oil and NG provide a strong incentive for the development of coal gasification-based co-production processes. This project evaluates the co-production of SNG and electricity from coal. SNG is a hydrogen carrier, is

easily stored, is a fuel source for existing natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) power production and is easily transported in existing nation-wide NG pipeline networks. The efficient production of SNG from coal offers supply and price stability coupled with transportability to an electric power generation infrastructure that has grown highly dependent on NG.

Hydrogasification research was previously studied by the DOE during the 1970s. The Gas Research Institute, now the Gas Technology Institute (GTI), aggressively researched hydrogasification as a means of providing a more efficient process to produce SNG than the traditional partial oxidation process followed by methanation. DOE research stopped in 1982 when the price of NG fell. Osaka Gas & Electric together with British Gas resumed research in 1992; the Japanese government joined the effort, and that program was renamed ARCH (Advanced Rapid Coal Hydrogasification). The ARCH research program terminated when the price of NG fell again and the Energy Information Agency (EIA) of the DOE forecasted a favorable economic future and adequate future reserves for NG. A detailed literature review on hydrogasification is presented in Appendix A.

The AHP Project was to be conducted in three phases. In Phase I, high pressure thermogravimetric analysis (HPTGA) tests were run, a chemical process model was created and preliminary engineering and economic studies were performed. Effort was also expended in reviewing the prior research efforts of DOE in hydrogasification research from the 1960s through 2002 (Japanese and British Gas ARCH Project).

The HPTGA testing evaluated the gasification reactivity of a devolatilized western coal sample in the 1500-1700°F temperature range, at 500 psi pressure using a 50 vol% H₂O – 50% N₂ gas mixture. One additional test was also carried out at 1700°F, but at the higher pressure of 700 psig. HPTGA test results indicated the devolatilized coal sample is highly reactive under these regular gasification conditions. Consistent with expectations, reactivity improved with increasing temperature. Reactivity was reduced at the increased pressure. It took 90 minutes to achieve ~100% base carbon conversion at 1500°F (and 500 psig). Similar high conversions were achieved during 30 minutes at 1600°F and during only 15 minutes at 1700°F. At 1700°F, reactivity at 700 psi was lower than that at 500 psig, requiring about 25 minutes to achieve complete conversion compared to 15 minutes at the lower pressure.

In phase II, the hydrogasification kinetics were being created by using a bench-scale kinetics reactor (BSRx). Test results were intended to be used to update both the process model and

the preliminary engineering and economics study. Also in phase II, a task was added to evaluate using the carbon dioxide (CO₂) from power plant stack gas to cultivate algae, which consumes the carbon as a method to manage carbon dioxide emission. The end goal was to then develop a scalable algae farm and a biofuel sample (military aviation fuel – JP8) was to be produced by using algae grown in the bioreactor/farm. The algae farm was to be operated for a period of one year while integrated with an APS power plant. Phase III of the project would encompass the engineering, construction, and operation of an engineering-scale hydrogasification reactor and necessary appurtenances.

This project was selected from among applications received in response to a DOE-NETL funding opportunity announcement entitled, "Co-Production Advanced Technology/Process Concepts," which was co-sponsored by the Hydrogen and Clean Fuels Program. The Program supports R&D activities related to hydrogen from coal pathways. The primary program elements were: (1) central hydrogen production pathway, (2) alternate production pathway, and (3) hydrogen utilization. The APS AHP project supported the alternate production technology pathway in which clean syngas from coal is converted to high-hydrogen-content liquid hydrocarbon carriers, alcohols, or, as in this specific project - SNG.

The goals of this three-phase project were to develop an AHP process with the following features:

- Process efficiency greater than 50%
- Production of SNG at a cost less than the market price for NG
- Capture and sequestration (through conversion) of the equivalent of 90% of CO₂ emissions
- Reduction in water use by least 50% below the usage of a comparable partial-oxidation based gasification or syngas methanation process
- Ability to accept hydrogen as a supplemental source of energy
- Use of low-British thermal units (Btu) western coal
- Coproduction of electricity
- Integration of an algae process for CO₂ recycle
- Use of oil from algae CO₂ recovery to create high-value transportation fuels

The research of the project was continued under DOE award “Integrated Energy System with the Beneficial CO₂ Use” (DE-FE0001099) which was initiated September, 2009. Both projects were terminated by APS on March 31, 2010. Please refer to the DE-FE0001099 Technical Final Closeout Report for a more comprehensive project report.

1.2 BENCH-SCALE HYDROGASIFICATION PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The process description is summarized in this subsection. The piping and instrumentation diagram (P&ID) is contained in Appendix H. Appendix I contains the bill of material (BOM). Detailed operations procedures are contained in Appendix J, Appendix K and Appendix L.

1.2.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

A schematic of the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor may be seen in Figure 1-2. Gas (hydrogen/nitrogen) first entered pre-heater 4 and flowed through the subsequent preheaters before entering the reactor and mixing with the coal feed at the top. In the figure the pre-heater zone 5 was a group of small heaters to cover the heat loss from hydrogen crossover lines connecting preheater and reactor. Included in the coal feeding system were the hopper, magnetic drive, and an auger. A small amount of hydrogen, which was separated from main hydrogen stream, was supplied to the discharge of coal feeder as a carrier gas. Once the hydrogen-enriched syngas produced in the hydrogasifier and the unconverted coal or char left the reactor, the solid products were collected in either the upper or lower charpot. The syngas then passed by the cooler, which condensed water and heavy hydrocarbons out of the product stream. The liquid products were subsequently collected in either the lower or upper liquid collectors. Finally, the gas passed through a ZnO sorbent bed to capture the hydrogen sulfide for odor control and then was vented. With that, there were two gas sampling lines, one upstream and one downstream of the ZnO sorbent bed.

Figure 1-2 – Bench-Scale Hydrogasification Reactor Schematic

To start up the reactor, the back-pressure control valve at the system exit (Tag number SG-PCV-1) was closed. The reactor and annular space were pressurized with nitrogen. The nitrogen supply valve (Tag number R-PCV-1) was used to pressurize the annular space and additional nitrogen was fed to the bottom of the preheater through a system pressure control valve normally designated for hydrogen (Tag number H2-PCV-1) and was used to control the reactor pressurization. A small slipstream of nitrogen bypassed the pre-heater through pressure the control valve (Tag number H2-PCV-2), and was used to control the coal feeder pressurization. The pressurization was controlled to ensure that there was no pressure differential greater than 25 psig between the pressure shell and the reactor. With the shell side pressure higher, reaction gas is prevented from leaking from the reactor to the shell zone that contains electrical heaters.

Once the reactor was at the desired operating pressure the system back-pressure control valve (SG-PCV-1) at the exit of the reactor was opened and the pressure equalization between the pressure shell and the hydrogasification reactor was initiated by automating a vent valve at the bottom of the pressure shell (Tag number R-PCV-30). The gas being fed to the reactor was switched from nitrogen to hydrogen. At this point the preheaters and reactor heaters were turned on. Once the reactor reached the desired operating temperature, the coal-feed isolation valve (Tag number R-AOV-3) at the top of the reactor was opened and coal feeding commenced. At this point, the introduction of ambient temperature coal caused a heat sink in the system. As soon as the system recovered from the heat sink and a constant temperature profile was attained, the reactor was considered to be at steady state. After the reactor reached steady-state, the lower char pot and the lower condensate pot were isolated (by closing valves R-AOV-1 and CON-AOV-1) so the steady-state products would be captured in the upper charpot and upper condenser pot and thereby separated from the transient products in the lower pots.

Upon completion of coal feeding, the reactor was ready to shut down. The first step was to turn off all of the heaters. Next, the gas going into the reactor was switched from hydrogen to nitrogen. The reactor would slowly be depressurized when reactor and preheater temperature dropped below 1300 °F. Once the reactor was depressurized, pressure would be built back up to 200 psig and depressurized again. This purging process was done twice to ensure that there was no residual hydrogen left in the reactor.

The coal and char products were collected from the charpots at the end of the test when everything cooled down. The blind flange at the bottom of the lower charpot was unbolted and removed. The coal and char in the lower charpot dropped into a sample bucket, which was then sealed and labeled for later analysis. Once the lower charpot was cleaned of residual coal and char then the upper charpot was discharged for collection of samples for analysis. The operator opened charpot isolation valve R-AOV-1, which allowed the coal and char in the upper charpot to drop through the valve and through the lower charpot. The coal and char were collected in a sample bucket and sealed for later analysis.

The charpots were typically cleaned to the extent possible. The gasket on the bottom of the lower charpot was removed and replaced with a new gasket, and the blind flange was re-installed and tightened up to the design bolt torque for the flange. This joint was pressure- and leak-tested before initiating a new test. A gas sample was analyzed through an online gas chromatography (GC) and mass spectroscopy, A SRI Instruments Model 8610C Multiple Gas GC #2, which was equipped with a gas sampling valve with dual sampling loops one which contained a Molecular Sieve column and the other a Hayesep-D column and a Standard Research Systems QMS 200 mass spectrometer were utilized.

1.2.2 PRESSURE BALANCING SYSTEM (PBS)

As was mentioned previously, the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor was designed to operate at extremely high temperature (1950°F) and pressure (1150 psig). This combination of high pressure and temperature rendered most metals unusable in the application because the tensile strength of metals was dramatically reduced at this temperature. The reactor design coped with this inherent limitation of metals by using a “balanced pressure” reactor configuration wherein the inner reactor tube was contained centrally inside a larger outer shell (10-inch schedule 80 pipe). The annular space between the inner tube and outer shell was pressurized with dry ambient temperature gaseous nitrogen which flowed through the annular space providing some cooling at the lower part of the reactor. Additionally, the nitrogen removed moisture that could corrode heaters and wiring as well as any oxygen from the annular space, thereby reducing the likelihood of a combustion incident in the annulus.

The balanced pressure design was intended to maintain the annular space pressure slightly higher than the inner tube reaction pressure. The pressure in the annulus was always higher than the inner tube so that if a leak occurred, inert nitrogen gas would flow into the inner tube quenching the reaction. This arrangement also prevented hydrogen or coal dust from diffusing

into the annular space, a situation which could compromise the performance of the heaters, insulation, wiring, and temperature sensors. The annulus could be pressurized to a maximum pressure of 1200 psig. At this condition, the inner tube could operate at 1150 psig and 1950°F.

As described earlier, the mechanical design of the inner tube was analyzed using ASME BPVC calculations and buckling equations from Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain. The result of the ASME analysis indicated that the inner tube could operate at 1950°F with a maximum 50-psid pressure differential (external pressure above internal pressure) with a safety factor consistent with ASME BPVC requirements. At lower temperatures, the allowable differential pressure was much higher. Additionally, by operating at less than 50 psid, the safety factor was increased because the inner tube was subject to lower stress. If an incident occurred where the reactor pressure differential exceeded 50 psid then it was more likely that the inner tube or the lower bellows would fail. In the case where the annular pressure exceeded the inner tube pressure, the inner tube or the lower bellows would collapse inward and nitrogen gas would flow into the reaction area, quenching the reaction and equalizing the pressure.

An alternative scenario that could happen is where the inner tube pressure exceeded the annular pressure. This would cause either the inner tube or lower bellows to rupture outward, allowing hydrogen and coal dust to flow into the annulus. Either scenario is catastrophic to the reactor and would require extensive repair. The rupture scenario that would allow coal into the annulus would likely result in more damage due to coal infiltration into the insulation, heaters, and wiring. Either failure mode points out the importance of the PBS operating properly. As such, safety systems were implemented to automatically shut-down the system in the event pre-determined pressure differential limits were exceeded.

To prevent a catastrophic failure of the reactor due to a high pressure differential, the PBS was designed to control the pressure in the inner tube and annulus. A design target to maintain the pressure difference at less than 10 psid was set. The PBS used a system of pressure transmitters (R-PT-30, R-PT-2, R-PT-3, and R-DPT-1) to sense the pressure in the inner tube, annulus and nitrogen purge system. These transmitters had an accuracy of 0.1% and provided a 4–20 mA signal to the pressure control system (PCS). The plant operator used the PCS to set the operating pressure in the inner tube and annulus.

In addition to maintaining the pressure in the inner tube and annulus, the PBS also controlled a nitrogen purge through the annulus. This nitrogen purge flow could be set by the operator and was controlled by the PCS. Nominally, the purge flowed at about 600 cubic square feet per

hour (scf/hr), and a mass flow controller (MFC) (R-MFC-1) and PCV (R-PCV-1) controlled the nitrogen pressure and the flow rate of nitrogen into the annular space. The pressure in the annulus was controlled by a back-pressure regulator (R-PCV-30), which vented nitrogen to the atmosphere to control the flow of purge nitrogen out of the annulus. In tandem, the nitrogen supply through R-MFC-1 (nitrogen inlet) and R-PCV-30 (nitrogen exit) controlled the pressure in the annulus while simultaneously allowing the operator to change the nitrogen purge flow rate as deemed necessary to provide cooling on the lower reactor bellows. A secondary control loop was also designed into the PBS. This loop included a pressure equalization valve and a differential pressure transmitter. The equalization valve was installed in a pipeline that connected the annulus and the inner tube. When the valve was opened, this line allowed the pressure in the annulus and the inner tube to remain the same. This valve was normally open when the reactor was off. During normal operation, if the differential pressure exceeded the alarm setpoint (usually set at about 25 psid), the equalization valve would open, thereby equalizing the pressure between the inner tube and the annulus and protecting the inner tube and lower bellows from damage due to an excessive differential pressure.

Because the hydrogasification process was very dynamic, the PBS needed to react very rapidly to changes of the inner tube conditions. Further complicating the control response was the fact that the annular volume was about 8 times the volume of the inner tube which had an inside diameter of 1.75 inches. As a consequence, a small increase in the pressure in the inner tube would require a large nitrogen volume increase in the annular space to maintain the proper differential pressure. This necessitated a very tight control on the pressure differential between the inner tube and annulus with a target of less than 1% of the operating pressure (<10 psid at 1200 psig). To meet these demanding control requirements, Tescom control valves were chosen and configured with the Tescom ER-3000 electronic controller. Tescom was contacted to review the control strategy and control target. There was a high confidence that the Tescom valve with ER-3000 could control any gas stream with the accuracy and repeatability that was required. However, there was less confidence that the ER-3000 could control multiple, interdependent pressures and flows while maintaining the specified accuracy, control speed, and repeatability.

Based on the Tescom commitment and technical support, it was decided to proceed and build the PBS as designed using the ER-3000 electronic control. The PBS was fabricated and installed per the design and installation was completed in March 2009. Testing and commissioning proceeded through April and May 2009. During this testing, the PBS

performance was characterized and tuned. The test results indicated that the PBS met or exceeded all of the original design requirements and could control the pressure differential to as low as 2 psid at 1000 psig (0.2% of operating pressure). This level of control was a significant technical accomplishment for the Tescom ER-3000 controller and significantly exceeded expectations.

1.2.3 SYSTEM AUTOMATION

A LabVIEW- based control system Figure 1-3, Figure 1-4, and Figure 1-5 show the three main control display panels: LabVIEW Process Overview, All Temperature Alarming Interface, and Temperature Profile along the Reactor Tube. These figures are presented to display the interface and are not for depicting actual operating conditions. The field service control engineer from Tescom participated in site system validation, including double-checking system logic and calibration.

Figure 1-4 – LabVIEW Interface Temperatures – Overall

Figure 1-5 – LabVIEW Interface Temperature Profile along Reactor Internal Tube (Reactor Bottom Left and Reactor Top Right Sides of Graph, Respectively)

Table 1-1 below, summarizes major temperature and operation parameters for which a multi-tiered alarm and automated shutdown system was implemented to ensure design and operating limits were not exceeded for personnel safety and equipment integrity.

Table 1-1 – Temperature and Pressure Parameters for Alarm Strategy

Vessel/Pipe	Design T(deg F)	Design P(psig)	Oper. T (deg F)	Oper. P (psig)
H ₂ -PT-Plant	150	2000	100	1800
H ₂ Feed	150	1150	100	1000~1100
Carrier Gas	150	1150	100	1000~1100
Emergency N ₂ Purge	150	1200	100	1000~1100
Instrument N ₂	150	154	100	107
H ₂ Preheater Tube HTR-1 Section	1650	1200	1470	1000
H ₂ Preheater Tube HTR-2 Section	1650	1200	1470	1000
H ₂ Preheater Tube HTR-3 Section	1650	1200	1470	1000
H ₂ Preheater Tube HTR-4 Section	1650	1200	1470	1000
Reactor Head Nozzle	1650	1200	1470	1000
Coal Feed Hopper	150	1150	100	1010
Reactor Tube Outside	1000	1150	<750	1000
Reactor Head	1000	1200	<750	1000
Reactor Tube	1950	50	1450-1750	20
Reactor Wall	700 (Gaspar)	1200	Under 400	1050
Reactor (annulus)	700	1200	Under 400	1050
Pressure Balancing (bellows)	1000	50	700	15
Char Pot	1200	1150	Under 1000	1000
Char Pot Outlet	1200	1150	Under 1000	1000

1.3 COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS MODELING ON HYDROGEN INJECTOR OPTIMIZATION

To aid in the design and operation of the bench-scale reactor injector, a non-isothermal, reacting, transient, three-dimensional (3-D) Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model of the injector zone was developed using ANSYS/FLUENT commercial CFD software. The simulation work was carried out by the Computational Science Division of the Office of Research and Development at NETL. The model was based on an Eulerian-Eulerian approach which treats both the gas and solids phases as continuous and fully interpenetrating phases. This results in mass, momentum, species, and energy balance equations for both the gas and solids phases. Under isothermal conditions the balance equations are shown below:

Gas-Phase Continuity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_g \rho_g) + \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_g \rho_g \vec{v}_g) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_g} R_{gn} \quad (1)$$

Solids-Phase Continuity

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_s \rho_s) + \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_s \rho_s \vec{v}_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_s} R_{sn} \quad (2)$$

Gas-Phase Momentum

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_g \rho_g \vec{v}_g) + \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_g \rho_g \vec{v}_g \vec{v}_g) = -\epsilon_g \nabla P_g + \nabla \cdot \overline{\overline{\tau_g}} + F_{gs}(\vec{v}_s - \vec{v}_g) + \epsilon_g \rho_g \vec{g} - R_0(\xi_0 \vec{v}_g + \overline{\xi_0} \vec{v}_s) \quad (3)$$

Solids-Phase Momentum

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_s \rho_s \vec{v}_s) + \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_s \rho_s \vec{v}_s \vec{v}_s) = -\epsilon_s \nabla P_g + \nabla \cdot \overline{\overline{S_m}} - F_{gs}(\vec{v}_s - \vec{v}_g) + \epsilon_s \rho_s \vec{g} - R_0(\xi_0 \vec{v}_g + \overline{\xi_0} \vec{v}_s) \quad (4)$$

Species Balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\epsilon_m \rho_m X_{mn}) + \nabla \cdot (\epsilon_m \rho_m X_{mn} \vec{v}_m) = \nabla \cdot D_{mn} \nabla X_{mn} + R_{mn} \quad (5)$$

where $m = g$ or s for the gas or solids phase and $\overline{\xi_0} = 1 - \xi_0$ and $\xi_0 = 1$ if $R_0 < 0$; else $\xi_0 = 0$. The eight dependent hydrodynamic variables in the 3-D simulation - void fraction ϵ_g (the solid void fraction $\epsilon_s = 1 - \epsilon_g$), pressure P_g , and six velocity components - are found by numerically solving the coupled non-linear partial differential equations. Constitutive relations needed to close the system, and the gas/solids energy balance equations can be found in

Syamlal, et al.¹ and Syamlal.² A discussion on the solution procedure and further numerical references can be found in Guenther and Syamlal.³

To maximize methane yields requires rapid heating of the coal in a hydrogen-rich environment; hence proper mixing and residence times are critical in the process. This work was initially focused on conducting non-reacting CFD simulations at temperature and pressure to investigate the hydrodynamic conditions in the vicinity of the hydrogen and coal inlets. Hydrogen-to-coal mass ratio, injector diameter, injector shooting angle, and injector swirling angle were among the parameters investigated. The hydrogen nozzle ID reflects the linear velocity of the hot hydrogen stream injected into the hydrogasification reactor. The shooting angle reflects the downward angle of the attached injector with respect to the vertical flow of coal in the reactor. The swirl angle reflects the angle of hydrogen flow to produce a vortex in the reactor. Predictions from the model allowed engineers to determine the optimal placement of the hydrogen jets into the hydrogasifier.

Several simulations were performed to determine the optimal placement of the hydrogen jets. Optimal placement would maximize coal and hydrogen mixing at the inlet of the reactor. The previously mentioned factors were varied as follows: the injector diameter was varied between 0.064 and 0.18 inches, the shooting angle θ (Figure 1-6) was varied between 45 and 75 degrees, and the swirling angle ϕ (Figure 1-6) was varied between 30 and 60 degrees. The hydrogen-to-coal ratio factor was more complicated as it was a function of the coal feed rate, hydrogen feed rate, and hydrogen carrier gas rate. Based on a literature search, the optimal hydrogen-to-coal ratio was found to be between 0.2 – 0.4. With the reasonable coal feed rate range between 5 and 15 pounds per hour (lb/hr), the hydrogen feed rate was defined between 0.5 – 5.85 lb/hr. The hydrogen carrier rate (mass basis) was evaluated as 1% –10% of total coal feed rate (mass/mass), which lead to 0.05 ~ 1.5 lb/hr. Finally these three rates were bounded by the following conditions:

¹ M. Syamlal, W. Rogers, and T. O'Brien, *MFIX Documentation: Theory Guide* (DOE/METC-94/1004, 1993).

² M. Syamlal, *MFIX Documentation Numerical Technique* (EG&G Tech. Report: DOE/MC 31346-5824, 1998).

³ C. Guenther, T.O. Brien, and M. Syamlal, *A Numerical Model of Silane Pyrolysis in a Gas-Solids Fluidized Bed*, in *International Conference on Multiphase Flow*, (New Orleans, 2001).

- H_2 carrier gas rate + H_2 feed rate – 0.4 coal feed rate ≤ 0
- H_2 carrier gas rate + H_2 feed rate – 0.2 coal feed rate ≥ 0
- H_2 carrier gas rate – 0.1 coal feed rate ≤ 0
- H_2 carrier gas rate – 0.01 coal feed rate ≥ 0

Figure 1-6 – Hydrogen Injection Nozzle Orientation

These four conditions ensured that the hydrogen-to-coal ratios ranged between 0.2 and 0.4 and that the hydrogen carrier gas-to-coal ratio ranged between 0.01 and 0.1. The simulated conditions may be seen in Table 1-2.

Table 1-2 – H_2 Injection Nozzle Optimization - Experimental Simulations

Simulation No.	Injector I.D. (inches)	Shooting Angle (degree)	Coal Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H_2 Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H_2 Carrier Gas Rate (lb/hr)	Swirling Angle (degree)
1	0.06	75	15.00	5.85	0.15	60
2	0.18	45	6.40	1.92	0.64	60
3	0.06	75	15.00	4.50	1.50	30
4	0.06	45	15.00	2.85	0.15	30
5	0.06	45	15.00	1.50	1.50	60
6	0.18	75	15.00	4.50	1.50	60
7	0.12	60	10.00	3.18	0.78	45
8	0.18	45	5.00	0.62	0.38	30
9	0.18	75	5.00	0.91	0.09	60
10	0.18	45	15.00	2.85	0.15	60
11	0.18	45	15.00	5.14	0.86	30
12	0.18	75	13.61	5.31	0.14	30
13	0.06	45	5.00	1.95	0.05	60
14	0.06	45	6.48	2.00	0.59	30
15	0.06	75	6.37	0.64	0.64	60
16	0.06	75	5.00	0.94	0.06	30
17	0.18	75	15.00	1.50	1.50	30

The results from the model were analyzed to optimize coal/hydrogen mixing at the inlet of the reactor. To evaluate the hydrodynamics around the reactor head, solid equatorial mixing along sectional areas, solid flux back mixing and sectional temperature profiles were studied. The simulations and detailed analysis were completed. The results showed that to achieve good coal and hydrogen mixing and to minimize the solid back flow (to prevent coal from clogging at reactor neck), a large injector inner diameter, a small shooting angle, and a minimal amount of swirling were recommended. The simulated experiments showed that the overall hydrogen-to-coal ratio and the hydrogen carrier gas-to-coal ratio should be minimized.

Figure 1-7 and Figure 1-8 show a good mixing case and a bad mixing case respectively. In these illustrations, the contours of the computer projections represent the average volume fraction of the solid phase. The left side of the figure is the top of the reactor and on the right the hydrogen injectors may be seen. Figure 1-7 is a case where optimal parameters were used. At the contour cross-section furthest down the reactor (the cross section furthest to the right in Figure 1-7), good mixing may be seen. The volume fraction at this point is relatively uniform. In contrast to this, Figure 1-8 shows two distinct areas in the bottom cross-sectional contour. This is representative of bad mixing, because there is a section of low volume fraction in the core and a section of high volume fraction near the wall.

Injector I.D. (in)	Shooting Angle (°)	Coal Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H2 Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H2 Carrier Gas Rate (lb/hr)	Swirling (°)
0.18	45	5	0.62	0.38	30

Figure 1-7 – A Case of Good Hydrogen and Coal Mixing

Injector I.D. (in)	Shooting Angle (°)	Coal Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H2 Feed Rate (lb/hr)	H2 Carrier Gas Rate (lb/hr)	Swirling (°)
0.06	75	15	5.85	0.15	60

Figure 1-8 – A Case of Bad Hydrogen and Coal Mixing

Within the interested hydrogen and coal feed rate range, a smaller hydrogen nozzle ID gives higher hydrogen linear velocity, which creates unfavorable solid/gas equatorial mixing. A higher shooting angle (hydrogen injection more downward) also creates an unfavorable solid/gas mixing. The effects of swirling are more complicated. By creating a low-pressure center, a certain degree of swirling helps coal flow downward and prevents solid back flow that could cause clogging. However, too much swirling also tends to give a centrifuge effect in the reactor tube that impairs the solid/gas mixing. The reactor injector geometry was finalized as (1) 45-degree shooting angle, (2) 30-degree swirling angle, and (3) 0.18-inch injector (ID).

1.4 HYDROGASIFIER DESIGN

1.4.1 HYDROGASIFIER DESIGN CRITERIA

At the beginning of the project, a set of design criteria was developed for the hydrogasification reactor. The reactor was to be designed for an area zoned light industrial in Phoenix, AZ. The reactor's environmental design conditions were to include (1) a minimum 70 mile-per-hour wind threshold for the frame, (2) an earthquake zone 1 (which is very stable), (3) no snow, and (4) a lowest mean temperature of 54.2°F. Material interface to the reactor were to include coal as

well as (1) benzene, toluene, and xylene (BTX), (2) methane, (3) hydrogen, (4) steam, (5) heavy hydrocarbons, and (6) nitrogen.

1.4.1.1 Design and Operating Conditions

Whereas thermodynamic equilibrium calculations predict higher methane formation at higher pressures and moderate temperatures, the hydrogasification reactor was to be designed for the following operating conditions:

- An operating pressure of 1000 pounds per square inch (psi)
- An operating temperature of 1800°F
- An operating pressure for coal feeding of 1000 psi
- An operating pressure for char removal of 1000 psi
- An operating pressure for hydrogen feeding of 1010 psi
- An operating temperature for hydrogen feeding higher than 1200°F

Because of heat-losses associated with the small size of bench scale reactor systems, the design needed to accommodate a means to add heat to the reactor vessel walls. The desired pressure and temperature specifications added complexities for the materials of construction selection to meet ASME pressure vessel code. A refractory-lined vessel was deemed undesirable for this reactor scale. The final design, show in detail in Appendix B of this report, resulted in a nominal 10.75-inch-outside-diameter SA106-B carbon steel reactor vessel contained with a 1.75-inch-inside-diameter Inconel 617 pressure containment vessel. Electrical heaters were installed within the annular region between the two vessels to assist with maintaining desired process temperature. The tube and shell design required the use of bellows to accommodate the variances in thermal expansion between the two vessels.

There were many design considerations for the fatigue life of the hydrogasification reactor. These fatigue-life design considerations included:

- A testing operation time of two hours with a minimum of 24 hours between tests.
- A total of 1000 hours of testing time
- A maximum reactor temperature of 1950°F

1.4.1.2 Operational Design Considerations

Operationally the reactor was designed to be purged with nitrogen before startup and at shutdown. For safety considerations, the annular space was designed to hold a volume of nitrogen that would be 30 times the volume of hydrogen in the inner reactor tube. If hydrogen leaked from the inner tube to the annulus, the differential pressure drop between the inner tube and the annulus would be detected and the reactor would be shut down. At this point the concentration of hydrogen in the annulus would be less than 4%, which is not flammable. The annulus was designed to be constantly purged with nitrogen at approximately 8 Standard Cubic Feet per Minute (SCFM), further diluting the hydrogen. The worst-case scenario would be if an operator started the reactor with air at 0 psig in the annular space, heated the reactor, and turned on the hydrogen flow to a pressure that ruptures the bellows (48 pounds per square inch differential (psid)). If all of these were to happen, which would require the operator to override multiple interlocks and violate operating procedures, then at a hydrogen pressure as low as a few psi, a flammable 4% H₂/air mixture would be attained.

1.4.1.3 Administrative Control

All operations were designed to be conducted in accordance with written operating procedures. Both a control operator and a test supervisor were present any time the reactor was above ambient temperature and pressure. Clear guidance on temperature limits was contained in the operating procedures.

An emergency shutdown procedure was also available, providing both the conditions for implementing an emergency shutdown and the sequence. The temperature and pressure limits were an integral part of the procedure.

1.4.1.4 Process Controls

The reactor processes were controlled using a distributed digital control system. Critical reactor components were monitored using thermocouples attached both inside and outside the reactor pressure boundary. Using the maximum code compliance temperatures, an emergency shutdown setpoint was developed. The emergency shutdown setpoint was reduced in consideration of both instrument error and transient response error. All alarm setpoints were set appropriately below the trip point to allow operator response to impending trip conditions.

A simplified flow diagram of the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor is presented in Figure 1-9.

Figure 1-9 – Simplified Diagram of the Bench-Scale Hydrogasification Reactor

The hydrogasifier APS developed was a dual-wall down-flow reactor, with heating elements located in the annulus space. The coal was fed in the pulverized solid phase from the top center of the reactor. Hydrogen was preheated and injected to the reactor top through four nozzles set at a 45-degree angle. The reactor had a total length about 16 feet with a heated zone of 13 feet. The pressure of the reactor's inner tube was controlled from 5 to 15 psi lower

than the annulus space, where nitrogen gas was used for balancing pressure. Two stages of charpots and liquid collectors were used to differentiate the transient and steady state samples. The key areas of the reactor design included (1) a preheater, (2) a reactor, (3) a coal-feeding system, (4) char collecting pots, and (5) liquid-collectors. Designing these key elements required consideration of many factors, including selection of construction materials, thermal expansion, and maintenance, etc. Following are descriptions of how and why certain design considerations were made for each of these components and how they were connected to form the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor.

1.4.2 INNER REACTOR TUBE DESIGN

The inner tube of the hydrogasification reactor was a 16-foot long, 2-inch outside diameter (OD) by 0.125-inch thick Inconel 617 alloy tube that could be operated at extreme conditions. The tube was heated from the outside to 1600°F by ceramic electric heaters. To obtain good heat transfer from the heaters to the inner tube, the wall thickness of the tube had to be as thin as possible yet thick enough to contain the reaction pressure of 1000 to 1150 psig. Inside the tube, pulverized coal reacted with hydrogen gas. Once the hydrogasification reactions began, the exothermic reactions could boost the temperature in the tube to as high as 1950°F. This maximum temperature point varied throughout the inner tube depending upon the coal feed rate and hydrogen-to-coal ratio.

The operating conditions on the inner tube also created significant thermal expansion of the tube both radially and longitudinally. Longitudinally, at maximum temperature the tube could expand by as much as 2.5 inches in length. To address this, the inner tube was “fixed” to the reactor at the top and the bottom of the tube was allowed to “float” as the tube was being heated and cooled. The inner tube was then sealed at the bottom using expansion bellows. The bellows exerted a thrust on the inner tube that caused more stress in the Inconel 617 tube and created a potential for inner tube buckling, especially as the tube was heated up and the tensile strength dropped. To minimize the likelihood of buckling, (1) the inner tube was supported radially by a series of “spider” plates that kept the inner tube centered in the shell; and (2) a design and specifications were developed for the dimensions and tolerances of the inner tube to minimize the eccentricity and maintain the straightness of the tube.

During the design phase the inner tube was analyzed using American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (BPVC) calculations. The mechanical properties (tensile strength, Young’s modulus, and thermal expansion coefficient) for Inconel

617 were obtained from data sheets provided by Special Metals. Initially, the maximum temperature in the tube was expected to be 1600°F. Several materials were acceptable for this temperature including Inconel 625, which has an ASME BPVC maximum operating temperature of 1600°F. However, further research determined that the exothermic reaction could hit 1950°F and at this temperature Inconel 625 was not an acceptable material as its tensile strength dropped off rapidly over 1600°F, which was the maximum allowable temperature per ASME BPVC. Several other high temperature materials were evaluated including alloy 800H, Waspaloy and Inconel 617. Most of the exotic high-temperature materials that are used in jet engines were not readily available, especially in the size and shape required for the inner tube. Further, in assessing the high-temperature properties of these materials - including tensile strength, corrosion resistance, and rupture stress life at 1950°F - the Inconel 617 best met the anticipated operating conditions. Inconel 617 also had excellent resistance to both oxidation and reduction atmospheres.

Inconel 617 was not available in a 2-inch OD tube or in any pipe or tubular shape approximately this size. It was, however, available in sheets of an appropriate thickness to meet the operating pressure for the inner tube (0.125-inch thick). High Temp Metals, Los Angeles, CA had a 4-foot-wide sheet of 0.125-inch-thick Inconel 617, and this sheet could be cut into approximately 6-inch-wide, 48-inch-long strips. These strips were rolled to form a 48-inch-long by 2-inch OD tube.

Suppliers that could make the Inconel 617 sheet into this 2-inch OD tubular form and then weld the tube seam without using filler metal were sought. Several custom tube fabricators were identified but only one, Valley Metals, Poway, CA, could form the tube, seam-weld the 617 Inconel, and then draw the tubing to obtain a consistently round tube. Valley Metals also offered a “double draw” process for the tubing in which the tubing was drawn over a precision mandrel twice to obtain a tight tolerance on dimensions. A tube was produced that was even more precise than the design tolerances. The 48-inch-long pieces of 2-inch OD tube were shipped to Cryogenic Vessels, Instrumentation and Piping, Inc. (CVIP), Emmaus, PA, which was selected to make the circumferential welds to join the pieces of tube into one 16-foot-long tube. CVIP was an ASME BPVC code shop, had current procedures and qualified welders for welding 617 Inconel, and had orbital welding capabilities. The tube was welded together and each weld was x-rayed and examined by an independent ASME inspector. The finished 16-foot tube was shipped to Gaspar, Canton, OH, where the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor was fabricated. The formal ASME calculations were completed by Gaspar as well. The result of the

ASME analysis indicated that the inner tube could operate at 1950°F with a maximum 50 psi pressure differential (external pressure above internal pressure) and a safety factor consistent with ASME BPVC requirements.

The Inconel 617 tubing was visually inspected several times during the test phase. The inside of the tube showed little or no significant degradation, cracking, erosion or corrosion. Moreover, there was very little coal or tar accumulation on the inner wall. The deposits present on the inner wall were dry and powdery and were easily removed with a wire brush. Figure 1-10 shows the reactor inner tube at Gaspar.

Figure 1-10 – Reactor Inner Tube at Manufacturer

Please refer to Appendix B and Appendix C for detailed reactor design drawings from APS and Gaspar.

1.4.3 INJECTOR DESIGN

The hydrogen injector hub assembly was located at the top of the bench-scale reactor and was the delivery system for the hot hydrogen gas to the reactor. Hydrogen was generated and stored on-site at the APS facility using an existing electrohydrolysis unit. The assembly design was aided by Computational Fluid-Dynamic (CFD) modeling to select injector diameters and injection angles to optimize the mixing of the coal that was introduced from the top center of the assembly via a screw feeder. The assembly was welded to the top of the Inconel 617 inner

tube, and the inner tube was welded to the 1-inch schedule 80 stainless steel (SS) pipe that penetrates through the top center of the “top hat” assembly. The injector assembly included the hub assembly and the hydrogen injector wands. The hub assembly is shown in Figure 1-11. Bellows were manufactured by American BOA, Cumming, Georgia. The other hub assembly parts were machined by Dimension Design, Brodheadsville, Pennsylvania, and were machined from Inconel 625 forged bar. The machined parts were assembled and Tungsten Inert Gas (TIG) welded using by Gaspar, Canton, Ohio.

Figure 1-11 – Reactor Center Hub Assembly

The hub was machined so that its top end exactly matched the inside diameter (ID) and outside diameter (OD) of 1 inch schedule 80 pipe. It was leveled to a 37.5-degree angle for weld preparation. The bottom end of the hub was machined to the dimensions of the 2-inch Inconel 617 inner tube (2-inch OD and 1.75-inch ID). The weld at this end was a square butt weld intended for an autogenous (no filler metal) weld between the hub and the Inconel 617 inner tube.

There were four machined ports (3/4-16UNF-2B straight threads) in the hub. They were located 90 degrees apart and were angled at 45 degrees from the vertical. This 45-degree angle was the angle selected for the best mixing of the coal powder falling down through the hub and the hot hydrogen injected into the hub. The hub was also machined on the inside to provide a smooth flow transition from the 1-inch pipe ID to the 2-inch inner tube ID. A straight thread was chosen as the thread design rather than a taper thread to allow for further adjustment if needed at final assembly. The injector nozzle ends were trial fitted into the hub. The parts were marked to indicate the extension of the part into the hub and also the angle and curvature at the end

relative to the hub ID. Gaspar then machined the end to conform as closely as possible to the hub ID.

The center portion of the injector sub-assembly was the BOA bellows. It was designed to allow both expansion and contraction (0.10 inch) and changes in the hub and top hat dimensions as they were heated up and cooled down. The bellows was also designed to allow up to 0.060-inch lateral movement, which was the key to the injector hub design; the hub expanded downward as it was heated up and this caused the bellows to flex downward by 0.030 inch to 0.040 inch. This sub-assembly was inspected for weld integrity.

Gaspar proceeded to weld the 1-inch schedule 80 316H SS pipe to the top of the Hub. This weld was positioned into the top hat and centered within the cap. The hub position was re-checked by Gaspar quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) and upon approval was welded into the top hat cap. The four injector sub-assemblies were inserted through the ports on the top hat cap and threaded into the hub until they extended fully through the hub wall and flushed to the hub ID. The threads provided both a way to make precise adjustments to the position and extension into the hub and a first level of mechanical attachment and seal between the hub and the injector assembly. The four injector sub-assemblies were seal-welded at the point inside the hub where the injector matched up to the hub ID.

A second major sub-assembly within the top hat injector was the injector wand (Figure 1-12). The main wand was a 0.25-inch OD Inconel 625 tube. This tube was slipped into the socket of the nozzle and a fillet seal weld was made between the two parts. Washers were used at the ends of the wand. The 0.25-inch Inconel tube was wrapped with a composite wrap of 316 SS foil (0.002-inch thick) and Thermal Ceramics Superwool 1/8-inch-thick ceramic fiber paper. The alternating layers of ceramic fiber insulation and SS foil provided a radiation shield to minimize radiant heat transfer from the injector wand to the top hat cap and the ceramic fiber insulation decreases thermal conductivity between the wand and the cap. The combination was also flexible and allowed the wand to flex as the hub moved during startup and shutdown.

Reactor Injector Configuration

Figure 1-12 – Injector and Injector Wands Assembly within the Top-Hat Section

Please refer to Appendix B for detailed reactor injector and center hub design drawings from APS.

1.4.4 PREHEATER DESIGN

The preheater - a device that heated the hydrogen up to about 1600°F before it was injected into the reactor head - was an ASME pressure vessel and could be operated up to 1150 psig. It was a single thick-wall pressure vessel, which was designed, fabricated, and stamped to meet the ASME BPVC. The OD was 3 inches, the bore was 1 inch, and the length was 15 feet. Finally, the vessel was heated with a series of ceramic fiber clam-shell heaters mounted on the outside of the vessel.

To comply with the ASME BPVC, the preheater needed to be designed using a BPVC material.

Moreover, the material needed to be commercially available in the size and shape required. Inconel 625 meets the BPVC for service up to 1600°F and is also available in 3-inch-diameter solid round bar. To fabricate the preheater and meet the BPVC, the solid round bar was heat-treated and solution-annealed by Solar Atmospheres, Hermitage, and Pennsylvania. The solution-annealed bars were then sent to Betar, Hillsborough, New Jersey, and were bored out to a 1-inch ID. These machined bars were then sent to Gaspar for final welding into the preheater vessel configuration.

The preheater design went through multiple startup-shutdown iterations to assess the heat transfer from the external heaters to the hydrogen gas. The analysis of the heat transfer through the 1-inch Inconel 625 wall indicated that there was adequate thermal conductivity through the wall to heat the hydrogen to the target temperature. A more detailed analysis on heat transfer between the inner wall and the hydrogen was also completed. The analysis indicated that the heat transfer through the boundary layer (film heat transfer coefficient) was too low to achieve the target temperature of 1600°F. Based on this analysis, several different heat transfer models were applied and different conclusions were obtained. As the preheater was a key element of the hydrogasification testing process, two ways to enhance the heat transfer in the preheater were tried: (1) the preheater was lengthened by 25% from 12 feet to 15 feet; and (2) devices to enhance the heat transfer were investigated. APS identified several devices that were designed to enhance the gas-phase heat transfer by creating turbulence in the gas flow. This turbulence and higher wall velocity as the preheater was lengthened would result in higher film heat transfer coefficients and thus a higher heat transfer rate.

Several turbulence-inducing devices were located and included a “twisted ribbon” design from Fuel Efficiency, Davis Industrial Park Clyde, NY and a wire matrix “turbulator” provided by Cal Gavin, Warwickshire, England. The performance of both designs was analyzed and the internal heat transfer rates were re-calculated for both devices. The results indicated that the Cal Gavin turbulator provided the highest degree of enhancement of the heat transfer rate. Based on calculations, the Cal Gavin device was expected to increase the heat transfer by a factor of three. Therefore, two 1-inch-diameter hiTran wire matrix turbulators from Cal Gavin were acquired. The turbulator was fabricated with SS wire woven into a tubular shape. This design created a high degree of turbulence at the vessel wall without generating a large pressure drop through the bore. The Cal Gavin turbulator was easily inserted into the preheater. Testing results indicated that the preheater was able to achieve an outlet hydrogen temperature of 1550°F (Figure 1-13)

Figure 1-13 – Reactor Preheater at Manufacturer

Please refer to Appendix B and Appendix D for detailed hydrogen preheater design drawings from APS and Gaspar.

1.4.5 COAL FEEDER DESIGN

The coal feeder design was based on a screw feeder concept. Two hundred mesh New Mexico sub-bituminous pulverized coal was loaded into a hopper and delivered to the top of the reactor using an auger. The feeding system was designed to ensure that there would be no back pressure across the feeder and the feeder could provide a consistent feed rate. There were three major components of the magnetic drive and auger assembly: (1) an auger, which was designed and machined by Metalfab Inc., Vernon, New Jersey; (2) a magnetic drive, which was provided by PDC Inc., Warminster, Pennsylvania; and (3) an end cap which was machined by Dimension Design, Brodheadsville, Pennsylvania. The coal hopper was fabricated using 8-inch schedule 80 316H SS pipe and pipe fittings. This material was selected because it provided the best combination of pressure and temperature, allowing the coal feeder to operate at up to 200°F and 1200 psig. The coal hopper was designed and fabricated to meet ASME BPVC Section VIII by Gaspar, as was the final assembly of the coal feeder system (Figure 1-14).

Figure 1-14 – Coal Feeder

Please refer to Appendix B and Appendix E for detailed coal feeder design drawings from APS and Gaspar.

1.4.6 CHARPOTS DESIGN

Char is the partially reacted coal powder. During hydrogasification, some of the carbon in the coal reacts with the hydrogen to produce methane. Also, most of the moisture and liquid hydrocarbons in the coal are volatilized and removed from the coal particles. As a result the char particles tend to be fairly dry, powdery and free flowing.

There were two charpots in the reactor assembly used to capture the coal at the end of the reaction process, the upper and the lower charpot which were configured in a typical

lock-hopper arrangement. They were attached to the bottom of the reactor assembly. The attachment was through a custom bolted flange, which bolted onto the bottom of the reactor and the top of the upper charpot. Both charpots were fabricated using 8-inch schedule 80 316H SS pipe and pipe fittings. This material was selected in order to provide the best combination of pressure and temperature, allowing the charpots to operate at up to 1000°F and 1150 psig. The charpots were designed and fabricated to meet ASME BPVC Section VIII. They were fabricated by Gaspar and were individually code stamped. There was an air-actuated full-port ball valve (tag number R-AOV-1) located between the upper and lower charpots. This valve allowed the operator to open and/or close the valve remotely. The valve was utilized to isolate the upper charpot from the lower charpot, accommodating collection of to collect coal samples from transient operation in the lower char pot and from steady-state operation in the upper charpot.

The charpot's design was very similar to that of the coal feed hopper. Both charpots were sized to contain the full amount of feed coal in the event that there was no conversion of the coal. Though this condition was very unlikely, the charpots were sized to safely contain the coal in a worst-case scenario.

1.4.6.1 Lower Charpot

During startup, the reactor operates in a non-steady state condition. The coal feed rate, operating temperatures and hydrogen feed rate are continuously being adjusted to bring the reaction into a steady state. The online gas analyzers provide near real-time analysis of the product gas composition, which data is used, in part, to determine when the reactor reaches steady state. During the initial transient startup phase, the ball valve (tag number R-AOV-1) between the upper and lower charpot is open. This allows the hydrogen, coal, char, and reaction products to drop into the lower charpot. These products include a well-mixed composition of methane, partially reacted coal, coal, moisture, and heavier hydrocarbons including those that are liquid at ambient temperature. The reactor and lower charpot are designed to collect these mixed species for analysis later on. The reactor products continue to drop into the lower charpot and are collected until the reactor reaches steady state. Once steady state is reached, the operator closes the ball valve (R-AOV-1) to seal the partially reacted coal, char, and product gases into the lower charpot at about 1100 psig.

1.4.6.2 Upper Charpot

The upper charpot was configured slightly differently from the lower charpot. The upper charpot was also manufactured with 8-inch schedule 80 316H SS pipe and fittings, but the top of the

upper charpot was arranged with a tee fitting. The reactor's inner tube (2-inch-diameter Inconel 617) passed vertically downward through the run of the tee and extended about 18 inches into the upper charpot. There was a small, about 3/8-inch annular gap between the OD of the 2-inch inner tube and the ID of the tee that the inner tube passed through. This gap was sufficient to allow the product gases to exit the charpot assembly with minimal pressure drop. The gap also allowed the inner tube to expand downward into the upper charpot as it is heated up without impinging on the upper charpot tee. The reactor bottom assembly and flanges were precision machined to maintain concentricity between the inner tube and the center of the upper charpot tee.

The product gases and char drop from the hydrogasifier reactor through the inner tube into the upper charpot. As they exit the inner tube, the products go into the upper charpot, which has a much larger diameter and therefore has a much larger flow area. The upper charpot ID is about 7.6 inches versus the inner tube ID of 1.75 inch. The difference in diameters causes the product gas velocity to slow down by more than 18 times. Moreover, the product gas is forced into a reverse direction so that it will exit the upper charpot at the top tee. This combination of direction reversal and dramatically lower gas velocity allows most of the char particles to separate out of the gas flow stream and drop to the bottom of the upper charpot. The product gases exit from the upper charpot through the branch of the tee.

The product gases are at 1150 psig and can be as hot as 1000°F. They exit from the upper charpot through the 2-inch branch of the tee, which is sloped downward so that any heavy hydrocarbons that could begin to condense will drain to the lower part of the reactor. The 2-inch outlet reduces down to ½-inch OD tube 316 SS. The ½-inch OD tube has a short section of a cooling loop (8 inches in diameter and 24 inches long) that provides some initial cooling of the gas and also allows for thermal expansion and movement of the charpots as the reactor temperature increases. The ½-inch OD tube connects into the top of the cooler and condensers.

Figure 1-15 provides a picture of the two-stage charpots from the testing site. Please refer to Appendix B, Appendix F, and Appendix G for detailed charpots design drawings from APS and Gaspar.

Figure 1-15 –Two-Stage Charpots with Actuated Full Port Ball Valve on Testing Site

1.4.7 LIQUID COLLECTORS DESIGN

The product gases were cooled to near ambient temperature in two identical coolers that were arranged in series. These coolers were manufactured by Sentry Equipment, Oconomowoc, Wisconsin, and they were ASME pressure vessels constructed of 316 SS. Both were Sentry Model WSW8222. The outer shell was rated to 150 psig at 300°F. The inner helical coiled tube was ½-inch 316 SS tubing rated to 1200 psig at 650°F. The product gas passed through the ID of the ½-inch tubing. Cooling water (city water at ambient conditions) flowed around the outside of the ½-inch tubing helical coil. The product gas and water flowed in a counter-flow arrangement to maximize the heat transfer. Generally, the first cooler cooled the product gases while the second cooler generally condensed the moisture and heavy hydrocarbons. Cooling water flows through each cooler/condenser. This water is constantly circulated back to the main water tank. The condensates that were liquefied in the coolers were separated by the

condensate collector assembly (cylinder numbers CON-CYL-1 and -2). These condensate collectors (Figure 1-16) were arranged like the upper charpot and lower charpot with an actuated ball valve between them. During the startup non-steady-state period, the valve was open and the condensate dropped into the lower cylinder (CON-CYL-2). When the operator switched to the steady-state condition, the ball valve (tag number CON-AOV-1) closed and the condensate was collected in the upper cylinder (CON-CYL-1).

Figure 1-16 – Two-Stage Condensate Collection Cylinders with Actuated Full Port Ball Valve

Please refer to Appendix B for detailed liquid collector assembly design drawings from APS.

1.4.8 THERMAL EXPANSION ISSUES

The hydrogasification reactor was operated over a very wide range of temperatures. At start-up the gasifier components were at ambient temperature, 40 to 140°F in Phoenix. At the maximum operating conditions the inner tube could reach to 1950°F, and the reactor shell could reach 700°F. The preheater was designed to operate at 1600°F. As was mentioned previously, the

reactor was set up vertically and the inner tube, in which the reaction occurred, was 16 feet long. As this tube heats up to 1950°F, it grows in length by about 2.5 inches. To allow for this expansion the inner tube was fixed or anchored at the top of the reactor and the tube was allowed to expand downward. A high-temperature expansion bellows was attached to the lower end of the inner tube to allow for thermal expansion. The bottom end of the bellows was attached to the reactor shell. The bellows created the pressure boundary between the inner tube and the reactor annulus.

The expansion bellows was designed per ASME BPVC Section VIII Division 1 and Section II Division D utilizing a high-temperature Alloy 800H. It was rated to operate at 1000°F with an external pressure of 50 psid or with an internal pressure of 35 psid. Based on the worst-case operating conditions the bellows had a fatigue life of 166 full cycles.

The bellows (as shown in Figure 1-17) was designed to expand and contract through the full 2.5 inches that the inner tube expanded. To accommodate this amount of extension the bellows was pre-tensioned (stretched) by 1.25 inches from its normal or neutral position while at ambient temperature. It was then installed in the reactor at the pre-tensioned condition. The pre-tension imparted about 300 pounds of pull on the bellows, which was transferred to the inner tube. At ambient temperature the inner tube was also pre-tensioned by a 300-pound load; this did not change the length of the inner tube, but it did help to center the inner tube at the lower end of the reactor.

As the reactor temperature increased, the inner tube expanded downward and compressed the bellows. From ambient temperature to about 1000°F on the inner tube, the tube expansion relieved the pre-tension on the bellows. At about 1000°F the inner tube had expanded theoretically by approximately 1.25 inches, and at this temperature the inner tube would compress the bellows back to its neutral position where there was no tension or compression on the bellows. As the tube continued to expand downward, the bellows would further compress and transition into a compression state. Theoretically, at the maximum operating temperature the bellows would be compressed by about 1.25 inches from the neutral position. At this point the bellows would exert about 300 pounds of upward thrust (push) on the inner tube. This thrust force is important as an excess of thrust could cause column buckling of the inner tube and lead to a catastrophic failure.

The buckling condition was analyzed as part of the ASME BPVC evaluation. A worst-case scenario was used in which the inner tube was at its maximum temperature, 1950°F, and the

entire 16-foot length of the tube was unsupported. The upward thrust force of 300 pounds was well below the force required to cause buckling of the tube. The inner tube and bellows design was tested in service and met acceptance criteria for systems checkout.

Figure 1-17 – Reactor Inner Tube Bellows

While the inner tube experienced the greatest amount of thermal expansion, the reactor external shell (10-inch schedule 80 pipe) was observed to expand longitudinally by up to 1.2 inches when it heated to its maximum operating temperature of 700°F. As with the inner tube, the shell was also anchored at the top of the shell where it was bolted to the reactor frame. The shell was allowed to expand downward, and this longitudinal expansion of the shell was relatively straightforward. The lower reactor shell was attached to a set of rigid guide plates on the reactor frame that restrained the shell from moving laterally while allowing the shell to expand vertically without restraint. The lateral support was required to constrain the reactor shell from moving due to wind loads or seismic events.

The preheater (Inconel 625) operated at 1600°F under normal conditions and was 15 feet long. As with the shell, the preheater was also anchored to the reactor frame at the top of the pre-heater. As it heated up, it was observed to expand downward by nearly 2 inches. The preheater also had guide plates on the lower portion to constrain the vessel from lateral movement.

A second dimension of thermal expansion was radial expansion. For the preheater and reactor

shell, this was a minor design consideration, but for the inner tube it was an important factor. At the time of start-up, the reactor was at ambient temperature. There were support guide plates or “spider” plates attached to the inner tube to keep it centered within the outer shell. There was a small air gap between the spider plates and the inside of the shell. As the reactor was heated, the inner tube and spider plates temperature increased faster than the outer shell. As a result the spider plates expanded radially toward the shell while the shell ID remained relatively constant. The result of this was the clearance between the spider edges and the shell ID diminished. Because of this, the spider plate shape and dimensions were engineered to provide both support and guidance for the inner tube, while preventing impingement of the spider on the shell ID.

The last area of concern for thermal expansion was the area between the top of the reactor and the coal feeder. This distance was about 30 inches, and this segment consisted of several flanges, a ball valve, and 1-inch 316 SS pipe. It was not clear during the design phase how hot this segment might become, so the thermal expansion analysis was based on a very conservative temperature of 1000°F throughout the entire segment. The maximum expected expansion by calculation was 0.19 inch. The reactor top was anchored to the frame and the coal feeder sat above the reactor. With this arrangement the 1-inch pipe segment expanded upward as does the coal feeder. This arrangement minimized the stress and buckling forces on the pipe. To allow the feeder to “float” relative to the top of the reactor, it was mounted on four-spring loaded pipe supports. These spring cans were pre-loaded (compressed) by the weight of the feeder and coal hopper and adjusted so that there was a neutral force on the flange sets in the segment. At ambient temperature the feeder was leveled and the springs were in mild compression. As the pipe segment heated and expanded upward it pushed the feeder system upward, thereby relieving some of the initial spring compression.

During the test phase it was observed that the connection between the feeder and reactor remained relatively cool, and the expansion was much less than the calculated 0.19 inch. The spring-loaded feeder support did work as expected, as it allowed the feeder to move as the reactor heated, preventing the feeder from tilting and keeping bending moments off the flange sets.

1.4.9 DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

During the design phase of developing the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor, the advantages and disadvantages of designing the reactor for increased design flexibility were evaluated. By implementing more flexibility into the design, certain design configurations could be reconfigured to allow for varying the range of testing conditions or perhaps use of reactants other than hydrogen and coal. Adding the extra flexibility also facilitates ease of repair, modification or upgrading of certain components as necessary.

The greater flexibility in the reactor design requires using bolted and flanged configurations where possible. At the maximum operating conditions (1200 psig, 1,600°F), bolted and flanged assemblies are operated at the extreme edge of the allowable operating conditions. This type of assembly was also more prone to leaking than an all-welded construction. The alternative to using bolted and flanged assemblies is to weld all joints and points of connections. Welded joints typically are stronger and have essentially zero leakage. However, if a repair or upgrade on the reactor is required, removing the weld, doing the repair, and then re-welding the joint will be more costly than the same repair with a bolted assembly. Moreover, if the welding is done on an ASME pressure vessel, the repair must be analyzed and approved by an ASME code engineer and additionally the repair could only be completed by a contractor with an ASME “R” stamp. The vessel will also have to be re-tested per the ASME code.

Key areas of the reactor design were assessed to identify the components that were bolted and/or flanged and those that were all welded. These areas included:

- Top hat body
- Hydrogen injector guides (4)
- Hydrogen injection wands (4)
- Connection of top hat to reactor shell
- Attachment of lower bellows to inner tube
- Charpot connection to lower reactor shell
- Hydrogen inlet piping
- Product outlet piping
- Preheater

It was deemed that several of these areas did not require a high degree of flexibility in the design and that the lower likelihood of a gas leak from a welded design was preferred. Consequently, the top hat body, hydrogen injector guides, preheater, hydrogen inlet piping, and the product outlet piping were all-welded configurations. The remaining key areas - hydrogen injection wands, connection of top hat to reactor shell, attachment of lower bellows to inner tube, charpot connection to lower reactor shell - were designed as bolted or flanged assemblies. High-temperature gaskets such as Flexitallic spiral-wound Inconel gaskets were used to seal the assemblies. They are detailed as follows.

1.4.9.1 Injector Wands

As described in the reactor injector section, the injector wands were designed with a tip nozzle welded onto the ¼-inch OD Inconel tubing. This tip nozzle could easily be removed and replaced with a nozzle having a different orifice diameter or with a varying-angle nozzle that ranges from 0 to 50 degree relative to the injector longitudinal axis. This flexibility could be used to vary the hot hydrogen injection angle and velocity into the top of the inner tube where the hot hydrogen and coal were first mixed (Figure 1-12).

1.4.9.2 Top Hat to Reactor Top

The top hat to reactor top connection point was designed as a custom bolted flange. The gasket seal design was a flat-to-groove configuration (Figure 1-18) with the groove on the reactor top flange and the flat on the top hat flange. This arrangement was chosen as it provided a superior seal and the gasket could be set into the groove for easy flange make-up. The gasket was an Inconel spiral-wound gasket with Thermiculite[®] filler and was rated to 2300°F and to over 1500 psig. The gasket was completely restrained within the groove when it was compressed per ASME and Flexitallic standards were bubble tight. This flanged arrangement allowed for the removal of the entire inner tube assembly (tube, heaters, thermocouples, and wiring) as one piece to inspect or repair the assembly.

Figure 1-18 – Flat to Groove Flange Connection Between Top Hat to Reactor Top

1.4.9.3 Lower Bellows to Inner Tube

The connection of the lower bellows and inner tube consisted of a custom flange plate - welded to the inner tube at the lower end. The lower bellows (flanged at both ends) bolted onto this plate and was sealed with a Flexitallic Thermiculite gasket. This seal was exposed to as much as 1200 psig, but the pressure difference across the gasket was low, ranging from 2 to 50 psid. The inner tube ran longitudinally through the center of the lower bellows. The bottom of the bellows was bolted to a removable adapter flange on the bottom of the reactor shell. Again, a Flexitallic Thermiculite gasket was used to seal this flange assembly. With this arrangement, the lower bellows were accessible from the bottom of the reactor and could be replaced in the event of a bellows failure without de-telescoping the entire inner tube assembly. This flange design appeared to work with a minimum amount of leakage through the gasket. The leakage could be reduced to zero by welding the bellows assembly to the inner tube and to the adapter flange. However, the latter weld would have been an extremely difficult task due to the location, and a welded design would require fully de-telescoping the inner tube assembly to replace the bellows.

1.4.9.4 Charpot Connection to Lower Reactor Shell

The upper charpot connected with lower reactor shell with flanges. This made the charpot assembly relatively independent and easy to disassemble from the reactor main body in case of any unexpected clogging or reactor repair. The connection between the two charpots and the full-port actuated ball valve were also designed with flanges to facilitate any required repairs. The lower charpot was configured with flanged ends. The design anticipated that these charpots would collect char and possibly tar and would require periodic cleaning out. A flanged arrangement with replaceable gaskets was the most cost-effective way to provide easy disas-

sembly for cleaning. Please refer to Appendix B detailed gasket design drawings from APS.

1.4.10 DESIGN DRAWING PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE LIMITS

Table 1-3 summarizes the design pressures and temperatures as well as the maximum allowable working pressures (MAWP) at the Design Temperature delineated in the table below for the various hydrogasification reactor components.

Table 1-3 – Design Criteria for Reactor Components

Component	Design Temperature (°F)	Design Pressure (psig)	MAWP (psig @ design temp)
Coal Feeder and Hopper	200	1200	1700
Reactor Top Hat	1000	1200	1596
Reactor Top Flange	700	1200	1255
Reactor Bottom Flange	700	1200	1255
Pressure Shell Body	700	1200	1382
Reactor Adapter Flange	1000	1200	3319
Inner Tube “Reactor” - 617 Inconel	1950	50 psid	50 psid
Reactor Bellows	1200	35 psid	35 psid
Injector Bellows	1600	48 psid	48 psid
Preheater	1600	1150	1261
Upper Charpot	1000	1150	1169
Lower Charpot	1000	1150	1502

1.5 HYDROGASIFIER TEST PLAN

The experimental test plan designed to evaluate the effects of temperature, coal residence time and hydrogen-to-coal (lb/lb) ratio on the quantity and quality of the product streams is presented in Table 1-4. The design of experiments utilized a three-factor central composite design. It was developed to maximize the amount of analysis that could be done in a budget-limited number of runs. Additionally, the design was blocked (i.e. some randomness in experiments was sacrificed) in the event anything unforeseen should happen, such as a critical reactor failure, so meaningful analysis could still be completed. Runs that contributed to linear analysis of the response surfaces were grouped into the first block, and runs that would affect the curvature of the response in the second. All of the runs were randomized in the respective blocks to minimize any systematic error that might arise.

The experimental factors were chosen because previous experience as presented in literature indicated that these factors, along with being repeatable, would have a significant impact on the results. It is well known that high pressure and moderate temperatures lead to higher methane production in the produced syngas. There, the pressure was held constant at 1000 psig. The three factors were reactor temperature, hydrogen-to-coal ratio (lb/lb), and the reactor length (i.e. coal residence time). It is intuitive why the first two factors were chosen, but perhaps why reactor length was chosen is not. The reactor had six heated zones. By controlling the heater power output, the reaction zone length could be controlled and used as a coded variable for residence time. The reactor length was defined as the length from the top of the reactor (this is where the coal and hydrogen were fed) to a point where the reactor temperature was insufficient to maintain the hydrogasification reactions (1300°F). There were three levels of reactor length that were attempted to be precisely controlled for every run.

Table 1-4 – Hydrogasification Experimental Plan

Run No.	H ₂ :Coal Ratio (lb/lb)	Reactor Temperature (°F)	Reactor Length (inches)	Block
1	0.5	1500	105	1
2	0.4	1625	77	1
3	0.5	1750	105	1
4	0.3	1750	105	1
5	0.4	1625	77	1
6	0.5	1750	49	1
7	0.3	1750	49	1
8	0.3	1500	105	1
9	0.3	1500	49	1
10	0.5	1500	49	1
11	0.4	1500	77	2
12	0.4	1625	77	2
13	0.4	1625	105	2
14	0.4	1625	49	2
15	0.5	1625	77	2
16	0.3	1625	77	2
17	0.4	1750	77	2
18	0.4	1625	77	2

Temperature and hydrogen-coal ratio are factors that are more identifiable with hydrogasification experimentation. The temperature setpoint was considered to be reached after there was a relatively flat temperature profile across the reactor length. Hydrogen-to-coal

ratio had a single target coal feed rate (8 lb/hr) and the hydrogen-coal ratio was therefore set by varying the hydrogen flow rate. The coal feed rate was set to a single value to ensure:

- Approximately 2 hours of testing time with the designed coal hopper volume;
- The hot hydrogen could provide enough heat to raise the gas-solid mixing temperature to initiate the hydrogasification reactions;
- The error introduced by coal feeding system was minimized. It was determined that the precise hydrogen feed rate was much easier to achieve than coal feed rate.

1.6 GAS, LIQUID, AND SOLID ANALYSIS

1.6.1 GAS ANALYSIS

A SRI 8610C Gas chromatography (GC) was used to determine product gas composition. It had a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionization detector (FID). It was also equipped with a methanizer for low-level CO and CO₂ detection. Ultra-high-purity helium was the carrier gas, and research grade hydrogen and ultra-zero air was used for the FID flame. The GC had dual columns: Molecular Sieve 13X column for the separation of H₂, O₂, N₂, CH₄, CO and CO₂ and Hayesep-D column for all compounds in the C₁ – C₆ range.

There were two temperature programs used during testing: one with a temperature ramp and one without. The reason why this two-temperature program method was chosen is that a higher temperature aids in the detection of larger molecules (i.e., C₂H₄ and C₂H₆). Therefore to make data collection more efficient, the high-temperature ramp program was not initiated until it was determined that the hydrogasification process was at a steady state. The GC was started up as recommended by the manufacturer.

For calibration of the GC, two gas standards were purchased, and the GC was calibrated on every testing date. The components of the calibration gas, with molar concentrations, are given in Table 1-5.

Table 1-5 – Calibration Gas Components and Concentrations

Component	Calibration Gas 1, %	Calibration Gas 2, %
Acetylene	1.01	0.00
Carbon Dioxide	3.04	2.01
Carbon Monoxide	5.08	1.99
Ethane	0.997	0.00
Ethylene	1.03	0.00
Methane	22.5	10.0
Nitrogen	9.89	5.04
Hydrogen	56.5	81.0

The concentration of a component in the product gas stream was determined by the area under the intensity curve from the GC. For hydrogen, the concentration was taken from the TCD, which measures the difference between the thermal conductivity of a component and a reference gas (helium in this instance). When measuring the concentration of hydrogen, there is more potential for error because: (1) the thermal conductivity of hydrogen is higher than that of helium, so the intensity curve must be inverted; and (2) the slope of the concentration versus peak area regression model is steep. For these reasons, along with the two calibration gases listed above (Table 1-5), ultra-high-purity hydrogen was used as a calibration gas.

Another piece of analytical equipment used to analyze the product gas stream was a mass spectrometer (MS). The MS can measure component generation in real time, as opposed to the GC, which required periodic injections. The MS was particularly important because it could measure sulfurous components in the product gas stream. A QMS 200 model from Stanford Research Systems was used. This device had the capability to measure components with mass up to 200 atomic mass units (amu). For all tests, the MS was run in pressure-versus-time mode with a new scan triggered every two seconds. A channel electron multiplier was used for sulfurous components analysis to provide higher resolution during the scan.

The two sulfurous components that were being screened were hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) and carbonyl sulfide (COS). To calibrate the instrument for sulfur, a gas mixture of 514 parts per million (ppm) COS, 4,970 ppm H_2S , and the balance H_2 was used.

1.6.2 LIQUID CONDENSATE ANALYSIS

To analyze the liquid samples, the oil and BTX samples were first put into a separatory funnel to

separate the oil layer from the water layer. The upper and lower layer oil samples were then dissolved into hexane and transferred into GC vials. The samples were analyzed on an HP 7890A GC equipped with an HP7673B injector, an HP-INNOWAX column, and a 5975C MS. Approximately 0.2 μ L of the sample was injected into the split injection mode with a split ratio of 75/1. The oven temperature was programmed from 50 to 200°C (122 to 392°F) with 25°C/min (77°F/min), then to 250°C (482°F) with 5°C/min (41°F/min), and the temperature was held at 250°C (482°F) for 8 min. The components in the oil samples were identified and quantitatively determined by MS.

1.6.3 COAL AND CHAR ANALYSIS

The final aspect of the analysis of the hydrogasification tests was that of the coal and char. All samples of coal and the upper pot char from each test were sent to SGS Mineral Services at Denver, Colorado, for proximate and ultimate analysis. The coal particle size, heating value analyses, and metal element analyses were also obtained for the designated samples. The details relating to the gas, liquid, and solid analysis can be found in Section 3.2 of the IES Final Technical Closeout Report.

1.7 COAL FEEDER CALIBRATION

After the design, manufacture and assembly the coal feeding system (as depicted in Appendix E), coal feeder testing and calibration were performed prior to the hydrogasification test. The main scope of coal feeder testing and calibration included the following: (1) evaluation of the coal sample preparation method, (2) observation of the stability and uniformity of the coal feed, (3) calibration of the coal feeder at ambient conditions (14.11 psia in Phoenix, Arizona), and (4) calibration of the coal feeder at 1000 psig.

1.7.1 COAL SAMPLES

The Navajo Mine subbituminous coal was used for coal feeder calibration and the hydrogasification test. Two coal samples were evaluated. Sample No. 1 was obtained through a local grinding company, Alex & Alex, at Tucson, Arizona, by grinding Navajo coal directly from the coal mine. Sample No. 2 was the coal sample acquired directly from the APS Four Corners Power Plant pulverizer.

Figure 1-19 and Figure 1-20 show the comparison of these two coal samples. Alex & Alex No. 1 sample was required to have a size of 100% pass through 200 mesh. Navajo No. 2 had about

50% pass through 200 mesh. Finer coal particles give less bulk density. As shown in Table 1-6, the Alex & Alex No. 1 coal had a bulk density of 0.47 gram/milliliter (g/mL) (29.34 pound/cubic-foot (lb/ft³)); lower than Navajo No. 2 of 0.59 g/mL 36.83 lb/ft³).

Figure 1-19 – Comparison of Coal Particle Size Distributions (wt% Retained on Screen)

Figure 1-20 – Comparison of Coal Sieve Analysis (Cumulative wt% Retained)

To increase coal fluidity and minimize agglomeration during hydrogasification testing, fumed silica was mixed with the coal. This addition significantly improved coal fluidity; however, it also significantly reduced the coal density. An addition of 10 weight % fumed silica caused about a 50% reduction of the bulk density. Table 1-6 compares the bulk density of pure coal with that of coal mixed with silica for the two coal samples.

Table 1-6 – Comparison of the Two Coal Samples

Coal Samples	Alex & Alex No. 1	Navajo No. 2
Bulk Density w/o Silica	0.47 g/mL	0.59 g/mL
Silica Content (by weight)	10 %	5 %
Bulk Density w/ Silica	0.23 g/mL	0.39 g/mL

Bulk density is the key factor to determine which coal samples should be used for the hydrogasification testing. The bulk density of the coal samples should be able to meet three criteria:

- Allow the coal feeder to feed variable amounts of coal feedstock accurately up to 15 lb/hr;

- Allow the coal hopper to hold at least 1.5 hours of feedstock supply;
- Produce a small coal particle and narrow particle size distribution. High bulk density correlates to bigger particle sizes, and it is difficult to achieve high carbon conversions with large particle sizes due to the inherent diffusion/mass transfer issues encountered with the large particle size.

With the current coal hopper, a bulk density of coal-silica feedstock of approximately 0.45 g/mL (28.1 lb/ft³) was required to satisfy criterion No. 2. With a 0.23-g/mL (14.36 lb/ft³) bulk density, Alex & Alex No. 1 coal-silica mixture was too fine for the project. Channeling was often observed during the coal feeder test using this coal feedstock sample, which was caused by high moisture content of the sample introduced during the grinding process. To minimize the extra exercise of the coal sample preparation, Navajo No. 2 obtained directly from the mine was used for all the project hydrogasification testing.

1.7.2 COAL FEEDER CALIBRATION SETUP

Coal feeder tests were carried out under ambient conditions and high-pressure conditions. The ambient conditions test setup is shown in Figure 1-21.

Figure 1-21 – Coal Feeding Testing Arrangement under Ambient Conditions

During the ambient test, the magnetic drive was fed with nitrogen through valve V-1 to create the pressure balance of magnetic drive for sealing purpose. The carrier gas was fed through V-4. The high-pressure test setup is shown in Figure 1-22. The test was performed under 1000 psig of pressure. Several pressure points - coal hopper, magnetic drive housing, auger housing, and charpot - had to have the pressure equalized. Any unnecessary pressure drop between the coal feeder and charpot could cause coal to flow in an uncontrollable manner. During the hydrogasification testing, V-1, V-2, and V-3 lines had hydrogen flow, and they were automatically controlled.

During high-pressure testing, the feed hopper and charpot were pressurized by flowing gas through line V-4 to 1000 psig. The equilibrium line (V-2 line) was kept closed during the pressurizing so that gas would enter the coal feeder from the bottom and was kept open during testing to ensure the same pressure between the coal feeder and charpot. The influence of the small pressure difference between coal feeder and charpot (which was being utilized as a collection vessel for the unreacted feed material) on the coal feed rate was observed but was hard to quantify. For high-pressure testing at 1000psig, 17 lbs of coal feed stock was loaded.

Figure 1-22 – Higher-Pressure Test Setup

1.7.3 COAL FEEDER CALIBRATION WITH ORIGINAL AUGER

Figure 1-23 shows coal feeder calibration results and reproducibility using the Navajo No. 2 coal sample mixed with 5 wt% silica. At the time of the calibration, this mixed coal sample had a bulk density of 0.39 g/mL. The results in Figure 1-23 show that at 100 revolutions per minute (rpm), the recommended high end of Magdrive rotation speed, only 2.5 lb/hr of the coal feed rate was achieved under ambient conditions and less than 4 lb/hr was achieved under high-pressure conditions. This result indicated that the screw auger of the coal feeder as originally designed was significantly under designed. The need to add fumed silica to obtain flow resulted in a much-reduced bulk density for the coal-silica feed, thereby reducing flow rate.

Figure 1-23 – Coal Feeder Calibration Using Original Auger Design

1.7.3.1 Coal Feeder Auger Re-Design

Figure 1-24 shows the original auger on the left, and the auger end-cap and magnetic drive assembly on the right.

Figure 1-24 –Left Photo shows Auger and Right Photo Shows Auger End Cap and Magnetic Drive Assembly

As shown in Figure 1-25, the auger had a unique design. It had a double flight with a denser pitch on the receiving side and a center shaft tapered from 0.5-inch OD to 0.25-inch OD from the receiving port to the delivery port. This configuration was designed to ensure stable and reproducible coal feeding. Unfortunately, with this special design, the auger was not able to deliver pure coal. When using pure coal, rat holing was observed in the coal hopper. Since the addition of silica reduced the bulk density of the solid sample, the auger could not provide the designed 15 lb/hr feed rate. The unique design of the existing auger (varied pitch distance and tapered center shaft) did not seem to be necessary, since the addition of silica gave the coal much greater fluidity.

Figure 1-25 – Original Auger Design (Left Hand)

There were two strategies to improve the existing auger. The quick-fix was to modify the existing auger by machining off one flight, which would provide more volume in the receiving port, as shown in Figure 1-26. With this approach, a higher feed rate could be achieved. The other solution was to machine a new auger, which meant a completely new design using the new bulk density which was ultimately pursued.

Figure 1-26 – Proposed Modification of Existing Auger

An additional issue came from the flight orientation of the auger. It had a left-hand thread which resulted in the direction of the rotation to deliver coal is counterclockwise when viewed from the discharge end of the auger. This is the same direction to unscrew the auger when driven by the magnetic drive. Inadvertent unscrewing of the auger would occur if more than 70 inch-pounds (in-lb) of torque were applied to the auger accidentally. If the auger unscrewed, it would begin to get longer (as it unscrews from the magnetic drive), which would eventually lead to binding in the bore. Two approaches could be pursued to fix this problem: (1) change the auger to a right-hand thread so that the coal is delivered to the reactor tube when rotating clock-wise or (2) use a mid-strength thread-locking compound to ensure that the auger would not come loose at less than 70 in-lb of torque.

With all these concerns, a new auger was designed for the coal feeder. The new auger was machined by Dimension Design with a larger pitch volume to increase the coal feed rate.

Figure 1-27 – Comparison of New and Old Augers (Front: New Auger; Back: Old Auger)

As shown in Figure 1-27, the new auger had a double flight design and the center shaft was not tapered. Figure 1-27 shows the new and old augers. At the receiving zone, the shaft had a 0.75-inch OD and 0.375-inch ID. The flight width was 0.125 inch and the pitch distance was 1 inch. Compared with the previous auger, the new auger provided a much higher feed rate and eliminated the other issues.

1.7.4 COAL FEEDER CALIBRATION WITH NEW AUGER

Figure 1-28 presents coal feeder calibration under ambient and high-pressure conditions using the new auger. In Figure 1-28, three dark blue points delineate test results from high-pressure testing, which are also shown in Table 1-7. As can be seen from the figure, the points correlate well to the points that were measured at ambient pressure. As expected, the performance of the new auger was not influenced by the actual testing pressure. The coal feed rate was considerably lower than the theoretically calculated value based on the auger pitch volume (3.5 lb/hr versus 10.5 lb/hr at 50 rpm, as shown in Figure 1-29), probably because each flight was not likely to be filled over each rotation or the coal sample was not fully delivered over each rotation. The void/dead volume reduced the actual available volume for delivery.

Figure 1-28 – Coal Feeder Calibration Using New Auger

Table 1-7 – Coal Feeder Calibration at High Pressure with New Auger

Item and Rating	Measurement		
Mag drive rpm	100	125	150
Operation (min)	60	30	30
Feed Coal (lb)	7.02	5.05	5.75
Feed Rate (lb/h)	7.02	10.1	11.5

Figure 1-29 – Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental Coal Delivery Rate

Further coal feeder calibration was performed after the coal feeder was assembled with the reactor, allowing for coal feeder testing under operating conditions with carrier gas. As shown in Figure 1-30, the carrier gas flow significantly improved coal feed rate (7 lb/hr versus 3.5 lb/hr at 50 rpm). It was observed that the transient small pressure differential between coal hopper and reactor would significantly affect coal feed rate. As stated in the Section 1.10.3, the pressure equilibrium line between coal hopper and reactor had to change from ¼ inch size to ½ inch size,

filters had to be removed to achieve true dynamic equilibrium between coal hopper and reactor. The reproducibility of the coal feeding was monitored throughout the hydrogasification testing, which was further supported under DOE project DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System with the Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its Technical Final Closeout Report for additional information on this feeder performance.

Figure 1-30 – Comparison of Coal Feed Rate under Ambient Conditions and High Pressure with Carrier Gas Conditions

1.8 KINETICS ONE-DIMENSIONAL SIMULATION

There are a finite number of experimental runs that may be carried out in the hydrogasification reactor. With this in mind, it was deemed important to develop and/or use a model that could evaluate test conditions that are not part of a limited experimental plan. A one-dimensional (1-D) model of the coal hydrogasification reactions, developed by Miura in the early 1990s as part of the Advanced Rapid Coal Hydrogasification (ARCH) project⁴, was used as a basis in this project.

The implementation of this model was extended over several phases. First, it was necessary to understand the proposed reaction schemes and the models for the various reactions. Next, the model was coded with MATrix LABoratory (MATLAB) and the results were compared with experimental data collected during the APS BSRx testing program. Finally, the model was evaluated with a statistical design to ensure that the predicted trends agreed with what was observed in the literature. After the completion of these steps, a form of the ARCH model was used in evaluating results from the BSRx experimental plan. Details of the 1-D modeling effort, which was based on prior hydrogasification work for the sake of efficiency, is presented in the subsections below:

1.8.1 ARCH KINETICS

1.8.1.1 Coal Components Reactions

The reaction scheme for the coal gasification process was assumed to be extremely elementary. The authors predicted that the initial reactions that occurred produced a series of six components that gasify from the coal particle: (1) CO, (2) CO₂, (3) H₂O, (4) CH₄, (5) Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene, (BTX) C₆H₆, C₇H₈, and C₈H₁₀, respectively, and (6) oil (C₁₀H₈). All of the components were measured with regard to fraction of the component in the coal particle (f_j = mass of component j / mass of coal) with f_j^* representing the maximum fractional amount of a component that can be gasified from the coal particle. The rate of release of these components was modeled as follows:

⁴ Y. Asaoka, T. Azuma, H. Gray, F. Noguchi, and H. Maruyama, *Development of Coal Hydrogasification Technology 1. Hydrogasification and Simulation in the ARCH Gasifier*, in 16th Annual International Pittsburgh Coal Conference, 1999.

⁵ Y. Asaoka, T. Azuma, H. Gray, F. Noguchi, and H. Maruyama, *Development of Coal Hydrogasification Technology 1. Hydrogasification and Fluid Dynamics Behavior in the ARCH Gasifier*, in 15th Annual International Pittsburgh Coal Conference, 1998.

$$u_s \frac{d(f_j)_p}{dz} = k_j \{f_j^* - (f_j)_p\} \quad (1)$$

In Equation (1), the subscript p represents gas products from the coal particle.

1.8.1.1.1.1 Rapid Carbon

The seventh component in the ARCH simulation is referred to as “rapid carbon (RC)”. Rapid carbon is an abstract component to understand. The previously referenced studies in Japan combined the solid product from the gasification of coal in an inert atmosphere with hydrogen. Depending on the temperature and partial pressure of hydrogen in the system, CH₄, H₂O, and BTX would begin forming at different rates. In order to model this phenomenon, RC was the name given to the carbon matter of coal that would only react in the presence of hydrogen. Although (f_{RC})_p was modeled by Equation (1), it was actually a more complex function, because f_{RC}^{*} was not modeled as a constant value. Rapid carbon became activated as a function of the partial pressure of the eighth component, H₂, and temperature of the particle:

$$f_{RC}^* = 5.004 p_{H_2}^{0.337} \exp(-5245/T_s) \quad (2)$$

The RC function was difficult to model because once RC was activated, it could not become inactivated; f^{*} could not decrease, so a condition had to be set that indicated if the new value was less than the old value, then the old value was used. Essentially this eliminated certain methods that could be used to evaluate the differential equations, such as a stiff method. Finally, there was a maximum value for f_{RC}^{*} which was taken to be 0.15.

1.8.1.1.1.2 RC Reactions

The reaction of RC with H₂ proceeded in two parallel pathways:

In the ARCH model, selectivity of the reaction pathways is calculated with a value alpha, with alpha being a function of the partial pressure of H₂:

$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{9.26}{(p_{H_2} + 8.33)} \quad (6)$$

Another consideration with the RC reactions was that they take place on/in the coal particle, so the reaction rate parameter was calculated with the solid temperature. Additionally, the only time RC becomes gasified was after a reaction with H₂. Therefore, when doing a mass balance on the coal particle it was important to understand that the activated RC from Equation (2) is still part of the particle.

1.8.1.1.1.3 Molecular Formula of RC

An approach using the ultimate analysis of the coal was used to calculate the coefficients of RC. First it was assumed that the coal consisted of only carbon (C), hydrogen (H), and oxygen (O). Secondly, RC was assumed to have the same molecular formula as char. With that, the molecular formula of char was calculated assuming all of the f^* values of the first six components (CO, CO₂, H₂O, CH₄, BTX, oil) completely gasified out of the coal.

To calculate the coefficients of RC, the coefficients and molecular weight (MW) of coal (CH_{Mcoal}O_{Ncoal}) were calculated first:

$$M_{\text{coal}} = \left(\text{WFracH} / \text{MW}_H \right) \left(\text{WFracC} / \text{MW}_C \right) \quad (7)$$

$$N_{\text{coal}} = \left(\text{WFracO} / \text{MW}_O \right) \left(\text{WFracC} / \text{MW}_C \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{MW}_{\text{Coal}} = \text{MW}_C + M_{\text{Coal}} * \text{MW}_H + N_{\text{Coal}} * \text{MW}_O \quad (9)$$

Again, the weight fractions (WFrac(component)) are from the ultimate analysis of the coal.

Using the coefficients and MW of coal, mole balances on C, H, and O may be done assuming all of the f^* components gasified to calculate the coefficients and MW of char, and hence RC:

$$A_{\text{Char}} = 1 - \left(\frac{f_{\text{CO}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CO}}} + \frac{f_{\text{CO}_2}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CO}_2}} + \frac{f_{\text{CH}_4}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CH}_4}} + 6 \frac{f_{\text{BTX}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{BTX}}} + 10 \frac{f_{\text{Oil}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{Oil}}} \right) * \text{MW}_{\text{Coal}} \quad (10)$$

$$M_{\text{Char}} = \left(M_{\text{Coal}} - \left(2 \frac{f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} + 4 \frac{f_{\text{CH}_4}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CH}_4}} + 6 \frac{f_{\text{BTX}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{BTX}}} + 8 \frac{f_{\text{Oil}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{Oil}}} \right) * \text{MW}_{\text{Coal}} \right) / A_{\text{Char}} \quad (11)$$

$$N_{\text{Char}} = \left(N_{\text{Coal}} - \left(\frac{f_{\text{CO}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CO}}} + 2 \frac{f_{\text{CO}_2}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{CO}_2}} + \frac{f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^*}{\text{MW}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} \right) * \text{MW}_{\text{Coal}} \right) / A_{\text{Char}} \quad (12)$$

$$MW_{Char} = MW_C + M_{Char} * MW_H + N_{Char} * MW_O \quad (13)$$

A_{Char} was calculated to normalize the coefficients for a coefficient of 1 for carbon.

1.8.1.1.1.4 Oil Reaction

Like RC, the gas phase hydrocracking reaction of oil with H_2 happened in two parallel reactions:

The selectivity of the reactions to C_6H_6 was determined with a parameter β . The β parameter was a function of the partial pressure of H_2 :

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{4.885}{p_{H_2}^{0.64}} \quad (16)$$

1.8.1.1.1.5 BTX Reaction

The reaction of BTX, assumed to be pure benzene for simplification, was a single reaction, with CH_4 as the only product:

1.8.1.1.1.6 Water – Gas Shift

The water-gas shift is an equilibrium reaction involving water and CO reacting to produce hydrogen and CO_2 .

In the original ARCH kinetics the equilibrium constant was used to effectively supply the rate of reaction:

$$K_{eq} = \frac{[CO_2][H_2]}{[CO][H_2O]} \quad (19)$$

An empirical function for the equilibrium constant of the water-gas shift was used:

$$K_{eq} = 0.265 * \exp(3958/T) \quad (20)$$

To calculate the rate of change in the original ARCH kinetics model, Equation (19) was set equal to Equation (20). Because the reaction was equimolar, the amount of moles that the reaction changed was calculated using the quadratic formula as follows:

$$0.265 * \exp(3958/T) = \frac{([CO_2] + x)([H_2] + x)}{([CO] - x)([H_2O] - x)} \quad (21)$$

Equation (21) was expanded on x, set equal to zero, and solved for x:

$$x = \frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} \quad (22)$$

Where

$$A = 1 - K_{eq} \quad (23)$$

$$B = K_{eq} * ([CO] + [H_2O]) + [CO_2] + [H_2] \quad (24)$$

$$C = -K_{eq} * [CO] * [H_2O] + [CO_2] + [H_2] \quad (25)$$

This was done after every step of the solver method with the values of the components subsequently either increased or decreased by the value x. Additionally, the rate of the water-gas shift was calculated by dividing x by the model step size:

$$R_{shift} = \frac{x}{dz} \quad (26)$$

This rate was then used in the next integrating step.

The major assumption with this calculation was that the water-gas shift was instantaneously going to equilibrium; hence, an equation for thermodynamic equilibrium was used. The only problem with this assumption was how it applied to the energy balance if the value of x ever became too large. An instantaneous assumption could cause R_{shift} to go to infinity. This in turn would cause the temperature of the gas to either go to infinity or negative infinity, depending on the simulation step size and the direction of the reaction. Figure 1-31 shows the model completed with the original step size, along with smaller step sizes of 5×10^{-6} and 5×10^{-7} . As may be seen in this figure, the large step size allows the simulation to get past instability at a z distance longer than 40 inches. Similarly, it gives impractical solutions, as the total amount of predicted carbon to react was larger than the total amount of carbon that was allowed to react.

(The highest carbon conversion from this simulation could be calculated from f^* values and was equal to approximately 54.75%.) Note that in Figure 1-31 as the step size became smaller the gas temperature went to zero more quickly.

Figure 1-31 – Step Size Effect on Model Gas Temperature

To overcome problems that were encountered by the instantaneous equilibrium assumption, R_{shift} was recast in terms of kinetic rates. Rate laws that were used along with the equilibrium constant; from Bustamante, et. al.⁵

$$R_{\text{shift}} = k_f \left([\text{CO}]^{1/2} [\text{H}_2\text{O}] - \frac{[\text{H}_2]^{1/2} [\text{CO}_2]}{\text{Keq}} \right) \quad (27)$$

1.8.1.1.1.7 Energy Balance

The energy balance is a two-phase balance between the solid coal and gas phase. The solid and gas phase balances are as follows:

⁵ F. Bustamante, R.M. Enick et al., "High-temperature kinetics of homogeneous reverse water-gas shift reaction," AIChE Journal **50**(5): 1028-1041 (2004).

$$[(f_{\text{Coal}})_p + (f_{\text{Char}})_p]c_{ps} \frac{dT_s}{dz} = h_c a_p S(T_g - T_s)/G_{S0} + \Sigma\{-\Delta h_j(T_s)r_{p,j}\}/u_s + \frac{\{(-r_{\text{RC1,m}})(-\Delta H_{74}) + (-r_{\text{RC2,m}})(-\Delta H_{75})\}}{u_s M_{\text{RC}}} \quad (28)$$

$$\Sigma(f_j C_{pj}) \frac{dT_g}{dz} = h_c a_p S(T_s - T_g)/G_{S0} + \Sigma \bar{C}_{pj}(T_s - T_g) \frac{d(f_j)_p}{dz} + \frac{S}{G_{S0}} [r_{\text{shift}}(-\Delta H_{\text{shift}}) + r_1(-\Delta H_1) + r_2(-\Delta H_2) + r_3(-H_3)] \quad (29)$$

The first term on the right side of both equations represents convective heat transfer. For the solid heat transfer [Equation (28)], the second term represents the energy consumed to generate the variety of gasified products from the coal particle. The final term of this equation represents the energy from the reaction of activated RC and H₂. For the gas-phase energy balance [Equation (29)], the second term represents the product gas from the coal-particle heat transfer with the bulk gas and the final term represents energy to and from the gas-phase reactions.

In order to save computational expense, when the differences between the solid and gas temperatures became small, the convection term was dropped along with the energy balance between the hydrogasification product from coal and the bulk gas. At this point, both heat balances are combined into a single energy balance.

1.8.1.1.1.8 Solver Method

The Runge-Kutta-Gill (RKG) predictor/corrector method was used to solve the model differential equations. The equations for the RKG method follow:

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + 1/6(k_1 + k_4) + 1/3(bk_2 + dk_3) \quad (30)$$

where

$$k_1 = hf(z_n, y_n) \quad (31)$$

$$k_2 = hf(z_n + h/2, y_n + 1/2k_1) \quad (32)$$

$$k_3 = hf(z_n + h/2, y_n + ak_1 + bk_2) \quad (33)$$

$$k_4 = hf(z_n + h, y_n + ck_2 + dk_3) \quad (34)$$

with

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2} \quad (35)$$

$$b = \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad (36)$$

$$c = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad (37)$$

$$d = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad (38)$$

The equations are solved sequentially with step size h . As was concluded previously, the choice of step size is important for the stability of the solution. If the chosen step size is too large, then the solution becomes unstable. Additionally, if the chosen step size is too small, the computational expense becomes higher and it takes longer for a solution. For this simulation, the initial step size was $h = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ and switched to $h = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ at a z value of 0.01.

1.8.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To verify that the model was giving reasonable estimates and would be suitable, results from it were compared with experimental data from a 1992 Osaka Gas report. Test setpoints were temperature at 1650°F, residence time at 10.7s, and hydrogen-coal ratio at 0.23. The model output results are compared with the Osaka experimental data in Table 1-8.

Table 1-8 – Model Component Carbon Conversions
Compared with Experimental Data

Items Compared	Chemicals					Total
	CH ₄	CO	CO ₂	BTX	Oil	
Model	28.6	3.2	1.5	11.5	10	54.8
Experimental	30.5	7.1	0.6	10.6	2.1	51.6

Comparing results shows that the model does a reasonable job of predicting the carbon conversion to CH₄, BTX, and total conversion. However, the model is off with the distribution of conversions to CO and oil. This error could be attributed to an excess amount of oxygen in the experimental system. The combined carbon conversion to CO and CO₂ in the model is limited

at approximately 4.7% as f_{CO}^* and $f_{CO_2}^*$ determine this value. As may be seen from Table 1-8, the experimental carbon conversion from the Oaska testing to CO and CO₂ is in excess of 7.1%. This suggests that if there was excess oxygen in the reactor, then this could react with oil to reduce the carbon conversion of oil and increase that of CO. As this experimental data was taken from an external source, there is no way to confirm this assumption. In spite of this, the model was considered to be reasonable and was used for further analysis.

1.8.2.1 Statistical Evaluation of the Model

In order to evaluate the model over the range of variables for the APS test plan, the model was exercised on a 2 x 3 full factorial design with a center point. Three factors were varied, which were: (1) temperature, (2) residence time, and (3) hydrogen-coal ratio. The design with respective factor levels is shown in Figure 1-32.

Figure 1-32 – Statistical Design for Evaluating the Model

Figure 1-33 and Figure 1-34 are representative output plots from the model at an assumed operating pressure of 1000 psig. The conditions modeled were a 10s residence time, a 0.2 hydrogen-coal ratio, and two temperatures: 1750°F (Figure 1-33) and 1500°F (Figure 1-34). Both plots show the concentration of product gas kilograms of product gas per kilogram of coal (kg/kg-coal) as well as gas temperature versus time. The gas composition plots (Figures 1-36

and 1-37) do not include any added carrier (i.e. hydrogen) and/or diluents gases. The focus was on predicting the incremental products that would be produced as a result of Pyrolysis and Hydropyrolysis reactions. For simplification, the results also did not consider any sulfur-related reactions (e.g. $H_2 + S = H_2S$). Temperature was found to have a strong effect on the results; thus, two temperature plots are presented.

Figure 1-33 – Model Output at T = 1750°F, RT = 10s, and H₂:Coal = 0.2

The strong effect from temperature is evident with regard to RC activation and hydrocarbon cracking. First, RC is formed and consumed quickly at 1750°F, which in turns leads to fast formation of methane. Contrast to this is Figure 1-34 at 1500°F; which illustrates hampered RC activation and consumption. Methane formation in this instance is delayed and the maximum yield is smaller than that observed in Figure 1-33. The other major difference between the two simulated cases is the rate of hydrocarbon cracking. Hydrocarbon cracking is considered to be oil breaking down into BTX and methane, and BTX breaking down to methane. It is clear when comparing the two figures that oil is rapidly gasified out of the coal particle in the high-temperature case and subsequently consumed, whereas in the low-temperature case it is

not. Additionally, in the high-temperature case the model predicts that the amount of oil generated in the system reaches an apex, whereas in the low-temperature case it does not.

Figure 1-34 – Model Output at T = 1500 °F, RT = 10 s, and H₂:Coal = 0.2

The output from Figure 1-33 and Figure 1-34 agree with what is expected experimentally; that a higher temperature will generate a product gas stream that is richer in methane. Further quantifying this, the component carbon conversions are shown in Table 1-9. The major difference between the two cases is the increase of carbon conversion to methane and the decrease of carbon conversion to oil by increasing the temperature. This trend is also observed by increasing residence time and hydrogen-coal ratio. An interesting observation is that all of the modeled cases predicted approximately the same overall carbon conversion. .

Table 1-9 – Model Component Carbon Conversions
After 10 Seconds Residence Time

Component	1750 °F	1500 °F
CO	3.32%	3.20%
CO ₂	1.41%	1.52%
CH ₄	33.7%	25.3%
BTX	16.3%	12.3%
Oil	0.04%	12.4%
Total	54.8%	54.7%

The total carbon conversion did not significantly change between modeled cases and carbon conversion to methane was used as the response in the statistical analysis. Initial results show that all of the factors were significant, but none of the higher-order terms were significant. A relevant example of a response surface is shown below in Figure 1-35. In this instance, the hydrogen-coal ratio was held constant at 0.3.

Figure 1-35 – Surface Response of Conversion to Methane

Based on reaction conditions the response surface predicts a carbon conversion to methane of approximately 37%, with the highest conversion occurring at the highest temperature and

longest residence time that were studied. Increasing the hydrogen-coal ratio also increases the conversion, but not as significantly as the other two factors. These were the trends that were expected, so the model was adequate in that regard and was thus considered to be viable tool to use in further analysis.

Further model analysis on the actual experimental data was supported by DOE project, DE-FE0001099, "IES with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its Technical Final Closeout Report for additional research on using this model to simulate real testing conditions.

1.9 EXPERIMENTAL DATA ANALYSIS

1.9.1 SYSTEM COMMISSIONING

The hydrogasifier was shipped to APS in several components. Reactor body, preheater, and top hat were shipped inside the reactor frame from Gaspar. Charpots, coal feeder assembly, condensers, liquid collectors and the reactor heater control panel were shipped separately. The full assemble of the bench-scale reactor hydrogasification system was completed at APS in March 2009. The process P&ID, plumbing and electrical wiring were completed at the APS jobsite. The assembled bench-scale hydrogasification system is shown in Figure 1-43.

At the completion of assembly of the bench-scale reactor, prerequisite, pre-commissioning, and commissioning test procedures were executed. The prerequisite testing was performed to verify the process construction based on the P&ID. This exercise cleared inconsistencies between the paper & field construction, which included missing tags, inaccurate tags, and item specifications. The pre-commissioning procedure covered the functional testing of the P&ID. This process was officially started on March 28, 2009, which was the first day that system had nitrogen flow. During pre-commissioning, all solenoid valves (SVs), PCVs, and flow control valves (FCVs) were tested. Due to a separate coal feeder calibration, part of the pre-commissioning was performed with an interim closed-reactor system – using blind-flanged upper and lower Copeland isolation valves.

Bench-scale reactor commissioning was officially started on May 6th, 2009 and included the following activities:

- Final adjustment of all FCVs and PCVs.
- Validation of system control during pressurization to ensure that pressure of the inner tube and annulus space increased at the same rate.

- Validation of bench-scale reactor system pressure integrity at 1000 psig.
- Validation of the bench-scale reactor control system by diligent calibration of three main flow meters: (1) H2-FM-1 – hydrogen inlet flow, (2) H2-FM-2 – hydrogen carrier gas flow, and (3) R-MFC – N2 balancing system flow.
- Validation of the function of N2-RO-1 – restriction of nitrogen emergency purge to ensure there would not be a large pressure effect on system balancing due to the purge.
- Validation of three emergency shutdown sequences on the balancing system to identify the smallest system disturbance.
- Validation of functions for emergency local shutdown, full shutdown, and manual button shutdown.
- Validation of the following items: the use of nitrogen tube trailer (for system purge and pressure buildup); the use of 12-pack nitrogen for instrument nitrogen supply; use of 12-pack nitrogen for emergency purge; and the use of hydrogen.
- Validation of the performance of the heaters and calibration for auto-ramping under nitrogen and hydrogen.
- Establishment of temperature profiles along preheater and reactor.
- Adjustment of an existing misting system and addition of new gas purging line to control the hot spot based upon operational observations on the external reactor shell.
- Operational incorporation of the existing APS Hydrogen Park as the hydrogen supply.
- Calibration of GC and MS with standard gas.
- Testing and validation of bench-scale reactor auto-ramping shutdown and manual shutdown.
- Time recording of all major exercises.

The commissioning protocol was completed in approximately 5 weeks. The following significant conclusions were reached:

- At 1000 psig the system leakage rate was about 0.5 psig/min on average.
- Preheaters achieved hydrogen exit temperature of 1550°F.
- A large heat loss was observed in hydrogen injection tubing in the crossover section, which led to low hydrogen-injection temperatures in the bench-scale reactor.
- Hot spots were observed on the external reactor shell. The single-change thermal paint

changes colors at 464°F, (240°C). Additionally, the observed annulus space temperature was higher than anticipated. The outer wall hot spots were speculated to be due to natural nitrogen convection in the annulus space or due to the metal-to-metal conduction through the reactor heater spider support.

- Reactor heaters, especially heaters 1 through 3, had only enough power to maintain the temperature, but not enough additional capacity to increase the hydrogen from the injectors. It was concluded that natural convection of the nitrogen in the annulus was conveying heat to the outer shell and consuming more energy than was calculated for the heater design. This situation prevented the bench scale reactor (BSRx) from achieving the target operating temperature.
- Copeland isolation valves had severe leakage.

1.9.2 PRELIMINARY HYDROGASIFICATION TESTS

The very first hydrogasification test was completed on June 9, 2009. The coal that was utilized was a Navajo Mine sub-bituminous coal, ground to a nominal particle diameter of 100 micrometers. The Ultimate and Proximate analyses for the fresh coal, as introduced to the coal feeder, are show in Table 1-10 below:

Table 1-10 – Proximate and Ultimate Analysis of Coal and Char

Attribute	Coal
% Moisture, Total	8.00
% Ash	27.90
% Volatile Matter	30.17
% Fixed Carbon	33.93
Total	100.00
Gross Calorific Value (Btu/lb)	8,812
% Sulfur	0.70
% Carbon	49.29
% Hydrogen	3.74
% Nitrogen	1.07
% Oxygen (Calculated)	9.30

Due to the large heat loss through the hydrogen crossover line (which connects the hydrogen preheater to the hydrogasifier), the highest hydrogen injection temperature only reached 650°F. These temperatures were too low for rapid coal heat up and for hydrogasification to take place. Only 18% carbon conversion was obtained. As detailed in Section 1.10.2, preheater zone 5 was redesigned and modified to increase hydrogen injection temperature, and it was able to

reach 1350°F after preheater zone 5 modification. The second preliminary hydrogasification test revealed and confirmed the problem of unsteady coal feeding, which is detailed in Section 1.10.3. This was improved by increasing the pressure equilibrium line size between coal feeder and reactor and removing filters in between. In addition, both tests observed large heat loss from reactor inner tube to annulus space and therefore to reactor outer shell. This finally led to the reactor heater burning down during the third hydrogasification trial on August 6, 2009, while attempting to achieve high-reaction temperature. This caused about a three-month shutdown. As detailed in Section 1.10.4, the reactor was de-telescoped and electrical heaters in the annulus space were all redesigned and replaced.

1.9.3 HYDROGASIFICATION TESTING ON NOVEMBER 17, 2009 (TEST 1 “AFTER REPAIRS”)

The fourth hydrogasification test was executed on Tuesday, November 17, 2009 to test the effectiveness of the new reactor configuration. The hydrogen temperature at the inlet of the reactor was approximately 1350°F and the average reactor temperature was 1600°F. The reactor pressure was held at 1000 psig. A high temperature of 1750°F was observed in the reactor, which indicated that the hydrogasification reactions were exothermic. Approximately 17 pounds of coal were fed and 10 pounds of char recovered after 1 hour and 40 minutes of testing.

Initial results from a GC and MS indicated that CH₄ was produced during the testing. Figure 1-36 shows a printout from the GC. Four components were detected by the GC: Hydrogen (H₂), CH₄, CO, and N₂. The first three components were directly associated with hydrogasification as a reactant and products; the fourth component, N₂, had a significantly high peak, indicating it was not N₂ from coal, but rather is likely the result of a leak across the bellows that separates the reactor vessel from the N₂ purged pressure shell.

**Figure 1-36 – GC Output from November 17, 2009, Hydrogasification
(Test 1 After “Repairs”)**

The MS results also indicated that methane was being produced. As may be seen in Figure 1-37, at the onset of the reaction the methane signal from the MS increased.

Figure 1-37 – Methane Mass Spectrometer Signal from the November 17, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 1 After “Repairs”)

Initial results indicated that this was the most successful test. An overall carbon conversion of 49% was estimated from ultimate analysis of the coal and char. Data from the GC suggested that the amount of methane produced was higher than previous tests. Additionally, the methane signal from the MS was stronger than previously observed.

1.9.4 HYDROGASIFICATION TESTING ON DECEMBER 1, 2009 (TEST 2 - AFTER “REPAIRS”)

After the first successful hydrogasification test was completed with the new reactor configuration, aspects of the experimental plan started to be executed. On December 1, 2009 a second hydrogasification run was completed. The targeted reactor conditions were a pressure of 1000 psig, a temperature of 1500°F, hydrogen-to-coal ratio of 0.3, and an approximate residence time of 12.8s. A temperature profile from the run (Figure 1-38) shows the temperature profile along the length of the reactor.

Figure 1-38 – Reactor Temperature Profile from the December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 2 After “Repairs”)

The first point in Figure 1-38 is the hydrogen injection temperature, which was approximately 1250°F. This temperature was high enough to initiate the hydrogasification reactions. Additionally, the middle of the reactor achieved a relatively constant temperature of 1500°F, which was the target setpoint.

This was a very successful run as steady state was achieved. This observation was based on a constant temperature profile and a constant CH₄ concentration measurement from the product stream. Figure 1-39 shows temperature-versus-time profiles from three points in the reactor. The three thermocouples were located at the approximate top (8 inches down from the point of coal introduction), middle (63 inches), and bottom (132 inches) of the reactor. Once coal feeding began, the reactor temperature started to drop. It has been concluded that this was due to the non-heated coal being introduced into the reactor. As the hydrogasification reactions followed, the reactor temperature increased, due to the exothermic hydrogasification reactions. At approximately 45 minutes into the experimental run, steady state was achieved and the reactor temperature in the center of the reactor was at the 1500°F setpoint. Finally, when the coal feed was stopped, the temperature in the top of the reactor increased and in the

middle/bottom of the reactor the temperature decreased. At this point, as was expected, fresh coal was no longer providing a temperature sink at the top of the reactor and energy was no longer being generated from the hydrogasification reactions.

**Figure 1-39 – Temperature versus Time Profile from
the December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 2 After “Repairs”)**

Figure 1-40 shows the concentration of methane in the gas stream versus time obtained from the GC analysis. When coal was introduced into the reactor, the concentration of CH_4 began to increase. At approximately 75 minutes into the experimental run, the methane concentration of the product stream became approximately constant. At the same time the temperature became steady, showing good agreement between the two sets of data. CH_4 concentration was measured at the peak (highest point), which was about 10.5%, and at steady state the concentration was 10%.

**Figure 1-40 – Methane Concentration in the Product Stream from
December 1, 2009, Hydrogasification Test 2 After “Repairs”**

1.9.5 HYDROGASIFICATION TESTING ON DECEMBER 9, 2009 (TEST 3 – AFTER “REPAIRS”)

Another hydrogasification test was completed on December 9, 2009. The reaction conditions were a reactor pressure of 1000 psig, a reactor temperature of 1625°F, a hydrogen-to-coal ratio of 0.4, and an approximate residence time of 11s. A temperature profile from this hydrogasification test is shown in Figure 1-41 at the same three locations in the reactor mentioned above. At the onset of coal feeding, the temperature in the reactor again dropped, as it did with the previous run, before it rose due to the hydrogasification reactions. After about 90 minutes, the temperature profile was approximately at steady state. However, in this run the coal feed rate was slightly fluctuating, which made it difficult to maintain a steady temperature. In spite of this, the temperature in the reactor remained relatively constant. When coal feeding was discontinued, the temperature in the reactor rapidly rose and the temperatures at the middle and bottom of the reactor fell. Again this was due to the elimination of the heat sink from non-heated coal at the top of the reactor and loss of the exotherm from the hydrogasification reactions, respectively.

Figure 1-41 – Temperature-versus-Time Profile from the December 9, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test 3 After “Repairs”)

The results of the CH₄ gas concentration from the GC is shown in Figure 1-42. After approximately 90 minutes, a relatively constant CH₄ concentration was reached, which again correlated well with observations from the temperature profiles. What is evident when looking at this experimental data compared with data from test 2 was the strong temperature effect on CH₄ concentration. During the initial decline in reactor temperature, the CH₄ concentration diminished as well and then increased with the temperature rise to the desired setpoint. As was mentioned previously, the reactor temperature was slightly fluctuating during steady state, and this effect was more pronounced in the CH₄ concentration curve. The rising and ebbing of the temperature caused the same trend with CH₄ concentration.

The concentration of methane in the gas stream peaked at 8.5% and averaged about 7.2% during steady state. A higher temperature and higher hydrogen-to-coal ratio suggested that the CH₄ concentration in Test 3 should have been higher than that from Test 2. However, in this instance an experimental run with a higher hydrogen-to-coal ratio will have more hydrogen in the product stream, thus diluting the generated CH₄ concentration. What is more meaningful to look at in this instance was the carbon conversion of coal to CH₄. At the time of this report, a comprehensive carbon balance was needed for all analytical analyses, but it was not completed.

Figure 1-42 – Methane Concentration in the Product Stream from the December 9, 2009, Hydrogasification (Test Three After “Repairs”)

Further tests were continued under DOE Cooperative Agreement: DE-FE0001099, “Integrated Energy System with Beneficial CO₂ Use”, and the results of tests with an improved gas analysis system are reported there. Please refer to its Technical Final Closeout Report for more information.

1.9.6 SIGNIFICANT DATES

The list below delineates significant dates of major project accomplishments.

January 6, 2009	Reactor delivered to APS
March 28, 2009	Nitrogen flowed through the system for the first time
April 3, 2009	Heaters were turned on for the first time
April 17, 2009	Bottom charpot and coal feeder were installed
May 6, 2009	Officially began commissioning
May 29, 2009	Hydrogen flowed through the system for the first time
June 9, 2009	First hydrogasification test; preheater zone 5 was modified
July 23, 2009	Second hydrogasification test; coal feeder P&ID was modified.
August 6, 2009	Third hydrogasification test: heater failure; began heater repair
August 12, 2009	Reactor inner tube de-telescoping
October 1, 2009	Reactor inner tube re-telescoping
November 13, 2009	Re-commissioning began
November 17, 2009	First Hydrogasification “hot” test with reconfigured reactor
December 1, 2009	Second Hydrogasification test reached steady state
December 9, 2009	Third hydrogasification test was successfully completed

Figure 1-43 – Hydrogasifier on June 29, 2009

1.10 MAIN ISSUES AND LESSONS LEARNED

The following section describes the major issues encountered in this research project during the commissioning and initial testing phases. Due to the novelty of the hydrogasifier design, resolution of these unexpected issues was not trivial. The reactor, hydrogen preheating, coal feeding and process control systems had to be modified during the process to ensure the systems could perform at design operating conditions of 1200 psig @ 1950°F and deliver qualified test data.

1.10.1 MANUFACTURER CHANGE

During the design and construction phase of the hydrogasification reactor, it was necessary to find a qualified fabricator for the reactor as the design would be complex. Also the use of exotic materials and an ASME-stamped pressure vessel were required. This was not trivial as it was difficult to find a fabricator with the experience and necessary space to build an ASME-stamped pressure vessel of this magnitude. Design and operating criteria were established for the bench-scale hydrogasification reactor as follows:

- Design the reactor and associated subsystems to meet ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (BPVC) Section VIII Div. 1, and ASME Process Piping Code B31.3.
- Design the reactor and vessels to meet a maximum allowable working pressure of 1200 psig at 1950°F.
- Utilize construction materials that are compatible with hot, high-pressure hydrogen gas.

With the design and construction criteria established, a search for a qualified fabricator of the reactor was begun in accordance with the following:

- Fabricator must be an ASME pressure vessel shop with a “U”-stamp qualification.
- Fabricator must have experience fabricating hydrogen systems, including both hydrogen vessels and hydrogen piping systems.
- Fabricator must have experience welding high-temperature materials such as Inconel 625 and 617 and must have existing weld procedures and welders qualified for these weld procedures.

- Fabricator must have experience in fabricating vessels and systems designed for high-temperature and high-pressure service.

Several potential fabricators were identified who met these criteria and who also had direct experience fabricating hydrogen systems for one or more of the major hydrogen gas suppliers: e.g., Air Products, PRAXAIR or Linde.

The fabricators identified initially included: ACME Cryogenics Inc., Pressure Dynamic Consultants (PDC Inc.) and CVIP Inc. Of the three fabricators, CVIP was the only one that had adequate floor space and fabrication labor to meet the project schedule. Additionally, CVIP had an extensive number of approved/tested Inconel and nickel weld procedures and qualified welders. Finally, CVIP had recent and significant experience building hydrogen vessels and systems. For all of these reasons, CVIP was initially chosen as the fabricator of the hydrogasification reactor.

A major issue with CVIP is that they are primarily a fabricator and do not staff an ASME code engineer. To ensure that a vessel would be designed and built to code it was then necessary to subcontract the code engineering to a company that specializes in pressure vessel design and analysis. The subcontractor was PMC Engineering, which specializes in the design of high- and low-temperature pressure vessels to ASME Section III and Section VIII Division 1 and 2 criteria. Relevant experience that PMC Engineering had was designing waste gas processing at temperatures above 1200°F, cryogenic industrial gas production at temperatures below -320°F, and high- and low-temperature piping systems that satisfy ASME Section III, ASME B31.1 and B31.3 design criteria. PMC used a variety of pressure vessel software packages including Finite Element Analysis programs for modeling and engineering vessels. The principal vessel-code engineer from PMC also had extensive experience with high-temperature materials including Inconel used for the main reactor tube.

Preliminary fabrication drawings for the hydrogasification reactor were provided to PMC along with the design specifications indicating the desired maximum allowable working conditions: pressure, temperature and gas composition. PMC was contracted to analyze the design using appropriate ASME BPVC analysis and to determine if the design met the code at the design conditions. The initial analysis indicated that certain areas of the design could not meet both the pressure and temperature design specifications simultaneously. PMC did not provide any code calculations but instead provided a short written report.

PMC was asked to identify the elements required in redesign to meet the operating conditions and to indicate what changes were required to meet the specifications (i.e., increase reactor shell thickness, flange thickness, etc.). The design drawings for the hydrogasification reactor were to be revised based on this feedback and then re-submitted to PMC for further code review and analysis; however, PMC did not provide sufficient feedback to effectively modify the design to meet the code. As opposed to providing the design calculations to improve the reactor, PMC provided a series of proposals to develop a new design with a time frame of 9–12 months to complete, far beyond the project schedule.

As discussions proceeded with PMC, another fabricator was identified, Gaspar of Akron, Ohio. Gaspar Inc. had recently completed fabricating a series of 16 large (up to 60-inch diameter), high-pressure (600 psig) and high-temperature (600 °F), flanged hydrogen reactors. These reactors were inspected and the quality of workmanship was determined to be very high. Additionally, Gaspar worked extensively with a major oil company and a major chemical company and had provided a wide array of pressure vessels and heat exchangers to these clients. In addition, in many instances the wetted surfaces of these items were fabricated from Inconel or similar materials. As a result, Gaspar had a wide range of Inconel weld procedures available. Finally, Gaspar had adequate floor space for the hydrogasification reactor as well as in-house machining capabilities to manufacture the custom high-pressure flanges. In short, Gaspar met or exceeded all of the fabricator selection criteria described above, and had an in-house, vessel engineering group.

Hydrogasification reactor design drawings and owner specifications were submitted to Gaspar for a budgetary quote and a preliminary assessment of the ASME BPVC compliance. Based upon the response from Gaspar and the on-going difficulties with CVIP/PMC, fabrication of the hydrogasification reactor was given to Gaspar.

The engineering group at Gaspar ran standard ASME BPVC calculations and determined what elements of the hydrogasification reactor design met BPVC code as designed and identified what elements required modification. In addition, the changes that were required to meet the operating conditions were identified. In some cases, Gaspar determined that the operating specifications had to be modified (hydrogasification reactor de-rated) in order for the design to comply with the BPVC. Based upon analysis and feedback from Gaspar, the hydrogasification reactor design drawings and owner specifications were modified, and subsequently the design package was re-submitted to Gaspar. After a series of iterations the design and operating

conditions were certified to meet ASME BPVC with a Maximum Allowable Working Pressure (MAWP) rating of 1200 psig at 1950°F.

Upon successful re-engineering of the hydrogasification reactor, Gaspar was tasked with fabricating it.

1.10.2 PREHEATER MODIFICATION

During initial testing of the hydrogasification reactor on June 9, 2009, a large heat sink was observed at the hydrogen crossover area in the zone 5 preheater. Figure 1-44 shows an original picture of preheater zone 5. In the large insulation box, the four lines entering the reactor were subject to large heat losses. With a 1500°F delivered hydrogen temperature from the hydrogen preheater, an approximately 850°F temperature drop was detected when the gas stream reached the reactor head injector. To overcome this excessive heat loss, the preheater zone 5 was modified. In the original design, four gas lines were arranged separately to connect the preheater to the reactor head. Four heaters (Watlow VC400J12A, 2 inch by 12 inch, ½ inch ID, 350 Watts) were installed, with one on each gas line, for 1.7 kW of total power. The heat loss through the preheater zone 5 was estimated to be 3.0 kW. Therefore, in the modified design eight heaters were installed on preheater zone 5 for a total of 3.6 kW of power; they were as follows:

- 3 full-cylindrical units of Watlow VC400J12A, 2 inch by 12 inch, ½ inch ID, 350W
- 2 full-cylindrical units of Watlow VC400J06A, 2 inch by 6 inch, ½ inch ID, 175W
- 4 half-cylindrical units of Watlow VS110A12S, 6 inch by 8 inch, 2 inch ID, 275 W
- 2 half-cylindrical units of Watlow VS110A12S, 6 inch by 14 inch, 2 inch ID, 550 W

Figure 1-44 – Large Insulation Box of the Original Zone 5 Preheater Orientation

The orientations of the four gas lines were changed as well: they were bundled together in the proximity of the reactor head for better thermal management. Additionally, seven more thermal couples were installed for a total of 15 thermocouples in the preheater zone 5. (It was observed that thermocouples would break during the testing due to unknown reasons.) The modified orientation of the gas crossover lines significantly reduced the total surface area of preheater zone 5, hence reducing the heat loss potential in this area. The new orientation of preheater zone 5 is shown in Figure 1-45. Figure 1-46 shows the orientation of hydrogen crossover with the new heaters.

Figure 1-45 – Reorientation of Hydrogen Crossover Line

**Figure 1-46 – Plan View Orientation of Hydrogen Crossover Lines
with New Watlow Heaters**

Re-commissioning of the preheater zone 5 was completed shortly after the modification. Unfortunately, an electrical short occurred, burning out a heater and gas line as seen in Figure 1-47 (left and right photos, respectively). An investigation of the incident found that a heating element likely made contact with the Inconel tubing to cause the short. The burned full cylindrical heater had an ID of $\frac{1}{2}$ inch and the Inconel tubing had an OD of $\frac{1}{4}$ inch. Due to this incident, all 5 full- cylindrical heaters were replaced with 1-inch diameter cylindrical heaters. A plan view diagram of the new preheater assembly is shown in Figure 1-46. Additionally, a ceramic sheet was used to cover the Inconel tubing and properly space the tubing in the center of the heaters. The burned heater incident delayed the project for several days; however, with the new set up, the hydrogen injection temperature was measured as high as 1350°F, which was sufficient to initiate the hydrogasification reactions.

**Figure 1-47 – Left: Burned Heater during Preheater Zone 5 Re-commissioning.
Right: Burned Inconel 625 ¼-Inch Tubing due to an Electrical Short**

1.10.3 COAL FEEDER P&ID MODIFICATION

During the initial hydrogasification tests, a higher than expected coal feeding rate was observed. The intended coal feed rate was 8.00 lb/hr; however, during the first hydrogasification attempt, the actual feed rate was approximately 11.5 lb/hr. The deviation between the set feed rate and experimental feed rate was not at first considered a significant issue. On the subsequent hydrogasification test the difference between the 8 lb/hr coal feed rate setpoint was extreme, with a 50 lb/hr feed rate. Considering the magnitude of this error, testing was halted to troubleshoot the issue.

Figure 1-48 shows the P&ID of the coal feeding system during the initial hydrogasification tests. While feeding coal, valves CF-SV-2 and CF-SV-4 were full open. The pressure (P2 in Figure 1-48) in the coal feeder top chamber was expected to be approximately equal to the pressure (P1 in Figure 1-48) at the screw delivery port to mitigate any extra force in the coal feeding system. The higher than expected flow rate indicated that P2 was likely higher than P1. In order to confirm this hypothesis, tests were conducted under ambient conditions.

Observation at high pressure gasification test

Figure 1-48 – P&ID of the Coal Feeder

Figure 1-49 and Figure 1-50 show the feeder orientations in the two coal feeder tests. In the first test (the configuration is shown in Figure 1-49), the coal hopper top flange was closed and valve CF-PCV-1 was fully open along with the bottom flange of the reactor charpot. This led to ambient pressure at the coal feeder pressure transmitter and at the screw discharge port. By fully opening valve CF-SV-4, the system was expected to be under ambient pressure and should feed at the calibrated rates. However, testing indicated an approximately 55% higher coal feed rate than was observed during commissioning (12 lb/hr versus 7.7 lb/hr). This result indicates that there was likely still a pressure difference causing the higher than expected coal flow rate. In the second test (the configuration is shown in Figure 1-50), the top flange of the coal feeder was open. This made the pressure (P2) in the coal feeder definitely equal to ambient pressure, with approximately the same coal feed rate measured during commissioning.

Ambient pressure coal feeder test - 1

Figure 1-49 – Ambient Pressure Coal Feeder Test with the Coal Feeder Vent Line Fully Opened

Ambient pressure coal feeder test - 2

Figure 1-50 – Ambient Pressure Coal Feeder Test with Fully Opened Coal Feeder Top

After completing the two tests, it was evident that there was a large pressure drop across valve CF-SV-4 and the filter. Additionally, the equalizing line was not able to balance the pressure of

the coal hopper and screw discharge port. To overcome this problem, the P&ID of the coal feeder was changed to the configuration detailed in Figure 1-51. The changes included the following: (1) replacing valve CF-SV-4 with a full-bore AOV valve; (2) relocating the filter upstream of the equalizing line; and (3) replacing the existing ¼-inch line with ½-inch tubing. Along with these changes, the pressure transducer was relocated for a better measurement of the coal hopper pressure. With these modifications, coal feeding was re-tested at 1000 psig. Finally, a reproducible coal feed rate was attained at high pressure and compared favorably with the calibration.

Figure 1-51 – Modified P&ID around Coal Feeder for Better Pressure Equilibrium

1.10.4 REACTOR HEATER MODIFICATION

On June 9, 2009, the testing also revealed that there was not enough energy transmitted from the heaters to the reactor wall to raise the wall to the desired temperature setpoint. The heaters that were originally installed on the reactor should have been powerful enough to increase the reactor wall to a temperature higher than the highest experimental setpoint. A phenomenon that may explain this deficiency can be observed by comparing temperature profiles from a preheater heater (Figure 1-52) and a reactor heater (Figure 1-53). The preheater was a single tube design and the temperature profile around a heater from it (shown in Figure 1-52) was not

out of the ordinary. In the center of the heater a temperature measurement of approximately 1600°F was taken and 850°F at the external shell. Both of the temperatures are reasonable, as the temperature setpoint was 1600°F and insulation should limit energy transfer from the heating element to the external shell; hence the temperature at the external shell is significantly lower. In contrast, the heater around the reactor had an unusual temperature profile. In this instance, the center of the heater had a temperature of approximately 1240°F and the external shell temperature approached 1110°F (as shown in Figure 1-53). Again, because of a significant amount of insulation between these two points, energy transfer should have been limited.

The phenomenon observed in the reactor heaters only occurred when the reactor was pressurized in both inside the inner tube and annular space. During the commissioning of the reactor, the heaters were tested at atmospheric pressure, and temperature profiles similar to Figure 1-52 were observed. It was hypothesized that stronger natural convection exists in the reactor annular space when the reactor is pressurized.

**Figure 1-52 – Temperature Profile around Preheater No. 1
(The One Closest to Preheater Outlet)**

**Figure 1-53 – Temperature Profile around Reactor Heater No. 1
(The One Closest to the Reactor Inlet)**

The inner wall of the double-wall reactor design was physically separated into seven zones by spider plates. Spider plates, depicted at the bottom of Figure 1-54, were intended to provide support to the heaters and insulation, as well as to help center the inner tube. The plates were square and the diagonal distance of a plate was very close to the inner diameter of the external wall. Additionally, the spider plates were affixed to the outer wall of the inner tube (i.e., the reactor vessel), which resulted in the spider plates, and the supported insulation and heaters, moving downward during thermal expansion and creating a gap between heater zones. This design, therefore, forced the insulation to be separated into seven zones. As shown in Figure 1-54, gaps between the insulation and spider plate were formed due to thermal expansion differences between the metal tube and ceramic heater. This gap likely increased the natural convection flow that occurred in the annular space, which led to additional heat loss from the inner tube, raised the annular space temperature, and provided a pathway to the pressure vessel shell for the heated inert gas that provided the pressure balance between the inner tube and the pressure shell. Another problem associated with the spider plate was not enough

insulation was wrapped over it due to its size. In fact, the hot spot location profile shown in Figure 1-55 (indicated by the yellow thermal profiles) confirmed this speculation, as all hot spots were located at zone-to-zone interfaces.

Figure 1-54 – Cause of Excess Temperature at External Shell

Figure 1-55 – Hot Spot Locations (In Inches)

The issues with the reactor heaters were addressed after a critical failure occurred. When inner tube wall reached 1350°F with the maximum heat load of the reactor heaters, the power lines of top four heaters were burned. This incident forced a full system shut down and de-telescoping of the inner tube out of the pressure vessel for troubleshooting and repairing the reactor.

De-telescoping commenced shortly after the heater failure and went smoothly. As shown in Figure 1-56 (left photo), the inner Inconel tube with insulation came out of the external shell intact. In Figure 1-56 (right photo), the fatal cause of the heater failure can be seen. A high temperature in the annular space along with physical abrasion from Inconel wiring (the Inconel wiring was used to tighten insulation in place) caused a metal-to-metal contact of the power wires and therefore shorted the heaters. The electrical short was partially caused by the higher-than-expected temperature in the annular space, which further illustrated that the current design was not sufficient.

Figure 1-56 – Hydrogasifier Internal Tube after De-Telescoping (Left Photo) and Burned Power Wire—Fatal Failure of Top Four Zone Heaters (Right Photo)

After removing the insulation and heaters, Figure 1-57 (left photo), the reactor inner Inconel tube was carefully inspected and was found to be in good condition. There was a black appearance on the heater inner surface as shown in Figure 1-57 (right photo), which was from burned organic binder from an insulation material as opposed to the heater.

Figure 1-57 – Left: Inner Reactor Tube and Original Spider Plate; Right: Used Watlow Heater (Black Deposition was from the Burned Insulation)

The reactor repair mainly focused on better reactor insulation and more heat input. Heat loss from the reactor was estimated under open-air conditions (no misting). During the first set of experiments, a system was used to spray a fine mist of water on the reactor shell to assist with shell temperature management. The average temperature of the external reactor wall was approximately 300°F, which, based on calculations presented in Figure 1-58, meant less than 15 kW of heat loss. The SNG hydrogasifier was designed as an adiabatic reactor; thus energy supplied by the heaters was supposed to make up for heat losses at the designed operating temperature (1500 – 1750°F) as opposed to supplying additional heat. The maximum heat load from the reactor heaters was 16.2 kW, which meant at the average reactor shell temperature there was just sufficient output to overcome this heat sink. When factoring in additional heat losses from operating the misting system, the required heat load from the reactor heaters would be too large. In fact, when utilizing 100% of the heat load, the reactor inner wall was only able to reach 1350°F. Because of the significant heat losses, a new design approach, along with a different heater type, was used.

Figure 1-58 – Reactor Heat Loss Estimation Under the Original Set Up

Consequently, three signification modifications were made to the reactor design: (1) altering the spider plates, (2) replacing the clam shell heaters with mat heaters, and (3) adding more insulation. As was previously discussed, the thermal expansion of the reactor tube and heaters was different, which caused hot spots at the spider plate interfaces. To prevent this from occurring again, more insulation was needed at these points and to accomplish this, the spider plates were cut back as shown in Figure 1-59 (left photo).

To address the lack of heating load as well as the heater transfer issue, nine new heaters were purchased from Zircar Ceramic, Inc., as shown in Figure 1-59 (right photo). The heaters were ceramic-bead weaved mats, which were physically wrapped around the inner tube. This would help with heat transfer in two regards: (1) heat would be transferred via conduction; and (2) natural convection currents would be limited. The heaters have a high power density: at 8 inches x 14.75 inches they provide 3.6 kW of energy and require only an 80-V power supply. This heat density is four times that of the previous heaters. The ceramic beads have a

thickness of 0.41 inch (10 centimeters (cm)), which left ample space to increase the amount of insulation (3.75 inches of insulation as opposed to 1 inch), thereby accomplishing the third design criterion.

Figure 1-60 (left photo) shows the insulation thickness of the modified design. Finally, reactor heating zones were reduced from seven to six. The top three zones were 15 inches long and the bottom three zones are all 28 inches long. Two heaters were installed in each bottom zone and a total of 24.2 kW of power was installed.

**Figure 1-59 – Left Photo shows the Modified Spider Plate and Newly Installed Thermocouples;
Right Photo shows New Heaters Wrapped Around the Inner Reactor Tube**

Figure 1-60 – Photo Left shows Increased Insulation Thickness; and photo right shows Stainless Steel Heat Shield to Limit Nitrogen Natural Convection

There were a few other minor modifications made to solve prior issues. First, a stainless-steel heat shield was installed.

Figure 1-60 (right photo) shows the additional barrier to natural convection flows. Next, power wires were sheathed with ceramic to prevent short-outs, Figure 1-61 (left photo). Additionally, the power wire connections were joined by Cad welding as opposed to connectors. Finally, a very thin stainless-steel foil, Figure 1-61 (right photo), was wrapped around the reactor to reduce friction when re-telescoping the reactor into the external shell.

The new heaters were rated for a maximum current of 45A, which is higher than the 7.5A current of the former heaters. Because of the increase in current potential, power wires, contactors, breakers, and fuses were upgraded. All of the modifications were successful and the hydrogasification reactor was able to achieve the designed temperature.

Figure 1-61 – Left photo shows the Final Layer with all Power Wires Sheathed by Ceramic; Right Photos show the Repaired Reactor Inner Tube Before Re-Telescoping

1.10.5 OTHER PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS

1.10.5.1 Coal Feed/Charpot Isolation Valves

After the start of hydrogasification reactor design, the coal feed and charpot isolation valves were identified as key elements in the design. The design specifications for the valves were the same as for the reactor:

- Maximum allowable working pressure of 1200 psig;
- Maximum operating temperature of 1950°F;
- Construction materials and valve design compatible with coal and gaseous hydrogen.

In addition, the valve specification required an actuated valve, flanged ends, a low outboard-leak rate, a low seat-leak rate, and a straight-through valve bore to minimize catch

points for the coal. The expectation was to identify a valve that would be bubble tight. The specification included the flow rate for coal and for hydrogen and a coal size of 200 mesh.

The valves were to meet or exceed Manufacturers Standardization Society, Standard Practice 61 (MSS-SP-61) that establishes requirements and acceptance criteria for shell and seat closure pressure testing of valves. This specification is key as MSS-SP-61 defines the allowable leak rate for a ball valve as <10 cc/hr per inch of nominal pipe diameter. All shutoff or isolation valves specified to MS-SP-61 must pass this leak test at a fluid (liquid or gas) pressure no less than 1.1 times the 1000°F (380°C) rating rounded to the next 5 psig.

Copeland valves were ordered and installed into the hydrogasification reactor between the charpots and at the top of the reactor. They leaked noticeably during the testing. As a result, they were soon replaced because they obviously were defective and did not meet the MSS-SP-61 specifications. Ultimately, Swagelok valves, Figure 1-62, were ordered and installed to replace the Copeland valves.

Figure 1-62 – Swagelok Valve between the Upper and Lower Charpots

The valves were Swagelok Thermal Service 316 SS ball valves and actuators. Once installed and operated there was virtually no leakage across the valve seats even at 1200 psig.

1.10.5.2 Addition of ZnO Desulfurization Bed

A zinc oxide (ZnO) desulfurization bed was installed for the product stream due to a strong sulfur smell during initial testing. Sud Chemie's desulfurization catalyst, G-73E (3/16-inch pellet), was purchased from Stem Chemicals. The catalyst, ZnO with Calcium Aluminate, was operated at 400°F to remove sulfur components in the product gas before it was vented to atmosphere. The unit was installed downstream of product gas analyzers and depressurization.

1.10.5.3 Condenser Modifications

During initial hydrogasification tests there was a condenser pump failure. This failure occurred during the November 17, 2009 hydrogasification run and as a result some water, BTX, and oil condensed in the sample line. Some of the liquid also went into the analytical equipment causing the mass spectrometer to fail. To prevent this from occurring in the future, changes were implemented into the process, including visually checking to see whether the pump was operating. Additionally, the condenser was modified to achieve a more efficient gas-liquid separation. This was accomplished by increasing the sectional surface area of the gas-liquid separator therefore to further reduce the gas velocity in the separator and leave longer time for liquid precipitation. Shown in Figure 1-63 below are the former and latter separator configurations.

Figure 1-63 – Left Photo Shows Picture of the Old Gas-Liquid Separator and the Right Photo Shows the New Separator

1.10.5.4 Addition of Second Sampling Line

While the reactor gaskets were being replaced, some other process modifications and upgrades were made. The most notable modification was the installation of a second gas sampling line downstream of the ZnO sorbent bed. In order to detect CO₂, the gas chromatograph (GC) was equipped with a methanizer, which converted non-combustible CO₂ into combustible CH₄ on-line, so it was detectable by a Flame Ionization Detector (FID). In addition, the methanizer used a nickel-based catalyst for this conversion, which was susceptible to sulfur poisoning. The second gas sampling line was to deliver sulfur-free product gas to the GC. It also provided an opportunity for the mass spectrometer to monitor the sulfur removal with the ZnO sorbent bed. Figure 1-64 shows the mass spec H₂S signal for sampling from upstream, downstream and then again upstream of the ZnO sorbent bed. As seen in Figure 1-64, when the mass spec was sampling upstream of the sorbent bed, the H₂S concentration was clearly above zero parts per million. In contrast, when the mass spec was sampling downstream of the sorbent bed, the H₂S concentration becomes undetectable. This is clear evidence that the ZnO was an effective desulfurization sorbent.

Figure 1-64 – Mass Spectrometer H₂S Output

1.11 CONCLUSIONS ON HYDROGASIFICATION BENCH TESTING

An advanced high temperature, high-pressure hydrogasification reactor was engineered and constructed during this project. It was ASME certified under Section VIII with a rating of 1150 psig at 1950°F. The hydrogasification reactor could feed 200 mesh (74 micron) pulverized coal at rates up to 15 lb/hour. The hydrogen injection temperature could be varied up to 1350°F. The hydrogen preheater was made of Inconel 625 and the reactor was made of Inconel 617. The hydrogasification reactor assembly included a coal feeding system, ash collection pots, and a 42 feet tall vertical design. The hydrogasification reaction zone was 1.75-inch internal diameter (ID) by 16 feet long.

The NETL Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) modeling of the reactor was extremely helpful in reactor design and configuration. Extensive CFD modeling of the hydrogen nozzle design was completed and seventeen cases were designed. The hydrodynamics (solid equatorial mixing, back mixing and temperature profile) around the reactor head were studied, resulting in a design recommendation of 0.18-inch hydrogen nozzle ID, 45-degree shooting angle, and 30-degree swirling angle as optimum configurations.

A 1-D model of the coal hydrogasification reactions was further developed based on ARCH kinetics originally proposed by Miura in the early 1990s. Pathways for methane's formation proposed by the reaction scheme were through initial reactions: 1) coal decomposition and secondary reactions; 2) reaction of Rapid Carbon and 3) hydrocracking of Benzene/Toluene/Xylene (BTX) and tars and oils. Comparing results showed that the model did a reasonable job of predicting the carbon conversion to CH₄, BTX, and total carbon conversion. However, the model was off with the distribution of conversions to CO and oil.

The hydrogasification reactor was installed at an APS facility. The system was remotely operated in a control room using LabVIEW automation system. The hydrogasification reactor control room used GC/MS to determine product gas compositions.

The first hydrogasification test was completed on June 9, 2009. Experimental conditions included hydrogen injection temperature of 650°F, reaction temperature of 1250°F, pressure of 1000 psig, 10s residence time, 0.4 hydrogen-to-coal ratio, and 11.5 lb/hr coal flow rate. Due to the low reaction temperature, only 18% carbon conversion was achieved. GC/MS analysis on the organic phase indicated it mainly contained benzene, toluene, xylene, and tar. Char collected from the bottom of the reactor had a 9000 Btu/lb heating value.

While trying to achieve the desired hydrogasification conditions, the reactor experienced unsteady coal feed rate, low hydrogen injection temperatures and an incident where reactor heaters failed. Modifications and repairs were conducted, which included modifying preheater zone 5, modifying the coal feeding system and reconfiguring all reactor heating zones.

Once the hydrogasification reactor was repaired and put back into operation three more hydrogasification runs were executed. These test runs were the first to reach steady state and produced ~ 50% carbon conversions and ~10% methane yield in the production gas. The hydrogen injection temperature reached 1350°F and reactor temperature reached 1750°F. At this point, all of the analytical techniques were reevaluated and recalibrated. Continued hydrogasification testing became part of a follow-on project, DE-FE0001099 "Integrated Energy System with Beneficial Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Use (IES)". Thirteen successful tests were conducted from January to March 2010, under the follow-on Cooperative Agreement and the results of those hydrogasification tests are reported in the Final Topical Report for that project. The IES project was officially terminated by APS on March 31, 2010.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2 ALGAE TESTING

2.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

2.1.1 INTRODUCTION TO ALGAE

Algae have existed for 3.5 billion years, having adapted and evolved in many of earth's ecosystems. They have adapted to live on land in the snows of mountains, in cryptobiotic crusts found in deserts and grassland soils, or embedded within the surfaces of rocks in deserts. There are thousands of species of algae. They are made up of carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins, where carbohydrates can be used to make ethanol; the lipids can be used to produce diesel and aviation fuels; and proteins can be used for food additives. They produce oxygen while consuming CO₂ and are the original source of most of the fossil fuels we use today.

Although there exists a market for some algal species in food additives, nutrients, cosmetics, and fish food, using algae farms to capture and recycle CO₂ as a means of addressing climate change and developing a new energy source, is a new industry, creating new jobs. The concept of using algae to create biofuels has been explored in the United States since the 1970s. The idea has gained momentum over the last several years due to the escalating costs of fossil fuels and concerns about energy independence and climate change.

Algae can be cultivated on arid land using low-quality water and can be grown without compromising farmlands and forests. They do not require potable or even agricultural quality water to grow. Microalgae are single-cell plant, and do not grow but divide. In good growing conditions algae can divide every few hours. Because of this doubling capacity, algae can be harvested daily and offers a biological option for carbon recycling from CO₂ emission sources. Certain species of algae can have high lipid content. These lipids can be removed and processed into liquid transportation fuels (e.g., biodiesel, ethanol, and military fuel), constituting a beneficial reuse of CO₂ and offsetting an equivalent amount of imported petroleum that typically fuels the nation's transportation needs. Algae have yield of oil per acre that is approximately two orders of magnitude higher than those of traditional plant materials used to produce biofuels. Table 2-1 provides a summary of potential oil yield from algae versus yield from other plant materials.

Table 2-1 – Plant Material Oil Yields

Plant Material	Oil Yield Gallon/Acre/Year
Algae	1600-6500
Corn	13
Soy	47
Safflower	83
Jobba	192
Coconut	290
Palm	640

There are several operating microalgae farms in the world. The harvesting of seaweed (algae) from the ocean is a commercial process, but this operation as well as other commercial operations like shrimp farms are excluded from this discussion.

The largest of the microalgae farms are “open” systems. The term open means that the water culture is open to the air. These are low-cost systems and could be raceways, open ponds, or circular agitated ponds. Open pond systems are very good in wastewater applications. Ponds are used in fisheries applications, generally grow algae as needed for their primary business, and generally yield low growth (5 grams per square meter per day ($\text{g/m}^2/\text{d}$)) of many species.

Raceways are also open systems and are subject to many predation problems, evaporation losses, and low CO_2 capture efficiency. Raceways are commercial systems used to grow a single species. Raceways are considered to be the lowest-cost farm systems, producing algae for about \$5,000/ton (dry) at growth rates up to about $20 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{d}$. The largest raceway system is about 50 acres. In Israel, one Seambiotic farm operates a small raceway system being fed with

flue gas from a coal-fired power plant (see Figure 2-1 and Figure 2-2). This farm is supplied with water from the plant condenser cooling water, which uses once-through Mediterranean Sea water. Seambiotic also operates a 20-acre open raceway farm in the southern Negev desert, which uses water from the Red Sea.

Figure 2-1 – Ashqelon Israel, 2000-MW Coal-Fired Power Plant

CO₂ is trucked to this farm from Egypt. This algae crop is used for human consumption (Figure 2-2).

Figure 2-2 – Natural Beta Technologies Ltd, Elat Israel, Open Raceway Algae Farm

There are closed algal systems that use numerous bioreactors in parallel or series combinations. These systems have several advantages, among which are higher productivity, higher purity, less water loss, and lower predator control issues. Tubular bioreactors are a type of closed-system bioreactor and are typically small-diameter glass tubes (see Figure 2-3). The bioreactor systems are generally small and easily maintained, growing a small amount of algae as a high-value crop. These systems are highly effective, capturing up to 98 wt% of the CO₂ injected to the culture. To date, these systems have high capital and operations and maintenance (O&M) costs. The CO₂ is purchased commercially and injected into the culture. Water is not recycled. These systems are very effective in growing high-value, quality algae and are good for pharmaceuticals, human food products, chemicals, etc. The growth rates of algae in these systems are higher than open systems. Production costs are about \$10,000/ton (dry). The largest tubular bioreactor system is in IGV Institute, Germany, at about 3 acres (See Figure 2-4). All of these types of commercial algae farms typically purchase CO₂ at a cost of about \$500/ton.

Figure 2-3 – Aquatechnologies Algae Farm in Ketura, Israel - High-Value Products

There are also a number of experimental algae systems that have been developed at universities and privately. Many of these systems are impressive in their production rates. These researchers are providing additional insight into photosynthesis efficiency. (The research applications of the University of Arizona are a good example of very effective research being performed.) As effective as

these systems have been, they do not address the following key challenges: successful application of flue gas, rational economics, and proven levels of CO₂ capture from flue gas, which are among the major goals of this project.

Figure 2-4 – Left photo: BPS Algae Farm in Klotze, Germany. Right photo: 10,000-M² System Operating since 2002.

2.1.2 APS/GREENFUEL PARTNERSHIP

APS began its evaluation of algae as a CO₂ recycling option in early 2005, when the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) initiated its algae R&D project and began injecting flue gas from their campus utility boiler into a series of algae-filled tubes (Figure 2-5). Shortly thereafter APS contracted with the newly formed GreenFuel Technologies (formed by the MIT researchers working on the project) to perform a demonstration of CO₂ recycling from algae in

Arizona at the Redhawk Power Plant. A tubular system (GEN3, see Figure 2-6), similar to that developed in the MIT's R&D project, was built and operation was initiated at Redhawk in August 2005. GEN3 was operated continuously with flue gas until November 2006. GEN3 was an expensive system, energy intensive and maintenance intensive, and it could not be scaled for utility use; but it grew algae at a high rate (57 g/m²/d). GreenFuel subsequently constructed a new prototype system (GEN5 or vertical thin film (VTF)) in an attempt to address some of the limitations of the GEN3 system and placed it into operation in April 2007 (Figure 2-7). GEN5 was a 1000-m² system and initially grew algae as designed, but failed by June 2007 due to challenges in maintaining the correct culture conditions for the algae. The GEN5 system was then reduced to 100 m² and operated for 3 weeks. After the 3-week operational period at the reduced size, GreenFuel ended its GEN5 system operation in August 2007. It was estimated that several million dollars was spent in developing and demonstrating the GEN5 system. GreenFuel claimed very high growth rates with the GEN5 system, but experienced severe problems in continuous operation. Meanwhile during the contractual period between APS and GreenFuel, GreenFuel engaged Inventure Chemicals, Gig Harbor, Washington, to develop a propriety process to produce biodiesel from algal lipids and ethanol from the remaining biomass. Inventure produced products that met the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) requirements for biodiesel and ethanol. Further algae testing occurred when APS hired GreenFuel to perform "adaptation studies" of several candidate algae at its 2000 MW Four Corners (4C) Power Plant in Farmington, New Mexico (Figure 2-8). After 3 months of studies using the water and flue gas at 4C, GreenFuel provided an Adaptation Report in December 2007 showing that several algal species had flourished in the 4C environment. In addition to their studies at Redhawk and 4C, GreenFuel assisted with the planning and provided training for the "bag farm" installed at APS's 3rd Avenue R&D facilities in Phoenix, Arizona, in 2008. GreenFuel also performed initial strain selection for the project and provided inoculum for the first inoculation of the bag farm (see Section 2.2 of this report).

Figure 2-5 – GreenFuel Early Demonstration at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Massachusetts, 2004

Figure 2-6 – GreenFuel Gen3 System at APS's Redhawk Power Plant in Arizona 2005–2006

Figure 2-7 – GreenFuel Gen5 System at APS's Redhawk Power Plant in Arizona, June 2007

Figure 2-8 – GreenFuel Algae Adaptation Study at APS’s Four Corners Power Plant, Farmington, New Mexico, December 2007

2.1.3 INCORPORATION OF ALGAE WORK INTO SNG PROJECT

Concerned about greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from its fossil plants and potential liability if the U.S. adopts strict GHG controls to address climate change, in 2008, APS began to work with DOE’s NETL to explore expanded possibilities for CO₂ capture through algae, with the goal of determining whether progress on carbon capture from fossil power plant flue gas was feasible. APS was in a favorable position to work with algae experts on how to integrate an algae system into an operating power plant with the intent of capturing CO₂ emissions. APS operates 4000 MW of natural gas (NG) generation, and owns and/or operates an additional 5000 MW of coal generation. The potential synergies of algae and power plant systems had not been previously considered. To fit into a power plant environment, the algae bioreactor system must be robust, scalable, water sensitive, and economic to be a viable alternative for CO₂ capture.

APS proposed to demonstrate scalable carbon capture from a power plant slipstream with algae by investigating a scalable prototype algae farm system consisting of multiple prototype units

(up to 120,000 liters (L) of culture on one unit). The project proposed to integrate with an operating power plant and demonstrate continuous operation capturing CO₂, growing algae, harvesting algae, and managing overall operations to demonstrate control, production rates, and economics. The evaluation of the prototype system would also provide a baseline for economies of scale for increasing size and transferability to larger fossil-fuel combustion operations.

The algal biomass produced was to be collected and analyzed for oils, carbon and other significant chemical content. Limited amounts of algal oil were to be extracted to provide an algal crude oil source for processing into useful military fuel. Samples of algae paste, dried algae, algal crude oil, and algal paste would be delivered to NETL and outside labs for independent analyses and studies. These laboratories include (1) University of Kentucky Center for Applied Energy Research (CAER), Lexington, Kentucky; (2) Arizona State University (ASU) School of Life Sciences, Gilbert, Arizona; (3) POS Pilot Plant Corporation, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada; (4) DynaSep LLC, Newark, Delaware; (5) New Jersey Feed Laboratory, Ewing, New Jersey; (6) ConocoPhillips, Bartlesville, Oklahoma and (7) the National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL).

APS also proposed to develop and implement a plan for laboratory development of high-value liquid fuel products from algae oil, development of algal oil extraction techniques and development of a process to produce liquid carbon carrier(s) certified to meet military standards (such as Jet Propellant 5 (JP-5) and/or Fuel Oil (F-76)). A sample of military fuel was to be delivered to NETL for independent analysis of liquid quality. Heavy metal absorption by algae was proposed to be re-evaluated also in a slipstream of coal flue gas.

This portion of the project aimed to address the emission of CO₂ from industrial processes, including the hydrogasification process and emission from the combustion of SNG produced by hydrogasification. The integration of algae into the APS advanced hydrogasification process (AHP) for carbon recycling consumes the CO₂ emissions from the AHP and combustion of SNG produced by hydrogasification. It also produces renewable biofuels and other high-value by-products through growth of algae. Although it adds an additional expense with the accompanying land and energy use, the potential high-value by-products from algae addition would help offset some of the operational costs versus other CO₂ capture methods.

Two algal R&D facilities were built for the project. The 3rd Avenue APS R&D facility was located in downtown Phoenix. Facilities included a laboratory, algal nursery, 70-foot-diameter

thermal pond, a bag farm (100 bags, each with 80 L capacity) with support infrastructure, and a bioreactor fabrication area. The second facility was a CO₂-capture demonstration project at the APS 1000 MW Redhawk Power Plant, located 55 miles west of Phoenix. This site had a 2000-m² algae farming footprint. It incorporated water treatment, inoculation, flue gas CO₂ concentration and delivery, dewatering, algae grinding, and an algae paste storage system.

2.2 INITIAL ALGAE PRODUCTION

The algae portion of the SNG project began primarily in the first quarter of 2008. At that time, efforts focused on producing algae biomass to supply the work on lipid extraction and biofuel production performed by offsite laboratories. As the project progressed, the algae nursery, strain selection and analytical work were directed to the site. Additionally, a prototype six meter radius (6M) bioreactor was designed, built, and tested at the 3rd Avenue R&D Facility in Phoenix, Arizona (see Figure 2-15).

During the period of January 1 through March 31, 2008, a large 8000 L outdoor bag farm was built over a 4-week construction period at the 3rd Avenue R&D facility. The initial function of the bag farm was to generate large quantities of algal biomass for processing to algae oil, and this product was then transported for further processing at offsite laboratories. As the project progressed, the bag farm became increasingly utilized for inoculum development.

The bag farm is depicted in Figure 2-9 and was built with a capacity of 100 bags. The farm consisted of several freestanding pallet frames assembled together and located outdoors in an east-to-west orientation. Each frame was intended to support four 80 L bags made of 8-millimeter thick clear polyethylene suspended from each side of the frame.

Figure 2-9 – Bag Farm at 3rd Avenue R&D Facility

A liquid CO₂ tank, blowers, polyvinyl chloride (PVC) piping and valves comprising the gas delivery system provided a CO₂/air mix and turbulence to the cultures. A water misting system was in place for the purpose of evaporative cooling, effective in the arid Arizona climate.

The farm was first commissioned on April 2, 2008. GreenFuel Technologies Corporation (GreenFuel) provided onsite training to the farm's staff over a two-week period and provided the inoculation culture which was given the identifier name GF3. Initial inoculation of the bag farm occurred April 6, 2008, and it was continuously operated with the GF3 algae strain culture until August 23, 2008. The algae strain was not identified beyond the GF3 designation due to the proprietary nature of the information. The seed culture at a density of approximately 6 g/L was shipped from GreenFuel and was used to inoculate bags equaling 4000 L total culture volume (half the capacity of the system). The operational procedure included a ten-day growth period after an individual bag inoculation or longer to ensure a harvested culture with a density of at least 1 g/L.

When maintaining cultures in continuous operation, a harvest entailed removal of 50 wt% of any individual bag culture followed by replenishing the removed volume with an equal volume of growth medium. Otherwise, all of the cultures were harvested and combined for appropriate use. If algal paste was desired, the cultures were concentrated and dewatered by centrifugation. The resulting paste was then frozen and stored or shipped to partner sites as necessary. Daily sampling was performed on every tenth bag to ensure the growth and health of the cultures within normal ranges.

The daily samples evaluated the following parameters: pH, temperature, fluorescence, and microscope observations. The daily sampling technique was standardized as much as possible including collection times and analysis periods. Generally, GF3 required 10 days to grow from inoculation at 0.2 g/L to 1.0 g/L, and 7 days from 0.5 g/L to 1.0 g/L. Harvesting of GF3 began April 20, 2008 and included harvests of about 320 L of algal culture from 4 bags each day (Monday through Friday). Centrifugation of this harvest generally yielded 4 L of algal paste. In total, about 300 kilograms (kg) of algae paste and 500 grams (g) of dried algae resulted from these bag-farm harvests, which were sent to the outside labs for lipid extraction and the generation of JP-8 (jet fuel). Since lipids are the portion of the algae that is used in the production of liquid fuel, it was a goal of the project to cultivate algae in a manner that maximized lipid content.

GF3 algae biomass and algae oil were analyzed by ASU and POS in the fiscal fourth quarter (July–September) of 2008. Based on the ASU report, the total lipid content of GF3 was found to be 20.3 wt% based on fatty acid analysis, in which neutral lipid content in dry weight was 4.2 wt%; and polar lipid content in dry weight was 15.4 wt%. Table 2-2 provides the details of the analyses conducted on the cultivated algae. To obtain neutral lipid and polar lipid contents data, freeze-dried algae samples were extracted with methanol at 40°C (140°F) for 40 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant removed, and the solid phase was extracted with a mixture of hexane and ether (1:1, volume to volume (v/v)). Diethyl ester, hexane, and water were added to the combined supernatants, so as to form a ratio of 1:1:1:1 (v/v/v/v). The mixture was shaken and then extracted twice with a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane (1:1, v/v). The organic phases were combined, evaporated to dryness and weighed for neutral lipid content. The methanol and water phases were combined, also evaporated to dryness and weighed for polar lipid content.

Fatty acid analyses were conducted by gas chromatography (GC) after direct transmethylation of fatty acids with sulphuric acid in methanol. The fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) obtained were extracted with hexane containing 0.8 wt% butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and analyzed by HP-6890 GC (Hewlett-Packard) equipped with HP7673 injector, a flame-ionization detector, and an HP-INNOWAX™ capillary column (HP 1909N-133, 30 meter (m) by 0.25 millimeter (mm) by 0.25 micrometer (μm)). A 2-μl sample was injected using the split-less injection mode. The inlet and detector

**Table 2-2 – Fatty Acid Analysis of GF3
by ASU**

Fatty acids	Percentage (wt%) of Fatty Acids in Total Fatty Acids
C6:0	0
C8:0	0.04
C10:0	0.02
C11:0	0.02
C12:0	1.75
C13:0	1.40
C14:0	0.01
C14:1	0.04
C15:0	0.8
C15:1	0.03
C16:0	26.40
C16:1	2.60
C17:1	8.40
C18:0	21.10
n9t C18:1	0.02
n9c C18:1	0.60
C18:2	30.30
C20:0	3.25
n6c C18:3	2.80
n3 C18:3	0
C20:1	0.03
C21:0	0.02
C20:2	0.07
C22:0	0
n6C20:3	0
n3C20:3	0.06
n9 C22:1	0.03
C23:0	0
C20:4	0
C20:5	0
C24:0	0.03
C24:1	0.02
C22:6	0.03

temperatures were kept at 250°C and 270°C (482°F to 518°F), respectively; the oven temperature was programmed from 170°C to 220°C (338°F to 428°F) increasing at 1°C/min (34°F). High-purity nitrogen gas was used as the carrier gas. FAMES were identified by comparing their retention times with those of the authentic standards (supplied by Sigma) and were quantified by comparing their peak areas with that of the internal standard (C17:0). Table 2-2 gives the fatty acid composition. It shows that the major species have carbon chain lengths of 16 and 18, and about 34 wt% lipids contain a saturated carbon chain.

POS processed relatively large quantities of samples. After freeze-drying the paste, they normally ground the algae into fine powder using a bead grinder. This grinding process was expected to mechanically break the algae cell wall so that neutral lipids could be easily accessed and extracted by hexane. Due to the banana shape of the GF3 strain (Figure 2-10), the breakage of cell walls through this grinding process was not very effective. Extending the grinding time was required. At the end, POS obtained about 3 wt% neutral lipids based on dry basis by using hexane as solvent. This generally agreed with the ASU results. The chain length of major species was determined to be 18. In addition, POS results indicated that the GF3 strain had enriched unsaturated fatty acids of ~58 wt%. Omega 3, 6, and 9 are about 54 wt% total fatty acids, as shown in Table 2-3.

Figure 2-10 – The GF3 Strain under Microscope

Table 2-3 – Fatty Acid Analysis of GF3 by POS

Analyte	Result Units
Elemental Analysis	
Phosphorus	22.0 ppm
Fatty Acid Profile	
	wt%
C6 Caproic	0.03 wt%
C14 Myristic	1.40 wt%
C14:1 Tetradecenoic	0.07 wt%
C15 Pentadecanoic	0.05 wt%
C16 Palmitic	3.73 wt%
C16:1 Hexadecenoic	2.89 wt%
C17:1n7 Heptadecenoic	0.08 wt%
C18 Stearic	0.36 wt%
C18:1n9 Oleic	7.88 wt%
C18:10octadecenoic	0.40 wt%
C18:2 Linoleic	13.70 wt%
C18:3n6 gamma-Linolenic	0.73 wt%
C18:3n3 alpha-Linolenic	23.10 wt%
C18:4 Octadecatetraenoic	8.27 wt%
C20 Arachidic	0.05 wt%
C20: 1 Eicosenoic	0.15 wt%
C20:2n6 Eicosadienoic	0.05 wt%
C20:3n3 Eicosatrienoic	0.09 wt%
C20:4n3 Eicosatetraenoic	0.03 wt%
C20:5n3 Eicosapentaenoic	0.27 wt%
C22 Behenic	0.24 wt%
C22:1n9 Erucic	0.03 wt%
C22:5n3 Docosapentaenoic 7,10,13,16,19	0.09 wt%
C24 Lignoceric	0.41 wt%
C24: 1 n9 Nervonic	0.03 wt%
Others	35.88 wt%
Total Saturates	6.27 wt%
Total Monounsaturates	11.53 wt%
Total Polyunsaturates	46.33 wt%
Total Omega 3	31.85 wt%
Total Omega 6	14.48 wt%
Total Omega 9	8.09 wt%

After the evaluation of the GF3 strain, work began to assemble an in-house algae lab and to expand the 3rd Avenue R&D Facility to facilitate algae strain selection. The GF3 strain is the only algae species cultivated in the bag farm at the 3rd Avenue site; all subsequent growth phases in the bag farm following GF3 were to support inoculum development.

2.3 ALGAE LABORATORY AT 3RD AVENUE R&D FACILITY

2.3.1 ALGAE LABORATORY SETUP

The algae laboratory at 3rd Avenue, Phoenix was assembled from January 1 to March 31, 2008. The initial lab setup had two main purposes: (1) to monitor culture growth and health and (2) to concentrate and store biomass for shipment. To support and ensure culture growth and health, the lab was equipped with a light microscope, pH meters, temperature gun, fluorescence meter, balances, and other basic lab consumables. To concentrate, dewater, and dry the algae, two Lavin centrifuges and a tray dryer were purchased. The lab was erected with laboratory benches, a fume hood, a walk-in fume hood, a Parr high-pressure autoclave, and Agilent GC/MS for lipid analysis and fuel production work. As the project progressed, more equipment was added to keep cultures sterile, to improve density measurements, and to expand analytical work to oil extraction. This included adding an oven, a furnace, a bench-top centrifuge, a freeze-drier, an autoclave, a shaker table, a Soxhlet extraction apparatus and lab furniture. Additionally, an algae nursery and a thin-film bag culture system were also installed in the lab. Figure 2-11 shows a portion of the APS algae laboratory.

Figure 2-11 – APS 3rd Avenue Algae Lab, Phoenix, Arizona

2.3.2 ALGAE STRAIN SELECTION

Algae strain selection is a critical factor in the success of the project. Each strain has a constrained set of conditions in which it will grow optimally, and specific lipids and cell products that it can produce. Algal strains grown outside the ranges of its ideal conditions may grow slowly, abnormally or even die. Additionally, growing a species that does not have the high lipid content desired by the project was not viable. Therefore, choosing an algae strain that grows ideally under project conditions, such as site temperatures and water source, and that makes the desired products was an important aspect of project planning.

The specific characteristics screened in this project focused on finding algae species that can have a high growth rate, high carbon content, and high lipid content in the dried algae biomass. The lipid profile of the strain should be compatible with fuel production, mainly short to mid-chain fatty acids. The oil should be easily extractable. A secondary yet important screening criterion is the ability to settle naturally for ease of decanting (to minimize energy needed and associated cost for separating the algae from the water). The traditional method of utilizing a centrifuge to separate the algae from the water is an energy intensive step that on a commercial scale would not be economically viable. A culture that settles naturally by gravity to the bottom of a culture vessel when agitation is removed represents a significant opportunity for energy and cost savings in dewatering the biomass. Other desirable parameters were tolerance to solar irradiance, culture salinity, and pump-shear sensitivity. The potential candidates were intended to be robust enough to survive and overcome inadvertent system anomalies, not accumulate heavy metals that could be present in the flue gas from a coal-fired power plant, and be able to resist desert spores and local bacteria.

Initially, species selection and screening for the project was performed by GreenFuel. They selected and provided the initial strain grown on the 3rd Avenue site, GF3, in April 2008. Based on the initial algae production study, the GF3 strain was reported to have a wide temperature range tolerability of 17°C to 40°C (32°F to 104°F); be able to tolerate the Arizona heat and system fluctuations; have a fast growth rate; and produce a short carbon chain, which was ideal for military aviation fuel processing. However, initial attempts at oil extraction by outside labs yielded lower than expected neutral lipid content (less than 5 wt% neutral lipids). Thus, future in-house species selection and screening was initiated for the project to identify novel candidates.

The species candidates considered in this selection process took into account the history of past research from commercial, academic, and governmental projects, as well as the team's collective experience and advice from algal experts in Israel, Germany, Texas, and Arizona. The species considered were *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Nannochloris* sp., *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Selenastrum* sp., *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Scenedesmus acutus*, and *Scenedesmus dimorphus* as they were purported to have many of the desired characteristics required by the project. All of these cultures were grown onsite and evaluated for their potential.

Three species emerged as leading candidates: *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Selenastrum*, and *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Chlorella* was eliminated due to a lack of settling and a tendency to accumulate carbohydrates rather than lipids under stress conditions. *Nannochloris* was removed from consideration because it lacked the ability to settle and did not accumulate lipids under stress conditions. All three leading candidates were evaluated at the APS 3rd Avenue R&D facility using the 6M cultivation and harvesting system after indoor lab evaluation.

2.3.2.1 Algae Strain Evaluation – *Nannochloropsis*

Nannochloropsis, a marine species, emerged as a candidate because in previous studies this strain was shown to grow successfully on coal flue gas without showing negative impacts from the heavy metals and because it accumulates significant amounts of oil. Since the species tolerates lower temperatures generally 18°C to 20°C (64.4°F to 68°F), it was proposed as a good candidate for growth in the cooler winter months.

In Table 2-4, samples 1 and 2 were *Nannochloropsis* using indoor cultivation. *Nannochloropsis* was found to reach total fat levels of ~23 wt% biomass (sample 1), with neutral lipid content of ~7.5 wt%. When stressed (sample 2), *Nannochloropsis* could accumulate up to 34 wt% total fat and 18 wt% of the biomass as neutral lipids.

Nannochloropsis was selected for the first growth trial in the 6M cultivation system in Phoenix due to these promising laboratory results. Two growth periods were performed in the 6M cultivator with *Nannochloropsis* from February 12 to March 15, 2009 and from April 2 to May 18, 2009. (This data is detailed later in this report.) It was determined that the culture could capture close to 90 wt% of CO₂ introduced in this outdoor cultivation system. The average growth rate of the strain reached 7 g/m²/d. When culture temperatures were over the safe maximum (20 °C [60 °F]), it affected the health and growth of the culture. On May 17, before the adverse effects of elevated summer temperatures, the culture was fully harvested to protect the quality of the

harvested biomass. At this time, *Nannochloropsis* trials were discontinued and the species was replaced with a higher-temperature tolerant species.

Efforts by external laboratories to extract algal oil from the *Nannochloropsis* species were unsatisfactory and similar to the results of attempting oil extraction from GF3 (see Section 2.5.1.2). The resulting product proved unsuitable for fuel production. *Nannochloropsis* test data indicated that it was not likely a good candidate for the project due to temperature limitations and the oil extraction issue.

2.3.2.2 Algae Strain Evaluation – *Selenastrum*

In laboratory experiments, *Selenastrum* sp was found to accumulate a significant amount of oil under stress. When growing exponentially, *Selenastrum* had approximate 18 wt% total fat and 14 wt% neutral lipids (sample 3 in Table 2-4). When under nutrient stress conditions, *Selenastrum* was found to accumulate up to 35 wt% total fat, in which 30 wt% was neutral lipids (samples 4 and 5 in Table 2-4).

The 6M outdoor test of *Selenastrum* was started on May 22, 2009. *Selenastrum* was found to meet productivity expectations in an initial growth period with an average productivity of 22 g/m²/d. However, subsequent increasing culture temperatures due to high ambient temperatures in Phoenix corresponded to a decrease in productivity down to 6–7 g/m²/d.

The fact that the growth rate of the culture decreased over time indicated that a more robust species of algae may be necessary for the high temperature and irradiance conditions found in Phoenix. Additionally, *Selenastrum* did not exhibit a characteristic to settle, thus the dewatering energy input for this species would be high. As a result of these observations, *Selenastrum* did not remain a high-priority candidate and the testing focus shifted to the remaining candidate with settling characteristics - *Scenedesmus*.

2.3.2.2 Algae Strain Evaluation – *Scenedesmus*

The 6M cultivator was inoculated with *Scenedesmus* on August 17, 2009. Within this system, *Scenedesmus* demonstrated a good growth rate, peaking at 20 g/m²/d and great tolerance to varying conditions. The culture was temperature and sunlight tolerant, withstanding the daytime irradiance and temperature highs of August and the night temperature lows in November. The culture demonstrated resistance to the other algal contaminants and survived in the presence of algae grazers. *Scenedesmus* demonstrated self-settling characteristics. An increase in density from 1.5 g/L to 48 g/L by self-settling was demonstrated in a period of 5 hours. However, based

on indoor lab studies, its total fat and neutral lipid levels were lower than *Selenastrum* when stressed, ranging between 8 wt% to 15 wt% total fat and 7 wt% to 16 wt% neutral lipids (samples 6 through 10 shown in Table 2-4). However, both total fat and lipid levels were equal to those of *Selenastrum* when neither species were stressed. The analysis nevertheless indicated that a larger proportion of the total fat was made up of neutral lipids in *Scenedesmus*.

These studies also indicated that among the three species tested in the 6M cultivator in Phoenix, *Scenedesmus* had the characteristics that make it the optimal choice for cultivation at APS's Redhawk testing facility, especially if better algae stressing technology could be developed to significantly increase its lipid content and reduce the chlorophyll content at the same time. A high amount of lipids is essential as a high amount will yield a large quantity of fuel. The studies stressed algae by depriving it of either nitrates or phosphates which are nutrients essential for algae growth. Results showed by removing nitrates from the system that the lipid content of the biomass from this species could be increased from approximately 10% to 35%. An additional advantage of stressing the system by removing nitrates is that it also causes the amount of chlorophyll to decrease. Having the algae devoid of chlorophyll makes oil extraction easier.

Study on *Scenedesmus* was continued under a follow-on Cooperative Agreement, DOE award DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System (IES) with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its Final Technical Closeout Report for further detailed study on this species.

A complete list of the algae lipid analyses conducted for *Nannochloropsis*, *Selenastrum* and *Scenedesmus* along with a brief description of the imposed experimental and culture conditions can be found in Table 2-4.

Table 2-4 – Lipid Content Study for Algae Strain Selection

Sample #	Species	Vessel	Location	Location Purpose of Sample	Experimental Condition Notes	Test Ordered	Total Fat (wt% of biomass)	Neutral Lipid (wt% of biomass)
1	Nannochloropsis	Thin Film	Indoor	Winter Species Optimization	Dewatered cultivator culture placed directly in thin film reactor for 2d	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	22.85	7.45
2	Nannochloropsis	Thin Film	Indoor	Winter Species Optimization	Grown until nutrient depletion and stationary phase reached	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	34.81	18.50
3	Selenastrum	Bottle	Indoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Grown without limitation, re-suspended in nutrient deplete medium	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction) Amino Acid profile (Methods 1 and 2)	18.09	13.88
4	Selenastrum	Thin Film	Indoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Grown until nutrient depletion and stationary phase reached	Proximate Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	35.04	30.58
5	Selenastrum	Thin Film	Indoor	Redhawk Species Optimization	Grown until nutrient depletion and stationary phase reached	Proximate Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	37.47	33.90
6	Scenedesmus	Bottle	Indoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Nonstressed control bottle	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	8.56	8.40
7	Scenedesmus	Bag	Outdoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Old outdoor bag	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	12.8	9.17
8	Scenedesmus	Bottle	Indoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Grown without limitation, re-suspended in nutrient deplete medium for 4d	Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	9.47	9.07
9	Scenedesmus	Thin Film	Indoor	Redhawk Species Selection	Grown until nutrient depletion and stationary phase reached	Proximate Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction)	15.86	12.89
10	Scenedesmus	Thin Film	Indoor	Redhawk Species Optimization	Grown until nutrient depletion and stationary phase reached	Proximate Fat (Acid Hydrolysis) Fatty Acid Profile (and extraction) Amino Acid profile (Methods 1 and 2)	19.98	16.80

Source: Analytical data is from New Jersey Feed Laboratory (NJFL)

2.3.3 ALGAE GROWTH MEDIUM

An important aspect of an algae culture is the algae growth medium, which provides nutrients required for the algae. For this project, an artificial seawater medium was used for the marine strain of microalgae investigated, *Nannochloropsis*, and a freshwater medium was used for the other strains of algae investigated - *Selenastrum*, and *Scenedesmus*.

To produce the artificial seawater medium, a series of stock solutions was created containing the appropriate levels of concentrated chemical nutrients. These stock solutions were then mixed together in the appropriate quantities with water to make the growth medium. The artificial seawater medium also required the addition of an artificial sea salt since a source of filtered seawater was not available. These artificial sea salt mixes are available from many pet stores and online aquarium supply companies. Bulk sources of NaCl can also be used, but the level of other constituents in these sources must be well known and examined to ensure that no harmful compounds are present in the salt which may reduce growth of the algae. The production of the artificial seawater medium began with the production of the first two nutrient stocks (see Table 2-5). For each of these nutrient stocks, the micronutrient stock and the iron solution were created separately in solutions with one part nutrient to 1000 parts water.

Table 2-5 –Recipe for the Creation of the Artificial Seawater Medium Used for Marine Algae Strains

Artificial Seawater Medium Preparation		
Micronutrients		
Fill a clean 1 L beaker about halfway with deionized or distilled water, weigh the listed components. Mix components and bring to final volume of 1 L with deionized or distilled water. Transfer the solution to a labeled clean 1 L media bottle and autoclave.		
Chemical Component	To Make 1 L of One Thousand Times (1000X) Stock, Add Grams (g)	Final Preparation
ZnSO ₄ *7H ₂ O	0.0220	Use 1 mL of this stock per 1 L of media
MnC12*4H ₂ O	0.1800	
Na ₂ MoO ₄ *2H ₂ O	0.0063	
CuSO ₄ *5H ₂ O	0.0098	
CoC ₁₂ *6H ₂ O	0.0100	
Iron Solution		
Fill a clean 1 L beaker about halfway with deionized or distilled water, weigh the listed components. Mix components and bring to final volume of 1 L with deionized or distilled water. Transfer the solution to a labeled clean 1 L media bottle and autoclave.		
Chemical Component	To Make 1 L of 1000X Stock, Add Grams (g)	Final Preparation
FeC ₁₃ *6H ₂ O	3.1500	Use 1 mL of this stock per 1 L of media
Na ₂ EDTA*2H ₂ O	4.3600	
Macronutrient Portion		
To the final medium vessel and volume desired, add the following components and mix well.		
Chemical Component	Per L of Medium Needed, Add	Final Vessel
NaNO ₃	0.075 g	Combine all ingredients in the appropriately sized vessel and volume of medium required
NaH ₂ PO ₄ *H ₂ O	0.005 g	
Micronutrient stock	1 mL	
Iron Solution	1 mL	
Artificial sea salt mix	36 g	

Once 1 mL of each of the two micronutrient liquid stocks was added per liter of macronutrient medium required, the appropriate amounts of the designated nitrogen and phosphorus sources were added, followed by the artificial sea salt mix as outlined in Table 2-5. Once all components were mixed together, the final medium was autoclaved. Alternatively, depending on the sterility of the culture desired, in some cases, each stock was autoclaved, but the final medium was not. Once the medium had cooled and been well mixed, inoculum was added to the medium in the cultivation device.

The above method for the creation of medium works well for small quantities in the laboratory and for large batches (up to 20 L) of the liquid stock of the iron solution shown in Table 2-5, but

for all other medium components, the appropriate amounts for the total desired culture volume were simply weighed and added directly to a volume of water in the large cultivation devices, along with the liquid iron solution.

Freshwater medium was created by initially making the separate liquid stocks outlined in Table 2-6. As with the marine medium, these stock solutions were then added with the macronutrients in the appropriate ratios outlined below to create the final one time (1X) freshwater culture medium.

**Table 2-6 – Medium Recipe for the Creation of the
Freshwater Medium Used for Freshwater Algae Strains**

Freshwater Medium Preparation		
Micronutrients		
Fill a clean 1 L beaker about halfway with deionized or distilled water, weigh the listed components. Mix components and bring to final volume of 1 L with deionized or distilled water. Transfer the solution to a labeled clean 1 L media bottle and autoclave.		
Chemical Component	To make 1 L of 1000X Stock, Add Grams (g)	Final Preparation
ZnSO ₄ *7H ₂ O	0.2200	Use 1 mL of this stock per 1 L of media
MnC ₁₂ *4H ₂ O	1.8100	
Na ₂ MoO ₄ *2H ₂ O	0.3900	
CuSO ₄ *5H ₂ O	0.0790	
Co(NO ₃)2*6H ₂ O	0.0490	
MgSO ₄ *7H ₂ O	7.5000	
Citric acid*H ₂ O	6.0000	
CaC ₁₂ *2H ₂ O	36.0000	
Na ₂ CO ₃	20.0000	
H ₃ BO ₃	2.6000	
Iron Solution		
Fill a clean 1 L beaker about halfway with deionized or distilled water, weigh the listed components. Mix components and bring to final volume of 1 L with deionized or distilled water. Transfer the solution to a labeled clean 1 L media bottle and autoclave.		
Chemical Component	To make 1 L of 1000X Stock , Add Grams (g)	Final Preparation
NH ₄ ferric citrate	6.0000	Use 1 mL of this stock per 1 L of media
Na ₂ EDTA*2H ₂ O	1.0000	
Macronutrient portion		
To the final medium vessel and volume desired, add the following components and mix well.		
Chemical Component	Per L of Medium Needed Add	Final Vessel
NaNO ₃	1.5 g	Combine all ingredients in the appropriately sized vessel and volume of medium required
K ₂ HPO ₄	0.04 g	
Micronutrient stock	1 mL	
Iron solution	1 mL	

Once the fresh water stock solutions were made, they were added to the appropriate volume of water as outlined in Table 2-6, combined with the nitrogen and phosphorous sources, mixed well, and then autoclaved if the individual stocks had not previously been autoclaved. After cooling, if autoclaved, the medium was then combined with inoculum in the cultivation vessel. As with the preparation of the seawater medium, this technique worked well for small amounts of medium in the laboratory and in inoculum production, but a different technique had to be applied for the large-scale outdoor cultures, although the recipe was the same. For large-scale cultures, the iron solution in Table 2-6 was still prepared in a large volume of water, but all other medium components were individually weighed given the final volume of medium required, and the chemical components were added directly to the water in the cultivation vessel.

In this manner, both marine and freshwater strains of algae were cultured. As a result, the cultures could be investigated during the screening process and subsequent inoculum production and cultivation testing for this project.

2.3.4 ALGAE NURSERY AND BOTTLE CULTURE MAINTENANCE

To aid in strain selection and to keep clean seed stocks of cultures for scale-up, an algae nursery was added to the 3rd Avenue Lab facility between July 1 and September 31, 2008. The purpose of the nursery was to provide a clean, cool, and stable environment to keep and maintain algal monocultures. The nursery provided an environment unlikely to introduce contamination to the cultures while maintaining conditions optimal for robust, dense growth of most algal strains. This environment consisted of a partially enclosed, temperature-controlled room equipped with shelving and tables to support cultures and lighting fixtures. The lighting fixtures were double banks of fluorescent lighting with output of approximately 200 micro Einstein per meter squared per second ($\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) providing illumination to the cultures on a continuous basis. A supply of 3–5 wt% CO_2 /air mix was bubbled from CO_2 cylinders and an air pump into the bottom of the bottle cultures to provide mixing of air and CO_2 . A shaker table was also added to shake cultures not bubbled with the CO_2 /air mix.

The nursery housed slant or plate cultures, flask cultures, and bottle cultures. All culture media and culture vessels were prepared by using steam sterilization with an autoclave. All culture transfers were performed aseptically in a fume hood. Slant and plate cultures provided a solid substrate on which to grow cultures that required very little maintenance and lasted for several months. They were prepared with 10 wt% agar media. Slants and plate cultures were inoculated with a sterile inoculation loop from another solid substrate culture or from a clean,

dilute algal monoculture. It took several weeks for solid substrate cultures to grow to sufficient cell numbers to be ready for culture scale-up. Since these cultures rarely needed to be accessed, they stayed clean for long periods of time and provided a constant source of clean seed culture. The culture scale-up from agar slants or plates employed flask cultures.

Flask cultures were 50–150 mL liquid cultures in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks capped with foam plugs or other porous filter material to prevent contamination but allow air exchange. Flasks were prepared with media containing 0.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate to provide a carbon source. Sterile inoculation loops were used to transfer cells of a slant or plate culture to flasks. Flask cultures were maintained on a continuous basis by replenishing with fresh media as necessary. Without CO₂, they grew slower than bottle cultures, but they were in liquid media, which grow faster than agar or plate cultures. Flasks were mixed by placing them on an orbital shaker, providing constant gentle swirling. Once a flask culture reached sufficient density, it was used to inoculate a bottle culture as part of culture scale-up.

Bottle cultures were 2 L glass media bottles with rubber stoppers affixed with glass and rubber or plastic tubing to provide an inlet of CO₂/air and an outlet for waste and excess gases. Figure 2-12 shows a 2 L bottle culture. Both the inlet and the outlet were equipped with inline air filters to prevent the introduction of contamination into the bottle cultures and the escape of cells from the culture that could potentially contaminate surrounding cultures. Bottle culture media could be prepared with or without sodium bicarbonate; the bicarbonate as a carbon source was not necessary as these cultures were provided with CO₂ and did impart some buffering. Bottle cultures represented the actively growing culture collection and provided dense culture for the next scale-up inoculation. Bottle cultures were acclimated and maintained in active growth phase and were refreshed with new media every few weeks to keep cultures healthy.

Figure 2-12 – Algae Nursery and 2 L Bottle Culture

2.3.5 INOCULUM PRODUCTION

The process of growing a culture from an agar slant up to a 2 L bottle culture required a series of steps. A culture inoculated at a too low cell density may become nonviable, fail to grow, or grow at greatly reduced rates. Therefore, culture scale-up had to be performed in stages until the desired culture volume was met. After a culture was grown in the 2 L bottle culture phase, it was ready for the bag culture stage. The 80 L outdoor hanging bag was initially used for large-quantity inoculum production; however, the outdoor bag farm showed several disadvantages: (1) being an outdoor system, contamination could produce a lower-quality inoculum for the larger growth systems; (2) a large light path providing relatively low-density culture produced at low growth rates (the light path was represented by the depth of the culture with respect to the light source); and (3) possible high-ambient temperatures not adequately controlled by the misting system could affect culture health. To aid in inoculum development, an indoor bag system of thin film, flat panel 10-15 L bag cultures was introduced in the lab in December 2008. The thin film culture system is shown in Figure 2-13. Like the outdoor bag farm, this system used polyethylene bags to contain the culture and a CO₂/air mix to provide CO₂ and mixing, but the indoor system used artificial fluorescent lighting providing 200 $\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$

from each side of the culture with a short light path of 3-5 cm. The reduced light path, 24-hour light, and double-sided illumination allowed for production of dense inoculum at high-growth rates, and being in an indoor controlled system produced a cleaner, higher quality inoculum. This system provided a high-density, clean culture to inoculate the outdoor bag farm for further scale-up or directly into the large-scale cultivation system as culture volumes and densities dictated.

Figure 2-13 – Thin Film, Flat Panel Culture System

2.3.6 SUMMARY OF ALGAE STUDY AT 3RD AVENUE LAB FACILITY

During this project, a large 8000 L outdoor bag farm and a ~1000-m² algae lab were erected at the APS 3rd Avenue R&D and Lab facilities. The lab was equipped to monitor culture growth and process harvested algae culture for storage and shipment. The bottle culture area of the laboratory was started and maintained to provide clean sources of inoculum in the event of contamination of any experimental cultures. The nursery housed the cultures of current interest for the ability to scale-up clean, healthy cultures as needed. Clean healthy seed stock cultures are the foundation of clean healthy large-scale cultures. Without clean seed stocks, culture contamination as well as other possible culture variances would become a barrier to successful culturing and specimen repeatability. Throughout the many scale-up processes of various cultures, inoculum was never the limiting factor for this project.

An extensive and ongoing algae selection process was conducted. In addition to the GF3 strain, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Nannochloris* sp., *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Selenastrum* sp., *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Scenedesmus acutus*, and *Scenedesmus dimorphus* were tested, as they were purported to have many of the desired characteristics required by the project. Three species emerged as leading candidates based on the in-house lab-scale study: *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Selenastrum*, and *Scenedesmus obliquus*. Lipid analysis revealed that the GF3 strain only contained about 5 wt% neutral lipids, which makes it difficult to extract oil. *Nannochloropsis* was found to reach total fat levels of ~23 wt% biomass, with neutral lipid content of ~7.5 wt% when growing naturally. It could accumulate up to 34 wt% total fat and 18 wt% biomass as neutral lipids when stressed. *Selenastrum* had approximately 18 wt% total fat

and 14 wt% neutral lipids when growing exponentially. When under nutrient-stress conditions, *Selenastrum* was found to accumulate up to 35 wt% total fat, of which 30 wt% were neutral lipids. Neutral lipids are the portions that are utilized in the conversion to fuel oil. The achieved total fat and neutral lipid levels of *Scenedesmus* were lower than *Selenastrum* when stressed during this stage of the algae strain selection study, ranging between 10 wt% to 20 wt% total fats and 9 wt% to 17 wt% neutral lipids. *Scenedesmus* was further found to exhibit rapid growth rates, thrive under the high temperatures found in Arizona, settle naturally, and grow for long periods of time without contamination. As a result of these characteristics, necessary for both the local growth conditions as well as the project objectives, *Scenedesmus* was chosen as the strain of focus.

2.4 SCALABLE CARBON CAPTURE WITH ALGAE

2.4.1 3RD AVENUE OUTDOOR TEST SITE DESCRIPTION

Both inoculum scale-up and the first 6M initial algae cultivation systems were conducted at the 3rd Avenue R&D facility in Phoenix (Figure 2-14). The cultivator is represented by the object shown floating in the temperature-controlled pond in the center of the rendering. The hanging bag inoculum system, which is described in this report, is depicted along the left side of the rendering. The structure on the left side of the diagram and south of the bag farm was the gas distribution system. The dewatering system is depicted to the right side of the cultivator under a canopy. The primary building in the foreground houses offices, a workshop, and basic field laboratory apparatus for onsite use.

Figure 2-14 – Schematic of the 3rd Avenue Outdoor Site in Phoenix, Arizona, Used for Testing of the 6M Algae Cultivation System

2.4.2 6M CULTIVATOR

2.4.2.1 6M Radius Test Cultivator Design

The 6M cultivator was designed by Element Cleantech, Newark, Delaware to deliver robust and efficient algae production as an outdoor system. The cultivator provides conditions similar to a raceway pond, but it has more efficient delivery of CO₂ throughout the culture growth area. Being a closed system, it also reduces the contamination and evaporation exhibited by raceway ponds. This fully operational cultivator just before inoculation is shown in Figure 2-15.

Figure 2-15 – Photo of the Cultivator Floating in the Thermal Pond

The 6M cultivator has a diameter of 12 m and a total surface area of 113 m², with an active culture footprint of 95 m². The cultivator is typically run at a total volume of between 10,000 L and 15,000 L. The mixing technique of this design is a series of four wands revolving around a center hub in a clockwise motion. A centrifugal pump drives the wands. Liquid volume from the lower section of the center hub, which is an intake from the main cavity of the cultivator, is forced into a 2-inch PVC line that runs to the centrifugal pump. The liquid is discharged from the pump and is returned back to the cultivator by a 2-inch PVC line to a divided section of the center hub that supplies the four radially oriented wands. Each wand has a series of holes that exhaust the incoming liquid from the center hub back out into the main cavity of the cultivator. This discharge of liquid from the series of holes moves the wands and creates the revolution of all four wands, which in turn causes the mixing dynamic of the culture in the cultivator cavity. The pump runs continuously.

See Figure 2-16 and Figure 2-17 for a schematic overview of the floating 6M cultivator.

Figure 2-16 – 6M Cultivator Floating in a Thermal Pond

Figure 2-17 – 6M Cultivator Interior

The cultivator top cover is inflated using a regenerative air blower. Air is directed by a 2-inch gas pressure line from the blower through a regulating flow meter. Air is then metered at an approximate flow rate of 8 – 20 scfh into a 2-inch PVC gas line to an air injection opening near the center hub, which finally discharges gas into the head space of the cultivator. Air exits by the canopy air exhaust line. Proper inflation of the dome is maintained by the flow meter.

In addition to the air entering the head space of the cultivator, CO₂ is injected into the cultivator as well. The CO₂ is distributed to the gas distribution panel of the cultivator where it is regulated by flow meters and then discharged into the gas distribution manifold and mixes with air before entering the cultivator.

For the cultivation system at 3rd Ave, there was no filtration system for incoming water for the cultivator. City water was the source for both the cultivator and the thermal pond. Water to be pumped to the cultivator was collected in the dewatering vessel and/or feed tanks. Volumes entering or exiting a cultivator were tracked by flow totalizers.

The cultivator had associated sensors collecting and displaying data remotely by a LabVIEW network. Algae culture variables were continuously monitored using this automated data acquisition and control system. The data collected during this period included (1) liquid culture temperature, (2) pH in and pH out, (3) conductivity, (4) Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) in the location of the cultivator, (5) timing of the CO₂ injection into the liquid phase, and (6) percentage of CO₂ in the gas-phase feed line into the cultivator and exhaust. All data were logged in one minute intervals during experimentation. The pH sensors communicated to a solenoid valve (SV) that controlled CO₂ flow into the cultivator. The high and low pH setpoints (pH range from 6-8) informed the communicating mechanism to either open or close the CO₂-controlling SV, and this ensured that excess CO₂ was not provided to the culture, increasing the efficiency of the CO₂ capture of the system. The setup and design of the data

acquisition system was tested to determine the important variables to measure and the frequency to monitor the variables.

2.4.2.2 6M Radius Test Cultivator Construction Components

2.4.2.2.1 Ring

The circumference ring was made from 10-foot sections of 8-inch high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe, which was heated and shaped around a support jig to the proper arc. These 10-foot sections were then welded together forming the enclosed ring. Fittings for attaching the top and bottom liners, as well as for the tensioning system, were welded in place on the ring. The ring was floated in the thermal pond before attaching any other components. The ring was 12 feet in diameter.

2.4.2.2.2 Bottom Liner

The bottom liner consisted of a heavy-duty agricultural liner that was commercially cut to size and shaped based on ring dimensions. The liner was fastened between a bottom plate and the center hub with a nut and bolt configuration and silicone. Once the bottom liner was affixed to the center hub, heavy-duty straps were secured to the bottom plate and the top plates of the center hub. These straps run from the center hub assembly to the circumference ring and are used in tensioning the top and bottom liners.

2.4.2.2.3 Top Liner

The top liner consisted of 6- to 10-millimeter thick transparent plastic material. The top liner was affixed between the center hub assembly and the top plate with a nut and bolt configuration. The top liner was secured and tightened by a 1½-inch circumference pipe that was welded to the 8-inch floating circumference ring and had a 1/3 section of pipe removed laterally. This lateral cut allowed a 1½-inch PVC pipe to be inserted inside the cut pipe with the top liner material that had been unrolled around the entire float ring to be sandwiched in between. The top liner was now taut between the center hub and the circumference ring in a downward pitch allowing precipitation to run off the top liner and into the thermal pond. The top liner was then finally tightened to an appropriate degree using the straps to allow for proper inflation of the dome and to insure the center hub was upright. See Figure 2-18 and Figure 2-19 for a depiction of the air circulation with the top liner in place.

Figure 2-18 – Filtered Air Was Injected into the Canopy

Figure 2-19 – Air Was Exchanged and Removed, Moderating Headspace Oxygen Levels

2.4.2.2.4 Center Hub

The center hub included a cylindrical vertical central PVC pipe and flat stock assembly that functioned as follows: (1) a stem from which the wands spin; (2) intake and discharge ports for liquid and gas volumes; and (3) a central stabilizing column that allows for adjustment in depth profile based on inflation and tensioning parameters. The center hub was secured by a lateral tensioning system (see Figure 2-20).

Figure 2-20 – Center Hub Assembly

2.4.2.2.5 *Securing and Tensioning System*

The tensioning system consisted of bottom and top straps affixed to the bottom and top plates respectively and running to the circumference ring. Straps could be tightened or loosened by the ratchet mechanism welded to the circumference ring in order to raise or lower the depth profile (center hub assembly) in conjunction with the inflation rate adjustment of the cultivator. The greater the amount of gas in the cultivator, the higher the center hub would rise, which created a lower depth of liquid volume across the bottom liner. The typical depth within the cultivator was 15cm.

2.4.2.2.6 *Wands with Floats*

The wands were schedule 80 PVC pipes with a 90-degree elbow on the end closest to the ring. Wands were inserted into the center hub by a compression fitting with a locking pin. Plastic encased Styrofoam floats were attached to the ends of each wand to help prevent chaffing of the liner (see Figure 2-21 and Figure 2-22).

Figure 2-21 – Jets Propelled the Wands through the Culture, Creating Hydro Mixing

Figure 2-22 – Wands Showing Discharge of Liquid Volume through Exhaust Holes

2.4.2.2.7 Gas Distribution Pipes

A 2-inch schedule 80 PVC pipe ran from the adjacent gas distribution panel and delivered the selected gas (air/CO₂ mixture) at a rate ranging from 8 – 20 scfh to the canopy air injection port adjacent to the center hub. Air was then discharged at a metered rate from the canopy air exhaust.

2.4.2.2.8 Liquid Distribution loop

A 2-inch schedule 80 PVC pipe ran from the 7.5 horse power (hp) centrifugal pump underneath the cultivator (submerged) into the center hub where liquid volume was distributed to the four

wands. Liquid volume was returned from the center sump through a 2-inch schedule 80 return line back to the pump (see Figure 2-23).

Figure 2-23 – Pump Circulation Loop

Before the inoculation of the algae, the cultivator was commissioned using water to ensure that culture growth parameters could be achieved without the threat of mechanical breakdown jeopardizing the health and productivity of the culture.

2.4.3 THERMAL POND

The cultivators were floating and anchored within a thermal pond. The pond was 70 feet in diameter and contained approximately 50,000 gallons (gal) of water (see Figure 2-24). The primary purpose of the thermal pond was thermal regulation of the liquid volume within the cultivators. Given the extreme Arizona desert heat, temperatures can reach over 110°F in the spring and summer months. The thermal pond helped to offset these extreme temperatures by using the large volumes of water to create temperature buffering. In addition, there was a chiller circulating water to keep the thermal pond at the desired temperature, and as a result the culture temperature in the cultivator stayed within

Figure 2-24 – 3rd Avenue Thermal Pond under Construction

operational ranges. Thermal pond water never came in contact with the culture inside the cultivator. Adjacent to the thermal pond was a recirculating sand filter system. These filters kept the thermal pond clarified. The pond was also kept disinfected through hypochlorite doses and conventional pool floats with chlorine tablets.

2.4.4 GAS DISTRIBUTION

At the site, a regenerative air blower supplied air to the 6M cultivator. A CO₂ storage tank supplied CO₂ to the cultivator gas distribution system. CO₂ and air were combined in a ½-inch SS gas header as part of a gas distribution loop servicing the cultivator. The header ran through a control panel and then into the cultivator itself. There were sensors on the upstream and downstream sides of the cultivator that recorded CO₂ concentration values.

However with regard to CO₂ flow into the cultivator, a SV received signals from a pH meter located on the inflow pipeline to the cultivator dictating whether the solenoid was open or closed and as a result how much CO₂ the cultivator was receiving. The CO₂ flow was therefore dictated by pH setpoints. If there were a change in pH, the solenoid would open or close accordingly (high pH - solenoid open, low pH - solenoid closed). Gas flowed into the distribution configuration on the center hub of the cultivator and was then dispersed through the wands and into the main cavity of the cultivator and hence the culture. There was an exhaust manifold that returned excess gas by an exhaust blower (see Figure 2-25).

Figure 2-25 – 3rd Avenue Gas and Liquid Distribution Loop Diagram with Inline Sensors

In addition to regulating pH within the optimal range of 6 to 8 within the culture, the gas distribution system also maintained dome inflation within the cultivator to a positive pressure. Regardless of whether CO₂ was flowing in the line, air was always flowing at a constant measured flow into the cultivator as dictated by a flow meter. There was no automated method for maintaining a specific dome inflation profile. As a result, the dome profile was maintained through visual checks to ensure that the top liner was not underinflated, which could cause the liner to make contact with the spinning wands and could rip the liner material. Likewise, over-inflation had the potential to make the entire cultivator rise to a degree that might cause introduction of air into the liquid circulation loop, causing the pump to cavitate. Proper inflation of the dome generally was not an issue as the cultivator was monitored to the degree that aberrations in inflation could be corrected manually. Once the proper settings were reached, the cultivator profile was relatively stable with just minor adjustments being required.

2.4.5 HARVEST/DEWATERING

When the cultivator culture was ready for harvest as described later in this section, the culture was pumped from the cultivator by the liquid volume circulation loop and directed to the adjacent dewatering feed tank. There was a flow totalizer monitoring the desired volume, typically 4500 L, of culture transferred. Depending on the volume of culture removed, the primary cultivator circulation pump could be deactivated to avoid pump cavitations. Before or in conjunction with beginning the dewatering process, the cultivator was refilled with the desired makeup volume of media and the primary circulation pump again reactivated if needed and stabilized. At this point, the dewatering system could be activated, and the culture was directed from the feed tank to the dewatering unit.

The primary dewatering apparatus at 3rd Avenue was based on cross-flow filtration technology, designed and manufactured by DynaSep, Newark, Delaware. The design goal of the dewatering unit was to produce algae paste of 8–12 wt%. By using a variable frequency drive (VFD) gear pump, the system was able to draw algae culture (green water) from a feed tank and maintain a set pressure in the filter loops. This pump discharged to the first stage filter loop, the largest loop in the system. This loop had a centrifugal pump that circulated the dilute algae repeatedly through the cross-flow filters at velocities that discouraged the formation of an impermeable cake of solid algae biomass on the filter's inner surfaces. In this loop, the equipment removed approximately 90 wt% of the water as permeate (clear water), which was then discharged to a collection tank (permeate tank). A slipstream of the algae concentrate

moved from the first filter loop to the second. The second filter loop was smaller and used a second gear pump due to the increased viscosity of the material in this loop. Permeate could be routed directly to the tank or it could be run through the shell side of the second filter loop to provide cooling. The velocities were similar to those in the first loop to limit the algae solid from caking on the filter's interior surface. The second filter loop's discharge was the system's product, which was an algae paste concentrate greater than 8 wt%. Figure 2-26 shows the entire system.

The first harvest of algae culture from the cultivator occurred on March 2, 2008, and this marked the first testing of the dewatering system. Figure 2-27 shows the harvested culture coming from the cultivator to the harvest system by a transparent pipe. The dewatering system successfully reduced the water content of the harvested culture as can be seen in Figure 2-28, which is a picture of the resulting water separated during the harvesting process. Figure 2-29 shows the resulting concentrated algae biomass at approximately 10 wt% solids. This particular dewatering system could process algae solution at a rate of ~2 gpm.

Figure 2-26 – Dewatering System

Figure 2-27 – Culture from the Cultivator Entering the Dewatering System (through Transparent Pipe)

Figure 2-28 – Resulting Separated, Clear Water after Dewatering of Algae

Figure 2-29 – Concentrated Algae in Large Tank after Dewatering (Approximately 10 wt% Solids)

The DynaSep dewatering system was used for large volumes of culture in order to create concentrated culture on the order of 8–12 wt% solids as indicated. In some cases, product from this dewatering unit went on to be further concentrated using the Lavin centrifuges (see Figure 2-30). These centrifuges were effective but were not an option for dewatering large volumes of dilute culture given the time-consuming energy intensive nature of the process. The Lavin centrifuges had to be operated twice to minimize the material loss, which led to its typical processing rate of ~0.02 gpm. The centrifuges were only used after product had been concentrated in the DynaSep dewatering unit. Concentrated culture from the dewatering unit was dispensed into an initial holding tank and metered into the centrifuge at a rate that allowed for concentration to occur in the bowl and created a clear discharge or permeate upon exit. In some cases, product was able to be concentrated up to as much as 30 wt% solids (see Figure 2-31).

Figure 2-30 – Culture Dewatering Lavin (12-413V) Centrifuge

Figure 2-31 – Algae Paste out of Lavin Centrifuge

2.4.6 3RD AVENUE TEST SYSTEM RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.4.6.1 6M Radius Cultivator Algae Test Run 1 – *Nannochloropsis*

2.4.6.1.1 First Batch Test of *Nannochloropsis*

Study of Growth Rate

The first inoculation of *Nannochloropsis* was conducted after sunset on February 11, 2009. The initial running volume of culture within the cultivator was brought to 12,000 L with an estimated average culture depth of 15 cm. Since the initial culture density was considered low (florescence maximal [FM] reading of 700) the culture was allowed to acclimate to sunlight by using a 60 wt% shade cloth for 8 days in order to prevent bleaching of the culture from the high irradiance provided by this system. After this 8-day acclimation period, when culture FM reading reached approximately 800, the shade cloth was removed and the culture was exposed to full sunlight beginning on the afternoon of February 20, 2009.

Throughout this test, samples were taken hourly to track a number of physical and chemical conditions in the cultivation system. However, actual biomass density measurements in the form of ash-free dry weight were not taken until February 22, 2009, due to a lack of the necessary analytical equipment and resources at that time. The relative photosynthetic pigment density of the culture was estimated using the FM readings taken by a fluorometer, which can also be used to monitor algae growth. The FM is a unitless indication of the condition of chlorophyll as measured by a burst of white light reflected back from dark conditions where the photosynthetic process absorbs red wavelength. Waltz's Mini-PAM fluorometer was used to measure its value. The FM density curve over the period of time following inoculation of the cultivator can be seen in Figure 2-32. A decrease in density can be seen after the first harvest on March 2, 2009, followed by the subsequent increased density from growth.

Figure 2-32 – *Nannochloropsis* Culture Density as Measured by FM (no unit) within the Cultivation System

Figure 2-33 shows the exact measurement of the biomass density in the form of ash-free dry weight per liter of culture that was collected until March 22, 2009.

Figure 2-33 – *Nannochloropsis* Culture Density as Measured by Ash-Free Dry Weight (grams of dry biomass per liter of culture)

For an initial growth curve, *Nannochloropsis* demonstrated $\sim 14 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{d}$ growth rate. Not only was the culture able to acclimate to full sunlight under these conditions, but the cultivator also consistently provided the conditions necessary to maintain growth and health of the culture for 32 days. However, the resulting productivity for this test was a modest, $\sim 4 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{d}$.

A number of factors could be responsible for this lower than expected productivity. One possibility was inconsistent depth profiles within this cultivation system. Such inconsistencies resulted from some of the culture residing in deeper areas shifting the optimal culture density for high productivity. Careful observation of the algae cultivation system revealed that indeed a majority of the culture material was at depths around two times (2X) the designed depth of 15 cm. Interestingly, given the volumetric productivity shown, the fact the culture could still result in this level of productivity suggests that if the entire culture was run at 30 cm deep and thus 24,000 L volume instead of 12,000, the target aerial productivity could still be reached. Furthermore, the highest daily growth rate of $\sim 14 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{d}$ was achieved when culture density was the lowest at 0.01 g/L. Although this was a single data point, it may support the likelihood that the culture would have exhibited higher productivity at a lower density for the given light path provided by the cultivation system.

Study of Culture Temperature and pH

Culture temperature was measured throughout the testing as shown in Figure 2-34. The daily increase in temperature due to solar radiation can be seen as peaks in the temperature within each 24-hour period. Overall, temperature was maintained well within the range of safe growing temperatures for this specific species of algae of 10-20°C (50 – 68°F).

Figure 2-34 – *Nannochloropsis* Culture Temperature within the Cultivation System

As described previously, the pH of the culture was maintained by manipulating the flow of CO₂ into the cultivation system. In algal culture, the consumption of CO₂ as a carbon source reduces the content of CO₂ in solution; therefore, as a culture grows, pH rises if the solution is not further supplemented with CO₂. Maintaining a range of pH is important to culture health and growth. As can be seen in Figure 2-35, the pH was maintained well within the optimal range of 6 to 8 for the growth of this species of algae simply by manipulating the modest flow of CO₂ into the system, ranging from 8 to 20 standard cubic feet per hour (scf/hr). Slight changes to the CO₂ flow resulted in marked changes to the pH indicating an efficient CO₂ delivery mechanism in the cultivation system.

This initial test run sought to sustain a robust and actively growing algae culture and provided initial data to guide setpoints for an on-demand CO₂ feeding system that was under development. An on-demand CO₂ feeding system based on pH value would maintain the optimal pH range for algae growth and significantly reduce the labor requirement.

Figure 2-35 – *Nannochloropsis* Culture pH within the Cultivation System

Study of Estimated Loss of Culture Volume

The culture volume was estimated by using the starting volume of 12,000 L, the starting salinity of the culture and culture salinity measured throughout the experiment. This estimated culture volume is shown in Figure 2-36. In this figure, a slight loss of culture volume to evaporation over time is shown; however, no makeup water was ever added to the cultivator except after the harvest. This is far different than open-system algae cultivators where significant volumes of water are lost each day. Another trend that can be seen in this figure is the decrease in culture volume each day as condensation occurred on the reactor cover and as humidity increased in the gas space within the cultivator. Interestingly, much of this water was not lost from the system and returned to the culture overnight when temperatures decreased.

Figure 2-36 – *Nannochloropsis* Culture Volume, Estimated by Salinity within the Cultivation System

Study of Harvesting

The first harvest of this algae culture from the cultivator occurred on March 2, 2008. The effects of the first harvest can be seen in Figure 2-32 and Figure 2-33, where a drop in culture density is shown, resulting from removing 4500 L of culture for harvesting the biomass and replacing it with 4500 L of fresh media to begin the second growth period. This event marked two important milestones for the project; the first non-experimental operation of the dewatering system used in this project and the first reduction in density of the culture. Both of these milestones occurred successfully. The biomass density of the culture at the time of harvest was 0.85 g/L, resulting in a harvest of approximately 3.8 kg of *Nannochloropsis* biomass (by ash-free dry weight).

This first batch from the 6M cultivation system showed a successful 32 days of continuous operation and good algae biomass production. A number of improvements were then made to the system to ensure that the expected algae productivity, CO₂ capture and lipid production were achieved in subsequent runs. These changes included a change to the cultivator installation process resulting in a more consistent and shallow culture depth throughout the cultivator to achieve the expected productivity across the high-culture densities used in this phase of the study; a change to the installation process that ensured the material used to separate the culture from the float pond was not jeopardized during the installation process; and the installation of a series of automated data acquisition systems and on-demand CO₂ controls

to automatically collect data and control pH inside the cultivator incrementally improving growth conditions and productivity in the cultivation systems. Once these changes were made, the system was re-inoculated with *Nannochloropsis* for the second batch testing.

2.4.6.1.2 Second Batch Test of *Nannochloropsis*

Study of Growth Rate

The second operational period with the newly tensioned cultivator liner continued from inoculation on April 2, 2009 until May 18, 2009. The significant duration of this growth period without mechanical failures or required servicing marked a major step in demonstrating the robustness of this system. The culture density of the biomass in the system over the 46-day test, including the three operational harvests and subsequent growth periods, can be seen in Figure 2-37.

Figure 2-37 – *Nannochloropsis* Growth Curve by Ash-Free Dry Weight

Over the entire period of the test, the mean aerial biomass productivity of the culture was approximately 6 g/m²/d, which was an increase from the first test period. Numerous peaks in productivity were observed, ranging from 12 to 17 g/m²/d. Individual mean productivity for each growth period between harvests is summarized in Table 2-7.

Table 2-7 – Summary of *Nannochloropsis* Productivity and Harvest Data from 6M Radius Cultivator

Growth Period	Date	Aerial productivity (g/m ² /d)				Harvest		
		Mean	SE	Max	Min	Volume (L)	Density (g/L)	Biomass (g)
1	4/02/09 – 4/17/2009	6.27	0.70	11.10	1.68	4,500	1.27	5,715
2	4/17/09 – 4/28/2009	6.09	1.96	17.81	-3.14	4,500	1.29	5,805
3	4/28/09 – 5/06/2009	4.52	1.31	9.03	-3.03	4500	1.11	4,995
4	5/09/09 – 5/17/2009	7.27	1.93	14.27	3.72	12,000	> 1.10	> 13,200

Table 2-7 also summarizes the volumes of the three operational harvests and the final harvest along with the resulting biomass. After the third harvest, the permeate media that had been separated from the biomass during the harvest was recycled back to the reactor to observe its effect on growth. Although many environmental variables were involved over different growth periods, it was clear that recycling the dewatered permeate did not have a negative impact on the mean growth rate of Growth Period 4 as compared to Growth Period 3. Over the course of the entire test, approximately 30 kg (66 lb) of algae biomass was harvested. Significant amounts of data on daily environmental and physical conditions were collected throughout this test.

Study of Oil Content

The oil extraction on *Nannochloropsis*, performed by POS, using petroleum ether as the extraction solvent, indicated the species contains neutral lipids of ~9.21 wt% of the total biomass. Their lipids analysis results also showed that the total unsaturated fatty acid (Monounsaturates and Polyunsaturates) of the species was ~45 wt% of fatty acids. The algae contained high value components of omega 3, 6, and 9. They contributed to ~37 wt% of the total oil; i.e., ~2.7 wt% of the total biomass. Nevertheless, the extracted algae oil was reported to be a non-flowing product and could not be used for further biofuel production without significant pretreatment.

Study of CO₂ Capture

Figure 2-38 shows the *Nannochloropsis* culture's pH profile during a 24-hour period on April 27, 2009. The fluctuations in pH throughout the day can be seen based on the pH setpoints regulating the CO₂ injection into the culture. During this time, the pH setpoints were between 7 and 7.25. As the pH of the culture reached 7.25, CO₂ was to be injected into the system until the pH level was lowered to 7, at which time CO₂ injection was disengaged. By monitoring CO₂ input and output to the cultivator, the CO₂ capture rate for the system was determined between June 17 and June 24, 2009. These results are shown in Table 2-8. The mean of the 24-hour

averages of CO₂ capture was 63.2 wt%. The maximum 24-hour average was 69.3 wt%, and the minimum daily average was 46.1 wt%. However, these rates included the nighttime period in addition to the CO₂ capture during active growth. The CO₂ capture data for the daytime period between 11 a.m. and 4 p.m. achieved an average capture rate as high as 85.3 wt%.

Figure 2-38 – Logged Culture PH for the 24-hour Period on 4/27/2009 during the *Nannochloropsis* Test in the Cultivator

The greater than 80 wt% capture rates during daytime hours demonstrated the efficient introduction of CO₂ into the system and its distribution throughout the growth area of the cultivator, making it available for biological uptake with a much higher rate than in open algae culturing systems currently used at similar scale. At nighttime, algae stop photosynthesis and start to breathe O₂ and exhale CO₂. This is why at night, as shown in Table 2-8, the cultivator gives a net CO₂ emission rate.

Table 2-8 – Summary of CO₂ Capture Data from 3rd Avenue Cultivator June 17 through June 23, 2009. Values are Derived from Averages of Measurements Taken Every Minute

Dates June 2009 (Day)	CO ₂ Capture Daily (wt%)	CO ₂ Capture Daily 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. (wt%)	CO ₂ Night Emission (scf/hr)
17	61.9	86.0	1.56
18	67.8	86.2	0.24
19	67.4	87.3	0.06
20	57.7	81.4	1.30
21	69.3	86.6	0.16
22	67.4	87.2	1.30
23	67.7	86.4	0.06

Study of Culture Temperature, pH and Dissolved Oxygen

The variation in temperature over a 24-hour period can be seen in the temperature data presented in Figure 2-39. The temperature was lowered to around 12°C (54°F) at night and

increased throughout the day to peaks around 18°C (64°F). Temperature profiles like this are ideal for the coldwater-species *Nannochloropsis* growth. The low temperature at night reduced the growth rate of any possible contaminant heterotrophic organisms, and the temperature under sunlight provided the most favorable conditions to the *Nannochloropsis* so that it outgrew the contaminants.

Figure 2-39 – Logged Culture Condition Data for the 24-Hour Period on 4/27/2009 during the *Nannochloropsis* Test in the Cultivator. B: Culture Temperature; C: PAR; D, Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Concentration in the Liquid Culture.

The driving force behind trends in many of the data sets, irradiance of the sun, can be seen in the PAR data in Figure 2-39. This 24-hour period in particular appeared to have generally high levels of PAR with some passing clouds, as shown by the small peaks and valleys in PAR. Throughout the day, PAR data similar to that shown can be correlated to growth rate data and the actual amount of PAR to determine if suboptimal growth rates are a result of less irradiance for a given period. For a given microalgae species, operating experience coupled with PAR data may be used as a control factor for culture mixing or recirculation so that mixing or recirculation will only occur when sufficient sunlight for photosynthesis is available.

The final important variable for a closed algae cultivation system is the concentration of DO in the culture. As oxygen is produced during photosynthesis, it can accumulate within a closed system and inhibit efficient photosynthesis when DO reaches ~20 mg/L O₂ concentration in the water. As shown in Figure 2-39, the DO of the algae culture in the system ranged from 9.5 to approximately 11 mg/L. Although DO levels would be expected to accumulate in a truly closed system to levels that could suppress growth, the data suggested that this system was exhausting enough during active photosynthesis that the DO in the system was not increasing during the day. In fact, as a result of the higher demand for CO₂ injection during the day, when coupled with the exhaustion of the cultivator, the DO levels actually decreased during the period of increased intensity PAR, and thus photosynthesis and growth rate were the highest. This is a promising sign for this cultivator as accumulation of DO is a major challenge in many other closed systems.

Over the course of this second test of *Nannochloropsis*, the ambient temperature in Phoenix increased significantly, and although temperature control was imposed on the cultivator, periodic increases in temperature above the safe maximum (20°C [68°F]) for this species occurred. As a result *Nannochloropsis* culture was completely harvested on May 17, 2009, and replaced with *Selenastrum*, a species that could tolerate a higher temperature.

2.4.6.2 6M Radius Cultivator Algae Test Run 2 – *Selenastrum*

Based on previous algae strain selection studies, *Selenastrum* emerged as one of the three leading candidates due to its rapid growth rate, tolerance to high temperature, and ability to accumulate significant amount of lipids when stressed. Therefore, after the outdoor study of *Nannochloropsis* on May 17, 2009, the cultivation system was cleaned and re-inoculated with *Selenastrum* on May 22, 2009.

2.4.6.2.1 Study on Growth Rate

The culture was inoculated at a low density (~0.1 g/L). It was initially shaded to prevent photo-inhibition and bleaching, and after three days, the shade cloth was removed, resulting in significantly higher algal biomass productivity for the 6 days following than any prior instances. The initial growth period of the cultivator was conducted at a reduced culture volume of 8000 L, rather than 12,000 L, to use less inoculum. As a result, far less of the reactor footprint consisted of culture for this initial growth period (the culture tended to collect closer to the center of the circular reactor). Therefore, volumetric productivity and the size of the reduced culture area were used to estimate aerial productivity rather than relating the productivity of the reduced

volume of the entire footprint of the system. The growth curve beginning with inoculation on May 22, 2009, and continuing through completion of the test run on June 25, 2009 is shown in Figure 2-40.

Figure 2-40 – *Selenastrum* Culture Density as Measured by Ash-Free Dry Weight (Grams of Dry Biomass per Liter of Culture)

A rapid growth rate from low density to a relatively high density indicated the ability of this species to use high irradiances, and the mixing and CO₂ delivery conditions within the cultivator were ideal. While the *Nannochloropsis* daily volumetric growth rate (grams of dry biomass increase per liter per day) averaged approximately 0.05 g/L/day during the second test period, *Selenastrum* in its initial growth period averaged 0.17 g/L/day, which was three times more than that of *Nannochloropsis*. This volumetric growth rate would translate into an average aerial productivity of over 20 g/m²/d when the cultivator has 12,000 L of culture. Given the reduced footprint of the culture at a lower density, the aerial productivity during the 6-day period from May 25 through May 30, 2009, resulted in an average aerial productivity of 22.4 g/m²/d, with peaks of 25.86 g/m²/d and 26.38 g/m²/d. These results were significant because in the initial attempt at growing one of the fast-growing freshwater species, the project goal of averaging 25 g/m²/d could be met when density, mixing, light, and CO₂ were all managed properly. After this initial rapid growth period, the growth rates of the following periods began to decrease as shown in Table 2-9.

**Table 2-9 – Summary of *Selenastrum* Productivity and Harvest Data
from 6M Radius Cultivator**

Growth Period	Date	Aerial productivity (g/m ² /d)				Harvest		
		Mean	SE	Max	Min	Volume (L)	Density (g/L)	Biomass (g)
1	5/24/2009 – 6/02/2009	19.54	1.93	26.38	9.82	–	–	–
2	6/02/2009 – 6/08/2009	6.43	0.63	8.55	4.55	4500	1.45	6525
3	6/09/2009 – 6/10/2009	14.27	4.71	18.98	9.56	4500	1.03	4635
4	6/11/2009 – 6/15/2009	7.21	1.10	9.41	4.17	4500	0.89	4005
5	6/16/2009 – 6/22/2009	6.13	1.66	14.01	2.88	4000	0.75	3000

The decrease in growth rate during subsequent growth periods relative to the initial period may be attributed to a number of factors. Although the *Selenastrum* culture was demonstrated to withstand temperatures over 30°C (86°F), there were occasions when the chiller maintaining the temperature in the thermal pond failed, exposing the culture to a temperature approaching 40°C (104°F). Examinations of *Selenastrum* cultures in the bag system indicated a sensitivity of this species to extreme ambient temperatures. Another factor reducing the growth rate was a number of cloudy days that occurred during the final three growth periods, which can significantly affect growth rates. Finally, in the last week of operation, it was observed that a seal in one of the cultivator components began to leak, reducing mixing in the cultivator. As a result, the growth period of the cultivator was ended on June 25, 2009.

2.4.6.2.2 Study of Culture pH, Temperature, PAR, and DO

Figure 2-41 shows culture pH, culture temperature, PAR, and the DO profile during the 24-hour period of May 25, 2009.

The environmental data for the 24-hour period specified above illustrate the culture conditions during the initial growth curve of *Selenastrum* when a rapid growth rate was observed. The pH curve from May 25, 2009 shows an interesting pattern. The pH increase due to the rapid growth rate of *Selenastrum* was so high that the flow of CO₂ to the system was not enough to lower the pH to the low setpoint during the daytime. Although this pattern could be dangerous for the algae culture if the pH continued to increase indefinitely, the CO₂ flow was enough to maintain the pH to less than 7.4 until the irradiance decreased near the end of the day and CO₂ demand declined.

The temperature decreased at night as a result of the thermal pond; however, as irradiance increased, so did the temperature of the culture. This particular temperature curve came at a time when the temperature of the system was slowly being increased, and by the end of this run

maximum temperatures during the day were reaching and exceeding 30°C (86°F) on some days despite the use of the chiller.

The PAR curve shows a completely sunny day without a decrease in PAR due to clouds. This was likely a major factor leading to the high productivity observed that day. Additionally, the DO profile exhibited a similar pattern to what was observed throughout the growth periods within the cultivator. DO concentrations (range 8.5 – 10 mg/L) did not reach the toxic limit (~20 mg/L) and were expected to be within a safe range for the algae culture.

Figure 2-41 – Automatically Logged Culture Condition Data for the 24-hour Period on 5/25/2009 during the *Selenastrum* Test in the Cultivator. A: Culture pH. B: Culture Temperature. C: PAR. D: DO Concentration in the Liquid Culture.

The daily average carbon capture rates for *Selenastrum* were found to be 84 wt%, 89 wt%, and 70 wt% on separate days. The high carbon capture rates exhibited by this cultivation system demonstrated efficient delivery of CO₂ to the growth area, controlled input of the amount of CO₂ demanded by the algae culture, and the closed nature of the cultivating system. As mentioned before, even though the system was closed enough to exhibit high-carbon capture rates, the accumulation of DO was not found to occur.

The lipid analysis for the first harvested *Selenastrum* showed *Selenastrum* had oil content of 17 wt% total fat and 8 wt% neutral lipids. *Selenastrum* was found to meet productivity expectations in an initial growth period: however, the fact that the growth rate of the culture decreased over time indicated that a more robust species of algae may be necessary for high temperature and irradiance conditions that would be found at the Redhawk test facility. Additionally, the importance of the species to naturally settle became clear during this time. *Selenastrum* did not exhibit a characteristic to settle and thus the dewatering energy input for this species would be too high. As a result of these observations, although *Selenastrum* remained a high-priority candidate, there was a continued effort to test a species with a high growth rate, robustness to high irradiance and temperature, and the ability to settle - *Scenedesmus*.

2.4.6.3 6M Radius Cultivator Algae Test Run 3 - *Scenedesmus*

The third algae candidate examined was *Scenedesmus*. *Scenedesmus* was found in laboratory experiments to have a similar growth rate to *Selenastrum*. The total fat levels and neutral lipid levels of *Scenedesmus* were lower than *Selenastrum*; however, its oil content has potential to be increased when the algae was stressed. In addition, *Scenedesmus* settled completely to the bottom of storage vessels in a matter of hours. As a result, the next test run of the cultivator was inoculated with *Scenedesmus* to examine its growth rate in the cultivation system, as well as its naturally settling behavior in a large scale.

The study of *Scenedesmus* was partially covered by this project and partially covered by the follow-on project DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System (IES) with Beneficial CO₂ Use". The following section presents only the results of the study of this species performed under this project.

The cultivator was inoculated on August 17, 2009, with a culture density of 0.1 g/L with 8000 L volume. The cultivator was covered with a shade cloth for two days and was removed on August 19, 2009. This, as well as all subsequent growth periods, can be seen in Figure 2-42.

Figure 2-42 – *Scenedesmus* Culture Density as Measured by Dry Weight (Grams of Dry Biomass per Liter of Culture)

During growth period 1 with decreased volume, the growth rate of the *Scenedesmus* culture was very high, averaging approximately 20.12 g/m²/d as shown in Figure 2-42. Addition of media occurred on August 24, 2009 (label b in Figure 2-42), after which the cultivator was running at a full 12,000 L culture volume. However, the productivity of the culture decreased markedly in the initial growth curve after this media addition (growth period 2 – 2.11 g/m²/d), as the culture was being stressed by limiting nitrate in the media. After a five-day period of observed slow growth, a 4000 L harvest was conducted, and nitrate was added to the media (label c in Figure 2-42).

The objective of growth period 3 was to determine the maximum density that *Scenedesmus* could reach given the conditions within the cultivator. It was critical to know the maximum culture density of a given species before productivity ceases as a result of light limitation for efficient operational management of cultures. By knowing the maximum culture density, harvests can maintain the culture below that density at which productivity of the system stops. In this case, the culture grew consistently, although sub-optimally at a rate of 10.95 g/m²/d, to a

density of 1.6 g/L. The cultivator was harvested (label d in Figure 2-42) at a maximum density of this culture, for the given conditions, and a 12,000 L operational volume was reached.

As indicated by the slopes of the growth curve lines shown in Figure 2-42, the productivity of this culture, at reduced volume (8,000 L) in growth period 1 was higher than the running volume of 12,000 L during growth period 3. Therefore, at the end of growth period 3, a large harvest of two 4,000 L batches was conducted (label e in Figure 2-42). Initially to examine the effect of total culture volume and thus light path, after the large harvest, 4,000 L of fresh medium was added. Afterwards, an additional 2,000 L was added (label f in Figure 2-42) for an operational culture volume of 10,000 L, which is between the high productivity 8,000 L culture (growth period 1) and the lower productivity 12,000 L culture (growth period 3). The resulting growth period 4 showed no significant increase in productivity of the culture over growth period 3 by decreasing the volume (10.95 to 10.70 g/m²/d). (It should be noted that the management of the culture during growth period 4 was for operational strategies and setpoints, before operating the pilot-scale Redhawk cultivation system, rather than to optimize productivity.) Despite the lower than hypothesized growth rate in period 4, average productivity during testing with *Scenedesmus* was higher and sustained over a much longer period of time than in any previous run of the cultivator.

The culture density did not seem to have significant influence on growth rate. For growth periods 1, 3, and 4, the growth rate over the period did not slow down due to the increase of culture density (see Table 2-10). Overall, 45 days continuous operation occurred (see Figure 2-42) resulting in a total volume of approximately 16,500 L (yielding 23.9 kg biomass on a dry weight basis) harvested from the *Scenedesmus* culture.

Table 2-10 – Summary of *Scenedesmus* Productivity and Harvest Data from 6M radius Cultivator

Growth Period	Date	Aerial productivity (g/m ² /day)				Harvest		
		Mean	SE	Max	Min	Volume (L)	Density (g/L)	Biomass (g)
1	8/21/2009 – 8/24/2009	20.12	2.61	25.54	17.49	–	–	–
2	8/26/2009 – 8/31/2009	2.11	0.97	5.11	–0.85	4000	0.83	3320
3	9/01/2009 – 9/14/2009	10.70	1.24	18.03	–0.95	8000	1.71	13680
4	9/16/2009 – 9/23/2009	10.95	1.51	17.32	7.25	4500	1.54	6930

A series of observational laboratory experiments conducted during the period of July 1, 2009 – September 30, 2009 examined the feasibility of naturally settling *Scenedesmus* as a partial dewatering strategy. Previous species examined were found not to settle, even when un-agitated. Results showed this species to rapidly settle when left unmixed for a period of

Figure 2-43 – Settled *Scenedesmus* Culture after 5 Hours in a Conical-Bottom Vessel

time. There was significant variability in the settling rate and final settled culture density. This variability was likely due to the growth phase, culture conditions, temperature, pH, and other unknown factors; however, the key result was that some portion of the population in a given culture consistently settled to the bottom of the vessel in a matter of hours when left un-agitated.

Representative of this series of settling experiments, a 1.5 L of culture, at a density of 1.5 g/L (similar to the harvest density from an outdoor cultivation system), was placed in a covered 60°-angled bottom funnel to simulate settling dynamics within a 60° cone-bottom settling tank. The culture was left un-agitated for 5 hours to simulate a reasonable amount of settling time that could be accommodated after a harvest. The resulting culture can be seen in Figure 2-43.

Although the culture on top is not completely clear, most of the biomass settled out after 5 hours. The settled biomass was found to be 47.7 g/L and the non-settled portion was 0.04 g/L. This 5-hour settling period, with no energy expenditure for dewatering, resulted in a nearly 32X increase in the concentration of the biomass. As with any biological system, the culture dynamics affecting this settling vary, and thus, settling rates and final densities are likely to vary as well. In spite of this, any method to increase de-watering efficiency is beneficial. Over time, as culture settling is practiced on large-scale cultures, the dynamics and variability of this process will be better understood and utilized.

In conclusion, *Scenedesmus* was chosen as the species for the project based on observational and experimental results. Characteristics of this species that led to this choice were temperature tolerance, rapid growth rate, resistance to contamination, and the ability to settle when harvested. The continued research focused more on how to increase the species' lipid content and reduce its chlorophyll content by suppressing its cultivation conditions. This research is further supported under the follow-on DOE Cooperative Agreement,

DE-FE0001099, “Integrated Energy System (IES) with Beneficial CO₂ Use.” Please refer to its final technical closeout report for the results.

2.4.7 SUMMARY OF 6M RADIUS ALGAE CULTIVATOR TEST RESULTS

In summary, the 6M radius algae cultivation system was built at APS 3rd Avenue algae R&D facility site. This outdoor system included a 6M radius cultivator with a total surface area of 113 m² and a total culture volume capacity between 10,000 L to 15,000 L; a CO₂ on-demand feeding system; an on-line data collection system for temperature, pH, PAR and DO; and a ~2 gpm algae culture dewatering system. The 6M cultivation system demonstrated approximately 170 days of total operation since the onset of testing. Approximately 77,000 L of culture were harvested. Three algae species were examined and cultured throughout the duration of experimentation – *Nannochloropsis*, *Selenastrum* and *Scenedesmus*. A summary of operational periods, biomass productivities, and volumes and biomass harvested throughout testing on these species is presented in Table 2-11.

The *Nannochloropsis* harvested at the site gave an oil content of 9.21 wt% of biomass, where 37 wt% consisted of omega 3, 6 and 9. *Selenastrum* obtained an oil content of 17 wt% total fat and 8 wt% neutral lipids. A total neutral lipid content of 80 wt% of the total fatty acids was obtained from an acetone-dried *Scenedesmus* biomass, which generally contains 20 wt% total lipids when grown in stressed conditions. A maximum CO₂ capture rate of 90 wt% was achieved with this 6M cultivator design.

Among these three algae, *Scenedesmus* showed the most tolerance of temperature and irradiance conditions in Phoenix and the best self-settling characteristics. Experimental findings and operational strategies determined through these tests guided the operation of the algae cultivation system at APS’s Redhawk test facility for a scale-up study. This knowledge ensured active, consistent growth of the culture, and thus CO₂ capture, while aiding in the dewatering, oil extraction, and fuel production processes. Continued extensive algae stressing to biologically increase algae oil content and reduce the chlorophyll content at the 3rd Avenue and Redhawk test facilities was studied under DOE Cooperative Agreement: DE-FE0001099, “Integrated Energy System with Beneficial CO₂ Use.” Please refer to its final scientific/technical report for further results.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Table 2-11 – Summary of Mechanical Operation, Algae Cultivation, and Harvests Conducted in the 6M Radius Cultivation System at 3rd Avenue R&D Test Site

Algae CO ₂ Capture Project 6M Cultivator Summary																																																																																	
6M Cultivator - Summary of Results	Unit	Jan 2009				Feb 2009				Mar 2009				April 2009				May 2009				June 2009				July 2009				Aug 2009				Sept 2009				Oct 2009																																											
		Week Beginning																																																																															
		1/4	1/11	1/18	1/25	2/1	2/8	2/15	2/22	3/1	3/8	3/15	3/22	3/29	4/5	4/12	4/19	4/26	5/3	5/10	5/17	5/24	5/31	6/7	6/14	6/21	6/28	7/5	7/12	7/19	7/26	8/2	8/9	8/16	8/23	8/30	9/6	9/13	9/20	9/27	10/4	10/11																																							
Operation of 6M Cultivator																																																																																	
Water – Mechanical Testing	Date range	1/12 – 2/3												3/18 – 4/2												Re-lining of cultivator																																																							
Sp 1 - <i>Nannochloropsis</i>	Date range													2/12 – 3/16												4/2 – 5/18																																																							
Sp 2 - <i>Selenastrum</i>	Date range																									5/22 – 6/25																																																							
Sp 3 - <i>Scenedesmus</i>	Date range																																					8/17 – 10/12																																											
Growth Rate																																																																																	
Sp 1 – Productivity Mean	g/m ² /d													3.88												6.29												6.09				4.36				7.27																																			
Sp 1 – Productivity Peak	g/m ² /d													13.92																								17.81																																											
Sp 2 – Productivity Mean	g/m ² /d																																					20.75				6.43				9.56																																			
Sp 2 – Productivity Peak	g/m ² /d																																					26.38				8.55				18.98																																			
Sp 3 – Productivity Mean	g/m ² /d																																																	20.12				2.11				10.70				10.95				4.96				11.46											
Sp 3 – Productivity Peak	g/m ² /d																																																	25.54				5.11				18.03				17.32				12.27				33.68											
Harvest																																																																																	
Harvest – Sp 1 (volume)	Liters													4500												12000												4500												4500				4500				9000																							
Harvest – Sp 1 (dry weight)	Grams													3800												11300												5400												5850				4950				9100																							
Harvest – Sp 2 (volume)	Liters																																																	9000				4500																											
Harvest – Sp 2 (dry weight)	Grams																																																	11115				3990																											
Harvest – Sp 3 (volume)	Liters																																																													4000				8000				4500				8000				4000			
Harvest – Sp 3 (dry weight)	Grams																																																													3320				13680				6930				10960				5720			

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

2.5 ALGAE OIL EXTRACTION AND PROCESSING FOR CARBON RE-UTILIZATION

2.5.1 OUTSOURCED OIL EXTRACTION AND BIOFUEL PRODUCTION FROM ALGAE BIOMASS

Algal lipid and oil extraction work was initiated in the third quarter of fiscal year 2008 (March – June 2008) by NETL/ Office of Research and Development (ORD), CAER at the University of Kentucky, ASU, POS, DynaSep and ConocoPhillips. APS sent about 300 kg of algae paste and 500 g of dried algae in total for algae lipid analyses and oil extraction exercises.

2.5.1.1 Algae and Water Analysis

Ultimate analysis provided by CAER is shown in Table 2-12. The GF3 algae strain was compared to local Western coal to assess difference in heating value. When comparing algae to BHP Navajo mine Western coal (Table 2-13), the analyzed GF3 strain samples have less carbon (46 wt% versus 62 wt%) and more oxygen (32 wt% versus 10 wt%). The ester group in lipids, triglyceride, explains the high oxygen content in algae. Because the GF3 strain was a freshwater species, dried algae ash content was far less than coal (8 wt% versus 21 wt%). The marine species would have a much higher ash content if an additional washing step was not used to remove any salt remaining in the algal biomass. The heating value of the dried algae was also determined by NETL. On a dry basis, HHV was 8,600 Btu/lb and low heating value (LHV) was 7,939 Btu/lb. As a comparison, Navajo mine coal has a heating value of 10, 710 Btu/lb on a dry basis.

Elemental analysis of dried algae was performed by NETL and is presented in Table 2-14. Compared with corn stalk, the GF3 strain contained more Phosphorous (P), Sulfur (S), Magnesium (Mg), and Sodium (Na). However, these algae element contents can be tailored by nutrients added to the culture.

Extensive water analysis was performed by NETL/ORD (Table 2-15) on the effluent from the Lavin centrifuge to determine if the centrifuge effluent met the city of Phoenix's criteria as nonhazardous material, and could be disposed of utilizing the city wastewater system. The bag farm used Phoenix city water as its water source. As seen in Table 2-15, except for sulfur, all other components are well below the Phoenix city limit for potable water. This sulfur was introduced by sulfate in the nutrient medium and can potentially be reduced without hurting algae growth rate.

Table 2-12 – Dried Algae GF3 Ultimate Analysis

Sample	Percentage of (wt%)						
	H	C	N	S	O	Ash	Moisture
Dry Algae	7.26	46.14	5.34	0.66	32.50	8.10	8.46
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY							

Table 2-13 – Ultimate Analysis of Western Coal

Sample	Percentage of (wt%)						
	H	C	N	S	O	Ash	Moisture
Western Coal	4.61	61.92	1.28	0.69	10.18	21.32	7.27
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY							

**Table 2-14 – Dried Algae GF3 Elemental Analysis and Comparison with Corn Stalk
(Provided by NETL)**

Inductivity Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometer (ICP-OES)			
Chemical Name	Unit of Measure	Dried Algae	Corn Stalk
Ag	µg/g	< DL	–
Al	µg/g	57.9	–
As	µg/g	< DL	–
Ba	µg/g	6.2	–
Be	µg/g	< DL	–
Ca	µg/g	3401	2,160
Cd	µg/g	< DL	–
Ce	µg/g	< DL	–
Co	µg/g	< DL	–
Cr	µg/g	945	–
Cu	µg/g	60.4	–
Fe	µg/g	4,100	139
K	µg/g	7,188	17,350
Mg	µg/g	2,788	1,600
Mn	µg/g	105	15.0
Mo	µg/g	6.2	–
Na	µg/g	8940	–
Ni	µg/g	452	–
P	µg/g	8,298	–
Pb	µg/g	< DL	–
S	µg/g	4,915	–
Sb	µg/g	13.6	–
Se	µg/g	< DL	–
Si	µg/g	255	–
Sn	µg/g	< DL	–
Sr	µg/g	26.9	12.0
Ti	µg/g	4.2	–
Tl	µg/g	< DL	–
V	µg/g	< DL	–
Zn	µg/g	71.4	32.0
DL = Detection Limit			
µg = Microgram			
Source: NETL/ORD, Pittsburgh, PA			

Table 2-15 – Fresh City Water and Centrifuge Effluent Elemental Analysis (NETL) and Phoenix City Limit

ICP-OES				
Chemical Name	Unit of Measure	City Water	Centrifuge Effluent	City Limit
Ag	µg/L	< DL	< DL	500
Al	µg/L	22.3	< DL	–
As	µg/L	< RL	< DL	100
B	µg/L	53.4	120	5,600
Ba	µg/L	46.7	50.4	–
Be	µg/L	< RL	< DL	–
Ca	µg/L	37,370	43,060	–
Cd	µg/L	< DL	< DL	47
Ce	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Co	ug/L	< DL	< DL	–
Cr	µg/L	< DL	< DL	1,400
Cu	µg/L	4.4	10.7	1,700
Fe	µg/L	4.1	20.8	–
K	µg/L	3,407	68,380	–
Li	µg/L	< DL	21.4	–
Mg	µg/L	14,330	12,570	–
Mn	µg/L	< DL	2.7	–
Mo	µg/L	< DL	8.4	–
Na	µg/L	81.9	838	–
Ni	ug/L	< DL	< DL	5,000
P	µg/L	< DL	9,340	–
Pb	µg/L	< DL	< DL	500
S	µg/L	28,430	29,910	10,000
Sb	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Se	µg/L	< DL	< DL	100
Si	µg/L	5,793	8,110	–
Sn	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Sr	µg/L	394	444	–
Ti	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Tl	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
V	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Zn	µg/L	< DL	7.5	5,400
Inductivity Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS)				
Chemical Name	Unit of Measure	City Water	Centrifuge Effluent	City Limit
Ag	µg/L	1.50	1.04	–
Cd	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
Sb	µg/L	2.42	3.69	–
Tl	µg/L	< DL	0.322	–
Pb	µg/L	1.99	15.8	–
Th	µg/L	< DL	< DL	–
U	µg/L	2.36	16.6	–
DL = Detection Limit				
Source: NETL/ORD, Pittsburgh, PA				

2.5.1.2 Algae Oil Extraction

Various lipid extraction methods were explored by the laboratories, including NETL/ORD, University of Kentucky CAER, and DynaSep, from March 2008 to June 2009 on the GF3 strain and *Nannochloropsis*.

2.5.1.2.1 Oil Extraction on the GF3 Strain

Three sets of Soxhlet extractions on GF3 were first tried by NETL as follows: one 3-hour reflux using 200 mL hexane plus 75 mL isopropanol; one 8-hour reflux using the same reagent combo; and one 8-hour reflux using a larger portion of isopropanol (160 mL hexane plus 115 mL isopropanol). All procedures used a 5 g algae specimen as the starting mass and a total volume of reagent of 275 mL. Based on the amount of solids collected after extraction and rotary evaporation, about 9 wt%, 6 wt%, and 5 wt% oil were extracted, respectively, from the biomass. The mass balances were 102 wt%, 103 wt%, and 102 wt%, respectively.

University of Kentucky CAER also performed separate Soxhlet and reflux extraction on the GF3 strain. The details of these extractions are as follows:

- 3.17 g of dried algae was extracted with 400 mL of hexane in a Soxhlet apparatus equipped with a cellulose sample holder (thimble). The duration of the extraction was 16 hours, and the mass of oil recovered was 0.56 g (17.7 wt%). A sample of this oil was sent to NETL for GC/MS analysis. The calculated mass balance was 105.4 wt%, suggesting that either the oil or the remaining algae contained residual solvent.
- 11.10 g of algae paste was extracted as above using 200 mL of hexane mixed with 200 mL of methanol. After the extraction was finished, the two solvent layers were separated, and 0.54 g of oil (yield of 4.9 wt%) was recovered from the hexane layer. In addition, a waxy substance was isolated. (This was obtained from the methanol used to wash the hexane layer before isolation of the oil.)
- 29.47 g of algae paste was extracted with 400 mL of hexane mixed with 10 mL of methanol in a Soxhlet apparatus equipped with a sintered glass sample holder. After 16 hours, the extraction was stopped and the resulting solvent layers were separated.
- 20.23 g of algae paste was mixed with 200 mL of methanol. The mixture was refluxed and liquid samples removed at 5 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, and each subsequent hour for 6 hours.

Further, the University of Kentucky CAER conducted a preliminary pyrolysis study using GF3 algae paste. The pyrolysis was performed at 350°C (662°F) for about 30 minutes. Approximately 30 wt% bio-oil was obtained.

POS was recommended due to their previous “hands-on” experience with algae oil extraction. They also have the capability of both lab- and pilot-plant-scale oil extraction techniques. The contract scope with POS was to extract crude oil from dried algae biomass by bead milling in hexane (or other suitable solvent). In this work scope, the project was planning to provide POS ~10 kg algae paste. Following the extraction, the crude oil was going to be analyzed for phosphorous content, peroxide value, free fatty acid value, and color to help identify the necessary oil pretreatments for the oil upgrading process.

However, the preliminary algae oil extraction performed by POS did not show promising results. With two solvents, pure hexane and mixture of hexane and ethanol, about 5.6 wt% and 20 wt% oil were extracted from the GF3 strain, respectively. Crude oil from algae contains neutral lipid (triglyceride) and polar lipids (phospholipids, glycolipids, etc.). A solvent with stronger polarity tends to extract more polar lipids as well as other substances such as sterol, chlorophyll and carotenoids, etc. This may explain the higher percentage of oil extracted when more polar solvent (mixture of hexane and ethanol) was used. Overall, the results for oil extraction of the GF3 strain were unsatisfactory. Its oil content was low and the oil was difficult to extract.

2.5.1.2.2 Oil extraction of *Nannochloropsis*

In the third quarter (April through June) of fiscal year 2009, 240 L of algae paste with a solids concentration of 18 wt% was purchased from Reed Mariculture and was sent to Lyophilization Services of New England (LSNE) for drying. About 45 kg of dried *Nannochloropsis* was obtained from LSNE. DynaSep performed the oil extraction using these dried algae. At DynaSep, the dried algae were slurried with approximately 6.6 lb (3 kg) of solvents (the solvent mixture contained 30 wt% hexane and 70 wt% methanol) and were loaded into an extraction vessel in batches of 2.76 lb (1.25 kg). The purpose of the solvent was to hydrate the algae charge and begin the extraction process. For approximately 5 hours, clean solvent was introduced at a rate of 3.3 lb/hr (1.5 kilograms per hour (kg/hr)), resulting in 10.5 kg of solvent per batch. The resulting ratio of solvent to dry algae extracted was approximately 8.4.

The solvent was removed from the extracted material in a two-step process using a rotary evaporator and vacuum oven. In the first step, a hot water bath at 140°F (60°C) was used to supply heat for evaporation with the bulk of the solvent evaporated using the rotary evaporator

operated at 7.4 psi (15 in Hg). The remaining solvent was evaporated in a vacuum oven operating at 12.8 psi (26 in Hg) and 140°F (60°C) for 8.2 lb (3.7 kg) of total extracted material. A total of 33 lb (15 kg) of dried algae was processed by DynaSep, which resulted in 8.2 lb (3.7 kg) of extract. In general the results were below expectation with the low quality and low flowability of the extracted oil product. The resulting oil sample was sent to ConocoPhillips for oil upgrading.

2.5.1.2.3 Attempt at Oil Upgrading by ConocoPhillips on *Nannochloropsis* Extract

ConocoPhillips conducted fatty acid, triglyceride, and elemental analysis on the *Nannochloropsis* oil sample obtained by DynaSep. Around 25 wt% fatty acid was identified, which was close to the 27 wt% measured by POS. ConocoPhillips claimed 25 wt% fatty acid was too low for oil upgrading and additional steps to clean the oil would make the oil yield even lower.

The main elements of the algae oil extract were as follows:

Element	C	H	N	Na	K	P	Mg	Others
Wt%	49.06%	7.51%	2.66%	9.93%	1.68%	0.232%	0.0975%	28.8%

The salt content in this sample was too high and needed to be lowered to make the extracted oil fit the triglyceride specifications (Table 2-16) in ConocoPhillips' existing biofuel process.

Table 2-16 – ConocoPhillips Triglyceride Specifications

Property	Level Max Percent (wt%) or ppm
Moisture content	<0.2 wt%
Unsaponified materials	<1 wt%
Insoluble impurity	<0.05 wt%
Ash content	<0.03 wt%
Free fatty acids	<4 wt%
Phosphorous	< 5 ppm
All other metals are listed below: Sodium Calcium Potassium Magnesium Lithium Barium Strontium	< 10 ppm
Note: The total ppm concentration of the metals listed above must be < 10 ppm	
Source: ConocoPhillips, Bartlesville, OK	

2.5.1.3 Biofuel Production

2.5.1.3.1 Algae Oil to JP-8

Once the algae have been harvested, oil needs to be extracted from the biomass. The algae oil could then be refined into renewable jet fuel using conventional hydro-processing technology applied in petroleum refineries around the world today after the crude oil pretreatment. The process first needs to remove oxygen from the feedstock oil through decarboxylation and hydro-deoxygenation processes using bimetallic or novel metal heterogeneous catalysts. The product was then further refined through isomerisation, a process by which one molecule is cracked open and re-arranged to form another molecule shape, to meet the specifications needed for jet fuel. NETL/ORD and University of Kentucky's CAER started catalyst screening for the decarboxylation process during the third quarter of fiscal year 2008. This was the key step for crude algae oil upgrading.

2.5.1.3.2 Catalyst Supply

The catalyst supplies were obtained primarily from CAER (their in-house catalysts) and BASF. The catalysts included noble metal catalysts and Ni-based catalysts. The following delineates the catalysts that were obtained:

- CAER in-house catalysts:
 - Noble metal catalysts: 1 wt % Pt/Al₂O₃, 1 wt % Pt/C, 5 wt % Pd/C
 - Ni catalysts: Ni (0.8) Al (0.2); Ni (0.4) Mg (0.27) Al (0.33); Ni (0.67) Al (0.33), Ni (0.54) Mg (0.13) Al (0.33); Ni (0.13) Mg (0.54) Al (0.33); Ni (0.4) Mg (0.27) Al (0.33); 10 wt% Ni/Activated Carbon (AC)
- BASF Vendor catalysts:
 - E-473P: Reduced and stabilized nickel on silica/alumina powder
 - E-474TR: Reduced and stabilized nickel on silica/alumina tablet (for fixed-bed testing)
 - Ni-5536P: Reduced and stabilized nickel on silica/alumina powder
 - Ni-3298E: Reduced and stabilized nickel on extruded silica/alumina (for fixed-bed testing)
 - X-256: 2 wt% Pd/C containing approximately 50 wt% moisture content.
 - 2 wt% Pt/C
 - 5 wt% Pt/C
 - 5 wt% Pd/C

2.5.1.3.3 Testing and Analytical Facilities

The obtained catalysts were tested and analyzed by NETL/ORD, CAER and APS project personnel as summarized in Table 2-17.

**Table 2-17 – NETL/ORD, CAER, and APS Project
Catalyst Testing and Product Analysis - Summary by Facility**

	Testing Facility	Analytical Facility
NETL/ORD	Fixed-bed, continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR), and agitated reactors: (T up to 1000°C (1832°F)), P up to 2000 psi, liquid and gas feeds) Online gas analysis Automated/unattended operation.	Materials characterization XRD with hot-stage, SEM with EDS, TGA, BET, XPS, LEIS, LEED, Auger, STM, AFM, gradient film evaporator Materials analysis: GC systems with MS, AED, FIO, TCD, ICP-OES
CAER	300 mL autoclave, 100 mL autoclave 4*25 mL autoclave array	GC (gases), SIMDIST, elemental analysis (C & H, O by difference), ¹³ C NMR
APS Project	2 L autoclave 2 L distillation equipment	GC/MS, GC
Source: NETL/ORD, Pittsburgh, PA and CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY		

2.5.1.3.4 Catalyst Testing Results

The catalyst screening test results presented below are from CAER. The 100 mL algae oil with clear yellow color and high flowability was obtained from GreenFuel by APS in 2008. Both University of Kentucky and NETL received about 30 mL of the algae oil. The SIMDIST analysis on the algae oil from CAER indicated that the algae oil contained 30 wt% hexane solvent in the algae oil and the carbon numbers in the algae oil were from C5 to C15. Due to the limited algae oil supply, most of the decarboxylation experiments performed at CAER used model molecules tristearin (octadecanoic acid, 1, 2, 3-propanetriyl ester) and triolein. Before CAER procured a 100 mL autoclave reactor, the decarboxylation test was performed in their 300 mL autoclave setup. Generally, 0.5 g catalyst, 25 g substance (model molecule or algae oil), and 22 g Dodecane were used for each test. Dodecane was added in the reactor simply for diluting purposes. After flushing with N₂, the reaction was started under 100 psig pressure. All tests were performed at 350°C (662°F) for 4 hours. The tests performed to-date included the upgrading of fatty acids, FAMEs, tristearin, and algae oil using noble metal and Ni catalysts (as listed above). The product analyses were conducted using GC (for gases), SIMDIST, elemental analysis (C & H, O by difference), and ¹³C NMR. The main observations from CAER catalyst testing were as follows:

- Gas byproduct phase normally contains: CO, CO₂, H₂, CH₄, C₂, and C₃ hydrocarbons.
- Liquid product phase normally contains saturated hydrocarbons (C₅ above).
- In triglyceride conversion, 1 wt% Pt/C and 5 wt% Pd/C show similar activity and product distributions. These catalysts are more active than the metal oxide catalysts reported in the literature (e.g., Al₂O₃, ZnO).
- Tristearin and algae oil afford similar product distributions, although algae oil seems to be less reactive. This was unexpected and required further investigation. Main products are C₅-C₁₅ hydrocarbons. GC/MS data indicated that the hydrocarbons are saturated.
- Ni/Mg/Al catalysts show promise for deoxygenation of tristearin. Activity is better than Pd or Pt catalysts screened to-date for best Ni catalyst, selectivity to C₈–C₁₇ is similar to Pd and Pt catalysts.
- Fatty acids and FAMEs can also be converted to hydrocarbons over Pt/C and Pd/C catalysts.

- The activation procedure employed is important: *in situ* reduction affords more active catalysts (active site is NiO).
- The activity for C-C bond scission appeared to depend on the Mg content.

Table 2-18, Table 2-19, and Table 2-20 provide the result summaries from CAER catalyst screening. Both model molecules and algae oil acquired from GreenFuel contained trace amounts of S and P, which could be a concern with respect to the catalyst deactivation; however, catalyst deactivation was not evaluated.

**Table 2-18 – Noble Catalyst Performance Comparison with Stearic Acid
and Methyl (Me) Stearate**

Catalyst	Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	Pt/C	Pd/C	Pt/C	Pd/C
Reactant	Stearic Acid	Stearic Acid	Stearic Acid	Me Stearate	Me Stearate
CO+CO ₂ (mmol)	51.3	61.7	50.4	30.8	27.3
Conversion (wt%)	59.0	70.9	57.9	36.2	32.1
Product Yields					
Solids (g)*	44.1	38.6	41.5	44.3	40.3
Liquids (g)	0	0	0	0	0
Gases (g)**	2.2	2.3	2.0	1.3	1.1
Mass Balance (wt%)	97.5	86.2	91.6	96.2	87.3
*Solids exclude initial catalyst weight					
**Gases exclude initial N ₂ charge					
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY					

Table 2-19 – Noble Catalyst Performance Comparison with Tristearin and Algae Oil

Catalyst	Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	Pt/C	Pd/C	Pt/C	Pd/C
Reactant	Tristearin	Tristearin	Tristearin	Algae Oil	Algae Oil
CO ₂ + CO (mmol)	77.6	94.8	101.2	56.2	48.5
% Conversion by Mass (wt%)	61.6	75.2	80.3	44.6	38.5
Product Yields					
Solids (g)*	40.2	25.8	22.4	0	3.1
Liquids (g)	0	9.7	14.8	39.8	20.9
Gases (g)**	3.2	3.7	3.8	2.9	3.4
Mass Balance (wt%)	90.8	88.4	90.9	95.0	81.0
*Solids exclude initial catalyst weight					
**Gases exclude initial N ₂ charge					
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY					

Table 2-20 – CAER In-house Ni Catalyst Performance Comparison with Tristearin

Catalyst	NiO.BAlO.2	NiOAM-gO.3AlO. 3	NiO.7AlO.3	NiO.5MgO.1AlO.3	NiOAM-gO.3AlO.3	NiO.1MgO.5AlO.3
Reduction	Ex situ	Ex situ	In situ	In situ	In situ	In situ
Deoxygenation* (wt%)	34.9	55.5	70.5	78.3	94.0	91.7
Gas sampling (mmol)						
H ²	6.4	3.8	7.0	6.4	8.3	11.9
CH ⁴	49.9	5.1	33.0	48.1	11.4	26.2
ΣC ²	13.4	4.3	6.0	7.5	6.5	21.3
ΣC ³	10.5	3.7	4.2	5.6	5.4	17.2
ΣC ⁴	4.9	1.8	2.3	3.4	2.7	7.2
Products recovered						
Gas (g)	3.9	2.9	4.7	5.8	5.2	6.6
Oil (g)	24.9	33.3	33.8	31.9	32.1	26.2
Solid (g)	1.4	1.3	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.9
Total (g)	31.5	37.6	37.9	37.0	38.0	33.5
Mass Balance (wt%)	73.9	84.4	88.9	89.0	90.0	83.5
* wt% deoxygenation based on measured on CO ₂ + CO yield						
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY						

After the catalyst screening, more tests were carried out to study the behavior of different oil sources with the same catalysts. Table 2-21 and Table 2-22 give the results of tests using tristearin, triolein, and salad oil. The test results show that with higher unsaturation in the carbon chain (salad oil > triolein > tristearin), 5 wt% Pd/AC gave about same selectivity of a C8–C17 component; however, the catalyst tagged SAT002 showed significantly higher C8-C17 component selectivity using salad oil than using tristearin.

Table 2-21 – Results Obtained from 5 wt% Pd/AC Catalyst with Different Feedstocks

Feedstock	Run No.	Pre-treatment	Product			Selectivity to Liquid Products (wt%)			Liquid wt% x C8-C17 wt%
			Solid (wt%)	Gas (wt%)	Liquid (wt%)	≤C7	C8-C17	≥C18	
Tristearin	48	A	1.3	10.9	87.7	2.1	70.3	27.6	61.7
Triolein	49	A	6.7	12.5	80.8	2.6	68.9	28.5	55.7
Salad Oil	47	A	5.2	18.6	76.2	2.8	67.2	30.0	51.2
Pretreatment Method A: All catalysts were reduced in-situ at 200°C (392°F) under 10 wt% H ₂ /He.									
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY									

Table 2-22 – Results Obtained from the SAT002 Catalyst with Different Feedstocks

Feedstock	Run #	Pre-treatment	Product			Selectivity to Liquid Products (wt%)			Liquid wt% x C8-C17 wt%
			Solid (wt%)	Gas (wt%)	Liquid (wt%)	≤C7	C8-C17	≥C18	
Tristearin	46	C	0.0	6.1	93.9	0.4	38.7	32.4	36.3
Salad Oil	52	C	5.1	22.3	72.6	2.0	62.2	35.8	45.2
Salad Oil	43	D	3.0	9.2	87.8	4.8	72.0	23.2	63.2
Pretreatment Method C: The catalyst was calcined <i>ex situ</i> in air and reduced <i>in situ</i> at 350°C (662°F) under 10 wt% H ₂ /He.									
Pretreatment Method D: The catalyst was calcined <i>ex situ</i> in air.									
Source: CAER, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY									

2.5.2 IN-HOUSE LIPID ANALYSIS AND OIL EXTRACTION DEVELOPMENT

The project also constructed a functional laboratory and a production “farm” to produce algae oil for the lab work in the third quarter (March through June) of fiscal year 2008. The laboratory includes essential lab equipment for algae lipid analysis and oil extraction.

Starting in September 2009, in-house oil extraction and lipid analysis on algae, mainly *Scenedesmus sp.*, were performed in the APS laboratory. The fatty acid analysis was conducted by converting fatty acids to their methyl ester through an acid catalytic approach, and FAME was quantitatively determined using GC/MS with an internal standard. Oil extraction from algae biomass was also attempted, and the extraction results from dried algae obtained from different drying methods were compared.

2.5.2.1 In-House Algae Lipid Analysis

Fatty acid analysis was performed by using an acid catalytic approach to convert all lipids and free fatty acids (FFAs) to FAMEs. Conversion of lipids and FFA into FAMEs is a common approach as it can reduce the adsorption of solute on the GC column and improve hydrocarbon separation. An internal standard was injected together with the sample to reduce analytical errors and obtain fatty acid results in weight.

Esterification techniques have acid and base catalysis approaches based on the reagents used. The reagents most commonly associated with a base catalyst are sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or potassium hydroxide (KOH) in methanol. The advantage of base catalysis esterification is that it can be carried out at room temperature with a short reaction time. However, a base catalysis approach will not convert FFAs into FAMEs, which limits its applicability to high FFAs containing oil. Thus, acid catalytic esterification was applied in this instance, with sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) in methanol chosen as the reagent. The method developed is as follows:

100 mg of freeze-dried algae biomass was weighed and added with 50 μL (40 mg/ml) methyl nonadecanoate (C19:0) internal standard in hexane, and 1 mL (10 wt% (v/v) methanolic H_2SO_4 . The mixture was heated at 60°C for 1 hour and the FAMES were extracted with hexane containing 0.2 wt% Butylated Hydroxytoluene (BHT) and analyzed on HP-7890A GC equipped with HP 7673B injector and 5975C MS. Two capillary columns (HP-PONA, 50 m by 0.2 mm by 0.5 μm and HP-INNOWAX, 30 m by 0.32 mm by 0.25 μm) were used and the spectrums from two different GC columns were compared. The results are shown in Figure 2-44.

Figure 2-44 – Gas Chromatographs of the Fatty Acid Methyl Esters in Scenedesmus Analyzed with Different GC Columns

The HP-INNOWAX gave a better separation than that from HP-PONA due to higher polarity of HP-INNOWAX. The HP-INNOWAX had shorter analysis time compared to that of HP-PONA because of the availability of thinner films on the column. Therefore, all the GC-MS analyses were performed subsequently using HP-INNOWAX.

FAMES were identified by MS and quantified by comparing peak areas with an internal standard (C19:0), with results shown in Table 2-23. There were eight FAME derivatives in the *Scenedesmus* biomass resulting in total fatty acid content of 12 wt%. This analysis compared favorably with an 11 wt% fatty acid content analyzed by an external source. From the analysis, the most abundant fatty acid was oleic acid methyl ester with 31 wt% of the total fatty acids. Additionally, the total content of four 18-carbon acid methyl esters; (1) oleic acid methyl ester, (2) octadecanoic acid methyl ester, (3) octadecadienoic acid methyl ester, and (4) octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester, was 68 wt% of the total fatty acids. This result was indicative of high-quality biodiesel.

Table 2-23 – Fatty Acid Methyl Esters in the Biomass

No	Molecular formula	Relative molecular mass	Fatty acid methyl ester	Relative content (wt%)
1	C ₁₇ H ₃₄ O ₂	270	Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	17.11
2	C ₁₇ H ₃₂ O ₂	268	9-Hexadecanoic acid methyl ester	3.21
3	C ₁₇ H ₃₀ O ₂	266	7,10-Hexadecadienoic acid methyl ester	5.33
4	C ₁₇ H ₂₈ O ₂	264	7,10, 13-Hexadecatrienoic methyl ester	5.95
5	C ₁₉ H ₃₈ O ₂	298	Octadecanoic acid methyl ester	1.90
6	C ₁₉ H ₃₆ O ₂	296	7-Octadecenoic acid methyl ester	30.79
7	C ₁₉ H ₃₄ O ₂	294	9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester	16.08
8	C ₁₉ H ₃₂ O ₂	292	9,12, 15-Octadecatrienoic acid methyl ester	19.60

2.5.2.2 In-House Algae Oil Extraction

The first step in extracting oil from algae is to dry the algae biopaste, which is often considered to be the most energy intensive part of this process. Currently, freeze-drying is the most widely used method to dry the wet paste (~20 wt% solid and ~80 wt% liquid by weight); however, freeze-drying is time-consuming and energy intensive. This technique is not ideal, as it does not break the algae cell wall, thus producing a limited amount of neutral lipids. A recently investigated alternative is chemical drying with acetone. This method was found to be efficient at drying algae paste, while effectively cracking the cell wall as well. Recent results yielded 20 wt% dry algae from *Scenedesmus* using acetone, which was then ready for oil extraction.

The next step of the oil extraction process was to extract the lipids from the dry algae biomass. To do this, an acetone-dried algae sample was extracted with methanol at 40°C (104°F) for 40 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 minutes, after which the supernatant was removed and the solids were re-extracted with a mixture of hexane and diethyl ether (1:1 volume ratio). This mixture was centrifuged and the supernatant from it was combined with other supernatants. The supernatant mixture was then combined with an equal volume ratio of diethyl ester, hexane and water, which subsequently was vortexed, sonicated, and centrifuged. The upper phase was collected, and the bottom phases were combined, where the upper phase was a neutral lipid phase and the bottom phase was a polar lipid phase. Finally, the lipid solutions were evaporated to remove the solvents and weighed. A total neutral lipid content of 80 wt% of the total fatty acids was obtained from an acetone-dried sample, which was higher than that observed from a freeze-dried sample (~27 wt%).

Extensive algae stressing, oil extraction, and lipid analysis were studied under DOE Cooperative Agreement: DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its final technical closeout report for further results.

2.5.3 SUMMARY OF OIL EXTRACTION AND BIOFUEL PRODUCTION

Crude oil from algae includes neutral lipids and polar lipids. Depending on the polarity of the solvents used for oil extraction, different amounts of lipids would be extracted. About 120 kg of algae paste and 500 g of dried algae (utilizing the GF3 and *Nannochloropsis strains*) were sent to outside labs (CAER at the University of Kentucky, NETL/ORD, DynaSep and POS) for various algae oil extraction exercises. It has been generally recognized that oil extraction using "green" algae is very challenging. Non-flowing green gum was obtained from all these exercises, which was probably caused by the interaction of chlorophyll and phosphor lipids. For one gallon *Nannochloropsis* extract sent to ConocoPhillips, it was found the fatty acid content was only ~25 wt% and extract quality was too low for oil upgrading. Crude oil pretreatment will be required for any oil upgrading.

Initial catalyst screening tests for the decarboxylation process on CAER in-house catalysts and BASF vender catalysts were carried mostly by CAER using model molecules, due to the limited supply of algae oil. The model molecule, Tristearin, showed similar product distributions as that of algae oil, which were mainly C5-C15 saturated hydrocarbons. Ni/Mg/Al catalysts showed the promise for deoxygenation of Tristerarin. Their performance was better than that of Pd or Pt catalysts.

In-house biomass and oil analysis protocol and facilities were established. They significantly assisted in monitoring algae cultivation, studying stressing effects on algae oil content and even facilitating strain selection. Development of the fatty acid analysis method was an important step in increasing the analytical capability of the laboratory to deliver consistent, reliable, and repeatable lipid content results.

The low neutral lipid contents from algae biomass that had been tested made it necessary to manipulate the algae biomass cultivating condition to increase the fat level and reduce chlorophyll in biomass, thereby easing the oil extraction process. Extensive algae stressing, oil extraction, and lipid analysis were continued under DOE Cooperative Agreement: DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its final technical closeout report for further results.

2.6 EFFECTS OF FLUE GAS ON ALGAL GROWTH AND LIPID PRODUCTION

2.6.1 INTRODUCTION

Work was planned and performed during the period between June 1, 2009 and March 31, 2010 by Biological & Irrigation Engineering, Utah State University, Logan, Utah, to determine the effects of flue gas on algal growth and lipid production. Although flue gases are a readily available source of CO₂ for growth of photoautotrophs, they also contain several other chemical species such as sulfur oxides (commonly referred to as SO_x and comprised primarily of SO₂ and SO₃), nitrogen oxides (commonly referred to as NO_x and comprised primarily of NO and NO₂) (Reddy 2002), heavy metal species including Ag, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, and Zn (Jakob et al. 1995; Wang et al. 2006), and carbon compounds including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Mastral and Callen 2000). All these components, in addition to CO₂ can influence algae growth by directly interacting with the microorganisms or indirectly by influencing the chemistry of the growth medium. In order to design stable and highly productive microalgal systems integrated with flue gases, the influence of these interactions on growth and resulting biofuel quality must be understood and quantified.

2.6.1.1 SO_x and NO_x in Flue Gas

Although environmental regulations on SO_x and NO_x emissions from power plants exist, their concentration limits in flue gases released into the atmosphere vary based on location and plant due to local regulations as well as trading of emissions allowed under the Clean Air Act of 1990 (USEPA 2007). However, most large power plants employ SO_x and NO_x emission control systems which have overall efficiencies greater than 90% (Committee on Mine Placement of Coal Combustion Wastes 2006). Under these circumstances, total SO_x and NO_x concentrations are likely to be less than 200 and 60 parts per million by volume (ppmv), respectively, predominantly comprising of SO₂ and NO.

In water, oxides of sulfur and nitrogen dissociate to form sulfite, nitrate and nitrite (Babich and Stotzky 1980) and the primary chemical reactions leading to the formation of these species is shown in Figure 2-45. In unbuffered systems, these dissolved-gas reactions can decrease the pH of the growth medium and can cause a decrease in cell growth (Matsumoto et al. 1995; Reddy 2002). In addition, the formed sulfite and nitrite ions may inhibit algal growth at high concentrations (Reddy 2002; Yanagi et al. 1995). Reddy (Reddy 2002), for example, showed that inhibition of growth of *Chlorella* sp. occurred above sulfite and nitrite concentrations of

Aqueous SO_x dissociation reactions:

Aqueous NO_x dissociation reactions:

Figure 2-45 – SO_x and NO_x Reactions in the Aqueous Phase

100 mg/L. However, other studies with *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Nannochloropsis salina* show no detrimental impact when NO_x-containing flue gas was used for growth (Matsumoto et al. 1995), either due to insufficient dissolved nitrite levels or due to greater nitrite tolerance by the organisms tested. In fact, at low concentrations, it is also possible that

nitrate, nitrite or sulfite derived from dissolved SO_x and NO_x could serve as sources of N and S for microalgal growth.

2.6.1.2 Volatile Heavy Metals in Flue Gas

Heavy metals in flue gases come from two sources – ash particles or volatilized metal species (Pavageau et al. 2002). Since most metal species are non-volatile at ambient temperatures, they are likely to condense and precipitate out, at least partially, onto ash particles when stack gases (typically at 93°C (200°F)) are cooled before injection into algal growth reactors (Benemann 1993; Benemann 1997; Douskova et al. 2009). However, some species of metals such as Hg, As and Se can exist in a vapor phase even at low temperatures.

At ambient temperatures, mercury can exist both in elemental and oxidized forms, especially as a metal chloride. At the exit of the high temperature zone in the coal combustion reactor, Hg exists mostly in elemental form (Hg(0)) but is partially oxidized to HgCl₂ (Galbreath et al. 2000; Hall et al. 1991; Senior et al. 2000) or HgS (Yan et al. 2000) at lower temperatures in the stack. The Hg(II) formed under these cooler conditions is relatively stable and does not easily thermally decompose back into its elemental form (Galbreath et al. 2000). However, the extent of Hg oxidation depends on the chlorine content of the coal (Zhao et al. 2006) and the combustion system used (e.g., oxidizing or reducing conditions) (Yan et al. 2000). Laboratory studies suggest that Hg(0) may only be between 10-20% of the total Hg at the point of release from the stack (Kellie et al. 2004) and even lower when flue gases are further cooled down to ambient temperatures (Yan et al. 2000).

For algae systems, there is significant relevance of Hg speciation – the concentration of Hg(II) (such as from HgCl₂) in water is a result of chemical equilibrium interactions of the ionic Hg

species with the aqueous medium (Clever et al. 1985), whereas the concentration of dissolved elemental mercury is driven by vapor-liquid equilibrium and governed by Henry's Law (Andersson et al. 2008; Sanemasa 1975). As a result, while concentrations of Hg(II) in water can increase until its solubility limits are reached (approximately 75 g/L for HgCl₂ (Clever et al. 1985), the amount of Hg (0) dissolved in water depends on its concentration in the vapor phase. At 25°C (77°F), the dimensionless Henry's law constant for elemental mercury is 0.32 (Andersson et al. 2008) and assuming a gas-phase Hg(0) concentration of 0.016 mg/m³ (Kellie et al. 2004), the maximum expected Hg(0) concentration in the aqueous medium, assuming equilibrium between vapor and liquid phases, would only be 50 ng/L.

The other major metal species likely to be present in vapor phase in cooled flue gases are As and Se. Like Hg, the specific As and Se species formed depend on the chlorine content of the parent coal as well as on the combustion processes used. Under reducing combustion conditions or when the chlorine content of coal is low, metallic As, a water-insoluble species, is likely to be dominant in cooled flue gases (Yan et al. 2000). When oxidizing combustion conditions exist or when the chlorine content of the coal is high, AsCl₃ is most likely to form (Yan et al. 2000). AsCl₃ is unstable in water and decomposes to As(OH)₃ (Wiberg 2001) that stabilizes in water as arsenite (H₃AsO₃) at near neutral pH (Bohn 1976) and is very soluble (~18.5 g/L) (Pokrovski et al. 1996).

In the case of Se, it can exist as SeCl₂ or H₂Se at ambient temperatures after exiting the stack, with H₂Se being the dominant species only when total chlorine content of the coal is low (< 0.16 parts per million by weight (ppmw)). If the chlorine content is >16 ppmw, SeCl₂ is the major species formed (Yan et al. 2000). H₂Se, a gaseous species at ambient temperature, is partially soluble in water but is unstable in solution and decomposes rapidly in the presence of oxygen to form elemental selenium – an insoluble metal (Yost 2007). SeCl₂ is also unstable and is decomposed by water to form selenious acid (H₂SeO₃) (Booth and Morfit 1862) that stabilizes as the monobasic and dibasic selenite oxyanions (HSeO₃⁻ and SeO₃²⁻) in water at near-neutral pH (Zhu et al. 2004). Being reactive with water, the volatile As and Se species could react with moisture present in flue gas. Condensation of water vapor on particulates in flue gases could then result in deposition of formed As and Se oxyanions on fly ash.

2.6.1.3 Other Heavy Metals in Flue Gas

Other metal species exiting with flue gases are most likely associated with sub-micron-size ash particles that are not captured with electrostatic precipitators or fabric filters (Swaine 2000). In

fly ash, metals are part of a solid matrix consisting of mostly fused silicates and oxides (Thompson and Argent 1999). However, some metals such as Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn as well as As and Se are present as ionic species (Pavageau et al. 2002) and can be leached out of solution under appropriate conditions (Wang et al. 2007a). Typically, the cationic metal species such as Cu(II), Cd(II) and Zn(II) are more labile under acidic conditions and remain in the solid phase under neutral or alkaline conditions. The trend is opposite for other metals such as Se and As that can exist as oxyanions such as selenite and arsenite and become soluble under alkaline pH conditions (Wang et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2007b). The presence of ammonia also influences the release of metal ions into solution – ammonia forms complexes with cationic metal ions and can solubilize metals under neutral or alkaline conditions (Wang et al. 2006). These influences on metal solubilization from fly ash are especially significant for algal systems integrated with flue gases if ammonium salts are used as a nitrogen source for culture growth since algae grow under neutral to alkaline conditions.

Although fly ash capture efficiencies (using electrostatic precipitators or filters) are generally greater than 99% (Strand et al. 2002), the sub-micron-sized particles most likely to escape usually have higher metal content than the average fly ash particles (Jakob et al. 1995), presumably due to re-deposition of vaporized metals (Pavageau et al. 2004). However, comprehensive metal content data on exclusively un-captured fly ash is lacking. Given the lack of accurate metal speciation data under the specific conditions likely in integrated algae-flue gas systems, only estimates of potential metal concentrations can be made based on reported information. One approach to derive these estimates is to assume that in the extreme case, metals contained in 1% of the fly ash generated during coal combustion would be injected into algal reactors and would progressively accumulate in the growth media when the water is recycled. Under these conditions, the actual amount of fly ash that is likely to eventually accumulate in the algal bioreactors can be calculated using a mass balance approach with the following additional assumptions:

- Volume of flue gas generated per kg of coal burned = 5000 L
- Total ash content of coal = 10%
- Fly ash content of total ash = 90%
- Fraction of fly ash present in flue gas entering into algal reactor = 1%

- Gas flow rate into algae reactor = 110 mL/s (corresponds to a sparge rate of 1.5 vvm (vessel volume per minute))
- Total duration of one algae harvesting cycle = 10 days

Using the above information and assumptions, the amount of fly ash present in the algal reactors at the end of one harvesting cycle comes out to be ~0.2 g. Using highest reported values of metal content in fly ash (Table 2-24, column 1) (Committee on Mine Placement of Coal Combustion Wastes 2006), metal concentrations in the growth medium can be calculated by assuming that all the metals leach out – this enables calculation of maximum concentrations of metals that the algae are likely to be exposed to. These calculations for different water recycle conditions are shown in Table 2-24.

Table 2-24 – Maximum Metal Concentration Likely to be Present in the Algae Growth Medium

Component	Mass fraction (mg/kg)	Estimated conc. In liquid if completely leached (mg/L)			
		Assuming 1 recycle	Assuming 5 recycles*	Assuming 10 recycles*	Assuming 20 recycles*
Arsenic	391.0	0.08	0.39	0.78	1.56
Cadmium	76.0	0.02	0.08	0.15	0.30
Chromium	651	0.13	0.65	1.30	2.60
Cobalt	79.0	0.02	0.08	0.16	0.32
Copper	655.0	0.13	0.66	1.31	2.62
Lead	273.0	0.05	0.27	0.55	1.09
Nickel	1270.0	0.25	1.27	2.54	5.08
Mercury	49.5	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.20
Selenium	49.5	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.20
Zinc	2200.0	0.44	2.20	4.40	8.80

*Recycle refers to the number of times water is reused after algae is harvested

2.6.1.4 Influence of Heavy Metals on Algae

Overall, heavy metals can influence algae and algae-derived products in the following ways:

- Toxicity due to metals may impede the growth of algae and/or their lipid production abilities.
- If metals are taken up by algae partition into the lipid fraction, the quality of the fuel may be compromised and may not meet regulatory requirements.

- Partition of metals into the protein or other nutritional components of algal biomass (e.g., omega-3 lipids or carotenoids) may limit viable generation of these valuable co-products.
- Accumulation and magnification of metal content in algae may also pose problems with disposal of residual post-processing material.
- Reuse of water may become limited if metal concentrations increase due to recycling.

In general, algae have a high capacity to uptake metals from solution (Mehta and Gaur 2005) and can very likely be influenced, to varying degrees, by metals present in flue gases. Metal interactions with algae involve two fundamental processes – (1) sorption of metals onto algal surfaces and (2) intracellular uptake of metals (Bates et al. 1982) (see Figure 2-46).

Figure 2-46 – Metal Adsorption

The sorption processes are usually reversible and involve only weak interactions with algal cell surfaces, but after cellular uptake, the associations between algae and metal species remain relatively strong and permanent (Mehta and Gaur 2005). In general, metal adsorption accounts for >80% of the total metal associated with algal biomass during initial exposure to metals (Mehta et al. 2002), but over time adsorbed metals accumulate into the sub-cellular material (Knauer et al. 1997).

Algae can cope with limited exposure to low concentrations of metals through various detoxification mechanisms such as induction of antioxidants, including carotenoids (Pinto et al. 2003). However, at high concentrations or under prolonged exposure, permanent cellular damage occurs, resulting in growth inhibition and cell death (Baptista and Vasconcelos 2006; Pinto et al. 2003). Although metal partitioning within algal cells is not very well understood, one study showed that in *Ankistrodesmus falcatus*, Sn was about 85% in the cellular polysaccharide fraction, 15% in the protein fraction, and 0.2% in lipid and low molecular weight fractions (Wong et al. 1984). Other studies have shown metal accumulation inside the vacuoles of algal cells (Gadd 1988). In addition, the presence of multiple metals affects the metal uptake and distribution characteristics. For instance, Okamura and Aoyama (Okamura and Aoyama 1994) have shown that when present in a mixture, Cd and Cr (VI) influence each other's concentration and distribution among membrane, cell wall, and soluble and miscellaneous fractions of *Chlorella ellipsoidea*. All these factors are important to consider when designing systems that that utilize flue gases for algal growth.

In order to design stable and highly productive microalgal systems integrated with flue gases, the influence of these interactions on growth, lipid synthesis and resulting biofuel quality must be understood and quantified. Proposed goals were to quantitatively establish baseline interactions between flue gas species and algae. Besides metals, interactions of SO_x and NO_x with algae were also to be evaluated. Simultaneous effects of multiple species were not studied, and instead the focus was on providing an accurate description of biological interactions with individual flue gas species and quantifying these effects as they relate to overall growth and lipid accumulation. The major part of the effort focused on laboratory studies under controlled and well-defined conditions; however, a small secondary effort to study algae growth with actual flue gas from a power plant was also proposed.

2.6.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.6.2.1 Experimental Setup

In the period of August 1 through October 31, 2010, the Utah State University (USU) research group developed an experimental set-up for growing algae on simulated flue gases from a coal-fired combustion-based power system and techniques for analysis of samples from experiments. The experimental set-up is shown in Figure 2-47, and consisted of 5 L vessels equipped with paddles and a sparger. A stir plate placed under the reactors rotated the stirrer

to provide mixing. Each reactor had two double-row, 2-foot-long T12 ballasts that held the light fixtures for the reactors. Each ballast had one GE- and one Phillips-plant/aquarium fluorescent light. These were programmed to go on daily at 6:30 a.m. and shut off at 7:00 p.m. Each reactor had an inlet line for the flue gas, a sample line for doing the required daily measurements of growth, and a vent line. The CO₂ in the flue gas performed two functions – (1) it served as the inorganic carbon source for algal growth and (2) it buffered the system to maintain pH. Therefore, control of gas flow rates was critical to maintain algal growth.

Another option would be to use an external biological buffer (such as phosphate or TRIS) to keep the pH constant. However, use of such external buffers can lead to complexation of heavy metals, especially cationic metals like Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Zn²⁺, etc., that would alter the microbe-metal interactions and provide data that would be less relevant to envisioned large-scale commercial systems. To-date, manual control of gases maintained pH in the range 6.5-7.5. Future experiments would be designed to utilize an automated gas control system to maintain pH at 7±0.1 and would compare reactor performance as a function pH controlled automatically versus manually. This test will clearly identify the importance of accurate pH control and the need for automated pH control systems in future experiments.

Figure 2-47 – Schematic of Experimental Reactors (Left) and Photograph of USU Experimental Set-Up (Right)

The analytical methods that were validated and tested and included assays of total suspended solids (TSS), total phosphorus, ortho-phosphate, chemical oxygen demand (COD), anions (chloride, bromide, nitrate, nitrite, phosphate and sulfate) using ion chromatography (IC) and analysis of heavy metals (including procedures for sample digestion) using ICP-MS. Analysis of three water samples obtained from the APS Cholla and Redhawk sites have been completed using the methods developed at USU.

2.6.2.2 Water Sample Analysis

Three water samples were received from APS for analysis of metals, anions and other constituents relevant to algal growth. The samples were taken from the Redhawk cooling pond, Redhawk filter back flush (both located at a natural gas fired power plant) and Cholla Lake (located at a pulverized coal-fired power plant). Analysis of these samples enabled development of methods and calibrations useful for similar tests to be performed in samples obtained from future algae experiments.

Table 2-25 shows a comparison of USU results with measurements obtained by APS on the Redhawk pond (located at a natural gas fired power plant) and the Cholla Lake (located at a pulverized coal-fired power plant). As can be seen, most of the USU values correspond closely with data obtained independently by APS on the Cholla Lake samples. However, some difference is seen in the analysis results of the Redhawk cooling pond water. This is likely due to the difference in methods used by APS and USU. USU analysis reflects a total metal analysis obtained after thorough acid digestion of water samples and includes both soluble and insoluble metals. The APS tests on Redhawk samples were most likely performed after acidifying the samples but without any digestion. Thus the APS method might not have analyzed all the insoluble metals. It must also be noted that the samples sent to USU and the samples analyzed by APS were collected on different days although they came from the same general location.

Table 2-26, Table 2-27 and Table 2-28 below respectively show analysis results for anions, metals and other parameters in the water samples taken from the Redhawk cooling pond, Redhawk filter back flush and Cholla Lake conducted by USU.

Table 2-25 – Comparison of APS and USU Water Analyses on Redhawk Cooling Pond and Cholla Lake Water Sample

Parameter analyzed	Cholla Lake		Redhawk Cooling Pond	
	APS ¹ (µg/L unless otherwise indicated)	USU (µg/L unless otherwise indicated)	APS ² (µg/L)	USU (µg/L)
<i>Metals</i>				
Al	<100	576.2	10.4	417
As		1.26	<DL	0.30
Ba	130	167	9.23	9.7
Be		0.25	<DL	0.25
Co		0.45	<DL	3.52
Cr		2.6	6.81	5.9
Cu	<50	18.1	16.8	89.8
Fe	110	103	10.1	260
Mn	20	27.0	<DL	44.0
Ni		2.1	2.64	5.8
Pb		10.7	<DL	3.7
Sb		0.12	2.64	0.63
Se		<0.14	<DL	<0.14
Ti		<0.15	<DL	<0.15
V		6.3	1.67	4.4
Zn		22.2	<DL	9.96
<i>Anions and others</i>				
pH	8.2	7.76		
Specific conductance at 25°C, µohms	3020	2540		
Sulfur, total, as SO ₄ ppm	318	279.1		
Chloride as Cl, ppm	832	566.6		
Nitrate, as NO ₃ , ppm	<1	nd		
Phosphate, total, as PO ₄ , ppm	<0.4	nd		
Fluoride, as F, ppm	0.6	0.635		
¹ Data taken from file "Cholla Lake Water Analysis rs.pdf sent to USU ² Data taken from file "ICP_APS Water sample_to Sally_032509_Red Hawk.xls" * 15x dilution was necessary to bring concentration within calibration range nd = not detected DL = detection limit				

Table 2-26 – Anion Analysis of Water Samples

Element	Conc Units	Redhawk pond	Standard deviation	Redhawk filter back flush	Standard deviation	Cholla Lake	Standard deviation
F ⁻	mg/L	0.911	0.442	1.277	0.241	0.635	0.013
Cl ⁻	mg/L	386.002	8.326	373.162	12.807	566.625	2.772
NO ₂ ⁻ as N	mg/L	nd		nd		nd	
Br ⁻	mg/L	1.307	0.113	1.196	0.003	nd	
NO ₃ ⁻ as N	mg/L	2.696	0.004	3.543	0.027	nd	
PO ₄ ⁻ as P	mg/L	nd		nd		nd	
SO ₄ ⁻	mg/L	201.253	13.198	189.507	8.041	279.099	5.992

Table 2-27 – Total Metal Analysis of Water Samples

Element	Conc. units	Redhawk pond	Redhawk filter Back flush	Cholla Lake	Method detection limit (µg/L)
9 Be	µg/L	0.25	1.01	0.25	0.13
27 Al	µg/L	417	31030	576.2	6.37
51 V	µg/L	4.4	18.2	6.3	0.11
52 Cr	µg/L	5.9	18.9	2.6	0.10
55 Mn	µg/L	44.0	269	27.0	0.28
56 Fe	µg/L	260	5731	103	3.08
59 Co	µg/L	3.52	2.62	0.45	0.11
60 Ni	µg/L	5.8	20.0	2.1	0.25
63 Cu	µg/L	89.8	32.2	18.1	0.35
66 Zn	µg/L	9.96	30.3	22.2	2.90
75 As	µg/L	0.30	2.56	1.26	0.04
78 Se	µg/L	<0.14	<0.14	<0.14	0.14
111 Cd	µg/L	<0.18	<0.18	<0.18	0.18
121 Sb	µg/L	0.63	0.73	0.12	0.17
137 Ba	µg/L	9.7	226	167	0.27
205 Ti	µg/L	<0.15	<0.15	<0.15	0.15
208 Pb	µg/L	3.7	13.8	10.7	0.14

Table 2-28 – Analysis of Other Parameters on Water Samples

Test	Units	Redhawk pond	Redhawk Filter Back flush	Cholla Lake
pH		6.66	6.17	7.76
Electrical conductivity	μS/cm	1877.00	1880.00	2540.00
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.51	1.70	0.43
Chemical oxygen demand		89.33	223.00	85.00

2.6.2.3 Growth Studies with *Neochloris*

Growth studies were performed in the stirred reactors described above with manual pH control. The *N. oleoabundans* strain was grown in a modified Bristol medium. At the time of the study, the specific strain to be utilized for the future pilot-scale tests (i.e. *Scenedesmus*) at APS had not yet been decided, so a readily available strain was utilized to develop methodologies and observe trends. The composition of this medium was: NaNO₃ (3 mM), K₂HPO₄ (1.4 mM), MgSO₄·7H₂O (0.3 mM), urea (1 mM), CaCl₂·2H₂O (0.17 mM), NaCl (0.43 mM), ferric ammonium citrate (15 mg/L). Medium pH was adjusted to 7.5. This was a minimal mineral medium and contains only defined chemical components without growth factors like vitamins or amino acids. Thus, metabolic processes were easy to observe and quantify when cultures were grown in this medium. Results from growth studies are shown in Figure 2-48. Changes in optical density and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) were monitored over time to generate a growth curve. Final biomass concentrations obtained in these tests were 0.8 g/L, which is consistent with other tests in our lab of this organism.

Figure 2-48 – Growth curve for *Neochloris Oleoabundans*

□

Figure 2-49 – **OD-TSS Correlation for *Neochloris Oleoabundans***

A correlation curve between optical density (OD, measured at 680 nm) and TSS values was generated to facilitate future conversion of measured OD data with actual biomass concentrations. The data plot is shown in Figure 2-49 and shows good linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.92$), suggesting that simple OD measurements can be used to obtain confident estimates of culture density. Additionally, in this time period initial culturing of *Scenedesmus obliquus*, the

strain of focus at APS, was completed in preparation for more comprehensive tests with simulated flue gases to be described below.

2.6.2.4 Metal Uptake Study with *Scenedesmus*

In the period of January 1 through March 31, 2010 cellular uptake experiments were performed to account for the distribution of heavy metals after prolonged exposure of the algae. *Scenedesmus obliquus* cultures were grown in the presence of metals. During growth, active metabolic transport occurs, as well as changes in cell size and number. Solution chemistry of the culture medium also occurs due to depletion of nutrients. All these factors can affect metal uptake. To test cellular uptake under growth conditions and determine effects on growth and lipid production, a culture of *Scenedesmus obliquus* was grown in 3 L reactors, with 12 hour light cycles. Three metals, Zn, Pb and Co, were tested in duplicate. A control with algae and no metal addition was used to monitor baseline growth. Cell free controls for each metal were also tested for abiotic interaction of metals with growth media. Figure 2-50 shows the experimental set up indicating the metals tested. Figure 2-51 shows a schematic of the procedure for testing cellular uptake. Metals were introduced using salts: ZnCl_2 , PbCl_2 and $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Algal cultures were to be grown in reactors and exposed to the metals for approximately 20 days until a stationary phase of growth was reached. Periodic samples were taken for analysis of metals in solution, metals adsorbed onto cell surfaces and intracellular metal.

Figure 2-50 – Experimental Set-Up for Testing of Zn, Pb, and Co

Figure 2-51 – Experimental Procedure for Analysis of Cellular Uptake of Metals

The culture grew for 18 days until it reached steady state. Figure 2-52 shows the trend of the growth curve. The control and the reactors treated with metals showed no statistically significant difference in growth. This effect may be explained due to complexation of the heavy metals with other compounds in the media, and possibly precipitation, reducing bioavailability of ion metals (Zn^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Co^{2+}) that cause the cellular damage. More detailed observation of the media-compound speciation was being performed at the time of this report. Secondary efforts to study algae growth with actual flue gas from a power plant were also planned.

The pH was controlled by manual adjustment of the CO_2 input in the reactors. Figure 2-53 shows the variation of the pH along the period of growth. Average pH for reactors with biomass was 6.95 ± 0.05 (desired pH was 7), and all the reactors with biomass followed similar trends. The abiotic reactors did not have CO_2 sparging and the pH stayed close to initially adjusted values.

Figure 2-52 – Growth Curve of Duplicate Reactors (Error Bars as Standard Deviation)

Figure 2-53 – pH Variation along the Growth Time Frame

Measurement of TSS was also done to ensure the validity of the calibration equation and increase its applicable range. Figure 2-54 shows combined data from previous and current reactors. It is observed that the new calibration equation range is 0-2500 mg/L of dry biomass.

Lipid analysis and metal analysis for samples taken from the reactors were in progress at time of reporting. Figure 2-55 shows a chromatogram obtained from a gas chromatograph lipid analysis. Preliminary calculations have shown that approximately 8% lipids (on dry biomass basis) are present in the culture.

Figure 2-54 – Total Suspended Solids (TSS) with Optical Density (OD) at 680 nm

Figure 2-55 – Example of Chromatogram from Lipid Analysis of Algae Culture in Reactors

At the time of project cessation (i.e., APS opted not to enter into an additional Phase of study for the project), planned work by USU was incomplete. Cellular adsorption studies were planned similar to the cellular uptake experiments but for shorter trial periods (1 week as opposed to 20 days), and tests to determine metal effects on lipid accumulation were underway at the time of last reporting. The aim of the adsorption experiments was to determine the sorption capacity of *Scenedesmus obliquus* and also determine the suitability of using sorption predictive models. The adsorption experiments were to be done under non-growth conditions to eliminate confounding effects with cell growth. Adsorption experiments for algae are usually done under light limitation to avoid confounding with cellular uptake (usually more active during photosynthesis), and this approach would be used in order to compare data with published literature. Additionally, the future studies would include analyses with other prevalent heavy metals in flue gas (e.g., Hg, As, Ni).

However in commercial algae systems, nutrient limitation is more likely to occur due to the natural depletion of nutrients or due to agronomic management to induce lipid production. For this reason the adsorption phenomenon under nutrient limitation will also be analyzed. Additionally, adsorption equilibrium models such the Langmuir and the Freundlich models to predict metal sorption would also be analyzed. The lipid tests were planned to determine if during the active transport of the metals into the cell, algae growth/adaptation/inhibition might be

occurring at the same time. Many cellular components can be affected by metal uptake. Therefore, the objective of these experiments was to know the final effect of a longer exposure of the algae to metals. For this purpose, growth and lipid production were to be monitored, under growth conditions and under nutrient starvation. Lipid samples from the growth samples were being analyzed at the time of this report. In addition to these tests more metals present in flue gas other than the ones tested in the studies reported here (Co, Zn, and Pb) would be tested for cellular uptake, adsorption, and effects on lipid accumulation.

2.6.3 SUMMARY OF HEAVY METAL STUDY

Utah State University investigated and developed new procedures and methods to examine the effects of heavy metals present in flue gas on algae growth. USU also successfully tested and identified the components in Redhawk cooling pond water, Redhawk filter backflush and Cholla lake water sources. While testing was still in initial stages at the time of this report, the initial results indicated that Co, Zn, and Pb did not adversely affect the growth of *Scenedesmus* when compared with control cultures.

This work was officially terminated by APS due to the closeout of the project on March 31, 2010. Continued metal element analysis of algae culture water, algae biomass ion exchanged rinse water, algae biomass and crude algae oil would determine the deposition of metals. Metal deposition would occur in water, via physical adsorption on the algae biomass cell wall, or inside the algae cell.

2.6.4 REFERENCES FOR CHAPTER 2.6

- Andersson ME, Gardfeldt K, Wangberg I, Stromberg D. 2008. Determination of Henry's law constant for elemental mercury. *Chemosphere* 73(4):587-592.
- Babich H, Stotzky G. 1980. Environmental factors that influence the toxicity of heavy metals and gaseous pollutants to microorganisms. *Crc Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 8(2):99-145.
- Baptista MS, Vasconcelos MT. 2006. Cyanobacteria metal interactions: Requirements, toxicity, and ecological implications. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* 32(3):127-137.
- Bates SS, Tessier A, Campbell PGC, Buffle J. 1982. Zinc adsorption and transport by *Chlamydomonas variabilis* and *Scenedesmus subspicatus* (chlorophyceae) grown in semi-continuous culture. *Journal of Phycology* 18(4):521-529.
- Benemann JR. Utilization of carbon dioxide from fossil-fuel burning power plants with biological systems. 1993. Pergamon-Elsevier Science Ltd. p 999-1004.

- Benemann JR. 1997. CO₂ mitigation with microalgae systems. *Energy Conversion and Management* 38:S475-S479.
- Booth JC, Morfit C. 1862. Selenium. *The encyclopedia of chemistry, practical and theoretical: embracing its application to the arts, metallurgy, mineralogy, geology, medicine, and pharmacy*: H.C. Baird. p 978.
- Clever HL, Johnson SA, Derrick ME. 1985. The solubility of mercury and some sparingly soluble mercury salts in water and aqueous-electrolyte solutions. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data* 14(3):631-681.
- Committee on Mine Placement of Coal Combustion Wastes. *Managing Coal Combustion Residues in Mines*. 2006. National-Research-Council, editor. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- Douskova I, Doucha J, Livansky K, Machat J, Novak P, Umysova D, Zachleder V, Vitova M. 2009. Simultaneous flue gas bioremediation and reduction of microalgal biomass production costs. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 82(1):179-185.
- Dukes JS. 2003. Burning buried sunshine: Human consumption of ancient solar energy. *Climate Change* 61: 31-44
- Gadd GM. 1988. Accumulation of metals by microorganisms and algae. In: Rehm HJ, editor. *Biotechnology*. Weinheim: VCH. p 401–434.
- Galbreath KC, Zygarlicke CJ, Olson ES, Pavlish JH, Toman DL. 2000. Evaluating mercury transformation mechanisms in a laboratory-scale combustion system. *Science of the Total Environment* 261(1-3):149-155.
- Hall B, Schager P, Lindqvist O. 1991. Chemical reactions of mercury in combustion flue gases. *Water Air and Soil Pollution* 56:3-14.
- Jakob A, Stucki S, Kuhn P. 1995. Evaporation of heavy metals during the heat treatment of municipal solid-waste incinerator fly ash. *Environmental Science & Technology* 29(9):2429-2436.
- Kellie S, Duan YF, Cao Y, Chu P, Mehta A, Carty R, Liu KL, Pan WP, Riley JT. 2004. Mercury emissions from a 100 MW wall-fired boiler as measured by semicontinuous mercury monitor and Ontario Hydro Method. *Fuel Processing Technology* 85(6-7):487-499.
- Knauer K, Behra R, Sigg L. 1997. Adsorption and uptake of copper by the green alga *Scenedesmus subspicatus* (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology* 33(4):596-601.
- Mastral AM, Callen MS. 2000. A review of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emissions from energy generation. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34(15):3051-3057.
- Matsumoto H, Shioji N, Hamasaki A, Ikuta Y, Fukuda Y, Sato M, Endo N, Tsukamoto T. 1995. Carbon-dioxide fixation by microalgae photosynthesis using actual flue-gas discharged from a boiler. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 51-2:681-692.
- Mehta SK, Gaur JP. 2005. Use of algae for removing heavy metal ions from wastewater: Progress and prospects. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 25(3):113-152.

- Mehta SK, Singh A, Gaur JP. 2002. Kinetics of adsorption and uptake of Cu²⁺ by *Chlorella vulgaris*: Influence of pH, temperature, culture age, and cations. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A - Toxic/Hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering* 37(3):399-414.
- Okamura H, Aoyama I. 1994. Interactive toxic effect and distribution of heavy metals in phytoplankton. *Environmental Toxicology and Water Quality* 9(1):7-15.
- Pavageau MP, Pecheyran C, Krupp EM, Morin A, Donard OFX. 2002. Volatile metal species in coal combustion flue gas. *Environmental Science & Technology* 36(7):1561-1573.
- Pinto E, Sigaud-Kutner TCS, Leitao MAS, Okamoto OK, Morse D, Colepicolo P. 2003. Heavy metal-induced oxidative stress in algae. *Journal of Phycology* 39(6):1008-1018.
- Reddy MH. 2002. Application of algal culture technology for carbon dioxide and flue gas emission control [MS Thesis]. Tempe: Arizona State University. 96 p.
- Sanemasa I. 1975. The solubility of elemental mercury vapor in water. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan* 48(6):1795-1798.
- Senior CL, Sarofim AF, Zeng TF, Helble JJ, Mamani-Paco R. 2000. Gas-phase transformations of mercury in coal-fired power plants. *Fuel Processing Technology* 63 (2-3):197-213.
- Strand M, Pagels J, Szpila A, Gudmundsson A, Swietlicki E, Bohgard M, Sanati M. 2002. Fly ash penetration through electrostatic precipitator and flue gas condenser in a 6 MW biomass fired boiler. *Energy & Fuels* 16(6):1499-1506.
- Swaine DJ. 2000. Why trace elements are important. *Fuel Processing Technology* 65:21-33.
- Thompson D, Argent BB. 1999. Coal ash composition as a function of feedstock composition. *Fuel* 78(5):539-548.
- USEPA. 2007. The Plain English Guide to the Clean Air Act. Research Triangle Park: USEPA. Report nr Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards EPA-456/K-07-001 <http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/peg/peg.pdf>.
- Wang JM, Ban H, Teng XJ, Wang H, Ladwig K. 2006. Impacts of pH and ammonia on the leaching of Cu(II) and Cd(II) from coal fly ash. *Chemosphere* 64(11):1892-1898.
- Wang JM, Wang T, Burken JG, Chusuel CC, Ban H, Ladwig K, Huang CP. 2008. Adsorption of arsenic (V) onto fly ash: A speciation-based approach. *Chemosphere* 72(3):381-388.
- Wang T, Wang HM, Ban H, Ladwig K. 2007a. Quantifying the availability and the stability of trace cationic elements in fly ash. *Waste Management* 27(10):1345-1355.
- Wang T, Wang J, Burken JG, Ban H, Ladwig K. 2007b. The leaching characteristics of selenium from coal fly ashes. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 36(6):1784-1792.
- Wiberg NH, Holleman AF, Wiberg E. 2001. *Holleman-Wiberg's Inorganic Chemistry*.

- Wong PTS, Maguire RJ, Chau YK, Kramar O. 1984. Uptake and accumulation of inorganic tin by a fresh-water alga, *Ankistrodesmus falcatus*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 41(11):1570-1574.
- Yan R, Gauthier D, Flamant G. 2000. Possible interactions *between As, Se, and Hg* during coal combustion. Combustion and Flame 120(1-2):49-60.
- Yanagi M, Watanabe Y, Saiki H. 1995. CO₂ fixation by *Chlorella sp* HA-1 and its utilization. Energy Conversion and Management 36(6-9):713-716.
- Yost DM. 2007. Oxygen, Sulfur, Selenium, Tellurium and Their Compounds with Hydrogen. In: Yost DM, editor. Systematic Inorganic Chemistry: Read Books. p 440.
- Zhao YX, Mann MD, Pavlish JH, Mibeck BAF, Dunham GE, Olson ES. 2006. Application of gold catalyst for mercury oxidation by chlorine. Environmental Science & Technology 40(5):1603-1608.
- Zhu J, Zuo W, Liang X, Li S, Zheng B. 2004. Occurrence of native selenium in Yutangba and its environmental implications. Applied Geochemistry 19(3):461-467.

2.7 CONCLUSIONS ON ALGAE TESTING

In this project APS built a large 8,000 L outdoor bag farm and a ~1000-m² algae lab at APS 3rd Avenue R&D facility. The lab was equipped to monitor culture growth and process harvested algae culture for storage and shipment. In-house biomass and oil analysis protocol and facilities were established. They significantly assisted in monitoring algae cultivation, studying stressing effects on algae oil content and even facilitating strain selection.

APS demonstrated an outdoor algae cultivation and harvesting system, which included a 6M radius cultivator which had a total surface area of 113 m² and a total culture volume between 10,000 L to 15,000 L; a CO₂ on-demand feeding system; an on-line temperature, pH, PAR and DO collection system; and a ~2 gpm algae culture dewatering system. The 6M cultivation system demonstrated approximately 170 days of total operation since the onset of testing. Approximately 77,000 L of culture was harvested. The highest CO₂ capture rate of obtained was 90 wt% with this 6M cultivator design.

Based on the in-house lab-scale algae strain selection study, *Nannochloropsis oculata*, *Selanastrum*, and *Scenedesmus obliquus* were determined to be the leading candidates. Among them, *Scenedesmus obliquus* was found to exhibit rapid growth rates, thrive under the high temperatures found in Arizona, settle naturally, and grow for long periods of time without contamination. The achieved total fat and neutral lipid levels of *Scenedesmus* ranged between 10 wt% to 20 wt% total fat and 9 wt% to 17 wt% neutral lipids when stressed during the test period. Continued extensive algae stressing study on *Scenedesmus* to biologically increase algae oil content and reduce the chlorophyll content at the 3rd Avenue and Redhawk test facilities was performed under DOE Cooperative Agreement: DE-FE0001099, "Integrated Energy System with Beneficial CO₂ Use." Please refer to its final technical closeout report for further results.

It is generally recognized that oil extraction using "green" algae is very challenging. Non-flowing green gum was obtained from all oil extraction exercises; probably caused by the interaction of chlorophyll and phosphor lipids. The one gallon *Nannochloropsis* extract sent to ConocoPhillips had fatty acid content only ~25 wt% and extract quality was too low for oil upgrading. Crude oil pretreatment will be required for any oil upgrading.

Initial catalyst screening tests for the decarboxylation process were executed using model molecule Tristearin. Ni/Mg/Al catalysts showed better performance than that of Pd or Pt catalysts.

The study on effect of heavy metals on algae growth rate indicated that Co, Zn, and Pb did not adversely affect the growth of *Scenedesmus* when compared with control cultures. Continued metal element analysis of algae culture water, algae biomass ion exchanged rinse water, algae biomass and crude algae oil would determine the deposition of metals. Metal deposition would occur in water, via physical adsorption on the algae biomass cell wall, or inside the algae cell.

3 PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING PACKAGE AND SYSTEMS ANALYSIS FOR HYDROGASIFICATION/SUBSTITUTE NATURAL GAS COM- MERCIAL SCALE FACILITY COMMERCIAL DESIGN

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The scope of work for this project included a task to perform a systems analysis and cost engineering for a commercial scale coal-to-SNG plant based on the APS concept. The techno-economic analysis was conducted to provide a forecast for facility equipment needs, construction costs, start-up costs and operating costs for the novel yet unproven hydrogasification / substitute natural gas (SNG) process. The plant would co-produce 119.7 MMSCFD of SNG, net electric power of 201 MWe and revenue- generating elemental sulfur product of 20.6 tons per day.

WorleyParsons was contracted to prepare this analysis for a plant based on 1000 short tons per day of dry coal feed, to evaluate the feasibility of a commercial-scale co-production facility that would co-produce SNG and electricity. The package includes a preliminary engineering and cost estimates for a commercial-scale facility. To the extent possible WorleyParsons utilized data that was collected by APS during their bench-scale hydrogasification test campaign. Whereas the experimental project was terminated by APS before the bench-scale data collection could be completed and a pilot-scale facility could be constructed under the planned follow on Cooperative Agreement DE-FE0001099 "Integrated Energy System with Beneficial Carbon Dioxide Use," only limited information was available to feed the Techno-Economic model. It is acknowledged that this is a preliminary study. The design and cost data are suited for planning and budget estimate purposes only, and are not of sufficient depth of detail to justify major capital investment.

A key observation from the study is that the source and price of hydrogen utilized for the gasification process will be a key to producing SNG at a cost that is competitive with natural gas. The APS process assumes that hydrogen is produced via electrolysis, an energy intensive process, to split water to form hydrogen and oxygen. Fifty eight percent of the costs of hydrogen production are predicted to come from the electricity costs to operate the electrolysis unit. If electricity is purchased from the grid, the resulting first year production costs for SNG from hydrogasification would be approximately \$30.15/MMBtu (with approximately 85% of the cost of SNG coming from the hydrogen costs). This is significantly above the current price of

natural gas of about \$4 to \$5/MMBtu. The coal-to-SNG and electricity co-production process would become economically viable only with significant credits for utilizing renewable energy (i.e., windmills) to generate the electricity for the process, greatly decreasing the amount of hydrogen required by the process, and/or finding a lower cost method for producing hydrogen.

The Systems Analysis prepared by WorleyParson is presented in Appendix M.

APPENDIX A

Feasibility of SNG Formation through Coal Hydrogasification

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Feasibility of SNG Formation through Coal Hydrogasification

Literature Review

By
Xiaolei (Sally) Sun
Arizona Public Service Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Feasibility of SNG Formation through Coal Hydrogasification	5
2.	Previous Work on Coal Hydrogasification (Hydropyrolysis)	5
3.	Effects of Variables	8
3.1	Effect of Coal Rank	8
3.2	Effect of Hydrogen Pressure	9
3.3	Effect of Residence Time	13
3.4	Effect of Temperature	14
3.5	Effect of Coal Particle Size	17
3.6	Effect of Heating Rate	18
4.	Hydrane Process	19
4.1	Hydrane Process	19
4.2	Advantages and Disadvantages of the Hydrane Process	22
4.3	Reaction Rate Model	23
4.4	Related Information	24
4.5	Other Findings:	24
4.6	Lab/Engineer Scale Reactor Design	26
5.	Recent Research	30
6.	Summary	31
7.	References	32
8.	Glossary	34

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Comparison of methane yields from hydropyrolysis and pyrolysis of Montana lignite to different peak temperatures. (Suuberg 1980).....	7
Figure 2 - TOTAL CARBON CONVERSION AND LIQUID YIELD FROM FLASH HYDROGENATION OF DIFFERENT COALS. (CHEN 1978).....	9
Figure 3 - Product yields at maximum conversion to BTX. Fraction converted vs. pressure. Temperature 750 to 800°C (1,382 to 1,472°F). (Fallon 1980).....	9
Figure 4 - Maximum gaseous hydrocarbon yields vs. system pressure. Temperature 875 to 900°C (1,607 to 1,652°F); coal residence time 2.4 to 7.0 s (Fallon 1980).....	11
Figure 5 - Yield as a function of H ₂ pressure for Dennison medium-volatile bituminous coal: Peak temperature 825 ± 50°C (1,517 ± 122°F); Rapid heating rate ~ 600 K/s (~1,080°F/s); • CH ₄ ; ° C ₂ H ₆ ; ■ C ₆ H ₆ ; ▢ Naphthalene. (Stangeby 1981)	12
Figure 6 - Flash hydropyrolysis of lignite. Pressure 2500 psig; reactor temperature 825°C (1,517°F); preheated temperature 30°C (86°F). (Fallon 1980)	14
Figure 7 - Yield for Minto high-volatile bituminous coal: 10MPa (1,450 psi) H ₂ ; fast heating rate 600 K/s (1,080°F/s); • methane; ° naphthalene; ■ ethane; ▢ benzene. (Stangeby 1981)	15
Figure 8 - Flash hydropyrolysis of lignite: Liquid yield vs. temperature. Pressure 2000 psig. (Fallon 1980)	rink16
Figure 9 - Flash hydropyrolysis of lignite: Maximum gaseous hydrocarbon yield (CH ₄ + C ₂ H ₆) vs. temperature. Pressure 500 to 2500 psi; residence time 2.4 s to 7.0 s (Fallon 1980)	16
Figure 10 - Formation rate of CH ₄ under 1.1 MPa (160 psi) H ₂ : Coal, Zollverein (no. 4); heating rate, 3K/min (5.4°F/s). ---: CH ₄ formation during pyrolysis in N ₂ (Arendt 1981).....	17
Figure 11 - Effect of particle diameter on total yields from Pyrolysis and Hydropyrolysis of bituminous coal: •, hydropyrolysis, 69 atm (1,014 psi) H ₂ ; °, Pyrolysis, 1 atm (14.7psi)He. (Suuberg 1980).....	18
Figure 12 - Yield of light hydrocarbons as a function of peak temperature: Devco high-volatile bituminous coal: 1 atm (14.7psi) helium; 20s; medium heating rate, ~ 6000 K/s (10,832°F/s); • CH ₄ ; °, C ₂ H ₆ ; ■ C ₂ H ₄ (Stangeby 1981)	19
Figure 13 - Yield of light hydrocarbons as a function of peak temperature: Devco high-volatile bituminous coal: 1 atm (14.7 psi) helium; 20s; medium heating rate, ~ 250 K/s (482°F/s); • CH ₄ ; ° C ₂ H ₆ ; ■ C ₂ H ₄ (Stangeby 1981).....	19
Figure 14 - Conceptual two-stage reactor. (Feldmann 1972).....	26
Figure 15 - Conceptual integrated hydrogasification unit. (Feldmann 1973)	28
Figure 16 - Commercial Hydrane Reactor: Capacity Million scf Pipeline Gas. (Feldmann 1973)	29

List of Tables

Table 1 - Flash Pyrolysis Data for North Dakota Lignite (Pyrolysis Runs at 750°C (1382°F)). (Sundaram 1982).....	10
Table 2 - Comparison of Yields from Pyrolysis and Hydropyrolysis of Montana lignite. (Suuberg 1980)	13
Table 3 - Comparison of Yields from Pyrolysis and Hydropyrolysis of Pittsburgh Seam Bituminous Coal. (Suuberg 1980)	13
Table 4 - Summary of FDR Operation Range	21
Table 5 - Operating Data for FDP Hydrogasification of Raw Coal (Feldmann 1975)	22
Table 6 - Typical Analyses of Coals Used in Hydrane Study. (Feldmann 1973) ..	24
Table 7 - Dimensional Design of FDP, Hoppers, and Fluid-Bed Reactor in Figure 14. (Feldmann 1972)	27

1. Feasibility of SNG Formation through Coal Hydrogasification

Coal hydrogasification and coal hydrolysis were extensively investigated in the 1970s. In Talwalkar's U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) report (Talwalkar 1983) on coal pyrolysis, two sections included a summary of the 1970's work performed on coal pyrolysis in a hydrogen environment. The previous research efforts were primarily focused on discovering the effects of coal rank, hydrogen partial pressure, reaction temperature, heating rate, and coal particle size on coal hydrogasification. Total carbon conversion, yield of methane and other light hydrocarbon gases, light aromatic oils as well as tar were studied. Various apparatus, such as electric grids, entrained flow and fluidized beds were used in this effort. Kinetic models were described in some studies, as well as the utilization of catalysts. Another good review comes from the review of the Hydrane process. By developing the Hydrane process, Feldmann et al. spent a significant effort attempting commercialization of coal hydrogasification (Feldmann 1971; Feldmann 1972; Feldmann 1973; Feldmann 1975). Their two-stage reactor design solved the raw coal agglomeration problem at elevated temperatures.

The main purpose of this review is to reexamine previous work, summarize the major discoveries from these previous studies including the highlights of the Hydrane process and therefore present the feasibility of Substitute Natural Gas (SNG) formation through coal hydrogasification process in support of future research. Due to scope limitations, catalyst involved studies are not covered in this review.

2. Previous Work on Coal Hydrogasification (Hydrolysis)

Rapid devolatilization and hydrogasification of bituminous coal were studied by Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts) MIT) in the 1970s (Anthony 1976). Coal weight loss (volatile yield) was measured as a function of residence time (0-20 seconds (s)), heating rate (65-10,000 Degrees Celsius per second (°C/s) (149-18,032 Degrees Fahrenheit per second (°F/s)), final temperature (400-1100°C (752-2012°F)), total pressure (0.0001 – 7MPa (0.015 – 1015 pounds per square inch (psi)), hydrogen partial pressure (0-7 mega Pascal (MPa) (0-1015 psi)), and particle size (70-1000 micrometer (μm)). In their paper, the authors pointed out that hydrogen can interrupt the char-forming sequence, thereby increasing volatile yield. They also found that volatile yield increased significantly with increasing temperature and decreasing particle

size. Within the studied heating rate range, volatile yield increased only slightly as heating rate increased (Anthony 1976).

Early work by Dent (Dent 1944) showed that a substantial portion of the carbon in the raw coal can be converted to methane more rapidly than can the carbon in the char. Numerous experiments indicated the existence of a short-lived period of high reactivity, which was first believed simply to reflect hydrogenation of the coal's volatile matter (Birch 1960; Anthony 1976). Similarly, in Schroeder's patent (Schroeder 1962), the inventor pointed out that non-catalytic hydrogasification of raw coal can involve yields significantly exceeding the proximate volatile matter.

Fallon research group (Fallon 1980) studied the flash hydropyrolysis of lignite and sub-bituminous coals to both liquids and gaseous hydrocarbon products. Ground to 100 mesh, lignite and sub-bituminous coal were hydrogenated with preheated hydrogen in a highly instrumented 1-inch-inside-diameter by eight-foot entrained down-flow tubular reactor system designed to be operated at up to 900°C (1652 °F) and 4000 psi. The heat-up rate of the coal particles was calculated to be in the order of 30,000 to 50,000°C/s (54,032 to 90,032 °F/s). Methane yield in excess of 80 percent (%) was observed at 2500 psi and 875 to 900°C (1,607 to 1,652°F). Coal particle residence times were in the order of 4 to 12 s and the effect of residence time was studied by the use of product sample taps located along the length of the reactor. Hydrogen to coal feed ratios as low as approximately 0.2 pound per pound (lb/lb) were studied and methane concentrations as high as 34% in the process stream were obtained.

Suuberg (Suuberg 1980) reported product composition after the rapid hydropyrolysis of a Montana lignite and a Pittsburgh Seam bituminous coal. Experimental conditions included temperature up to 1080°C (1,976°F), and holding times at peak or final temperature of 0-20 s. Most runs were performed under pure hydrogen at a pressure of 69 atm (1,014 psi) with an average particle diameter of 74 µm. The nominal heating rate in all runs was 1000°C/s (1,832°F/s). The experiment was carried out using an electric grid. Under the conditions studied, methane was the principle reaction product and the yields of light aromatic liquids were small. Comparing the methane yield from the lignite for 1 atm (14.7 psi) He pyrolysis and 69 atm (1,014 psi) H₂ hydropyrolysis, Figure 1 clearly shows that the hydrogen has a substantial effect at temperatures as low as 600°C (1,112°F). The comparison of methane yield for 69 atm (1,014 psi) H₂ hydrolysis and 69 atm (1,014 psi) He pyrolysis, in the same figure, indicates that the increased yield of methane is far greater than could be simply attributed by the auto-hydrogenation process, which is a well-known effect whereby the yield of methane could be increased merely by increasing external inert gas pressure.

Figure 3 Comparison of methane yields from hydrolysis and pyrolysis of Montana lignite to different peak temperatures. [Average particle diameter, 74 μm ; heating rate, $1000^\circ\text{C s}^{-1}$. Asymptote for 69 atm helium (— — —) established by runs with holding times of 2–10 s at the peak temperature.] ●, 69 atm H₂; ○, 1 atm He; ■, 69 atm He

Figure 1 - Comparison of methane yields from hydrolysis and pyrolysis of Montana lignite to different peak temperatures. (Suuberg 1980)

Finn et al. (Finn 1980) used a two-step hydrolysis process for producing Benzene, Toluene, Xylene (BTX) aromatics and light hydrocarbon gases by treating coal under H₂-pressure at a lower temperature to produce highly aromatic compounds which were cracked in a separate reaction zone at more severe conditions in order to yield benzene, toluene and xylene.

Arendt et al. carried comparative investigations on coal pyrolysis using two different apparatuses (Arendt 1981), which mainly differed in the heating rates achieved (3 Kelvin per minute (K/min) (37.4 degrees Fahrenheit per minute (°F/min), and 100-1000 Kelvin per second (K/s) (212°F – 1,832°F)). The rapid heating of a small sample of finely-ground coal (~ 10 milligram (mg)) was possible by using the wire net technique, where the coal particles were distributed as a layer between the folded halves of a stainless-steel screen and the wire net was heated by an electric current under a stagnant gas atmosphere at room temperature. Samples of ~1 gram (g) were investigated using a thermobalance, where the coal was fed into a perforated stainless steel basket which was connected to the thermobalance by a platinum wire and the gas and the sample were heated by a covered heating conductor that was directly attached to the outer surface of the pressurized reactor wall. During their study, the authors found that under H₂, pyrolysis was influenced strongly at an elevated pressure. Additional amounts of highly aromatic products were released by hydrogenation of the coal itself, particularly between 500 to 700°C (932 to

1,292°F). Due to the short residence time and H₂ diffusion issues, it is also determined that the reaction was less at higher heating rates.

3. Effects of Variables

In the following section, the effects of coal rank, hydrogen pressure, reaction temperature, heating rate, residence time as well as coal particle size on coal hydrogasification, especially on methane (light hydrocarbon) yield and total carbon conversion, will be reviewed.

3.1 Effect of Coal Rank

Chen et al. (Chen 1978) investigated the flash hydrogenation yield of different coals. They concluded (as shown in Figure 2) that the product distribution from the flash hydrogenation of different coals was strongly dependent on the chemical and physical nature of the coal, as measured approximately by the rank. The total carbon conversion and yields of light paraffins decreased, while the total liquid yield increased with increasing rank. The yield of light-liquid BTX fuels was maximized for intermediate ranks, decaying for the higher and lower rank coals.

Figure 2 - Total Carbon Conversion and Liquid Yield from Flash Hydrogenation of Different Coals. (Chen 1978)

The study of Fallon et al. on New Mexico sub-bituminous and lignite found .a constant incremental yield of BTX and gaseous hydrocarbons for sub-bituminous coal. This resulted in an overall 10% increase in yield of hydrocarbon products for sub-bituminous coal compared to the lignite coal (Figure 3).

Figure 3 - Product yields at maximum conversion to BTX. Fraction converted vs. pressure. Temperature 750 to 800°C (1,382 to 1,472°F). (Fallon 1980).

3.2 Effect of Hydrogen Pressure

The effect of hydrogen pressure on pyrolysis in an entrained flow reactor was demonstrated by Sundaram et al. (Sundaram 1982). Their data showed significant increases in methane, ethane, and benzene from hydropyrolysis at 750°C, as shown in Table 1. Total hydrocarbon yield increased from 40.4% to 53.7% (1,382°F) as testing pressure increased from 1500 psi to 2500 psi. However, within this tested pressure range, the effect of increasing pressure on methane yield was not significant (from 24% to 26.9%), although the relatively low methane formation could be due to a low testing temperature.

Table 1 - Flash Pyrolysis Data for North Dakota Lignite (Pyrolysis Runs at 750°C (1,382°F)) (Sundaram 1982)

	<u>1500 psi</u>		<u>2000 psi</u>		<u>2500 psi</u>	
	<u>He</u>	<u>H₂</u>	<u>He</u>	<u>H₂</u>	<u>He</u>	<u>H₂</u>
Run No.	69	59	70	58	71	61
Coal Feed Rate (lb/h)	1.23	1.46	1.26	1.52	1.31	1.32
Gas Feed Rate (lb/h)	1.60	0.88	1.62	0.80	1.72	0.82
Residence Time (s)	12.3	7.4	14.3	8.7	15.2	9.6
Carbon Converted, %						
CO	*	7.01	*	8.12	*	6.6
CO ₂	7.76	0.44	8.18	0.32	7.74	0.26
CH ₄	4.28	24.0	5.45	27.5	4.99	26.9
C ₂ H ₆	0.69	6.8	0.64	5.5	0.66	8.3
C ₆ H ₆	0.97	7.3	1.11	7.6	--	9.0
C ₇ H ₈	0.12	--	0.13	--	0.14	--
> C ₉	0.58	2.3	2.27	10.4	2.07	9.5
Total	14.40	47.85	17.78	59.44	16.50	60.56
Total Hydrocarbons	6.64	40.4	9.6	51.0	8.76	53.7

*CO could not be measured as it has approximately the same retention time in the gas chromatograph as the helium.

Arendt et al. (Arendt 1981) observed that with increasing pressure, tar was increasingly cracked resulting in large yields of char and light hydrocarbon gases. H₂ influenced pyrolysis significantly at increased pressures. Additional amounts of highly aromatic products were released by hydrogenation of the coal itself, particularly between 500 and 700°C (932 and 1,292°F), and the yield of light products, CH₄ and C₂H₆, increased significantly. The author also pointed out that when coal was gasified with steam, high-volatile feed coal had to be degasified before being applied to the reactor. If this pretreatment was performed at high H₂ pressure, a significant quantity of important gaseous and liquid products can be isolated as released compounds even if the coal was heated rapidly in an entrained phase reactor, where the residence time of the feed material and the products was short, allowing only rapid steps of hydrogenation and gasification.

The study of New Mexico sub-bituminous coal and lignite coal (Fallon 1980) indicated that greater yields of gaseous hydrocarbon product were obtained at lower pressure for sub-bituminous, as shown in Figure 4. At 1000 psi, the lignite yields approximately 35% and the sub-bituminous 55% of the gaseous products. The temperature and residence times necessary to produce the maximum gaseous products, however, were approximately the same.

Figure 4 - Maximum gaseous hydrocarbon yields vs. system pressure. Temperature 875 to 900°C (1,607 to 1,652°F); coal residence time 2.4 to 7.0 s (Fallon 1980).

The research done by Stangeby et al. (Stangeby 1981) also showed that a hydrogen pressure increase from 1-10 MPa (145-1,450 psi) caused an approximate doubling of gas and liquid yields of the coal.

Figure 5 - Yield as a function of H₂ pressure for Dennison medium-volatile bituminous coal: Peak temperature $825 \pm 50^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($1,517 \pm 122^{\circ}\text{F}$); Rapid heating rate $\sim 600 \text{ K/s}$ ($\sim 1,080^{\circ}\text{F/s}$); $\bullet$ CH₄; $\circ$ C₂H₆; $\blacksquare$ C₆H₆; $\square$ Naphthalene. (Stangeby 1981)

Suuberg (Suuberg 1980) has compared the data from the atmospheric-pressure pyrolysis and high-pressure hydropyrolysis of the lignite and the Pittsburgh Seam bituminous. Tables 2 and 3 show the isolated effect of hydrogen on the product composition. The main increase in yield from hydropyrolysis is due to light hydrocarbon gases.

Table 2 - Comparison of yields from pyrolysis and hydropyrolysis of Montana lignite (Suuberg 1980)

Table 2 Comparison of yields from pyrolysis and hydropyrolysis of Montana lignite. Heating rate, $1000^{\circ}\text{C s}^{-1}$; average particle diameter, $74\ \mu\text{m}$; holding time and temperature: pyrolysis under 1 atm He, 3–10 s at $\approx 900\text{--}1000^{\circ}\text{C}$; pyrolysis under 69 atm He, ≈ 10 s at $875\text{--}1070^{\circ}\text{C}$; hydropyrolysis under 69 atm H_2 , ≈ 10 s at $850\text{--}1000^{\circ}\text{C}$

Product	Yield (wt % of Lignite as-received)		
	1 atm He	69 atm He	69 atm H_2
CO	9.4	9.0	7.1
CO_2	9.5	10.6	8.5
H_2O	16.5	12.9	16.0
CH_4	1.3	2.5	9.5
C_2H_4	0.6	0.6	0.2
C_2H_6	0.2	0.2	1.4
Other hydrocarbons	0.8	1.7	4.1
Tar	5.4	≈ 3	≈ 8
Char	56.0	59.8	48.5

Table 3 - Comparison of yields from pyrolysis and hydropyrolysis of Pittsburgh Seam bituminous coal (Suuberg 1980)

Table 3 Comparison of yields from pyrolysis and hydropyrolysis of Pittsburgh Seam bituminous coal. Heating rate, $1000^{\circ}\text{C s}^{-1}$; average particle diameter, $74\ \mu\text{m}$; holding time and temperature: pyrolysis under 1 atm He, 2–10 s at $850\text{--}1000^{\circ}\text{C}$; pyrolysis under 69 atm He, 2–10 s at $850\text{--}1070^{\circ}\text{C}$; hydropyrolysis under 69 atm H_2 , 14–20 s at $870\text{--}930^{\circ}\text{C}$

Product	Yield (wt % of coal as-received)		
	1 atm He	69 atm He	69 atm H_2
CO	2.4	2.5	N.M. ^a
CO_2	1.2	1.7	1.3
H_2O	6.8	9.5	N.M.
CH_4	2.5	3.2	23.2
C_2H_4	0.8	0.5	0.4
C_2H_6	0.5	0.9	2.3
$\text{C}_3\text{H}_6 + \text{C}_3\text{H}_8$	1.3	0.7	0.7
Other hydrocarbon gases	1.3	1.6	2.0
Light HC liquids	2.4	2.0	5.3
Tar	23	12	12
Char	53.0	62.4	40.2

^a N.M. – Not measured

3.3 Effect of Residence Time

Suuberg et al. pointed out in their paper (Suuberg 1980) that there was general agreement in the literature that the high yields of light aromatic oils frequently obtained during hydropyrolysis were a result of a general sequence of reactions:

When the vapor product residence times were almost zero, there was little opportunity for the hydro-cracking reactions to occur, which would lead to low yields of light aromatics and high yields of heavy tars.

Figure 6 (Fallon 1980) also shows that longer residence time favors light hydrocarbons especially methane formation. The formation of ethane and light aromatics monotonically decreases with longer residence time. In the same study, Fallon et al. also found that the residence time directly affected the extent of decomposition. At shorter residence times, the reaction couldn't reach completion; and at longer residence times, decomposition to the methane reduced total yields.

Figure 6 - Flash hydropyrolysis of lignite. Pressure 2500 psig; reactor temperature 825°C (1,517°F); preheated temperature 30°C (86°F). (Fallon 1980)

3.4 Effect of Temperature

The study evaluating temperature effect on CH₄ formation, by Arendt et al. (Arendt 1981), showed during pyrolysis in H₂ atmosphere at high pressure (100 atm) (1,470 psi), the methane (CH₄) formation occurred in four distinguishable steps (Figure 7). CH₄ formation was measured with a thermobalance reactor. The first peak was caused by decomposition reactions that occurred when only nitrogen (N₂) was present. Above 500°C (932°F), initial reactions with H₂ were indicated. H₂ reacted with the volatiles which evolved from the decomposing coal. The third peak appeared as the result of the rapid hydrogasification of very reactive carbon atoms in the char. At an even higher temperature, the unreactive

char residue was gasified with H_2 in the so-called slow hydrogasification reaction. This fourth step did not contribute to the yield of CH_4 in the electric grid apparatus because the pyrolysis was completed after only a few seconds (Arendt 1981).

Figure 7 - Yield for Minto high-volatile bituminous coal: 10MPa (1,450 psi) H_2 ; fast heating rate 600 K/s (1,080°F/s); • methane; ° naphthalene; ■ ethane; ▫ benzene. (Stangeby 1981)

Fallon's research (Fallon 1980) showed that at a hydrogen pressure of 2000 psig, the liquids of $>C_9$ were seen to maximize at approximately 9% yield at a temperature of 750°C (1,382°F), while a BTX maximum of approximately 10% occurred at 800°C (1,472°F), as shown in Figure 8. The research found that at temperatures of 850°C (1,562°F) and greater, the liquid hydrocarbons were seen to decompose almost entirely to produce gaseous hydrocarbons, principally methane and ethane. This, plus the additional gaseous products formed directly from the coal, resulted in maximum gaseous yields ($CH_4 + C_2H_6$) shown in Figure 9. Figure 9 also shows that the formation of these products appears to be a direct function of the hydrogen pressure, increasing from about 20% at 500 psig to $>80\%$ at 2500 psi. Since higher temperatures accelerates the decomposition, the competing reactions of formation and decomposition at 2000 to 2500 psi results in the maximum yield occurring at 875°C (1,607°F). A total conversion of 88% to CH_4 and C_2H_6 at 875°C (1,607°F) and 2500 psi was observed.

Figure 8 - Flash hydrolysis of lignite: Liquid yield vs. temperature. Pressure 2000 psig. (Fallon 1980)

Figure 9 - Flash hydrolysis of lignite: Maximum gaseous hydrocarbon yield ($CH_4 + C_2H_6$) vs. temperature. Pressure 500 to 2500 psi; residence time 2.4 s to 7.0 s (Fallon 1980)

Similar results were reported by Stangeby et al. (Stangeby 1981). As shown in Figure 10 with 100 atm (1,470 psi) H_2 , hydrolysis of high volatile Canadian

coal gave an optimum yield of light oils at temperatures around 800°C (1,482°F) while the methane production increased monotonically with peak temperature.

Figure 10 - Formation rate of CH₄ under 1.1 MPa (160 psi) H₂: Coal, Zollverein (no. 4); heating rate, 3K/min (5.4°F/s). ----: CH₄ formation during pyrolysis in N₂ (Arendt 1981).

3.5 Effect of Coal Particle Size

Studies of the effect of particle diameter on the hydrolysis of the bituminous coal were reported (Suuberg 1980). The results for total weight loss under 69 atm (1,014 psi) of hydrogen were compared with pyrolysis data obtained under 1 atm of helium as shown in Figure 11, where, it shows the total weight loss in hydrogen decreases dramatically with increasing particle diameter. Similarly, extrapolation to smaller particle diameters suggests opportunity for significant improvements in total conversion. The yield breakdown of the several important products: CH₄, C₂H₆, other HC gases, light HC liquids, CO₂, and tar were also compared under these two conditions. Apart from an apparent decline in the yields of ethane and other hydrocarbon gases with increasing particle diameter, which may reflect an increased contribution of secondary cracking reactions, no clear trends were observed.

Figure 11 - Effect of particle diameter on total yields from Pyrolysis and Hydropyrolysis of bituminous coal: ●, hydropyrolysis, 69 atm (1,014 psi) H₂; ○, Pyrolysis, 1 atm (14.7psi)He. (Suuberg 1980)

3.6 Effect of Heating Rate

Heating rate was found to have little effect on total weight loss of the coal, but a dramatic effect on the actual composition of products (Figures 12 and 13). High heating rates substantially increased the yield of light hydrocarbons. When the coal temperature was brought up “immediately” to high temperature, the CH₄ and C₂H₄ formation was obviously favored thermodynamically (Stangeby 1981).

Figure 12 - Yield of light hydrocarbons as a function of peak temperature: Devco high-volatile bituminous coal: 1 atm (14.7psi) helium; 20s; medium heating rate, ~ 6000 K/s (10,832°F/s); • CH₄; ° C₂H₆; ■ C₂H₄ (Stangeby 1981)

Figure 13 - Yield of light hydrocarbons as a function of peak temperature: Devco high-volatile bituminous coal: 1 atm (14.7 psi) helium; 20s; medium heating rate, ~ 250 K/s (482°F/s); • CH₄; ° C₂H₆; ■ C₂H₄ (Stangeby 1981)

It is again important to note in Figure 12 that the fast heating curve for methane and ethylene rise sharply with a peak temperature at 900°C (1652°F). The slower heating curves, however, appear to have leveled by 700°C (1292°F) on increasing the temperature.

4. Hydrane Process

4.1 Hydrane Process

During the 1970s, Feldmann et al. (Feldmann 1971; Feldmann 1972; Feldmann 1973; Feldmann 1975) carried an extensive study on “Hydrane Process” – a two stage reactor design for coal hydrogasification, at the Pittsburgh Energy Research Center. In their study, they reviewed the economic advantages of methane production through coal hydrogasification; designed a free-fall dilute-

phase reactor and thereby solved raw coal agglomeration problem at elevated temperature; studied the effect of interesting operating conditions on total carbon conversion and product distribution; investigated the reaction kinetics; examined the fluidized properties of coal char, and proposed an engineering-scale reactor design. In the following section, the highlights of their research will be reviewed and summarized.

In the Hydrane process study, the authors stated that the economic advantages arise in this process due to Hydrane minimizing both the coal and oxygen required to produce a unit of methane. The economically important quantities are reduced because:

- Elimination of pretreatment itself allows approximately 10-15% of the hydrogen-rich portion of the coal ordinarily “lost” during pretreatment to be converted to methane;
- Less hydrogen is required to produce methane if the hydrogen reacts with the carbon in the coal rather than with carbon in carbon monoxide as occurs during methanation;
- The heat generated during hydrogasification is utilizable for carrying out the endothermic carbon-steam reaction, thus reducing oxygen requirements while the heat released by methanation is not utilizable because of the relatively low temperature (900°F maximum) that the methanation reactor must operate at to protect the catalyst.

As a matter of fact, these advantages of producing methane by this approach have been documented in even earlier research: Channabasappa and Linden (Channabasappa 1956) concluded that hydrogenating coal to methane with the hydrogen produced by steam-oxygen gasification of char was more thermally efficient than steam-oxygen gasification of coal to synthesis gas followed by the water-gas shift and methanation reactions to produce methane. Henry, Louks (Henry 1970), Wen and coworkers (Wen; Wen 1972) pointed out, by a comparison of specific processes, the economic virtues of using raw coal and producing methane in the gasifier rather than by methanation.

In this Hydrane process, the first stage free-fall dilute-phase (FDP) reactor is the key to handle caking coals without pretreatment. A lab scale FDP reactor was designed to have a 3 inch inside diameter (ID) (heated tube contained in a 10 inch pressure vessel) and 5 foot length. The coal falls freely through the reactor tube concurrent with the reacting gas that is also injected at the top of the reactor. Because of rapid heating and a dilute solids phase, agglomeration is avoided; particles are plastic and sticky for only a short time, during which particle-particle collisions are few. The FDP reactor was designed to have two important functions. It must convert the coal to a non-agglomerating char for the subsequent fluid bed, and it must convert enough carbon to methane so the FDP

product gas is, after the acid gas removal and light methanation, an acceptable pipeline gas.

Table 4 summarizes the typical FDP reactor operating range for the hydrane process and Table 5 lists the example operating data for FDP hydrogasification. As shown in Table 5, run 153 is an example of pure H₂ input test, while others are mix (H₂, CH₄ and N₂) gas input tests. Total carbon conversion of all cases falls into the 32-36% range. Methane formation processes at 66-76% in the product gas stream for the mix gas input and 47% for the pure H₂ input test. Since there was a range of 42-49% methane input in mix gas cases, the pure H₂ input test actually generated >30% more methane than the mix gas input tests.

Table 4 - Summary of FDP reactor operation range

Parameters	
Temperature	900 °C (1,652°F)
Pressure	1000 – 2000 psig
Conversion	~32-33% (mix gas input) ~38% (pure hydrogen input)
Product methane	>60% (mix gas input)
Coal particle size	100 x 200 mesh
Coal source	Pittsburgh Seam coal

Table 5 - Operating data for FDP hydrogasification of raw coal (Feldmann 1975)

Feed coal is 50 x 100 mesh except where noted.						
Test No., IHR-	146	147	149	151	153	154
Temperature, ° C	900	900	900	900	900	900
Pressure, psig	1000	1000	1200	1100	1100	2000
Coal	hvac	hvac	hvac	hvac	hvac	hvac
Coal rate, lb/hr	12.17	12.44	12.38	11.88	10.92	12.51
Feed gas rate, scfh	153.5	155.2	161.0	158.6	150.6	164.5
Vol %, Hydrogen	50.5	56.0	53.0	48.0	99.2	52.5
do. Methane	41.9	42.3	44.5	49.2	0.2	46.4
do. Nitrogen	6.3	1.8	2.5	2.6	0.6	1.0
Total scf/lb	12.61	11.99	13.00	13.35	13.82	13.15
Hydrogen, scfh	77.5	86.9	85.3	76.1	149.4	86.3
Hydrogen, scf/lb	6.37	6.72	6.89	6.41	13.71	6.90
Product gas, scfh	169.6	171.8	175.5	167.1	143.8	175.0
Vol %, Hydrogen	22.7	25.5	23.6	22.1	49.0	19.8
do. Methane	66.4	67.8	69.7	71.7	46.5	75.9
do. Ethane	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1
do. Carbon monoxide	2.7	3.2	3.0	2.5	3.4	2.2
do. Carbon dioxide	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.4
do. Nitrogen	6.4	1.7	2.3	2.7	0.5	1.5
Product yield, Methane	3.97	3.93	4.09	3.52	6.10	4.52
Scf/lb, Ethane	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01
do. CO	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.35	0.45	0.31
do. CO ₂	0.00	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.06
Feed H ₂ reacted, scf/lb	3.21	3.33	3.55	2.46	7.23	4.13
Char residue, lb/lb	0.697	0.702	0.698	0.698	0.663	0.694
Condensed liquid, lb/lb						
Water	0.051	0.037	0.033	0.029	0.032	0.038
Oil	0.013	0.009	0.014	0.008	0.005	0.003
Residue moisture, lb/lb	0.009	0.012	0.011	0.008	0.003	0.018
Conversion, maf coal	32.5	32.5	33.1	32.8	36.5	33.0
(Wt pct), Carbon	25.6	25.0	25.5	25.3	28.5	25.1
do. Hydrogen	64.2	66.6	66.4	65.2	70.0	66.6
do. Sulfur	48.8	44.3	43.9	46.5	55.9	46.8
do. Nitrogen	25.1	24.6	27.2	30.6	38.0	26.4
Recovery, overall	96.3	96.0	96.3	93.2	95.7	96.2
(Wt pct) Carbon	94.6	96.3	96.1	94.4	99.0	97.2
do. Hydrogen	98.9	95.2	97.1	92.4	94.5	97.3
do. Ash	100.2	100.2	104.0	99.4	103.4	92.4

4.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of the Hydrane Process

The following summarize the advantages and disadvantages of adopting the Hydrane Process:

- Advantages include:
 - Relatively extensive research on lab-scale testing and modeling and the availability of suggestions on commercial size design;
 - Coal aggregation problem was solved by applying a Free Dropping Reactor as a first stage reactor;

- Demonstration that a two-stage reactor can achieve total 50-55% C conversion and >60% methane, with 900°C (1,652°F), 1000 psig operating condition. Retention time is in seconds range;
- Disadvantages include:
 - High temperature, high pressure, hydrogen enrich environmental operation;
 - Two stage operation with 50-55% total C conversion. Further conversion of char still is needed;
 - No experience on scale up; the heating up rate would be considerably slowed down with the larger inside-diameter reactor since the impact of heat radiation from the wall will be considerably decreased with the increased reactor size. Countercurrent operation may help on this issue. However, need to be careful on small gas off take lines and removing gas from reactor where particle concentrations are high.

4.3 Reaction Rate Model

In order to utilize the data generated by the FDP reactor to scale-up the Hydrane process, a rate equation was developed that allowed a reasonable correlation of all FDP experiments. The rate of methane generation is given by the following equation (Feldmann 1973).

$$\frac{dc_A}{dt} = c_0 \frac{dX}{dt} = kp_{H_2}(c_0(1-X) - c_i)$$

Where:

c_A : concentration of active carbon in the coal or char at any time;
 c_0 : concentration of carbon in the coal feed;
 X : the fraction of carbon converted to methane;
 k : rate constant for methane formation $\text{atm}^{-1}\text{hr}^{-1}$;
 P_{H_2} : partial pressure of hydrogen atm;
 c_i : fraction of unreactive carbon which could, for example, be formed by the cross linking of solid carbon species into a very stable polymeric structure. c_i was assumed to be 0 during the calculation, which means that almost all of the carbon was capable of being converted to methane at sufficiently high hydrogen partial pressure and/or char residence time.

Integration form of the model:

$$\int_E^X \frac{dX}{(1-X) - c_i/c_0} = kp_{H_2} \frac{L}{U_T}$$

L: length of the FDP in feet;

E: fraction of carbon that appears to be “instantaneously” gasified;

U_T: average terminal velocity of the char in ft/hr;

An agreement between the reaction rate model and the experimental data at a reactor wall temperature of 750°C (1,382°F) was shown. The simulation results indicated that carbon conversion to methane was proportional with pressure increase and the hydrogasification of raw coal in the FDP reactor may be limited by hydrogen diffusion, at least at the higher temperature used in this study.

4.4 Related Information

Table 6 - Typical analyses of coals used in Hydrane study (Feldmann 1973)

	Pittsburgh Seam hvab coal	Illinois #6 hvcb coal	N. Dakota Lignite
Proximate Analysis			
Moisture	1.2	1.4	7.8
Volatile matter	36.4	36.8	39.7
Fixed carbon	56.7	55.9	46.9
Ash	5.7	5.9	5.6
Ultimate Analysis (Day basis)			
C	79.09	75.45	64.64
H	5.22	5.12	4.48
N	1.60	1.72	0.76
D	1.10	1.32	0.76
O (by difference)	7.22	10.41	23.29
Ash	5.77	5.98	6.07
Total	100	100	100

4.5 Other Findings:

- Hydrogen consumption is low because hydrogasification is of the relatively hydrogen-rich portion of the coal which is one of the great advantages of the direct hydrogasification of raw coal.

- The higher the coal heat-up rate, the lower the hydrogen consumption to produce a unit of methane because of the improved utilization of the coal's hydrogen.
- Hydrogen consumption per unit of methane formed at the carbon conversion levels required for balanced operation is about 1.38 standard cubic feet (scf) H₂/scf CH₄.
- Carbon conversion to CH₄ higher than needed for balanced operation (45%) could easily be achieved.
- Operating problems caused by the caking nature of coals were minimal.
- The type of liquids formed during FDP hydrogasification are highly aromatic with the average molecule having about 4 condensed rings. About 0.07 pounds (lb) of these tars per lb of coal fed. Since these tars are free of sulfur they could be most advantageously used as a fuel supplement for the integrated Hydrane Plant's steam boilers.
- Illinois coal requires substantially less hydrogen to produce a unit of methane than does Pittsburgh Seam coal.
- Desulfurization of Illinois high-volatile C bituminous (hvcb) coal ranged from 72-90% in the two-stage reactor. (Lignite retains sulfur, probably due to the formation of sodium sulfides in the ash. Most tested coal passed Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission standards for solid fuel.)

4.6 Lab/Engineer Scale Reactor Design

A lab-scale reactor was designed and built for the hydrane study. Engineering-scale designs were proposed as shown in Figures 14-16. Table 7 gives the dimensional design of Figure 14.

Figure 14 - Conceptual two-stage reactor. (Feldmann 1972)

Table 7 - Dimensional design of FDP, hoppers, and fluid-bed reactor in Figure 14 (Feldmann 1972)

FDP	
Number	18
Height	10 ft
Inner shell ID	14.3 ft
Inner Wall thickness	3/8 in
Outer shell ID	15.8 ft
Outer Wall thickness	7.3 in
Refractory thickness	4.5 in
Hoppers	
Number	36
Height	14.2 ft
Wall thickness	4.0 in
Fluid Bed Reactor	
Number	2
Height	62 ft
ID	14.6 ft
Wall thickness	6.6 in
Refractory thickness	4.5 in

Figure 15 - Conceptual integrated hydrogasification unit. (Feldmann 1973)

Figure 16 - Commercial Hydrane Reactor: Capacity Million scf Pipeline Gas. (Feldmann 1973)

5. Recent Research

In spite of all the research carried out on coal hydrogasification to make Substitute Natural Gas (SNG) during the 1960s to early 1970's, the price dive of natural gas during the 1980's brought an end to this research within the U.S. before it was demonstrated successfully in the industry. Recently, Japan showed the interest in the idea and some studies have been published in late the 1990s to early 2000s.

Coal hydrogasification for producing SNG is a promising technology in Japan (Noguchi 2000) to secure a stable support of natural gas by diversifying its sources. Under agreement with New Energy and Technology Development Organization (NEDO), the Japan Gas Association has completed a 5-year program in which three elemental gasifier technologies and two peripheral technologies were developed. In gasification tests, a wide range of carbon conversion was verified under various conditions influencing two-stage reaction; a new reaction model was established to estimate gasifier performance. A new injector, which incorporates a tip burner to generate high temperature hydrogen, was developed through a hot model test. The optimal internal configuration was developed to generate adequate recycling of product gas and form the two-stage reaction zone through a cold model test. Dense phase coal conveying was verified using hydrogen under high pressure between 3 – 7 MPa (435 – 1,015 psi), and various factors for stable discharge and cooling of char, which is residue from the hydrogasification, was confirmed using a model particle. Engineering studies for a scale plant have shown the coal hydrogasification process to be technically viable with high energy conversion in addition to being economically feasible in the future.

Kaiho et al. (Kaiho 2002) investigated hydrogasification of coal using a batch type reactor in the late 1990s. They found that a feeding ratio of hydrogen to coal was an important parameter to the design of the plant for the hydrogasification process, because it determined the direct volume and diameter of the equipment in the process unit, it relates to plant cost, running cost and its thermal efficiency. There were some papers showing that the ratio effect on the conversion of coal to methane and BTX, however, there also were some objections against this observation. In their research, they have built an apparatus that can gasify coal in a reactor having a definite volume, and studied the details of this H_2 /coal effect and pointed out this effect was the result of competition between two kinds of reactions that occurred around volatile matter, decomposing into gas and condensating into liquid droplets.

6. Summary

It has been generally agreed that high yield methane can be produced through this coal hydrogasification process with an acceptable total carbon conversion. The key operating variables affect the total carbon conversions and methane yield in this process are hydrogen partial pressure, reaction temperature and coal particle size. High reaction temperature may cause the cracking of other HC's compounds and increases methane formation. Mass transfer limitations may strongly affect the product spectra observed during hydrolysis, which lead to the observed effect of coal particle size. With all the advantages demonstrated on this coal hydrogasification process both theoretically and in the laboratory, challenges still remain to industrially accomplishing this high pressure, high temperature, H₂-enriched operation on the raw coal.

7. References

- Anthony, D. B., Howard, J.B., Hottel, H.C. and Meisser, H. P. (1976). "Rapid Devolatilization and Hydrogasification of Bituminous Coal." Fuel **55**: 121.
- Arendt, P., van Heek, K-H (1981). "Comparative investigations of coal pyrolysis under inert gas and H₂ at low and high heating rates and pressure up to 10MPa." Fuel **60**: 779.
- Birch, T. J., Hall, K.R., and Urie, R.W. (1960). J. Inst. Fuel **33**: 422.
- Channabasappa, K. C. a. L., H.R. (1956). Ind. Eng. Chem. **48**: 900.
- Chen, W., Graff, R.A., and LaCava, A. (1978). Comparative Study of U.S. Coals in Flash Hydrogenation. ACS, NY.
- Dent, F. J. (1944). Gas Journal **244**: 502.
- Fallon, P. T., Bhatt, B., Steinberg, M. (1980). "The flash hydrolysis of lignite and sub-bituminous coals to both liquid and gaseous hydrocarbon products." Fuel Processing Technology **3**: 155.
- Feldmann, H. F., and Yavorsky, P.M. (1973). The Hydrane Process. 5th AGA/OCR Synthetic Pipeline Gas Symposium, Chicago, Illinois.
- Feldmann, H. F., Kiang, K-D, and Yavorsky, P.M. (1971). Fluidization Properties of Coal Char. Symposium on Gasification of Coal, Washington, D.C.
- Feldmann, H. F., Mima, J.A., and Yavorsky, P.M. (1975). Pressurized Hydrogasification of Raw Coal in Dilute Phase Reactor. DOI, Pittsburgh Energy Research Center.
- Feldmann, H. F., Wen, C.Y., Simons, W.H., and Yavorsky, P.M. (1972). Supplemental Pipeline Gas from Coal by the Hydrane Process. 71st AIChE National Meeting, Dallas, Texas.
- Finn, M. J., Fynes, G., Ladner, W.R. and Newman, J.O.H. (1980). "Light aromatics from the hydrolysis of coal." Fuel **59**: 397.

Henry, J. P. J. a. L., B.M. (1970). An Economic Comparison of Processes for Producing Pipeline Gas From Coal. ACS. Chicago, IL, Preprints of Fuel Div.

Kaiho, M., et al. (2002). "Investigation on hydrogasification of coal using batch type reactor. (2). Influence of H₂/coal ratio on material balance of hydrogasification." Fuel and Energy Abstracts **43**(1): 34.

Noguchi, F. (2000). Coal hydrogasification process for the production of SNG and chemicals. Annu. Int. Pittsburgh Coal Conf.

Schroeder, W. C. (1962). U.S. **3,030,297**.

Stangeby, P. C. a. S., P.L. (1981). "Rapid Pyrolysis an hydropyrolysis of Canadian coals." Fuel **60**(131).

Sundaram, M. S., Steinberg, M., and Fallon, P.T. (1982). Flash Hydropyrolysis of Coal for Conversion to Liquid and Gaseous Fuels. DOE/METC/82-48, Brookhaven Nat'l. Lab.

Suuberg, E. M., Peters W.A., Howard J.B. (1980). "Product compositions in rapid Hydropyrolysis of coal." Fuel **59**: 405.

Talwalkar, A. T. (1983). A tropical report on coal pyrolysis: DOE/MC/19316-1408.

Wen, C. Y., Li, C.T., Tscheng, S.H., and O'Brien, W.S. (1972). Comparison of Alternate Coal Gasification Processes for Pipeline Gas Production. 65th AIChE National Meeting. New York, NY.

Wen, C. Y. a. c.-w. Interim Rept, No. 1. OCR. Optimization of Coal Gasification Processes, Research and Development. Washington D.C., Department of Interior.

8. Glossary

Aromatics: relating to, or containing one or more six-carbon_rings characteristic of the benzene series and related organic groups

BTX: Benzene, Toluene, Xylene

Bituminous coal: a relatively hard coal containing a tar-like substance called bitumen. It is of better quality than lignite coal but of poorer quality than anthracite coal;

Char: substances obtained by partially burning coal

Coal tar: a brown or black liquid of high viscosity from destructive distillation of coal

Conversion: during the chemical reaction, the conversion of molecule A to molecule B

Devolatilization: process that by heating coal removes its volatiles

Entrain Flow: where fine coal particle is suspended in the gas phase, so that the gas will carry the coal to flow

FDP: Free-fall dilute-phase

Fluidized Bed: where the fluid velocity is sufficient to suspend the particles, but not large enough to carry them out of the vessel

Gasification: process that converts coal into carbon monoxide and hydrogen

Hydrogasification: process that converts coal into methane with input of hydrogen

Hydropyrolysis: chemical decomposition of coal by heating in the presence of hydrogen

Lignite: the lowest rank of coal used almost exclusively as fuel for steam-electric power generation often referred to as brown coal

Natural Gas: gaseous fossil fuel consisting primarily of methane commonly referred to as gas

Pyrolysis: chemical decomposition of coal by heating in the absence of oxygen or any other reagents, except possibly steam

Residence Time: the average time the coal particle spends within the reactor

SNG: Substituted Natural Gas

Yield: the amount of product obtained in a chemical reaction, also known as chemical yield and reaction yield

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

Bench Scale Reactor Design from APS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 SEE SHEET 2 FOR ORTHAGONAL VIEWS
DIMENSIONS, AND CALL OUTS.
- 2 FOR MATERIAL DESCRIPTIONS OF SUB-ASSEMBLIES
SEE SUB-ASSEMBLY NUMBER AS LISTED IN
PART NUMBER COLUMN ON BILL OF MATERIAL

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN LAYOUT	05/17/07	DW	
ALL	B	UPDATED FRAME	08/21/07	DW	
ALL	C	UPDATED BOM MADE COND. AN ASSEMBLY	02/05/07	DW	
ALL	D	FRAME HT. 37'-5" WAS 35'-8.5" RAISED REACTOR 20.5"	03/11/08	DW	

28	SNG1009.17	ALLOY STL	1/2" FLAT WASHER	12
27	SNG1009.16	ALLOY STL	5/8" FLAT WASHER	8
26	SNG1009.15	ALLOY STL	3/4" FLAT WASHER	11
25	SNG1009.14	ALLOY ST	7/8" FLAT WASHER	12
24	SNG1009.13	ALLOY STL	1/2-13 UNC-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	20
23	SNG1009.12	ALLOY STL	5/8-18 UNF-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	8
22	SNG1009.11	ALLOY ST	3/4-16 UNC-2B HEX. HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	11
21	SNG1009.10	ALLOY ST	7/8-9UNC-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA194 GR B7	3
20	SNG1009.9	ALLOY STL	1-14 UNF-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	8
19	SNG1009.8-1.25	ALLOY STL	1/2-13 UNC-2A x 1.25"LG. SA193 GR B7	16
18	SNG1009.7-3.00	ALLOY STL	5/8-18 UNF-2A x 3.00"LG. SA193 GR B7	8
17	SNG1009.6-2.50	ALLOY STL	3/4-16 UNC-2A x 2.50"LG. SA 193 GR B7	15
16	SNG1009.5-4.00	ALLOY STL	7/8-9 UNC-2A x 4.00"LG. SA193 GR B7	14
15	SNG1009.4-4.75	ALLOY STL	STUD, 1-14 UNF-2A x 4.75"LG.	8
14	SNG1009.3	ALLOY STL	TURNBUCKEL, 1/2-13 UNC-2B	2
13	SNG1009.2	ALLOY STL	ROD, 1/2-13 UNC-2A THREADED x 12.00"LG	2
12	SNG1009.1	316 ST STL	1/2-13 UNC-2B, CLEVIS, PIPE CLAMP SUPPORT	2
11	SNG1005.21A	316 ST STL	CLAMP, PIPE, 8" CLAMP 4-BOLT	1
10	HS-50-TYPE-E	ALLOY STL	HANGER, SPRING, 50, TYPE E, 1/2"THREADED ROD SUPPORT	2
9	SNG1003.9C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR HEAD	1
8	SNG1000.26A	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	1
7	W-SNG1007.1D	CRS	WELDMENT, REACTOR FRAME	1
6	A-SNG1008.1A	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, CONDENSER COMPONENTS	1
5	A-SNG1006.1A	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, PRE-HEATER	1
4	A-SNG1005.1F	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, CHAR POT	1
3	A-SNG1003.22D	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
2	A-SNG1002.1F	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, COAL FEEDER SYSTEM	1
1	A-SNG1000.1B	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, KINETIC REACTOR	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

NOTE: FRAME CUT (SECTIONED)
FOR CLARITY

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ANGULAR: MATCH TWO PLACE DECIMAL THREE PLACE DECIMAL		DRAWN: D. WAIBEL CHECKED: ENG APPR: MFG APPR:		NAME: DATE: D. WAIBEL 05/17/07		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL:		Q.A. COMMENTS:		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR		ASSEMBLY, REACTOR TOP LEVEL	
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		CAD FILE: A-SNG0001.1D		SIZE DWG. NO. REV. D A-SNG0001.1 D	
APPLICATION		FINISH		SCALE: 1:18 WEIGHT:		SHEET 1 OF 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- SEE SHEET 2 FOR ORTHAGONAL VIEWS
DIMENSIONS, AND CALL OUTS.
- 2
- FOR MATERIAL DESCRIPTIONS OF SUB-ASSEMBLIES
SEE SUB-ASSEMBLY NUMBER AS LISTED IN
PART NUMBER COLUMN ON BILL OF MATERIAL

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN LAYOUT	05/17/07	DW	.
ALL	B	UPDATED FRAME	08/21/07	DW	.
ALL	C	UPDATED BOM MADE COND. AN ASSEMBLY	02/05/07	DW	
ALL	D	FRAME HT. 37'-5" WAS 35'-8.5" RAISED REACTOR 20.5"	03/11/08	DW	
ALL	E	TUBING THICKNESS ON FRAME 1/4" TO 3/16"	03/21/08	DW	
ALL	F	TUBING THICKNESS CHANGED BACK TO 1/4" FRAME AT BASE CHANGED TO 7'-0"	04/10/08	DW	

30	HS-50-TYPE-E	ALLOY STL	HANGER, SPRING, 50, TYPE E, 1/2"THREADED ROD SUPPORT	2
29	SNG1007.9A	CRS	PLATE, SPRING HANGER SUPPORT, TWO	1
28	SNG1007.8A	CRS	PLATE, SPRING HANGER SUPPORT	1
27	SNG1009.17	ALLOY STL	1/2" FLAT WASHER	12
26	SNG1009.16	ALLOY STL	5/8" FLAT WASHER	20
25	SNG1009.15	ALLOY STL	3/4" FLAT WASHER	14
24	SNG1009.14	ALLOY ST	7/8' FLAT WASHER	12
23	SNG1009.13	ALLOY STL	1/2-13 UNC-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	20
22	SNG1009.12	ALLOY STL	5/8-18 UNF-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	16
21	SNG1009.11	ALLOY ST	3/4-16 UNC-2B HEX. HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	10
20	SNG1009.10	ALLOY ST	7/8-9UNC-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA194 GR B7	2
19	SNG1009.9	ALLOY STL	1-14 UNF-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA 194 GR B7	8
18	SNG1009.8-1.25	ALLOY STL	1/2-13 UNC-2A x 1.25"LG. SA193 GR B7	16
17	SNG1009.7-3.00	ALLOY ST	5/8-18 UNF-2A x 8.00"LG. SA193 GR B7	16
16	SNG1009.6-2.50	ALLOY STL	3/4-16 UNC-2A x 2.50"LG. SA 193 GR B7	12
15	SNG1009.5-4.00	ALLOY STL	7/8-9 UNC-2A x 4.00"LG. SA193 GR B7	14
14	SNG1009.4-4.75	ALLOY STL	STUD, 1-14 UNF-2A x 4.75"LG.	8
13	SNG1009.3	ALLOY STL	TURNBUCKEL, 1/2-13 UNC-2B	2
12	SNG1009.2	ALLOY STL	ROD, 1/2-13 UNC-2A THREADED x 12.00"LG	2
11	SNG1009.1	316 ST STL	1/2-13 UNC-2B, CLEVIS, PIPE CLAMP SUPPORT	2
10	SNG1005.21A	316 ST STL	CLAMP, PIPE, 8" CLAMP 4-BOLT	1
9	SNG1003.9C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR HEAD	1
8	SNG1000.26A	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	1
7	W-SNG1007.1F	CRS	WELDMENT, REACTOR FRAME	1
6	A-SNG1008.1A	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, CONDENSER COMPONENTS	1
5	A-SNG1006.1B		ASSEMBLY, PRE-HEATER	1
4	A-SNG1005.1G	SEE BOM	ASSEMBLY, CHAR POT	1
3	A-SNG1003.22D	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
2	A-SNG1002.1F	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, COAL FEEDER SYSTEM	1
1	A-SNG1000.1D		ASSEMBLY, KINETIC REACTOR	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ANGULAR MATCH TWO PLACE DECIMAL THREE PLACE DECIMAL		DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 05/17/07 CHECKED: ENG APPR: MFG APPR:		NAME: DATE: Q.A. COMMENTS:		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR	
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		CAD FILE: A-SNG0001.1F		ASSEMBLY, REACTOR TOP LEVEL SIZE DWG. NO. D A-SNG0001.1 SCALE: 1:18 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/09/07	D.W.	

LEGEND

- 1

HIGH PRESSURE N2
- 2

N2 PRESSURE BALANCING
- 3

EMERGENCY N2 PURGE
- 4

HYDROGEN CONTROL
- 5

INSTRUMENT N2
- 6

SNG PRODUCT

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	<div><div>APS</div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street</div><div>Phoenix, AZ 85003</div></div>
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	08/09/07	
		TOLERANCES: ±	CHECKED			
		FRACTIONAL ±	ENG APPR.			
		ANGULAR ±	MFG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±				TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±				
		INTERFERE GEOMETRIC:	Q.A.			ASSEMBLY, PLANT LAYOUT
		TOLERANCING PER:	COMMENTS:			
		MATERIAL				
		AS NOTED				
		FINISH	CAD FILE:			SIZE DWG. NO. REV
			A-SNG1001-2A			
			SCALE: 1"=30' WEIGHT:			
			APPLICATION 2			

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- SEE SHEET 2 FOR ORTHO VIEWS, SECTIONS, AND DETAILS.
- 2
- FOR MATERIAL DESCRIPTIONS OF SUB-ASSEMBLIES
SEE SUB-ASSEMBLY NUMBER AS LISTED IN
PART NUMBER COLUMN ON BILL OF MATERIAL

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN LAYOUT	05/17/07	DW	.
ALL	B	UPDATED BOM, AND ASSEMBLY	02/14/08	DW	.
ALL	C	CHANGED HOLES TO SLOTS ON TOP MOUNTING PADS	04/01/08	DW	

15	SNG1009.14	ALLOY ST	7/8' FLAT WASHER	12
14	SNG1009.5-4.00	ALLOY STL	7/8-9 UNC-2A x 4.00"LG. SA193 GR B7	12
13	SNG1009.18	ALLOY ST	7/8-14 x 2.50"LG., SHCS, SA193 GR B7	12
12	SNG1000.32A	STAINLESS, WITH GRAPHOIL SEAL	CONAX GLAND, TC FEED THRU, SPG150-062-B-4-G	16
11	SNG1000.31A	STAINLESS, WITH GRAPHOIL SEAL	CONAX GLAND, POWER FEED THRU, PL-10-B-4-G	8
10	SNG1004.12A	18-8 STAINLESS	WASHER, MODIFIED, .88" ID	12
9	SNG1004.7C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CTRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR FOOT	1
8	A-SNG1000.30A	CERAMIC	HEATER, CERAMIC, 18"-VS-103-J18S	1
7	A-SNG1000.29A	CERAMIC	HEATER, CERAMIC, 24"-VS-103-J24S	3
6	A-SNG1000.28A	CERAMIC	HEATER, CERAMIC, 12"-VS-103-J12S	3
5	A-SNG1004.1E	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, REACTOR BELLOWS	1
4	SNG1003.9C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR HEAD	1
3	A-SNG1003.22D	SEE NOTE 2	ASSEMBLY, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
2	W-SNG1000.20E	SEE NOTE 2	WELDMENT, REACTOR INNER PIPE	1
1	W-SNG1000.1F	AS NOTED	WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER SHELL	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL:
FINISH:
NEXT ASSY:
USED ON:

DRAWN:
D. WAIBEL
05/17/07
CHECKED:
ENG APPR.:
MFG APPR.:
Q.A. COMMENTS:
CAD FILE:
A-SNG1000.1C

NAME:
DATE:
PROJECT:
TITLE:
ASSEMBLY, KINETIC REACTOR
SIZE DWG. NO.
D A-SNG1000.1
SCALE: 1:8
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 2

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003
KINETICS REACTOR
REV C

REVISIONS				
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY
	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/07/08	D.W.

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR

10	SNG1004.12A	18-8 STAINLESS	WASHER, MODIFIED, .88" ID	12
9	SHCS-7-8x2-34	ALLOY ST	7/8-14 x 2.50"LG., SHCS, SA193 GR B7	12
8	BEST SOURCE	ALLOY ST	1-14 UN-2B HEX HEAVY NUT SA194 GR B7	8
7	BEST SOURCE	ALLOY ST	1-14 UN-2A x 4.75"LG., STUD, SA193 GR B7	8
6	SPG150-062-B-4-G	STAINLESS, WITH GRAPHOIL SEAL	CONAX GLAND, TC FEED THRU	15
5	PL-10-B-4-G	STAINLESS, WITH GRAPHOIL SEAL	CONAX GLAND, POWER FEED THRU	8
4	SNG1004.7	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CTRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR FOOT	1
3	A-SNG1005.1	316H S.S. REF. DWG. A-SNG1005.1	ASSEMBLY, CHAR POT	1
2	SNG1004.3	SA 182 GR. 316H S.S.	FLANGE, ADAPTER, REACTOR TO CHAR POTS	1
1	W-SNG1000.1	CARBON STEEL REF. DWG. W-SNG1000.1	WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER SHELL	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	01/07/08
TOLERANCES:			
FRACTIONAL ±	CHECKED		
ANGULAR: MACH ±	ENG APPR.		
BEND ±	MFG APPR.		
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±			
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±			
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	Q.A.		
MATERIAL	COMMENTS:		
FINISH			
CAD FILE:		TITLE:	
A-SNG1000.25A		ASSEMBLY, REACTOR	
		SIZE DWG. NO.	REV
		D A-SNG1000.25	A
		SCALE: 1:8	WEIGHT:
		SHEET 1 OF 2

SECTION A-A
SCALE 1 : 4

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/13/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	UPDATED MATERIAL CALL OUT	01/02/08	D.W.	
ALL	C	LENGTH CHANGE, 5.90 WAS 5.40	04/01/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.10C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL 3/4" THICK	GUSSET, LEFT, REACTOR, TOP SUPPORT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/13/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE	SNG1000.10C

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINECTICS REACTOR
GUSSET, LEFT, REACTOR
TOP SUPPORT

SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1000.10	REV C
SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/14/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	MATERILA CALL OUT UPDATED	01/02/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.11B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 3/8" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL, REINFORCEMENT PAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/14/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE	SNG1000.11B

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
PLATE, REACTOR SHELL,
REINFORCING PAD

SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV
B	SNG1000.11	B

SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1
------------	---------	--------------

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
2 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII
2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/14/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	MATERIAL CALL OUT UPDATED	01/02/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.12B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 1/2" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR BOTTOM GUIDE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DATE	NAME		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003		
	DRAWN	06/14/07	D. WAIBEL			
	CHECKED					
	ENG APPR.					
	MFG APPR.					
	Q.A.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINECTICS REACTOR PLATE, REACTOR BOTTOM GUIDE
	SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1000.12	REV B	
	COMMENTS:		SCALE: 1:2		WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1
	CAD FILE		SNG1000.12B			

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/14/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	UPDATED MATERIAL CALL OUT	01/02/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.13B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE, 3/8" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL, REINFORCING PAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/14/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE	SNG1000.13B

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
PLATE, REACTOR SHELL,
REINFORCING PAD

SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1000.13	REV B
SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- REFERENCE; WATLOW'S THERMOCOUPLE, WELD PAD, MILLED SLOT,
ORDER CODE 5.
- 2
- BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII
2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/30/07	D.W.

1	SNG1000.14A	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL TC PAD			1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION			QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: 304 STAINLESS FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>			DATE	NAME	<div> ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div>
		DRAWN	06/30/07	D. WAIBEL	
		CHECKED			
		ENG APPR.			
		MFG APPR.			TITLE: PROJECT: <u>COAL TO SNG</u> PLATE, REACTOR SHELL TC PAD KINETICS REACTOR
		Q.A.			
		<div><div>SI</div><div>METRIC</div><div>COMMENTS:</div></div> <div><div>0</div><div>MM</div><div>25</div><div> </div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>			
		SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV	
		B	SNG1000.14	A	
		SCALE: 2:1	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/29/07	D.W.

1	SNG1000.21A	PLATE, SPIDER SUPPORT			1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION			QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30'</div> <div>ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED</div> <div>INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994</div> <div>MATERIAL: 300 SERIES STAINLESS</div> <div>FINISH:</div> <div>SIMILAR TO:</div>			DATE	NAME	<div><div><div></div><div>APS</div></div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div> <div>TITLE: PROJECT: <u>GOAL TO SNG</u> PLATE, SPIDER SUPPORT KINETICS REACTOR</div> <div>SIZE B</div> <div>DWG. NO. SNG1000.21</div> <div>REV A</div> <div>SCALE: 1:2</div> <div>WEIGHT:</div> <div>SHEET 1 OF 1</div>
		DRAWN	06/29/07	D. WAIBEL	
		CHECKED			
		ENG APPR.			
		MFG APPR.			
		Q.A.			
		<div><div>SI</div><div>0MM25</div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>			
		COMMENTS:			

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
-
- SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH BY BEST MECHANICAL MEANS
- 3

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/29/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	ADDED NOTE 3 SURFACE FINISH	12/21/07	D.W.	
ALL	C	MATERIAL CHANGE TO SA-182 316H	12/26/07	D.W.

1	SNG1000.22C	SA-182, GRADE 316H SS		FLANGE, INNER TUBE		1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL		DESCRIPTION		QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>			DATE	NAME	<div><div><div><div></div><div>APS</div></div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div><div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: FLANGE INNER TUBE KINETICS REACTOR</div><div>SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1000.22 REV C</div><div>SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div></div></div>	
		DRAWN	06/29/07	D. WAIBEL		
		CHECKED				
		ENG APPR.				
		MFG APPR.				
		Q.A.				
		<div><div>SI</div><div>0MM25</div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>				
		COMMENTS:				

GENERAL NOTES:
1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	XX	INITIAL RELEASE	XX/XX/XX	XXX

VS103J12
12" HEATER SHOWN

SECTION B-B

SECTION A-A

.3 CERAMIC INSULATION
TYP. BOTH ENDS

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^\circ 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH)
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN	DATE	NAME
CHECKED	07/12/07	D. WAIBEL
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

SI METRIC

0 25 MM

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

APS 400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR

TITLE: REACTOR HEATER & LOCATIONS

SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV
B	SNG1000.23	A

SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 3

<div><div><div></div><div>APS</div></div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div>		
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR REACTOR HEATER & LOCATION		
SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1000.23	REV A
SCALE: 1:4	WEIGHT:	SHEET 2 OF 3

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	08/23/07	D.W.

1	SNG1000.24A	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-0667, SB 168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	PLATE, THERMOCOUPLE PAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI

0 MM 25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

DATE

08/23/07

NAME

D. WAIBEL

PROJECT:

TITLE:

SIZE

B

DWG. NO.

REV

A

SCALE: 2:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

APSS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/21/08	DW

1	SNG1000.26A	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH)
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

0

MM

25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

DRAWN

01/21/08

D. WAIBEL

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

CAD FILE:

SNG1000.26A

APS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

GASKET, CHAR POT TO REACTOR

SIZE B

DWG. NO. SNG1000.26

REV A

SCALE: 1:2

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

Monday, January 21, 2008 1:34:59 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- Ø2" TUBE HOLD ROUNDNESS.
- 3
- TUBE DIMENSIONS AND TOLERANCES PER ASTM A269, AND SB-751, SB-167.
- 4
- PROVIDE MILL TEST REPORT(MTR)
- 5
- MAINTAIN STRAIGHTNESS TO ≤.030"/3Ft. END TO END.
- 6
- WALL THICKNESS .125" NOMINAL FROM .125" THICK SHEET (.120/.130)

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/30/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.4-1	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, (.125" THK. SHEET)	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	5
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN 01/30/08 D. WAIBEL CHECKED ENG APPR. MFG APPR. Q.A. <div>SI METRIC 0 25 MM THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div>	<div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div> <div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR 2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION</div>
				SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1000.27 REV A
				SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

- GENERAL NOTES:
- 1

HAND SELECT 10" SCH. 80 PIPE TO HOLD ROUNDNESS AS SHOWN.
- 2

PREP BOTH ENDS OF PIPE FOR WELD AS SHOWN IN DETAIL 'B'
- 3

DEBURR / CHAMFER ALL EDGES OF THRU HOLES.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/05/07	DW	.
ALL	B	RELOCATED TWO ϕ .56 HOLES TO 25° POSITION AS SHOWN	10/25/07	DW	.
ALL	C	DELETED ONE ROW OF HOLES ADDED HOLE AS SHOWN IN SECTION C-C	12/14/07	DW	.
ALL	D	UPDATED MATERIAL CALL OUT	01/02/08	DW	.

1	SNG1000.2D	SA106 GR B CARBON STEEL	PIPE 10"-SCH.80 .594" WALL CARBON STEEL SMLS SA106 GR. B ASME B36.10	1																																															
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.																																															
<table><tr><td colspan="2">UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:</td><td>NAME</td><td>DATE</td><td rowspan="4"> ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</td></tr><tr><td>DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES</td><td>DRAWN</td><td>D. WAIBEL</td><td>06/05/07</td></tr><tr><td>TOLERANCES:</td><td>CHECKED</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>FRACTIONAL</td><td>ENG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>ANGULARS MATCH</td><td>MFG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td><td>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG</td></tr><tr><td>TWO PLACE DECIMAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>TITLE: KINETICS REACTO</td></tr><tr><td>THREE PLACE DECIMAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>PIPE, REACTOR SHELL</td></tr><tr><td>INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL SEE BOM</td><td>Q.A. COMMENTS:</td><td></td><td></td><td>SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1000.2 REV D</td></tr><tr><td>NEXT ASSY</td><td>USED ON</td><td></td><td></td><td>SCALE: 1:8 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</td></tr><tr><td>APPLICATION</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>					UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	06/05/07	TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.			ANGULARS MATCH	MFG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG	TWO PLACE DECIMAL				TITLE: KINETICS REACTO	THREE PLACE DECIMAL				PIPE, REACTOR SHELL	INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL SEE BOM	Q.A. COMMENTS:			SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1000.2 REV D	NEXT ASSY	USED ON			SCALE: 1:8 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1	APPLICATION				
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003																																															
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	06/05/07																																																
TOLERANCES:	CHECKED																																																		
FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.																																																		
ANGULARS MATCH	MFG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG																																															
TWO PLACE DECIMAL				TITLE: KINETICS REACTO																																															
THREE PLACE DECIMAL				PIPE, REACTOR SHELL																																															
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL SEE BOM	Q.A. COMMENTS:			SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1000.2 REV D																																															
NEXT ASSY	USED ON			SCALE: 1:8 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1																																															
APPLICATION																																																			

6 END PREP FOR TUBE BUTT WELD, SQUARE END PREP.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/02/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	ADDED MT'L., TO BOM INCONEL 617 SPEC.	01/10/08	D.W.	
ALL	C	SHOW INNER TUBING AS WELDED SECTIONS TO MAKE OVER LENGTH	01/30/08	D.W.

2	SNG1000.4-2	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SA168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	1
1	SNG1000.4-1	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	4
ITEM NO	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS		DATE		NAME	
TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$		DRAWN 07/02/07		D. WAIBEL	
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$		CHECKED			
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$		ENG APPR.			
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$		MFG APPR.			
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$		Q.A.			
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED				ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994				PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: <u>KINETICS REACTOR</u> TUBE, REACTOR INNER	
MATERIAL: SEE BOM		SIZE B		DWG. NO. SNG1000.3	
FINISH:		REV C			
SIMILAR TO:		SCALE: 1:2		WEIGHT:	
CAD FILE		SNG1000.3C		SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- Ø2" TUBE HOLD ROUNDNESS.
- 3
- TUBE DIMENSIONS AND TOLERANCES PER ASTM A269, AND SB-751, SB-167.
- 4
- PROVIDE MILL TEST REPORT(MTR)
- 5
- MAINTAIN STRAIGHTNESS TO <.030" END TO END.
- 6
- MAKE FOUR AT 48" LONG, AND ONE AT 5.5" LONG, SEE BOM BELOW

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/30/08	D.W.

2	SNG1000.4-2	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	1
1	SNG1000.4-1	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	4
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $63 \sqrt{\text{UNLESS NOTED}}$
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	01/30/08	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE	SNG1000.4A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: **COAL TO SNG**

TITLE: **KINETICS REACTOR**

2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION
CUT TO LENGTH

SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1000.4	REV A
SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

GENERAL NOTES:

1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/13/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	UPDATED MATERIAL CALL OUT	01/02/08	D.W.	
ALL	C	CHANGED .88 THRU HOLE TO .88 x 1.00 SLOT	04/01/08	D.W.	

10.88

5.90

R5.69

3X 2.750

2X R FULL

5.50

.88 THRU

1.00

2.00

0

0

1

C

A

.005

.75 STK.

0

ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
1	SNG1000.8C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 3/4" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, TOP SUPPORT	1

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'

ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"

SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
ANSI Y14.5M-1994

MATERIAL:
SEE BOM

FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN 06/13/07 D. WAIBEL

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI 0 MM 25

METRIC

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE SNG1000.8C

DATE NAME

06/13/07 D. WAIBEL

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR PLATE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, TOP SUPPORT

SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1000.8 REV C

SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

Tuesday, April 01, 2008 9:53:22 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/13/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	UPDATED MATERIAL CALL OUT	01/02/08	D.W.	
ALL	C	LENGTH CHANGE, 5.90 WAS 5.40	04/01/08	D.W.

1	SNG1000.9C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL 3/4" THICK	GUSSET, RIGHT, REACTOR, TOP SUPPORT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE

DATE
06/13/07

NAME
D. WAIBEL

Q.A.

THIRD ANGLE
PROJECTION

APS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINECTICS REACTOR
GUSSET, RIGHT, REACTOR
TOP SUPPORT

SIZE B

DWG. NO. SNG1000.9

REV C

SCALE: 1:2

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

Tuesday, April 01, 2008 10:07:21 PM

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/28/07	D.W.	

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR

7	3-4 HALF-CLPG	CARB. STL. SA105	3/4"-6000# THD HALF CLPG ASME SA105 CARBON STEEL PER ASME B16.11	24
6	SNG1000.14A	304 STAINLESS	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL TC PAD	7
5	SNG1003.4E	CARBON STEEL SA105 GRADE 2, SA-266	FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, HEAD	1
4	SNG1004.2E	CARBON STEEL, SA105 GRADE 2, SA-266	FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, FOOT	1
3	W-SNG1000.7B	SA-515 GR70 CARBON STEEL	WELDMENT, REACTOR BOTTOM GUIDE SUPPORT	4
2	W-SNG1000.6C	SEE BOM	WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, TOP SUPPORT	4
1	SNG1000.2D	SA106 GR B CARBON STEEL	PIPE 10"-SCH.80 .594" WALL CARBON STEEL SMLS SA106 GR. B ASME B36.10	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	Default/ QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	06/28/07
TOLERANCES:			
FRACTIONAL ±		CHECKED	
ANGULAR: MATCH ±		ENG APPR.	
BEND ±		MFG APPR.	
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±			
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±			
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		G.A.	
MATERIAL		COMMENTS:	
AS NOTED			
FINISH			
		CAD FILE:	
		W-SNG1000.1F	
		TITLE:	
		WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER SHELL	
		SIZE DWG. NO.	REV
		D W-SNG1000.1	F
		SCALE: 1:8	WEIGHT:
			SHEET 1 OF 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/02/07	D.W.	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
					1400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003
					PROJECT: COAL TO SNG KINETICS REACTOR

4	SNG1000.22C	SA-182, GRADE 316H SS	FLANGE, INNER TUBE	1
3	SNG1000.24A	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	PLATE, REACTOR, INNER TC PAD	14
2	SNG1000.21A	SA 182 GR. 316H S.S.	PLATE, SPIDER SUPPORT	7
1	SNG1000.3C	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-06617, SB168 PER ASTM-B-168, AND PER, SB751, SB-167	TUBE, REACTOR INNER	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME		DATE
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL		07/02/07		
TOLERANCES:		CHECKED				
FRACTIONAL: ±		ENG APPR.				
ANGULAR MATCH: ±		MFG APPR.				TITLE: _____ WELDMENT, REACTOR, INNER PIPE
BEND: ±						
TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ±						
THREE PLACE DECIMAL: ±						
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		Q.A.				SIZE DWG. NO. REV D W-SNG1000.20 F
MATERIAL		COMMENTS:				
SEE BOM						
FINISH						
CAD FILE: W-SNG1000.20F		SCALE: 1:8 WEIGHT: _____				SHEET 1 OF 1

W-SNG1000.20
D

A

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

REVISIONS: KINETICS REACTOR

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	REV. DATE	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/11/07	DW

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- SHEET 1, ISO VIEW (S), BILL OF MATERIALS, NOTES.
SHEET 2, DETAIL VIEWS.
- 2
- STANDARD WELDING PRACTICE PER, ANSI / AWS D1.3
FOR ALL WELDS, U.N.O.

WELDS TO MEET ASME BPVC SECTION 8, DIVISION 1.

ISO VIEW
DO NOT SCALE

4	SNG1000.13B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE, 3/8" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL, REINFORCING PAD	1
3	SNG1000.10C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL 3/4" THICK	GUSSET, LEFT, REACTOR, TOP SUPPORT	1
2	SNG1000.9C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL 3/4" THICK	GUSSET, RIGHT, REACTOR, TOP SUPPORT	1
1	SNG1000.8C	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 3/4" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, TOP SUPPORT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'

ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994

MATERIAL:
SEE BOM

FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN DATE NAME

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI
METRIC

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE

06/11/07

D. WAIBEL

TITLE:

WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER
SHELL, TOP SUPPORT

SIZE

B

DWG. NO.

W-SNG1000.6

REV

C

SCALE: 1:2

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 2

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003		
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR WELDMENT, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, TOP SUPPORT		
SIZE B	DWG. NO. W-SNG1000.6	REV C
SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 2 OF 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- STANDARD WELDING PRACTICE PER, ANSI / AWS D1.3
FOR ALL WELDS, U.N.O.

- 2
- QUADRANT ON ITEM 1, AS INDICATED, IS DATUM 'A', WHICH
IS USED TO HOLD POSITIONING BETWEEN COMPONENTS,
ITEM 1, AND ITEM 2.

- 3
- WELDS TO MEET ASME BPVC SECTION 8, DIVISION 1.

2	SNG1000.12B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 1/2" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR BOTTOM GUIDE	1
1	SNG1000.11B	SA-515 GR 70 PER ASTM / SA-20 CARBON STEEL PLATE 3/8" THICK	PLATE, REACTOR SHELL, REINFORCEMENT PAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN	DATE	NAME
CHECKED	06/11/07	D. WAIBEL
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0	MM
METRIC	25	
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE	W-SNG1000.7B

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
WELDMENT, REACTOR BOTTOM
GUIDE SUPPORT

SIZE **B** DWG. NO. W-SNG1000.7 REV **B**

SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

CO2
COMP

WATER TANK

BARN

TRAILER
(A-SNG0001.3)

N2 TUBE
TRAILER

N

D. WAIBEL

eTec ELECTRIC TRANSPORTATION
ENGINEERING CORPORATION

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: MACH: 0°
ONE PLACE DECIMAL: ±0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ±0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL: ±0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL: ±0.0005"

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL: AS NOTED
FINISH:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	07/09/07	
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

COMMENTS:
CAD FILE: A-SNG0001-2A

TITLE:
ASSEMBLY, PLANT LAYOUT

SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV
D	A-SNG1001.2A	

SCALE: 1:40 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/09/07	D.W.	

D. WAIBEL **eTec** ELECTRIC TRANSPORTATION
ENGINEERING CORPORATION

<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED UNLESS NOTED DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: MACH: ± 0.1° ONE PLACE DECIMAL: ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL: ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL: ± 0.0005" ✓ INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL: FINISH:</div>	DRAWN	DATE	NAME	<div><div></div><div>TITLE:</div><div>LAYOUT, TRAILER</div><div>A</div></div>
	CHECKED	07/09/07		
	ENG APPR.			
	MFG APPR.			
	G.A.			
	COMMENTS:			
	CAD FILE:	A-SNG0001.3A		
	SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV	
	D	A-SNG1001.3A		
	SCALE: 1:20	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- BLEND EDGES, BY BEST MECHANICAL MEANS, SO THAT THERE IS A SMOOTH TRANSITION BETWEEN SURFACES.
- 2
- DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES.
- 3
- BLEND WELD PREP CHAMFERS ALL AROUND SURFACES, FOR CONSISTANCY.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/11/07	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED .063 HOLE, AND CHANGED MACHINED ANGLE	12/03/07	DW	
ALL	C	CORRECTED ANGLES TO 37.5	01/15/08	DW

REMOVE MATERIAL AS SHOWN
IN VIEW ON LEFT

SECTION B-B

SECTION A-A

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME		DATE		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		DRAWN		D. WAIBEL		08/09/07	
		TOLERANCES:		CHECKED				PROJECT: COAL TO SNG KINETICS REACTOR TRANSITION, HOPPER OUTLET	
		FRACTIONAL		ENG APPR.					
		ANGULARS: MATCH		MFG APPR.					
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL							
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL		G.A.					
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC:		COMMENTS:					
		TOLERANCING PER:							
		MATERIAL:							
		316H S.S.							
		FINISH							
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		CAD FILE:		SIZE		DWG. NO.	
				SNG1001.11C		D		SNG1001.11	
APPLICATION								REV	
								C	
						SCALE: 1:1, WEIGHT:		SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	03/04/08	DW

SECTION A-A

1	SNG1001.21A	316 ST STL	PLATE, WELDED LIFTING LUG, SA182 GR316	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:
--

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	03/04/08	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE:	SNG1001.21A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003		
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR PLATE, LIFTING LUG		
SIZE B	DWG. NO. GB; %\$%&%	A
SCALE: 1:1	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

2 INSPECTION OF WELDS, TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.

3 FITTINGS, AND PIPE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH, ASME B16.20. THREADOLETS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

1

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/03/07	D.W.

2	SNG1001.20B	SA182 QRF 316	THREADOLET, 1/4"-3000LB, THD, HALF CLPG.	1
1	SNG1001.11B	316H S.S.	TRANSITION, HOPPER OUTLET	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: AS NOTED IN BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>		DRAWN	DATE 12/03/07	NAME D. WAIBEL
		CHECKED		
		ENG APPR.		
		MFG APPR.		
		Q.A.		
		<div><div>SI METRIC</div><div>0 25 MM</div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>		
		<div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div>		
		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
		TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR		
		WELDMENT, COAL FEED TRANSITION		
		SIZE B	DWG. NO. W-SNG1001.18	REV A
		SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2
- INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 3
- FITTINGS, AND PIPE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH, ASME B16.20. THREADOLETS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.
- 4
- ITEM 4, (PIPE, 2"-SCH.80), TO HAVE TWO $\varnothing$.375 THRU HOLES, 180° APART, AS SHOWN.
- 5
- MATERIAL: 316L S.S., CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	08/09/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	DIM. 46.25 WAS 34.44	10/09/07	D.W.	
ALL	C	ADDED MATERIAL COLUMN TO BOM, ADDED FITTING SPEC., AND X-RAY INSPECTION TO NOTES. DELETED CALL OUT ON GROOVE WELDS	11/27/07	D.W.	
ALL	D	ADDED NOTE 5; MATERIAL SPEC. AND ADDED MISSING WELD	12/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	E	ADDED LIFTING LUG	03/04/08	D.W.

8	SNG1001.21A	316 ST STL	PLATE, LIFTING LUG	1
7	W-SNG1001.18A	316 S.S. 5	WELDMENT, COAL FEED TRANSITION	1
6	SNG1001.9A	316 S.S. 5	1/4"-6000# FGD THD HALF CPLG SA182 GR F316 ASME B16.11	2
5	SNG1001.7A	316 S.S. 5	2"-WNF FGD ST STL 1500# RF BORE FOR SCH.80 125 TO 250 AARH FACE FINISH SA182 GRF316 ASME B16.5	1
4	SNG1001.6A	316 S.S. 5	PIPE 2"-SCH.80 .218" WALL ST STL SMLS SA312 TP 316L ASME B36.19	1
3	SNG1001.5A	316 S.S. 5	4"x2" CONC RED. WRT ST STL SCH.80 BW SA403 WP-316L ASME B16.9	1
2	SNG1001.4A	316 S.S. 5	8"x4" CONC RED. WRT ST STL SCH.80 BW SA403 WP-316L ASME B16.9	2
1	SNG1001.3B	316 S.S. 5	PIPE 8"-SCH.80 .500" WALL ST STL SMLS SA312 TP 316L ASME B36.19	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL
ANGULAR: MATCH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL
THREE PLACE DECIMAL
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
316 S.S.
FINISH

DRAWN
D. WAIBEL
08/09/07

CHECKED
ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

COMMENTS:

NAME
D. WAIBEL

DATE
08/09/07

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

PROJECT:
COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTO
WELDMENT, COAL HOPPER

SIZE
DWG. NO.
D W-SNG1001.2
REV
E

CAD FILE:
W-SNG1001.2E

SCALE: 1:2
WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1
Tuesday, March 04, 2008 9:48:34 PM

SECTION D-D

SEE ENLARGED VIEW X-1
ON SHEET 3

1

		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ANGULARS-MACH TWO PLACE DECIMAL THREE PLACE DECIMAL		DRAWN CHECKED ENG APPR: MFG APPR:	NAME D. WAIBEL 9/14/07	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL		G.A. COMMENTS:	PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR MAGNETIC DRIVE		
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH	CAD FILE:		SIZE D	DWG. NO. SNG1002.31D	REV D

SECTION A-A

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES.
- 2
- USING BEST MECHANICAL METHODS, FLIGHT OF SCREW TO END FLUSH WITH END OF $\varnothing$ 3/8" SHAFT.

REVISIONS						REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED	ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	K	DIM.; 5.13 WAS 6.00;; ADDED TRANSITION BETWEEN SINGLE AND DOUBLE PITCH	12/10/08	DW		ALL	A	INITIAL DRAWING	07/11/07	DW	
ALL	L	CHANGE PITCH AND TO LEFT HAND FLIGHTS ON AUGER	02/26/09	DW		ALL	B	INTERNAL CHANGE; 14.88 WAS 15.88	08/08/07	DW	
ALL	M	CHANGE PITCH AT DISCHARGE TO 1.75 FLIGHTS ON AUGER	03/02/09	DW		ALL	C	INTERNAL CHANGE; 13.13 WAS 14.88	08/09/07	DW	
ALL	N	DIM.; CHANGE, OVERALL CHANGED TO 16.885"; .604" WAS .63"; .035" WAS .13"; .310" WAS .374; .713" WAS .860"	04/02/08	DW		ALL	D	LENGTH 17.88 WAS 13.13, SHAFT DIA CHANGED TO .63, SCREW DIA. TO .75 FROM .63	11/07/07	DW	
						ALL	E	17.00 WAS 17.875; ADDED STEP DIA. .75 TO .63	11/09/07	DW	
						ALL	F	ADDED NOTE 2; ADDED #8-32 TAPPED; ADDED .374" PILOT ON END OF SHAFT	11/28/07	DW	
						ALL	G	ADDED NOTE 3; DIM. 5.44 WAS 6.13, DIM.16.68 WAS 17.00	12/11/07	DW	
						ALL	H	ADDED .860 x .180 DIA. TO END, AND DELTED #8-32 TAP	01/17/08	DW	
						ALL	J	DIM. 6.00" WAS 4.00"; CHANGED PITCH IN THIS AREA	12/08/08	DW

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	07/11/07	400 N. 5th Street	
TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			Phoenix, AZ 85003	
FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.			PROJECT:	COAL TO SNG
ANGULARS MATCH	MFG APPR.			TITLE:	KINETICS REACTOR
TWO PLACE DECIMAL					SCREW, PROGRESSIVE COAL FEED
THREE PLACE DECIMAL					
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING FEE:					
MATERIAL					
AMP CO 45					
FINISH					
NEXT ASSY	USED ON				
APPLICATION					

CAD FILE:

SNG1002.41N

SCALE: 1:1

WEIGHT:

THURSDAY, APRIL 02, 2009 5:20:34 PM

SIZE

DWG. NO.

SNG1002.41

REV

N

DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES.

FABRICATOR TO INSTALL HELICAL INSERTS, 5/8-18 THREAD,
18-8 STAINLESS STEEL, McMASTER-CARR; 91732A238
OR EQUIVELENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	09/14/07	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED HELICAL INSERTS 5/8-18 THREAD, ADDED CENTER POINT 60	11/28/07	DW	
ALL	C	DELETED 1" x 1.26 BORE, CHANGED V-SHAPE TO FLAT BOTTOM POINT BORE, SEE PAGE 2	12/03/07	DW

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 09/14/07		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ANGULARS MATCH TWO PLACE DECIMAL THREE PLACE DECIMAL		CHECKED: ENG APPR: MFG APPR:		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG KINETICS REACTOR	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC: TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL:		Q.A. COMMENTS:		HOUSING, COAL FEEDER	
		316 STAINLESS		CAD FILE: SNG1002.42C		SIZE: DWG. NO. REV D SNG1002.42 C	
NEXT ASSY: USED ON:		FINISH		SCALE: 1:1, WEIGHT: 60LBS SHEET 1 OF 2 Friday, January 11, 2008 7:59:02 AM			
APPLICATION: 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN CONCEPT	07/18/07	DW	
ALL	B	DESIGN REVIEW	08/08/07	DW	
ALL	C	DESIGN RELEASE	09/14/07	DW	
ALL	D	DESIGN RELEASE	10/12/07	DW	
ALL	E	ADDED PILOT, 1.50; DELETED SEAL BORE	11/28/07	DW	
ALL	F	CHANGED WIDTH TO 2.125" CORRECTED O-RING GROOVE	12/03/07	DW	
ALL	G	ADDED GROOVE FOR SHAFT SEAL	11/27/08	DW	
ALL	H	1.125" x .312" BORE WAS GROOVE	12/04/08	DW	
ALL	J	CHANGED 1.125" DIA. BORE TO .927" DIA. x .203" DOUBLE GROOVE	12/17/08	DW	

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	J. WAIBEL	09/14/07	400 N. 5th Street	Phoenix, AZ. 85003
TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			PROJECT:	COAL TO SNG
FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.			TITLE:	KINETICS REACTOR
ANGULAR	MFG APPR.				END CAP COAL FEEDER HOUSING
DIMENSION					
THREE PLACE DECIMAL					
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:					
MATERIAL:					
316 STAINLESS					
FINISH					
CAD FILE:					
SNG1002.44J					
SCALE: 1:1					
WEIGHT: 8.60BS					
SHEET 1 OF 1					
Wednesday, December 17, 2008 3:31:41 PM					

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/11/07	D.W.

1		SNG1002.72A		END PIN, SCREW SUPPORT		1	
ITEM NO.		PART NUMBER		DESCRIPTION		QTY.	
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS</div> <div>TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30'</div> <div>ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"</div> <div>SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED</div> <div>INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994</div> <div>MATERIAL: AMPCO 45</div> <div>FINISH:</div> <div>SIMILAR TO:</div>		DRAWN	12/11/07	NAME	<div><div><div></div><div>APS</div></div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div> <div>TITLE: PROJECT: <div>COAL TO SNG</div><div>END PIN, SCREW SUPPORT</div><div>KINETICS REACTOR</div></div> <div>SIZE <div>B</div> DWG. NO. REV <div>A</div></div> <div>SCALE: 4:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div>		
		CHECKED					
		ENG APPR.					
		MFG APPR.					
		Q.A.					
<div><div>SI</div><div>0MM25</div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div> <div>COMMENTS:</div>							

SNG1002.72

SECTION C-C

SECTION D-D

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- FRAME CONSTRUCTED USING 2" SQUARE TUBING WITH 1/4" THICK WALL, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2
- DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES, PRIOR TO PRIMER COATING.
- 3
- FINISH; PRIMER USING SHERWIN-WILLIAMS, KEM 400 PRIMER RED OXIDE, PRODUCT NUMBER: E61R00402 OR EQUIVALENT. PERPARE SURFACE PER MANUFACTURES DATA SHEET.
- 4
- SEE SHEET; 2 FOR EXPLODED VIEW, SHEET; 3 FOR WELDING DETAILS, SHEET; 4 FOR COMPONENTS AND CUT LENGTH DETAILS.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	09/04/07	DW	
ALL	B	RE-DESIGNED TO FIT AOV VALVE HEIGHT 5.26"	02/06/09	DW	

9	GUSSET-1002.49B	PLATE, GUSSET, PLAIN STEEL (CRS)	1
8	TUBE-F-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	2
7	PAD-1002.49B	PAD, 6" x 4" x 3/8" PLAIN STEEL (CRS)	4
6	TUBE-D-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	1
5	TUBE-E-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	1
4	TUBE-C-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	1
3	TUBE-B-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	3
2	TUBE-A-1002.49B	SQ. TUBE, 2x2x1/4" WALL, PLAIN STEEL	2
1	PLATE-1002.49B	PLATE, 24" x 24" x 3/8" PLAIN STEEL (CRS)	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	
		TOLERANCES:	
		FRACTIONAL	DRAWN J. WAIBEL 02/06/09
		ANGULAR: MATCH	CHECKED
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL	ENG APPR. J. BOYLE 02/06/09
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL	MFG APPR.
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC:	G.A.
		TOLERANCING FIBER:	COMMENTS:
		MATERIAL	
		CRS	
		FINISH	
		SEE NOTE 3	
		CAD FILE:	
		W-SNG1002.49B	
		SCALE: 1/4"	
		WEIGHT:	
		SHEET 1 OF 4	
		Sunday, February 08, 2009 1:19:44 PM	

W-SNG1002.49B

EXPLODED VIEW

<div><div><div></div><div>APS</div></div><div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street</div><div>Phoenix, AZ 85003</div></div></div>		
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR WELDMENT, LOWER COAL FEEDER FRAME		
SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV
D	W-SNG1002.49	B
SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT:		SHEET 2 OF 4

WEDLING DETAILS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
PROJECT:		COAL TO SNG	
TITLE:		KINETICS REACTOR WELDMENT, LOWER COAL FEEDER FRAME	
SIZE	DWG. NO.	W-SNG1002.49	
D			
SIZE: 1:4 WEIGHT:		SHEET 3 OF 4

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2
- INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 3
- FITTINGS, AND PIPE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH, ASME B16.20. THREADOLETS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/12/07	D.W.	

2	SNG1002.51A	316 S.S.	1"-WNF FGD ST STL 1500# RF BORE FOR SCH.80 125 TO 250 AARH FACE FINISH SA182 GRF316 ASME B16.5	1
1	SNG1002.59A	316 S.S.	PIPE 1"-SCH.80 .179" WALL ST STL SMLS SA312 TP 316L ASME B36.19	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL
ANGULAR MATCH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL
THREE PLACE DECIMAL

DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.

NAME
D. WAIBEL
DATE
12/12/07

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
316 S.S.
FINISH

Q.A.
COMMENTS:

CAD FILE:
W-SNG1002.58A

APPLICATION
2

USED ON:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

PROJECT:
COAL TO SNG
TITLE:
KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, COAL FEEDER
DISCHARGE PORT
SIZE DWG. NO.
D W-SNG1002.58
REV
A

SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1
Wednesday, December 12, 2007 7:34:56 AM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2
- INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 3
- FITTINGS, AND PIPE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH, ASME B16.20. THREADOLETS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.
- 4
- REFERENCE DRAWING, W-SNG1001.2, FOR DIMENSIONS, AND WELDING OF COAL HOPPER.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	ADDED LIFTING LUG	03/04/08	D.W.

6	SNG1001.21A	316 ST STL	PLATE, LIFTING LUG	1
5	W-SNG1002.65A	316 S.S.	WELDMENT, CLEANOUT STACK	1
4	SNG1008.7A	316 ST STL	TUBING, 1/2"-.065" WALL, 316L ST STL, SA213 TP 316 ASTM A269, PER ASME B31.3	1
3	W-SNG1001.2E	316 S.S.	WELDMENT, COAL HOPPER	1
2	W-SNG1002.58A	316 S.S.	WELDMENT, COAL FEEDER DISCH., PORT	1
1	SNG1002.42C	316 STAINLESS	HOUSING, COAL FEEDER	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	APS ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	12/06/07	
TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			
FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.			
ANGULARS MATCH	MFG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TWO PLACE DECIMAL				TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
THREE PLACE DECIMAL				WELDMENT, COAL FEEDER SYSTEM
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL	Q.A. COMMENTS:			
316 S.S.				
FINISH				
NEXT ASSY	USED ON:			
APPLICATION		CAD FILE: W-SNG1002.67B		
		SIZE DWG. NO. REV D W-SNG1002.67 B		
		SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1 Tuesday, March 04, 2008 9:35:02 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

1 MATERIAL: 316 ST STL, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	03/17/08	DW	

2	SNG1001.21A	316 ST STL	PLATE, WELDED LIFTING LUG, SA182 GR316	1
1	SNG1005.16A	316 S.S.	2"- BLIND FGD ST STL 1500# RF SA 182 GRF316 ASME B16.5	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR ± MACH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
BEND ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
SEE NOTES
FINISH

NEXT ASSY

USED ON

APPLICATION
2

DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 03/17/08

CHECKED:

ENG APPR:

MFG APPR:

Q.A. COMMENTS:

NAME: DATE:

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, TOP FLANGE COAL HOPPER

SIZE DWG. NO. REV

D W-SNG1002.68 A

CAD FILE: W-SNG1002.68A

SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

Tuesday, March 18, 2008 9:26:00 PM

F

- E

1

1

GENERAL NOTES:

1 FOR PROCEDURE ON WRAPPING CERAMIC FIBER, AND STAINLESS FOIL, REFER TO DRAWING A-1003.46

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/06/08	DW

5	SNG1003.47A	316 ST STL	FOIL, 316 ST STL, .004" THK. CUT ROUND	5
4	87665K2	CERAMIC FIBER	CERAMIC FIBER, .030"	1
3	SNG1003.45A	316 ST STL	FOIL, 316 ST STL, .004" THK. SHEET	1
2	SNG1003.44A	316 ST STL	WASHER, INSULATION RETAIMENT	3
1	W-SNG1003.10C	INCONEL 625	WELDMNT, 15Deg. ANGLE, INJECTOR WAND	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR ± MACH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
SEE BOM
FINISH

NEXT ASSY

USED ON

APPLICATION
2

DRAWN
D. WAIBEL
02/06/08

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.
COMMENTS:

CAD FILE:
W-SNG1003.16A

NAME
D. WAIBEL
02/06/08

DATE

PROJECT:
COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR

ASSEMBLY, INJECTOR WAND
15Deg. NOZZLE
SIZE DWG. NO.
D A-SNG1003.16

REV
A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

SCALE: 2:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

1 FOR PROCEDURE ON WRAPPING CERAMIC FIBER, AND STAINLESS FOIL, REFER TO DRAWING A-1003.46

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/06/08	DW

5	SNG1003.47A	316 ST STL	FOIL, 316 ST STL, .004" THK. CUT ROUND	5
4	87665K2	CERAMIC FIBER	CERAMIC FIBER, .030"	1
3	SNG1003.45A	316 ST STL	FOIL, 316 ST STL, .004" THK. SHEET	1
2	SNG1003.44A	316 ST STL	WASHER, INSULATION RETAIMENT	3
1	W-SNG1003.15A	SEE BOM	WELDMENT, STRAIGHT NOZZEL INJECTOR	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
DECIMAL ±
ANGULAR ±
HOLE ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
SEE BOM
FINISH

DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 02/06/08

CHECKED:

ENG APPR:

MFG APPR:

Q.A. COMMENTS:

CAD FILE: W-SNG1003.17A

NAME: DATE:

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

ASSEMBLY, INJECTOR WAND
STRAIGHT NOZZLE

SIZE DWG. NO. D A-SNG1003.17

SCALE: 2:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

REV A

GENERAL NOTES:

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/14/07	D.W.

SECTION A-A

9	FIBER-WRAP-1		4
8	8498K35	ALUMINA, CERAMIC COMPOUND	1
7	SNG1003.6A-1	TUBE, 3/8" INJECTOR	4
6	SNG1003.7B	PIPE, REACTOR HEAD SPACER	1
5	SNG1003.8B	PIPE, REACTOR HEAD INJECTOR RING	1
4	SNG1003.5A	HUB, REACTOR INNER HEAD	1
3	SNG1003.2A	FLANGE, WELD NECK REACTOR HEAD	1
2	W-SNG1003.10A	WELDMENT, REACTOR HEAD, INJECTOR WAND	4
1	SNG1003.3A	FLANGE, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $63 \sqrt{\text{UNLESS NOTED}}$
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
AS NOTED
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN	06/14/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

SI

0MM25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

TITLE:
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
ASSEMBLY, REACTOR HEAD
KINETICS REACTOR

SIZE B	DWG. NO. A-SNG1003.1	REV A
-----------	-------------------------	----------

SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1
------------	---------	--------------

1

2

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

1

2

3

4

5

ISO VIEW
(NOT TO SCALE)

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
	A	INITIAL DESIGN	06/27/07	D.W.	

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR
ASSEMBLY REACTOR
INJECTOR HEAD

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

ITEM NO.

PART NUMBER

MATERIAL

DESCRIPTION

QTY

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:

DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES

TOLERANCES:

FRACTIONAL ±

ANGULAR: MACH ±

BEND ±

TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±

THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:

MATERIAL: SEE BOM

FINISH:

DRAWN: D. WAIBEL

CHECKED:

ENG APPR:

MFG APPR:

Q.A.:

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE: A-SNG1003.22D

SIZE: 1/4

DWG. NO. A-SNG1003.22

REV D

SCALE: 1:4

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 2

TITLE: 5 037A 6 04MF 95 7 HC F-B >97 HC F <95 8

APR

1

Tuesday, February 05, 2008 9:09:01 PM

SECTION A-A
SCALE 1 : 1.5

STRAIGHT NOZZEL INJECTOR ASSEMBLY

SEE NOTE 2

1	SNG1003.11D	INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB446, ASTM-B446	INJECTOR WAND HOUSING NOZZEL END	1																																
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.																																
<table><tr><td colspan="2">UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:</td><td>NAME</td><td>DATE</td><td rowspan="4"><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003</div><div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR</div><div>INJECTOR WAND HOUSING NOZZEL END SIZE DWG. NO. SNG1003.11 SCALE: 2:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div></td></tr><tr><td>DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES</td><td>DRAWN</td><td>D. WAIBEL</td><td>02/08/08</td></tr><tr><td>TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ±, DECIMAL ±, ANGULAR MACH ±, TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±, THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±</td><td>CHECKED</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:</td><td>ENG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>MATERIAL: INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB446, ASTM-B446</td><td>MFG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td><td>REV D</td></tr><tr><td>FINISH</td><td>Q.A. COMMENTS:</td><td colspan="3">CAD FILE: SNG1003.11D</td></tr><tr><td>NEXT ASSY</td><td>USED ON</td><td colspan="3"></td></tr></table>					UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	<div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003</div> <div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR</div> <div>INJECTOR WAND HOUSING NOZZEL END SIZE DWG. NO. SNG1003.11 SCALE: 2:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div>	DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	02/08/08	TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ±, DECIMAL ±, ANGULAR MACH ±, TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±, THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±	CHECKED			INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	ENG APPR.			MATERIAL: INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB446, ASTM-B446	MFG APPR.			REV D	FINISH	Q.A. COMMENTS:	CAD FILE: SNG1003.11D			NEXT ASSY	USED ON			
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	<div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003</div> <div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR</div> <div>INJECTOR WAND HOUSING NOZZEL END SIZE DWG. NO. SNG1003.11 SCALE: 2:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div>																																
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	02/08/08																																	
TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ±, DECIMAL ±, ANGULAR MACH ±, TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±, THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±	CHECKED																																			
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	ENG APPR.																																			
MATERIAL: INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB446, ASTM-B446	MFG APPR.			REV D																																
FINISH	Q.A. COMMENTS:	CAD FILE: SNG1003.11D																																		
NEXT ASSY	USED ON																																			

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/08/08	DW

1	SNG1003.12A	INCONEL 625	TUBE, 1/4" x .049" WALL, INJECTOR WAND	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DATE		NAME		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003			
	DRAWN	02/08/08	D. WAIBEL		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG			
	CHECKED				TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR			
	ENG APPR.				TUBE, 1/4" x .049"WALL, INJECTOR WAND			
	MFG APPR.				SIZE B DWG. NO.GB; %\$ \$' "%& A			
	Q.A.

	COMMENTS:				SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1			
	CAD FILE:		SNG1003.12A					

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/08/08	DW

1	SNG1003.13A	INCONEL 625	NOZZEL, STRAIGHT THRU, INJECTOR	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30'		DATE	NAME	<div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div> <div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div> <div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG</div> <div>TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR</div> <div>INJECTOR NOZZEL, STRAIGHT</div>	
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"		DRAWN	02/08/08		
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED		CHECKED			
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994		ENG APPR.			
MATERIAL: SEE BOM		MFG APPR.		<div>SIZE B</div> <div>DWG. NO. GB; %\$ \$' "%</div> <div>FEV A</div>	
FINISH:		Q.A.			
SIMILAR TO:		CAD FILE: SNG1003.13A		SCALE: 4:1	
				WEIGHT:	
				SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/08/08	DW

1	SNG1003.14A	INCONEL 625	NOZZEL, 15Deg. INJECTOR		1			
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION			QTY.		
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>			DATE	NAME	<div><div> </div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div>			
		DRAWN	02/08/08	D. WAIBEL				
		CHECKED						
		ENG APPR.						
		MFG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG			
		Q.A.			TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR			
		<div><div><div>SI</div><div>0MM25</div><div>METRIC</div></div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>		INJECTOR NOZZEL, 15Deg.				
				SIZE B	DWG. NO.GB; %\$ \$' %		FEV A	
				CAD FILE: SNG1003.14A		SCALE: 4:1	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:
1

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/12/08	DW

1	SNG1003.18B	INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB-446, ASTM-B446	ELLIPTICAL, WELD SEAL WASHER	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$ ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$ TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$ THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$ FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$ SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>			DRAWN 02/12/08 D. WAIBEL	NAME
			CHECKED	
			ENG APPR.	
			MFG APPR.	
			Q.A.	
			<div>SI METRIC COMMENTS: CAD FILE: SNG1003.18B</div>	<div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div>
			SIZE B	DWG. NO. GB; %\$ \$' "% FEV B
			SCALE: 4:1	WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/03/07	D.W.

1	SNG1003.20B	316H STAINLESS	REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: 316H STAINLESS FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN	DATE	NAME	<div> ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1003.20 REV B SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2</div>
	CHECKED	D. WAIBEL	07/03/07	
	ENG APPR.			
	MFG APPR.			
	Q.A.			
	<div>SI METRIC COMMENTS:</div>	<div>0 25 MM THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div>

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE		
400 N. 5th Street			Phoenix, Az. 85003		
PROJECT:			COAL TO SNG		
TITLE:			KINETICS REACTOR		
REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD					
SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV			
B	SNG1003.20	B			
SCALE: 1:2		WEIGHT:	SHEET 2 OF 2		

GENERAL NOTES:

1

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.

1	SNG1003.2A			FLANGE, WELD NECK REACTOR HEAD			1	
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER			DESCRIPTION			QTY.	
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: CRS FINISH: SIMILAR TO:				DATE	NAME
				DRAWN	05/16/07			I. TAYLOR
				CHECKED				
				ENG APPR.				
				MFG APPR.			TITLE: FLANGE, WELD NECK REACTOR HEAD	
				Q.A.
SIZE	DWG. NO.		REV	
COMMENTS:				B	SNG1003.2		A	
				SCALE: 1:2		WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1	

1 MATERIAL: 316 ST STL, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%

1	SNG1003.31B	1	INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB-444, ASTM-B444			PIPE 1"-SCH.80 .179 WALL INCONEL625 SMLS ASME B36.19					1	
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL					DESCRIPTION					QTY.
<div><div><div><div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><</div></div></div></div>												

- GENERAL NOTES:
- 1

MAKE FROM 1"-WNF FGD., ST STL, 1500# FLANGE, MACHINE TO DIMENSIONS AS SHOWN.
- 2

SURFACE FINISH ON GROOVE FACE AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/08/08	DW	
ALL	B	MATERIAL; INCONEL 625 WAS 316	05/07/08	DW

1	SNG1003.32B	INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB-564 ASTM-B564	1"-WNF, MODIFIED, FGD INCONEL625 1500# RF BORE FOR SCH.80 125 TO 250 AARH FACE FINISH ASME B16.5	1																																																							
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.																																																							
<table><tr><td rowspan="12"></td><td rowspan="12"></td><td rowspan="12"></td><td>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:</td><td>NAME</td><td>DATE</td><td rowspan="12"> ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR FLANGE, MODIFIED, INJECTOR WAND SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1003.32 REV B</td></tr><tr><td>DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES</td><td>DRAWN</td><td>D. WAIBEL</td><td>02/08/08</td></tr><tr><td>TOLERANCES:</td><td>CHECKED</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>FRACTIONAL</td><td>ENG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>ANGULAR: MACH ±</td><td>MFG APPR.</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>BEND ±</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>TWO PLACE DECIMAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>THREE PLACE DECIMAL</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:</td><td colspan="2">Q.A. COMMENTS:</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>MATERIAL</td><td colspan="2"></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>SEE BOM</td><td colspan="2"></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>FINISH</td><td colspan="2"></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>NEXT ASSY</td><td>USED ON</td><td colspan="2"></td></tr></table>								UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:	NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR FLANGE, MODIFIED, INJECTOR WAND SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1003.32 REV B	DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	02/08/08	TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.			ANGULAR: MACH ±	MFG APPR.			BEND ±				TWO PLACE DECIMAL				THREE PLACE DECIMAL				INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	Q.A. COMMENTS:			MATERIAL				SEE BOM				FINISH				NEXT ASSY	USED ON		
			UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:	NAME				DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR FLANGE, MODIFIED, INJECTOR WAND SIZE DWG. NO. D SNG1003.32 REV B																																																		
			DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN				D. WAIBEL		02/08/08																																																	
			TOLERANCES:	CHECKED																																																							
			FRACTIONAL	ENG APPR.																																																							
			ANGULAR: MACH ±	MFG APPR.																																																							
			BEND ±																																																								
			TWO PLACE DECIMAL																																																								
			THREE PLACE DECIMAL																																																								
			INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	Q.A. COMMENTS:																																																							
			MATERIAL																																																								
			SEE BOM																																																								
			FINISH																																																								
NEXT ASSY	USED ON																																																										

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 MAKE FROM 1"-SLIP-ON, FGD., ST STL, 1500# FLANGE, MACHINE TO DIMENSIONS AS SHOWN.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/08/08	DW	
ALL	B	TURNED FLANGE TO GEOMETRY AS SHOWN	10/17/08	DW

SECTION A-A

1	SNG1003.33B	INCONEL 625, UNS-N-06625, SB-446, ASTM-B446	1"-SLIP-ON, 1500#, INCONEL 625, MODIFIED	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ± ANGULAR MACH ± TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± HOLE PLUGS ORIGINAL	DRAWN: Q. WAIBEL 02/08/08 CHECKED: ENG APPR: MFG APPR:	DATE: 02/08/08
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL: SB-446, ASTM-B446 FINISH: FRESH	Q.A. COMMENTS:	
		NEXT ASSY: USED ON:	CAD FILE: SNG1003.33B	
		APPLICATION: 2	SCALE: 1:1	WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1
		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG KINETICS REACTOR	FLANGE, TOP INJECTION HEAD	
		SIZE: D	DWG. NO.: SNG1003.33	REV: B

SNG1003.33
B
D

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 REMOVE AND DEBURR ALL SHARP EDGES.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/11/07	DW	
ALL	B	DIM; 14.63 WAS 12.65, B.C. 12.570 WAS 10.900	07/23/07	DW

SECTION A-A

DETAIL B
SCALE 1 : 2

1	SNG1003.3B	FLANGE, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: $\pm 0^\circ 30'$ ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$ TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$ THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$ FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$ SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: 316H ST.STL. FORGING FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div> <div>DRAWN: 06/11/07 CHECKED: ENG APPR.: MFG APPR.: Q.A.: SI METRIC THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div> <div>DATE: 06/11/07 NAME: D. WAIBEL</div>			
<div>APS ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div> <div>PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR FLANGE, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD</div> <div>SIZE: B DWG. NO.: SNG1003.3 SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div> <div>REV: B</div>			

1	USE 2" DIAMETER, STEEL BALL, (TOOLING BALL, WITH NO SHOULDER) PLACE IN CENTER HOLE AS SHOWN, TO LOCATE AND INSPECT FEATURE TO DIMENSION SHOWN.
2	MATERIAL: 316 ST STL, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%

1

SEE NOTE

				UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME		DATE		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
				DRAWN		D. WAIBEL 02/06/08					
				DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		CHECKED		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR			
				TOLERANCES:		ENG APPR.					
				REACTIONAL: ±		NFG APPR.					
				ANGULAR: MATCH ±		G.A. COMMENTS:					
				TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ±							
				THREE PLACE DECIMAL:							
				INTERPRET GEOMETRIC							
				TOLERANCING PER:							
				MATERIAL:		SA-182, GRADE 316H ST. STL.					
				FINISH							
				NEXT ASSY		USED ON:					
						CAD FILE:					
						SNG1003.41C					
						SIZE		DWG. NO.		REV	
						D		SNG1003.41		C	

APPLICATION 2 SNG1005.410 SCALE: 1.2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/12/08	DW

1	SNG1003.44A	2	316 ST STL	WASHER, INSULATION RETAINMENT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL		DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'

ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

0MM25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

CAD FILE:

DATE

02/12/08

NAME

D. WAIBEL

SNG1003.44A

APS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
WASHER, INSULATION RETAINMENT

SIZE B

DWG. NO. GB; %\$\$(

FEV A

SCALE: 4:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

Tuesday, February 12, 2008 9:16:42 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

1

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/22/08	DW	

WASHER REMOVED
FOR CLARITY

SEE SHEET 2 FOR FINAL WRAPPING
AND SEALING PROCEDURE

4	87665K2	CERAMIC FIBER	CERAMIC FIBER, .030"	1
3	316SS-FOIL1	316 S.S.	FOIL, STAINLESS, INSULATING, .002" - .004"THK.	1
2	SNG1003.44A	316 S.S.	WASHER, INSULATION RETAIMENT	2
1	W-SNG1003.10	316 ST. STL.	WELDMENT, REACTOR HEAD, INJECTOR WAND	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		DRAWN	D. WAIBEL 01/22/08	400 N. 5th Street	
TOLERANCES:		CHECKED		Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR.		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG	
ANGULAR ±		MFG APPR.		TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR	
BEND ±		Q.A. COMMENTS:		INJECTOR WAND INSULATION	
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		SIZE DWG. NO.	
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±		MATERIAL		D SNG1003.46	
AS NOTED		FINISH		REV A	
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		CAD FILE:	
APPLICATION 2				W-SNG1003.10A	
				SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2

SEAM SEALING STEP 1

SEAM SEALING STEP 2

SEAM SEALING STEP 3

FULLY WRAPPED INJECTOR WAND

3 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADIUS
2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

GENERAL NOTES:

1 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY
OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

2

ALL	D	MATERIAL CHANGE TO A105 FROM CRS ADDED ITEM 2, HELICAL INSERTS	10/18/07	1	DW
ALL	E	DETLETED DET. 'C' CHAIR RIB; ADDED MATERIAL TO BOM; ADDED NOTE 4; TO OD, GASKET GROOVE	01/05/08		DW
ZONE	REV.		DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/11/07	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED ALIGNMENT NOTCH	07/02/07	DW	
ALL	C	ADDED GASKET GROOVE, OD CAHNGED 14.63 WAS 12.65	07/13/07	DW	

D

C

B

A

E

D

E

SCRIB LINE IN
LOCATION AS
SHOWN FOR
ASSEMBLY
ALIGNMENT

2

12X (1-1/32") ϕ 1.031 ∇ 1.25
EQ. SPACED ON ϕ 12.570 B.C.
FOR 1-12 HELICAL INSERTS

ϕ .020 A B

.005

A

ϕ 14.63

ϕ 10.71 $^{+.01}_{-.00}$

E

ϕ 9.81 $^{+.00}_{-.01}$
INSIDE
GROOVE

ϕ 9.56 $^{+.01}_{-.00}$ THRU

SECTION A-A

.020 B

DETAIL D
SCALE 1 : 2

DETAIL B
SCALE 1 : 2

2	91732A029	18-8 STAINLESS STEEL	HELICAL INSERT, 1-12 x 1"LG (McMASTER-CARR)	12
1	SNG1003.4E	CARBON STEEL SA105 GRADE 2, SA-266	FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^\circ 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM E
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/11/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0	MM
METRIC		
COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL HEAD

SIZE
B

DWG. NO.
SNG1003.4

REV
E

SCALE: 1:4

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

CAD FILE:

SNG1003.4E

Saturday, January 05, 2008 8:28:50 AM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- USE 2" DIAMETER, STEEL BALL, (TOOLING BALL, WITH NO SHOULDER) PLACE IN CENTER HOLE AS SHOWN, TO LOCATE AND INSPECT FEATURE TO DIMENSION SHOWN.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/25/07	DW	
ALL	B	DESIGN CHANGE	07/21/07	DW	
ALL	C	DIM. 1.876 WAS 2.001	08/15/07	DW	
ALL	D	DESIGN CHANGE	11/14/07	DW	
ALL	E	GEOMETRY CHANGE, 60Deg. FROM 45Deg. TO INJECTOR DESIGN CHANGE	02/07/08	DW	
ALL	F	MATERIAL INCONEL625 WAS 316	05/07/08	DW	
ALL	G	ANGLE; 45 ° WAS 30 °	08/14/08	DW	
ALL	H	ANGLE; 30 ° WAS 45 °	08/30/08	DW	
ALL	J	3/4-16UNF WAS 3/8-NPT. GEOMETRY CHANGED BACK TO EARLIER REVISION 'F'	10/14/08	DW

1	SNG1003.5K	INCONEL 625, UNS-N06625, SB-446 ASTM-B446	HUB, REACTOR INNER HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		DRAWN	D. WAIBEL 06/25/07	PROJECT:	COAL TO SNG
TOLERANCES:		CHECKED		TITLE:	KINETICS REACTOR
FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR.		Q.A.	
ANGULAR: MACH ±		MFG APPR.		COMMENTS:	
BEND ±					
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±					
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±					
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:					
MATERIAL:					
SEE BOM					
FINISH					
NEXT ASSY					
USED ON					
APPLICATION					

CAD FILE:	SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV
SNG1003.5K	D	SNG1003.5	K
SCALE: 1:1	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1	

F

1 A

- GENERAL NOTES:
- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
 - 2 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/12/07	DW

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: 316H ST. STL. FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DATE		NAME	
	DRAWN	06/12/07	D. WAIBEL	
	CHECKED			
	ENG APPR.			
	MFG APPR.			
	Q.A.			
	<div><div>SI</div><div>METRIC</div><div>COMMENTS:</div></div>		<div><div>0</div><div>MM</div><div>25</div><div> </div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>	
<div><div><div> </div><div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div></div><div><div>PROJECT:</div><div>COAL TO SNG</div></div><div><div>TITLE:</div><div><u>KINETICS REACTOR</u></div></div><div><div>PIPE, REACTOR HEAD SPACER</div></div><div><div><div>SIZE</div><div>B</div></div><div><div>DWG. NO.</div><div>SNG1003.7</div></div><div><div>REV</div><div>A</div></div></div><div><div>SCALE: 1:1</div><div>WEIGHT:</div><div>SHEET 1 OF 1</div></div></div>				

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.	
ALL	B	DIM. CHANGE; 2.50 WAS 2.00	06/07/07	D.W.

1	SNG1003.8B	PIPE, REACTOR HEAD INJECTOR RING	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
<div>UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: 316H ST. STL. FINISH: SIMILAR TO:</div>		DRAWN 06/07/07 D. WAIBEL	<div><div>APS</div><div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div> PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR PIPE, REACTOR HEAD INJECTOR RING SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1003.8 REV B SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1</div>
		CHECKED	
		ENG APPR.	
		MFG APPR.	
		Q.A.	
		<div>SI METRIC COMMENTS:</div>	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.	
ALL	B	CHANGED GASKET FROM FLAT TO STYLE 'R'	08/03/07	D.W.

1	SNG1003.9C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (0.015") TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN I. TAYLOR CHECKED ENG APPR. MFG APPR. Q.A. SI METRIC COMMENTS:	DATE 05/16/07 NAME THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
			ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR GASKET, REACTOR HEAD SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1003.9 REV C SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1	

BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

ALIGN SCRIB ON TUBE WITH LINE ON NOZZEL PRIOR TO WELDING.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/12/07	D.W.

2	SNG1003.14A	INCONEL 625	NOZZEL, 15Deg. INJECTOR	1
1	SNG1003.12A	INCONEL 625	TUBE, 1/4" x .049" WALL, INJECTOR WAND	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'

ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
INCONEL 625
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE

DATE
06/12/07

NAME
D. WAIBEL

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

DATE
06/12/07

NAME
D. WAIBEL

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, 15Deg. ANGLE,
INJECTOR WAND

SIZE B

DWG. NO.K 1GB; %\$ \$' %\$

FEV C

SCALE: 1:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

8 INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. 9

BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

ALIGN SCRIB ON TUBE WITH LINE ON NOZZEL PRIOR TO WELDING.

2

3

—SEE NOTE 4

2	SNG1003.13A	INCONEL 625	NOZZEL, STRAIGHT THRU, INJECTOR	1
1	SNG1003.12A	INCONEL 625	TUBE, 1/4" x .049" WALL, INJECTOR WAND	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015" TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010" THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005" FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005" SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DATE	NAME	<div> <div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div>				
	DRAWN	01/30/08				D. WAIBEL	
	CHECKED			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR WELDMENT, STRAIGHT NOZZEL INJECTOR			
	ENG APPR.						
	MFG APPR.			<div><div>SIZE</div><div>B</div></div> <div>DWG. NO.K 1GB; %\$ \$' %0 FEB</div> <div>A</div>			
	Q.A.						
	<div><div>SI</div><div><div>0</div><div>MM</div><div>25</div></div><div> </div><div>THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION</div></div>						
	METRIC						
	COMMENTS:						
	CAD FILE			W-SNG1003.15A			
SCALE: 1:1			WEIGHT:		SHEET 1 OF 1		

Sunday, February 03, 2008 8:04:29 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1

CONCENTRICITY TO BE MAINTAINED, AS SHOWN BETWEEN ITEMS; 1, 3, AND 5 AFTER WELDING.
- 2

CONCENTRICITY / COAXIALITY TO BE MAINTAINED, AS SHOWN BETWEEN ITEMS; 3, 4, AND 6 AFTER WELDING.
- 3

WELDS TO MEET (PV) PRESSURE VESSELS CODE, PER ANSI B16.9

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/12/07	D.W.

8	8498K35	ALUMINA, CERAMIC COMPOUND	1
7	SNG1003.6A-1	TUBE, 3/8" INJECTOR	4
6	SNG1003.7B	PIPE, REACTOR HEAD SPACER	1
5	SNG1003.8B	PIPE, REACTOR HEAD INJECTOR RING	1
4	SNG1003.5A	HUB, REACTOR INNER HEAD	1
3	SNG1003.2A	FLANGE, WELD NECK REACTOR HEAD	1
2	W-SNG1003.10A	WELDMENT, REACTOR HEAD, INJECTOR WAND	4
1	SNG1003.3A	FLANGE, REACTOR INJECTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
AS NOTED
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

DATE

06/12/07

NAME

D. WAIBEL

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, REACTOR HEAD

SIZE B

DWG. NO. SNG1003.1

REV A

SCALE: 1:4

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 2

CAD FILE SNG1003.1A Wednesday, August 15, 2007

(18.22)

17.97

.06

2.015

.25

SECTION A-A
SCALE 1 : 1

DETAIL B
SCALE 2 : 1

SEE NOTE

4 PLACES
SEE NOTE

APS ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ 85003		
PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR ASSEMBLY, REACTOR		
SIZE: D	DWG. NO.: W-SNG1003.21	REV: G
SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT:		SHEET 2 OF 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- CONCENTRICITY / COAXIALITY TO BE MAINTAINED, AS SHOWN BETWEEN ITEMS;
1 AND 2 AFTER WELDING.
- 2
- WELDS TO MEET (PV) PRESSURE VESSELS CODE, PER ANSI B16.9
ALL WELDS TO BE FREE OF ANY POROCITIES AND SLAG, DO NOT
GRIND ANY WELDS.
- 3
- REFERENCE DRAWING W-SNG1003.21, FOR DIMENSIONS AND WELDING.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	11/20/07	DW

2	W-SNG1001.15A	WELDMENT, COAL FEED PIPE	1
1	W-SNG1003.21B	WELMENT, REACTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		DRAWN	D. WAIBEL 11/20/07	400 N. 5th Street	
TOLERANCES:		CHECKED		Phoenix, AZ 85003	
FRACTIONAL	±	ENG APPR.		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG	
ANGULAR/MACH	±	MFG APPR.		TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR	
BEND	±			WELDMENT, INJECTOR HEAD	
TWO PLACE DECIMAL	±			PRESSURE BOUNDARY	
THREE PLACE DECIMAL	±			Q.A. COMMENTS:	
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		AS NOTED		SIZE DWG. NO. REV	
MATERIAL		FINISH		D W-SNG1003.30 A	
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		CAD FILE: W-SNG1003.30A	
APPLICATION		2		SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 FITTINGS, AND HARDWARE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH, ASME B16.20. THREADOLETS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN	06/25/07	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED HARDWARE, CHANGED MATERIAL SPEC. ON BELLOWS	12/20/07	DW	
ALL	C	DELETED; ASME SEC. II DIV. D IN MATERIAL CALL OUT	12/26/07	DW	
ALL	D	CHANGE TO ITEM 2; ADAPTER FLG.	12/30/07	DW	
ALL	E	DIM. CHANGE TO ADAPTER FLG SEE DWG SNG1004.3 UPDATED NOTE ON SHEET 2	01/06/08	DW	

ASS'Y SEC

ASS'Y SEC

SEE SHEET 2

12.60
SHOWN IN
TENSION
STATE

ALIGNMENT NOTCH

8	BEST SOURCE	INCONEL 625 B6 GRADE 660 OR 651	3/8-24 x 2.75LG THD STUD	8
7	BEST SOURCE	INCONEL 625 B6 GRADE 660 OR 651	3/8" LOCK WASHER	8
6	BEST SOURCE	INCONEL 625 B6 GRADE 660 OR 651	3/8-24 UNF, HEX NUT	8
5	BEST SOURCE	INCONEL 625 B6 GRADE 660 OR 651	3/8-24 UNF-2A x 1.50LG. INCONEL 625 B6 GRADE 660 OR 651	8
4	SNG1004.11	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, TOP BELLOWS FLANGE	1
3	SNG1004.10	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE	1
2	SNG1004.3	SA 182 GR.316H S.S.	FLANGE, ADAPTER, REACTOR TO CHAR POT	1
1	W-SNG1004.9	SB409-ALLOY 800H, ASME SB409 UNS N08810	WELDMENT, REATOR BELLOWS	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	TEN SION /QTY

E

C

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:

DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL ±
ANGULAR ±
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
SEE BOM

FINISH

DRAWN:

CHECKED:

ENG APPR:

MFG APPR:

Q.A.

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE:

A-SNG1004.1E

NAME

D. WAIBEL

DATE

06/25/07

PROJECT:

COAL TO SNG

TITLE:

KINETICS REACTOR

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85003

ASSEMBLY, REACTOR BELLOWS

SIZE DWG. NO. **D A-SNG1004.1** REV **E**

SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815 WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW	

8X ϕ .391 THRU
EQ. SPACED ON ϕ 4.00 B.C.

ϕ 4.63

ϕ 3.38

1

.06

1	SNG1004.10B	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH)
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
THERMICULITE 815
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	12/24/07
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE

SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1004.10	REV B
SCALE: 1:1	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815 WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW

1	SNG1004.10C	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE			1		
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION			QTY.		
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30'		DATE	NAME	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003		
		ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH) (0.015") TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	12/24/07			
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994	CHECKED					
		MATERIAL: THERMICULITE 815	ENG APPR.			PROJECT: COAL TO SNG		
		FINISH:	MFG APPR.			TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR		
		SIMILAR TO:	Q.A.			GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE
			DWG. NO. SNG1004.10			REV C	
				SCALE: 1:1			WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815, WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW

1	SNG1004.11B	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, TOP BELLOWS FLANGE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH)
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:
ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
THERMICULITE 815
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

SI

0 25
MM
THIRD ANGLE
PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

DRAWN
D. WAIBEL
12/24/07

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

DATE
12/24/07

NAME

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: **COAL TO SNG**

TITLE: **KINETICS REACTOR**
GASKET, TOP BELLOWS FLANGE

SIZE **B** DWG. NO. GB; %\$\$("%% FEV **B**

SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

2
8
McMASTER-CARR P/N:94768A110, 18-8 STAINLESS STEEL
OR EQUIVALENT.
GENERAL NOTES:

1

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/09/08	DW	

1	SNG1004.12A	18-8 STAINLESS	WASHER, MODIFIED, .88" ID	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

DATE
01/09/08

NAME
D. WAIBEL

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

025

MM

THIRD ANGLE
PROJECTION

APS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
WASHER, MODIFIED, .88" ID

SIZE
B

DWG. NO.
SNG1004.12

REV
A

SCALE: 2:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

CAD FILE: SNG1004.12A Wednesday, January 09, 2008 3:49:37 PM

3 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY
OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.
GENERAL NOTES:

1

5

4

3

ALL

D

DIM. 9.562 WAS 9.750, ADDED ITEM 2
HELICAL INSERT. CHANGED MATERIAL
TO A105 FROM CRS

10/18/07¹ DW

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	F	DELETED DET. C. CHANGED TO SCRIB LINE; ADDED NOTE 3; ADDED MATERIAL TO BOM INITIAL RELEASE	01/05/08	DW	
ALL	A		06/11/07	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED LOCATIONAL NOTCH	07/02/07	DW	
ALL	C	CHANGED OD; 13.15 WAS 12.65	07/13/07	DW

2	91732A240	18-8 STAINLESS STEEL	HELICAL INSERT, 7/8 x 1.3" LG (McMASTER-CARR)	12
1	SNG1004.2E	CARBON STEEL, SA105 GRADE 2, SA-266	FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL, FOOT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'

ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.010"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.005"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.0005"
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994

MATERIAL:
SEE BOM

FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/11/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER
SHELL, FOOT

SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1004.2 REV E

SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 4
- SURFACE FINISH ON GROOVE FACE TO BE OF A5-1994. GASKET SEALING SURFACE.
- 2
- BREAK AND DEBURR ALL SHARP EDGES.

DRILL FOR 1"-14 x 1.5" LG., HELICAL INSERT, (McMASTER-CARR) P/N: 91732A027 OR EQUIVALENT. MUST MEET MILL SPEC. MS-124-704 S.S. FABRICATOR TO SUPPLY THIS COMPONENT WITH HELICAL INSERTS INSTALLED.

△

1	SNG1004.3F	SA 182 GR.316H S.S.	FLANGE, ADAPTER, REACTOR TO CHAR POT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SA 182 GR.316H S.S.
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI

0

MM

25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

DATE

06/25/07

NAME

D. WAIBEL

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT:

COAL TO SNG

TITLE:

KINETICS REACTOR
FLANGE, ADAPTER, REACTOR TO CHAR POT

SIZE

B

DWG. NO.

SNG1004.3

REV

F

SCALE: 1:4

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 2

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	
		400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
PROJECT:		COAL TO SNG	
TITLE:		KINETICS REACTOR	
FLANGE, ADAPTER, REACTOR TO CHAR POTS			
SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV	
B	SNG1004.3	F	
SCALE: 1:4		WEIGHT:	SHEET 2 OF 2

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

DESIGN BASIS: ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, 2004
STRESS BASIS: ASME SECTION 2 DIVISION D, 2005

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	06/25/07	DW	

8X 3/8-24 UNF -2B ∇ .56
EQ. SPACED ON ϕ 4.00 B.C.
 ϕ .020 A B

1
(BOTTOM FLANGE)

8X ϕ .391 THRU
EQ. SPACED ON ϕ 4.00 B.C.
 ϕ .020 A B

2
(TOP FLANGE)

SECTION A-A

SECTION B-B

2	SNG1004.5-2	SB409-AOLLY 800H, ASME SB409, UNS N08810	FLANGE, TOP BELLWS, THRU HOLE	1
1	SNG1004.5-1	SB409-ALLOY 800H, ASME SB409, UNS N08810	FLANGE, BOTTOM BELLWS, THREADED	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994

MATERIAL:
SEE BOM

FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	06/25/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

SI

METRIC

COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

FLANGE, REACTOR BELLWS

SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1004.5 REV D

SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.	
ALL	B	CHANGED GASKET FROM FLAT TO STYLE 'R'	08/03/07	D.W.

1	SNG1004.7C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CTRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR FOOT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$ ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH) TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN I. TAYLOR CHECKED ENG APPR. MFG APPR. Q.A. SI METRIC COMMENTS:	DATE 05/16/07 NAME 0 MM 25 THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR GASKET, REACTOR FOOT		
		SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1004.7	REV C
		SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

SOURCE CONTROL	
SUPPLIER / VENDOR	VENDOR PART NO.
AMERICAN BOA INC. PO BOX 1301 CUMMING, GA. 30028	2"FSP-0050-9-M12

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	ADDED NOTE	DESIGN/STRESS	DATE	BY
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	INITIAL RELEASE	07/01/07	DW

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 BELLOWS; BELLOWS MATERIAL, SB409-ALLOY 800H
2 COLLAR MATERIAL, SB409-ALLOY 800H
3 DESIGN PRESSURE (Psig) -50
DESIGN TEMP. (F) 1200
AXIAL COMPRESSION (IN) 3.000
AXIAL EXTENSION (IN) 0.000
AXIAL SPRING RATE 162LBS/IN
OVERALL (10.25") IS AT ROOM TEMPERATURE
WITH NO COMPRESSION OR TENSIONS.

INSTALL BELLOWS TO PRODUCE APPROX. 1.4" OF TENSION
(REQUIRES 240LBS DRAW FORCE)

DESIGN BASIS: ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, 2004
STRESS BASIS: ASME SECTION 2, DIVISION D, 2005

3	SNG1004.5-2	SB409-ALLOY 800H, ASME SB409, UNS N08810	FLANGE, TOP BELLOWS, THRU HOLE	1
2	SNG1004.5-1	SB409-ALLOY 800H, ASME SB409, UNS N08810	FLANGE, BOTTOM BELLOWS, THREADED	1
1	SNG1004.6D	SB409-ALLOY 800H, ASME SB409, UNS N08810, ASME SEC. II, DIV., D	BELLOWS, REACTOR FOOT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$

ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED

INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994

MATERIAL:
SEE BOM

FINISH:

SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	07/01/07	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		

SI METRIC
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, REATOR BELLOWS

SIZE B DWG. NO. W-SNG1004.9 REV F

SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- ALL MATING SURFACES SHOULD BE CLEAN AND FREE OF ANY DEBURR BEFORE ASSEMBLY. ALIGN ALL COMPONENTS TO ORIENTATION AS SHOWN, OR DESCRIBED.
- 2
- TORQUE BOLTS; FOR HYDROTEST TORQUE TO 107 FT. / LBS. (20,000 PSI BOLT STRESS) FOLLOW GASKET MANUFACTURERS SEQUENCE WHEN TIGHTENING BOLTS.
- 3
- GASKET, ITEM 5, TO BE USED BETWEEN VALVE FLANGES ONLY AS SHOWN.
- 4
- GASKET, ITEM 6, TO BE USED AT BLIND FLANGE ON LOWER CHAR POT ONLY.
- 5
- MATERIAL: 316, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%
- 6
- SEE SHEET 2 FOR DETAILS AND BILL OF MATERIAL.
- 7
- REFERENCE OR WORK WITH RESPECTIVE DRAWINGS FOR WELDING PROCEDURES, AND DETAILS OF FABRICATED COMPONENTS.

REFERENCE DRAWINGS;

- W-SNG1005.2 WELDMENT, CHAR POT UPPER
- W-SNG1005.10 WELDMENT, CHAR POT LOWER
- W-SNG1005.18 WELDMENT, CHAR POT PRESSURE BOUNDARY

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	LENGTHENED UPPER AND LOWER CHAR POTS; DELETED LOWER VALVE	08/28/07	D.W.	
ALL	C	ADDED COUPLINGS TO WELDMENTS	10/19/07	D.W.	
ALL	D	ADDED NOTES 3, 4, AND 5, ALSO ADDED SHEET 2	12/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	E	ITEM 1; W-SNG1005.18D WAS W-SNG1005.18C	12/30/07	D.W.	
ALL	F	ADDED LITFING LUGS TO ASSEMBLIES	03/09/08	D.W.	

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL
ANGULAR: MATCH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL
THREE PLACE DECIMAL
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH

DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.

NAME
D. WAIBEL

DATE
08/09/07

APPS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

PROJECT:
TITLE:

COAL TO SNG
KINETICS REACTOR
ASSEMBLY, CHAR POT

SIZE
D

DWG. NO.
A-SNG1005.1

REV
F

CAD FILE:
A-SNG1005.1F

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0" WEIGHT: 577 LBS SHEET 1 OF 2
Monday, March 10, 2008 8:49:01 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- 2

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	03/09/08	DW

1	SNG1005.22A	2	316 ST STL	PLATE, LIFTING LUG, CHAR POT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.	

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	03/09/08	D. WAIBEL
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:		
CAD FILE:	SNG1005.22A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
PLATE, LIFTING LUG CHAR POT

SIZE B

DWG. NO. GB ; %\$\$) "&&

FEV A

SCALE: 1:1WEIGHT:SHEET 1 OF 1

Technical drawing of a Kinetics Reactor weldment, showing three views: View A-A (Scale 1:2), View C-C (Scale 1:2), and Detail B (Scale 1:2). The drawing includes dimensions, callouts, and a pressure boundary line.

VIEW A-A SCALE 1 : 2

VIEW C-C SCALE 1 : 2

DETAIL B SCALE 1 : 2

PRESSURE BOUNDARY (SEE SHEET 1)

DETAIL B SCALE 1 : 2

30" LONG 1/2" TUBING

SCALE: 1:4 WEIGHT: SHEET 2 OF 2

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

WELDMENT, UPPER CHAR POT, PRESSURE BOUNDARY

SIZE DWG. NO. REV

D W-SNG1005.18 E

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street

Phoenix, Az. 85003

6 SEE SHEET 2 FOR FLANGE FACE MACHINIG, PRIOR TO WELDING.

		INTERPRET: GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: MATERIAL: 316 S.S.		Q.A. COMMENTS:		WELDMENT, CHAR POT UPPER	
		TEXT ASSY USED ON FINISH		CAD FILE: W-SNG1005.2G		DWG. NO. D W-SNG1005.2 REV G SCALE: 3/8" WEIGHT: 213.5lbs SHEET 1 OF 2	
		APPLICATION

SECTION A - A
NONE

FLANGE, (ITEM 1) MACHINING PRIOR TO WELDING

PRIOR TO WELDING, CHECK AND
MACHINE SURFACE OF HUB ON
FLANGE TO DIMENSIONAL
TOLERANCES AS SHOWN

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- SEE SHEET 2 FOR ORTHO VIEWS, SECTIONS, AND DETAILS.
- 2
- MATERIAL: 316 ST STL, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%.
- 3
- TC PAD, ITEM 5, IS 1" x 1" x 1/8" THICK, REFERENCE DRAWING SNG1006.12

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN LAYOUT	07/16/08	DW	
ALL	B	ADDED SECTION H-H LOWER GUIDE PLATE CHANGE	07/28/08	DW	

5	SNG1006.12A	INCONEL 625	PLATE, TC PAD	12
4	A-SNG1006.11A	CERAMIC	ASSEMBLY, HEATER W/CERAMIC INSULATION BLANKET, 30"LG. CERAMIC	1
3	A-SNG1006.7A	CERAMIC	ASSEMBLY, HEATER W/CERAMIC INSULATION BLANKET, 36"LG, CERAMIC	3
2	SNG1006.5A	CERAMIC	TOP INSULATION	1
1	W-SNG1006.14	SEE WELDMENT	WELDMENT, PRE-HEATER TUBING, W/SUPPORTS	1
1	SNG1006.2C	INCONEL 625	LOWER, 3"-DIA., ROUND x 90"LG. INCONEL 625	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 02/13/08		NAME: DATE:		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, AZ. 85003	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		CHECKED:		ENG APPR:		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG	
TOLERANCES:		ENG APPR:		MFG APPR:		TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR	
FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR:		MFG APPR:		ASSEMBLY, PRE-HEATER TC LOCATIONS	
ANGULAR ±		ENG APPR:		MFG APPR:		SIZE DWG. NO. REV	
DECIMAL ±		ENG APPR:		MFG APPR:		D A-SNG1006.13 B	
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±		ENG APPR:		MFG APPR:		SCALE: 1:8 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2	
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		Q.A. COMMENTS:		CAD FILE:		A-SNG1006.1D	
MATERIAL:		FINISH:		NEXT ASSY:		USED ON:

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- SEE SHEET 2 FOR ORTHO VIEWS, SECTIONS, AND DETAILS.
- 2
- MATERIAL: 316 ST STL, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%.

12	SNG1006.15	INCONEL 625	ROUND BAR, PRE-HEATER TOP	1
11	SNG1006.12A	INCONEL 625	PLATE, TC PAD	12
10	A-SNG1006.11A	CERAMIC	ASSEMBLY, HEATER W/CERAMIC INSULATION BLANKET, 30"LG. CERAMIC	1
9	SNG1006.10	CERAMIC	SHEET, 1/4" THICK CERAMIC FIBER	A/R
8	SNG1006.9	CERAMIC	BLANKET, 1/2" THICK CERAMIC FIBER WRAP	A/R
7	W-SNG1006.8B	2316 ST STL	WELDMENT, PRE-HEATER TOP MOUNTING	1
6	A-SNG1006.7A	CERAMIC	ASSEMBLY, HEATER W/CERAMIC INSULATION BLANKET, 36"LG, CERAMIC	3
5	SNG1006.6B	CERAMIC	TOP, MOUNT INSULATION, 1" THICK	4
4	SNG1006.5A	CERAMIC	TOP INSULATION	1
3	SNG1006.4A	2316 ST STL	PLATE, SPIDER PRE-HEAT	5
2	SNG1006.3C	INCONEL 625	UPPER, 3" Dia., x 90"LG. INCONEL 625	1
1	SNG1006.2E	INCONEL 625	LOWER, 3"-DIA., ROUND x 90"LG. INCONEL 625	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	/QTY.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL DESIGN LAYOUT	02/13/08	DW	.
ALL	B	ITEM 7. TOP MOUTN CHANGED TO SLOTS INSTEAD OF HOLES	03/16/08	DW	.
ALL	C	OVERALL LENGTH CHANGE FROM 12' TO 15', ADDED HEATER	07/15/08	DW	.
ALL	D	REVISED LOWER GUIDE PLATES FOR CLEARANCE IN REACTOR FRAME	07/28/08	DW	
ALL	E	REVISED LOWER GUIDE PLATES FOR THERMAL EXPANSION	08/07/08	DW	
ALL	F	ADDED HEAD WITH PORTS ITEM 12	11/19/08	DW	

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		DRAWN: D. WAIBEL 02/13/08		NAME: DATE:	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		CHECKED:		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG	
TOLERANCES:		ENG APPR:		TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR	
FRACTIONAL ± .005		MFG APPR:		Q.A. COMMENTS:	
ANGULAR ± .005				CAD FILE: D A-SNG1006.1	
HOLE POSITION ± .005				SIZE DWG. NO. D A-SNG1006.1	
HOLE SIZE ± .005				REV F	
HOLE LOCATION ± .005				SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 2	
HOLE DIA ± .005				APPLICATION: 2	
HOLE WALL ± .005				A-SNG1006.1F	
HOLE END ± .005					
HOLE START ± .005					
HOLE FINISH ± .005					
HOLE TOLERANCE ± .005					
HOLE MATERIAL:					
HOLE FINISH:					
HOLE USED ON:					
HOLE NEXT ASSY:

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

BREAK ALL EDGES .5 [.20] MAX. ALL INSIDE RADII 2.4 [.09] MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

REVISIONS

ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/16/08	DW

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.010"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.005"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL $\pm 0.0005"$
SURFACE FINISH $63 \sqrt{\text{UNLESS NOTED}}$
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
INCONEL 625
FINISH: NONE
SIMILAR TO:

DATE
07/16/08

NAME
D. WAIBEL

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI

0MM25

METRIC

THIRD ANGLE
PROJECTION

COMMENTS:

CAD FILE
SNG1006.12A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

Phoenix, AZ 85003

400 N. 5th Street

PROJECT:

COAL TO SNG

TITLE:

KINETICS REACTOR

PLATE, TC PAD

SIZE
B

DWG. NO.
GB

FEV
A

SCALE: 2:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

Wednesday, July 16, 2008 10:41:59 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

1. BREAK ALL EDGES, AND DEBURR, REMOVE ALL SHARP CORNERS.
2. NOMINAL ID OF VESTIBULE IS 3 1/2" DIAMETER, VESTIBULE MUST FIT OVER 3" OD TUBE WITH 1/8" - 1/4" CLEARANCE.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/16/08	DW

REFERENCE ASSEMBLY
TYP. ASSEMBLY OF
VESTIBULES

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME		DATE		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL: ANGULAR: MACH TWO PLACE DECIMAL: THREE PLACE DECIMAL		DRAWN D. WABELE		07/16/08		PROJECT: COAL TO SNG KINETICS REACTOR	
		INTERPRET: GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER MATERIAL		CHECKED				TITLE: VESTIBULE, MODIFICATION	
		SEE NOTE ABOVE		TRG APPR:				SIZE DWG. NO. SNG1006.14 REV A	
NEXT ASSY		USED ON		COMMENTS:				SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1	
APPLICATION		PRESH		NONE		CAD FILE: SNG1006.14A

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, ASME SECTION 8, DIVISION 1, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2
- INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 3
- MATERIAL: 316, CARBON CONTENT MUST BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	02/21/08	D.W.

2	SNG1006.10A	3 316 ST STL	GUSSET, PLATE TOP SUPPORT	8
1	SNG1006.9A	3 316 ST STL	BASE, PLATE TOP SUPPORT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
FRACTIONAL
ANGULAR MATCH
TWO PLACE DECIMAL
THREE PLACE DECIMAL
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC
TOLERANCING PER:
MATERIAL
316 ST STL
FINISH

DRAWN
CHECKED
ENG APPR.
MFG APPR.
G.A.
COMMENTS:

NAME
D. WAIBEL
DATE
02/21/08

APPLICATION
2

CAD FILE:
SNG1006.8A

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ. 85003

PROJECT:
COAL TO SNG
WELDMENT, PRE-HEATER
TOP MOUNTING

SIZE
D

DWG. NO.
SNG1006.8

REV
A

SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1
Friday, February 22, 2008 11:58:21 AM

SECTION H-H
SCALE 1 : 8

DETAIL G

NOTE: PLATES AS SHOWN IN THIS SECTION
ARE TYPICAL AT ELEVATION 7'-00"

SECTION T-T
SCALE 1 : 8

SECTION U-U
SCALE 1 : 8

CUT-AWAY ISO X1-X1

VIEW K-K
SCALE: 1:4

SECTION J-J
SCALE 1 : 8

F

E

D

C

B

A

F

E

D

C

B

A

10

DIAGONAL

SCALE: 1:4

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	01/21/08	DW

1	SNG1000.26A	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH) (0.015")
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
SEE BOM
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

0

MM

25

THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION

DRAWN

01/21/08

D. WAIBEL

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

CAD FILE:

SNG1000.26A

APS

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG

TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR

GASKET, CHAR POT TO REACTOR

SIZE B

DWG. NO. SNG1000.26

REV A

SCALE: 1:2

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

Monday, January 21, 2008 1:34:59 PM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.	
ALL	B	CHANGED GASKET FROM FLAT TO STYLE 'R'	08/03/07	D.W.

1	SNG1003.9C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR HEAD	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (0.015") TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN I. TAYLOR CHECKED ENG APPR. MFG APPR. Q.A. SI METRIC COMMENTS:	DATE 05/16/07 NAME THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
			ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR GASKET, REACTOR HEAD SIZE B DWG. NO. SNG1003.9 REV C SCALE: 1:2 WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815 WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW	

8X ϕ .391 THRU
EQ. SPACED ON ϕ 4.00 B.C.

ϕ 4.63

ϕ 3.38

1

.06

1	SNG1004.10B	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH)
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
THERMICULITE 815
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

	DATE	NAME
DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	12/24/07
CHECKED		
ENG APPR.		
MFG APPR.		
Q.A.		
SI	0 MM 25	THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
METRIC		
COMMENTS:

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE

SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1004.10	REV B
SCALE: 1:1		WEIGHT:
SHEET 1 OF 1		

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815 WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW

1	SNG1004.10C	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: ±0° 30' ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (0.015") TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: THERMICULITE 815 FINISH: SIMILAR TO:		DATE	NAME	<div> <div>ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</div><div>400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003</div></div>			
		DRAWN	D. WAIBEL				12/24/07
		CHECKED			PROJECT:	COAL TO SNG	
		ENG APPR.			TITLE:	KINETICS REACTOR	
MFG APPR.			GASKET, BOTTOM BELLOWS FLANGE				
Q.A.			SIZE	DWG. NO.	REV		
<div><div>SI</div><div>METRIC</div><div>COMMENTS:</div></div>		<div> </div>		<div> </div>			
		SCALE: 1:1		WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1		

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2
- GASKET TO BE SOFT CUT, CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES, FLEXITALLIC THERMICULITE 815, WITH .004" 316SS TANGED REINFORCEMENT.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	12/24/07	DW

1	SNG1004.11B	THERMICULITE 815	GASKET, TOP BELLOWS FLANGE	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: ±0° 30'
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (0.015")
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010")
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005")
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.013 (0.0005")
SURFACE FINISH 63 UNLESS NOTED
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994
MATERIAL:
THERMICULITE 815
FINISH:
SIMILAR TO:

DRAWN

CHECKED

ENG APPR.

MFG APPR.

Q.A.

SI METRIC

COMMENTS:

DATE

D. WAIBEL

NAME

12/24/07

APSR

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, Az. 85003

PROJECT:

COAL TO SNG

TITLE:

KINETICS REACTOR

GASKET, TOP BELLOWS FLANGE

SIZE

B

DWG. NO.

GB; %\$\$("%0%.....

FEV

B

SCALE: 1:1

WEIGHT:

SHEET 1 OF 1

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1
- DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.

2

GASKET TO BE STYLE 'R', (CRITICAL SERVICE SERIES) SEE BOM BELOW

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	05/16/07	I.T.	
ALL	B	CHANGED GASKET FROM FLAT TO STYLE 'R'	08/03/07	D.W.

1	SNG1004.7C	R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CTRITICAL SERVICE)	GASKET, REACTOR FOOT	1
ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSION ARE IN MILLIMETERS TOLERANCES: ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$ ONE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.40 (INCH) TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.25 (0.010") THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.13 (0.005") FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ± 0.013 (0.0005") SURFACE FINISH $\sqrt{63}$ UNLESS NOTED INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994 MATERIAL: SEE BOM FINISH: SIMILAR TO:	DRAWN I. TAYLOR CHECKED ENG APPR. MFG APPR. Q.A. SI METRIC COMMENTS:	DATE 05/16/07 NAME Q.A. THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION
		ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE 400 N. 5th Street Phoenix, Az. 85003 PROJECT: COAL TO SNG TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR GASKET, REACTOR FOOT		
		SIZE B	DWG. NO. SNG1004.7	REV C
		SCALE: 1:2	WEIGHT:	SHEET 1 OF 1

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX C

Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Reactor

Final Design from Gaspar Inc.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

www.gasparinc.com

FINAL DATA PACKAGE

GASPAR JOB NUMBER(S):	36094
CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:	700521452
DESCRIPTION:	KINETICS REACTOR
ITEM NUMBER(S):	N/A
OTHER:	N/A

DATA PACKAGE CONTENTS

- ☒ DATA REPORT
- ☒ NAMEPLATE COPY
- ☒ BILL OF MATERIAL
- ☒ MATERIAL TEST REPORTS
- ☒ NDE REPORTS
- ☒ HEAT TREAT CHARTS
- ☒ CALCULATIONS
- ☒ DRAWINGS
- ☐ OTHER: (LIST BELOW)

NOTE:

FORM U-1 MANUFACTURER'S DATA REPORT FOR PRESSURE VESSELS
As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

1. Manufactured and certified by: Gaspar, Inc. 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
(Name and address of Manufacturer)

2. Manufactured for: Arizona Public Service Co. P.O. Box 53999 Phoenix, AZ 85072
(Name and address of Purchaser)

3. Location of installation Unknown
(Name and address)

4. Type: Vertical Kinetics Reactor 36094
(Horizontal, vertical, or sphere) (Tank, separator, jkt., vessel, heat exch., etc.) (Manufacturer's serial number)

----- 36094 Rev.5 2550 2008
(CRN) (Drawing number) National Board number (Year built)

5. ASME Code Section VIII Div 1 2007 Edition ---- ---
[Edition and Addenda (date)] (Code Case number) [Special Service per UG-120(d)]

Items 6-11 incl. to be completed for single wall vessels, jackets of jacketed vessels, shell of heat exchangers, or chamber of multi-chamber vessels.

6. Shell (a) Number of course (s): One (b) Overall Length : 13' - 11.50"

Course(s)		Material	Thickness		Long. Joint (Cat. A)			Circum. Joint (Cat. A, B, & C)			Heat Treatment	
Diameter	Length	Spec./Grade or Type	Nom.	Corr.	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.	Temp.	Time
10.75"OD	13' - 11.50"	SA106-B	.593"	.06"	S	None	100%	1	Full	100%	--	---

7. Heads: (a) --- (b) ---
(Material spec. number grade or type) (H.T. - time & temp) (Material spec. number grade or type) (H.T. - time & temp)

Location (Top, Bottom, Ends)	Thickness		Radius		Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex Angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to Pressure		Category A		
	Min.	Corr.	Crown	Knuckle					Convex	Concave	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.
(a)													
(b)													

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastenings) ---
(Material spec. number grade, size, number)

8. Type of jacket --- Jacket closure ---
(Describe as ogee & weld, bar, etc.)

If bar, give dimensions ---- If bolted, describe or sketch -----

9. MAWP 1200 --- psi at max temp. 700 --- °F Min. design metal temp. 5 °F at 1200 psi
(Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)

10. Impact Test UCS-66(b) Exempt. at test temperature of -----
[Indicate yes or no and the component(s) impact tested]

11. Hydro., Pneumatic., or comb. test press. Pneumatic 1320 Proof Test ---

Items 12 and 13 to be completed for tube sections.

12. Tubesheet --- --- --- --- ---
[Stationary (Material spec. number)] [Diameter (subject to press.)] (Nominal thickness) (Corr. Allow.) [Attachment (welded or bolted)]

--- --- --- --- ---
Floating (Material spec. number) (Diameter) (Nominal thickness) (Corr. Allow.) (Attachment)

13. Tubes --- --- --- --- ---
(Material spec. number, grade or type) (O.D.) (Nominal thickness) (Number) [Type (Straight or U)]

Items 14-18 incl. To be completed for inner chambers of jacketed vessels or channels of heat exchangers.

14. Shell (a) Number of course (s): --- (b) Overall Length : ---

Courses		Material	Thickness		Long. Joint (Cat. A)			Circum. Joint (Cat. A, B, C)			Heat Treatment	
Diameter	Length	Spec./Grade or Type	Nom.	Corr.	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.	Temp.	Time

15. Heads: (a) SA403-316H (b) ---
(Material spec. number grade or type) (H.T. - time & temp) (Material spec. number grade or type) (H.T. - time & temp)

Location (Top, Bottom, Ends)	Thickness		Radius		Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex Angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to Pressure		Category A		
	Min.	Corr.	Crown	Knuckle					Convex	Concave	Type	Full, Spot, None	Eff.
(a) Top	.500"	.03"	---	---	2:1	---	---	---	---	Concave	--	---	--
(b)													

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastenings) (12) 1" Studs SA193-B7 (12) 1" Nuts SA194-2H
(Material spec. number grade, size, number)

Form U-1 (Back)

AWP 1200 --- psi at max temp. 1000 --- °F Min. design metal temp. 5 °F at 1200 psi
 (Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)

Impact Test UHA-51(d), UCS-66(b) Exempt. at test temperature of ---
 Indicate yes or no and the component(s) impact tested

Hydro., pneu., or comb. test press. Pneumatic 1320 Proof Test ---

Nozzles, inspection, and safety valve openings:

Purpose Inlet, Outlet, Drain, etc)	No.	Diameter or Size	Flange Type	Material		Nozzle Thickness		Reinforcement Material	How Attached		Location (Insp. Open.)
				Nozzle	Flange	Nom.	Corr.		Nozzle	Flange	
Aux	25	3/4"	6000#HC	SA105	---	---	.06"	---	(bb)	---	---
Inlet	1	1"	1500#WN	SB444-N06625	SA182-316H	.179"	.03"	---	(c)	(F-2)	---
Aux	4	2"	FAB	SB466-N06625	---	---	.03"	---	(c)	---	---

Supports: Skirt No Lugs 0 Legs 4 Others --- Attached --- Shell/Welded ---
 (Yes or No) (Number) (Number) (Describe) (Where and how)

Manufacturer's Partial Data Reports properly identified and signed by Commissioned Inspectors have been furnished for the following items of the report: (List the name of part, item number, Manufacturer's name and identifying number)

Remarks Bottom of reactor shell ends with a fab flange 2-7/8"thk x 13.15"OD x 7-1/2"ID (SA516-70). Shell head flange is 3-1/16"thk x 14-5/8"OD 9-9/16"ID (SA516-70). Top head flange is 3-3/4"thk x 14-5/8"OD x 7-5/8"ID (SA182-316H).

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements in this report are correct and that all details of design, material, construction and workmanship of this vessel conform to the ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, Section VIII, Division I.

U Certificate of Authorization 16,862 Expires July 25, 2011
 Date 12-19-08 Name Gaspar, Inc. Signed Wesley Moorman
 (Manufacturer) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP INSPECTION

I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors and/or the State or Province of Ohio and employed by OneBeacon America Insurance Company of Lynn, Mass have inspected the pressure vessel described in this Manufacturer's Data Report on 12-19-2008 and state that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed this pressure vessel in accordance with ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, Section VIII, Division I. By signing this certificate neither the Inspector nor his/her employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel described in this Manufacturer's Data Report. Furthermore, neither the Inspector nor his/her employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date 12-19-2008 Signed [Signature] Commissions NB-9838A OHIO COMM.
 (Authorized Inspector) (National Board (incl endorsements) State, Province and number)

CERTIFICATE OF FIELD ASSEMBLY COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements on this report are correct and that the field assembly construction of all parts of this vessel conforms with the requirements of ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE Section VIII, Division I. U Certificate of Authorization No. _____ Expires _____

Date _____ Name _____ Signed _____
 (Assembler) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF FIELD ASSEMBLY INSPECTION

I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors and/or the State or Province of _____ and employed by _____ have compared the statements in this Manufacturer's Data Report with the described pressure vessel and state that parts referred to as data items _____ not included in the certificate of shop inspection, have been inspected by me and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed and assembled this pressure vessel in accordance with the ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, Section VIII, Division I. The described vessel was inspected and subjected to a hydrostatic test of _____. By signing this certificate neither the Inspector nor his/her employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel described in this Manufacturer's Data Report. Furthermore, neither the Inspector nor his/her employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date _____ Signed _____ Commissions _____
 (Authorized Inspector) (National Board (incl endorsements) State, Province and number)

181-70

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP	<u>100</u>	PSI AT	<u>700</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>5</u>	°F AT	<u>100</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F

RT-1

HEAD

MAWP	<u>100</u>	PSI AT	<u>100</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>5</u>	°F AT	<u>100</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	F

0024
MFG. SERIAL NO.

700
YEAR BUILT

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	36094	FAB (1) REACTOR UNIT	PRTY#3
PONumber	DwgNumber	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	36094	RCK	Domestic or West European Origin

MRK	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
0.5	-	0		QTY. IS FOR 1 UNIT (1) UNIT REQ'D.				
1	-	1	PIPE	10 SMLS X S/80 X 167 1/2 LG (0.594 WALL THK.)	SHELL	SA-106B		
2	-	1	FITTING	8 CAP WLD B-16.9 X S/80 (0.500 WALL THK.)	HEAD	SA-403-316H		
3	-	1	FORGING	3 3/4 FIN X 14 5/8 FIN OD X 7 5/8 FIN ID, FLG	HEAD FLG	SA-182-F316H		
4	-	1	FORGING	3 1/16 FIN X 14 5/8 FIN OD X 9.562 FIN ID, FLG	SH. HD FLG	SA516-70		
5	-	1	FORGING	2 7/8 FIN X 13.15 FIN OD X 7 1/2 FIN ID, FLG	LOWER FLG.	SA516-70		
6	-	1	PLATE	3 7/8 FIN X 13.15 FIN OD X 2.38 FIN ID	ADAP. FLG.	SA-182-316H		
7	-	1	TUBE	2 OD X 1/8 THK X WLD X 197 1/2 LG ((BY CUSTOMER))	INNER TUBE	INCONEL 617 *		
8	-	7	PLATE	1/4 THK X 7 1/16 WD X 7 1/16 LG	SPIDER SPTS	SA-240-304		
9	-	1	PLATE	1 FIN X 5 1/4 FIN OD X 2 1/16 FIN ID	MOUNT FLG	SA-240-316H		
10	1	14	TUBE	2 WLD X 1/8 THK X 1 LG CUT IN 72 DEG. SEG	INNER TC PAD	INCONEL 617 *		
10	1	0		1 PIPE MAKES 5 PARTS ((MATERIAL BY CUSTOMER))				
11	-	1	BAR/ROD	INJECTOR HUB (BY CUSTOMER) 3" OD X 3 1/2 LG	TOP HEAD	INCONEL 625 *		
12	-	4	BAR/ROD	INJECTOR HOUSING (BY CUSTOMER) 1 1/4 OD X 2.20 LG	TOP HEAD	INCONEL 625 *		
13	-	4	EXP. JNT.	INJECTOR TUBE BELLOW (BY CUSTOMER)	TOP HEAD	INCONEL 625 *		
14	4	4	TUBE	INJEC. HOUSING TUBE (BY CUSTOMER) 2 OD X 3.69 LG	TOP HEAD	SB-446-N06625		
15	-	1	FORGING	1 1500# B-16.5 RFWN (S/80 BORE)	NOZ A	SA-182-F316H		
16	1	0		DELETED				
17	-	1	GASKET	.175 THK X 10.65 OD X 9.9 ID	HEAD GASKET	***		
17	-	0		R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDING WITH				
17	-	0		*** THERMICULITE 835 FILLER (CRITICAL SERVICE)				
18	2	1	PIPE	1 SMLS X S/80 X 11 7/8 LG	NOZ A	SB-444-N06625		
19	-	4	PLATE	1/2 THK X 5 WD X 5 LG	GUIDE	SA-516-70		
20	-	4	PLATE	3/8 THK X 3 WD X 6 LG	GUIDE	SA-516-70		
21	-	7	PLATE	3/16 THK X 1 WD X 1 LG	TC PAD	SA-240-304		
22	-	4	PLATE	3/8 THK X 6 1/2 WD X 7 LG	SUPPORT	SA-516-70		
23	-	4	PLATE	1/2 THK X 5 WD X 5 1/2 LG	SUPPORT	SA-516-70		
24	-	8	PLATE	3/4 THK X 4 11/16 WD X 5 LG	SUPPORT	SA-516-70		
25	-	4	PLATE	3/8 THK X 2 3/16 WD X 5 1/2 LG	SUPPORT	SA-516-70		
26	-	25	FORGING	3/4 X 6000# NPT HALF COUPLING	NOZ CO1-C25	SA-105		
27	-	1		BELLOWS, ALLOY 800H W-SNG1004.9F (CUSTOMER SUPPLY)	LOWER BEL	W-SNG1004.9 F *		
28	1	1	GASKET	1/16 THK X 4.63 OD X 3.38 ID (SEE DETAIL)	LOWER BEL	#		
28	1	0		# THERMICULITE 815				
29	1	1	GASKET	1/16 THK X 3 1/2 OD X 2 5/8 ID	TOP BEL	#		
29	1	0		# THERMICULITE 815				
30	-	1	GASKET	.175 THK X 8.782 OD X 8.032 ID	ADAPTER FLG	***		
30	-	0		*** R STYLE, SWG INCONEL 625 WINDINGS				
30	-	0		WITH THERMICULITE 835 FILLER				
31	2	8	BOLTING	3/8 -24UNF SOC. HD CAP SCREW X 2 1/4 LG	BELLOWS	(M)		
31	2	0		(M) INCONEL 625				
32	2	8	BOLTING	3/8 -24UNF STUD X 2 3/4 LG	BELLOWS	(M)		
32	2	0		(M) INCONEL 625				
33	2	8	BOLTING	3/8 -24UNF NUT X HEX HEAVY	BELLOWS	(M)		
33	2	0		(M) INCONEL 625				
34	2	8	BOLTING	3/8 LOCKWASHER	BELLOWS	(M)		
34	2	0		(M) INCONEL 625				
35	1	12	BOLTING	7/8 -14UNF SOC. HD. CAP SCREW X 3 3/4 LG	ADAP. PLATE	SA-193-B7		
36	1	12	BAR/ROD	1 1/2 OD X 1 ID X 1/8 THK.	ADAP. PLATE	SA-479-304		
37	-	8	BOLTING	1 -14UNF STUD X 4 1/2 LG	ADAP. PLATE	SA-193-B8 2		
38	-	8	BOLTING	1 -14UNF NUT X HEX HEAVY	ADAP. PLATE	SA-194-B8 2		
39	4	12	BOLTING	1 -14UNF STUD X 6 LG	HD FLG	SA-193-B7		
40	4	12	BOLTING	1 -14UNF NUT X HEX HEAVY	HD FLG	SA-194-2H		
41	-	12	BOLTING	1 WASHER X TYPE A PLAIN SERIES N	HD FLG	STL		
42	2	0		MS-124 DASH# 691 MCMASTER CARR NO. 91732A030				
42	4	12	MISC	1 -14UNF X 1 1/2 LG. THREADED INSERT W STI TAP	HD FLG	18-8 STN STL*		
43	2	12	MISC	7/8 -14UNF X 1 5/16 LG. THREADED INSERT W STI TAP	LOWER FLG	18-8 STN STL*		
43	2	0		MS-124 DASH# 664 MCMASTER CARR NO. 91732A549				
44	2	8	MISC	1 -14UNF X 1 1/2 LG. THREADED INSERT W STI TAP	HD FLG	18-8 STN STL*		

MRK	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
44	2	0		MS-124 DASH# 705 MCMASTER CARR NO 91732A027			☐	☐
45	4			DELETED			☐	☐
46	4	1	FORGING	1 1500# B-16 5 BLIND	NOZ A	SA-105	☐	☐
47	4	1	GASKET	1/8 THK X 1 1500# STD RF	NOZ A	GARLOCK 3200	☐	☐
48	4	4	BOLTING	7/8 -9UNC STUD X 4 3/4 LG	NOZ A	SA-193-B7	☐	☐
49	4	4	BOLTING	7/8 -9UNC NUT X HEX HEAVY	NOZ A	SA-194-2H	☐	☐
50	4	1	PLATE	1 1/2 FIN X 13 1/8 OD	SHELL	SA-516-70	☐	☐
51	4	1	GASKET	1/8 THK X 9 OD X 8 ID	HYDRO COVER	GARLOCK 3200	☐	☐
52	4	12	BOLTING	7/8 -14UNC STUD X 4 1/4 LG	COVER	SA-193-B7	☐	☐
53	4	12	BOLTING	7/8 -14UNC NUT X HEX HEAVY	COVER	SA-194-2H	☐	☐
54	4	25	FORGING	3/4 NPT PIPE PLUG	C1-C25	SA-105	☐	☐
55	4	4	BAR/ROD	80 FIN X 2 FIN OD (BY CUSTOMER)	11,2,3,4	SB-446-N06625*	☐	☐
999	-	0		* CUSTOMER WILL SUPPLY THIS ITEM			☐	☐

08/28/2008 From: MARMON/KEYSTONE
M/K OR:
C.P.O.:
C PART:

INIT.:

To:

OR. REF:
SLSPRS:

36094 MK 1

CERTIFIED TEST REPORT
Thursday, August 14, 2008

V & M Star
Seamless Tubular Products

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:
SLN X70416
OD 10.750 WALL 0.594 LBS/FT 64.49 SOC DRL LP
SEAMLESS HOT ROLLED
GRADE API 5L X42B PSL 2 Rev. October 4 2004

CUSTOMER:
MARMON KEYSTONE CORP
MILL ORDER NO.
85426
CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER:
90-35933

COMMENT: Melted and Manufactured in the U.S.A
This pipe is also manufactured to:
ASTM A106/ASME B31.3
ASTM A588-07
ASME SA106/ASME B31.3
ASME SA588-07
VAM Star QA program meets the requirements of DN EN 10204-2004 Type 3.1. Stainless RACE MRO175ISO.

ASME

Item #2 MK Grade 530

Yr 2007 Add. 2008
Q.C. WM Date 10/7/08
AT 3/12-19-08

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUIREMENTS:
HARDNESS 81 HRBW AVG
FLATTENING PASSED
NACE TEST MRO175ISO 15156-2 Clause A.2.1.1
GRAIN SIZE
OTHER
COLLAPSE
80 MIN

Required CE Max of 0.43

Reference Standard was a test joint with 10% ID and OD Longitudinal and Transverse notches.

SPECIMEN CROSS SECTION				STRENGTH KSI		ELONGATION	
WIDTH (IN)	THICK (IN)	AREA (SQIN)	YIELD	TENSILE	% ELONG		
1) 1.545	0.596	0.9275	47.1	76.8	2	44.3	
2)							
3)							
4)							

DRIFT PLUG SIZE: INCHES

HYDROSTATIC TEST (PSI): 3320 For a 5 second minimum
MAX INTERNAL YIELD (PSI): 4555
CHEMICAL ANALYSIS: 615 Ultrasonic Inspection

Reference Standard was a test joint with 10% ID and OD Longitudinal and Transverse notches.													
C	Mn	P	S	Si	Cu	Ni	Cr	Mo	Sn	Nb	V	Al	Ca
HEAT	0.21	0.88	0.007	0.005	0.23	0.18	0.07	0.03	0.009	0.001	0.004	0.027	0.0020
PRODUCT 1)	0.22	0.89	0.008	0.005	0.23	0.16	0.07	0.02	0.006	0.001	0.004	0.026	0.0015
PRODUCT 2)	0.22	0.89	0.008	0.005	0.22	0.16	0.07	0.02	0.005	0.001	0.004	0.024	0.0014
PRODUCT 3)													
PRODUCT 4)													

The following clause is a condition of this contract and must be passed on to the customer in each subsequent sale. These commodities, technology, or software, were exported from the United States in accordance with the export administration regulation. Diversion contrary to U.S. law is prohibited. Buyer acknowledges his understanding that the sanction of denial of trading privileges in USA exports may be imposed for violation of this export regulation.

This material has been produced and tested in accordance with the requirements of applicable specifications unless otherwise stated below. We hereby certify that the above test results are representative of those contained in the records of the company. Any modification to this certification as provided by V & M Star without the expressed written consent of V & M Star negates the validity of this test report. V & M Star is not responsible for the inability of this material to meet specific applications.

CHARPY IMPACT TESTING:			
DIRECTION:	TEST #1	TEST #2	TEST #3
TRANS	42		
SIZE:	34		
FULL	37		
TEMP:	38		
32 (°F)	30		
NOTCH:	20		
	20		
AVG:	23		

THIS CERTIFICATE IS NOT VALID ONLY WHEN REQUESTED

Manufactured by:
V & M Star

SIGNED: *[Signature]*
DATE: 8-14-08

Q.C. REVIEWED

X 70416

P 10.75.594 530

BR. OR:

To: GASPAR, INC.

INIT. : AR

10/03/2008 From: MARMON/KEYSTONE

C P.O.: 31055/DRENA

M/K OR: 27-64913

C PART:

From: SHAW Alloy Piping Products Inc
Corporate Offices
P O Box 7368
Shreveport LA 71137-7368

To: ROBERT JAMES SALES
2164 E AURORA RD
P O BOX 590
TWINSBURG OH 44097-

36094 MK 2

Heat Number	Commodity	Cust Ord#	APP Inv#	Size 1	Schd 1	X Size 2	Schd 2
R3096	B/W CAP	CG6164	1084527	8.0000	80S		
Alloy 316/H					Qty		1.0000
Short PN 00091047 Ref 00000000							

Ord Spec SA403 UP S SEAMLESS Mfg Dt 08/05
Itm Spec ASTM Spec-ASTM-A-403 YR-2004

Raw Matl--Plate

Chemical Composition:

Elem - Content	Elem - Content	Elem - Content	Elem - Content
C - .04300	MN - 1.72000	P - .03200	S - .00100
SI - .36000	CR - 17.67000	NI - 10.24000	MO - 2.15000

TEST RESULTS:

W/O# 82194 LOAD: TEST SET: 1 Cold Formed

Tensile Test 1

Specimen Type	Before	After	Red X	Elong %	Tensile Strength	Yield Strength
Size 1	in	in				
Size 2	in	in				
Area	.0000 sq in		.00 %	58.00 %	82,447 lb/sq in	39,179 lb/sq in
Gauge Lnth	.00 in					
Tensile Load	0					
Yield Load	0					

Hardness Test 1
Hardness 153.00 Expressed as BHN unless stated otherwise

Supplemental Test E112 1
MICROSTRUCTURE GRAIN SIZE PER ASTM E112
GRAIN SIZE = 4.0

Heat Treat Statement 1
SOLUTION ANNEAL 2000 DEG.-F 45 MIN. - WATER QUENCH

Material, when shipped, is free from contamination by mercury, radium, alpha source and low melting elements. Welds 100% Radiographed and acceptable to (ASME Section VIII - Para UW-51). We Hereby Certify that the materials listed above have been manufactured in accordance with, and meet the above applicable specifications and grades.

QA/QC/MTR Specialist

9/04/08
Date

3609A MK 3 6

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	12241
PO: 30884	HEAT NO:	45924
SPECIFICATIONS: ASTM A182 F316H DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
1	ROUGH FORGE TO FINISH 14-5/8" OD X 7-5/8" ID X 3-3/4" THK ASME
1	ROUGH FORGE TO FINISH 13.15" OD X 3-7/8" THK Yr <u>2007</u> Add. <u>2008</u> Q.C. <u>WM</u> Date <u>10/16/08</u> AT 12-14 00

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
316H	.059	1.80	.025	.011	.52	16.70	10.37	2.10	.082
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD .2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
45924	43,800	90,300	55.1	71.7		No. 5.0

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

10/09/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

SHIPMENT NO. 803-12072	DATE SHIPPED 08-18-07	CAR OR VEHICLE NO. NS	TTPX 811247	PAGE 3
---------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------	--------

SOLD TO	SHIP TO
---------	---------

NOTES	SERIAL NUMBER	PAT NO	HEAT NUMBER	NO. PCS.	SIZE AND QUANTITY				YIELD POINT	TENSILE STRENGTH	ELONG	RED
					THICKNESS	WIDTH OR DIA.	LENGTH	WEIGHT				

INCHES INCHES INCHES POUNDS PSI PSI IN % %
 QUALITY STEEL MELTED & MANUFACTURED IN THE U. S. A.
 PLATES - ASTM A516-90 GR 70 PVQ, ASME SA516
 GR 70 PVQ 2004 EDITION --- GAS CUT
 4 SIDES PLT NORMALIZED & COOLED IN
 STILL AIR
 NO WELD REPAIR WAS PERFORMED ON BELOW PLATE(S)
 MFST - LIFT MAX 15 TON-SIZES & GAUGES SEP UNLDG
 OH-MAGNET-CHAIN-SLING
 CO# J.C.R. 5125 GH 365-8240C
 PLATES HEAT TREATED - TEST SPECIMENS ATTACHED & YIELD STRENGTH @ .5% EUL
 T032714 401H54010 1 3.5 120 240 28587 47900 75900 2 39
 N 1650 DEG F - 177 MIN

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008a

Q.C. WM Date 9/16/08

At 28 12-18-08

CUMMINS TOWN PIPE & SUPPLY INC.
 PLATE # 2032714
 PLATE REPORTS APPLY TO:
 GAS PAC
 30896
 SB52132
 (L.D. Dwyer)

Q-QUENCH TEMPERATURE	T-TEMPER TEMPERATURE	N-NORMALIZE TEMPERATURE
----------------------	----------------------	-------------------------

SERIAL NUMBER	PAT NO	HEAT NUMBER	HARD	BEND	THICKNESS INCHES	TYPE	SIZE	DIR	TEST TEMP F	CHARPY IMPACT								
										ENERGY FT LBS			SHEAR(%)			LAT EXP MILS		
										1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3

HEAT NUMBER	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS																MOQQAIC GRAIN SIZE
	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Cl	Ni	Cr	Mo	V	Ti	Al	B	Co	N	Sn	
401H54010	.22	1.08	.013	.008	.249	.010	.01	.08	.007	.001	.003	.039	.0001	.001	.003	.010	

I certify that the above results are a true and correct copy of actual results contained in records maintained by Mittal Steel Inc. and are in full compliance with the requirements of the specification cited above. This test report cannot be altered and must be transmitted intact with any subsequent third party test reports. If required, PRODUCED UNDER A CERTIFIED QMS COMPLYING WITH ISO 9002 ABS-QE CERT. #30477

D. W. ELWOOD PER WNK

BHPLTRPT TIF

SUPV. QUALITY ASSURANCE

als

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMANCE

MK 7.10
NON-CODE

25 Gregg Street
Way, CA 92064

PHONE 858-513-1300 FAX 858-513-6600

Customer	P.O. No.	VM Job No.	Pack Slip No.	Date
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.	700517805	33628	33628	2/20/2008
Material	Heat No.	Specification		
ALLOY 617/ AMS 5889B	8617-4-8870	N/A		
Quantity	Description CUSTOMER FURNISHED MATERIAL			
5 PCS	2.00 OD X .125 X 4' R/L WELDED TUBING 46" LG.			

WELDED PER MPS 101 WITHOUT FILLER WIRE.

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MANUFACTURED ITEMS STATED ABOVE ARE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE APPLICABLE SPECIFICATIONS AS REQUIRED BY THE PURCHASE ORDER AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS. SPECIFIED PROCESSES REQUIRING CERTIFICATION ARE LISTED BELOW OR ARE INCLUDED IN ATTACHMENTS.

THIS MATERIAL HAS NOT COME INTO CONTACT WITH MERCURY OR LEAD

By

Kevin O'Steen QUALITY ENGINEER

Information contained in this test report represents only the material submitted to and/or tested by Valley Metals. This document shall not be reproduced in full, without written approval of Valley Metals Quality Assurance. The recording of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries on the certificate may be punished as a felony under federal law.

①

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1984.
- 2 $\phi 2"$ TUBE HOLD ROUNDNESS. — *held to 2.000 per test report*
- 3 TUBE DIMENSIONS AND TOLERANCES PER ASTM A289, AND SB-751, SB-187.
- 4 PROVIDE MILL TEST REPORT (MTR)
- 5 MAINTAIN STRAIGHTNESS TO $\pm .020"$ END TO END. *OK per test report*
- 6 MAKE EQUAL AT $\frac{1}{8}"$ LONG AND ONE AT $\frac{1}{8}"$ LONG. SEE BOM BEI CIM

OK, .010 TIR per test report

ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY
2	SNG1000.4-2	INCONEL 617 UNS-N-08817, SA168 PER ASTM-B-168, (.125" THK SHEET)	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	1
1	SNG1000.4-1	INCONEL 617 UNS-N-08817, SA168 PER ASTM-B-168, (.125" THK SHEET)	2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	4

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES AND DECIMALS THEREOF.

DATE: 01/25/03
DRAWN: D. WARR
CHECKED: [Signature]
DATE: 01/25/03
DESIGNED: [Signature]
DATE: 01/25/03
APPROVED: [Signature]
DATE: 01/25/03

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
2" Dia. x .125" WALL, TUBE SECTION

SIZE: DWG. NO. B
REV: A
SCALE: 1:2
WEIGHT: SHEET 1 OF 1

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 20, 2003 10:25:23 AM

1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1984.

- 2 PROVIDE MILL TEST REPORT(MTR)

- 3 MAINTAIN STRAIGHTNESS TO $\pm 0.020"$ END TO END.

- 4 4 ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE ASME SECTION 8 DIVISION 1

- UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

- 5 INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% X-RAY, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.

- 8 FITTINGS AND PIPE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9. FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5. GASKETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASME B16.20. THREADS, AND ANY BUTTWELDED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END PREPPED PER ASME B16.25.

ITEM NO.	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	DESCRIPTION	QTY.
2	SNG1000.4-2	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-08817, SA168 PER ASTM-B-168, (.125" THK. SHEET)	2" Dia. x 125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	1
1	SNG1000.4-1	INCONEL 617, UNS-N-08817, SA168 PER ASTM-B-168, (.125" THK. SHEET)	2" Dia. x 125" WALL, TUBE SECTION	4

[illegible]

Wednesday, January 30, 2008 10:59:48 AM

3

REVISIONS			
REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY
ALL A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/12/07	DM
ALL B	ADDED HTL TO END BEFORE SHIP	07/10/08	DM

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCE PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2 2" TUBE JDR-D. ROUNDNESS
- 3 TUBE DIMENSIONS AND TOLERANCES PER ASTM A259, AND SP-751 SP-167.
- 4 PROVIDE MILL TEST REPORT(S) - by APS
- 5 MAINTAIN STRAIGHTNESS TO 0.001" END TO END

Sheet 21 of 2000 M

Part Number /

Tube Specification NA

Customer P.O. No.: 700617805

Customer: Arizona Public Service

Material Specification: AMS 5889B

RECORD ACTUAL DIMENSIONS

Serial No.	Required Diameter 1.993" - 2.007" O.D. (2.000" O.D. $\pm$ 0.007")	Required Ovality T.I.R. 0.040" T.I.R.	Required Base Material Thickness (0.128" $\pm$ 0.010") (Check 80 degrees to weld)	Weld Height 0.115" - 0.135" Planted to same tolerance as base material	Required Straightness 0.045" / 3 ft.	Required Length 4' RL	100% Visual Inspection to Specification Requirements / Data Card
1	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
2	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
3	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
4	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
5	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
6	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
7	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
8	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
9	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
10	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
11	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
12	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
13	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
14	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
15	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
16	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
17	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
18	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
19	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
20	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
21	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
22	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
23	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
24	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
25	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
26	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
27	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
28	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
29	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
30	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
31	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
32	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
33	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
34	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
35	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
36	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
37	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
38	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
39	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
40	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
41	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
42	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
43	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
44	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
45	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
46	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
47	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
48	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
49	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
50	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
51	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
52	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
53	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
54	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
55	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
56	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
57	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
58	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
59	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
60	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
61	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
62	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
63	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
64	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
65	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
66	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
67	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
68	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
69	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
70	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
71	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
72	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
73	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
74	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
75	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
76	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
77	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
78	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
79	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
80	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
81	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
82	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
83	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
84	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
85	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
86	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
87	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
88	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
89	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
90	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
91	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
92	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
93	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
94	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
95	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
96	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
97	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
98	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
99	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject
100	2.000	2.000	0.005	0.126	0.12	46"	Accept / Reject

Comments: Any unacceptable condition noted balance must be 100% inspected.

Inspector: [Signature]
Inspection Date: 2-21-08

Prepared By: L. Olsen

20-Feb-08

The information contained in this Characteristic Accountability report represents only the material submitted and evaluated. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of Valley Metals Quality Assurance.

QVAL FORM 3-6-2007

VALLEY INSPECTION SERVICE INC.

759 N. Fenwick St., Allentown, PA 18109

Phone: 610-782-9310

Fax: 610-782-9309

RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT

Page 1 of 1

Customer: <u>CVIP</u>		Job#: <u>3408</u>	
Job Location: <u>BORMAUS, PA</u>			
Applicable Specification: <u>ASME Sect VIII UW51</u>		☐ SWE ☒ DWE ☒ SWV ☐ DWV	
Isotope: ☒ Ir 192 ☐ Co 60	Curies: <u>60</u>	☐ X ray:	kV: mA: Size:
Mtl. Type: <u>S/S</u>	Mtl. Thickness: <u>.125</u>	Rein. Thickness:	
SOD: <u>18"</u>	OFD: <u>CONTACT</u>	Exp. / weld: <u>4</u>	Diag. Length: <u>3' 10"</u>
Time: <u>1 1/2 MIN</u>	Film Type: <u>Fuji 80</u>	# per Cassette: <u>1</u>	Film Size: <u>4 1/2 X 17</u>
Penetrameter: ☒ Source Side ☐ Film Side	Size: <u>12</u>	Mtl.: <u>S/S</u>	Type: <u>SE 1025</u>
Shim Mtl.: <u>S/S</u>	Shim Thk.: <u>.250</u>	Technique Used: <u>VISI-AT-100-6</u>	
Screens: Front: ☐ N/A ☐ .005 ☒ .010		Back: ☐ N/A ☐ .005 ☒ .010	
Processing: ☒ Manual ☐ Automatic		Effective Source Size: <u>.153</u>	
Geometric Unsharpness Less Than: ☐ .010 ☒ .020 ☐ .030 ☐ .040 ☐ .070			

Item Number	Location Marker	Accept	Reject	Porosity	Slag	Crack	Inc. Pen.	Inc. Fusion	Concavity	Convexity	Undercut	Surface	Tungsten	Burn	Through	Linear por.	Gas	Sand	Shrinkage	Artifact	Remarks/Indications
Tube #1	0 - 1	/																			
	1 - 2	/																			
	2 - 3	/																			
	3 - 4	/																			
Tube #2	0 - 1	/																			
	1 - 2	/																			
	2 - 3	/																			
	3 - 4	/																			
Tube #3	0 - 1	/																			
	1 - 2	/																			
	2 - 3	/																			
	3 - 4	/																			
Tube #4	0 - 1	/																			
	1 - 2	/																			
	2 - 3	/																			
	3 - 4	/																			
Tube #3	0 - 1	/																			
	1 - 2	/																			
	2 - 3	/																			
	3 - 4	/																			

Technician: V

Level: H

Date: 5-21-08

Fax: 610-782-9309

Page 1 of 1

Item Number	Location Marker	Accept	Reject	Porosity	Slag	Crack	Inc. Pen.	Inc. Fusion	Concavity	Convexity	Undercut	Surface	Tungsten Burn Through	Linear por.	Gas	Sand	Shrinkage	Artifact	Remarks/Indications	
Job # 3408																				
ID #1	00	/										/								2" Sch 20
W-76	900	/																		
ID #2	00	/																		2" Sch 20
W-76	900	/
8-6-08																				

Technician: [Signature] Level: 1 Date: 8-6-08

Fax: 610-782-9309

Page 1 of 1[illegible]

Date: 8-22-08

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

ONE SANDMEYER LANE • PHILADELPHIA, PA 19114-3506
 800 534 0883 • FAX 215 622-1230 • WWW.SANDMEYERSTEEL.COM

Family Owned and Managed —
 Making Stainless Steel and Nickel Alloy Plate Products
 Since 1957

36094 MK9

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

BILL TO
 GASPAR INC.
 1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
 CANTON, OH 44710

WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
 AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING
 IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND
 TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
 QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 30885

DATE: 09/10/2008

GRADE: UNS S31609		SPECIFICATION: ASME SA240 2004 ED				HEAT NO.: 602012		
PIECES	DESCRIPTION							
1	SSC TYPE 316H PLATE 5-1/2" OD X 1-3/4" ID X 1-1/4" MRK#9 PLATE NO. 25159 ASME Yr <u>2007</u> Add. <u>2008a</u> Q.C. <u>WM</u> Date <u>9/15/08</u> AT-3 12-14-08							
HEAT NO.	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo
602012	0.040	1.760	0.027	0.00100	0.430	10.270	16.560	2.050
HEAT NO.	Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Hardness		Grain Size		
602012	34,190	82,300	61% IN 2"	RB 75		4		

* LBS/IN2 MATERIAL SOLUTION ANNEALED AT 1950 DEGREES F MINIMUM
 AND WATER QUENCHED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
 MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY AVESTAPOLARIT PLATE
 THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION
 RECORDS OF ALL TESTS ARE MAINTAINED AT SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

AvestaPolarit, Inc.
Plate Products

Certificate of Analysis and Tests

OUR ORDER 232498 - 03

HEAT & PIECE 602012-5A 3/31/03

SOLD TO: SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY
ONE SANDMEYER LANE

SHIP TO: SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY
ONE SANDMEYER LANE

PHILADELPHIA

PA 19116

PHILADELPHIA

PA 19116

----- YOUR ORDER & DATE -----

60371 0/00/00

----- ITEM DESCRIPTION -----

HEAT & PIECE 602012 - 5A
WEIGHT 7292
FINISH 1
GRADE 316H
DIMENSIONS 1.250 X 78.000 X 254.000 EXACT

----- SPECIFICATIONS -----

*** MFG IN NEW CASTLE, IN, USA
ASTMA240-01 ASMESA240-0102AD
NO GRIPPER MARKS
ASTM A262-01 PRAC A

FROM SLABS IMPORTED FROM SWEDEN
ASTMA480-01A ASMESA480-02AD
NO WELD REPAIRS
ASTM A262-01 PRAC E

PLATES & TEST PCS SOLUTION ANNEALED @ 1950 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT MINIMUM.
THEN WATER COOLED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
FREE OF KNOWN MERCURY CONTAMINATION
HOT ROLLED, ANNEALED & PICKLED (HRAP)

----- MECHANICAL & OTHER TESTS -----

HARDNESS RB 75
GRAIN SIZE 4
YIELD STRENGTH (PSI) 34190
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 82300
INTERGRANULAR CORROSION OK
ELONGATION % IN 2" 61.4
REDUCTION OF AREA % 68.7

----- CHEMICAL COMPOSITION -----

CARBON (C) .04
MANGANESE (MN) 1.76
PHOSPHORUS (P) .027
SULFUR (S) .001
SILICON (SI) .43
CHROMIUM (CR) 16.56
NICKEL (NI) 10.27
COBALT (CO) .16
COPPER (CU) .27
MOLY (MO) 2.05
NITROGEN (N) .04
COLUMBIUM (CB) .006
TITANIUM (TI) .032
ALUMINUM (AL) .003
TIN (SN) .008

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008

Q.C. WM Date 9/15/08

AI 12-19-08

KNOWINGLY & WILLFULLY FALSIFYING OR CONCEALING A MATERIAL FACT ON THIS FORM,
OR MAKING FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR REPRESENTATIONS
HEREIN COULD CONSTITUTE A FELONY PUNISHABLE UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES.

JAMES DOUBMAN, QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER

CERTIFICATE IN CONFORMANCE WITH DIN50049 3.1.B/EN10204 3.1.B

James Doubman

AvestaPolarit, Inc.
Plate Products
P.O. Box 370
New Castle, Indiana 47362

36094 MK 11 NON-CODE

CERTIFICATE OF TESTS

ABNAHMEPRUEFZEUGNIS

CERTIFICAT DE CONTROLE

CERT SERIAL# 000663059

CARPENTER

Carpenter Technology Corporation
101 West Bern Street, Reading, Pa. 19601
Tel: (610) 208-2000 (800) 338-4592

09/17/08

CUSTOMER/BESTELLER/CLIENT

FRY STEEL COMPANY

ATTN: LARRY LONG
13325 MOLETTE ST
SANTA FE SPRING CA 90670

- THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE PUNISHED AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES INCLUDING FEDERAL LAW, TITLE 18, CHAPTER 47.
- THE VALUES AND OTHER TECHNICAL DATA REPRESENT THE RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND TESTS MADE ON SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM THE TOTAL LOT. ORIGINAL DATA RECORDS CAN BE TRACED BY REFERENCE TO THE CARPENTER ORDER NUMBER.
- MATERIAL IS MANUFACTURED FREE FROM MERCURY, RADIUM, ALPHA AND GAMMA SOURCE CONTAMINATION.
- THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, EXCEPT IN FULL, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION.

SELLER/VERKÄUFER/VENDEURPAGE 1 OF 1

RAN

CUSTOMER ORDER NO./BESTELL-NR./N° DE COMMANDE	CARPENTER NO./WERKS-NR./N° DE REFERENCE INTERNE	DATE/DATUM/DATE	WEIGHT/GEWICHT/POIDS
44999-7 S# 19870	W86227 - 1	09/17/08	1190.000

HEAT NUMBER / SCHMELZE-NR. / N° DE COULEE: 212279

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: PYROMET 625 HI TEMP ALLOY ANNEALED GROUND

SPECIFICATION: AMS 5666 REV F (05/ /06)
ASTM-B446-03 GRADE 1
GE S-400 (10/31/07)
GE S-1000 (01/02/08)
DFARS 1998 EDITION

SIZE 3.000000 IN. (76.20 MM) RD BAR INGOT 2

PRIMARY HEAT CHEMISTRY(WT%): (TEST METHOD IS SHOWN IN PARENTHESIS)

C (COM)	MN (XRF)	SI (XRF)	P (COM)	S (COM)	CR (XRF)
0.04	0.02	0.02	0.002	LT .001	22.36
NI (XRF)	MO (XRF)	CO (XRF)	AL (OES)	TI (XRF)	CB (XRF)
60.79	8.76	0.02	0.16	0.31	3.55
TA (OES)	CB+TA	FE (XRF)			
0.01	3.56	4.08			

FRY STEEL CO. CERTIFIES THAT THIS IS
A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL MILL TEST
REPORT NOW ON FILE
RECEIVED AND INSPECTED

GEAG SUPPLIER CODE 21100 / CARPENTER

HARDNESS AS SHIPPED 216HB (96HRB) (MIDRADIUS) OCT 01 2008

YIELD STRENGTH, (0.20 %) KSI (MPA) 63.5 (438)
TENSILE STRENGTH, KSI (MPA) 127.0 (876)
ELONGATION IN 2.00", % 50.0

BY *C. J. Sandoval*
CHIP SANDOVAL - Q.C. MANAGER

GRAIN SIZE PER ASTM E112: 8
5 ML H2O2(30%), 100 ML HCL

MATERIAL PRODUCED ON THIS ORDER WAS MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE U.S.A.
MATERIAL HAS BEEN MELTED IN USA OR QUALIFYING COUNTRY TO DFARS REQUIRE-
MENTS 252.225-7014 WITH ALTERNATE 1 FOR QUALIFYING COUNTRY 225.872.1.
CARPENTER'S QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM WAS REGISTERED AS OF SEPTEMBER 2, 2004 TO
THE REQUIREMENTS OF ISO 9001:2000 APPROVAL CERTIFICATE 07-0869 BY PERFORMANCE
REVIEW INSTITUTE. CERTIFICATE OF TEST IS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH
3.1 OF EN 10204 (DIN 50049). WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TEST DATA ARE
IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

MARGARET A TURNER
MET RELEASE/REQUIREMENTS ANALYST
CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

Margaret A. Turner

This certification is made to the customer printed on this form. Carpenter neither makes, nor assumes responsibility for, any misrepresentation or certification to other parties.
Die vorliegende Zertifizierung ist nur für den in diesem Formular genannten Kunden gültig. Carpenter übernimmt gegenüber Dritten keinerlei Haftung für die vorgetragenen Daten oder Zertifizierungen.
Ce certificat n'est valable que pour le client dont le nom est imprimé sur ce formulaire. Carpenter n'assume pas de responsabilité pour une certification vis-à-vis d'une autre partie.

100% L.C. 3" x 2"

VX2607AY13

HUNTINGTON ALLOYS

A Special Metals Company

HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25720

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

No. 61045

PAGE 1

OF 2

DATE

05/08/08

HA ORDER NO./ITEM

100036781 1

QUANTITY

1545 LBS

INSPECTED BY

HA/SMC

MARK ORDER NO.

45145-5-S19785

INCONEL ALLOY 625

HOT FIN RND CENTERLESS GRD ANN

1.2600 IN 132-156 IN RDM

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ALL REQUIRED SAMPLE INSPECTIONS AND TESTS HAVE BEEN PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. THE TEST REPORT REPRESENTS THE ACTUAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE MATERIAL FURNISHED AND THE VALUES SHOWN ARE CORRECT AND TRUE. THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED BY THIS CERTIFICATE IS A FULL COMPLY WITH ALL ORDER AND INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS AND THEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE BELOW REQUIREMENTS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CERTIFIED COMPANY REQUIREMENTS.

QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVE

GRD ANN

*****THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEM(S) TESTED AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL.*****

UNS:N066625

SPECIFICATIONS: SAE AMS 5666F\ASTM B 446-03 GRADE INGE 5-1000 (1-2-2008)\

GE S-400 (1-31-2007).\

QUALITY SYSTEM CERTIFICATION: ISO 9001:2000 (ABS-QE CERT. 30125);

EN 10 204/DIN 50049 (TYPE 3.1)

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS (WT. %)

HEAT#	C	MN	FE	S	SI	NI	CR	AL	TI
CO	CO	MO	NB	TA	P	NR+TA			
VX2G07AY	0.03	0.05	2.76	0.001	0.10	62.04	22.10	0.211	0.22
	0.076	8.89	3.52	<0.01	0.006	3.52			

MELT METHOD: DUVAC (VIM ELECTRODE; VAR INCO7)

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

HEAT/LOT	QUANTITY	HARDNESS	GRAIN YIELD	TENSILE	%ELG	R/A	DEC
			SIZE .2XPSI	PSI	2"	%	F
VX2G07AY 13	27 PCS						
ROOM TEMP-HRC	-AS SHIPPED	24.9	0964	1524	43.4	58.0	

GRAIN SIZE-AS SHIPPED AGS ASTM NO. 10.

NORMAL - TRAN

YIELD STRENGTH WAS DETERMINED USING A STRESS STRAIN CURVE

NO WELDING OR WELD REPAIR WAS PERFORMED.

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

VISUAL AND DIMENSIONAL EXAMINATION SATISFACTORY.

36094 MK 12
NON-CODE

THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT
STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE PUNISHABLE
FELONY UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE.

FEDERAL U.S.

RY STEEL C/O CMI TRUCKING

201 W 36TH ST

CHICAGO IL 60623

ATTN: CHIP SANDOVAL

FRY STEEL COMPANY

13325 MOLETTE STREET

SANTA FE SPRINGS CA 90670

36094 MK 14

CERTIFICATE OF TESTS

ABNAHMEPRUEFZEUGNIS

CERTIFICAT DE CONTROLE

CERT SERIAL# 000653588

CARPENTER

Carpenter Technology Corporation
101 West Bern Street, Reading, Pa. 19601
Tel: (610) 208-2000 (800) 338-4592

- THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE PUNISHED AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES INCLUDING FEDERAL LAW, TITLE 18, CHAPTER 47.
- THE VALUES AND OTHER TECHNICAL DATA REPRESENT THE RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND TESTS MADE ON SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM THE TOTAL LOT. ORIGINAL DATA RECORDS CAN BE TRACED BY REFERENCE TO THE CARPENTER ORDER NUMBER.
- MATERIAL IS MANUFACTURED FREE FROM MERCURY, RADIUM, ALPHA AND GAMMA SOURCE CONTAMINATION.
- THIS DOCUMENT SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, EXCEPT IN FULL, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION.

06/30/08

CUSTOMER / BESTELLER / CLIENT

FRY STEEL COMPANY

ATTN: LARRY LONG
13325 MOLETTE ST
SANTA FE SPRING CA 90670

SELLER / VERKÄUFER / VENDEUR PAGE 1 OF 1

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008

Q.C. W.M. Date 12/11/08

RAN

CUSTOMER ORDER NO./BESTELL.-NR./N° DE COMMANDE

CARPENTER NO./WERKS-NR./N° DE REFERENCE INTERNE

DATE/DATUM/DATE

WEIGHT/GEWICHT/POIDS

45078-6 S# 19845

W89158

06/30/08

2098.000

HEAT NUMBER / SCHMELZE-NR. / N° DE COULEE :

212194

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: PYROMET 625 HI TEMP ALLOY ANNEALED GROUND

SPECIFICATION: AMS 5666 REV F (05/06)

ASTM-B446-03 GRADE 1

GE S-1000 (01/02/08)

DFARS 1998 EDITION

GE S-400 (10/31/07)

SIZE 2.375000 IN. (60.33 MM) RD BAR

INGOT 2

PRIMARY HEAT CHEMISTRY(WT%): (TEST METHOD IS SHOWN IN PARENTHESIS)

C (COM)	MN (XRF)	SI (XRF)	P (COM)	LT S (COM)	CR (XRF)
0.04	0.04	0.06	0.003	.001	22.21
NI (XRF)	MO (XRF)	CO (XRF)	AL (OES)	TI (XRF)	CB (XRF)
60.21	8.78	0.09	0.19	0.27	3.52
TA (OES)	CB+TA	FE (XRF)			
0.01	3.54	4.22			

GEAG SUPPLIER CODE 21100 / CARPENTER

HARDNESS AS SHIPPED, HBW

235

(MIDRADIUS)

YIELD STRENGTH, (0.20 %) KSI(MPA) 75.0 (517)
TENSILE STRENGTH, KSI(MPA) 136.0 (938)
ELONGATION IN 2.00", % 45.0
REDUCTION OF AREA, % 55.0

GRAIN SIZE PER ASTM E112: 8 (10%) 10 (90%)
5 ML H2O2(30%), 100 ML HCL

MATERIAL PRODUCED ON THIS ORDER WAS MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE U.S.A.
MATERIAL HAS BEEN MELTED IN USA OR QUALIFYING COUNTRY TO DFARS REQUIREMENTS 252.225-7014 WITH ALTERNATE 1 FOR QUALIFYING COUNTRY 225.872.1.

CARPENTER'S QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM WAS REGISTERED AS OF SEPTEMBER 2, 2004 TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ISO 9001:2000 APPROVAL CERTIFICATE 07-0869 BY PERFORMANCE REVIEW INSTITUTE. CERTIFICATE OF TEST IS PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 3.1 OF EN 10204 (DIN 50049). WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE TEST DATA ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

FRY STEEL CO. CERTIFIED THAT THIS IS
A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL MILL TEST
REPORT NOW ON FILE
RECEIVED AND INSPECTED

DAWN E. BOSCH
MET RELEASE/REQUIREMENTS ANALYST
CARPENTER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

Dawn E. Bosch

This certification is made to the customer printed on this form. Carpenter neither makes, nor assumes responsibility for, any representation or certification to other parties.
Die vorliegende Zertifizierung ist nur für den in diesem Formular genannten Kunden gültig. Carpenter übernimmt gegenüber Dritten keinerlei Haftung für die ausgetragenen Daten oder Zertifizierungen.
Ce certificat est uniquement valable pour le client dont le nom est imprimé sur ce document. Carpenter n'assume pas de responsabilité pour une certification vis-à-vis d'une tierce personne.

212194-2

2-36 00

BY CHIP SANDOVAL - Q.C. MANAGER

Maass Flange Corporation

6202 Lumberdale Rd
Houston, Texas 77092

ISO 9001:2000
Certified

Material Test Certification Certificate Conforms to EN 10204/3.1

Sold to: ROBERT JAMES SALES, INC.
2164 E. AURORA RD
TWINSBURG, OH 44087

Cust. P.O.#: CG6153
Order#: 167490

Item 1

Qty	Part Number		Part Description						Heatcode		HeatNumber		Material	
1.00	22301005113		D-FIN F316H 1.00 1500 RF WN XH						H9		E51071		F316H	
C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	N	Cu	Ti	V	Co	Ta	W
0.049	1.500	0.030	0.0280	0.600	10.120	16.340	2.090	0.0550				.200	.000	
Cb	Cb+Ta		Al	Fe	Tensile		Yield		Elong.%	R of A %		BHN	Grain	
					89,800		45,400		61.00	75.20		174.00	5.00	

CVN: -20F, 171, 154, 163 ft-lbs

Heat Treatment: Solution annealed at 1950F/1065C and water quenched

Specification: A/SA182-07a F316H; NACE MR-0175, MR-0103; B16.5-2003 as applicable

Made in the USA

PO. 30817
36094 MK15

*We certify that the material represented by this document has been tested and inspected
and is in conformance with the purchase order, drawing('s) and specification requirements.*

Approved by

Harold Acord
Quality Assurance Inspector

9/23/2008

Page 1 of 1

HAYNES

International

3786 Second St., Arcadia, LA 71001

REPORT OF PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL & MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Customer: J and J Inc. Purchase Order No.: 068575 Reference: 8/22/2008
 Sales Order No.: 516072 Produced On: 516072-1 Date Certified: 8/22/2008

Heat Number	Pieces	Footage	Weight	OD	Wall	Ordered Length	Alloy	Specification
2650-7-6865	25	542.8'	1166#	1"	Sch. 80	204"-288" R/L	HAYNES® 625 alloy N06625	ASTM B 444-06, GR-1 ASME SB 444 EN 10204 3.1

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Ingot	Al	B	C	Ca	Cd	Cl	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hf	Mg	Mn	Mo	N	Na	Nb
0.26			0.024				0.31	21.64		4.48			0.27	8.54			

Ni	O	P	Pb	S	Si	Sn	Ta	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zr	Cb+Ta	Ni+Co	Fe+Cr+Ni	Cb
59.62		0.007		<.002	0.16			0.29						3.54			

TENSILE AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

No.	Ultimate PSI	0.2% Yld PSI	% Elong. 2 in.	No.	Ultimate PSI	0.2% Yld PSI	% Elong. 2 in.
32378	133,200	70,500	42				

Corrosion Grain Size ASTM E-112 (9.5) Hardness 95.5HRb
☒ Visual ☒ Dimensional * ☐ Pneumatic at PSI ☐ Hydrostatic at PSI ☒ Eddy Current 100 % ☐ Ultrasonic %
☐ Radiography % ☐ Penetrant % ☐ Cleanliness ☒ Straightness ☒ Alloy Check ☐ Drift ☐ Boroscope % ☐ Flattening ☐ Reverse Flattening
☐ Flare ☐ Bend ☐ Flange ☒ Microstructure *Material sampled in accordance with MIL-STD-105E.

Heat # 2650-7-6865
 Code SP80S151
 Vendor Haynes
 PO # 68575

Certification of this material is in accordance with EN 10204 3.1.

Material meets requirements of NACE MRO175/ISO15156.

Material when shipped is free from contamination by mercury, radium, alpha source and low melting elements.
 No Weld Repair.

Final Heat Treat: 982 ° for 7 MAT.

Country of Melt: USA. This material is DFAR 252.225-7014 compliant.

Certified By *Soliman L. Crew*

The above material has been manufactured, inspected and tested in accordance with the purchase order and specifications referenced above and found to meet the stated requirements.

3604 MK 18

AMERICAN BOA

POST OFFICE BOX 1301
TELEPHONE (770)889-9400

INCORPORATED

CUMMING, GEORGIA 30040
TELECOPIER (770)889-0661

36094 MK 27
NON-CODE

Form QA16, Rev.0, 1-16-98

September 12, 2008

MATERIAL TEST REPORTS

QA/QC DOCUMENT SUBMITTAL FORM 1A

X COMPLETE

PARTIAL

BOA Order No.: 1049967

Customer Contract No.: 700522797

Customer Name: PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION

Attention:

Product Type: EXPANSION JOINT

ABI Drawing Numbers: 1019759

Reference Documents:

Contract Type: COMMERCIAL

Type Quality Control System Implemented: ISO 9001 & ASME "U" STAMP

Special Contract Requirements:

Documents or Specifications Applicable to Material Test Reports:

Document Description	Enclosed	Not Enclosed
NATERIAL TEST REPORTS	X	
NDE REPORTS	X	
U2A DATA REPORT	X

Authorized Signature

FORM U-2A MANUFACTURER'S PARTIAL DATA REPORT (ALTERNATIVE FORM)

A Part of a Pressure Vessel Fabricated by One Manufacturer for Another Manufacturer

As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

- Manufactured and certified by: American Boa, Inc. 1420 Redi Road, Cumming, Georgia, United States, 30040
(Name and Address of manufacturer)
- Manufactured for: Pinnacle West Capital Corporation P.O. Box 53940 Phoenix, AZ 85072-3940
(Name and address of purchaser)
- Location of Installation: Unknown
(Name and address of purchaser)
- Type Expansion Joint 118373 & 118374 none
(Description of vessel part (shell, two-piece head, tube bundle)) (Mfg's Serial Number) (CRN)
none 1020044 Rev A American Boa, Inc. 2008
(Nat'l Bd No.) (Drawing No.) (Drawing prepared by) (Year built)
- ASME Code, Section VIII, Div. 1: 2007 Edition none none
(Edition and Addenda (date)) (Code Case No.) (Special Service per UG-120(d))
- Shell (a) No. of course(s) 3 (b) Overall length (ft. & in.) 0 ft & 10.25 in

Course(s)			Material	Thickness		Long joint (Cat. A)			Circum. joint (Cat. A, B & C)			Heat treatment	
No.	Diameter, in	Length (ft & in)	Spec./Grade or Type	Nom	Corr	Typ	Full,Spot,None	Eff	Type	Full,Spot,None	Eff	Temp.	Time
1	2 in	7.5 in.	SB409 Alloy 800h	.012	0	1	none	1.0					
1	2 in.	5.2in. OD	SB409 Alloy 800h	.75	0		None	.7					
1	2 in.	5.2 in. OD	SB409 Alloy 800h	1.0	0		None	.7					

- Heads (a) none (b) none
(Mat'l Spec No., Grade or Type) H.T. - Time & Temp (Mat'l Spec No., Grade or Type) H.T. - Time & Temp

Location(Top, Bottom,ends)	Thickness		Radius		Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to pressure		Category A		
	Min.	Corr.	Crown	Knuc.					Convex	Concave	Type	Full,Spot,None	Eff.

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastening): none

- MAWP: 35 -50 psi at max. temp.: 1000 1000 F Min. Design metal temp: 70 F at: 35/-50 psi
(Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)

- Impact test: Not required
(indicate yes or no and the component(s) impact tested)

- Hydro., pneu., or comb. test press: 46 psi Proof test: none

- Nozzles, inspection, and safety valve openings: none

Purpose (inlet, outlet, drain, etc)	No.	Diameter or size	Flange Type	Material		Nozzle Thickness		Reinforcement Material	How Attached		Location (Insp. Open.)
				Nozzle	Flange	Nom.	Corr.		Nozzle	Flange	

- Supports - Skirt No Lugs: No Legs: No Others: none Attached none
(yes or no) (yes or no) (yes or no) (Describe) (Where and how)

- Remarks This part has been designed by American Boa, Inc. in accordance with EJMA and ASME Section VIII, Div. 1, 2007 ED.
Axial Spring Rate: 201 Fatigue Life: 166 Axial Movement: .0 in., Extension/Compression 3.00 in
Loading Condition: Thermal Mvmt. Unit was helium leak check at 1 x 10-6.

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements made in this report are correct and that all details of material, construction, and workmanship of this pressure vessel part conform to the ASME code for pressure vessels, Section VIII, Division 1.

U certificate of Authorization No.: 24,594 expires: February 1 20 11
Date: 9/16/08 Name: American Boa, Inc. Signed: Eddie Olick
(Manufacturer) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD INSPECTION

I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel inspectors and/or the State or Province of Georgia and employed by: Arise Inc. of Brecksville, OH have inspected the pressure vessel

part described in this Manufacturer's Data report on September 16, 20 08 and state that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed this pressure vessel part in accordance with ASME Code, Section VIII, Division 1. By signing this certificate neither the inspector nor his employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel part described in the Manufacturer's Data

report. Furthermore, neither the inspector nor his employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date: 9/16/08 Signed: [Signature] Commissions: GA27
(Authorized Inspector) (Nat'l. Board (include Endorsements), State, Province, and No.)

AMERICAN BOA INC.

Expansion Joints - Bellows
Automotive Decoupling Joints - Flexible Hoses

REPORT OF NONDESTRUCTIVE EXAMINATION

Form QA18 Rev.2, 1/04/08

Date 12 September, 2008

CUSTOMER NAME: PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION P.O. Number: 700522797
Reference Number as applicable:

ABI FACTORY / SALES ORDER NUMBER: 1049967

SPECIFICATION FOR TEST : SEE BELOW
(criteria for test)

TEST PERFORMED: DYE PENETRANT
(Type of Test)

Note; (PT Testing is by Visible Penetrant components, which are, Penetrant Type SKL-SP, Cleaner Type SKC-S, Developer Type SKD-S2 or equivalents) Lighting utilized for examination was artificial, portable as needed to obtain necessary brightness.

PROCEDURE USED FOR TEST: 4.498.002 REV.4
(ABI Procedure number and Rev)

RESULTS OF TESTING:

DATE	Part/Ser #	DESCRIPTION	ACCEPT	REJECT	Mat'l/Thickness	
9/15/08	118373 & 118374	Bellows seam welds & attachment welds	X		800H	.012

SIGNED By::	TESTER		NDE LEVEL		Q.A. Mgr./ NDE Supervisor		AUTH. INSPECTOR	
					NDE Level		Or Customer as applicable	
Signatures →	S.Walls	II	Eddie Hicks	II
Date signed	9-15-08		9-15-08		9/16/08			

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

SSC ORDER NO. 45224

APL RD 48860 PN 3007456

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

(Incorporated)

ONE SANDMEYER LANE • PHILADELPHIA, PA 19116-3503
800 520-0603 • FAX 215-677-1430 • www.SandmeyerSteel.comFamily Owned and Managed —
Making Stainless Steel and Nickel Alloy Plate Products
Since 1952BILL
TO

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

AMERICAN BOA INC.
P. O. BOX 1301
CUMMING, GA 30028WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING
IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND
TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 48860 REPLACE

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

DATE: 09/08/08

GRADE: UNS N08810		SPECIFICATION: ASME SB-409		HEAT NO.: 511717					
PIECES	DESCRIPTION								
2	SSC ALLOY 800H ANNEALED PLATE 3/4" THK PER DRAWING 3007456 REV.A MACHINED PLATE NO. 29917								
HEAT NO.		C	Mn	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Cu	Ti
511717		0.069	1.000	0.00100	0.290	30.500	20.820	0.020	0.520
		Al	Fe						
		0.550	46.060						
HEAT NO.		Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Grain Size				
511717		36.100	80.500	52% 1N 2"	2				

QUALITY APPROVED
BY: ED 9-15-08

meets or

* LBS/IN2

MATERIAL ANNEALED AT 2100 DEGREES F MINIMUM

MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY ALLEGHENY LUDLUM STEEL CORP.

THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION
This fax was received by GFI FAXmaker fax server For more information, visit: <http://www.gfi.com>

P.O. # 48860
PN# 3007456
181241

Jessop Specialty Products
500 Green Street
Washington, PA 15301

CERTIFIED MATERIAL
TEST REPORT

Bill SANDMEYER STEEL CO
TO 1 SANDMEYER LANE
PHILADELPHIA PA

19116

OUR ORDER NO. LP4051230
YOUR ORDER NO. 60818
MEMO NO. 260688-00 DUAL CERT
DATE 09/08/2004
SALESMAN NO. 513

P. M. Claitor
P.M. Claitor - Product Quality Engineer

JESSOP UNS N08B11/810 ALLOY HRAP
ASTM B409-01 ASME SB-409-01 UNS N08B11 (800AT) UNS N08B10 (800H)

Heat	Slip	Lot No	Size	Pcs	Weight
511716	36040 A	143094	.5000 x 96.0000 x 234.0000	1	3379
511717	36045 A	143256	1.0000 x 96.0000 x 252.0000	1	7168

Heat	C	MN	P	S	SI	NI	CR	CO	CU	TI	AL	FE	MO
511716	.072	1.00	.015	.0002	.37	30.74	20.75	.02	.03	.520	.520	45.80	.06
511717	.069	1.00	.012	.0001	.29	30.50	20.82	.01	.02	.520	.550	46.04	.02

Lot No	Gauge	Yield Strength	Tensile Strength	Elong	Red. of Area	Hardness	Bend	Corrosion	Grain Size
143094	.5000	45.6 KSI	89.0 KSI	49.0	67.0	BHN163			5
143256	1.0000	36.1 KSI	80.5 KSI	52.0	66.0	BHN143			2

MATERIAL WAS NOT WELD REPAIRED
MATERIAL WAS SOLUTION ANNEALED (HEAT TREATED) AT 2100F MINIMUM AND WATER QUENCHED
MATERIAL WAS PRODUCED WITHOUT KNOWN CONTACT WITH MERCURY
MATERIAL IS OF USA MELT AND MANUFACTURE

PAGE 1 FINAL PAGE

EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE NOTED, THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LISTED SPECIFICATIONS AND RESULTS CONFORM TO THE SPECIFICATION AND ORDER REQUIREMENTS

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

ABLP048860 PN 3007454

SSC ORDER NO. 45224

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

(Incorporated)

ONE SANDMEYER LANE • PHILADELPHIA PA 19116-3592
800-522-3032 • FAX 215-677-1430 • www.SandmeyerSteel.comFamily Owned and Managed —
Making Stainless Steel and Nickel Alloy Plate Products
Since 1952BILL
TO

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

AMERICAN BOA INC.
P. O. BOX 1301
CUMMING, GA 30028WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING
IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND
TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 48860 REPLACE

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

DATE: 09/08/08

GRADE: UNS N08810	SPECIFICATION: ASME SB-409	HEAT NO: 725206						
PIECES	DESCRIPTION							
2	SSC ALLOY 800H ANNEALED PLATE 1" THK PER DRAWING 3007454 REV.A MACHINED PLATE NO. 38224							
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> ABI Q.A. APPROVED BY: Eot 9-15-08 Meed 07 Feb </div>								
HEAT NO.	C	Mn	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Cu	Ti
725206	0.068	0.970	0.00020	0.360	30.440	20.740	0.310	0.540
	Al	Fe						
	0.540	45.330						
HEAT NO.	Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Grain Size				
725206	27.100	80.000	55% IN 2"	2				

* LBS/IN2

MATERIAL ANNEALED AT 2100 DEGREES F MINIMUM

MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY ALLEGHENY LUDLUM STEEL CORP.

THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION
This fax was received by GFI FAXmaker fax server For more information, visit <http://www.gfi.com>
 P/N 48860
 P/N 3007454
 187261

Allegheny Technologies

Bill SANDMEYER STEEL CO
TO 1 SANDMEYER LANE
PHILADELPHIA PA

500 Green Street
Washington, PA 15301

CERTIFIED MATERIAL
TEST REPORT

OUR ORDER NO. 617227363
YOUR ORDER NO. 61449
MEMO NO. 318618-00 DUAL CERT
DATE 06/18/2007
SALESMAN NO. 513

Joe M. Xenakis
D. M. XENAKIS - Director, Corporate Quality Assurance

19116

ALC 800AT/800H PLATE HRAP
ASTM B409 01 ASME SB409 A05
NACE MR0175 NACE MR0103
UNS N08811 (800AT), UNS N08810 (800H) ASME SA-480-04 EN 10204:2005 3.1

Heat 725206 Slip 91056 A Lot No 196343 1.2500 x 96.0000 x 234.0000 Pcs Weight 1 8268

Heat 725206 C .068 MN .97 P .025 S .36 SI 30.44 CR 20.74 CO .16 CU .31 TI .540 AL FE MG .540 45.33 .34

Lot No 196343 Gauge Cond TRANS 1.2500 Test ANNEAL Yield Strength 27.1 KSI Tensile Strength 80.0 KSI Elong 55.0 Red. of Area 70.0 Hardness Bend BHN139 Corrosion Size 2

MATERIAL WAS SOLUTION ANNEALED (HEAT TREATED) AT 2100F MINIMUM AND WATER QUENCHED
MATERIAL WAS PRODUCED WITHOUT KNOWN CONTACT WITH MERCURY OR LOW MELTING POINT CONTAMINANTS
MATERIAL IS OF USA MELT AND MANUFACTURE
DIN EN 10204:2005 3.1 CERTIFICATE
MATERIAL WAS NOT WELD REPAIRED

THIS CERTIFICATE OF TEST SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED IN FULL WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY. THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS, OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THE CERTIFICATE MAY BE PUNISHED AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL LAW. TESTING WAS PERFORMED AT ALC MDCAP AND ISO/IEC 17025 APPROVED LABORATORIES LOCATED AT NATHAN HEIGHTS, BRACKENRIDGE, LATHROP, MIDLAND, AND DEERBURG, PA FACILITIES OR A MDCAP AND ISO/IEC 17025 ACCREDITED LABORATORY. EN 10204 - 3.1 ALLEGHENY LUDLUM IS APPROVED AS MANUFACTURER ACCORDING TO AD-MERKBLATT TWOTED 100 AND THE PRESSURE EQUIPMENT DIRECTIVE PED 97/23/EC.

ALLEGHENY LUDLUM PERFORMS CHEMICAL ANALYSIS BY THE FOLLOWING TECHNIQUES: C, S BY COMBUSTION/INFRARED; N, Q, H BY INERT FUSION/THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY; MN, P, SI, CR, NI, MO, CU, CO, V, BY WDXRF; B BY OES; AL AND TI ($\pm 0.10\%$) BY WDXRF, OTHERWISE BY OES. PB, BK, AG BY GFA.

CERTIFICATE OF TEST STATEMENT & CHEMISTRY STATEMENT
EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE NOTED, THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED AND TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LISTED SPECIFICATIONS AND RESULTS CONFORM TO THE SPECIFICATION AND ORDER REQUIREMENTS.

MATERIAL CERTIFICATION

57 DODGE AVE.
NO. HAVEN, CT. 06473
AREA CODE 203 239-4481

FAX 203-239-7479
TELEX 160110

201 2013634 PN 3002596

CUSTOMER NO.	DATE ORD.	ULBRICH	JOB NO.	CUST. P.O. NO.
1003670-19	10/04/02	STAINLESS STEELS	3K6691	13634 ITEM 1

SOLD TO: AMERICAN BOA INC
PO BOX 1301
CUMMING GA 30028

SHIP TO: AMERICAN BOA
1420 REDI ROAD
CUMMING GA 30040
DOCK#4

COL/PPD/EC
X

SHIP VIA: USF REDSTA

TYPE	GAUGE	TEMPER	FINISH	WIDTH	LENGTH	EDGE	QTY. ORDERED U/M	
I8000	.01200	AN	#2	10.0000	0.000	ML	150.00	LB
TOL. + .00100 - .00100		TOL. + 0.2500 - 0.2500		+ .00000 - .00000		CAMBER .250" IN 8'	FLATNESS NO	
						CUST. P/N 3002590		
						CUST. SPEC. ASTM B409/I800H		

156541

HEAT NO. 0613BHH

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

CARBON	: .08	NICKEL	: 30.48
MANGANESE	: .96	ALUMINUM	: .47
SILICON	: .26	COPPER	: .01
PHOSPHORUS	: .016	TITANIUM	: .54
SULPHUR	: .001	IRON	: BAL
CHROMIUM	: 20.70		

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AT ROOM TEMPERATURE

TENSILE (PSI): 92600	HARDNESS : 83 HRB	BEND TEST:
YIELD (PSI): 47800	GRAIN SIZE: 9.5	
ELONG (%): 41	HARDNBLTY :	EMBRITTLE:

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AFTER HEAT TREATMENT

TENSILE (PSI):	HARDNESS :
YIELD (PSI):	
ELONG (%):	HARDNBLTY :
STRESS RUPTURE TEST:	

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AT DEG. F

TENSILE (PSI):	HARDNESS :
YIELD (PSI):	
ELONG (%):	HARDNBLTY :

OTHER PROPERTIES

THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION

COMMENTS

MATERIAL CONFORMS TO ASTM B409/I800H

E.O. H. 10-24-02
Meet 07 Ed.

5008590
5000056143

TESTED TO ULBRICH QUALITY PROCEDURES
CERTIFICATION CAN NOT BE COPIED EXCEPT IN FULL

SIGNED:

Patrick Robb
PATRICK ROBB

TITLE: GENERAL PROCESS/PRODUCT ASSURANCE MANAGER

DATE: 10/18/02

MA-001
9/91

NET WEIGHT SHIPPED

175.00

TG

NOTICE OF SHIPMENT/
PACKING LIST

ALLEGHENY LUDLUM STEEL
DIVISION OF ALLEGHENY LUDLUM CORPORATION
DEDICATED TO QUALITY SPECIALTY STEEL

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

1ST ORDER NO. & DATE

ULS0004533

102/21/00

CUST CODE

907700

BRACKENRIDGE, PA

SHIPPER NO

466248

PRODUCT CODE

13050103060000

MILL ORDER NUMBER

32-020-045

DATE SHIPPED

04/26/00

FORMS DISTRIBUTION

1 SHIP TO

SPEC

SOLD TO

REPEAT ORDER

71982-3

DATE

26

PRIME SEC.

DSO

514

ULBRICH STAINLESS STEELS AND

SPECIAL METALS INC

57 DODGE AVE

NORTH HAVEN

CT 06473

SHIPPING LOCATION

BRACKENRIDGE

PA

INVOICE

033208

SHIP TO

ULBRICH WHSE

WHARTON BROOK IND CENTER

DODGE AVE

NORTH HAVEN

CT 06473

CARRIER - RAIDER TRUCK LINES

AL 800 ALLOY" SHEET C R ANNEALED 2D FIN 3 EDGE (ASTM-B-409-96A) (AMS 5871C) (12/09/96 EX. TO AMS 5871)

ITEM PCS DIMENSIONS W/G/L

HEAT #

COIL #

TEST #

GROSS TARE NET

THEO

TAG #/

CD SKID

91B 1 48././062/561.LF

0613BHH 09109N770A

6040 10 6030

653196

00C 1 48././062/449.LF

0613BHH 09109N770B

4934 10 4924

653506

2 COILS

10974 20 10954

DIST: S.O. STATUS - HTA

TYPE HEAT/TEST

HEAT 0613BHH

---C--- --MN-- --P--- --S--- --SI-- --CR-- --NI-- --AL-- --CU-- --TI-- --FE--

.08 .96 .016 .001 .26 20.70 30.48 .47 .01 .54

BAL

YIELD TENSILE % ELONG

PSI * PSI IN 2" % R/A

HARDNESS BEND

T 49100. 89500. 40. NR 83.HRB T PASS NR

GRAIN

SIZE

9.

HARDENABILITY

NR

* Y.S. BY 0.2% OFFSET METHOD

NR = DATA NOT REQUIRED

METALLOGRAPHIC MAGNIFICATION: 100X

ETCHANT USED: HCl/NITRIC/ACETIC MIXED ACID

PAGE 01 - FINAL PAGE.

SHIPPING CONTROL COPY

04/26/00 13:31:00

NOTE-Please Note-This consignment was turned over to
in first class condition, being correctly loaded, at which time
responsibility for loss or damage in shipment ceased. For your

WARNING Les fiches d'information-sécurité de ce produit ont été fournies à votre
département chargé des achats. Pour obtenir des exemplaires supplémentaires
veuillez téléphoner au numéro suivant 724-945-0679 Attention: les traitements

The above is a true copy of data on file. The material and test results
conform to the sales contract and specification(s) as set forth in
Allegheny Ludlum's Order Acknowledgement.

1

1.68881

55A

162066 999997

ATI Allegheny Ludlum
Steel Products

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

AL 6758-3 407

SAMPLED !

1/07

81

HAI MY ASS

2020/11/25

12

1

A

CD SKI

CPY OF

46.5

ILITY

6

108

90.06

NOTES

TRACER # 1606666

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

CUST 000 M7 1 DATE

C24122

SOLD TO	1	SOLD TO	1	SPEED	1
---------	---	---------	---	-------	---

**SOLD TO
ROLLED ALLOYS INC**

PO BOX 310
TEMPERANCE

MI 48182

187-300

7735501

MEMO	ORDER	RATE	QTY
73284-7		31	

PRIME SEC.

DSO
584

ה

WILLIAMS

VANDERGRIFF, PA. 566300

170411000 1 A003

1
2
3
4
5
6

ROLLED A
9944 881

CINCINNATI

FROM THE

1302010306000	32-117-027	12/31/07
---------------	------------	----------

PA	659381	INVOICE
----	--------	---------

GRADE AND SPECIFICATIONS

AL 800 AT NICKEL ALLOY SHEET C R COILS ANNEALED 2D FIN 3 EDGE (ASTM-B-409-01) (ASME-SB-409 2001 ED)
(UNS N08811) (DIN STOFF-NR 1.4959 CHEM ONLY)

GRADE VERIFICATION WAS CARRIED OUT SPECTROSCOPICALLY

EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND SERVICES ARE OF U.S. ORIGIN

THE NUMERIC CODES SHOWN UNDER MELT SOURCE CAN BE INTERPRETED AS FOLLOWS:

1. - MATERIAL MELTED AND ROLLED IN THE UNITED STATES AND COMPLIES WITH DFARS EDITION 1998, SECTION 252.225-7014
2. - FOREIGN MELT AND ROLLED IN THE UNITED STATES

THIS CERTIFICATE OF TEST SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE COMPANY. THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THE CERTIFICATE MAY BE PUNISHED AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL LAW. MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ALC QUALITY MANUAL REVISION 16 DATED 08/07/2006. ALC HOLDS SEVERAL QUALITY CERTIFICATIONS THAT INCLUDE ISO-9001, DIN EN 10204:2005 - 3.1, ALLEGHENY LUDLUM IS APPROVED AS A MANUFACTURER ACCORDING TO AD-MERK BLATT W6/TRD100 AND THE PRESSURE EQUIPMENT DIRECTIVE PED 97/23/EC. TESTING WAS PERFORMED AT ALC NADCAP APPROVED LABORATORIES LOCATED AT NATRONA HEIGHTS, BRACKENRIDGE, LATROBE, MIDLAND, PA FACILITIES OR A NADCAP AND ISO/IEC 17025 ACCREDITED LABORATORY.

ALLEGHENY LUDLUM PERFORMS CHEMICAL ANALYSIS BY THE FOLLOWING TECHNIQUES:

ANALYSIS BY COMBUSTION/INFRARED

CONDUCTION/INTEGRATED CONDUCTIVITY

IN, P, SI, CR, NI, MO, CU, CB, CO, V BY WDXRF

BY OES

AL AND TI ($\geq 0.10\%$) BY WDXRF, OTHERWISE BY OES

PPB, BI, AG BY GF AA

PAGE 02 - CONTINUED ON PAGE 03

12/31/07 09:12:06

CONSIGNEES: Please Note—This consignment was limited over to carriers in first class condition, being correctly loaded, at which time our responsibility for loss or damage in transit ceased. For your protection please examine and sign as a carrier. If any shortages or damage is discovered, file a full description made by inspection on sight on receipt before signing.

Universal Safety Data Sheet for **our product** have been accepted by your Purchasing Committee. For all additional information, contact your Purchasing Committee. For all additional information, contact your Purchasing Committee. For all additional information, contact your Purchasing Committee.

The above is a true copy of case on file. The national and local offices of the American Council on Education (ACE) are both in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

for M. Xerdis

Don M. Xerdis
Director, Corporate Quality Assurance

#TRUCKER#

Quality Assurance
1606266

AL 6168-3 407

PRODUCT CODE	MATL	SHIPPING LOCATION	MAIL ORDER NUMBER	DATE SHIPPED
1302010306000		32-117-027		12/31/07
2162 VANDERGRIFF			PA	659381

SHIP TO
CONTROLLED ALLOYS INC.
9944 PRINCETON GLENDALE ROAD
CINCINNATI OH 45246

CARRIER - GROSS, RONALD, INC.

AL 800 AT NICKEL ALLOY SHEET C R COILS ANNEALED 2D FIN 3 EDGE (ASTM-B-409-01) (ASME-SB-409 2001 ED)
(UNS N08811) (DIN STOFF-NR 1.4959 CHEM ONLY)

[illegible]

MATERIAL TESTED AT (GEAE S400 DATED 9/01/06) APPROVED LABORATORIES AT ALLEGHENY LUDLUM FACILITIES
LOCATED AT TECHNICAL CENTER NATRONA HEIGHTS, AND BRACKENRIDGE, PA.

PAGE 03 - FINAL PAGE.

ISSUED BY ALLEGHENY LUDLUM - 01/02/08 14:06 /tas

CONSEQUENCE: Please Note—This Consequence was turned over to carrier in last case condition, using carrier's headed, on which are our responsibility for loss or damage in shipment needed for your protection. Please examine shipment as it arrives. If any shortage, production, or damage is discovered, have a full description made by transportation agent on receipt before signing.

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS for this product have been prepared by our Purchasing Department. For an additional copy please 724-228-6577. CAUTION: Potentially toxic materials, irritants or materials may cause skin irritation. See Material Safety Data Sheets for further information.

This report is a true copy of data on file. The material and data herein conform to the data, contract, and specifications) as set forth in the contract and the Order Agreement.

Depo del. N. 10. 10. 10.

TRACER# 11026666

CERTIFICATE of TEST and ANALYSIS
United Titanium, Inc

3450 OLD AIRPORT ROAD
WOOSTER, OHIO 44691
(330) 264-2111 or FAX (330) 263-1336

Quantity: 8.000 EA Lot: M413410
Product Shipped: 1-08-519-12.25
3/8-24 X 2-1/4 SKT CAP A-625

Manufactured from:

Size: .625 DIAMETER - DFARS

Alloy: ALLOY 625

Heat: D90Y

To: GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710
USA

Customer P.O.: 31307
Sales Order: 991359

SPECIFICATIONS

ASTM B446 N06625 Grade 1

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

(INGOT ANALYSIS)

Al	.25	C	.040	Cb+Ta	3.79	Co	.04	Cr	20.83
Fe	4.42	Mn	.03	Mo	8.37	Ni	Bal	P	.003
S	.0004	Si	.03	Ti	.29				

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

(RAW MATERIAL)

HEAT TREATMENT

TEMP
°F

UTS
Ksi

.2% YIELD
Ksi

EL
%

Ra
%

HARDNESS

Annealed

ROOM

136.1

82.6

57.0

63.9

24 RC

NOTES:

- Material is free from Mercury Contamination
- Grain size meets specification requirements

REMARKS:

MELT SOURCE: ALLVAC - USA
This material is DFARS compliant.
This material is RoHS compliant.

United Titanium, Inc. certifies that these figures are true as taken directly from the mill test report supplied to us. The original is kept on file.

JOY KAPELUCK, Material Certification

12/11/2008

CERTIFICATE of TEST and ANALYSIS

unitedtitanium, inc

3450 OLD AIRPORT ROAD
WOOSTER, OHIO 44691
(330) 264-2111 or FAX (330) 263-1336

Quantity: 8.000 EA Lot: M413420
Product Shipped: 1-08-569-12.75
3/8-24 X 2-3/4 STUD A-625

Manufactured from:

Size: .375 DIAMETER - DFARS

Alloy: ALLOY 625

Heat: D88P

To: GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710
USA

Customer P.O.: 31307
Sales Order: 991359

SPECIFICATIONS

ASTM B446 N06625 Grade 1

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

(INGOT ANALYSIS)

Al	.26	C	.036	Cb+Ta	3.78	Co	.13	Cr	20.95
Fe	4.18	Mn	.07	Mo	8.27	Ni	Bal	P	.007
S	.0003	Si	.11	Ti	.29				

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

(RAW MATERIAL)

HEAT TREATMENT	TEMP °F	UTS Ksi	.2% YIELD Ksi	EL %	Ra %	HARDNESS
Annealed	ROOM	130.7	76.4	57.3	59.7	

NOTES:

- Material is free from Mercury Contamination
- Grain size meets specification requirements

REMARKS:

MELT SOURCE: ALLVAC, USA
This material is DFARS compliant.
This material is RoHS compliant.

United Titanium, Inc. certifies that these figures are true as taken directly from the mill test report supplied to us. The original is kept on file.

JOY KAPELUCK, Material Certification

12/11/2008

CERTIFICATE of TEST and ANALYSIS

united titanium, inc

3450 OLD AIRPORT ROAD
WOOSTER, OHIO 44691
(330) 264-2111 or FAX (330) 263-1336

Quantity: 8.000 EA Lot: M413430
Product Shipped: 1-08-269-10.00
3/8-24 HEAVY HEX NUT A-625

Manufactured from:

Size: .875 DIAMETER

Alloy: ALLOY 625

Heat: D11D

To: GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710
USA

Customer P.O.: 31307
Sales Order: 991359

SPECIFICATIONS

ASTM B446 N06625 Grade 1

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

(INGOT ANALYSIS)

Al	.28	C	.037	Cb+Ta	3.79	Co	.10	Cr	20.89
Fe	4.53	Mn	.04	Mo	8.51	Ni	Bal	P	.006
S	.0003	Si	.07	Ti	.31				

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

(RAW MATERIAL)

HEAT TREATMENT	TEMP °F	UTS Ksi	.2% YIELD Ksi	EL %	Ra %	HARDNESS
Annealed	ROOM	132.3	64.4	51.0	0.0	23 RC

NOTES:

- Material is free from Mercury Contamination
- Grain size meets specification requirements

REMARKS:

MELT SOURCE: ALLVAC

This material is DFARS compliant.

United Titanium, Inc. certifies that these figures are true as taken directly from the mill test report supplied to us. The original is kept on file.

JOY KAPELUCK, Material Certification

12/11/2008

CERTIFICATE of TEST and ANALYSIS

unitedtitanium, inc

3450 OLD AIRPORT ROAD
WOOSTER, OHIO 44691
(330) 264-2111 or FAX (330) 263-1336

Quantity: 8.000 EA Lot: M220960
Product Shipped: 1-08-329-00.00
3/8 LOCK WASHER A-625

Manufactured from:

Size: .078 SHEET
Alloy: ALLOY 625

Heat: KCY79A

To: GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710
USA

Customer P.O.: 31307
Sales Order: 991359

SPECIFICATIONS

ASTM B443 N06625 Grade 1

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

(INGOT ANALYSIS)

Al	.24	C	.02	Cb+Ta	3.41	Co	.12	Cr	22.00
Fe	3.16	Mn	.06	Mo	8.71	Ni	Bal	P	.007
S	.001	Si	.06	Ti	.28				

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

(RAW MATERIAL)

HEAT TREATMENT	TEMP °F	UTS Ksi	.2% YIELD Ksi	EL %	Ra %	HARDNESS
Annealed	ROOM	133.7	70.4	49.7		95.8 HRB

NOTES:

- Bend test acceptable (N/A for Plate)
- Material is free from Mercury Contamination

REMARKS:

MELT SOURCE: USA
This material is DFARS compliant.

United Titanium, Inc. certifies that these figures are true as taken directly from the mill test report supplied to us. The original is kept on file.

JOY KAPELUCK, Material Certification

12/11/2008

Solar Atmospheres of Western PA
Certification

Order No.: 39109

Date: 11/17/2008

Entry Date: 10/10/2008

Page: 1 of 1

To:

GASPAR INC
1545 WIPPLE AVE SW

Purchase Order No.: 30966

Packing List No.:

CANTON OH 44710

87208

Material: INCONEL 625

All work performed subject to Solar Atmospheres Terms Of Sale as presented on form SA-1 (01-00).

Quantity	Part Number / Part Name / Part Description	Pounds
4	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 1/4" X 83 1/4" TUBE	10
2	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 1/4" X 72 3/4" TUBE	

Insp. Type	Scale	Minimum	Maximum	Number	Other
------------	-------	---------	---------	--------	-------

Customer Requirements:

N/A

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE NAMED PARTS WERE PROCESSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR PURCHASE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND PROCESS HW8598 10/17/08 JNH.

FURNACE RUN # 70-8598-2123

This certification is no guarantee of material performance, properties, or microstructure. Mechanical, physical, and/or metallurgical testing is not performed unless specifically itemized on your purchase order to Solar Atmospheres.

QUALITY DEPARTMENT
SOLAR ATMOSPHERES INC.

Solar Atmospheres of Western PA

Certification

Order No.: 39109

Date: 11/17/2008

Entry Date: 10/10/2008

Page: 1 of 1

To:GASPAR INC
1545 WIPPLE AVE SW

Purchase Order No.: 30966

Packing List No.:

CANTON OH 44710

87379

Material: INCONEL 625

All work performed subject to Solar Atmospheres Terms Of Sale as presented on form SA-1 (01-00).

Quantity	Part Number / Part Name / Part Description	Pounds
4	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM # 36095-0 3" DIA X 43 3/4" BAR	420
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 9" BAR	
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 5 1/2" BAR	
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 6 3/4" BAR	
1	TEST PIECE	

Insp. Type	Scale	Minimum	Maximum	Number	Other
Customer Requirements:					
N/A					

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE NAMED PARTS WERE PROCESSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR PURCHASE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND PROCESS HW8598 10/17/08 JNH.

FURNACE RUN # 70-8598-2123

This certification is no guarantee of material performance, properties, or microstructure. Mechanical, physical, and/or metallurgical testing is not performed unless specifically itemized on your purchase order to Solar Atmospheres.

QUALITY DEPARTMENT
SOLAR ATMOSPHERES INC.

0
21-08

Work TC-3 °F
Work TC-2 °F
Work TC-1 °F
Control T/C °F

0 300 600 900 1200 1500 1800 2100 2400 2700 3000

10/20/08 15:30:00
10/21/2008 7:29:49 AM

HT-70, Solar Atmospheres of Western PA

10/21/08 03:10:24.250
Page 1 of 1

GASPAR INC

70-8598-2123

HW8598 10/17/08 JNH

SOLUTION ANNEAL / THERMALLY STABILIZE - INCONEL 625 - SOLAR SPEC -
GASPAR INC.

NOTE:

-RAMP RATE MAY BE ADJUSTED IF NECESSARY.

PROCESS:

1. PUMP DOWN TO A HARD VACUUM (1×10^{-3} TORR OR LOWER).
2. RAMP AT $600^{\circ}\text{F} / \text{HOUR}$ TO $2100^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$.
3. HOLD AT $2100^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$ FOR 60-75 MINUTES, WORK TC'S.
4. HELIUM 2 BAR QUENCH TO BELOW 175°F , WORK TC'S.

5. PUMP DOWN TO A HARD VACUUM (1×10^{-3} TORR OR LOWER).
6. RAMP AT $600^{\circ}\text{F} / \text{HOUR}$ TO $1850^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$.
7. HOLD AT $1850^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$ FOR 60-75 MINUTES, WORK TC'S.
8. -5 ARGON QUENCH TO BELOW 200°F , WORK TC'S

A DIVISION OF J.T. ADAMS CO., INC.

4520 WILLOW PARKWAY
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44125
PHONE (216) 641-3290
FAX (216) 641-1223
www.tensile.com

CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

Gaspar Inc.
1545 Whipple Ave. SW
Canton OH 44710

Job No.: A8-296-826
Date: 10-29-08
Cust. PO#: 31221

Description: 2 samples 3" Dia. x 6" Bar Project# 36095-0
1/4" x 48" Tube

Spec: ASTM B446/ASME SB446⁴ N06625 Gr. 2

TEST RESULTS

Requirements (Min.):	<u>Tensile, ksi</u>	<u>Yield, .2% ksi</u>	<u>Elong., % in 2"</u>
Tube	100	40	30
HT#V00611	122	49.7	61

Test Method: ASTM A370-08a

Requirements (Min.):	<u>Tensile, ksi</u>	<u>Yield, .2% ksi</u>	<u>Elong., % in 4D</u>	<u>Red. of Area, %</u>
Bar	100	40	30	
HT#L01A	110	47.3	62	56

Test Method: ASTM A370-08a

The above conforms to specifications listed.

Authorized Agent

RECEIVED

10/30

Page 1 of 1

This Report May Not Be Reproduced Except In Full

This report represents Tensile Testing interpretation of the results obtained from the test and is not to be construed as a Guaranty or Warranty of the condition of the materials tested. Tensile Testing shall not be held liable for misinterpretation of conditions, loss, damage, injury or death arising from or attributable to delay preceding a test or subsequent to performance of a test.

CAMDEL METALS
A Handy & Harman Company

12244 WILLOW GROVE ROAD
CAMDEN, DE 19934
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TEST REPORT

ISO 9001:2000 CERTIFIED

SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Alloy/Grade: IN625B Temper: Annealed
Specifications: ASTM B444-04, UNS N06628

LENGTH: 20. / 20.083
OD: .25 / .254
ID: 0 / 0
Wall: .0345 / .0715

Qty Shipped: 2660

Date Shipped: 3/02/06

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

PROPERTY	MIN	REQUIRED	MAX	ACTUAL
Tensile - PSI	120000			123300
				123200
Yield - PSI	60000			64300
				64000
Elongation	35			50
				54

OTHER TESTS

Flare OK, E.C. OK, Flatten OK, Hydro OK, Clean ID, Clean OD, Bright ID, Bright OD

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Heat: V006A1 Melter: DMV Country of Origin: U.S.A.
C: 0.021 Mn: 0.080 P: 0.005 S: 0.001 Si: 0.030
Cr: 20.40 Ni: 63.02 Fe: 4.70 Mo: 8.23 Al: 0.060
Ti: 0.05 Co: 3.33

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND TESTS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND THAT THE VALUES SHOWN ARE CORRECT AND TRUE. BASED ON THESE TESTS, THE MATERIAL COMPLIES WITH THE ORDER REQUIREMENTS. --- PRODUCT MANUFACTURED IN USA ---
--- MATERIAL PRODUCED FREE OF MERCURY ---

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008

Q.C. WM Date 12/3/08

By: Wayne Klobst Wayne Klobst page: 1
Lab Supervisor

Date: 3-2-2006

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT #110708-1 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE:11-07-08 Page 1 of 1

CUSTOMER Gaspar INC. LOCATION canton ohio
PO# 10978 JOB# 36094 MATERIAL TYPE: carbon steel

WELDING PROCESS: see DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER .594"-1.034" SCREENS F/B: .005"- .010"

FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK T FILM SIZE: 4 1/8" x 17" SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐

OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 1" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 10 3/4" TIME: 1.30 Ug 0.20

SOURCE 182 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 66 KV — MA —

RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME sec. V Art. 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME sec. III UW 51

IQI SIZE: 1B SIDE: SOURCE ☐ FILM ☒ IQI TYPE: ASTM-E-1025 SHIM THK: — TECH. USED B

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.

ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER: <i>[Signature]</i>	RADIOGRAPHER: <i>S. Lindsey</i>	CLIENT REVIEWER
SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>II</i>	SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>II</i>	<i>Wanda Norman</i>

"A" = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}$ "

"B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}$ " to Unlimited

"C" = Diameter limited by

X

[illegible]

REVIEWER: <i>[Signature]</i>	RADIOGRAPHER: <i>S. Lindsey</i>	CLIENT REVIEWER
SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>[Signature]</i>	SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>II</i>	<i>Wesley Morgan</i>
"A" = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}$ "	"B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}$ " to Unlimited	"C" = Diameter limited by

X

NOT FOR FABRICATION

GUIDE A, B, C, D DETAIL

NOZ, CO1 THRU C25
3/4" C25 TOTAL

INNER TC PAD DETAIL

GENERAL INFO

1 LOCATION AND TELEPHONE PER BOX NLS-9994

3 BEWARE ALL CARS 5 LEO FOR ALL INSURE GOOD
24 (99) RAC UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

4 SPECIAL CARS IN DANGER, CHECK ALSO IN 7 (1) COUNCIL
OF A GROUP LEADING TOWARD IN 10 (1) EDWARDS, BETHESDA

2 1/8" 2 1/2"

SPIDER SUPPORT DETAIL

180°

SEE DETAIL

TOP HEAD DETAIL

ON HOLD
BY CUSTOMER.

WASHER DETAIL
1/8" THK.

INNER TUBE DETAIL

NUMBER 35-B 36094-B ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV. PHONE NO. 700521452 NUMBER 700521452 25269 RECEIVED FROM 1	Gaspar, Inc. CANTON, OHIO KINETICS REACTOR	ORDER NO. 36094 QUANTITY 1 ORDER DATE 11/15/80 ORDER BY J. H. H.	CASE NUMBER c 1
---	--	---	--------------------

ELEVATION VIEW
SEE SECTIONAL ELEVATION VIEW
ON SHEET 2

*** MACHINING NOTE:**
ALL GASKET SURFACES
ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE.
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
(DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIMS.)

NOTE: APPLY HI-TEMP. THREAD LUBE/ ANTI-SEIZE TO ALL FASTENER THREADS WHEN ASSEMBLING

ORIENTATION VIEW

NOTE: MARK NO. 6, 7, & 1/4" OD TUBE, NOT INCLUDED IN PRESSURE BOUNDARY.

SECTION A~A

SUPPORT A, B, C, D DETAIL

SHELL SIDE

DESIGN PRESSURE INTERNAL: 1200 PSIG @ 700 DEG F

DESIGN PRESSURE EXTERNAL: N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F

HYDRO TEST: N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F

PNEUMATIC TEST: 1320 PSIG

MONIT: 5 DEG F

RADIOPH: NONE

JOINT EFFICIENCY: 0.85

ESTIMATED ALLOWANCE: = .03"

ESTIMATED CAPACITY: 53 US GALLONS

INSULATION SPTS: NONE

RADIOGRAPH: LONG

SHELL

W/AS

N/A

FULL UW-11A

NOZ (P/E) SMS

NOZ(P) N/A

HEAD

DESIGN PRESSURE INTERNAL: 1200 PSIG @ 1000 DEG F

DESIGN PRESSURE EXTERNAL: N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F

HYDRO TEST: N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F

PNEUMATIC TEST: 1320 PSIG

MONIT: 5 DEG F

RADIOPH: NONE

JOINT EFFICIENCY: 0.85

ESTIMATED ALLOWANCE: = .03"

ESTIMATED CAPACITY: N/A US GALLONS

INSULATION SPTS: NONE

RADIOGRAPH: LONG

SHELL

W/AS

N/A

FULL UW-11A

NOZ (P/E) SMS

NOZ(P) N/A

WELD PROCEDURES	
MATERIAL	WPS *
C.S. TO C.S.	S-1A S-3A
C.S. TO S.S.	S-6A
S.S. TO S.S.	STN-1A STN-3A
S.S. TO INCONEL	STN-22A
-	-

1. FLANGE BOLL HOLES SHALL STRADDLE 0 & 180 DEG. VESSEL CENTRLINES UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
2. WELDS SHALL BE NEAT IN APPEARANCE, FREE OF SLAG, UNDERCUTS AND OTHER DEFECTS.
3. REINFORCING PADS AND PAD SECTIONS SHALL HAVE 1/8 INCH NPT WEEP HOLE LOCATED AS LOW AS POSSIBLE IN THE PAD WHEN THE VESSEL IS IN OPERATING POSITION. PLUG WEEP HOLE WITH HEAVY GREASE.
4. VESSEL SHALL BE CLEANED OF SCALE, OIL, WELD SPATTER AND ALL OTHER FOREIGN MATTER BEFORE HYDROSTATIC TESTING.
5. COAT ALL NOZZLE GASKET SURFACES WITH PROTECTIVE LUBRICANT BEFORE BLANKING FOR SHIPMENT.
6. PROTECT ALL MACHINED SURFACES AND THREADED CONNECTIONS WITH WOOD OR PLASTIC PROTECTORS BEFORE SHIPMENT.

ESTIMATED EMPTY WEIGHT: 1900 LBS

SHIPPING SIZE: 19 X 19 X 218 INCHES

COATING:	COATING:		NO.
NAME:	NAME:	NAME:	
± 1/8"	FACE OF NOZZLE TO CENTERLINE OF EQUIPMENT.	5	ROCK 12/17/08
± 1/8"	C.L. OF NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.	3	ROCK 12/8/08
1"	NOZ. FLNG FACE ALIGNMENT, C.L. TO EDGE, IN DEGREES RISE	4	ROCK 10/17/08
± 1/8"	PLAN LOCATION OF NOZ. OR SUPPORT LUGS FROM EQUIP. C.L. OR DATUM REF.	2	ROCK 10/16/08
± 1/8"	FACE OF BOTTOM NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.	1	ROCK 8/14/08
		NO.	BY DATE

FABRICATION TOLERANCES (GENERAL)

MAJOR COMPONENT DESIGN DATA

VESSEL DESIGNED PER THE ASME CODE SECTION VIII DIVISION 1, 2007 ADDENDUM

CODE STAMP: YES

GENERAL NOTES:

1. FLANGE BOLL BOLDS SHALL STRADDLE 0 & 180 DEG. VESSEL CENTRLINES UNLESS NOTED
2. WELDS SHALL BE NEAT IN APPEARANCE, FREE OF SLAG, UNDERGUTS AND OTHER DEFECTS
3. REINFORCING PAOS AND PAD SECTIONS SHALL HAVE 1/8 INCH NPT WEEP HOLE LOCATED LOW AS POSSIBLE IN THE PAD WHEN THE VESSEL IS IN OPERATING POSITION. PLUG WEEP HOLE WITH HEAVY GREASE.
4. VESSEL SHALL BE CLEARED OF SCALE, OIL, WELD SPATTER AND ALL OTHER FOREIGN MATTER BEFORE HYDROSTATIC TESTING.

-NOTE: N/P TO BE ROLLED TO MATCH OD OF SHELL.
N/P TO BE ATTACHED DIRECTLY TO SHELL W/ SEAL WELD

C25	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C24	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C23	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C22	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C21	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C20	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C19	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C18	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C17	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C16	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C15	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C14	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C13	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C12	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C11	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C10	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C09	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C08	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C07	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C06	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C05	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C04	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C03	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C02	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
C01	3/4"	COUPLING	THRD	8000"	
I4	2"	INJECTOR NOZ.	-	-	
I3	2"	INJECTOR NOZ.	-	-	
I2	2"	INJECTOR NOZ.	-	-	
I1	1"	INJECTOR NOZ.	-	-	
A	1"	COAL INLET	FRW	1500"	
W	SIZE	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	CLASS	
		NOZZLE		FLAME	

KINETICS REACTOR

DRAWN BY: RCK/7-21-08	DRAWING NUMBER: 550091	CODE	REVISION
--------------------------	---------------------------	------	----------

CHECKED BY	2007	3
-	SHEET 1 OF 5	

1/4" OD X 0.0625" AW TUBE

- OD. X 0.0625" AW TUBE
SB-444-N06625 MAT.
SEE DWG. 36095 MK-14

(TO BE WELDED IN
FIELD BY CUSTOMER)
(4) PLACES

1/16" THK.

DRAWING JOB #		36094-B	
PLANT		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.	
P.O. NO.	700521452	NUMBER OF UNITS	1
INVOICES #	25269	REFERENCE NUMBER	
DRAWING TITLE		KINETICS REACTOR	
CANTON, OHIO
Gaspar, Inc.			
ISSUED BY:	DATE:	ISSUED BY:	DATE:
CKC/7-1-08		CKC	7
CHECKED BY:		SHEET	3 OF 5
36094		5	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 REMOVE AND DEBURR ALL SHARP EDGES.

INJECTOR HEAD FLG. DETAIL

***MACHINING NOTE:**
ALL GASKET SURFACES
ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE.
(DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIMS.)

WELDED HYDRO COVER
REMOVE AFTER
HYDRO. AND
GRIND SMOOTH
(4) PLACES

HYDRO TEST CONFIGURATION & ASSEMBLY

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
2 INSERTS TO BE INSTALLED 1/4 TO 1/2 TURN BELOW WORKING SURFACE OF HOLE. MAKE SURE TANG ON INSERT IS REMOVED AFTER INSTALLATION.
3 BREAK ALL EDGES 5 (20) MAX. ALL INSIDE RADIUS 2/4 (0.09) MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
4 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

12X (1-1/32") ϕ 1.031 $\pm$.2
EQ. SPACED ON
FOR 1-12 HELICAL INSERTS
1020 A B

SHELL HEAD FLG. DETAIL

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^\circ 30'$
ONE PLACE DECIMAL: $\pm 0.015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL: $\pm 0.005"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL: $\pm 0.0025"$
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5M-1994

GASPAR JOB #		36094-B	
CLIENT		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.	
P.O. NO.	700521452	NUMBER OF UNITS	1
INQUIRY #	25269	REFERENCE NUMBER	
DRAWING TITLE			
KINETICS REACTOR			
DRAWN BY:		DRAWING NUMBER	
RCK/7-21-08		36094	
CHECKED BY:		CODE	
-		c	
		REVISION	
		5

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 (.20) MAX. ALL INSIDE RADIUS 2.4 (1.09) MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 3 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

SHELL LOWER FLG. DETAIL

LOWER HYDRO. COVER DETAIL

11-14 CAP DETAIL

BY CUSTOMER

*MACHINING NOTE:

ALL GASKET SURFACES ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. (DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIM'S.)

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	
ANGULAR: $\pm 10^\circ$ $\pm 30^\circ$	
DIM. PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm .0015"$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm .0005"$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm .00015"$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm .00005"$
INTERPRET DIMENSIONAL TOLERANCING PER:	ANSI Y14.5M-1994

GASPAR JOB #		36094-B	
CLIENT		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.	
P.O. NO.	700521452	NUMBER OF UNITS	1
INQUIRY #	25269	REFERENCE NUMBER	
DRAWING TITLE		KINETICS REACTOR	
DRAWN BY:		36094 c	
CHECKED BY:		5	
REVISION		5

CALCULATION PACKAGE

FABRICATOR

GASPAR INC.

1545 WHIPPLE AVE SW

CANTON OH, 44710

PHONE 330-477-2222

PURCHASER **ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.**

LOCATION **PHEONIX, AZ**

P.O. 700521452

DATE **May 5, 2008**

VESSEL **REACTOR UNIT**

ITEM NUMBER **PRTY# 3**

GASPAR SERIAL# **36094**

DEC 18, 2008: "FLANGE, SHELL HEAD" & "FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL FOOT" WERE SA-105 MATERIAL.

DEC 10, 2008: ADDED INJECTOR CAP & 1/4" OD TUBE CALCS

SEP 30, 2008: UPDATED NOZ "I1" THRU "I4" RADIAL ORIENTATION & PROJECTION. PRESSURE TEST WAS PNEUMATIC.

Dec 18, 2008	SEE NOTE ABOVE	<i>Matthew L. Miller</i>
Dec 10, 2008	SEE NOTE ABOVE (MLM) ☒	
Sep 30, 2008	SEE NOTE ABOVE (MLM) ☒	
Jul 29, 2008	FULL CALCULATION PACKAGE FOR APPROVAL (MLM) ☒	
Jun 13, 2008	OUTER SHELL & FLANGES ADDED TO CALCS (MLM) ☒	
Jun 4, 2008	FOR APPROVAL (MLM) ☒	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

Table Of Contents

1. Pressure Summary
2. Pneumatic Test
3. Weight Summary
4. INJECTOR WEIGHT (APPROX.)
5. INNER TUBE WEIGHT (APPROX)
6. HEATER WEIGHT, UPPER
7. HEATER WEIGHT, LOWER
8. CHAR POT WEIGHT
9. 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
10. Straight Flange on 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
11. FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD
12. FLANGE, SHELL HEAD
13. REACTOR OUTER SHELL
14. COAL INLET (A)
15. INJECTOR HOUSING (I1)
16. INJECTOR HOUSING (I2)
17. INJECTOR HOUSING (I3)
18. INJECTOR HOUSING (I4)
19. COUPLING (C01)
20. COUPLING (C02)
21. COUPLING (C03)
22. COUPLING (C04)
23. COUPLING (C05)
24. COUPLING (C06)
25. COUPLING (C07)
26. COUPLING (C08)
27. COUPLING (C09)
28. COUPLING (C10)
29. COUPLING (C11)
30. COUPLING (C12)
31. COUPLING (C13)
32. COUPLING (C14)
33. COUPLING (C15)
34. COUPLING (C16)
35. COUPLING (C17)
36. COUPLING (C18)
37. COUPLING (C19)
38. COUPLING (C20)
39. COUPLING (C21)
40. COUPLING (C22)
41. COUPLING (C23)
42. COUPLING (C24)
43. COUPLING (C25)
44. Wind Code
45. Seismic Code
46. SUPPORT LUGS

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT
CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

NOTE: "ADAPTER FLANGE, REACTOR TO CHAR POT"
"KINETICS REACTOR INNER TUBE", AND 1/4" OD TUBE
ARE NOT INCLUDED IN CODE PRESSURE BOUNDARY.

- 47. FLANGE, REACTOR OUTER SHELL FOOT
- 48. ADAPTER FLANGE, REACTOR TO CHAR POT
- 49. INJECTOR CAP (I1 TO I4)
- 50. KINETICS REACTOR INNER TUBE
- 51. RUPTURE DESIGN FOR 1/4" TUBE
- 52. 1/4" TUBE TO VESSEL WELD

Pressure Summary

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MAWP (psi)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	1,200	1,000	1,596.11	-320	Note 1	No
Straight Flange on 8" WELD CAP SCH 80	1,200	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
REACTOR OUTER SHELL	1,200	700	1,381.61	-21.5	Note 3	No
FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD	1,200	700	1,258.75	-320	Note 2	No
FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD - Flange Hub	1,200	700	1,378.83	-320	Note 2	No
FLANGE, SHELL HEAD	1,200	700	1,293.18	-49.49	Note 4	No
FLANGE, SHELL HEAD - Flange Hub	1,200	700	1,872.99	-55	Note 5	No
SUPPORT LUGS	1,200	700	1,258.75	N/A	N/A	N/A
COAL INLET (A)	1,200	1,000	1,263.39	-55	Note 6	No
COUPLING (C01)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C02)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C03)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C04)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C05)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C06)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C07)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C08)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C09)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C10)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C11)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C12)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C13)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C14)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C15)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C16)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C17)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C18)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C19)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C20)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C21)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C22)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C23)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C24)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
COUPLING (C25)	1,200	700	1,381.47	-155	Note 7	No
INJECTOR HOUSING (I1)	1,200	1,000	1,596.14	-325	Note 8	No

INJECTOR HOUSING (I2)	1,200	1,000	1,596.14	-325	Note 8	No
INJECTOR HOUSING (I3)	1,200	1,000	1,596.14	-325	Note 8	No
INJECTOR HOUSING (I4)	1,200	1,000	1,596.14	-325	Note 8	No

Chamber design MDMT is 5 °F

Chamber rated MDMT is -21.5 °F @ 1,258.75 psi

Chamber MAWP hot & corroded is 1,258.75 psi @ 700 °F

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

Notes for MDMT Rating:

Note #	Exemption	Details
1.	<u>Straight Flange</u> governs MDMT	
2.	Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F	
3.	Material impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve B = -5.1 °F Fig UCS-66.1 MDMT reduction = 16.4 °F, (coincident ratio = 0.8359815)	UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.5198 in
4.	Flange impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve D = -49.49 °F UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.594 in	Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (c) = -55 °F
5.	Material impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve D = -53.74 °F Fig UCS-66.1 MDMT reduction = 38.2 °F, (coincident ratio = 0.6178278) Rated MDMT is governed by UCS-66(b)(2)	UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.5198 in
6.	Flange rating governs: Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F	Per UHA-51(d)(1)(a)
7.	Nozzle is impact test exempt to -155 °F per UCS-66(b)(3) (coincident ratio = 0.11344).	
8.	Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F	

Pneumatic Test

Shop test pressure determination based on design P per UG-100(b)

Shop pneumatic test gauge pressure is 1,320 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,200 psi)

The shop test is performed with the vessel in the horizontal position.

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-100 stress ratio	UG-100 pressure factor
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	1,320	0	1.3072	1.10
Straight Flange on 8" WELD CAP SCH 80	1,320	0	1.3072	1.10
REACTOR OUTER SHELL	1,320	0	1.0962	1.10
FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD (1)	1,320	0	1	1.10
FLANGE, SHELL HEAD	1,320	0	1	1.10
COAL INLET (A)	1,320	0	1.1472	1.10
COUPLING (C01)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C02)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C03)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C04)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C05)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C06)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C07)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C08)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C09)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C10)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C11)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C12)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C13)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C14)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C15)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C16)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C17)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C18)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C19)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C20)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C21)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C22)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C23)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C24)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10
COUPLING (C25)	1,320	0	1.1628	1.10

INJECTOR HOUSING (I1)	1,320	0	1.146	1.10
INJECTOR HOUSING (I2)	1,320	0	1.146	1.10
INJECTOR HOUSING (I3)	1,320	0	1.146	1.10
INJECTOR HOUSING (I4)	1,320	0	1.146	1.10

Notes:

- (1) FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD limits the UG-100 stress ratio.
- (2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded*	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	13.8	12.9	0	0	0	0	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL	888.6	803.6	0	0	0	0	0
SUPPORT LUGS	63.2	63.2	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	965.6	879.8	0	0	0	0	0

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	109	108.3	16.6	15.8	0	0	0	0	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL	69.7	68.1	11.7	10.9	0	0	0	0	910*
TOTAL:	178.8	176.4	28.3	26.7	0	0	0	0	910*

* This number includes vertical loads which are not present in all conditions.

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 1,993 lb
Vessel operating weight, New: 2,083 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 1,993 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 2,083 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 1,323 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 1,583 lb
Center of Gravity: 102.9366"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 53 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 54 US gal

**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

INJECTOR WEIGHT (APPROX.)

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	170"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	30 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	Yes

INNER TUBE WEIGHT (APPROX)

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	95"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	120 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	Yes

HEATER WEIGHT, UPPER

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	122.875"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	130 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

HEATER WEIGHT, LOWER

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	42.875"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	130 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

CHAR POT WEIGHT

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	0"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	500 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	No
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

8" WELD CAP SCH 80

ASME Section VIII, Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Ellipsoidal Head
Material Specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p.78, ln. 8)
Straight Flange governs MDMT

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,200 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_s = 0 \text{ psi (SG=1, } H_s=0" \text{ Operating head)}$

Corrosion allowance: Inner $C = 0.03"$ Outer $C = 0"$

Design MDMT = 5°F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320°F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to fine grain practice
PWHT is not performed
Do not Optimize MDMT / Find MAWP

Radiography: Category A joints - Seamless No RT
Head to shell seam - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight*: new = 13.8 lb corr = 12.9 lb
Capacity*: new = 0.6 US gal corr = 0.6 US gal
* includes straight flange

Outer diameter = 8.625"
Minimum head thickness = 0.4375"
Head ratio $D/2h$ = 2 (new)
Head ratio $D/2h$ = 1.9848 (corroded)
Straight flange length L_{sf} = 1.68"
Nominal straight flange thickness t_{sf} = 0.4375"

Results Summary

The governing condition is internal pressure.

Minimum thickness per UG-16 = $0.0625" + 0.03" = 0.0925"$

Design thickness due to internal pressure (t) = 0.343"

Maximum allowable working pressure (MAWP) = 1,596.11 psi

K (Corroded)

$$\begin{aligned} K &= (1/6) * [2 + (D / (2 * h))^2] \\ &= (1/6) * [2 + (7.81 / (2 * 1.9675))^2] \\ &= 0.989874 \end{aligned}$$

K (New)

$$\begin{aligned} K &= (1/6) * [2 + (D / (2 * h))^2] \\ &= (1/6) * [2 + (7.75 / (2 * 1.9375))^2] \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness for internal pressure, (Corroded at 1,000 $^{\circ}\text{F}$) Appendix 1-4(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 t &= P \cdot D_o \cdot K / (2 \cdot S \cdot E + 2 \cdot P \cdot (K - 0.1)) + \text{Corrosion} \\
 &= 1,200 \cdot 8.625 \cdot 0.989874 / (2 \cdot 15,300 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1,200 \cdot (0.989874 - 0.1)) + 0.03 \\
 &= 0.343"
 \end{aligned}$$

The head internal pressure design thickness is 0.343".

Maximum allowable working pressure, (Corroded at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-4(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 P &= 2 \cdot S \cdot E \cdot t / (K \cdot D_o - 2 \cdot t \cdot (K - 0.1)) - P_s \\
 &= 2 \cdot 15,300 \cdot 1 \cdot 0.4075 / (0.989874 \cdot 8.625 - 2 \cdot 0.4075 \cdot (0.989874 - 0.1)) - 0 \\
 &= 1,596.11 \text{ psi}
 \end{aligned}$$

The maximum allowable working pressure (MAWP) is 1,596.11 psi.

% Forming strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EFE &= (75 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\
 &= (75 \cdot 0.4375 / 1.5363) \cdot (1 - 1.5363 / \infty) \\
 &= 21.3588\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Straight Flange on 8" WELD CAP SCH 80

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Straight Flange
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,200$ psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0$ psi (SG = 0, $H_s = 9.6584$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = 5 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 5.5 lb corr = 5.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.34 US gal corr = 0.35 US gal

OD = 8.625"

Length $L_c = 1.68$ "

t = 0.4375"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,200 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,200) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.358" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435\% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.358"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,200	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1257	0.1257
						Seismic	0.1257	0.1256
Operating, Hot & New	1,200	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1247	0.1247
						Seismic	0.1247	0.1246
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0003	0.0004
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0003	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0003	0.0004
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.0005

FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD

ASME VIII-1, 2007 Edition, Appendix 2 Flange Calculations

Flange is attached to: 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
 Flange type: Weld neck integral
 Flange material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Bolt material specification: SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$ (II-D p. 348, ln. 33)
 Bolt Description: 1 in -14 Thread
 Internal design pressure, P: 1,200 psi @ 700 °F
 Required flange thickness: $t_r = 2.9132$ in
 Maximum allowable working pressure, MAWP: 1,258.75 psi @ 700 °F
 Corrosion allowance: Bore = 0.03 in
 Bolt corrosion (root), C_{bolt} : 0 in
 Design MDMT: 5 °F
 Rated MDMT: -320 °F

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

Estimated weight: New = 109 lb

Flange = 0 in

No impact test performed

Flange material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to fine grain practice

PWHT is not performed
 corroded = 108.3 lb

Flange dimensions, new

flange OD A = 14.625 in
 bolt circle C = 12.57 in
 gasket OD = 10.65 in
 gasket ID = 9.9 in
 flange ID B = 7.625 in
 thickness t = 3 in
 bolting = 12- 1 in dia
 hub thickness $g_1 = 0.5$ in
 hub thickness $g_0 = 0.5$ in
 length e = 3.705 in
 gasket factor m = 3
 seating stress y = 10,000 psi
 Gasket thickness T = 0.175 in

NOTE: THICKNESS "t" INCLUDES 1/16" RAISED FACE.

Note: this flange is calculated as an integral type.

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Wind

Longitudinal bending moment on flange

$$\begin{aligned}P_m &= 16 * M_b / (\pi * G^3) \\&= 16 * 1,500 / (\pi * 10.275^3) \\&= 7.0423 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

Axial load on flange

$$\begin{aligned}P_r &= -4 * F / (\pi * G^2) \\&= -4 * 500 / (\pi * 10.275^2) \\&= -6.03 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

Total design load on flange (used for H - ref. III-1 NC-3658.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&= P + P_s + P_m + P_r \\&= 1,200 + 0 + 7.0423 + -6.03 \\&= 1,201.0123 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

$$\text{Gasket width } N = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

$$b_0 = N/2 = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Effective gasket seating width, } b = b_0 = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

$$G = (\text{gasket OD} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = (10.65 + 9.9) / 2 = 10.275 \text{ in}$$

$$h_G = (C - G) / 2 = (12.57 - 10.275) / 2 = 1.1475 \text{ in}$$

$$h_D = R + g_1 / 2 = 1.9725 + 0.47 / 2 = 2.2075 \text{ in}$$

$$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G) / 2 = (1.9725 + 0.47 + 1.1475) / 2 = 1.795 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned}H_p &= 2 * b * 3.14 * G * m * P \\&= 2 * 0.1875 * 3.14 * 10.275 * 3 * 1,200 \\&= 43,555.72 \text{ lb}_f\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}H &= 0.785 * G^2 * P \\&= 0.785 * 10.275^2 * 1,201.0123 \\&= 99,536.13 \text{ lb}_f\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}H_D &= 0.785 * B^2 * P \\&= 0.785 * 7.685^2 * 1,200 \\&= 55,633.79 \text{ lb}_f\end{aligned}$$

$$H_T = H - H_D$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 99,536.13 - 55,633.79 \\ &= 43,902.34 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m1} &= H + H_p \\ &= 99,536.13 + 43,555.72 \\ &= 143,091.86 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m2} &= 3.14 * b * G * y \\ &= 3.14 * 0.1875 * 10.275 * 10,000 \\ &= 60,494.06 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Required bolt area, } A_m = \text{greater of } A_{m1}, A_{m2} = 5.723674 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 143,091.9 / 25,000 = 5.7237 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 60,494.06 / 25,000 = 2.4198 \text{ in}^2$$

$$\text{Total area for 12- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, } A_b = 6.612 \text{ in}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (A_m + A_b) * S_a / 2 \\ &= (5.7237 + 6.612) * 25,000 / 2 \\ &= 154,195.92 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$M_D = H_D * h_D = 55,633.79 * 2.2075 = 122,811.6 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_T = H_T * h_T = 43,902.34 * 1.795 = 78,804.7 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$H_G = W_{m1} - H = 143,091.9 - 99,536.13 = 43,555.73 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G * h_G = 43,555.73 * 1.1475 = 49,980.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 122,811.6 + 78,804.7 + 49,980.2 = 251,596.5 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W * h_G = 154,195.9 * 1.1475 = 176,939.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B \cdot g_0)^{1/2} = (7.685 \cdot 0.47)^{1/2} = 1.9005 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 14.625/7.685 = 1.9031$

$$T = 1.5435 \quad Z = 1.7629 \quad Y = 3.1841 \quad U = 3.499$$

$$h/h_0 = 0.371 \quad g_1/g_0 = 1$$

$$F = 0.9089 \quad V = 0.5501 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.4782$$

$$d = (U/V) \cdot h_0 \cdot g_0^2 = (3.49902/0.5501) \cdot 1.9005 \cdot 0.47^2 \\ = 2.6704 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t \cdot e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (3 \cdot 0.4782 + 1)/1.543522 + 3^3/2.6704 \\ = 11.68838$$

$$S_H = f \cdot M_o / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 251,596.5 / (11.68838 \cdot 0.47^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 12,680 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_o / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B) \\ = (1.33 \cdot 3 \cdot 0.4782 + 1) \cdot 251,596.5 / (11.68838 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 905 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_o / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R \\ = 3.1841 \cdot 251,596.5 / (3^2 \cdot 7.685) - 1.7629 \cdot 905 \\ = 9,987 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fo} = 12,100 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{no} = 15,300 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fo}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fo}, 2.5 \cdot S_{no}] = 18,150 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fo}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 6,792 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fo}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 11,333 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fo}

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f \cdot M_g / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 176,939.8 / (11.68838 \cdot 0.47^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 8,917 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 * t * e + 1) * M_g / (L * t^2 * B)$$

$$= (1.33 * 3 * 0.4782 + 1) * 176,939.8 / (11.68838 * 3^2 * 7.685)$$

$$= 637 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y * M_g / (t^2 * B) - Z * S_R$$

$$= 3.1841 * 176,939.8 / (3^2 * 7.685) - 1.7629 * 637$$

$$= 7,024 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 * S_{fa}, 2.5 * S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 4,777 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 7,970 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 * V * M_o / (L * E * g_o^2 * K_I * h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 * 0.5501 * 251,596.5 / (11.6884 * 24,800,000 * 0.47^2 * 0.3 * 1.9005)$$

$$= 0.1976626$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Seismic

Longitudinal bending moment on flange

$$P_m = 16 * M_b / (\pi * G^3)$$

$$= 16 * 1,500 / (\pi * 10.275^3)$$

$$= 7.0423 \text{ psi}$$

Axial load on flange

$$P_r = -4 * F / (\pi * G^2)$$

$$= -4 * 500 / (\pi * 10.275^2)$$

$$= -6.03 \text{ psi}$$

Total design load on flange (used for H - ref. III-1 NC-3658.1)

$$= P + P_s + P_m + P_r$$

$$= 1,200 + 0 + 7.0423 + -6.03$$

$$= 1,201.0123 \text{ psi}$$

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

Gasket width $N = 0.375 \text{ in}$

$$b_o = N/2 = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

Effective gasket seating width, $b = b_0 = 0.1875$ in

$G = (\text{gasket OD} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = (10.65 + 9.9) / 2 = 10.275$ in

$h_G = (C - G) / 2 = (12.57 - 10.275) / 2 = 1.1475$ in

$h_D = R + g_1 / 2 = 1.9725 + 0.47 / 2 = 2.2075$ in

$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G) / 2 = (1.9725 + 0.47 + 1.1475) / 2 = 1.795$ in

$H_p = 2 * b * 3.14 * G * m * P$
 $= 2 * 0.1875 * 3.14 * 10.275 * 3 * 1,200$
 $= 43,555.72 \text{ lb}_f$

$H = 0.785 * G^2 * P$
 $= 0.785 * 10.275^2 * 1,201.0123$
 $= 99,536.13 \text{ lb}_f$

$H_D = 0.785 * B^2 * P$
 $= 0.785 * 7.685^2 * 1,200$
 $= 55,633.79 \text{ lb}_f$

$H_T = H - H_D$
 $= 99,536.13 - 55,633.79$
 $= 43,902.34 \text{ lb}_f$

$W_{m1} = H + H_p$
 $= 99,536.13 + 43,555.72$
 $= 143,091.86 \text{ lb}_f$

$W_{m2} = 3.14 * b * G * y$
 $= 3.14 * 0.1875 * 10.275 * 10,000$
 $= 60,494.06 \text{ lb}_f$

Required bolt area, $A_m = \text{greater of } A_{m1}, A_{m2} = 5.723674 \text{ in}^2$

$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 143,091.9 / 25,000 = 5.7237 \text{ in}^2$

$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 60,494.06 / 25,000 = 2.4198 \text{ in}^2$

Total area for 12- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, $A_b = 6.612 \text{ in}^2$

$W = (A_m + A_b) * S_a / 2$
 $= (5.7237 + 6.612) * 25,000 / 2$
 $= 154,195.92 \text{ lb}_f$

$M_D = H_D * h_D = 55,633.79 * 2.2075 = 122,811.6 \text{ lb-in}$

$M_T = H_T * h_T = 43,902.34 * 1.795 = 78,804.7 \text{ lb-in}$

$$H_G = W_{ml} - H = 143,091.9 - 99,536.13 = 43,555.73 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G * h_G = 43,555.73 * 1.1475 = 49,980.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 122,811.6 + 78,804.7 + 49,980.2 = 251,596.5 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W * h_G = 154,195.9 * 1.1475 = 176,939.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B \cdot g_0)^{1/2} = (7.685 \cdot 0.47)^{1/2} = 1.9005 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 14.625/7.685 = 1.9031$

$$T = 1.5435 \quad Z = 1.7629 \quad Y = 3.1841 \quad U = 3.499$$

$$h/h_0 = 0.371 \quad g_1/g_0 = 1$$

$$F = 0.9089 \quad V = 0.5501 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.4782$$

$$d = (U/V) \cdot h_0 \cdot g_0^2 = (3.49902/0.5501) \cdot 1.9005 \cdot 0.47^2 \\ = 2.6704 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t \cdot e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (3 \cdot 0.4782 + 1)/1.543522 + 3^3/2.6704 \\ = 11.68838$$

$$S_H = f \cdot M_o / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 251,596.5 / (11.68838 \cdot 0.47^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 12,680 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_o / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B) \\ = (1.33 \cdot 3 \cdot 0.4782 + 1) \cdot 251,596.5 / (11.68838 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 905 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_o / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R \\ = 3.1841 \cdot 251,596.5 / (3^2 \cdot 7.685) - 1.7629 \cdot 905 \\ = 9,987 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{fo} = 12,100 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{no} = 15,300 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$S_H \text{ does not exceed } \text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fo}, 2.5 \cdot S_{no}] = 18,150 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 6,792 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 11,333 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f \cdot M_g / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 176,939.8 / (11.68838 \cdot 0.47^2 \cdot 7.685) \\ = 8,917 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 * t * e + 1) * M_g / (L * t^2 * B)$$

$$= (1.33 * 3 * 0.4782 + 1) * 176,939.8 / (11.68838 * 3^2 * 7.685)$$

$$= 637 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y * M_g / (t^2 * B) - Z * S_R$$

$$= 3.1841 * 176,939.8 / (3^2 * 7.685) - 1.7629 * 637$$

$$= 7,024 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 * S_{fa}, 2.5 * S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 4,777 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 7,970 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 * V * M_o / (L * E * g_o^2 * K_I * h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 * 0.5501 * 251,596.5 / (11.6884 * 24,800,000 * 0.47^2 * 0.3 * 1.9005)$$

$$= 0.1976626$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

FLANGE, INJECTOR HEAD - Flange hub

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Flange hub
 Material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F
 Internal design pressure: $P = 1,200 \text{ psi @ } 700 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 0, $H_s = 9.5959''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = 5 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - N/A

Estimated weight New = 2.4 lb corr = 2.3 lb
Capacity New = 0.14 US gal corr = 0.14 US gal

OD = 8.625"

Length $L_c = 0.705$ "

t = 0.5"

Design thickness, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,200 \cdot 4.3125 / (12,100 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,200) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.4414" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 12,100 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.47 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.47) - 0 \\ &= 1,378.83 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EFE} &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.5 / 4.0625) \cdot (1 - 4.0625 / \infty) \\ &= 6.1538\% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.4414"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.5" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S_t	S_c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,200	12,100	11,639	700	0.03	Wind	0.1559	0.1558
						Seismic	0.1558	0.1557
Operating, Hot & New	1,200	12,100	11,687	700	0	Wind	0.1546	0.1546
						Seismic	0.1546	0.1545
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,265	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0003	0.0004
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,318	0	0	Wind	0.0003	0.0004
						Seismic	0.0003	0.0004
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	12,100	11,639	700	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.0005

FLANGE, SHELL HEAD

ASME VIII-1, 2007 Edition, Appendix 2 Flange Calculations

Flange is attached to: REACTOR OUTER SHELL (Top)
 Flange type: Weld neck integral
 Flange material specification: SA-516 70 (II-D p. 18, ln. 22)
 Bolt material specification: SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$ (II-D p. 348, ln. 33)
 Bolt Description: 1 in -14 Thread
 Internal design pressure, P: 1,200 psi @ 700 °F
 Required flange thickness: $t_r =$ 2.0507 in
 Maximum allowable working pressure, MAWP: 1,293.18 psi @ 700 °F
 Corrosion allowance: Bore = 0.06 in
 Bolt corrosion (root), C_{bolt} : 0 in
 Design MDMT: 5 °F
 Rated MDMT: -49.49 °F

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

Estimated weight: New = 69.7 lb

Flange = 0 in

No impact test performed
 Flange material is normalized
 Material is not produced to fine grain practice
 PWHT is not performed
 corroded = 68.1 lb

Flange dimensions, new

flange OD A = 14.625 in
 bolt circle C = 12.57 in
 gasket OD = 10.65 in
 gasket ID = 9.9 in
 flange ID B = 9.56 in
 thickness t = 2.4375 in
 bolting = 12- 1 in dia
 hub thickness g_1 = 0.594 in
 hub thickness g_0 = 0.594 in
 length e = 3.0625 in
 gasket factor m = 3
 seating stress y = 10,000 psi
 Gasket thickness T = 0.175 in

Note: this flange is calculated as an integral type.

Determination of Flange MDMT

Flange impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve D = -49.49 °F
 UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.594 in
 Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (c) = -55 °F

The rated flange MDMT is -49.49 °F

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Wind

Longitudinal bending moment on flange

$$\begin{aligned} P_m &= 16 * M_b / (\pi * G^3) \\ &= 16 * 1,500 / (\pi * 10.275^3) \\ &= 7.0423 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Axial load on flange

$$\begin{aligned} P_r &= -4 * F / (\pi * G^2) \\ &= -4 * 500 / (\pi * 10.275^2) \\ &= -6.03 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Total design load on flange (used for H - ref. III-1 NC-3658.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &= P + P_s + P_m + P_r \\ &= 1,200 + 0 + 7.0423 + -6.03 \\ &= 1,201.0123 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

Gasket width N = 0.375 in

$$b_0 = N/2 = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

Effective gasket seating width, b = b₀ = 0.1875 in

$$G = (\text{gasket OD} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = (10.65 + 9.9) / 2 = 10.275 \text{ in}$$

$$h_G = (C - G) / 2 = (12.57 - 10.275) / 2 = 1.1475 \text{ in}$$

$$h_D = R + g_1 / 2 = 0.911 + 0.534 / 2 = 1.178 \text{ in}$$

$$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G) / 2 = (0.911 + 0.534 + 1.1475) / 2 = 1.2963 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &= 2 * b * 3.14 * G * m * P \\ &= 2 * 0.1875 * 3.14 * 10.275 * 3 * 1,200 \\ &= 43,555.72 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 0.785 * G^2 * P \\ &= 0.785 * 10.275^2 * 1,201.0123 \\ &= 99,536.13 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_D &= 0.785 \cdot B^2 \cdot P \\ &= 0.785 \cdot 9.68^2 \cdot 1,200 \\ &= 88,267.67 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_T &= H - H_D \\ &= 99,536.13 - 88,267.67 \\ &= 11,268.46 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m1} &= H + H_p \\ &= 99,536.13 + 43,555.72 \\ &= 143,091.86 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m2} &= 3.14 \cdot b \cdot G \cdot y \\ &= 3.14 \cdot 0.1875 \cdot 10.275 \cdot 10,000 \\ &= 60,494.06 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Required bolt area, A_m = greater of A_{m1} , $A_{m2} = 5.723674 \text{ in}^2$

$$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 143,091.9 / 25,000 = 5.7237 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 60,494.06 / 25,000 = 2.4198 \text{ in}^2$$

Total area for 12- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, $A_b = 6.612 \text{ in}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (A_m + A_b) \cdot S_a / 2 \\ &= (5.7237 + 6.612) \cdot 25,000 / 2 \\ &= 154,195.92 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$M_D = H_D \cdot h_D = 88,267.67 \cdot 1.178 = 103,979.3 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_T = H_T \cdot h_T = 11,268.46 \cdot 1.2963 = 14,606.7 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$H_G = W_{m1} - H = 143,091.9 - 99,536.13 = 43,555.73 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G \cdot h_G = 43,555.73 \cdot 1.1475 = 49,980.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 103,979.3 + 14,606.7 + 49,980.2 = 168,566.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W \cdot h_G = 154,195.9 \cdot 1.1475 = 176,939.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B \cdot g_0)^{1/2} = (9.68 \cdot 0.534)^{1/2} = 2.2736 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 14.625/9.68 = 1.5108$

$$T = 1.706 \quad Z = 2.5593 \quad Y = 4.8778 \quad U = 5.3603$$

$$h/h_0 = 0.2749 \quad g_1/g_0 = 1$$

$$F = 0.9089 \quad V = 0.5501 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.3998$$

$$d = (U/V) \cdot h_0^2 \cdot g_0^2 = (5.36026/0.5501) \cdot 2.2736^2 \cdot 0.534^2 \\ = 6.3173 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t \cdot e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (2.4375 \cdot 0.3998 + 1)/1.705978 + 2.4375^3/6.3173 \\ = 3.449832$$

$$S_H = f \cdot M_o / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 168,566.2 / (3.449832 \cdot 0.534^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 17,702 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_o / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B) \\ = (1.33 \cdot 2.4375 \cdot 0.3998 + 1) \cdot 168,566.2 / (3.449832 \cdot 2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 1,951 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_o / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R \\ = 4.8778 \cdot 168,566.2 / (2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68) - 2.5593 \cdot 1,951 \\ = 9,304 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{fo} = 18,100 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{no} = 15,600 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$S_H \text{ does not exceed } \text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fo}, 2.5 \cdot S_{no}] = 27,150 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 9,826 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 13,503 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f \cdot M_g / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 176,939.8 / (3.449832 \cdot 0.534^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 18,581 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_g / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B)$$

$$= (1.33 \cdot 2.4375 \cdot 0.3998 + 1) \cdot 176,939.8 / (3.449832 \cdot 2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68)$$

$$= 2,048 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_g / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R$$

$$= 4.8778 \cdot 176,939.8 / (2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68) - 2.5593 \cdot 2,048$$

$$= 9,767 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 17,100 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fa}, 2.5 \cdot S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 10,314 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 14,174 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 \cdot V \cdot M_o / (L \cdot E \cdot g_o^2 \cdot K_I \cdot h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 \cdot 0.5501 \cdot 168,566.2 / (3.4498 \cdot 25,500,000 \cdot 0.534^2 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 2.2736)$$

$$= 0.2825761$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Seismic

Longitudinal bending moment on flange

$$P_m = 16 \cdot M_b / (\pi \cdot G^3)$$

$$= 16 \cdot 1,500 / (\pi \cdot 10.275^3)$$

$$= 7.0423 \text{ psi}$$

Axial load on flange

$$P_r = -4 \cdot F / (\pi \cdot G^2)$$

$$= -4 \cdot 500 / (\pi \cdot 10.275^2)$$

$$= -6.03 \text{ psi}$$

Total design load on flange (used for H - ref. III-1 NC-3658.1)

$$= P + P_s + P_m + P_r$$

$$= 1,200 + 0 + 7.0423 + -6.03$$

$$= 1,201.0123 \text{ psi}$$

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

Gasket width $N = 0.375 \text{ in}$

$$b_o = N/2 = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

Effective gasket seating width, $b = b_0 = 0.1875$ in

$G = (\text{gasket OD} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = (10.65 + 9.9) / 2 = 10.275$ in

$h_G = (C - G) / 2 = (12.57 - 10.275) / 2 = 1.1475$ in

$h_D = R + g_1 / 2 = 0.911 + 0.534 / 2 = 1.178$ in

$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G) / 2 = (0.911 + 0.534 + 1.1475) / 2 = 1.2963$ in

$H_p = 2 * b * 3.14 * G * m * P$
 $= 2 * 0.1875 * 3.14 * 10.275 * 3 * 1,200$
 $= 43,555.72 \text{ lb}_f$

$H = 0.785 * G^2 * P$
 $= 0.785 * 10.275^2 * 1,201.0123$
 $= 99,536.13 \text{ lb}_f$

$H_D = 0.785 * B^2 * P$
 $= 0.785 * 9.68^2 * 1,200$
 $= 88,267.67 \text{ lb}_f$

$H_T = H - H_D$
 $= 99,536.13 - 88,267.67$
 $= 11,268.46 \text{ lb}_f$

$W_{m1} = H + H_p$
 $= 99,536.13 + 43,555.72$
 $= 143,091.86 \text{ lb}_f$

$W_{m2} = 3.14 * b * G * y$
 $= 3.14 * 0.1875 * 10.275 * 10,000$
 $= 60,494.06 \text{ lb}_f$

Required bolt area, $A_m = \text{greater of } A_{m1}, A_{m2} = 5.723674 \text{ in}^2$

$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 143,091.9 / 25,000 = 5.7237 \text{ in}^2$

$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 60,494.06 / 25,000 = 2.4198 \text{ in}^2$

Total area for 12- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, $A_b = 6.612 \text{ in}^2$

$W = (A_m + A_b) * S_a / 2$
 $= (5.7237 + 6.612) * 25,000 / 2$
 $= 154,195.92 \text{ lb}_f$

$M_D = H_D * h_D = 88,267.67 * 1.178 = 103,979.3 \text{ lb-in}$

$M_T = H_T * h_T = 11,268.46 * 1.2963 = 14,606.7 \text{ lb-in}$

$$H_G = W_{m1} - H = 143,091.9 - 99,536.13 = 43,555.73 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G * h_G = 43,555.73 * 1.1475 = 49,980.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 103,979.3 + 14,606.7 + 49,980.2 = 168,566.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W * h_G = 154,195.9 * 1.1475 = 176,939.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B \cdot g_0)^{1/2} = (9.68 \cdot 0.534)^{1/2} = 2.2736 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 14.625/9.68 = 1.5108$

$$T = 1.706 \quad Z = 2.5593 \quad Y = 4.8778 \quad U = 5.3603$$

$$h/h_0 = 0.2749 \quad g_1/g_0 = 1$$

$$F = 0.9089 \quad V = 0.5501 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.3998$$

$$d = (U/V) \cdot h_0 \cdot g_0^2 = (5.36026/0.5501) \cdot 2.2736 \cdot 0.534^2 \\ = 6.3173 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t \cdot e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (2.4375 \cdot 0.3998 + 1)/1.705978 + 2.4375^3/6.3173 \\ = 3.449832$$

$$S_H = f \cdot M_o / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 168,566.2 / (3.449832 \cdot 0.534^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 17,702 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_o / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B) \\ = (1.33 \cdot 2.4375 \cdot 0.3998 + 1) \cdot 168,566.2 / (3.449832 \cdot 2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 1,951 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_o / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R \\ = 4.8778 \cdot 168,566.2 / (2.4375^2 \cdot 9.68) - 2.5593 \cdot 1,951 \\ = 9,304 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{fo} = 18,100 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{no} = 15,600 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$S_H \text{ does not exceed } \text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fo}, 2.5 \cdot S_{no}] = 27,150 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 9,826 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 13,503 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f \cdot M_g / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 176,939.8 / (3.449832 \cdot 0.534^2 \cdot 9.68) \\ = 18,581 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 * t * e + 1) * M_g / (L * t^2 * B)$$

$$= (1.33 * 2.4375 * 0.3998 + 1) * 176,939.8 / (3.449832 * 2.4375^2 * 9.68)$$

$$= 2,048 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y * M_g / (t^2 * B) - Z * S_R$$

$$= 4.8778 * 176,939.8 / (2.4375^2 * 9.68) - 2.5593 * 2,048$$

$$= 9,767 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 17,100 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 * S_{fa}, 2.5 * S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 10,314 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 14,174 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 * V * M_o / (L * E * g_o^2 * K_I * h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 * 0.5501 * 168,566.2 / (3.4498 * 25,500,000 * 0.534^2 * 0.3 * 2.2736)$$

$$= 0.2825761$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

FLANGE, SHELL HEAD - Flange hub

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Flange hub
Material specification: SA-516 70 (II-D p. 18, ln. 22)
Material impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve D = -53.74 °F
Fig UCS-66.1 MDMT reduction = 38.2 °F, (coincident ratio = 0.6178278)
Rated MDMT is governed by UCS-66(b)(2)
UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.5198 in

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,200 \text{ psi @ } 700 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 0, $H_s = 10.5634''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.06" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = 5 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -55 °F Material is normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - N/A
Bottom circumferential Full UW-11(a) Type 1

joint -

Estimated weight New = 3.2 lb corr = 2.9 lb
 Capacity New = 0.19 US gal corr = 0.2 US gal

OD = 10.748"

Length L_c = 0.625"

t = 0.594"

Design thickness, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,200 \cdot 5.374 / (18,100 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,200) + 0.06 \\ &= 0.4071" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 18,100 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.534 / (5.374 - 0.40 \cdot 0.534) - 0 \\ &= 1,872.99 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Extreme fiber elongation - UCS-79(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EFE} &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.594 / 5.077) \cdot (1 - 5.077 / \infty) \\ &= 5.8499\% \end{aligned}$$

The extreme fiber elongation exceeds 5 percent. Heat treatment per UCS-56 may be required. See UCS-79(d)(4) or (5).

Design thickness = 0.4071"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.594" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S_t	S_c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,200	18,100	12,461	700	0.06	Wind	0.1321	0.132
						Seismic	0.1321	0.132
Operating, Hot & New	1,200	18,100	12,641	700	0	Wind	0.1305	0.1303
						Seismic	0.1304	0.1303
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	17,425	0	0.06	Wind	0.0001	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0003
Empty, New	0	20,000	17,452	0	0	Wind	0.0002	0.0003

						Seismic	0.0002	0.0003
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	18,100	12,461	700	0.06	Weight	0.0003	0.0003

TAPPED HOLES PER UG-43(d) & (g)

FOR FLANGE SHELL HEAD

NOMINAL DIAMETER OF STUD/BOLT, $d_s =$
NUMBER OF THREADS PER INCH, $t_{pi} =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF STUD/BOLT MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $S_{as} =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF TAPPED MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $S_{at} =$

1.98
14
25000
17200

MATERIAL THICKNESS TO BE TAPPED, $t =$
CORROSION ALLOWANCE OF MATERIAL, $ca =$

2.5625
0.03

REQUIRED THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $t_e = .75 \times d_s \times (S_{as} / S_{at})$
 $t_e = 1.307$
THREAD ENGAGEMENT NEED NOT EXCEED $1 \frac{1}{2} \times d_s = 1.7985$
MINIMUM THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $t_{em} = 1.307$

1"-14 X 1 1/2" LONG HELICAL INSERT REQUIRED HOLE DRILL DEPTH: 2.00" (MIN.)

**NOTE: INSERT MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 200,000 PSI > FLANGE MATERIAL
MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 70,000 PSI**

REACTOR OUTER SHELL

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-106 B Smls pipe (II-D p. 14, ln. 5)
Pipe NPS and Schedule: 10" Sch 80
Material impact test exemption temperature from Fig UCS-66 Curve B = -5.1 °F
Fig UCS-66.1 MDMT reduction = 16.4 °F, (coincident ratio = 0.8359815)
UCS-66 governing thickness = 0.5198 in

Internal design pressure: P = 1,200 psi @ 700 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0$ psi (SG = 0, $H_s = 10.5644'$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.06" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = 5 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -21.5 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 898.7 lb corr = 812.7 lb
Capacity New = 52.09 US gal corr = 53.41 US gal

OD = 10.75"
Length $L_c = 167.5625'$
 $t = 0.594'$

Design thickness, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,200 \cdot 5.375 / (15,600 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,200) + 0.06 \\ &= 0.4612" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 700 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,600 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4598 / (5.375 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4598) - 0 \\ &= 1,381.61 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.4612"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.594" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Location	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c						
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,200	15,600	12,210	700	0.06	Top	Wind	0.1529	0.1526
							Seismic	0.1528	0.1526
						Bottom	Wind	0.1653	0.1466
							Seismic	0.1792	0.1333
Operating, Hot & New	1,200	15,600	12,415	700	0	Top	Wind	0.151	0.1507
							Seismic	0.1509	0.1507
						Bottom	Wind	0.1637	0.1448
							Seismic	0.1786	0.1304
Empty, Corroded	0	17,100	17,100	0	0.06	Top	Wind	0.0003	0.0005
							Seismic	0.0004	0.0005
						Bottom	Wind	0.0111	0.006
							Seismic	0.0237	0.0181
Empty, New	0	17,100	17,100	0	0	Top	Wind	0.0003	0.0005
							Seismic	0.0004	0.0005
						Bottom	Wind	0.0113	0.006
							Seismic	0.0249	0.019
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,600	12,210	700	0.06	Top	Weight	0.0007	0.0007
						Bottom	Weight	0.0033	0.0033

COAL INLET (A)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0.4375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.1875 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{43} = 0.125 \text{ in}$$

$$h_{\text{new}} = 1 \text{ in}$$

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT
 CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE LOADS ON NOZZLE NECK-
 AXIAL: 500 lbf IN DIRECTION OF VESSEL
 SHEAR: 500 lbf
 BENDING MOMENT: 1500 lb-in.

Located on:

Liquid static head included:

Nozzle material specification:

Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:

Nozzle description:

Flange description:

Bolt Material:

Flange rated MDMT:

(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(a))

(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F

Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)

Liquid static head on flange:

ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:

ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:

ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:

Nozzle orientation:

Calculated as hillside:

Local vessel minimum thickness:

End of nozzle to datum line:

Nozzle inside diameter, new:

Nozzle nominal wall thickness:

Nozzle corrosion allowance:

Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:

Internal projection, h_{new} :

Projection available outside vessel to flange face, Lf:

Distance to head center, R:

8" WELD CAP SCH 80

0 psi

SB-444 1 Annealed Smls pipe N06625 (II-D p. 226, ln. 7)

1

1" Sch 80 (XS)

1 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316

SA-193 B7 Bolt <= 2 1/2 (II-D p. 348, ln. 33)

-55°F

0 psi

1820 psi @ 1000°F

3600 psi @ 70°F

5425 psi @ 70°F

0°

no

0.4375 in

195.3175 in

0.957 in

0.179 in

0.03 in

12.5403 in

1 in

13.9103 in

0 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

Available reinforcement per UG-37 governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1263.39 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.3155	0.3156	0.1083	0.0946	0.0708	--	0.0419	0.1464	0.1566

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1043	0.1312	weld size is adequate

Applied Loads

Radial load:	$P_r =$	500 lb _f
Circumferential moment:	$M_1 =$	0 lb _f in
Circumferential shear:	$V_2 =$	500 lb _f
Longitudinal moment:	$M_2 =$	1,500 lb _f in
Longitudinal shear:	$V_1 =$	0 lb _f
Torsion moment:	$M_t =$	0 lb _f in
Internal pressure:	$P =$	1,263.387 psi
Head yield stress:	$S_y =$	17,000 psi

Maximum stresses due to the applied loads at the nozzle OD (includes pressure)

Mean dish radius $R_m = 7.2436$ in

$$U = r_o / \text{Sqr}(R_m * t) = 0.383$$

Pressure stress intensity factor, $I = 1$ (derived from PVP-Vol. 399, pages 77-82)

$$\text{Local pressure stress} = I * P * R_o / 2 * t = 10,913.00 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Maximum combined stress } (P_L + P_b) = 22,661 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable combined stress } (P_L + P_b) = \pm 1.5 * S = \pm 22,950 \text{ psi}$$

The maximum combined stress $(P_L + P_b)$ is within allowable limits.

$$\text{Maximum local primary membrane stress } (P_L) = 11,261 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable local primary membrane stress } (P_L) = \pm 1.5 * S = \pm 22,950 \text{ psi}$$

The local maximum primary membrane stress (P_L) is within allowable limits.

Stresses at the nozzle OD per WRC Bulletin 107									
Figure	value	A _u	A _l	B _u	B _l	C _u	C _l	D _u	D _l
SR-2*	0.1644	-495	-495	-495	-495	-495	-495	-495	-495
SR-2	0.1101	-1,989	1,989	-1,989	1,989	-1,989	1,989	-1,989	1,989
SR-3*	0.1604	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR-3	0.3504	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR-3*	0.1604	-843	-843	843	843	0	0	0	0
SR-3	0.3504	-11,054	11,054	11,054	-11,054	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*		10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913
Total O _x stress		-3,468	22,618	20,326	2,196	8,429	12,407	8,429	12,407
Membrane O _x stress*		9,575	9,575	11,261	11,261	10,418	10,418	10,418	10,418
SR-2*	0.0494	-149	-149	-149	-149	-149	-149	-149	-149
SR-2	0.0337	-609	609	-609	609	-609	609	-609	609
SR-3*	0.0493	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR-3	0.1048	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SR-3*	0.0493	-259	-259	259	259	0	0	0	0
SR-3	0.1048	-3,306	3,306	3,306	-3,306	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*		10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913	10,913
Total O _y stress		6,590	14,420	13,720	8,326	10,155	11,373	10,155	11,373
Membrane O _y stress*		10,505	10,505	11,023	11,023	10,764	10,764	10,764	10,764
Shear from M _t		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shear from V ₁		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shear from V ₂		594	594	-594	-594	0	0	0	0
Total Shear stress		594	594	-594	-594	0	0	0	0
Combined stress (P _L +P _b)		10,128	22,661	20,379	8,383	10,155	12,407	10,155	12,407

Notes: (1) * denotes primary stress.

(2) The nozzle is assumed to be a rigid (solid) attachment.

Longitudinal stress in the nozzle wall due to internal pressure + external loads

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n(P_m) &= P \cdot R_i / (2 \cdot t_n) - P_r / (\pi \cdot (R_o^2 - R_i^2)) + M \cdot R_o / I \\ &= 1,263.387 \cdot 0.5085 / (2 \cdot 0.1266) - 500 / (\pi \cdot (0.6575^2 - 0.5085^2)) + 1,500.00 \cdot 0.6575 / 0.09427066 \\ &= 12,082.57 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

The average primary stress P_m (see Division 2 Appendix 4-138(b)) across the nozzle wall due to internal pressure + external loads is acceptable (= S = 29,900.00psi)

Shear stress in the nozzle wall due to external loads

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\text{shear}} &= (V_L^2 + V_c^2)^{0.5} / (\pi * R_i * t_n) \\ &= (0^2 + 500^2)^{0.5} / (\pi * 0.5085 * 0.149) \\ &= 2,101 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\text{torsion}} &= M_t / (2 * \pi * R_i^2 * t_n) \\ &= 0 / (2 * \pi * 0.5085^2 * 0.149) \\ &= 0 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\text{total}} &= \sigma_{\text{shear}} + \sigma_{\text{torsion}} \\ &= 2,101 + 0 \\ &= 2,101 \text{ psi}\end{aligned}$$

UG-45(c): The total combined shear stress (2,101 psi) is below than the allowable ($0.7 * S_n = 0.7 * 29,900 = 20,930$ psi)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

Available reinforcement per UG-37 governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2166.6 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.3857	0.3857	0.0426	0.1321	0.1602	--	0.0508	0.1164	0.1566

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1253	0.1312	weld size is adequate

INJECTOR HOUSING (I1)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0.4375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{43} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$h_{\text{new}} = 0.125 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SB-446 1 Annealed Bar ≤ 4 N06625 (II-D 226, In. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle orientation: 0°
 Calculated as hillside: no
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 End of nozzle to datum line: 180.9425 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.24 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.38 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr} : 1.5294 in
 Internal projection, h_{new} : 0.125 in
 Distance to head center, R: 3.875 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1596.14 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5298	0.6845	--	0.5439	--	--	0.1406	0.1648	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

% Forming strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{EFE} &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\
&= (50 \cdot 0.38 / 0.81) \cdot (1 - 0.81 / \infty) \\
&= 23.4568\%
\end{aligned}$$

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2232.88 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5425	0.775	--	0.6344	--	--	0.1406	0.1348	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.25	0.2625	weld size is adequate

INJECTOR HOUSING (I2)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0.4375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{43} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$h_{\text{new}} = 0.125 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SB-446 1 Annealed Bar ≤ 4 N06625 (II-D 226, In. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle orientation: 90°
 Calculated as hillside: no
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 End of nozzle to datum line: 180.9425 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.24 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.38 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1.5294 in
 Internal projection, h_{new} : 0.125 in
 Distance to head center, R: 3.875 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1596.14 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5298	0.6845	--	0.5439	--	--	0.1406	0.1648	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

% Forming strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\
 &= (50 \cdot 0.38 / 0.81) \cdot (1 - 0.81 / \infty) \\
 &= 23.4568\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2232.88 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5425	0.775	--	0.6344	--	--	0.1406	0.1348	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.25	0.2625	weld size is adequate

INJECTOR HOUSING (I3)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0.4375 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{43} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$h_{new} = 0.125 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" WELD CAP SCH 80
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SB-446 1 Annealed Bar <= 4 N06625 (II-D 226, In. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle orientation: 180°
 Calculated as hillside: no
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 End of nozzle to datum line: 180.9425 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.24 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.38 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1.5294 in
 Internal projection, h_{new} : 0.125 in
 Distance to head center, R: 3.875 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1596.14 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5298	0.6845	--	0.5439	--	--	0.1406	0.1648	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

% Forming strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\
 &= (50 \cdot 0.38 / 0.81) \cdot (1 - 0.81 / \infty) \\
 &= 23.4568\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2232.88 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5425	0.775	--	0.6344	--	--	0.1406	0.1348	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.25	0.2625	weld size is adequate

INJECTOR HOUSING (I4)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0.4375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{43} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$h_{\text{new}} = 0.125 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	8" WELD CAP SCH 80
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SB-446 1 Annealed Bar <= 4 N06625 (II-D 226, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle orientation:	270°
Calculated as hillside:	no
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.4375 in
End of nozzle to datum line:	180.9425 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.24 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.38 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	1.5294 in
Internal projection, h_{new} :	0.125 in
Distance to head center, R:	3.875 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1596.14 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5298	0.6845	--	0.5439	--	--	0.1406	0.1648	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

% Forming strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\
&= (50 \cdot 0.38 / 0.81) \cdot (1 - 0.81 / \infty) \\
&= 23.4568\%
\end{aligned}$$

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2232.88 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5425	0.775	--	0.6344	--	--	0.1406	0.1348	0.38

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.25	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C01)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 30°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C02 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C02 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C02)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 30°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C01 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C01 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C03)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 30°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 5.5 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C04)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 60°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C05)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 60°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C01 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C01 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C06)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 60°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 5.5 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C07)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle description:	0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation:	90°
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.5197 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line:	13.375 in
End of nozzle to shell center:	6.375 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.05 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.35 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C08)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 90°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C04 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C04 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C09)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle description:	0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation:	90°
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.5197 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line:	5.5 in
End of nozzle to shell center:	6.375 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.05 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.35 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C10)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Liquid static head included: 0 psi
Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation: 120°
Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C11)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(e)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 120°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C07 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C07 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C12)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 120°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 5.5 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C13)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 150°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C14)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle description:	0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation:	150°
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.5197 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line:	10.75 in
End of nozzle to shell center:	6.375 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.05 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.35 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²)							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in)	
For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F							The nozzle passes UG-45	
The opening is adequately reinforced								
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C10 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C10 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C15)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 150°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 5.5 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C16)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 245°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C17 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C17)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 245°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C16 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C16 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C18)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 245°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 8.125 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C16 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C17 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C19)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle description:	0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation:	245°
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.5197 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line:	5.5 in
End of nozzle to shell center:	6.375 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.05 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.35 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C17 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C20)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 295°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 13.375 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C21 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C21)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 295°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 10.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C20 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C20 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C22)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 295°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 8.125 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C20 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Opening C21 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

COUPLING (C23)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 295°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 5.5 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr}: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Opening C21 is too close per UG-36(c)(3)(d) to allow an exemption per UG-36(c)(3)(a).

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C24)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 30°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 159 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

COUPLING (C25)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: REACTOR OUTER SHELL
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-105 (II-D p. 18, ln. 8)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.750" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 60°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.5197 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 159 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 6.375 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.05 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.35 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 1 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1381.47 psi @ 700 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5103	0.5777	--	0.4371	--	--	0.1406	0.0981	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status

Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.224	0.2625	weld size is adequate
---	-------	--------	-----------------------

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1719.91 psi @ 70 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
0.5457	0.6698	--	0.5292	--	--	0.1406	0.0727	0.35

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(1)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.245	0.2625	weld size is adequate

Wind Code

Building Code: ASCE 7-05
 Elevation of base above grade: 0.0000 ft
 Increase effective outer diameter by: 0.0000 ft
 Wind Force Coefficient Cf: 0.8500
 Basic Wind Speed, V: 90.0000 mph
 Importance Factor, I: 1.1500
 Exposure category: C
 Wind Directionality Factor, Kd: 0.9500
 Top Deflection Limit: 6 in. per 100 ft.
 Topographic Factor, Kzt: 1.0000
 Enforce min. loading of 10 psf: Yes

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height, h: 1.5467 ft
 Vessel Minimum Diameter, b
 Operating, Corroded: 0.8958 ft
 Empty, Corroded: 0.8958 ft
 Fundamental Frequency, n_1
 Operating, Corroded: 7.5136 Hz
 Empty, Corroded: 8.0677 Hz
 Vacuum, Corroded: 7.5136 Hz
 Damping coefficient, β
 Operating, Corroded: 0.0188
 Empty, Corroded: 0.0200
 Vacuum, Corroded: 0.0188

Table Lookup Values

2.4.1 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

5. $D + H + W$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Reports:

Operating, Corroded

Empty, Corroded

Wind Pressure Calculations

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

	Elevation of	Effective	Elastic	Inertia	Platform	Total wind	bending	Deflection
--	--------------	-----------	---------	---------	----------	------------	---------	------------

Component	bottom above base (in)	OD (ft)	modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	I (ft ⁴)	wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	shear at Bottom (lbf)	moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	at top (in)
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	10.7125	0.72	22.8	*	0	9	2	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (top)	0	0.90	25.5	0.01081	0	21	16	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (bottom)	0	0.90	25.5	0.01081	0	179	1,197	0.0161
*Moment of Inertia I varies over the length of the component								

Wind Deflection Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	10.7125	0.72	28.3	*	0	9	2	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (top)	0	0.90	29.4	0.01081	0	21	16	0
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (bottom)	0	0.90	29.4	0.01081	0	179	1,197	0.014
*Moment of Inertia I varies over the length of the component								

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/\alpha} \\
&= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * V^2 * I \text{ psf} \\
&= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 90.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
&= 22.6541 * K_z \\
WP &= q_z * G * C_f \text{ (Minimum 10 lb/ft}^2\text{)} \\
&= q_z * G * 0.8500 \text{ (Minimum 10 lb/ft}^2\text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K _z	q _z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)
15.0	0.8489	19.23	15.02	15.02	N.A.	N.A.

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 1.5467 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_{z^-} &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_{z^-} &= 1 * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((b + h) / L_{z^-})^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.8958 + 1.5467) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9880 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_{z^-} * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_{z^-}) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9880) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9187
 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 1.5467 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_{z^-} &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_{z^-} &= 1 * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((b + h) / L_{z^-})^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.8958 + 1.5467) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9880 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_{z^-} * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_{z^-}) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9880) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9187
 \end{aligned}$$

Table Lookup Values

$\alpha = 9.5000$, $Z_g = 900.0000$	[Table 6-2, page 78]
$c = 0.2000$, $1 = 500.0000$, $ep = 0.2000$	[Table 6-2, page 78]
$a^- = 0.1538$, $b^- = 0.6500$	[Table 6-2, page 78]
$g_Q = 3.40$	[6.5.8.1 page 26]
$g_v = 3.40$	[6.5.8.1 page 26]

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	$I = 1.5000$
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 10.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4-2	$R = 3.0000$
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	$= 0.3333$
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	$= 0.2000$

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load $= \rho Q_E$

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 1.5467 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 1,993 lb

Empty, Corroded: 1,993 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.133 sec ($f = 7.5$ Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.124 sec ($f = 8.1$ Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \pi * \text{Sqr}(\{\text{Sum}(W_i * y_i^2)\} / \{g * \text{Sum}(W_i * y_i)\}), \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and

y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	10.7125	22.8	*	3	1
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (top)	0	25.5	0.0108	5	5
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (bottom)	0	25.5	0.0108	271	2,894
*Moment of Inertia I varies over the length of the component					

Seismic Shear Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
8" WELD CAP SCH 80	10.7125	28.3	*	3	1
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (top)	0	29.4	0.0108	5	5
REACTOR OUTER SHELL (bottom)	0	29.4	0.0108	271	2,894
*Moment of Inertia I varies over the length of the component					

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = F_a * S_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = F_v * S_1 = 2.4000 * 10.00 / 100 = 0.2400$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{DI}

$$S_{DI} = 2 / 3 * S_{MI} = 2 / 3 * 0.2400 = 0.1600$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side: } = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is: greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	275	1,992.9	0.046	0.2
Operating, New	288	2,082.6	0.046	0.2
Empty, Corroded	275	1,992.9	0.046	0.2
Empty, New	288	2,082.6	0.046	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.
 $T = 0.133$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_{sMin} and C_{sMax} :

C_{sMin} is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_{sMax} calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.133) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (R / I) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{sMin} = 0.01$$

$$C_{sMax} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (R / I)) = 0.1600 / (0.0444 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 1.8026$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$\begin{aligned} V &= C_s * W \\ &= 0.1973 * 1992.8973 \\ &= 393.27 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_h &= 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)} \\ &= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 393.27 \\ &= 275.29 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$$T = 0.124 \text{ sec.}$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by $C_{s\text{Min}}$ and $C_{s\text{Max}}$:

$C_{s\text{Min}}$ is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

$C_{s\text{Max}}$ calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.124) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{s\text{Min}} = 0.01$$

$$C_{s\text{Max}} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.0444 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 1.8026$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * \underline{W}$$

$$= 0.1973 * 1992.8973$$

$$= 393.27 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 393.27$$

$$= 275.29 \text{ lb}$$

SUPPORT LUGS

Support material:	A36
This support is attached to:	REACTOR OUTER SHELL
Distance from baseplate to datum:	162.875 in
Local shell outer diameter, new:	10.75 in
Local shell thickness, new:	0.594 in
Local shell inner corrosion:	0.06 in
Local shell outer corrosion:	0 in
Lug allowable stress	$S_b = 16,000$ psi
Top plate width	$W_p = 1.5$ in
Top plate thickness	$t_a = 0.375$ in
Base plate width	$b = 4.625$ in
Base plate thickness	$t_b = 0.5$ in
Base plate load bearing width	$L_b = 2$ in
Shell to center of load bearing area	$d = 3.6875$ in
Gusset height	$h = 5$ in
Gusset thickness	$t_g = 0.75$ in
Gusset separation	$L_g = 4$ in
Lug length, circumferential direction	$L = 5.5$ in
Lug attachment fillet weld size:	0.375 in
Pad width, circumferential direction:	6.5 in
Pad length, longitudinal direction:	7 in
Pad thickness	$t_e = 0.375$ in
Pad attachment fillet weld size:	0.25 in
Radial/bending lug stiffness ratio:	2
Number of support lugs:	4

Stresses in Shell at Lug Supports													
Condition	Total Weight (lb)	Shear V (lb)	Moment M (ft-lb)	Lug orient	Lug Loading						Stress in Shell (psi)		
					W (lb)	P _r (lb)	V _L (lb)	M _L (ft-lb)	V _c (lb)	M _c (ft-lb)	Primary Circ (P _L)	Primary Long (P _L)	Combined P _L +P _b
Wind, operating, corroded Attack angle = 0°	1,993	200	1,181	0°	498	-67	-284	-87.24	0	0.00	11,537	5,777	11,686
				90°	498	0	498	153.10	33	10.26	11,580	5,798	11,785
				180°	498	67	1,280	393.44	0	0.00	11,816	5,925	12,311
				270°	498	0	498	153.10	-33	10.26	11,580	5,798	11,785
Wind, operating, corroded Attack angle = 45°	1,993	200	1,181	0°	498	-35	-55	-16.85	-35	10.88	11,446	5,737	11,525
				90°	498	-35	-55	-16.85	35	10.88	11,446	5,737	11,525
				180°	498	35	1,051	323.05	35	10.88	11,752	5,892	12,168
				270°	498	35	1,051	323.05	-35	10.88	11,752	5,892	12,168
Wind, operating, new Attack angle = 0°	2,083	200	1,181	0°	521	-67	-262	-80.37	0	0.00	10,230	5,120	10,362
				90°	521	0	521	159.99	33	10.26	10,277	5,143	10,478
				180°	521	67	1,303	400.36	0	0.00	10,472	5,244	10,944
				270°	521	0	521	159.99	-33	10.26	10,277	5,143	10,478
Wind, operating, new Attack angle = 45°	2,083	200	1,181	0°	521	-35	-32	-9.97	-35	10.88	10,153	5,091	10,226
				90°	521	-35	-32	-9.97	35	10.88	10,153	5,091	10,226
				180°	521	35	1,074	329.96	35	10.88	10,420	5,217	10,818
				270°	521	35	1,074	329.96	-35	10.88	10,420	5,217	10,818
Wind, empty, corroded Attack angle = 0°	1,993	200	1,181	0°	498	-67	-284	-87.24	0	0.00	126	71	337
				90°	498	0	498	153.10	33	10.26	-169	-92	447
				180°	498	67	1,280	393.44	0	0.00	-465	-255	-1,231
				270°	498	0	498	153.10	-33	10.26	-169	-92	447
Wind, empty, corroded Attack angle = 45°	1,993	200	1,181	0°	498	-35	-55	-16.85	-35	10.88	35	31	116
				90°	498	-35	-55	-16.85	35	10.88	35	31	116
				180°	498	35	1,051	323.05	35	10.88	-373	-204	-987
				270°	498	35	1,051	323.05	-35	10.88	-373	-204	-987
Wind, empty, new Attack angle = 0°	2,083	200	1,181	0°	521	-67	-262	-80.37	0	0.00	99	54	280
				90°	521	0	521	159.99	33	10.26	-146	-77	419
				180°	521	67	1,303	400.36	0	0.00	-391	-208	-1,117
				270°	521	0	521	159.99	-33	10.26	-146	-77	419
Wind, empty, new Attack angle = 45°	2,083	200	1,181	0°	521	-35	-32	-9.97	-35	10.88	22	25	96
				90°	521	-35	-32	-9.97	35	10.88	22	25	96
				180°	521	35	1,074	329.96	35	10.88	-315	-167	-901

				270°	521	35	1,074	329.96	-35	10.88	-315	-167	-901
Seismic, operating, corroded Attack angle = 0°	1,993	275	2,889	0°	498	-92	1,415	434.74	0	0.00	11,933	5,992	12,560
				90°	598	0	598	183.72	46	14.10	11,614	5,817	11,860
				180°	598	92	2,511	771.56	0	0.00	12,221	6,147	13,210
				270°	598	0	598	183.72	-46	14.10	11,614	5,817	11,860
Seismic, operating, corroded Attack angle = 45°	1,993	275	2,889	0°	498	-49	-854	262.57	-49	14.95	11,723	5,877	12,099
				90°	498	-49	-854	262.57	49	14.95	11,723	5,877	12,099
				180°	598	49	1,951	599.39	49	14.95	12,051	6,054	12,830
				270°	598	49	1,951	599.39	-49	14.95	12,051	6,054	12,830
Seismic, operating, new Attack angle = 0°	2,083	288	3,001	0°	521	-96	1,466	450.62	0	0.00	10,579	5,305	11,189
				90°	625	0	625	191.99	48	14.73	10,307	5,159	10,548
				180°	625	96	2,612	802.60	0	0.00	10,829	5,431	11,792
				270°	625	0	625	191.99	-48	14.73	10,307	5,159	10,548
Seismic, operating, new Attack angle = 45°	2,083	288	3,001	0°	521	-51	-884	271.77	-51	15.63	10,399	5,209	10,763
				90°	521	-51	-884	271.77	51	15.63	10,399	5,209	10,763
				180°	625	51	2,030	623.76	51	15.63	10,683	5,355	11,443
				270°	625	51	2,030	623.76	-51	15.63	10,683	5,355	11,443
Seismic, empty, corroded Attack angle = 0°	1,993	275	2,889	0°	498	-92	1,415	434.74	0	0.00	522	286	1,381
				90°	598	0	598	183.72	46	14.10	-203	-111	-538
				180°	598	92	2,511	771.56	0	0.00	-894	-489	-2,366
				270°	598	0	598	183.72	-46	14.10	-203	-111	-538
Seismic, empty, corroded Attack angle = 45°	1,993	275	2,889	0°	498	-49	-854	262.57	-49	14.95	312	171	826
				90°	498	-49	-854	262.57	49	14.95	312	171	826
				180°	598	49	1,951	599.39	49	14.95	-684	-374	-1,811
				270°	598	49	1,951	599.39	-49	14.95	-684	-374	-1,811
Seismic, empty, new Attack angle = 0°	2,083	288	3,001	0°	521	-96	1,466	450.62	0	0.00	448	239	1,279
				90°	625	0	625	191.99	48	14.73	-176	-93	503
				180°	625	96	2,612	802.60	0	0.00	-770	-409	-2,201
				270°	625	0	625	191.99	-48	14.73	-176	-93	503
Seismic, empty, new Attack angle = 45°	2,083	288	3,001	0°	521	-51	-884	271.77	-51	15.63	268	143	764
				90°	521	-51	-884	271.77	51	15.63	268	143	764
				180°	625	51	2,030	623.76	51	15.63	-590	-313	-1,686

				270°	625	51	2,030	623.76	-51	-	15.63	-590	-313	-1,686
--	--	--	--	------	-----	----	-------	--------	-----	---	-------	------	------	--------

Applied Loads (Seismic, operating, corroded, Attack angle = 0°, lug orientation = 180°)

Radial load: $P_r = 91.76 \text{ lb}_f$
Circumferential moment: $M_c = 0 \text{ lb}_f\text{-in}$
Circumferential shear: $V_c = 0 \text{ lb}_f$
Longitudinal moment: $M_L = 9,258.76 \text{ lb}_f\text{-in}$
Longitudinal shear: $V_L = 2,510.85 \text{ lb}_f$
Torsion moment: $M_t = 0 \text{ lb}_f\text{-in}$
Internal pressure: $P = 1,258.749 \text{ psi}$
Mean shell radius: $R_m = 5.108 \text{ in}$
Local shell thickness: $t = 0.534 \text{ in}$
Shell yield stress: $S_y = 25,100 \text{ psi}$

Maximum stresses due to the applied loads at the pad edge (includes pressure)

$$R_m/t = 9.5655$$

$$C_1 = 3.25, C_2 = 3.5 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Local circumferential pressure stress} = P \cdot R_i / t = 11,411 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Local longitudinal pressure stress} = P \cdot R_i / 2t = 5,706 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Maximum combined stress } (P_L + P_b) = 13,210 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable combined stress } (P_L + P_b) = \pm 1.5 \cdot S = \pm 23,400 \text{ psi}$$

The maximum combined stress $(P_L + P_b)$ is within allowable limits.

$$\text{Maximum local primary membrane stress } (P_L) = 12,221 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable local primary membrane } (P_L) = \pm 1.5 \cdot S = \pm 23,400 \text{ psi}$$

The maximum local primary membrane stress (P_L) is within allowable limits.

Stresses at the pad edge per WRC Bulletin 107										
Figure	value	β	A_u	A_l	B_u	B_l	C_u	C_l	D_u	D_l
3C*	0.7278	0.6905	0	0	0	0	-24	-24	-24	-24
4C*	1.2339	0.6729	-42	-42	-42	-42	0	0	0	0
1C	0.0566	0.6527	0	0	0	0	-109	109	-109	109
2C-1	0.0231	0.6527	-45	45	-45	45	0	0	0	0
3A*	0.4426	0.6522	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1A	0.0779	0.7444	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B*	0.9652	0.6685	-852	-852	852	852	0	0	0	0
1B-1	0.0182	0.6716	-1,034	1,034	1,034	-1,034	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*			11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411
Total circumferential stress			9,438	11,596	13,210	11,232	11,278	11,496	11,278	11,496
Primary membrane circumferential stress*			10,517	10,517	12,221	12,221	11,387	11,387	11,387	11,387
3C*	0.7278	0.6729	-24	-24	-24	-24	0	0	0	0
4C*	1.2339	0.6905	0	0	0	0	-42	-42	-42	-42
1C-1	0.0448	0.676	-86	86	-86	86	0	0	0	0
2C	0.0354	0.676	0	0	0	0	-68	68	-68	68
4A*	1.0387	0.6522	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2A	0.0418	0.7807	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4B*	0.4574	0.6685	-465	-465	465	465	0	0	0	0
2B-1	0.034	0.724	-1,791	1,791	1,791	-1,791	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*			5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706
Total longitudinal stress			3,340	7,094	7,852	4,442	5,596	5,732	5,596	5,732
Primary membrane longitudinal stress*			5,217	5,217	6,147	6,147	5,664	5,664	5,664	5,664
Shear from M_t			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Circ shear from V_c			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Long shear from V_L			0	0	0	0	-336	-336	336	336
Total Shear stress			0	0	0	0	-336	-336	336	336
Combined stress (P_L+P_b)			9,438	11,596	13,210	11,232	11,298	11,516	11,298	11,516

Note: * denotes primary stress.

Maximum stresses due to the applied loads at the lug edge (includes pressure)

$$R_m/t=5.6194$$

$$C_1 = 2.75, C_2 = 2.9375 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Local circumferential pressure stress} = P \cdot R_i/t = 11,411 \text{ psi}$$

Local longitudinal pressure stress = $P \cdot R_i / 2t = 5,706$ psi

Maximum combined stress ($P_L + P_v$) = 12,453 psi

Allowable combined stress ($P_L + P_v$) = $\pm 1.5 \cdot S = \pm 23,400$ psi

The maximum combined stress ($P_L + P_v$) is within allowable limits.

Maximum local primary membrane stress (P_L) = 11,796 psi

Allowable local primary membrane (P_L) = $\pm 1.5 \cdot S = \pm 23,400$ psi

The maximum local primary membrane stress (P_L) is within allowable limits.

Stresses at the lug edge per WRC Bulletin 107										
Figure	value	β	A_u	A_l	B_u	B_l	C_u	C_l	D_u	D_l
3C*	0.6173	0.5792	0	0	0	0	-12	-12	-12	-12
4C*	0.8157	0.5659	-16	-16	-16	-16	0	0	0	0
1C	0.0578	0.5507	0	0	0	0	-39	39	-39	39
2C-1	0.0377	0.5507	-25	25	-25	25	0	0	0	0
3A*	0.2848	0.5503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1A	0.0858	0.6287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3B*	0.6496	0.5626	-401	-401	401	401	0	0	0	0
1B-1	0.0293	0.5655	-682	682	682	-682	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*			11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411	11,411
Total circumferential stress			10,287	11,701	12,453	11,139	11,360	11,438	11,360	11,438
Primary membrane circumferential stress*			10,994	10,994	11,796	11,796	11,399	11,399	11,399	11,399
3C*	0.6173	0.5659	-12	-12	-12	-12	0	0	0	0
4C*	0.8157	0.5792	0	0	0	0	-16	-16	-16	-16
1C-1	0.0562	0.5683	-37	37	-37	37	0	0	0	0
2C	0.0394	0.5683	0	0	0	0	-26	26	-26	26
4A*	0.5731	0.5503	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2A	0.0489	0.6572	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4B*	0.2522	0.5626	-179	-179	179	179	0	0	0	0
2B-1	0.0522	0.6091	-1,128	1,128	1,128	-1,128	0	0	0	0
Pressure stress*			5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706	5,706
Total longitudinal stress			4,350	6,680	6,964	4,782	5,664	5,716	5,664	5,716
Primary membrane longitudinal stress*			5,515	5,515	5,873	5,873	5,690	5,690	5,690	5,690
Shear from M_t			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Circ shear from V_c			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Long shear from V_L			0	0	0	0	-235	-235	235	235
Total Shear stress			0	0	0	0	-235	-235	235	235
Combined stress ($P_L + P_b$)			10,287	11,701	12,453	11,139	11,370	11,448	11,370	11,448

Note: * denotes primary stress.

Lug top plate required thickness, Bednar 5.2

$$t_a = 0.75 * (V_L * d * L) / (S_b * W_p^2 * h)$$

$$= 0.75 * (2,611.86 * 3.6875 * 5.5) / (16,000 * 1.5^2 * 5)$$

$$= 0.2207 \text{ in}$$

The top plate thickness of 0.375 in is adequate.

Gusset plate required thickness, Bednar 5.2

$$S_c = 12,000 / (1 + (1/12,000) * (h / (0.289 * t_g))^2)$$

$$= 12,000 / (1 + (1/12,000) * (5 / (0.289 * 0.75))^2)$$

$$= 11,490 \text{ psi}$$

$$t_g = V_L * (3 * d - b) / (S_c * b^2 * \sin(\alpha)^2)$$

$$= 2,611.86 * (3 * 3.6875 - 4.625) / (11,490 * 4.625^2 * \sin(57.995)^2)$$

$$= 0.0951 \text{ in}$$

The gusset thickness of 0.75 in is adequate.

Lug base plate required thickness

From Escoe table 4-8 ($l/b = 1.1563$)

$$C_x = 0.10526, C_y = -0.12056$$

$$f_c = V_L / (L_b * L)$$

$$= 2,611.86 / (2 * 5.5)$$

$$= 237 \text{ psi}$$

$$M_x = C_x * f_c * L_g^2$$

$$= 0.10526 * 237 * 4^2$$

$$= 399.89 \text{ in-lb}_f/\text{in}$$

$$M_y = C_y * f_c * L_b^2$$

$$= -0.12056 * 237 * 2^2$$

$$= -114.5 \text{ in-lb}_f/\text{in}$$

$$M = \text{Max}[\text{Abs}(M_x), \text{Abs}(M_y)]$$

$$= \text{Max}[\text{Abs}(399.89), \text{Abs}(-114.5)]$$

$$= 399.89 \text{ lb-ft}$$

$$t_b = \text{Sqr}(6 * M / S_b)$$

$$= \text{Sqr}(6 * 399.9 / 16,000)$$

$$= 0.3872 \text{ in}$$

The base plate thickness of 0.5 in is adequate.

Support Lug to Pad Fillet Weld Sizing - Bednar chapters 5.2 and 10.3

Note: continuous welding is assumed for all support lug fillet welds.

$$d_h = t_a + h + t_b$$

$$L_w = 2*(b + d_h) = 2*(5.5 + 5.875) = 22.75 \text{ in}$$

$$Z_w = b*d_h + d_h^2/3 = 5.5*5.875 + 5.875^2/3 = 43.82 \text{ in}^2$$

$$Z_z = d_h*b + b^2/3 = 5.875*5.5 + 5.5^2/3 = 42.4 \text{ in}^2$$

$$\text{Shear } f_1 = V_L/L_w = 2,611.86/22.75 = 115 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Shear } f_2 = V_c/L_w = 0/22.75 = 0 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Bending } f_3 = \text{larger absolute value of } M_L/Z_w \text{ or } M_c/Z_z$$

$$= M_L/Z_w$$

$$= 9,631.2/43.82$$

$$= 219.8 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Resultant load } f = (f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= (114.81^2 + 0^2 + 219.8^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= 247.98 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Required weld size } w = F/(0.707*0.55*S_a)$$

$$= 247.98/(0.707*0.55*15,600)$$

$$= 0.0409 \text{ in}$$

The support lug fillet weld size of 0.375 in is adequate.

Support Lug Pad to Shell Fillet Weld Sizing - Bednar chapters 5.2 and 10.3

$$L_w = 2*(b + d_h) = 2*(6.5 + 7) = 27 \text{ in}$$

$$Z_w = b*d_h + d_h^2/3 = 6.5*6.5 + 7^2/3 = 61.83 \text{ in}^2$$

$$Z_z = d_h*b + b^2/3 = 7*6.5 + 6.5^2/3 = 59.58 \text{ in}^2$$

$$\text{Shear } f_1 = V_L/L_w = 2,611.86/27 = 97 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Shear } f_2 = V_c/L_w = 0/27 = 0 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Bending } f_3 = \text{larger absolute value of } M_L/Z_w \text{ or } M_c/Z_z$$

$$= M_L/Z_w$$

$$= 9,631.2/61.83$$

$$= 155.76 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Resultant load } f = (f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= (96.74^2 + 0^2 + 155.76^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= 183.36 \text{ lb/in}$$

$$\text{Required weld size } w = F/(0.707*0.55*S_a)$$

$$= 183.36/(0.707*0.55*15,600)$$

$$= 0.0302 \text{ in}$$

The support lug pad fillet weld size of 0.25 in is adequate.

FLANGE DESIGN BASED ON ASME SECTION VIII DIV. 1. APP. 2

FLANGE DESCRIPTION: **REVERSE FLANGE** **REACTOR OUTLET SHELL, FOOT**

FIG. 2-13.2 LOOSE RING TYPE REVERSE FLANGE

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

NOTE: FLANGE THICKNESS (t) INCLUDES 1/16" RAISED FACE.

NOTE: FLANGE IS SA-516-70 MATERIAL
 DESIGN TEMP: 700 DEG. F
 FLANGE THICKNESS (t): 2.375"

DESIGN CASE	DESIGN COND.		
PER APPENDIX 2, SKETCH 2-4 (DO NOT INPUT LETTERS)	3		
FLANGE IS 1=LOOSE, 2=INTEGRAL	1		
FACING SKETCH, TABLE 2-5.2 (1A-6)	1A		
GASKET COLUMN 1 OR 2	1		
GASKET COMPRESSION WIDTH, TABLE 2-5.2, w	0		
TEMA DESIGN, 1=YES, 0=NO	0		
DESIGN PRESSURE	1200		
I FOR INTERNAL, E FOR EXTERNAL (EXT P IS ENTERED AS A +VALUE)	1		
LONGITUDINAL BENDING MOMENT ON FLANGE (IN-LB)	0		
AXIAL LOAD ON FLANGE (+ IS COMPRESSIVE, - IS TENSILE) (LBS)	500		
EQUIV. PRESSURE DUE TO MOMENT, Pm	0		
EQUIV. PRESSURE DUE TO AXIAL LOAD, Pr	-9.007		
(NEGATIVE VALUES OF (Pm + Pr) ARE IGNORED (CONSERVATIVE)	-9.007		
TOTAL DESIGN LOAD ON FLANGE (FOR H CALCULATION PER III-1)	1200		
DESIGN TEMPERATURE	700		
CORROSION ALLOWANCE(FLG ID)	0.06		
CORROSION ALLOWANCE (HUB OD)	0		
APPENDIX S, MAX ALLOW FACTOR, J	1		
NOM HUB THK, g1	0		
NOM HUB THK, go	0		
REVERSE FLANGE INSIDE DIA, B'	7.53		
SHELL INSIDE DIA, B	9.567		
FLANGE OUTSIDE DIA, A	13.15		
NOTE: IF SWING BOLT DESIGN, ENTER OD AS INNER MOST SURFACE OF BOLT HOLE			
FLG THK, (CORRODED), t	2.315		
BOLT QTY	12		
NOM BOLT DIA	0.875		
BOLT ROOT AREA	0.509		
BOLT STRESS HOT, Sb	25000		
BOLT STRESS COLD, Sa	25000		
BOLT MATERIAL	SA-193-B7		
FLANGE MATERIAL STRESS COLD, Sfa	20000		
FLANGE MATERIAL STRESS HOT, Sfo	17200		
FLANGE MATERIAL MOD. E	2.55E+07		
FLANGE MATERIAL	SA-516-70		

HUB LENGTH PER SKETCH, h	0		
MIN HUB LENGTH FOR SKETCH 6 FLANGES	N/A		
MIN FILLET RADIUS FOR SKETCH 5 & 6 FLANGES	N/A		
GASKET OD	8.782		
GASKET WIDTH, N	0.375		
GASKET THICKNESS, T	0.175		
BASIC GASKET SEATING WIDTH, bo	0.1875		
EFFECTIVE GASKET SEATING WIDTH, b	0.1875		
GASKET FACTOR, m	3		
GASKET FACTOR, y	10000		
GASKET MATERIAL	FLEXITALLIC		
GASKET RIB SEATING WIDTH, Nr	0		
GASKET RIB TOTAL LENGTH, rl	0		
GASKET RIB FACTOR, m'	0		
GASKET RIB FACTOR, y'	0		
GASKET RIB EFFECTIVE SEATING WIDTH, br	0		
(CORRODED), g1	-0.06		
(CORRODED), go	-0.06		
BOLT CIRCLE DIA, C	10.9		
DIA AT GASKET LOAD REACTION, G	8.407		
NOTE: FOR LJ FLGS INPUT G AS MIDPOINT OF FLG/VS CONTACT			
INSIDE DIA (CORRODED), B	9.567		
Wm2	49496.2		
INPUT FOR FLANGED PAIRS (PER 2-5), Wm2	0		
VALUE USED FOR FOLLOWING CALCS, Wm2	49496.2		
H	66578.3		
Hp	35637.3		
Wm1	102215.6		
INPUT FOR FLANGED PAIRS (PER 2-5), Wm1	0		
VALUE USED FOR FOLLOWING CALCS, Wm1	102215.6		
Am1	4.0886		
Am2	1.9798		
Am	4.0886		
Ab	6.108		
MAX. DEVELOPED BOLT STRESS	16734.7		
	AREA OK		
USE FULL BOLT LOAD FOR FLANGE DESIGN (Y/N)	N		
FULL BOLT LOAD, SEATING W	152700		
FULL BOLT LOAD, OPERATING W	105057.6		
GASKET SEATING LOAD USED IN CALCULATION, W	127457.5		
OPERATING LOAD USED IN CALCULATION, W (Wm1)	102215.6		
BOLT TORQUE FRICTION FACTOR, f	0.17		
REQUIRED BOLT TORQUE FOR DESIGN, T (FT-LB)	105.6		
MIN GASKET WIDTH TO PREVENT CRUSH, Nmin	N/A		
RECOMMENDED MIN. GASKET CONTACT WIDTHS FOR SHEET AND COMPOSITE GASKETS, (TABLE 2-4)	METAL TO METAL N/A		
MOMENT CALCS---OPERATING			
HD	86218.9		
HG	35637.3		
HT	-19640.6		
R	0.7265		
hD	0.6665		
hG	1.2465		
hT	0.9565		
MD	57464.9		
MG	44421.9		
MT	-18786.2		
Mo	83100.6		

MOMENT CALCS---SEATING			
Ms	158875.8		
SHAPE CONSTANTS			
K	1.7463		
alpha r	0.492490701		
Tr	1.074260392		
T	1.6062		
U	4.0168		
Ur	1.978236649		
Y	3.655300		
Yr	1.800201261		
Z	1.9758		
ho	N/A		
h/ho	N/A		
g1/g0	N/A		
F (FROM TABLE 2-7.2)	N/A		
V (FROM TABLE 2-7.3)	N/A		
f (FROM TABLE 2-7.6)	N/A		
d	N/A		
e	N/A		
L	N/A		
PER TEMA RCB-11.22, Bmax	5.719		
PER TEMA RCB-11.22, B	2.854		
PER TEMA RCB-11.23, Cf	0.7064		
CORRECTION FACTOR USED	1		
STRESS CALCULATIONS			
OPERATING			
SH	0		
ALLOW STRESS	25800.0		
	STRESS OK		
SR	0		
ST	7527.1		
(SH+SR)/2	0		
(SH+ST)/2	3763.55		
ALLOW STRESS	17200		
	STRESS OK		
SEATING			
SH	0		
ALLOW STRESS	30000		
	STRESS OK		
SR	0		
ST	14390.8		
(SH+SR)/2	0		
(SH+ST)/2	7195.4		
ALLOW STRESS	20000		
	STRESS OK		
RIGIDITY INDEX, (2-14)			
KL OR KI	0.2		
LARGER MOMENT Mo OR Ms	158875.8		
J	0.493		
	J FACT OK		

TAPPED HOLES PER UG-43(d) & (g)

FOR REACTOR OUTER SHELL, FOOT

NOMINAL DIAMETER OF STUD/BOLT, $ds = 1.051$
NUMBER OF THREADS PER INCH, $tpi = 14$
ALLOW. STRESS OF STUD/BOLT MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sas = 25000$
ALLOW. STRESS OF TAPPED MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sat = 17200$

MATERIAL THICKNESS TO BE TAPPED, $t = 2.375$
CORROSION ALLOWANCE OF MATERIAL, $ca = 0.06$

REQUIRED THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $te = .75 \times ds \times (Sas / Sat)$
 $te = 1.1457$
THREAD ENGAGEMENT NEED NOT EXCEED $1 \frac{1}{2} \times ds = 1.5765$
MINIMUM THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $tem = 1.1457$

7/8"-14 X 1.313" LONG INSERT REQUIRED HOLE DRILL DEPTH: 1.75"

**NOTE: INSERT MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 200,000 PSI > FLANGE MATERIAL
MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 70,000 PSI**

ADAPTER FLANGE, REACTOR TO CHAR POT

NOTE: "ADAPTER FLANGE, REACTOR TO CHAR POT" IS NOT INCLUDED IN PRESSURE BOUNDARY.

NOTE: EXTERNAL LOADS ON FLANGES NOT CONSIDERED IN DESIGN CALCULATIONS.

NOTE: ASSOCIATED VALUES ARE FROM REACTOR OUTLET SHELL, FOOT

CHECK ADAPTER FLANGE CALCULATION AS BOLTED COVER WITH NO OPENINGS

COVER THK (VIII-1 APP 2, AND UG-34)	
DIAMETER, d	8.407
OPERATING, W (Wm1)	102215.6
GASKET SEATING, W	127457.5
hG	1.2485
COVER MATERIAL	SA-182-316H
COVER MATERIAL STRESS, S	11300
COVER MOD E, E	22800002
COVER EFFICIENCY FACTOR, E	1
FACTOR, C	0.3
DESIGN PRESSURE, P	1200
CORROSION ALLOWANCE	0.03
REQUIRED OPERATING THICKNESS, T	2.2209
REQUIRED GASKET SEATING THICKNESS, T	1.8126
REQUIRED EXTERNAL PRESSURE THICKNESS, T	N/A
FLAT CHANNEL COVER DEFLECTION FOR MULTIPASS UNITS (TEMA RCB-9.21)	N/A
RECOMMENDED LIMIT FOR COVER DEFLECTION, YR	N/A
33% GASKET THK	N/A
DEFLECTION IS LIMITED TO SMALLER OF ABOVE	N/A
CALCULATED DEFLECTION BASED ON ACTUAL THK, Y	N/A
COVER THK. REQUIRED TO MEET DEFLECTION LIMIT	N/A
OVERALL REQUIRED COVER THICKNESS, T	2.2209
ACTUAL COVER THICKNESS, T	3.625
	THK OK

***CALCULATION CONTINUED NEXT PAGE UTILIZING "OVERALL REQUIRED COVER THICKNESS, T" = 2.23"

REACTOR TO CHAR POT ADAPTER FLANGE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Welded Cover
Material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1200.0000$ psi @ 1000.00°F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.0954$ psi (SG=1.0000, $H_s = 2.6425$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance: Inner C = 0.0300" Outer C = 0.0000"

Design MDMT = -20.00°F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320.00°F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Category A joints - Seamless No RT

Estimated weight: New = 84.1 lb corr = 83.4 lb

Head outside diameter = 11.7600"

Cover thickness = 3.6250"

Factor C from Fig. UG-34, sketch (b-2), (e through g)

C = 0.33 (worst case assumed)

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) UG-34 (c)(2)

$$\begin{aligned} t &= d \cdot \text{Sqr}(C \cdot P / (S \cdot E)) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 11.82 \cdot \text{Sqr}(0.33 \cdot 1,200 / (11,300.00 \cdot 1)) + 0.03 \\ &= 2.2427 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F)

C = 0.33 (worst case assumed)

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (S \cdot E / C) \cdot (t / d)^2 - P_s \\ &= (11,300.00 \cdot 1 / 0.33) \cdot (3.595 / 11.82)^2 - 0 \\ &= 3,167.578 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

CENTER HOLE (C)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:

REACTOR TO CHAR POT ADAPTER FLANGE

Local vessel minimum thickness: 3.625 in
inside diameter, new: 5.285 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

UG-39 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1213.16 psi @ 1000 °F The opening is adequately reinforced							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
<u>10.8791</u>	<u>10.8792</u>	<u>10.8792</u>	—	—	—	—	<u>0.245</u>	0.375

Required thickness t_r from UG-34

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_r &= d \cdot \text{Sqr}(C \cdot P / (S \cdot E)) \\
 &= 11.82 \cdot \text{Sqr}(0.33 \cdot 1,213.159 / (11,300 \cdot 1)) \\
 &= 2.2248 \text{ in}
 \end{aligned}$$

Area required per UG-39

Allowable stresses: $S_n = 15,300$, $S_v = 11,300$ psi

$$f_{r1} = 1$$

$$f_{r2} = \text{lesser of } 1 \text{ or } S_n / S_v = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 0.5*d*t_r + t_r*t_n*(1 - f_{r1}) + \text{Tapped hole area loss} \\
 &= 0.5*5.285*2.2248 + 2.2248*0.375*(1 - 1) + 5 \\
 &= \underline{10.8791} \text{ in}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Area available from FIG. UG-37.1

$$A_1 = \text{larger of the following} = \underline{10.8792} \text{ in}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= d*(E_1*t - F*t_r) - 2*t_n*(E_1*t - F*t_r)*(1 - f_{r1}) \\
 &= 5.285*(1*3.595 - 1*2.2248) - 2*0.375*(1*3.595 - 1*2.2248)*(1 - 1) \\
 &= 7.2414 \text{ in}^2 \\
 &= 2*(t + t_n)*(E_1*t - F*t_r) - 2*t_n*(E_1*t - F*t_r)*(1 - f_{r1}) \\
 &= 2*(3.595 + 0.375)*(1*3.595 - 1*2.2248) - 2*0.375*(1*3.595 - 1*2.2248)*(1 - 1) \\
 &= 10.8792 \text{ in}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Area} &= A_1 + A_2 + A_{41} \\
 &= 10.8792 + 0 + 0 \\
 &= \underline{10.8792} \text{ in}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

As Area $\geq$ A the reinforcement is adequate.

TAPPED HOLES PER UG-43(d) & (g)

ADAPTER FLANGE TO CHAR POT

NOMINAL DIAMETER OF STUD/BOLT, $ds =$
NUMBER OF THREADS PER INCH, $tpi =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF STUD/BOLT MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sas =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF TAPPED MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sat =$

1.186
14
11000
15300

MATERIAL THICKNESS TO BE TAPPED, $t =$
CORROSION ALLOWANCE OF MATERIAL, $ca =$

3.625
0.03

REQUIRED THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $te = .75 \times ds \times (Sas / Sat)$
 $te = 0.6395$
THREAD ENGAGEMENT NEED NOT EXCEED $1 \frac{1}{2} \times ds = 1.779$
MINIMUM THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $tem = 0.6395$

PER "MACHINERY'S HANDBOOK" SECTION "TAPS AND THREADING DIES"

NUMBER OF THREADS TAPER TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 7 TO 10
NUMBER OF THREADS PLUG TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 3 TO 5
NUMBER OF THREADS BOTTOMING TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 1 TO 2
NUMBER OF THREADS FOR TAPER, $ntt =$
LENGTH OF TAPER, $lt = 0.2857$

MIN. DEPTH OF HOLE IN MATERIAL TO PROVIDE FULL THREAD

ENGAGEMENT, $hd = tem + lt$
 0.9252

(d) DRILLED HOLES TO BE TAPPED SHALL NOT PENETRATE WITHIN ONE-FOURTH OF THE WALL THICKNESS FROM THE INSIDE SURFACE OF THE VESSEL AFTER DEDUCTING CORROSION ALLOWANCE

MINIMUM THICKNESS OF MATERIAL TO BE TAPPED TO MEET UG-43(d) = $hd \times (4/3) + ca$
 1.2636

SINCE $t > 1.2636$, DESIGN IS SATISFACTORY

NOTE- THE DATA BELOW TAKES THE EXTRA THREADING REQUIRED FOR THE HELICAL INSERT INTO ACCOUNT.

TAPPED HOLES PER UG-43(d) & (g)

ADAPTER FLANGE TO CHAR POT

NOMINAL DIAMETER OF STUD/BOLT, $ds =$
NUMBER OF THREADS PER INCH, $tpi =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF STUD/BOLT MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sas =$
ALLOW. STRESS OF TAPPED MAT'L AT DESIGN TEMP, $Sat =$

1.186
14
11000
15300

MATERIAL THICKNESS TO BE TAPPED, $t =$
CORROSION ALLOWANCE OF MATERIAL, $ca =$

3.625
0.03

REQUIRED THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $te = .75 \times ds \times (Sas / Sat)$
 $te = 0.6395$
THREAD ENGAGEMENT NEED NOT EXCEED $1 \frac{1}{2} \times ds = 1.779$
MINIMUM THREAD ENGAGEMENT, $tem = 0.6395$

PER "MACHINERY'S HANDBOOK" SECTION "TAPS AND THREADING DIES"

NUMBER OF THREADS TAPER TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 7 TO 10
NUMBER OF THREADS PLUG TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 3 TO 5
NUMBER OF THREADS BOTTOMING TAPS ARE CHAMFERED 1 TO 2
NUMBER OF THREADS FOR TAPER, $ntt =$
LENGTH OF TAPER, $lt = 0.2857$

MIN. DEPTH OF HOLE IN MATERIAL TO PROVIDE FULL THREAD $t_{em} + l_t$
(FOR HELICAL INSERT) **2.6967**

(d) DRILLED HOLES TO BE TAPPED SHALL NOT PENETRATE WITHIN ONE-FOURTH OF THE WALL THICKNESS FROM THE INSIDE SURFACE OF THE VESSEL AFTER DEDUCTING CORROSION ALLOWANCE

MINIMUM THICKNESS OF MATERIAL TO BE TAPPED TO MEET UG-43(d) = $h_d \times (4/3) + c_a$
2.6967

SINCE $t > 2.6967$, DESIGN IS SATISFACTORY

1"-14 X 1 1/2" LONG HELICAL INSERT HOLE DRILL DEPTH: 2.00" (MIN.)

**NOTE: INSERT MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 200,000 PSI > FLANGE MATERIAL
MINIMUM TENSILE STRENGTH: 75,000 PSI**

NOTE: STUD/ BOLT MATERIAL IS SA-197-B16

INJECTOR CAP (I1 TO I4)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Welded Cover
Material specification: SB-446 1 Annealed Bar <= 4 N06625 (II-D
p. 226, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1200 psi @ 1000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04$ psi (SG=1.0000, $H_s = 1.24$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance: Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Category A joints - Seamless No RT

Estimated weight: New = 0.2 lb corr = 0.2 lb

Head outside diameter = 2"
Cover thickness = 0.8"

NOTE: CAP HAS BORE OF 0.260".
AREA REQUIREMENT EXEMPT PER UG-39(d).

Factor C from Fig. UG-34, sketch (h)

Factor C = 0.66

Note: Factor C has been increased per UG-39(d)

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) UG-34 (c)(2)

$$\begin{aligned} t &= d \cdot \text{Sqr}(C \cdot P / (S \cdot E)) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.3 \cdot \text{Sqr}(0.66 \cdot 1,200 / (29,900 \cdot 1)) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.2416 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F)

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (S \cdot E / C) \cdot (t / d)^2 - P_s \\ &= (29,900 \cdot 1 / 0.66) \cdot (0.77 / 1.3)^2 - 0 \\ &= 15,893.59 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

KINETICS REACTOR INNER TUBE

MATERIAL: INCONEL 617

NOTE: KINETICS REACTOR INNER TUBE IS
NOT INCLUDED IN PRESSURE BOUNDARY.

OD: 2"

WALL THICKNESS: 0.125"

CORROSION ALLOWANCE: 0.005"

MAXIMUM DIFFERENTIAL PRESSURE: 50 PSI

MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE: 1900°F

DESIGN LIFE: 2000 HOURS

AXIAL LOAD: 50 LBS

MATERIAL PROPERTIES (@ 1900°F) - MATERIAL PROPERTIES FROM HAYNES INTERNATIONAL AND
SPECIAL METALS PRODUCT SPECS.

MOD OF ELASTICITY (E): 18,800,000 PSI

POISSON'S RATIO: 0.32

TENSILE STG. (APPROX.): 15,000 PSI

YIELD STG. (APPROX): 10,000 PSI

RUPTURE STG. AT 2,000 HRS (APPROX): 2,000 PSI

DESIGN CONDITIONS/ ASSUMPTIONS

-PER PRESSURE VESSEL DESIGN HANDBOOK 2ND ED. (BEDNAR)

SEC 3.1- THIN SHELL VESSEL IS $R/t > 10$

$0.880" / 0.120" = 7.3$, THEREFORE THIS IS NOT A THIN WALLED VESSEL

SEC 3.3- UNDER UNIFORM EXTERNAL PRESSURE – LENGTH OF CYLINDER IS A FACTOR FOR
COLLAPSE IN THIN-WALLED CYLINDERS ONLY.

FOR COLUMN BUCKLING CALCULATIONS SPIDER SUPPORTS ARE NOT CONSIDERED (TUBE
IS CONSIDERED AS A 163" LONG COLUMN WITH NO INTERMEDIATE SUPPORT) FOR A
CONSERVATIVE ANALYSIS.

ALL CALCULATIONS CONSIDER THE TUBE IN THE CORRODED CONDITION (WALL THK =
0.120", ID = 1.760").

CALCULATION

AXIAL STRESS (σ_1) = $P/A = 50 \text{ LBS} / 0.709 \text{ IN}^2 = 70.52 \text{ PSI}$
WHERE A = CROSS SECTIONAL ARE OF TUBE = 0.709 IN^2

CIRCUMFERENTIAL STRESS (FROM EXTERNAL PRESSURE)

PER ROARK'S 6TH (YOUNG)- TABLE 32, CASE. 1c

$$\sigma_2 (\text{MAX}) = -q \cdot 2 \cdot a^2 / (a^2 - b^2) = -50 \text{ PSI} \cdot 2 \cdot 1^2 / (1^2 - 0.880^2) = -443.13 \text{ PSI}$$

a = OUTER RADIUS OF TUBE

b = INNER RADIUS OF TUBE

q = PRESSURE

CIRCUMFERENTIAL STRESS (FROM INTERNAL PRESSURE)

PER ROARK'S 6TH (YOUNG)- TABLE 32, CASE. 1a

$$\sigma_2 (\text{MAX}) = q \cdot (a^2 + b^2) / (a^2 - b^2) = 50 \text{ PSI} \cdot (1^2 + 0.880^2) / (1^2 - 0.880^2) = 393.26 \text{ PSI}$$

RADIAL STRESS (INTERNAL & EXTERNAL PRESSURE)

PER ROARK'S 6TH (YOUNG)- TABLE 32, CASE. 1a & 1c

$$\sigma_3 (\text{MAX}) = -q = -50 \text{ PSI}$$

$$\text{COMBINED STRESS} = (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2)^{1/2} = (70.52^2 + 443.13^2 + 50^2)^{1/2} \\ = \underline{\underline{451 \text{ PSI}}}$$

COLUMN BUCKLING

PER ROARK'S 6TH (YOUNG)- ART. 11.1 FORMULA (1)

$$P/A = C \cdot \pi^2 \cdot E / (L/r)^2 = 1 \cdot (3.14)^2 \cdot 18,800,000 / (163 / 0.660)^2 = 3,039 \text{ PSI}$$

NOTE: P/A = THE UNIT STRESS AT WHICH A LONG COLUMN FAILS BY ELASTIC INSTABILITY.

CHECK FOR TUBE FAILURE:

FROM STRESS: $451 \text{ PSI} < 2,000 \text{ PSI}$ (RUPTURE STG), TUBE STRESS IS ACCEPTABLE

FROM COLUMN BUCKLING: $451 \text{ PSI} < 3,039 \text{ PSI}$, TUBE STRESS IS ACCEPTABLE

API Standard 530 / ISO 13704-2001 (E)

RUPTURE DESIGN FOR 1/4" OD TUBE

ISO 13704 CALCULATION SHEET (US customary units)		
Outside diameter, inches	$D_o = 0.25"$	$D_o = 0.25"$
Design pressure, psi (gauge)	$p_{el} = 1150$ PSI	$p_r = 1150$ PSI
Maximum or equivalent metal temperature, °F	$T_{max} = 1600$ F	$T_{max} = 1600$ F
Temperature allowance, °F	$T_A = \text{NONE}$	$T_A = \text{NONE}$
Design metal temperature, °F	$T_d = 1600$ F	$T_d = 1600$ F
Design life, h	—	$t_{DL} = 2000$
Allowable stress at T_d	$\sigma_{el} = 20,000$ PSI	$\sigma_r = 4000$ PSI
Stress thickness, equation (2) or (4), inches	$\delta_{\sigma} = 0.0070"$	$\delta_{\sigma} = 0.0314"$
Corrosion allowance, inches	$\delta_{CA} = \text{NONE}$	$\delta_{CA} = \text{NONE}$
Corrosion fraction, Figure 1, $n = B =$	—	$f_{corr} = \text{N/A}$
Minimum thickness, equation (3) or (5), inches	$\delta_{min} = 0.0070"$	$\delta_{min} = 0.0314"$

MATERIAL: SB-444 N06625 GR 2 (SOLUTION TREATED)

MINIMUM WALL THICKNESS OF TUBE: 0.0585"

ALLOWABLE STRESSES PER "SPECIAL METALS" ALLOY 625 CATALOG

NO CORROSION ALLOWANCE IS USED

NOTE: 1/4" X 0.065 NOM THK. TUBE NOT INCLUDED IN CODE
 PRESSURE BOUNDARY. TUBE IS FIELD WELDED TO VESSEL.

RUPTURE DESIGN PER 4.4 EQN (4)

$$\delta_{\sigma} = \frac{p_r D_o}{2\sigma_r + p_r} = (1150 \cdot 0.25) / (2 \cdot 4000 + 1150) = 0.0314" \text{ min. thickness required}$$

0.0585" > 0.0314" THEREFORE TUBE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

ELASTIC DESIGN CHECK PER 6.1 AND 4.3 EQN (2)

$$\delta_{\sigma} = \frac{p_{el} D_o}{2\sigma_{el} + p_{el}} = (1150 \cdot 0.25) / (2 \cdot 20,000 + 1150) = 0.0070" \text{ min. thickness required}$$

0.0585" > 0.0070" THEREFORE TUBE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

TUBE TO VESSEL WELD

NOTE: TUBE AND TUBE TO VESSEL WELD NOT INCLUDED IN CODE PRESSURE BOUNDARY

(5) WELDS REQUIRED

TUBES: 1/4" OD X 0.065" AVG WALL SB-444 N06625 GR.2

ASME VIII-1 2007 EDITION USED AS GUIDE

UW-16(E)(1) AND FIG. UW-16.1 (W-1)

WELDED FROM THE OUTSIDE ONLY

REQUIRED FILLET THROAT SIZE = $T_{min} * 1.25 = 0.065 * 1.25 = 0.08125"$

REQUIRED FILLET LEG SIZE = $0.08125 / 0.707 = 0.115"$ => USE 1/8" LEG

NOTE: TUBE DOES NOT EXTEND TO INSIDE WALL OF VESSEL

ADDITIONAL 1/16" GROOVE WELD NOT REQUIRED BY FIG. UW-16.1 (W-1) IS USED;
THEREFORE, THE WELD DESIGN IS CONSERVATIVE.

ELEVATION VIEW
SEE SECTIONAL ELEVATION VIEW
ON SHEET 2

* MACHINING NOTE:
ALL GASKET SURFACES
ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE.
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
(DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIMS.)

NOTE: APPLY HI-TEMP. THREAD LUBE / ANTI-SEIZE
TO ALL FASTENER THREADS WHEN ASSEMBLING.

SECTION A~A

SUPPORT A, B, C, D DETAIL

MARK	SIZE	DESCRIPTION	TYPE	CLASS
NOZZLE SCHEDULE				
NOZZLE				
FLANGE				
GASPAR JOB #				
36094-B				
CUSTOMER				
ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.				
P.O. NO.				
700521452				
INQUIRY #				
25269				
REFERENCE NUMBER				
1				
NUMBER OF UNITS				
1				
DRAWING TITLE				
KINETICS REACTOR				
DRAWING NUMBER				
36094				
CODE				
5				
REVISION				
5				

DESIGN PRESSURE INTERNAL:	1200 PSIG @ 700 DEG F	DESIGN PRESSURE EXTERNAL:	1200 PSIG @ 1000 DEG F
HYDRO TEST:	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F	HYDRO TEST:	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F
MINUT: 5 DEG F	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F	MINUT: 5 DEG F	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F
RADIOGRAPH:	NONE	RADIOGRAPH:	NONE
CORROSION ALLOWANCE:	C.S. = .06" S.S. = .03"	CORROSION ALLOWANCE:	C.S. = .06" S.S. = .03"
ESTIMATED CAPACITY:	53 US GALLONS	ESTIMATED CAPACITY:	53 US GALLONS
INSULATION SPRITS:	NONE	INSULATION SPRITS:	NONE
RADIOGRAPH: LONG	CIRC.	RADIOGRAPH: LONG	CIRC.
SHELL	N/A	SHELL	N/A
HEAD	N/A	HEAD	N/A
NOZ (PIPE)	SMS	NOZ (PIPE)	SMS
NOZ (PL)	N/A	NOZ (PL)	N/A

DESIGN PRESSURE INTERNAL:	1200 PSIG @ 1000 DEG F	DESIGN PRESSURE EXTERNAL:	1200 PSIG @ N/A DEG F
HYDRO TEST:	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F	HYDRO TEST:	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F
MINUT: 5 DEG F	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F	MINUT: 5 DEG F	N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F
RADIOGRAPH:	NONE	RADIOGRAPH:	NONE
CORROSION ALLOWANCE:	C.S. = .06" S.S. = .03"	CORROSION ALLOWANCE:	C.S. = .06" S.S. = .03"
ESTIMATED CAPACITY:	53 US GALLONS	ESTIMATED CAPACITY:	53 US GALLONS
INSULATION SPRITS:	NONE	INSULATION SPRITS:	NONE
RADIOGRAPH: LONG	CIRC.	RADIOGRAPH: LONG	CIRC.
SHELL	N/A	SHELL	N/A
HEAD	N/A	HEAD	N/A
NOZ (PIPE)	SMS	NOZ (PIPE)	SMS
NOZ (PL)	N/A	NOZ (PL)	N/A

- GENERAL NOTES:
- FLANGE BOLT HOLES SHALL STRADDLE 0 & 180 DEG. VESSEL CENTERLINES UNLESS NOTED.
 - WELDS SHALL BE NEAT IN APPEARANCE, FREE OF SLAG, UNDERCUTS AND OTHER DEFECTS.
 - REINFORCING PADS AND PAD SECTIONS SHALL HAVE 1/8 INCH NPT WEEP HOLE LOCATED AS LOW AS POSSIBLE IN THE PAD WHEN THE VESSEL IS IN OPERATING POSITION. PLUG WEEP HOLE WITH HEAVY GREASE.
 - VESSEL SHALL BE CLEANED OF SCALE, OIL, WELD SPATTER AND ALL OTHER FOREIGN MATTER BEFORE HYDROSTATIC TESTING.
 - COAT ALL NOZZLE GASKET SURFACES WITH PROTECTIVE LUBRICANT BEFORE BLANKING FOR SHIPMENT.
 - PROTECT ALL MACHINED SURFACES AND THREADED CONNECTIONS WITH WOOD OR PLASTIC SHIPPERS BEFORE SHIPMENT.
- ESTIMATED EMPTY WEIGHT: 1900 LBS
- SHIPPING SIZE: 19 X 19 X 218 INCHES

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.	CANTON, OHIO
W	MAWP 1200 PSI AT 700 F
MDMT	5 F AT 1200 PSI
HEAD	TUBE SIDE
MAWP	1200 PSI AT 1000 F
MDMT	5 F AT 1200 PSI
RT-1	36094
MFG. SERIAL NO.	2008
YEAR BUILT	2008

DESIGN JOB #	36094-B
CUSTOMER	ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.
P.O. NO.	700521452
INQUIRY #	25269
REFERENCE NUMBER	1
NUMBER OF UNITS	1
DRAWING TITLE	KINETICS REACTOR
DRAWING NUMBER	36094
CODE	5
REVISION	5

FACE OF NOZZLE TO CENTERLINE OF EQUIPMENT.	5	RCK 12/17/08	ADDED N/B NO.
C.L. OF NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.	4	RCK 12/8/08	N/P WAS AT 218", ADDED THRD. LUBE, REV. NOZ. SCH. 11-4, ADDED HYDRO VIEW & COVERS, REV. BOLTING 39,40,42.
NOZ. FLNG FACE ALIGNMENT, C.L. TO EDGE, IN DEGREES RISE	3	RCK 10/17/08	ADDED GEO. TOL., HOLD ON ITEM 10, ADDED MACH. NOTE.
PLAN LOCATION OF NOZ. OR SUPPORT LUGS FROM EQUIP. C.L. OR DATUM REF.	2	RCK 10/16/08	CUSTOMER CHANGES, ITEMS 31,32,33,34 NOW GASPAR SUPPLY
FACE OF BOTTOM NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.	1	RCK 8/14/08	REV. PER. CUSTOMER COMMENTS HOLD ON NOZ. 11,2,3,4, REDESIGN TC CLIPS, REV. BOLTING 31,35,36
BY DATE	NO.	BY DATE	

CERTIFIED DIMENSIONAL PRINT
BY: *Shirley S. S.*
DATE: 7/22/08

DESIGN JOB #	36094-B
CUSTOMER	ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.
P.O. NO.	700521452
INQUIRY #	25269
REFERENCE NUMBER	1
NUMBER OF UNITS	1
DRAWING TITLE	KINETICS REACTOR
DRAWING NUMBER	36094
CODE	5
REVISION	5

DESIGN JOB #	36094-B
CUSTOMER	ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.
P.O. NO.	700521452
INQUIRY #	25269
REFERENCE NUMBER	1
NUMBER OF UNITS	1
DRAWING TITLE	KINETICS REACTOR
DRAWING NUMBER	36094
CODE	5
REVISION	5

FABRICATION TOLERANCES (GENERAL)

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2 BREAK AND DEBURR ALL SHARP EDGES.
- 3 DRILL FUR 1"-14 x 15" L6, HELICAL INSERT, (MCMASTER-CARR) P/N: 917324027 OR EQUIVALENT. MUST MEET WILL SPEC. M-12-704 SS. FABRICATOR TO SUPPLY THIS COMPONENT WITH HELICAL INSERTS INSTALLED.
- 4 **SURFACE FINISH IN GROOVE FACE TO BE IT-A GASKET SEALING SURFACE.**

▲ TUBE TO NOZ. 11-14 FIELD WELD
(TO BE WELDED IN
FIELD BY CUSTOMER)
(4) PLACES

ADAPTER FLG. DETAIL

BELLOWS BOTTOM GASKET DETAIL A

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	
ANGULAR: $\pm 0^{\circ} 30'$	TOLERANCES:
ONE PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm 0.015^{\circ}$
TWO PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm 0.001^{\circ}$
THREE PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm 0.0005^{\circ}$
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL	$\pm 0.00005^{\circ}$
INTERPRET AS FOLLOWS:	
PER: ANSI Y14.5M-1994	

GASPAR, INC. B		36094-B	
COUNTRY		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.	
P.O. NO.		700521452	NUMBER OF UNITS
INVENTORY #		25269	1
INVOICE NUMBER			
DRAWING TITLE		KINETICS REACTOR	
DRAWING NO.		DRAWING NUMBER	
REV. 7-41-08		36094	
CHECKED BY		DATE	
-		5	
SHEET		3 OF 5	
GASPAR, INC.		CANTON, OHIO	

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 REMOVE AND DEBURR ALL SHARP EDGES.

INJECTOR HEAD FLG. DETAIL

***MACHINING NOTE:**
ALL GASKET SURFACES
ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE.
(DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIMS.)

WELDED HYDRO COVER
REMOVE AFTER
HYDRO. AND
GRIND SMOOTH
(4) PLACES

HYDRO TEST CONFIGURATION & ASSEMBLY

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
2 INSERTS TO BE INSTALLED 1/4 TO 1/2 TURN BELOW WORKING SURFACE OF HOLE. MAKE SURE TANG ON INSERT IS REMOVED AFTER INSTALLATION
3 BREAK ALL EDGES 5 (20) MAX. ALL INSIDE RADIUS 2/4 (0.09) MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
4 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

SHELL HEAD FLG. DETAIL

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
TOLERANCES:
ANGULAR ±0° 30'
ONE PLACE DECIMAL ±0.015"
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±0.005"
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0025"
FOUR PLACE DECIMAL ±0.0005"
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5M-1994

GASPAR JOB #		36094-B			
CLIENT		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.			
P.O. NO.		700521452			
INQUIRY #		25269			
REFERENCE NUMBER		1			
DRAWING TITLE					
KINETICS REACTOR					
DRAWING NUMBER					
36094					
CODE					
5					
REVISION					
1					
SHEET 4 OF 5					

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 DIMENSION AND TOLERANCING PER ANSI Y14.5-1994.
- 2 BREAK ALL EDGES .5 (.20) MAX. ALL INSIDE RADIUS 2.4 (.09) MAX. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 3 SURFACE FINISH AS INDICATED, SHOULD ALSO BE TO A QUALITY OF A GASKET SEALING FINISH, BY BEST MECHANICAL METHODS.

SHELL LOWER FLG. DETAIL

LOWER HYDRO. COVER DETAIL

11-14 CAP DETAIL
BY CUSTOMER

*MACHINING NOTE:

ALL GASKET SURFACES ARE TO BE FINAL MACHINED AFTER ALL WELDING IS COMPLETE. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. (DO NOT FIT TO FINISH DIM'S.)

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED	
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	
ANGULAR: $\pm$ 0° 30'	TOLERANCES:
DRAWING DIMENSION	$\pm$.0015"
FACE DIMENSION	$\pm$.0005"
EDGE DIMENSION	$\pm$.0005"
FINISH DIMENSION	$\pm$.0005"
INTERPRET DIMENSIONAL TOLERANCING PER:	ANSI Y14.5M-1994

GASPAR JOB #		36094-B	
CLIENT		ARIZONA PUBLIC SRV.	
P.O. NO.		700521452	
INQUIRY #		25269	
REFERENCE NUMBER		1	
DRAWING TITLE			
KINETICS REACTOR			
DRAWING NUMBER			
36094 c			
REVISION			
5			

DRAWN BY		CHECKED BY	
RCK/7-21-08		-	
SHEET		5 OF 5	

CANTON, OHIO	
Gasparr, Inc.	

APPENDIX D

Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Preheater

Final Design from Gaspar Inc.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

www.gasparinc.com

FINAL DATA PACKAGE

GASPAR JOB NUMBER(S):	36095
CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:	700521452
DESCRIPTION:	PREHEATER
ITEM NUMBER(S):	N/A
OTHER:	N/A

DATA PACKAGE CONTENTS

- ☒ DATA REPORT
- ☒ NAMEPLATE COPY
- ☒ BILL OF MATERIAL
- ☒ MATERIAL TEST REPORTS
- ☒ NDE REPORTS
- ☒ HEAT TREAT CHARTS
- ☒ CALCULATIONS
- ☒ DRAWINGS
- ☐ OTHER: (LIST BELOW)

NOTE:

FORM U-1A MANUFACTURER'S DATA REPORT FOR PRESSURE VESSELS
(Alternative Form for Single Chamber, Completely Shop or Field Fabricated Vessels Only)
As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

1. Manufactured and certified by: Gaspar, Inc. 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
(Name and address of manufacturer)

2. Manufactured for: Arizona Public Service Co. P.O. Box 53999 Phoenix, AZ 85072
(Name and address of purchaser)

3. Location of installation Unknown
(Name and address)

4. Type: Vertical 36095 ---- 36095 Rev.4 2551 2008
(Horizontal or vertical tank) (Manufacturer's serial number) (CRN) (Drawing number) (National Board number) (Year built)

5. The chemical and physical properties of all parts meet the requirements of material specifications of the ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE The design, construction, and workmanship conform to ASME Rules, Section VIII, Division 1 2007 Edition
Year

6. Shell SB466-N06625 1.0" .020" 0' - 1.0" 14' - 5"
(Material spec. number, grade) (Nominal thickness) (Corr. allow) (Inner diameter) (Length overall)

7. Seams: Smls None 100% ---- ---- Welded/Type 1 Full 100% Four
(Long (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)) R.T. (spot or full) (Eff.) (H.T. temp.) (Time, hr) (Girth (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)) [R.T. (spot or full)] (Eff. %) No. of courses

8. Heads: (a) Material SB466-N06625 (b) Material SB466-N06625
(Spec. number, grade) (Spec. number, grade)

	Location (Top, Bottom, Ends)	Minimum Thickness	Corrosion Allowance	Crown Radius	Knuckle Radius	Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex Angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to Pressure (Convex or Concave)
(a)	Top	1.0"	.020"	----	----	----	----	----	3"	----
(b)	Bottom	1.0"	.020"	----	----	----	----	----	3"	----

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastenings) ----
(Material spec. number, grade, size, number)

9. MAWP 1150 ---- at max temp. 1600 ----
(Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)
Min. design metal temp. -20 at 1150 Hydro. pneu., or comb. test press. Hydro 15737

10. Nozzles, inspection, and safety valve openings:

Purpose (Inlet, Outlet, Drain)	Number	Diameter or Size	Type	Material	Nominal Thickness	Reinforcement Material	How Attached	Location
Aux	5	.260"	Bore	----	----	----	----	----

11. Supports: Skirt No Lugs 0 Legs 4 Others ---- Attached Shell/Welded
(Yes or No) (No.) (No.) (Describe) (Where and How)

12. Remarks: Manufacturer's Partial Data Reports properly identified and signed by Commissioned Inspectors have been furnished for the following items of the report: ----
(Name of part, item number, Manufacturer's name and identifying stamp)

UNF-65 Exempt (impact test).

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements made in this report are correct and that all details of design, material, construction and workmanship of this vessel conform to the ASME Boiler and pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. "U" Certificate of Authorization Number 16,862
expires July 25, 2011

Date 12-29-08 Co. Name Gaspar, Inc. Signed Wesley Morgan
(Manufacturer) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD INSPECTION

Vessel constructed by Gaspar, Inc. at 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors and/or the State or Province of Ohio and employed by OneBeacon America Insurance Company Lynn, Mass
have inspected the component described in this Manufacturer's Data Report on 12-24-2008, and state that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed this pressure vessel in accordance with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. By signing this certificate neither the Inspector nor his/her employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel this described in this Manufacturer's Data Report. Furthermore, neither the Inspector nor his/her employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date 01-06-2009 Signed [Signature] Commissions NB-4658A OHIO COMM.
(Authorized Inspector) (National Board (incl endorsements) State, Prov. and number)

JB 2001

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.

CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP	<u>110</u>	PSI AT	<u>100</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>-20</u>	°F AT	<u>110</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F

RT-1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE

MAWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>XX</u>	°F AT	<u>XX</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	F

0095

MFG. SERIAL NO.

2008

YEAR BUILT

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	36095	FAB (1) PREHEATER	PRTY#4-ITEM#3
PONumber	DwgNumber	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	36095		Domestic or West European Origin

MRK	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
0.5	-			(1) UNIT SHOWN (1) UNIT REQ'D				
1	2	1	BAR/ROD	3 DIA X 5 1/2 LG	TOP HEAD	SB-446-N06625		
1	2					GR2*		
2	1	4	BAR/ROD	3 DIA X 43 1/4 LG	SHELL	SB-446-N06625		
2	1					GR2*		
3	2	5	PLATE	1/4 FIN X 8 OD X 3 1/8 ID	SPIDER SPRT	SA-240-316 **		
4	3	1	PLATE	7/16 THK X 14 1/2 OD X 3 1/8 ID	TOP MOUNT	SA-240-316 **		
5	2	4	PLATE	7/16 THK X 2 3/4 WD X 5 13/16 LG	TOP MOUNT	SA-240-316 **		
6	2	4	PLATE	7/16 THK X 2 3/4 WD X 5 13/16 LG	TOP MOUNT	SA-240-316**		
7	2	1	PLATE	7/16 THK X 9 OD X 3 1/8 ID	TOP MOUNT	SA-240-316**		
8	3	2	PLATE	7/16 THK X 2 WD X 4 1/4 LG	LOWER SPRT CLIP	SA-240-316 **		
9	-	1	PLATE	1/8 THK X 6 WD X 7 LG	N/P	SA-240-304		
10	-	1	PLATE	1/8 THK X 2 WD X 3 LG	N/P	SA-240-304		
11	1	12	TUBE	2 WLD X 1/8 THK X 1 LG CUT IN 72 DEG SEG.	T/C PAD	INCONEL 617		
11	1			1 PIPE MAKES 5 PARTS (MATERIAL BY CUSTOMER)				
12	1	1	MISC	TURBULATOR- hiTRAN MATRIX ELEMENT- 173" LG	SHELL	316 SS		
12	1			PART No. 25400-1A158-2FEA2-94D33-R-318A/4 00				
13	2	1	BAR/ROD	3 DIA X 4 1/2 LG	BTM HEAD	SB-446-N06625		
13	2					GR2*		
14	3		TUBE	1/4 OD X 0.065 AW (SUPPLIED BY CUST) (SHIP LOOSE)		SB-444-N06625 G		
999	-		NOTE	*HOT ROLLED OR FORGED				
999	-			*A MINIMUM OF 2" EXTRA STOCK REQUIRED FOR CHEMICAL				
999	-			ANALYSIS AFTER HEAT TREAT. THIS EXTRA LENGTH IS NOT				
999	-			INCLUDED IN THE BILL OF MATERIAL.				
999	-			*LONG DIMENSION OF OF BAR/ROD TO BE APPROX.				
999	-			PARALLEL TO METAL FLOW LINES OF THE STOCK				
999	-			**CARBON CONTENT EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN .04%				

36095 MK 1, 2, 15

11 N

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

Traveler(s) Heat # Ingot#
73163 A L01A

Prof 1 Rev 1

ATI Allvac
4374 Lancaster Highway
Richburg, SC 29729
Phone (803) 789-3595

Customer Name Purchase Order Size Alloy
ROLLED ALLOYS H19175 3.000" Rd. NICKELVAC 625

P.O. Box 310 125 West Sterns Road
Temperance, MI PCS 7 Weight 2770

Tina Coletti

Date: 07/21/2008 Quality Auditor: Tina Coletti

CONDITION SHIPPED

SURFACE: Centerless Ground HEAT TREAT: 1600 F, 30 mins., WQ
ROLLED ALLOYS QUALITY ASSURANCE
Approved *[Signature]*
Date 7-25-08

SPECIFICATIONS

AMS 5666	F	ASME II SB-446	2007	Grade 1
ASTM B446	2003(2008) Grade 1	EN 10204.3.1	10-2004	
PWA 300	BJ	RR9000:SABRE	10/2007	
S-1000	01/02/2008	S-400	10/31/2007	ASME
DNS N06625				

Yr 2007 Add.
Q.C. WM Date 8/5/08

CHEMISTRY					Cb = Nb		
CR EQ = Chromium Equiv					Al	B	Zr
C	S	Mn	Si	Cr	Cr EQ	Mo	Co
HEAT AVG	.038	.0003	.04	.03	20.83	-	8.30
					.27	.28	.003
							<.01

Fe	Cu	Ni	P	Cb	Ta	W	V	Cb+Ta	Ti+Al	Ni+Co	Y
HEAT AVG 4.35	.03	61.99	.005	3.75	<.01	.04	.02	3.75	.55	62.05	-

CHEMISTRY (TRACE)

Mg

HEAT AVG -
 1T1 .0033

CHEMISTRY METHOD

ELEMENTS TESTED BY METHOD

CS-CS
 XRF-NI(NIBAL)
 WET-B
 WET-MG
 C,S
 MO,W,CR,CO,FE,CU,P,NB,TA,ZR,MN,V,TI,AL,Si,NI
 B
 MG

CHEMISTRY REMARKS

Chemistry tested at ALLVAC unless otherwise noted.

ASME

CHEMISTRY ANALYTICAL METHODS

CS = Combustion/IR Detection
 GAS = Inert Gas Fusion
 OES = Spark Optical Emission
 XRF = X-Ray Fluorescence
 WET = Flame Atomic Absorption and/or Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption and/or Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission and/or Mass Spectroscopy

Yr 2007 Add. _____
 Q.C. WM Date 8/5/08

As Shipped Tensile Test

Operation	Ingot	Heat Treat Code	Test Dir	Temp F	UTS ksi	.2% Yield ksi	.02% Yield ksi	%EL		Gage Length		Tensile Diameter	Tested At
								4D	5D	4D	5D		
1600BX	LM			ROOM	143.2	74.3		45.0	46.5	2.00		.506	ACUREN

Test Dir: L = Longitudinal, T = Transverse, ST = Short Transverse, LT = Long Transverse,
TC = Transverse Center At Size, TM = Transverse Mid-Radius At Size,
PC = Pancake, DB = Drawbar, PD = Paddle, TT = Top Transverse At Size,
BT = Bottom Transverse At Size, LC = Long Center, TX = Top Transverse Mid-Radius At Size,
LM = Longitudinal Mid Radius, LS = Longitudinal Surface, TS = Transverse Surface

Operation: SUPER = Crosshead Sep Rate of .10 inches/minute

AS SHIPPED HARDNESS

Ingot	Heat Treat Code	Hardness val	Hardness Type	Tested At
	1600BX	23	HRC	ACUREN

TENSILE/STRESS RUPTURE HEAT TREATMENT

Location	Temp F	Hours	Mins	Cool Per Hrs (F)	Cool Code	WQ
PLANT	1600	30				

HT Code: 1600BX

Furnace

Cool Rate

Per Hrs

(F)

Code

ASME

METALLOGRAPHY

Yr 2007 Add.

Q.C. WM Date 8/5/08

GRAIN SIZE (As shipped cond.): Avg. ASTM 9

Tested at Acuren

REMARKS

Material has been produced, sampled, inspected, and tested in accordance with the customer purchase order and referenced specifications and conforms to the requirements unless otherwise noted in this certificate of test.

Traveler(s)

Heat #

Ingot#

73163

A

L01A

ATI Allvac 4374 Lancaster Highway

Richburg, SC 29729

Phone (803) 798-3595

Page 4 of 5

Any deviations to specification or customer purchase order requirements relative to testing, test values, hot working fixed practices, have been resolved in writing with customer prior to shipment.

The recording of false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may violate Federal statutes, including but not limited to Title 18, Chapter 47 of the United States Code, and may be punishable as a felony.

If customer purchase order does not specifically reference a revision to a specification, Allvac will work to the latest revision on file and in effect at time of order placement.

Test methods are per the latest ASTM Standards, currently recognized industry practices; or as agreed upon between Allvac and customer.

Any chemical elements analyzed and found to have values below the actual limits of detection may be reported as < less than or reported at the detection level.

When values are reported to the significant places called for in the specifications, rounding will be done in accordance with ASTM E-29.

This is to certify that during manufacturing, handling, testing and inspection, this material did not come in direct contact with mercury or any device employing a single boundary of containment.

This Certificate of Test shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Allvac Quality.

No weld repair has been performed on this material.

Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) - View or print from our site: www.allvac.com. Printed copies available on request from the Allvac Sales Department.

Allvac products have not come in contact with radioactive, fertile or fissionable materials during manufacturing or processing.

Melt source in compliance with DFAR 252.225-7014, Alternate 1.

Melt Method - VIM/ESR

INGOT MELT SOURCE: Allvac

NAFTA Country of Origin - USA

SPECIAL REMARKS

ASME

Yr 2007 Add.
Q.C. WM Date 8/5/08

Material melted and manufactured in the United States.

GEAR 9-400 (GT193) supplier codes: Allvac Monroe - 87012, Allvac Lockport - T1226,
Acuren - T7605, Westmoreland T7869

TEST METHODS: C/S/O/N = ASTM E1019 (2003); XRF = ASTM E572 (2002A/2006*2), ASTM E1085 (1995/2004),
ASTM E2465 (2006); OES = ASTM E415 (1999A/2005), ASTM E1086 (1994/2005); RTT = ASTM E8 (2004);
ETT = ASTM E21 (2005); Stress Rupture = ASTM E139 (2006), ASTM E292 (2001); Creep = ASTM E139 (2006);
Charpy = ASTM E23 (2007A*1); Fracture Toughness = ASTM E399 (2006*1); Rockwell Hardness = ASTM E18 (2007);
Brinell Hardness = ASTM E10 (2007*1); ASTM E45 = ASTM E45 (2005*1); AMS 2300 = AMS 2300 (K); Grain Size =
ASTM E112 (1996/2004*1), ASTM E930 (1999/2007), ASTM E1181 (2002).

ASME

Yr 2007 Add.
Q.C. WM Date 8/5/08

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

(Incorporated)

ONE SANDMEYER LANE • LEBANON, OHIO 43045-1558

800-527-3853 • FAX 614-477-7400 • WWW.SANDMEYERSTEEL.COM

Family Owned and Managed
Making Stainless Steel and Nickel Alloy Plate Products
Since 1952

36095 MK 3-6

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING
IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND
TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

BILL
TO

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 31219

DATE: 10/28/2008

GRADE: UNS S31609	SPECIFICATION: ASME SA240 2004 ED	HEAT NO.: 91651
-------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

PIECES	DESCRIPTION
	SSC TYPE 316H PLATE
5	8" OD X 3-1/8" ID X 7/16" MK 3 36095 PLATE NO. 69347
1	14" OD X 3-1/8" ID X 7/16" MK 4 36095 PLATE NO. 69347
1	7/16" X 3" X 48" MK 5 6 36095 PLATE NO. 69347

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008
Q.C. WM Date 10/30/08

HEAT NO.	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo
91651	0.050	1.450	0.022	0.01700	0.410	10.300	16.880	2.150
HEAT NO.	Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Hardness	Grain Size			
91651	43,100	85,900	55% IN 2"	RB 79	6			

* LBS/IN2

MATERIAL SOLUTION ANNEALED AT 1950 DEGREES F MINIMUM
AND WATER QUENCHED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY AVESTAPOLARIT PLATE
THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION

RECORDS OF ALL TESTS ARE MAINTAINED AT SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

(Incorporated)

ONE SANDMEYER LANE • PHILADELPHIA, PA 19116-3538
600 583 3553 • FAX 215 677-1430 • www.SandmeyerSteel.com

Family Owned and Managed —
Making Stainless Steel and Nickel Alloy Plate Products
Since 1952

BILL
TO

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

36095 MK 4

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710

WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS
AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING
IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND
TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 31301

DATE: 11/21/2008

GRADE: UNS S31609	SPECIFICATION: ASME SA240 2004 ED	HEAT NO: 91651
-------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

PIECES	DESCRIPTION
--------	-------------

1	SSC TYPE 316H PLATE 14-1/2" OD X 3-1/8" ID X 7/16" MRK#4	PLATE NO. 69347
<p style="text-align: right;">ASME Yr <u>2007</u> Add. <u>2008</u> Q.C. <u>WM</u> Date <u>11/25/08</u></p>		

HEAT NO.	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo
91651	0.050	1.450	0.022	0.01700	0.410	10.300	16.880	2.150

HEAT NO.	Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Hardness	Grain Size
91651	43,100	85,900	55% IN 2"	RB 79	6

RECORDS OF ALL TESTS ARE MAINTAINED AT SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

* LBS/IN2

MATERIAL SOLUTION ANNEALED AT 1950 DEGREES F MINIMUM
AND WATER QUENCHED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY AVESTAPOLARIT PLATE
THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION

36095 MK 7,8

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

WE CERTIFY THAT THE CHEMICAL ANALYSIS AND MECHANICAL TEST RESULTS APPEARING IN THIS CERTIFICATE ARE CORRECT AND TRUE AS REPORTED BY THE MANUFACTURER.

SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

T. BOHNSACK - MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE
QUALITY CONTROL DEPARTMENT

BILL TO

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710

CUSTOMER ORDER NO. 31219

DATE: 10/28/2008

GRADE: UNS S31609	SPECIFICATION: ASME SA240 2004 ED	HEAT NO.: 91651
-------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

PIECES	DESCRIPTION
	SSC TYPE 316H PLATE
1	9" OD X 3-1/8" ID X 7/16" MK 7 36095 PLATE NO. 69347
4	7/16" X 2" X 2-1/4" MK 8 36095 PLATE NO. 69347

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008

Q.C. WM Date 10/30/08

HEAT NO.	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo
91651	0.050	1.450	0.022	0.01700	0.410	10.300	16.880	2.150
HEAT NO.	Yield *	Tensile *	Elong	Hardness	Grain Size			
91651	43,100	85,900	55% IN 2"	RB 79	6			

* LBS/IN2 MATERIAL SOLUTION ANNEALED AT 1950 DEGREES F MINIMUM
AND WATER QUENCHED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
MATERIAL MANUFACTURED BY AVESTAPOLARIT PLATE
THIS MATERIAL IS FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION
RECORDS OF ALL TESTS ARE MAINTAINED AT SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY

Avesta Sheffield East, Inc.

Certificate of Analysis and Tests

OUR ORDER 95589 - 02

HEAT & PIECE 91651-1C 5/13/97

SOLD TO: SANDMEYER STEEL COMPANY
ONE SANDMEYER LANE

SHIP TO: SANDMEYER STEEL- CPU
CONTACT STAN MATTERN
215-464-7100

PHILADELPHIA PA 19116

782001-01

00000

----- YOUR ORDER & DATE -----

29584

5/09/97

----- ITEM DESCRIPTION -----

HEAT & PIECE 91651 - 1C
WEIGHT 3167

FINISH 1

GRADE 316

DIMENSIONS .447 X 92.000* X 254.050 EXACT

UNS-S31600

PL 69347

PL 15184

----- SPECIFICATIONS -----

THE PRODUCTS LISTED ON THIS MILL TEST REPORT SATISFY PREFERENCE CRITERION B
AS DEFINED IN ARTICLE 401 OF THE NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE AGREEMENT. COUNTRY
OF ORIGIN IS USA

ASTM A240-95B, ASME SA240-95

PLATES & TEST PCS SOLUTION ANNEALED @ 1950 DEGREES FARENHEIT MINIMUM.
THEN WATER COOLED OR RAPIDLY COOLED BY AIR
FREE OF MERCURY CONTAMINATION
HOT ROLLED, ANNEALED & PICKLED (HRAP)

----- MECHANICAL & OTHER TESTS -----

HARDNESS RB 79
YIELD STRENGTH (PSI) 43100
TENSILE STRENGTH (PSI) 85900
BEND OK
INTERGRANULAR CORROSION OK
ELONGATION % IN 2" 55.0
REDUCTION OF AREA % 62.0

----- CHEMICAL COMPOSITION -----

CARBON (C) .05
MANGANESE (MN) .145
PHOSPHORUS (P) .022
SULFUR (S) .017
SILICON (SI) .41
CHROMIUM (CR) .16.88
NICKEL (NI) .10.30
COBALT (CO) .15
COPPER (CU) .24
MOLY (MO) .2.15
NITROGEN (N) .05

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008

O.C. W/M Date 10/30/08

KNOWINGLY & WILLFULLY FALSIFYING OR CONCEALING A MATERIAL FACT ON THIS FORM,
OR MAKING FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR REPRESENTATIONS
HEREIN COULD CONSTITUTE A FELONY PUNISHABLE UNDER FEDERAL STATUTES.

J. BONGARDT, LAB MANAGER

P.O. BOX 1975
Baltimore, Maryland 21203
Telephone: (410) 522-6200
FAX # 410-522-6247

CAMDEL METALS
A Handy & Harman Company

12244 WILLOW GROVE ROAD
CAMDEN, DE 19834
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TEST REPORT

36095 MK 14
NON-CODE
ISO 9001:2000 CERTIFIED

SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Alloy/Grade: IN625B Temper: Annealed
Specifications: ASTM B444-04, UNS N06625

LENGTH: 20. / 20.083
OD: .25 / .254
ID: 0 / 0
Wall: .0545 / .0715

Qty Shipped: 2660

Date Shipped: 3/02/06

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

PROPERTY	MIN	REQUIRED	MAX	ACTUAL
Tensile - PSI	120000			123300 123200
Yield - PSI	60000			54300 64000
Elongation	35			50 54

OTHER TESTS

Flare OK, E.C. OK, Flatten OK, Hydro OK, Clean ID, Clean OD, Bright ID, Bright OD

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Heat: V00611 Melter: DMV Country of Origin: U.S.A.
C: 0.021 Mn: 0.080 P: 0.005 S: 0.001 Si: 0.040
Cr: 20.40 Ni: 63.02 Fe: 4.70 Mo: 8.23 Al: 0.060
Ti: 0.05 Cu: 3.33

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ALL REQUIRED INSPECTIONS AND TESTS HAVE BEEN
COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND THAT THE VALUES
SHOWN ARE CORRECT AND TRUE. BASED ON THESE TESTS, THE MATERIAL COMPLIES WITH
THE ORDER REQUIREMENTS. --- PRODUCT MANUFACTURED IN USA ---
--- MATERIAL PRODUCED FREE OF MERCURY ---

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. 2008
Q.C. WM Date 12/3/08

By: Wayne Kolb page: 1

Lab Supervisor

Date: 3-2-2006

Solar Atmospheres of Western PA

Certification

36095 MK 1, 2, 13, 14

Order No.: 39109

Date: 11/17/2008

Entry Date: 10/10/2008

Page: 1 of 1

To:GASPAR INC
1545 WIPPLE AVE SW

Purchase Order No.: 30966

Packing List No.:

CANTON

OH 44710

87208

Material: INCONEL 625

All work performed subject to Solar Atmospheres Terms Of Sale as presented on form SA-1 (01-00).

Quantity	Part Number / Part Name / Part Description	Pounds
4	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 1/4" X 83 1/4" TUBE	10
2	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 1/4" X 72 3/4" TUBE	

Insp. Type	Scale	Minimum	Maximum	Number	Other
<u>Customer Requirements:</u>					
N/A					

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE NAMED PARTS WERE PROCESSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR PURCHASE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND PROCESS HW8598 10/17/08 JNH.

FURNACE RUN # 70-8598-2123

This certification is no guarantee of material performance, properties, or microstructure. Mechanical, physical, and/or metallurgical testing is not performed unless specifically itemized on your purchase order to Solar Atmospheres.

QUALITY DEPARTMENT
SOLAR ATMOSPHERES INC.

Solar Atmospheres of Western PA
Certification

Order No.: 39109

Date: 11/17/2008

Entry Date: 10/10/2008

Page: 1 of 1

To:

GASPAR INC
1545 WIPPLE AVE SW

Purchase Order No.: 30966

Packing List No.:

CANTON OH 44710

87379

Material: INCONEL 625

All work performed subject to Solar Atmospheres Terms Of Sale as presented on form SA-1 (01-00).

Quantity	Part Number / Part Name / Part Description	Pounds
4	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM # 36095-0 3" DIA X 43 3/4" BAR	420
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 9" BAR	
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 5 1/2" BAR	
1	MATERIAL INCONEL 625 ITEM# 36095-0 3" DIA X 6 3/4" BAR	
1	TEST PIECE	

Insp. Type	Scale	Minimum	Maximum	Number	Other
Customer Requirements:					
N/A					

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE NAMED PARTS WERE PROCESSED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR PURCHASE ORDER REQUIREMENTS AND PROCESS HW8598 10/17/08 JNH.

FURNACE RUN # 70-8598-2123

This certification is no guarantee of material performance, properties, or microstructure. Mechanical, physical, and/or metallurgical testing is not performed unless specifically itemized on your purchase order to Solar Atmospheres.

QUALITY DEPARTMENT
SOLAR ATMOSPHERES INC.

10-21-08

36095 MK 1,2,13,14

HW8598 10/17/08 JNH

SOLUTION ANNEAL / THERMALLY STABILIZE - INCONEL 625 - SOLAR SPEC -
GASPAR INC.

NOTE:

-RAMP RATE MAY BE ADJUSTED IF NECESSARY.

PROCESS:

1. PUMP DOWN TO A HARD VACUUM (1×10^{-3} TORR OR LOWER).
2. RAMP AT $600^{\circ}\text{F} / \text{HOUR}$ TO $2100^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$.
3. HOLD AT $2100^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$ FOR 60-75 MINUTES, WORK TC'S.
4. HELIUM 2 BAR QUENCH TO BELOW 175°F , WORK TC'S.

5. PUMP DOWN TO A HARD VACUUM (1×10^{-3} TORR OR LOWER).
6. RAMP AT $600^{\circ}\text{F} / \text{HOUR}$ TO $1850^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$.
7. HOLD AT $1850^{\circ}\text{F} \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$ FOR 60-75 MINUTES, WORK TC'S.
8. -5 ARGON QUENCH TO BELOW 200°F , WORK TC'S

36095 MK 1, 2, 13, 14

A DIVISION OF J.T. ADAMS CO., INC.

4520 WILLOW PARKWAY
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44125
PHONE (216) 641-3290
FAX (216) 641-1223
www.tensile.com

CERTIFIED TEST REPORT

Gaspar Inc.
1545 Whipple Ave. SW
Canton OH 44710

Job No.: A8-296-826
Date: 10-29-08
Cust. PO#: 31221

Description: 2 samples 3" Dia. x 6" Bar Project# 36095-0
1/4" x 48" Tube

Spec: ASTM B446/ASME SB446⁴ N06625 Gr. 2

TEST RESULTS

	<u>Tensile, ksi</u>	<u>Yield, .2% ksi</u>	<u>Elong., % in 2"</u>
Requirements (Min.):	100	40	30
Tube	122	49.7	61
HT#V00611			

Test Method: ASTM A370-08a

	<u>Tensile, ksi</u>	<u>Yield, .2% ksi</u>	<u>Elong., % in 4D</u>	<u>Red. of Area, %</u>
Requirements (Min.):	100	40	30	
Bar	110	47.3	62	56
HT#L01A				

Test Method: ASTM A370-08a

The above **conforms** to specifications listed.

Timothy J. Adams

Authorized Agent

RECEIVED

2 2009

Page 1 of 1

This Report May Not Be Reproduced Except In Full

This report represents Tensile Testing interpretation of the results obtained from the test and is not to be construed as a Guaranty or Warranty of the condition of the materials tested. Tensile Testing shall not be held liable for misinterpretation of conditions, loss, damage, injury or death arising from or attributable to delay preceding a test or subsequent to performance of a test.

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 121008-2 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 12-10-08 Page 1 of 2

CUSTOMER Guspr Inc LOCATION canton ohio

PO# 10978 JOB# 36095 MATERIAL TYPE: Inconel

WELDING PROCESS: see DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER 1" - 3" SCREENS F/B: .005"-.010"

FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK AA FILM SIZE: 4 1/2" X 10" SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐

OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 2" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 18" TIME: 4:30 Ug .020

SOURCE .102 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 96.9 KV — MA —

RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME sec II Art 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME sec III UW-51

IQI SIZE: 25 SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☐ IQI TYPE: Inco ASTM-E-1025 SHIM THK: 1/16 TECH. USED ☒ B

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION	FILM INTERVAL NUMBERS	ACCEPT(V)	REJECT(X)	SLAG/TUNGSTEN	POROSITY	LACK/PENE	NONFUSION	CRACK	SURFACE	OTHER	REMARKS AND/OR DEFECT LOCATIONS NOTE: N.A.D. = No Apparent Defects
36095											
WS# 1	V-0	✓									N.A.D.
	V-1	✓									
	V-2	✓									
	V-3	✓									
	V-4	✓									
	V-5	✓									N.A.D.
WS# 2	V-0	✓									N.A.D.
	V-1	✓									
	V-2	✓									
	V-3	✓									
	V-4	✓									
	V-5	✓									N.A.D.

REVIEWER: [Signature] RADIOGRAPHER: S. Lindsey CLIENT REVIEWER: Wesley Morgan

SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II

"A" = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}"$ "B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}"$ to Unlimited "C" = Diameter limited by

DWE/DWV

DWE/DWV

SWE/SWV

SWE/SWV

DWE/SWV

SWE/SWV

X

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT #121008-2 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 12-10-08 Page 2 of 2

CUSTOMER Gaspar Inc LOCATION canton ohio
PO# 10978 JOB# 36095 MATERIAL TYPE: Inconel

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED. ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER: <i>[Signature]</i>	RADIOGRAPHER: <i>S. Lindsey</i>	CLIENT REVIEWER
SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>III</i>	SNT-TC1A LEVEL: <i>II</i>	<i>Wesley Morgan</i>

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

SWE/SWV

GASPAR, INC.

LIQUID PENETRANT INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: December 23, 2008

JOB #: 36095

PT: PROCEDURE: PT-1 REV.7

PENETRANT TYPE : ☒ Direct Visual

ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ☒ ASME Sect. VIII Div.I ☐ ASME B31.1 ☐ Other -

MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION

CLEANER: SKC-S

PENETRANT: SKL-SP

DEVELOPER: SKD-S

LIGHTING EQUIPMENT: Portable AC Halogen single light of 100 watts.

COMPONENT DESCRIPTION / PC. #: PREHEATER

DRAWING #: 36095 REV.4

THICKNESS / DIMENSIONS: SEE DWG.

WELD ID OR PART NUMBER	TEMP.	SURF. COND.	ACCEPT/ REJECT	SIZE AND TYPE OF INDICATION	REMARKS
NON-PRESSURE WELDS	60°F	AS WELDED	ACCEPT	NONE	

ADDITIONAL REMARKS, SKETCHES, ETC.

PERFORMED BY: JIM FREDERICK/LEVEL II

CALCULATION PACKAGE

FABRICATOR **GASPAR INC.**
1545 WHIPPLE AVE SW
CANTON OH, 44710
PHONE 330-477-2222

PURCHASER **ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.**
LOCATION PHEONIX, AZ

P.O. 700521452
DATE May 5, 2008

VESSEL PREHEATER

ITEM NUMBER PRTY# 4

GASPAR SERIAL# 36095

DEC 04, 2008: HEADS RE-DESIGNED, 1/4" TUBE ADDED TO CALCS, 1/4" TUBE WELD DESIGN
ADDED TO CALCS.

Dec 4, 2008	SEE NOTE ABOVE	<i>Matthew L. Miller</i>
Sep 30, 2008	3" VESSEL LENGTHS CHANGED (MLM)	
Jul 22, 2008	FOR APPROVAL (MLM)	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

AT REVIEW:
[Signature]
13-09-08

Table Of Contents

- 1. Pressure Summary
- 2. Weight Summary
- 3. Hydrostatic Test
- 4. HEATER #1 WEIGHT
- 5. HEATER #2 WEIGHT
- 6. HEATER #3 WEIGHT
- 7. HEATER #4 WEIGHT
- 8. HEAD, TOP
- 9. 3" TUBE #1
- 10. 3" TUBE #2
- 11. 3" TUBE #3
- 12. 3" TUBE #4
- 13. HEAD, BOTTOM
- 14. TOP MOUNT
- 15. SEISMIC CODE
- 16. WIND CODE
- 17. TOP HEAD OPENINGS
- 18. RUPTURE DESIGN FOR 1/4" TUBE
- 19. TUBE TO VESSEL WELD

NOTE: The Design Temperature of 1600.00°F is beyond the ASME Elasticity Data Range for SB-446-N06625 grade 2.

Elasticity (E) at 1600°F per "Special Metals" alloy 625 catalog is 21,500,000 psi.

Elasticity (E) at 1600°F used in calculations: 21,400,000 psi.

NOTE: PER ASME D-II NOTE 1B:G23 FOR SB-446-N06625 GRADE 2:

THIS ALLOY IS SUBJECT TO SEVERE LOSS OF IMPACT STRENGTH AT ROOM TEMPERATURES AFTER EXPOSURE IN THE RANGE OF 1000°F TO 1400°F

Pressure Summary

Pressure Summary for Chamber bounded by Top of vessel and Top of vessel

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
HEAD, TOP	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
3" TUBE #1	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
3" TUBE #2	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
3" TUBE #3	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
3" TUBE #4	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
HEAD, BOTTOM	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	No
TOP MOUNT	1,150	1,600	N/A	N/A	N/A

Chamber Design MAWP hot & corroded is 1,150 psi

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

CHAMBER DESIGN MDMT IS -20°F
CHAMBER RATED MDMT IS -325°F @ 1150 psi

NOTE: The Design Temperature of 1600.00°F is beyond the ASME Elasticity Data Range for SB-446-N06625 grade 2.

Elasticity (E) at 1600°F per "Special Metals" alloy 625 catalog is 21,500,000 psi.

Elasticity (E) at 1600°F used in calculations: 21,400,000 psi.

NOTE:
PER ASME D-II NOTE 1B:G23 FOR SB-446-N06625 GRADE 2:
THIS ALLOY IS SUBJECT TO SEVERE LOSS OF IMPACT STRENGTH AT ROOM TEMPERATURES AFTER EXPOSURE IN THE RANGE OF 1000°F TO 1400°F

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded *	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
HEAD, TOP	10.2	10.1	0	0	0	0	0.2
3" TUBE #1	80.3	79.4	0	0	0	0	1.4
3" TUBE #2	80.3	79.4	0	0	0	0	1.4
3" TUBE #3	80.3	79.4	0	0	0	0	1.4
3" TUBE #4	80.3	79.4	0	0	0	0	1.4
HEAD, BOTTOM	8.4	8.3	0	0	0	0	0.1
TOP MOUNT	38.5	38.5	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	378.1	374.4	0	0	0	0	5.8

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
HEAD, TOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3" TUBE #1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50*
3" TUBE #2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50*
3" TUBE #3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50*
3" TUBE #4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30*
HEAD, BOTTOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	180*

* This number includes vertical loads which are not present in all conditions.

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 554 lb
Vessel operating weight, New: 558 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 554 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 558 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 384 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 558 lb
Center of Gravity: 95.3712"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 1 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 1 US gal
**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

Hydrostatic Test

Shop test pressure determination
P per UG-99(b)

Shop hydrostatic test gauge pressure is 15,736.819 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,150 psi)

The shop test is performed with the vessel in the horizontal position.

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-99 stress ratio	UG-99 pressure factor
HEAD, TOP (1)	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30
3" TUBE #1	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30
3" TUBE #2	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30
3" TUBE #3	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30
3" TUBE #4	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30
HEAD, BOTTOM	15,736.857	0.038	10.5263	1.30

- Notes:
- (1) HEAD, TOP limits the UG-99 stress ratio.
 - (2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

HEATER #1 WEIGHT

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	151.375"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	50 lb
Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

HEATER #2 WEIGHT

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	109.375"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	50 lb

Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

HEATER #3 WEIGHT

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	67.375"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	50 lb
Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

HEATER #4 WEIGHT

Load Orientation	Vertical Load
Elevation above datum:	24.375"
Direction angle:	0.00 degrees
Distance from center of vessel:	0"
Magnitude of force:	30 lb
Present when operating:	Yes
Included in vessel lift weight:	Yes
Present when vessel is empty:	Yes
Present during hydrotest:	No

HEAD, TOP

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder

Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)

Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 1.06''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance

Inner C = 0.02"

Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F

Rated MDMT = -325 °F

No impact test performed

Material is not normalized

Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice

PWHT is not performed

Radiography:

Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT

Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I

Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I

Estimated weight New = 10.2 lb corr = 10.1 lb

Capacity New = 0.02 US gal corr = 0.02 US gal

OD = 3"

Length $L_c = 5.5''$

t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$Z = (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P)$
 $= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150)$
 $= 4.0667$

$t = R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion}$
 $= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02$
 $= 0.7762''$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$EFE = (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o)$
 $= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty)$
 $= 47.7833 \%$

Design thickness = 0.7762"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Wind	0.126	0.1246
						Seismic	0.1253	0.1251
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Wind	0.1214	0.12
						Seismic	0.1207	0.1205
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Wind	0	0.0001
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0001
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Wind	0	0.0001
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0001
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Weight	0.0008	0.0008

TOP HEAD, CHECK AS FLAT HEAD

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Welded Cover
Material specification: SB-446 N06625 Gr.2 SOL ANN BAR-HIGH TEMP (user defined)

Internal design pressure: $P = 1150 \text{ psi @ } 1600 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04 \text{ psi (SG=1.0000, } H_s = 1.03", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance: Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Category A joints - Seamless No RT

Estimated weight: New = 0.2 lb corr = 0.2 lb

Head outside diameter = 2.94"

Cover thickness = 1"

Inner corner radius = 0.375"

Factor C from Fig. UG-34, sketch (b-2), (e through g)

$$C = 0.33 * t_r / t_s = 0.33 * 0.4942 / 0.95 = 0.1717$$

As factor $C < 0.2$ let $C = 0.2$

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) UG-34 (c)(2)

$$\begin{aligned} t &= d * \text{Sqr}(C * P / (S * E)) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.04 * \text{Sqr}(0.2 * 1,150 / (1,900 * 1)) + 0.02 \\ &= 0.3818 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

ACTUAL "COVER" THICKNESS = 1.75" > 0.3818";
THEREFORE THE DESIGN IS ADEQUATE.

3" TUBE #1

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)

Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 1.06$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 80.3 lb corr = 79.4 lb
 Capacity New = 0.17 US gal corr = 0.18 US gal

OD = 3"
 Length $L_c = 43.25$ "
 t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P) \\ &= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150) \\ &= 4.0667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02 \\ &= 0.7762" \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty) \\ &= 47.7833 \% \end{aligned}$$

Required thickness, largest of the following + corrosion = 0.8826"

The governing condition is due to wind at the Bottom of the support in condition Operating, Hot & Corroded

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Location	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c						
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Top	Wind	0.1266	0.1233
							Seismic	0.125	0.1247
						Bottom	Wind	0.8626	0.5425
							Seismic	0.7789	0.452
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Top	Wind	0.122	0.1186
							Seismic	0.1204	0.1201
						Bottom	Wind	0.8725	0.5604
							Seismic	0.7915	0.4725
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Top	Wind	0	0.0003
							Seismic	0.0001	0.0001
						Bottom	Wind	0.0524	0.0635
							Seismic	0.0465	0.0549
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Top	Wind	0	0.0003
							Seismic	0.0001	0.0001
						Bottom	Wind	0.0535	0.0648
							Seismic	0.0477	0.0564
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Top	Weight	0.0013	0.0013
						Bottom	Weight	0.0409	0.0409

Allowable Compressive Stress, Hot and Corroded- S_{cHC} , (table NFN-18)

From Jawad & Farr GUIDEBOOK 1998 (Eq. 2.25)

$$\begin{aligned} C_f &= 1 - 0.90 * (1 - e^{((-1/16) * \text{Sqr}(R_o/t))}) \\ &= 1 - 0.90 * (1 - e^{((-1/16) * \text{Sqr}(1.5000/0.9500))}) \\ &= 0.932023 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= 0.10 * 0.60 * C_f * E / (R_o/t) \\ &= 0.10 * 0.60 * 0.9320 * 21400000.0000 / (1.5000/0.9500) \\ &= 757920.875000 \end{aligned}$$

$$S = 1,900 / 1.00 = 1,900 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_{cHC} = \min(B, S) = \underline{1,900 \text{ psi}}$$

Operating, Hot & Corroded, Wind, Above Support Point

$$\begin{aligned} t_p &= P * R / (2 * S_t * K_s * E_c + 0.40 * |P|) && \text{(Pressure)} \\ &= 1,150 * 0.55 / (2 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00 + 0.40 * |1,150|) \\ &= 0.126" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_m &= M / (\pi * R_m^2 * S_t * K_s * E_c) && \text{(bending)} \\ &= 13 / (\pi * 1.025^2 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00) \\ &= 0.0017" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_w &= W / (2 * \pi * R_m * S_t * K_s * E_c) && \text{(Weight)} \\ &= 15.6 / (2 * \pi * 1.025 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00) \\ &= 0.0011" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_t &= t_p + t_m - t_w && \text{(total required, tensile)} \\ &= 0.126 + 0.0017 - (0.0011) \\ &= \underline{0.1266"} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_c &= |t_{mc} + t_{wc} - t_{pc}| && \text{(total, net tensile)} \\ &= |0.0017 + (0.0011) - (0.126)| \\ &= \underline{0.1233"} \end{aligned}$$

Operating, Hot & Corroded, Wind, Below Support Point

$$\begin{aligned}t_p &= P \cdot R / (2 \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c + 0.40 \cdot |P|) && \text{(Pressure)} \\&= 1,150 \cdot 0.55 / (2 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot |1,150|) \\&= 0.126''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_m &= M / (\pi \cdot R_m^2 \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c) && \text{(bending)} \\&= 5,287 / (\pi \cdot 1.025^2 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00) \\&= 0.7026''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_w &= W / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_m \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c) && \text{(Weight)} \\&= -500.3 / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot 1.025 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00) \\&= -0.0341''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_t &= t_p + t_m - t_w && \text{(total required, tensile)} \\&= 0.126 + 0.7026 - (-0.0341) \\&= \underline{0.8626''}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_c &= t_{mc} + t_{wc} - t_{pc} && \text{(total required, compressive)} \\&= 0.7026 + (-0.0341) - (0.126) \\&= \underline{0.5425''}\end{aligned}$$

Operating, Hot & Corroded, Seismic, Above Support Point

$$\begin{aligned}t_p &= P \cdot R / (2 \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c + 0.40 \cdot |P|) && \text{(Pressure)} \\&= 1,150 \cdot 0.55 / (2 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot |1,150|) \\&= 0.126''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_m &= M / (\pi \cdot R_m^2 \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c) && \text{(bending)} \\&= 0 / (\pi \cdot 1.025^2 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00) \\&= 0''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}t_w &= W / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_m \cdot S_t \cdot K_s \cdot E_c) && \text{(Weight)} \\&= 15.6 / (2 \cdot \pi \cdot 1.025 \cdot 1,900 \cdot 1.20 \cdot 1.00) \\&= 0.0011''\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_t &= t_p + t_m - t_w && \text{(total required, tensile)} \\ &= 0.126 + 0 - (0.0011) \\ &= \underline{0.125"} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{wc} &= (1 + V_{\text{Accel}}) * W / (2 * \pi * R_m * S_t * K_s * E_c) && \text{(Weight)} \\ &= 1.20 * 15.6 / (2 * \pi * 1.025 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00) \\ &= 0.0013" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_c &= |t_{mc} + t_{wc} - t_{pc}| && \text{(total, net tensile)} \\ &= |0 + (0.0013) - (0.126)| \\ &= \underline{0.1247"} \end{aligned}$$

Operating, Hot & Corroded, Seismic, Below Support Point

$$\begin{aligned} t_p &= P * R / (2 * S_t * K_s * E_c + 0.40 * |P|) && \text{(Pressure)} \\ &= 1,150 * 0.55 / (2 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00 + 0.40 * |1,150|) \\ &= 0.126" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_m &= M / (\pi * R_m^2 * S_t * K_s * E_c) && \text{(bending)} \\ &= 4,606 / (\pi * 1.025^2 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00) \\ &= 0.612" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_w &= (1 + V_{\text{Accel}}) * W / (2 * \pi * R_m * S_t * K_s * E_c) && \text{(Weight)} \\ &= 1.20 * -500.3 / (2 * \pi * 1.025 * 1,900 * 1.20 * 1.00) \\ &= -0.0409" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_t &= t_p + t_m - t_w && \text{(total required, tensile)} \\ &= 0.126 + 0.612 - (-0.0409) \\ &= \underline{0.7789"} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{wc} &= W / (2 * \pi * R_m * S_c * K_s) && \text{(Weight)} \\ &= -500.3 / (2 * \pi * 1.025 * 1,900 * 1.20) \\ &= -0.0341" \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_c &= t_{mc} + t_{wc} - t_{pc} && \text{(total required, compressive)} \\ &= 0.612 + (-0.0341) - (0.126) \\ &= \underline{0.452"} \end{aligned}$$

3" TUBE #2

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)
Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F
Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$$P_{th} = 0.04 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 1.06", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I

Estimated weight New = 80.3 lb corr = 79.4 lb
Capacity New = 0.17 US gal corr = 0.18 US gal

OD = 3"
Length L_c = 43.25"
t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P) \\ &= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150) \\ &= 4.0667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02 \\ &= 0.7762" \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty) \\ &= 47.7833 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.7762"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Wind	0.5675	0.2642
						Seismic	0.5531	0.2447
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Wind	0.5716	0.2766
						Seismic	0.5598	0.2596
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Wind	0.0314	0.0371
						Seismic	0.0304	0.0352
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Wind	0.032	0.0378
						Seismic	0.0312	0.0362
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Weight	0.0308	0.0308

3" TUBE #3

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)

Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 1.06$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 80.3 lb corr = 79.4 lb
 Capacity New = 0.17 US gal corr = 0.18 US gal

OD = 3"
 Length $L_c = 43.25$ "
 t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P) \\ &= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150) \\ &= 4.0667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02 \\ &= 0.7762" \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty) \\ &= 47.7833 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.7762"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Wind	0.3339	0.0482
						Seismic	0.3405	0.0515
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Wind	0.3334	0.0563
						Seismic	0.3416	0.0611
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Wind	0.0148	0.0166
						Seismic	0.0153	0.0169
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Wind	0.0151	0.0169
						Seismic	0.0157	0.0173
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Weight	0.0202	0.0202

3" TUBE #4

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)

Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 1.06$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 80.3 lb corr = 79.4 lb
 Capacity New = 0.17 US gal corr = 0.18 US gal

OD = 3"
 Length $L_c = 43.25$ "
 t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P) \\ &= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150) \\ &= 4.0667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02 \\ &= 0.7762" \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty) \\ &= 47.7833 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.7762"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Wind	0.1866	0.0814
						Seismic	0.1907	0.079
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Wind	0.1832	0.0759
						Seismic	0.1878	0.073
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Wind	0.0043	0.0042
						Seismic	0.0046	0.0045
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Wind	0.0044	0.0043
						Seismic	0.0047	0.0046
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Weight	0.0096	0.0096

HEAD, BOTTOM

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SB-446 2 Solution ann. Bar N06625 (low stress) (II-D p. 222, ln. 40)

Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,600 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.04$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 1.06$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.02" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I
 Bottom circumferential joint - SEAMLESS
 E = 1

Estimated weight New = 8.4 lb corr = 8.3 lb
 Capacity New = 0.02 US gal corr = 0.02 US gal

OD = 3"
 Length $L_c = 4.5$ "
 t = 0.97"

Design thickness, (at 1,600 °F) Appendix 1-2

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= (S \cdot E + P) / (S \cdot E - P) \\ &= (1,900 \cdot 1.00 + 1,150) / (1,900 \cdot 1.00 - 1,150) \\ &= 4.0667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t &= R_o \cdot (Z^{1/2} - 1) / Z^{1/2} + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1.5 \cdot (4.0667^{1/2} - 1) / 4.0667^{1/2} + 0.02 \\ &= 0.7762" \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UNF-79(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.97 / 1.015) \cdot (1 - 1.015 / \infty) \\ &= 47.7833 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.7762"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.97" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Wind	0.127	0.1261
						Seismic	0.1271	0.1261
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	1,900	1,900	1,600	0	Wind	0.1225	0.1215
						Seismic	0.1225	0.1215
Empty, Corroded	0	26,700	20,000	0	0.02	Wind	0.0001	0
						Seismic	0.0001	0
Empty, New	0	26,700	20,000	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0
						Seismic	0.0001	0
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	1,900	1,900	1,600	0.02	Weight	0.0007	0.0007

TOP MOUNT

Support material:	SA-240-316 (0.04% CARBON OR HIGHER)
This support is attached to:	3" TUBE #1
Distance from baseplate to datum:	170 in
Local shell outer diameter, new:	3 in
Local shell thickness, new:	0.97 in
Local shell inner corrosion:	0.02 in
Local shell outer corrosion:	0 in
Lug allowable stress	$S_b = 1,800$ psi
Top plate width	$W_p = 3$ in
Top plate thickness	$t_a = 0.4375$ in
Base plate width	$b = 5.75$ in
Base plate thickness	$t_b = 0.4375$ in
Base plate load bearing width	$L_b = 2.25$ in
Shell to center of load bearing area	$d = 5.125$ in
Gusset height	$h = 2.75$ in
Gusset thickness	$t_g = 0.4375$ in
Gusset separation	$L_g = 1.75$ in
Number of ring girder support locations:	4

Stresses in Shell and Ring Girder Supports											
Load	Condition	Weight Supported (lb)	Base Moment (ft-lb)	At Supports (psi)				Between Supports (psi)			
				Top Ring		Base Ring		Top Ring		Base Ring	
				In Shell	In Ring	In Shell	In Ring	In Shell	In Ring	In Shell	In Ring
Wind	operating, corroded	554	440	1,172	-283	1,295	181	1,006	-125	1,407	101
Wind	operating, new	558	440	1,135	-278	1,258	179	972	-123	1,367	100
Wind	empty, corroded	554	440	-69	-283	55	181	-235	-125	166	101
Wind	empty, new	558	440	-68	-278	55	179	-231	-123	164	100
Seismic	operating, corroded	554	384	1,175	-271	1,293	173	1,016	-119	1,400	97
Seismic	operating, new	558	386	1,137	-268	1,256	172	981	-118	1,361	96
Seismic	empty, corroded	554	384	-66	-271	52	173	-225	-119	159	97
Seismic	empty, new	558	386	-66	-268	53	172	-222	-118	158	96

Stress at the support, at the top ring due to wind (operating, corroded)

$$S_p = P \cdot R_m / t$$

$$= 1,150 \cdot 1.025 / 0.95$$

$$= 1,241 \text{ psi}$$

Reaction at the support (Bednar 5.2)

$$F_r = 48 \cdot M / (N \cdot D) + W / N$$

$$= 48 \cdot 439.5 / (4 \cdot 13.25) + 554.38 / 4$$

$$= 536.68 \text{ lb}_f$$

Reaction force on ring P_f acting perpendicular to the vessel longitudinal axis

$$P_f = F_r \cdot d / (h + 0.5 \cdot (t_a + t_b))$$

$$= 536.68 \cdot 5.125 / (2.75 + 0.5 \cdot (0.4375 + 0.4375))$$

$$= 862.89 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_l = 0.5 \cdot P_f \cdot R_c \cdot ((1/\alpha) - \cot(\alpha))$$

$$= 0.5 \cdot 862.89 \cdot 1.8675 \cdot ((1/0.7854) - \cot(45))$$

$$= 220.2 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$T_l = (P_f / \tan(\alpha)) / 2$$

$$= (862.89 / \tan(45)) / 2$$

$$= 431.45 \text{ lb}_f$$

Stress in the ring

$$S = -(T_l / A_r) - (M_l \cdot y / I)$$

$$= -(431.45 / 3.08) - (220.2 \cdot 2.6325 / 4.0526)$$

$$= -283 \text{ psi}$$

Stress in the top ring is acceptable ($\leq 2,850$ psi)

Stress at the support, at the base ring due to wind (operating, corroded)

$$S_p = P \cdot R_m / t$$

$$= 1,150 \cdot 1.025 / 0.95$$

$$= 1,241 \text{ psi}$$

Reaction at the support (Bednar 5.2)

$$F_r = 48 \cdot M / (N \cdot D) + W / N$$

$$= 48 \cdot 439.5 / (4 \cdot 13.25) + 554.38 / 4$$

$$= 536.68 \text{ lb}_f$$

Reaction force on ring P_f acting perpendicular to the vessel longitudinal axis

$$P_f = F_r \cdot d / (h + 0.5 \cdot (t_a + t_b))$$

$$= 536.68 \cdot 5.125 / (2.75 + 0.5 \cdot (0.4375 + 0.4375))$$

$$= 862.89 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_l = 0.5 \cdot P_f \cdot R_c \cdot ((1/\alpha) - \cot(\alpha))$$

$$= 0.5 \cdot 862.89 \cdot 2.9941 \cdot ((1/0.7854) - \cot(45))$$

$$= 353 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$T_l = (P_f / \tan(\alpha)) / 2$$

$$= (862.89 / \tan(45)) / 2$$

$$= 431.45 \text{ lb}_f$$

Stress in the ring

$$S = (T_l / A_r) + (M_l \cdot y / I)$$

$$= (431.45 / 4.28) + (353 \cdot 4.2559 / 18.701)$$

$$= 181 \text{ psi}$$

Stress in the base ring is acceptable ($\leq 2,850$ psi)

Stress between supports, at the base ring due to wind (operating, corroded)

$$S_p = P \cdot R_m / t$$

$$= 1,150 \cdot 1.025 / 0.95$$

$$= 1,241 \text{ psi}$$

Reaction at the support (Bednar 5.2)

$$F_r = 48 \cdot M / (N \cdot D) + W / N$$

$$= 48 \cdot 439.5 / (4 \cdot 13.25) + 554.38 / 4$$

$$= 536.68 \text{ lb}_f$$

Reaction force on ring P_f acting perpendicular to the vessel longitudinal axis

$$P_f = F_r \cdot d / (h + 0.5 \cdot (t_a + t_b))$$

$$= 536.68 \cdot 5.125 / (2.75 + 0.5 \cdot (0.4375 + 0.4375))$$

$$= 862.89 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= 0.5 * P_f * R_c * ((1/\alpha) - 1/\sin(\alpha)) \\ &= 0.5 * 862.89 * 2.9941 * ((1/0.7854) - 1/\sin(45)) \\ &= 182.1 \text{ lb-in} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= (P_f / \sin(\alpha)) / 2 \\ &= (862.89 / \sin(45)) / 2 \\ &= 610.16 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Stress in the shell

$$\begin{aligned} S &= (T_2 / A_r) + (M_2 * y / I) + S_p \\ &= (610.16 / 4.28) + (182.1 * 2.4441 / 18.701) + 1,241 \\ &= \underline{1,407} \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Stress in the base ring is acceptable ($\leq 2,850$ psi)

Stress at the support, at the top ring due to seismic (operating, corroded)

$$\begin{aligned} S_p &= P * R_m / t \\ &= 1,150 * 1.025 / 0.95 \\ &= 1,241 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Reaction at the support (Bednar 5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} F_r &= 48 * M / (N * D) + (1 + V_{\text{Accel}}) * W / N \\ &= 48 * 383.8 / (4 * 13.25) + (1 + 0.2) * 554.38 / 4 \\ &= 513.89 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Reaction force on ring P_f acting perpendicular to the vessel longitudinal axis

$$\begin{aligned} P_f &= F_r * d / (h + 0.5 * (t_a + t_b)) \\ &= 513.89 * 5.125 / (2.75 + 0.5 * (0.4375 + 0.4375)) \\ &= 826.26 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= 0.5 * P_f * R_c * ((1/\alpha) - \cot(\alpha)) \\ &= 0.5 * 826.26 * 1.8675 * ((1/0.7854) - \cot(45)) \\ &= 210.8 \text{ lb-in} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= (P_f / \tan(\alpha)) / 2 \\ &= (826.26 / \tan(45)) / 2 \\ &= 413.13 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Stress in the shell

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -(T_1 / A_r) + (M_1 * y / I) + S_p \\ &= -(413.13 / 3.08) + (210.8 * 1.3175 / 4.0526) + 1,241 \\ &= \underline{1,175} \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Stress in the top ring is acceptable ($\leq 2,850$ psi)

Gusset plate required thickness, Bednar 5.2

$$\begin{aligned} S_c &= 1,350 / (1 + (1/1,350)*(h/(0.289*t_g))^2) \\ &= 1,350 / (1 + (1/1,350)*(2.75/(0.289*0.4375))^2) \\ &= 1,000 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_g &= V_L * (3*d - b) / (S_c * b^2 * \sin(\alpha)^2) \\ &= 537.61 * (3*5.125 - 5.75) / (1,000 * 5.75^2 * \sin(45)^2) \\ &= 0.3131 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

The gusset thickness of 0.4375 in is adequate.

Lug base plate required thickness

From Escoe table 4-8 ($l/b = 3.2857$)

$$C_x = 0.133, C_y = -0.125$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_c &= V_L / (L_b * L) \\ &= 537.61 / (2.25 * 3.625) \\ &= 66 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_x &= C_x * f_c * L_g^2 \\ &= 0.133 * 66 * 1.75^2 = 26.85 \text{ in-lb/in} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_b &= \text{Sqr}(6 * M_x / S_b) \\ &= \text{Sqr}(6 * 26.9 / 1,800) \\ &= 0.2992 \text{ in} \end{aligned}$$

The base plate thickness of 0.4375 in is adequate.

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	$I = 1.1500$
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 8.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4.2	$R = 3.0000$
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	$= 0.3333$
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	$= 0.2000$

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load $= \rho Q_E$

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 0.7083 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 554 lb

Empty, Corroded: 554 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.447 sec ($f = 2.2$ Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.404 sec ($f = 2.5$ Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \pi * \sqrt{\frac{\sum (W_i * y_i^2)}{\{g * \sum (W_i * y_i)\}}}, \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and
 y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)
HEAD, TOP	3	0.0002	0
3" TUBE #1 (top)	0	0.0002	0
3" TUBE #1 (bottom)	0	0.0002	59
3" TUBE #2	-40.25	0.0002	55
3" TUBE #3	-83.5	0.0002	44
3" TUBE #4	-126.75	0.0002	25
HEAD, BOTTOM	-170	0.0002	2

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = \underline{F}_a * \underline{S}_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = \underline{F}_v * \underline{S}_1 = 2.4000 * 8.00 / 100 = 0.1920$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{D1}

$$S_{D1} = 2 / 3 * S_{M1} = 2 / 3 * 0.1920 = 0.1280$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side: } = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is: greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	59	554.4	0.0353	0.2
Operating, New	59	558.1	0.0353	0.2
Empty, Corroded	59	554.4	0.0353	0.2
Empty, New	59	558.1	0.0353	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$$T = 0.447 \text{ sec.}$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_{sMin} and C_{sMax} :

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_I \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.
 C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.447) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.1500) = 0.1513$$

$$C_s \text{Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{Max} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1280 / (0.0263 * (3.0000 / 1.1500)) = 1.8691$$

$$C_s = 0.1513$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$\begin{aligned} V &= C_s * W \\ &= 0.1513 * 554.3804 \\ &= 83.87 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_h &= 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)} \\ &= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 83.87 \\ &= 58.71 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$$T = 0.404 \text{ sec.}$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:
 C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_I \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.
 C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.404) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.1500) = 0.1513$$

$$C_s \text{Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{Max} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1280 / (0.0263 * (3.0000 / 1.1500)) = 1.8691$$

$$C_s = 0.1513$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$\begin{aligned} V &= C_s * \underline{W} \\ &= 0.1513 * 554.3804 \\ &= 83.87 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_h &= 0.7 * p * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)} \\ &= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 83.87 \\ &= 58.71 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

MAXIMUM SEISMIC SHEAR: 59 lbf; 59 lbf/ 15 ft = 3.9 lbf/ ft

Wind Code

Building Code: ASCE 7-05
Elevation of base above grade: 19.0000 ft
Increase effective outer diameter by: 0.5833 ft
Wind Force Coefficient Cf: 0.8000
Basic Wind Speed, V: 90.0000 mph
Importance Factor, I: 1.1500
Exposure category: C
Wind Directionality Factor, Kd: 0.9500
Topographic Factor, Kzt: 1.0000
Enforce min. loading of 10 psf: No

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height, h: 0.7083 ft
Vessel Minimum Diameter, b
 Operating, Corroded: 0.2500 ft
 Empty, Corroded: 0.2500 ft
Fundamental Frequency, n_1
 Operating, Corroded: 2.2373 Hz
 Empty, Corroded: 2.4757 Hz
 Vacuum, Corroded: 2.2373 Hz
Damping coefficient, β
 Operating, Corroded: 0.0189
 Empty, Corroded: 0.0205
 Vacuum, Corroded: 0.0189

Table Lookup Values

2.4.1 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

5. $D + H + W$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Wind Pressure Calculations

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)
HEAD, TOP	3	0.83	0.0001883	6
3" TUBE #1 (top)	0	0.83	0.0001883	9
3" TUBE #1 (bottom)	0	0.83	0.0001883	175
3" TUBE #2	-40.25	0.83	0.0001883	133
3" TUBE #3	-83.5	0.83	0.0001883	90
3" TUBE #4	-126.75	0.83	0.0001883	47
HEAD, BOTTOM	-170	0.83	0.0001883	4

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G_z) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/g} \\
 &= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
 q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * V^2 * I \text{ psf} \\
 &= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 90.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
 &= 22.6541 * K_z \\
 WP &= q_z * G_z * C_f \\
 &= q_z * G_z * 0.8000
 \end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K_z	q_z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)
15.0	0.8489	19.23	14.18	14.18	N.A.	N.A.
20.0	0.9019	20.43	15.06	15.06	N.A.	N.A.

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 0.7083 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_{z^-} &= \underline{c} * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_{z^-} &= \underline{l} * (z^- / 33)^{6P} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((b + h) / L_{z^-})^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.2500 + 0.7083) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9933 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * \underline{g}_Q * I_{z^-} * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * \underline{g}_v * I_{z^-}) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9933) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9215
 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 0.7083 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_{z^-} &= \underline{c} * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_{z^-} &= \underline{l} * (z^- / 33)^{6P} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((b + h) / L_{z^-})^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.2500 + 0.7083) / 427.0566)^{0.63}))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 0.9933 \\ G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\ &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9933) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\ &= 0.9215 \end{aligned}$$

Table Lookup Values

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 9.5000, Z_g = 900.0000' && \text{[Table 6-2, page 78]} \\ c &= 0.2000, l = 500.0000, e_p = 0.2000 && \text{[Table 6-2, page 78]} \\ a^- &= 0.1538, b^- = 0.6500 && \text{[Table 6-2, page 78]} \\ g_Q &= 3.40 && \text{[6.5.8.1 page 26]} \\ g_v &= 3.40 && \text{[6.5.8.1 page 26]} \end{aligned}$$

MAXIMUM WIND SHEAR: 175 lbf/ 15 ft = 11.7 lbf/ ft

WIND SHEAR IS GREATER THAN SEISMIC SHEAR (175 lbf > 59 lbf)

CHECK VESSEL AS A BEAM:

Archon Beam Design Program

INPUT DATA

Beam Type = CUSTOM
Beam Classification = P

Total Length = 14.15(ft)
Unbraced Length = 14.15(ft)
Young's Modulus = 2.14E+07(psi)
Moment of Inertia = 3.927(in⁴)
Section Modulus = 2.618(in³)

Left end is fixed, Right end is Pinned.

LOADS

(1) Level Unif. Load = 11.60(lbs/ft)
Starting 0(ft) from the left side.
Over a length of 14.15(ft)

OUTPUT

Max. Moment = -290.3(ft-lbs)
Max. Shear = 102.6(lbs)

Reaction R1 = 102.6(lbs)
Reaction R2 = 61.55(lbs)

MAX MOMENT (M) FROM SEISMIC/ WIND: 290.3 ft-lbs
MAX MOMENT (M) USED IN CODE CALCULATIONS: 433.17 ft-lbs = 5,198 in-lbs

TOP HEAD OPENINGS

PER ASME VIII-1 2007 EDITION

4 RADIAL OPENINGS REQUIRED

OPENING SIZE: 0.26" DIA

PER UG-36 (C)(3)(D):

MINIMUM SPACING BETWEEN OPENING CENTERS = $((1+1.5 \cos (\text{THETA})) \cdot (d1+d2))$

= $(1+1.5 \cos (45^{\circ})) \cdot (0.26+0.26) = 1.072''$

MAXIMUM ACTUAL SPACING BETWEEN OPENING CENTERS = 1.18''

1.18'' > 1.072; THEREFORE, PER UG-36 (C)(3) NO ADDITIONAL REINFORCEMENT
REQUIRED

API Standard 530 / ISO 13704:2001 (E)

RUPTURE DESIGN FOR 1/4" OD TUBE

ISO 13704 CALCULATION SHEET (US customary units)		
Outside diameter, inches	$D_o = 0.25"$	$D_o = 0.25"$
Design pressure, psi (gauge)	$p_{el} = 1150 \text{ PSI}$	$p_r = 1150 \text{ PSI}$
Maximum or equivalent metal temperature, °F	$T_{max} = 1600 \text{ F}$	$T_{max} = 1600 \text{ F}$
Temperature allowance, °F	$T_A = \text{NONE}$	$T_A = \text{NONE}$
Design metal temperature, °F	$T_d = 1600 \text{ F}$	$T_d = 1600 \text{ F}$
Design life, h	—	$t_{DL} = 2000$
Allowable stress at T_d	$\sigma_{el} = 20,000 \text{ PSI}$	$\sigma_r = 4000 \text{ PSI}$
Stress thickness, equation (2) or (4), inches	$\delta_{\sigma} = 0.0070"$	$\delta_{\sigma} = 0.0314"$
Corrosion allowance, inches	$\delta_{CA} = \text{NONE}$	$\delta_{CA} = \text{NONE}$
Corrosion fraction, Figure 1, $n = B =$	—	$f_{corr} = \text{N/A}$
Minimum thickness, equation (3) or (5), inches	$\delta_{min} = 0.0070"$	$\delta_{min} = 0.0314"$

MATERIAL: SB-444 N06625 GR 2 (SOLUTION TREATED)

MINIMUM WALL THICKNESS OF TUBE: 0.0585"

ALLOWABLE STRESSES PER "SPECIAL METALS" ALLOY 625 CATALOG

NO CORROSION ALLOWANCE IS USED

NOTE: 1/4" X 0.065 NOM THK. TUBE NOT INCLUDED IN CODE
 PRESSURE BOUNDARY. TUBE IS FIELD WELDED TO VESSEL.

RUPTURE DESIGN PER 4.4 EQN (4)

$$\delta_{\sigma} = \frac{p_r D_o}{2\sigma_r + p_r} = (1150 \cdot 0.25) / (2 \cdot 4000 + 1150) = 0.0314" \text{ min. thickness required}$$

0.0585" > 0.0314" THEREFORE TUBE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

ELASTIC DESIGN CHECK PER 6.1 AND 4.3 EQN (2)

$$\delta_{\sigma} = \frac{p_{el} D_o}{2\sigma_{el} + p_{el}} = (1150 \cdot 0.25) / (2 \cdot 20,000 + 1150) = 0.0070" \text{ min. thickness required}$$

0.0585" > 0.0070" THEREFORE TUBE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

TUBE TO VESSEL WELD

NOTE: TUBE AND TUBE TO VESSEL WELD NOT INCLUDED IN CODE PRESSURE BOUNDARY

(5) WELDS REQUIRED

TUBES: 1/4" OD X 0.065" AVG WALL SB-444 N06625 GR.2

ASME VIII-1 2007 EDITION USED AS GUIDE

UW-16(E)(1) AND FIG. UW-16.1 (W-1)

WELDED FROM THE OUTSIDE ONLY

REQUIRED FILLET THROAT SIZE = $T_{min} * 1.25 = 0.065 * 1.25 = 0.08125"$

REQUIRED FILLET LEG SIZE = $0.08125 / 0.707 = 0.115" \Rightarrow$ USE 1/8" LEG

NOTE: TUBE DOES NOT EXTEND TO INSIDE WALL OF VESSEL

ADDITIONAL 1/16" GROOVE WELD NOT REQUIRED BY FIG. UW-16.1 (W-1) IS USED; THEREFORE, THE WELD DESIGN IS CONSERVATIVE.

ORIENTATION VIEW

ELEVATION VIEW

NOTE: INSTALL TURBULATOR IN VESSEL BEFORE LAST HEAD CIRC SEAM WELD.

SUPPORT CLIP NOTE:
GASPAR INC. RECOMMENDS SHIMMING EXCESS SPACE BETWEEN SUPPORT CLIPS AND FRAME MOUNTS TO LIMIT VESSEL DEFLECTION TO A MAXIMUM OF 1/4".

NOTE: ALL N06625 (INCLUDING INCO TO SS) WELDS BOTH GROOVE AND FILLET NOT RECEIVING FULL RT REQUIRE PT.

CERTIFIED DWG.

MAJOR COMPONENT DESIGN DATA									
VESSEL DESIGNED PER THE ASME CODE									
SECTION VIII CODE STAMP									
DESIGN PRESSURE INTERNAL: 1150 PSIG @ 1600 DEG F									
DESIGN PRESSURE EXTERNAL: N/A PSIG @ N/A DEG F									
HYDRO TEST: 15757 PSIG @ 70 DEG F									
PNEUMATIC TEST: N/A									
ADMT: -20 DEG F									
CORROSION ALLOWANCE: .020"									
ESTIMATED CAPACITY: 1 US GALLON									
INSULATION SPRITS: YES									
RADIOGRAPH: LONG									
SHELL: FULL									
HEAD: FULL									
NOZ. (PIPE) N/A									
NOZ. (CL) N/A									
FACE OF NOZZLE TO CENTERLINE OF EQUIPMENT.									
CL. OF NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.									
NOZ. FLNG FACE ALIGNMENT, CL. TO EDGE, IN DEGREES RISE									
PLAN LOCATION OF NOZ. OR SUPPORT LUGS FROM EQUIP. CL. OR DATUM REF.									
FACE OF BOTTOM NOZZLE TO BASELINE OR DATUM REFERENCE.									
FABRICATION TOLERANCES (GENERAL)									

NOTE: HYDRO TEST WITH DE-IONIZED WATER ONLY									
GENERAL NOTES:									
1. FLANGE BOLT HOLES SHALL STRADDLE 0 & 180 DEG. VESSEL CENTERLINES UNLESS NOTED.									
2. WELDS SHALL BE NEAT IN APPEARANCE, FREE OF SLAG, UNDERCUTS AND OTHER DEFECTS.									
3. REINFORCING PADS AND PAD SECTIONS SHALL HAVE 1/4 INCH NPT WEEP HOLE LOCATED AS LOW AS POSSIBLE IN THE PAD WHEN THE VESSEL IS IN OPERATING POSITION. PLUG WEEP HOLE WITH HEAVY GREASE.									
4. VESSEL SHALL BE CLEANED OF SCALE, OIL, WELD SPATTER AND ALL OTHER FOREIGN MATTER BEFORE HYDROSTATIC TESTING.									
5. COAT ALL NOZZLE GASKET SURFACES WITH PROTECTIVE LUBRICANT BEFORE BLANKING FOR SHIPMENT.									
6. PROTECT ALL MACHINED SURFACES AND THREADED CONNECTIONS WITH WOOD OR PLASTIC									
ESTIMATED EMPTY WEIGHT: 544 LBS									
SHIPPING SIZE: 14 X 14 X 183 INCHES									

WELD PROCEDURES									
MATERIAL									
N06625 TO N06625									
WPS: *									
INCO-7A									
S.S. TO N06625									
STN-1A, STN-3A, STN-5A									
S.S. TO S.S.									
STN-1A, STN-3A, STN-5A									
UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE									
ADDED NATIONAL BOARD NUMBER:									
MEH 12/22/08									
MEH 12/03/08									
MADE REF TO HYDRO FITTINGS, QTY OF SPT CLIP WAS 4 & LGTH WAS 2 1/4. ADDED SPT CLIP NOTE, & VIEW FOR FIELD WELDING TUBE TO VESSEL.									
CHANGED TOP & BOTTOM HEAD, 316 MATL WAS 1/4 & 3/8 THK.									
MEH 11/12/08									
MLM 09-29-2008									
MK#2 WAS 2 PIECES, ADDED MK#11 T/C PADS, ADDED MK#12									
BY DATE									
DATE: 07/23/2008									

CERTIFIED DIMENSIONAL PRINT									
GASPAR INC.									
BY: Shirley S.									
DATE: 07/23/2008									

GASPAR JOB #									
36095									
CLIENT									
APS									
P.O. NO.									
700521452									
INQUIRY #									
25270									
REFERENCE NUMBER									
A-SNG 1006. 1									
DESIGNED BY									
36095									
DRAWN BY									
36095									
CHECKED BY									
36095									
DATE									
07/21/08									
36095									
CODE									
4									

GASPAR, Inc.									
CANTON, OHIO

Shaft Blank

Material : Inconel 625, Grade 2
Recommended Procedure: Drill from both ends with small drill
Finish with 31/32" drill
Hone id to remove most of step mismatch
Tolerance on 1" hole is $+ .010 / - .035$
Maximum mismatch 0.010
Id and od must be concentric
Finish machine chamfers
Machine contour on end pieces

TOP HEAD DETAIL
(1) REQUIRED

BOTTOM HEAD DETAIL
(1) REQUIRED

TUBE TO VESSEL WELD
(TO BE WELDED IN
FIELD BY CUSTOMER)
(5) PLACES

CERTIFIED DWG.

GASPAR JOB #		36095	
CLIENT		APS	
P.O. NO. 1		700521452	NUMBER OF UNITS 1
INQUIRY #		25270	REFERENCE NUMBER A-SNG 1006. 1
DRAWING TITLE: PREHEATER PRTY #4			
DRAWN BY: MLM 07/21/08		DRAWING NUMBER: 36095	
CHECKED BY: -		SHEET 3 OF 3	
GASPAR, Inc. CANTON, OHIO		CODE REVISION: C 4	

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX E

Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Coal Feeder

Final Design from Gaspar Inc.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

www.gasparinc.com

FINAL DATA PACKAGE

GASPAR JOB NUMBER(S):	36056
CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:	700521452
DESCRIPTION:	COAL FEEDER
ITEM NUMBER(S):	N/A
OTHER:	N/A

DATA PACKAGE CONTENTS

- ☐ DATA REPORT
- ☐ NAMEPLATE COPY
- ☒ BILL OF MATERIAL
- ☒ MATERIAL TEST REPORTS
- ☒ NDE REPORTS
- ☐ HEAT TREAT CHARTS
- ☐ CALCULATIONS
- ☒ DRAWINGS
- ☐ OTHER: (LIST BELOW)

NOTE:

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	35056	FAB (1) COAL FEEDERS	W-SNG1001 18
PO Number	Dwg Number	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	CUST DWG	MEH	Domestic or Imported except CHINA

QTY	REV	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
0.5	-		(1) UNIT SHOWN (1) UNIT REQD				
1	-	1	PBS 8 SMLS X 5/8" X 30 LG (BY CUST)	SHELL	SA-312-TP316L		
2	-	2	FITTING 8" X 4" REDUCER WLD 8-18.5 X 5/8" X CONCENTRIC (BY CUST)	HOPPER	SA-403-316L		
3	-	1	FITTING 4" X 2" REDUCER WLD 8-18.5 X 5/8" X CONCENTRIC (BY CUST)	HOPPER	SA-403-316L		
4	-	1	PBS 2 SMLS X 5/8" X 2 LG	HOPPER	SA-312-TP316L		
5	-	1	FORGING 2 1500# 8-18.5 RFWN (S80 ROSE) (BY CUST)	HOPPER	SA-182-F216L		
6	-	2	FORGING 1/4 3000# NPT HALF	HOPPER	SA-182-F216L		
7	-	1	MISC WELDMENT 4 1/2 DIA X 4 1/2 LG (BY CUST)	HOPPER	SA-479-316L		
8	-	1	FORGING 1/4 3000# NPT HALF	HOPPER	SA-182-F216L		
9	-	5	PLATE 3/8 THK X 3 WD X 3 1/4 LG	LIFT LUGS	SA-240-316		
10	-	1	FORGING 2 1500# 8-18.5 BLND (BY CUST)	TOP FLG	SA-182-F216L		
11	-	1	GASKET 175 THK X 2 1500# STD RF STYLE CG W/BRAND	TOP FLG	FLEXITALUC		
12	-	1	PLATE 4 THK X 4 1/2 WD X 18 1/4 LG (BY CUST)	HOUSING	SA-240-316L		
13	-	1	PLATE 2 1/8 THK X 4 WD X 4 LG (BY CUST)	END CAP HSG	SA-240-316L		
14	-	1	MISC COAL FEED SCREW (BY CUST)				
15	-	1	MISC O-RING ASMB-A-830	HOUSING	VITON 64		
16	-	1	MISC MAGNETIC DRIVE (BY CUST)				
17	-	1	PIPE 1 SMLS X 5/8" X 3 LG	DISCHARGE	SA-312-TP316L		
18	-	1	FORGING 1 1500# 8-18.5 RFWN (S80 ROSE)	DISCHARGE	SA-182-F216L		
19	-	1	PIPE 1 SMLS X 5/8" X 2 LG TOE	INLET PORT	SA-312-TP316L		
20	-	1	FITTING 1 X 1/2 RED TEE WLD 8-18.5 X 5/8"	INLET PORT	SA-403-316L		
21	-	1	PIPE 1 SMLS X 5/8" X 4 LG	INLET PORT	SA-312-TP316L		
22	-	1	PIPE 1/2 SMLS X 5/8" X 2 LG	INLET PORT	SA-312-TP316L		
23	-	1	MISC 1/2 PIPE TO 1/2 TUBE WELD ADAPTER	INLET PORT	316 SS		
24	-	1	MISC SWAGELOC 55-BMPV-A-8T5V				
25	-	1	MISC SWAGELOC THIRD BALL VALVE 1 W 55-65TE18	INLET PORT	316 SS		
26	-	1	PIPE 1 SMLS X 5/8" X 2 LG TEE	INLET PORT	SA-312-TP316L		
27	-	1	FITTING 1 CAP NPT 8 1/4 11	INLET PORT	SA-403-316L		
28	-	1	TUBE 1/2 OD X 0.005 WALL 3862 X 4 LG	INLET PORT	SA-212-TP316L		
29	-	4	BOLTING 1/8" HUMP BOLT 1/2 HO X 3 LG	HOUSING	SA-188-B7		
30	-	4	BOLTING 3/8" WASHER FLAT	HOUSING	SS		
31	-	8	BOLTING 7/8" HUMP BOLT 1/2 HO X 3 LG	HOPPER TOP	SA-188-B7		
32	-	15	BOLTING 7/8" HUMP NUT X HLX HEAVY	HOPPER TOP	SA-188-B7		
33	-	18	BOLTING 7/8" WASHER FLAT	HOPPER TOP	SS		

JOB# 3408V000/
V00003
P.O.# 10937

MK |

RECEIVED
12/7/07

MANNESMANN
DMV STAINLESS

MANNESMANN DMV - Stainless Steel Pipe B.P. (10 - F 2150) Nordbord Center - FRANCE www.mannesmann-dmv.com	INSPECTION CERTIFICATE ABNAHMEPRÜFZEUGNIS CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION 3-1 - EN 10204: 2004	N° / Nr. / N°: 0641540 Page: 1 2
--	---	--

CUSTOMER / Kunde / Client : WARREN ALLOY VALVE & FITTINGS

CUSTOMER'S PURCHASER ORDER No / Kundenauftrag nr. / N° cde client : 102353

DMV order no / DMV-Auftrag nr. / Commande DMV: 221255

DMV certified lot no / Zeugnis-Losnr. / Lot de certificat: 221255020

Coming from / abgeteilt vom / venant de: Manufacturing lot no / Herstellungs-Losnr. / Lot de fabrication: 22126507C

Product:	Seamless stainless steel pipe or tube	Hot finished	Annealed	Pickled Passivated
Erzeugnis:	Nahelose Stahltreibe	Warmgerollt	Abgeschreckt	Chemisch passiviert
Type de Produit:	Tube sans soudure	Finition chaude	Hypothermique	Nettoyage Passivité

Grade(s) and Specification(s) / Stahlsorte und Liefervorschriften / Livrables et spécifications:

TP 316L

TP 316

ASTM A 312-03A

ASME SA-312 ED. 04.

Marking of the product / Kennzeichnung / Marquage:

DMV-F-ASTM A312 ASME SA312 TP 316L TP 316 219.10 X 12.70 - 8" NPS X SCH 80S -
HEAT: 588310 - SML - 221265020 - FRANCE

Supplementary requirements / Zusätzliche Anforderungen / Prescriptions supplémentaires:

Size Tolerances / Abmessungstoleranzen / Tolérances Dimensionnelles:

According to ASTM A 312-03A

Per No	Weight	Total Length	OD	WT	Min Length	Max Length
2	812 kg	12.501 m	219.10 mm	12.70 mm	6236 mm	6265 mm
	1790.12 Lbs	41.01 FT	8" NPS	SCH 80S	20.45 Ft	20.55 Ft

Chemical Analysis / Chemische Zusammensetzung / Caractéristiques Chimiques: (V)

Welding Process / Erweißungsprozess / Soudage: Electro / Elektroschweißen / Soudage: MIG or TIG

Heat No / Schmelzen Nr. / N° Couée: 588310 GERMANY

	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo
Min						11.00	18.00	2.00
Max	0.035	2.00	0.045	0.030	1.00	14.00	18.00	3.00

Mechanical and Metallurgical Properties / Mechanische und Metallurgische Kennwerte / Caractéristiques Mécaniques et Métallurgiques

TENSILE TEST RT	Y.S 0.2% (MPa)	T. S (MPa)	Elongation (80.1 mm)
Zugfestigkeit	Rp 0.1%	Rm	A%
Traction	Rp 0.1%		
Long. Measurement:	11m 20%	11m 5/3	11m 15
V107 Results:	102	501	19.7

FLATTENING / RINGFLATTE / PLATTEAU: DAT:

W107 W108

HARDNESS TEST / HÄRTEPRÜFUNG / DURETÉ:

W107 Requirements: <= 22HRC

CORROSION / KORROSION / CORROSION:

W107 Requirements: ASTM A262/E

OK - 0.8-Bon
OK - 0.8-Bon

Adresse Lieferant DMV - Stainless France B.P. 10 - F 21501 Montbard Cedex - FRANCE www.mannesmann-dmv.com	INSPECTION CERTIFICATE ABNAHMERUFZEUGNIS CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION 3-1 - EN 10284: 2004	No / Nr. / N°: 0641540 Page : 2 2
---	---	---

DMV order no / DMV-Auftragsnr. / Commande DMV : 221265

Other testing and Declaration / Andere Versuche und Bemerkungen / Autres essais et Déclaration

- Visual and dimensional examination / Besichtigung und Masskontrolle / Examen visuel et dimensionnel : OK-u.B.-Bon
- Hydrostatic Test / Wasserinnendruckversuch / Essai Hydraulique : 1885 Psi : Ss
- Heat Treatment / Wärmebehandlung / Traitement Thermique : Solution treating / Überhume / Hypertrempe : ~ 1040°C / 1904°F
- Antimixing checked by PMI / Prüfung auf Werkstoffverwechslung / Contrôle anti-mélange par PMI à 100% : OK-u.B.-Bon
- No weld repair / Keine Reparaturschweißung / Aucune réparation par soudure.

- The material is conforming to directive 2000/53/EC, 2002/95/EC and CD 2005/618/EC. The tubes are free from mercury contamination and from radioactive contamination.

Das Material entspricht den Anforderungen der Richtlinien 2000/53/EC, 2002/95/EC und CD 2005/618/EC. Die Rohre sind frei von Quecksilberverunreinigungen und frei von radioaktiver Verunreinigung.

Le matériau est conforme aux directives 2000/53/EC, 2002/95/EC et CD 2005/618/EC. Les tubes sont exempts de contamination par le mercure et de contamination radioactive.

We certify that the delivered products comply with the requirements stipulated in the order.

Die Erzeugnisse entsprechen den in der Bestellung festgelegten Anforderungen.

Nous attestons que les produits livrés sont conformes aux stipulations de la commande.

Validation by manufacturer's representative / Validierung durch Vertreter des Herstellers / Validation par le représentant du producteur

Mfr's Inspector / Der Werksachverständige / Le contrôleur usine :

Mme LARCHER

Date edition : 31/10/2006

This certificate is issued by a computerized system and is valid without signature. In the case the owner of the original would release a copy of a document after its withdrawal and will be responsible for any subsequent use. Any alteration or falsification will be subject to law.

Dieses Zeugnis wird durch Rechneranlage erzeugt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. Wenn der Eigentümer eine Kopie des Dokuments nach dessen Entzug freigelegt und für den weiteren Gebrauch verantwortlich ist, wird er für die falsche Verwendung verantwortlich sein. Jegliche Änderung oder Fälschung wird strafrechtlich verfolgt.

Ce certificat est généré automatiquement par un système informatique et est valide sans signature. Tout changement ou utilisation pour d'autres produits après avoir été retiré du document de destination et produit sera sujet à la législation pénale.

Confirmation with reference to Pressure Equipment Directive 97/23/EC: The works operates a quality management system that has undergone a specific assessment for materials for pressure equipment and is certified by a competent body (TÜV-SÜD CERT.No: 05/2006/ALAN)

041202

MK 2

erne fittings gmbh A-8824 Schilke Hauptstrasse 4/B Austria/Europe Telefon +43/5524 601-0 Telefax +43/5524 601-890		Abnahmeprüfzeugnis Inspection Certificate EN 10204 - 3.1		Zeugnisnummer [certificate no.] 017618/07-HG		Datum [date] 18/04/2007	
Kunde (customer) Global Stainless Supply, Inc. Phelps Misty 9040 Railroad 150 USA-77078 Houston, Texas		Ihre Bestellnummer [your order no.] 5615		Unsere Auftrags.Nr. [our order no.] 92852-DN-2850			
Vormaterial (base material)		Menge [quantity] 5		Schmelze Nr. [heat no.] 509958		Ident.Nr. [ident no.]	
Artikelbezeichnung [designation] raducera KO-S-WP318/316L-8"/4"-S80S		J-Faktor (J-Factor) CEQ [CEQ]:		(SI + Mn) * (P + Sn) * 10000 C + (Mn / 8) + (Cr + Mo + V) / 5 + (Cu + Ni) / 15			
1 = Schmelzeanalyse (heat analysis)		S 0.007		Cr 18.77		Mo 2.03	
P 0.028		Ni 11.30		Cu 0.073		Nb 0.073	
Mn 1.71		Si 0.015		C 0.015		J-Factor (J-Factor) CEQ [CEQ]:	
Index 1		Schmelze Nr. [heat no.] 509958		Erschmelzungsart [melting process] E		1 = Schmelzeanalyse (heat analysis)	
Proben (test no.) 40982		Zugversuch (tensile test)		Kerbschlagbiegeversuch (notched bar impact test)		Härteprüfung (hardness test)	
Dehnungs. (yield str.) Rp 0.2 271		Zugfestigkeit (tensile str.) Rm (N/mm²) 583		Dehnung (elongation %) 54		Kerbschlagarbeit (impact values) (J) 142-147 HB	
Temp. +20 °C		Temp. 54		PR-Lage (pos. of sample)		Härteprüfung (hardness test)	
Kennzeichnung (marking) (E)		Material (809988/DN*wall)		Zusatzmarkierung (add. mark.)		Die gezeigten Anforderungen wurden erfüllt (Manufacturing requirements are satisfied) Der Werksschweissende (the Works Inspector) 18/04/07 Grabherr Harald Dieses Dokument wurde elektronisch erstellt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. (The certificate is issued by the computerized system and is valid without signature.)	
Bemerkungen (remarks) cold formed Intergranular corrosion test acc. ASTM A262/E: o.k., PMI 100% spectral: o.k.		Zeichnung (drawing)		Die gezeigten Anforderungen wurden erfüllt (Manufacturing requirements are satisfied) Der Werksschweissende (the Works Inspector) 18/04/07 Grabherr Harald Dieses Dokument wurde elektronisch erstellt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. (The certificate is issued by the computerized system and is valid without signature.)		SS - 321	

Zertifiziert nach DGR 97/23/EG Anhang 1 - Absatz 4.3 durch TÜV SÜddeutschland CE 0036, Zertifikat-Nr. DGR-0036-QM-WH-104-01 (Certified acc. to PED 97/23/EC by TÜV SÜD CE 0036, certificate no. DGR-0036-QM-WH-104-01, Certified acc. to EN/ISO 8001)

RECEIVED

12/7/07

JOB # 3408V0100/

3408V01003

P.O. # 10937

043840

erne fittings gmbh A-8824 Schönlis Hauptstrasse 48 Austria/Europe Telefon +43/6524 501-0 Telefax +43/6524 501-800		Abnahmeprüfzeugnis Inspection Certificate EN 10204 - 3.1		Zeugnisnummer [certificate no.] 034838/07-LD		Datum [date] 30/07/2007	
Kunde (customer) Global Stainless Supply, Inc. Phelps Mistry 9040 Railroad 150 USA-77078 Houston, Texas		Ihre Bestellnummer [your order no.] 5615		Unsere Auftrags-Nr. [our order no.] 92852-DN-2930			
Vormaterial [base material] seamless pipe		Menge [quantity] 8		Schmelze Nr. [heat no.] 512938		Ident. Nr. [ident. no.] 512938	
Artikelbezeichnung [designation] reducers KO-S-WP318/18L-4"1/2"-S80S		J-Faktor [J-Factor]: (Si + Mn) * (P + Sn) * 10000 CEQ [CEQ]: C + (Mn / 8) + (Cr + Mo + V) / 6 + (Cu + Ni) / 15					
Analysa [analysis] Index 1		1 = Schmelzeanalyse [heat analysis] C 0.010 Si 0.37 Mn 1.41 P 0.023 S 0.010 Cr 17.03 Mo 2.03 Ni 11.14 Cu V Nb Al B Ti N As J-Fact.					
Proben [test no.] 178040		Zugversuch [tensile test] Dehngr. [yield str.] Rp 0.2 343 Temp. +20 °C Zugfestigkeit [tensile strength] Rp 1.0 586 Bruch [fracture] Rp 1.0 586		Kerbschlagbiegeversuch [notched bar impact test] Form: Querschnitt [cross section] 143-146 HB		Härteprüfung [hardness test] Wärmebehandlung [heat treatment] solution annealed temperature 1050 °C holding time 20 min. cooling in water	
Kennzeichnung [marking] E05 Mat./512938/DN"wall"		Zusatzmarkierung [add. mark.]					
Besichtigung und Ausmessung [visual inspection and dimensional check] o.B./o.K.		Die gestellten Anforderungen wurden erfüllt [manufacturing requirements are satisfied]					
Bemerkungen [remarks] cold formed Intergranular corrosion test acc. ASTM A262/E: o.k., PMI 100% spectral: o.k.		Der Werkstoff entspricht [the Works Inspector] 30/07/07 Lins David Dieses Dokument wurde elektronisch erstellt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. [The certificate is issued by the computerized system and is valid without signature.]				321	

Zertifiziert nach DGRL 97/23/EG Anhang I - Absatz 4.3 durch TÜV SÜDdeutsches CE 0038, Zertifikat-Nr. DGR-0038-QM-WH-104-01 (Certified acc. to PED 97/23/EC by TÜV Cert CE 0038, certificate no. DGR-0038-QM-WH-104-01. Certified acc. to EN ISO 9001)

RECEIVED

12/11/07
 JOB# 3408V1001
 3408V1003
 P.O.# 10937

MK 3

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT 36056 MK 4

Messrs.
 Note Grade TP 316/316L
 Condition Solution Treated
 Heat No. 504188
 Size 2 X SCH 80 S
 Length 23.95 feet
 Pieces 27
 Net Weight 4.457 LBS

Date Mar. 23, 2007
 Sheet No. 604238
 Contract No. MA-PA2025
 Packing List No. ET5838
 Bundle No. 30 - 32

OSANYO SPECIAL STEEL CO., LTD.

Technical Administration Department
 Quality Assurance Group.
 3007, Wakashima, Shikama-ku, Niigata, Japan
 TEL (079) 235-6029
 FAX (079) 234-5033

Chemical Composition (%)		C	Si	Mn	P	S	Ni	Cr	Mo
Standard		≤ 0.035	≤ 0.075	≤ 0.020	≤ 0.045	≤ 0.030	≤ 0.010	≤ 0.010	≤ 0.010
Cast		23	46	126	34	3	1216	1625	205
Mechanical Test		Type of Specimen: 10.2X Yield Tensile Elongation							
From Standard		30 175 35							
Product		min Ksi min Ksi min %							
Result		ASTM 145 184 48							
Corrosion Test		(ASTM A262-E) : GOOD							
Flattening Test		ASTM A530 : GOOD							
Dimension Inspection		GOOD							
Eddy Current Test		GOOD							
Specification		ASTM A312 ASTM A376 ASME SA312 ASME SA376							
Remarks		Heat Treatment : 1070°C X 15M N.C. DIN3.1 according to DIN EN 10204 (DIN 50049) FREE FROM MERCURY CONTAMINATION AND NO WELDING HARDNESS ACC. TO XACE WR0175 GOOD SEAMLESS STAINLESS STEEL PIPES Corrosion Test : Standard No. MIL-P-11440 & MIL-P-24691/3 : GOOD P.O.NO.102547							
		===== END OF REPORT =====							

We hereby certify that the material described herein has been made in accordance with the rules of the contract.

Head of Quality Assurance Group.

H. Nakagata

Maass Flange Corporation

6202 Lumberdale Rd
Houston, Texas 77092

Material Test Certification

Certificate Conforms to EN 10204/3.1

Sold to: ROBERT JAMES SALES - SPLAINFIELD
627 MONTROSE AVENUE
SOUTH PLAINFIELD, NJ 07080

Cust. P.O.#: HF4608
Order#: 160081

ISO 9001:2000
Certified
RE 12/17/07
JOB# 3408
P.O.# 10937

Item 1

Qty	Part Number	Part Description	Heatcode	HeatNumber	Material									
1.00	22002005113	D-FIN 316/316L 2.00 1500 RF W/ XH	C838	E01194	#316-316L									
C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	N	Cu	Ti	V	Co	Ta	W
0.019	1.610	0.031	0.0350	0.350	10.090	17.230	2.040	0.0840						
Cb	Cb+Ta	Al	Fa	Tensile	Yield	Elong %	R of A %	BHN	Grain					
				82,500	43,400	57.06	50.00	149.00						

Heat Treatment: Solution annealed at 1850F/1000C and water quenched.
Specification: A5A162-05a F316/316L, NACE MR0175, MR0103, ASTM B16.3.2002 as applicable.

Made in the USA

We certify that the material represented by this document has been tested and inspected and is in conformance with the purchase order, drawing(s) and specification requirements.

Approved by

Harold Acord

Harold Acord
Quality Assurance Inspector

1/14/2007

Page 1 of 1

36056
MK 17, 19, 21, 25

SCHOELLER
BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR
SEAMLESS-STAINLESS
MAHTLOS ZUM ERFOLG

Zertifizierter Hersteller nach DIN 9131/160
Certified Manufacturer to PED 97/23/EC
von / by L.ROA GmbH
Kontrollnummer / Identification No. 0523

ABNAHMEPRÜFZEUGNIS B - INSPECTION CERTIFICATE B
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION PAR L'USINE 3.1.B C.C.P.U.
nach/according to OENORM/DIN EN 10 204-3.1.B

Schoeller-Bleckmann
Edelschlahrohr AG
Rohrstrasse 1
A-2630 Ternitz, Austria
Tel: +43 02630/316 601
Fax: +43 02630/316 683

Zert./cert: C84250

Seite/Page: 1 / 3

Datum/Date: 030514

e-mail: werner.mohr@sber.co.at

Besteller/Purchaser/Committant
SCHOELLER BLECKMANN PIPE & TUBE INC.
5430, BRYSTONE DRIVE
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77041
USA

Bestell-Nr./Purchaser's Order No/No. de commande: 1500614

Auftrags-Nr./Works Order No/No. de commande d'usine: 0421934/ 4

Lieferschein/Delivery note/Avis d'expédition: 0421934/ 4 Date: 03-03-13

Erzeugnis/Product/Produit

SEAMLESS STAINLESS STEEL TUBES/PIPES, SBS GRADE A200,
TP316/TP316L.

FINISH H = COLD FINISHED, HEAT-TREATED, PICKLED,
TECHN. COND. ACC. ASTM A312/A312M-01, ASTM A376/A376M-01,
ASME SECT. II PART A SA312/SA312M-2001 ED. 2002 ADD,
ASME SECT. II PART A SA376/SA376M-2001 ED. 2002 ADD,
CORR. TEST TO MIL-P-24691/3 (ASTM A262 PCT.E),
NACE MR0175-2002. TOLERANCES ACC. ASTM A999/A999M-01,
ASME SECT. II PART A SA530/SA530M-2001 ED. 2002 ADD,
RANDOM LENGTHS 6100/ 7300 MM PLAIN ENDS.

Lieferung/Descr./Liste descr.:

Pos	Abmessung Dimensions Dimensione	Menge Quantity Poide	Gewicht Netweight Poide net	Stk Pcs Pcs	Schmelze Heat Coulee	Prüf-Nr Test.-No No.épr.
30	33,4 X 4,55 MM / 1"NB X SCH 80S	587,32 M	1939,00 KG	87	441440	134158

Ergebnis der Prüfungen/Test Result/Result des essais:

Die gestellten Anforderungen sind erfüllt.

The material has been furnished in accordance to the requirements.

Le matériel a été trouvé conforme aux exigences.

Zeichen des Lieferwerks:

Brand of Manufacturer:

SBS

Zeichen des Prüfers

Symbol of Inspector

SCHOELLER-BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR AG

H.R. W. MOHR

(DER WERKSACHVERSTÄNDIGE)
(WORKS INSPECTOR/L'EXPERT DE USINE)

31459

AAK 37 16

**SCHOELLER
BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR**
SEAMLESS STAINLESS
NAHTLOS ZUM ERFOLG

Lieferanten Hersteller nach DGR 97/23/EG
Certified Manufacturer to PED 97/23/EC
von / by LRQA (Irish)
Kontrollnummer / Identification No. 0523

ABNAHMEPRÜFZEUGNIS B - INSPECTION CERTIFICATE B
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION PAR L'USINE 3.1.B C.C.P.U.
nach/according to OENORM/DIN EN 10 204-3.1.B

Schoeller-Bleckmann
Edelstahlrohr AG
Rohrstrasse 1
A-2630 Ternitz, Austria
Tel: +43 02630/316 601
Fax: +43 02630/316 683

Zert./cert: C84250

Seite/Page: 2 /3

Datum/Date: 030514

e-mail: werner.mohr@sber.co.at

Chemische Zusammensetzung/Chemical Composition/Composition chimique (%)
Schmelze

Heat	C	SI	MN	P	S	CR	MO	NI
441440	0,021	0,370	1,570	0,028	0,001	16,650	2,060	11,280

Mechanische Eigenschaften/Mechanical Properties/Charact. mecaniques

Prüf-Nr	Proben-Nr.	HRB	HV
Test-No	Sample-no.	HRB	HV
No. Epr.	sample-no.	min	max
		90	192

136358	1	80
	2	80

	TEMP °C	RP0.2 MPA	RM MPA	A2" %
min		207	517	35
max				

1	20	330	623	42
---	----	-----	-----	----

Ergebnisse weiterer Prüfungen/Further test results/Résultat d'autre essais
FLATTENING TEST: SATISFACTORY
INTERGR. CORR. TEST ACC. TO ASTM A262 PRACT. E: SATISFACTORY
POSITIVE MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION TEST ON EACH TUBE/PIPE
BY "X-RAY-FLUORESCENCE-ANALYZER": SATISFACTORY

HYDROSTATIC TEST AT 2500 PSI: O.K.

SOLUTION HEAT TREATMENT: 1060°C (1940°F), 10 MIN.,
WATER QUENCHED, COOLING TO BELOW 800°F IN LESS THAN 3 MIN.

MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION ON EACH PIPE: SATISFACTORY

Ergebnis der Prüfungen/Test Result/Résultat des essais:
Die gestellten Anforderungen sind erfüllt.
The material has been furnished in accordance to the requirements.
Le material a été trouvé conforme aux exigences.

Zeichen des Lieferwerks:
Brand of Manufacturer:

SBS

Zeichen des Prüfers:
Symbol of inspector

**SCHOELLER-BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR AG**

ER. W. MOHR

(DER VERKSSACHVERSTÄNDIGE)
(WORKS INSPECTOR/L'EXPERT DE USINE)

SCHOELLER
BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR
SEAMLESS-STAINLESS
PIPELINES FOR SUCCESS

ABNAHMEPRÜFZEUGNIS B - INSPECTION CERTIFICATE B
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION PAR L'USINE 3.1.B C.C.P.U.
nach/according to OENORM/DIN EN 10 204-3.1.B

Schoeller-Bleckmann
Edelstahlrohr AG
Rohrstrasse 1
A-2630 Ternitz, Austria
Tel: +43 02630/316 601
Fax: +43 02630/316 683

Zert./cert: C84250
Seite/Page: 3 /3
Datum/Date: 030514
e-mail: werner.mohr@sber.co.at

MATERIAL IS FREE OF MERCURY CONTAMINATION.

NO WELD REPAIR HAS BEEN PERFORMED ON MATERIAL.

INTERGRANULAR CORROSION TEST ACC. TO ASTM A262 PRAC. 5: O.K.
INTERGRANULAR CORROSION TEST ACCORDING TO MIL-P-24691/3
(SENSITIZED 675°C (1250°F) / 1 HOUR / AIRCOOLED): O.K.
THE TUBES/PIPES CONFORM ALSO TO NACE STANDARD MR0175-2002

WE CERTIFY THAT THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED
AND EXAMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS OF
THE SPECIFICATION AND ORDER CONFIRMATION AND THAT THE
RESULTS OF ALL EXAMINATIONS ARE ACCEPTABLE.

ABBREVIATIONS:

RP0.2 = YIELD STRENGTH
RM = TENSILE STRENGTH
A2" = ELONGATION

KG = GRAIN SIZE
CONVERSION:
1 MPA = 145.037 PSI

Ergebnis der Prüfungen/Test Result/Resultat des essais:

Die gestellten Anforderungen sind erfüllt.

The material has been furnished in accordance to the requirements.

Le matériel a été trouvé conforme aux exigences.

Zeichen des Lieferwerks:
Brand of Manufacturer:

SBS

Zeichen des Prüfers
Symbol of inspector

SCHOELLER-BLECKMANN
EDELSTAHLROHR AG

H. W. MOHR

(DER VERKÄUFERSACHVERSTÄNDIGE)
(WORKS INSPECTOR/L'EXPERT DE USINE)

Kerkau Manufacturing

910 Truman Parkway

Bay City, MI 48706

MATERIAL TEST REPORT

DATE: 7/15/05

SOLD TO: WARREN ALLOY VALVE & FITTING-HOUSTON SHIP TO:
213774

ORDER NO: 101328

ITEM DESCRIPTIONHEAT NO.

1 1500# WN RF XH/S80 316/316L A182/SA182 ASTM 02/ASME A02

67143

C %	Mn %	Si%	S %	P%	Cr %	Ni %	Mo%	N%
0.026	1.75	0.39	0.024	0.043	16.68	10.00	2.08	0.0725

TENSILE (psi)	YIELD (psi)	ELONG %	REDUCTION %	BHN
79,670	41,992	56.40	71.50	156

SOLUTION ANNEALED AT 1050 DEGREES CELSIUS AND WATER QUENCHED.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH NACE MRO 175-02

3.1.b DIN 50049/EN 10204

Bonnie Koskinen
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

Voice: (989) 686-0350

Fax: (989) 686-0399

Toll Free: (800) 248-5060

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

(EN4004:2004) 1 / 1 (S) 16474 (Rev. 2.18)

109-1-2004.2405-0000
SARNOG-001: 04/04/2004
Tel: 82-22-451-6750
Fax: 82-22-451-6888

Customer	HYUNDAI PULSAR		Contract No.	2004000014	Date	2004-04-01
P.O. No.	90437		Project Name			
Job No.			Manufacturer			
Specification for Material	ASTM/AISI 304/304S WP316/316L 104 Ex. 8 & 90-901		Welding Method	STAINLESS BRAZED PWT		
Inspection for Inspection	KDAE 816.3-2001		Dimension and Visual Inspection	GOOD		
Heat Code No. (NOM)	Alt.	Dimensions			Qty. (pcs)	Visual Inspection
400041	08500N REDUCER	SCH40S	8W	4" x 4"	8	ST-10000 NO
400042	08500N REDUCER	SCH40S	8W	2" x 2"	8	ST-10000 NO
400043	071 REDUCING TEE	SCH40S	8W	2" x 1/2"	2	ST-10000 NO
400044	071 REDUCING TEE	SCH40S	8W	1 1/2 x 1/2"	8	ST-10000 NO
400045	075 90° ELBOW (LONG)	SCH40S	8W	1 1/2"	16	ST-10000 NO

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (%)

Heat Code No. (NOM)	Spec.	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Ni	Cr	Mo	Res. (ppm)
	Max	0.08	1.40	2.00	0.045	0.030	14.00	19.00	0.00	
	Min	-	-	-	-	-	10.00	18.00	0.00	
400041	I	0.021	0.30	1.75	0.030	0.008	10.30	18.20	0.01	SUMITOMO
400042	I	0.025	0.40	1.61	0.028	0.009	11.88	18.81	0.00	CHANGWON
400043	C	0.006	0.08	1.05	0.010	0.002	11.32	18.14	0.10	SANMI
400044	I	0.020	0.41	1.48	0.032	0.002	12.07	18.29	0.04	SANYO STEEL
400045	L	0.023	0.38	1.54	0.029	0.012	12.11	17.96	0.04	CHANGWON

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Heat Code No. (NOM)	Spec.	Y.S.		T.S.	EL.					
		ksi			%					
	Max	30		70	7					
	Min	20		55	28					
400041	P	20.8	95.2	97.4						
400042	P	41.8	81.3	52.8						
400043	P	42.9	82.7	55.8						
400044	P	47.9	89.9	42.3						
400045	P	44.8	88.1	60.2						

We hereby certify that the material herein has been made and tested in accordance with our above identification and also with the requirements of the applicable code.

Signature

Legend
 1. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 2. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 3. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 4. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 5. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 6. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 7. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 8. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 9. Inspected by: J. S. Lee
 10. Inspected by: J. S. Lee

Checked by:

Checked by:

Approved by:

J. S. Lee
 Director of Quality Assurance Dept.

PO. 29996
Job 36056
mk 28

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

GIBSON TUBE, INC. 100 ASPEN HILL ROAD NORTH BRANCH, NJ 08876
 Sold To: ROBERT JAMES SALES (BU-NY) S.O.#: B4900-00
 P.O. BOX 7999 Customer PO#: AK1345
 BUFFALO NY 14225-7999 Date: June 23, 2004
 Specification: 0.500 O.D. X .049 Wall TP316 316L X Straight Length WELDED/ASTM-A269-02a Rev #:
 P.S. 27996
 ROUTING 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100
 DATE 5/3/96

MECHANICAL TESTS:

Heat # 816014 OK OK OK NA B72 OK N/A B1600 38400 MARK 57 8/6/96
 Reverse Rockwell Eddy Hydrostatic Tensile Str. Yield Str. Elong
 Flat Flattening Flange Bend Hardness Current P.S.I. P.S.I. MININ 2. "

HEAT ANALYSIS

Heat #	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	CU	FB	N
816014	.0150	1.8300	.0300	.0100	.4300	16.8900	10.4500	2.1000	.3100	BAL	.0300

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

EN10204-3.1.B COMPLIANT
 NACE MR-01-75 COMPLIANT

Air Test High Pressure
 Under Water Nitrogen
 P.S.I. P.S.I.
 N/A N/A

PRODUCT MEETS REQUIREMENTS OF
 EN-10204 SECTION 3.1.b

This is to certify that our product
 contains no Mercury nor is Mercury used
 in any of our manufacturing processes.

WARNING

MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS FOR THIS PRODUCT HAVE BEEN SUPPLIED TO YOUR
 PURCHASING DEPARTMENT. FOR AN ADDITIONAL COPY PHONE 908-218-1400.

Anthony Graviano
 Anthony Graviano
 Quality Assurance Manager

**NORTH AMERICAN
STAINLESS**

METALLURGICAL TEST REPORT

6870 Highway 42 East
Ghent, KY 41045-9615
(502) 347-6000

Certificate: 343925 06

Mail To:
SAMUEL, BOH & CO., INC.
2650 KIRKILA ROAD
HERMITAGE, PA 16148-9024

Ship To:

SAMUEL, BOH & CO., INC.
2650 KIRKILA ROAD
HERMITAGE, PA 16148-9024

Date: 1/11/2007 Page: 1

Customer: 0840 001

Steel: 316/316L

Finish: BRAS

Your Order: 6703578

NAS Order: AN 0358955 05

Corrosion: ASTM A262/02aE/1808and-OK

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:

STAINLESS STEEL PLATE, HOT ROLLED, ANNEALED AND PICKLED.

ASTMA240/06a, 480/06, 666/03, ASME SA240/04-A06, 480/04-A06, SA666/04
(X GRAIN), QQS766D-AX NG PERM, AMS5507F/AMS5524K X MRK,

REMARKS:

Mat'l free of Hg contam. No weld repairs. RoHS-Compliant.
EN 10204 3.1 PED 97/23/EC Annex1, Para. 4.3 QQS763F Cond A
EN 20401 3.1.3, NACE MR0175
* Melted & Manufactured in the USA
Minimum anneal temperature 1950 F.

Skid #	Prod #	Thickness	Width	Weight	Length	Mark	Pieces
P46052	* 081RP9	.5000	48.0000	2,505 PLATE	120.00		3
P46053	* 081RP9	.5000	48.0000	2,505 PLATE	120.00		3

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS CM(Country of Melt) ES(Spain) US(United States) ZA(South Africa)

Heat	CM	C	CR	CU	MN	MO	N	NI	P	S	SI
1RP9	US	.023	16.553	.399	1.501	2.120	.044	10.215	.026	.001	.327

TIME

Yr. 2004 Add. 2006

Q. W/M Date 8/24/07

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Skid #	Prod #	ld	UTS	.2% YS	ELONG	Hard
		o i	KSI	KSI	%-2"	RB
P46052	081RP9	F T	80.77	37.24	58.00	79.00
P46053	081RP9	F T	80.77	37.24	58.00	79.00

24

154600
6703578/5

NAS hereby certifies that the analysis on this certification is correct and the material meets the specifications stated.

QC ENGINEER

1/11/2007

ERIC HESS

36056

CUSTOMER GASPAR LOCATION CANTON, OHIO
PO# 10978 JOB# 35056 MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL
WELDING PROCESS: SEEDWIG THICKNESS/DIAMETER .218" / 2" SCREENS F/B: DOS"-010"
FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK AA FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 X 10" SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐
OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 1/2" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 18" TIME: 1 1/2 MIN UP 0.000"
SOURCE .157 X - IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 39 KV - MA -
RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SECT II ART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SECT VIII DIV 5
IQI SIZE: 12 SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☐ IQI TYPE: ASTM E 1025 SHIM THK: 1/2" TECH. USED ☒
WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 051508 - P. RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 05/15/08 Page 1 of 1

CUSTOMER: GASPAR LOCATION: CANTON, OH IO
 PDR: 10978 JOB#: 36056 MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL
 WELDING PROCESS: SEE DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER: .337/4" SCREENS FIB: 005"-010"
 FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK M FILM SIZE: 4 1/4 X 10" SINGLE | X DOUBLE | | OTHER | | PROCESSING: MANUAL | X AUTO | |
 OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE "T": 1/2" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 4 1/2" TIME: 21 SEC VOLT: 0.020
 SOURCE: 157 X — IN 1A CO. | | X-RAY | | CURIES: 39 KV: — MA: —
 RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SEC II ART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SEC II UW 51
 ID SIZE: 15 SIDE: SOURCE | | FILM | | ID TYPE: ASTM E1025 SHIM THK: 1/16" TECH. USED: B1

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED. ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER

SNT-TC1A LEVEL

RADIOGRAPHER: SILSNT-TC1A LEVEL: *A*

CLIENT REVIEWER

Wednesday Morning

A = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}$ "

"B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}$ " to Unlimited

"C" = Diameter limited by

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 051508-7 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 5/15/08 Page 1 of 1

CUSTOMER GASPAR LOCATION CANTON, OH.

PO# 10978 JOB# 36056 MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL

WELDING PROCESS: SCE DWG. THICKNESS/DIAMETER 1/2" SCREENS F/B: .005-.010

FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK AA FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 X 17" SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐

OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 1/2" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 8" TIME: 1 min. Ug .030

SOURCE .157 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 39.1 KV — MA —

RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SEC. II ART. 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SEC. VIII DIV. 2

IQI SIZE: 1B SIDE: SOURCE ☐ FILM ☒ IQI TYPE: ASTM E 747 SHIM THK: N/A TECH. USED 1B

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER:

SNT-TC1A LEVEL:

RADIOGRAPHER :

SNT-TC1A LEVEL:

CLIENT REVIEWER

Wednesday Morning

"A" = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}"$

"B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}$ " to Unlimited

"C" = Diameter limited by

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW • Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

CERTIFICATE OF HYDROSTATIC/PNEUMATIC TEST

DATE: MAY 22, 2008

GASPAR JOB#: 36056

CUSTOMER: ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE

CUSTOMER PO#: 700521452

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION: COAL FEEDER ASSEMBLY

TEST PRESSURE: 1320 PSIG

TYPE OF TEST: PNEUMATIC

HOLD TIME OF TEST: 15 MINUTES

INSPECTED BY: WESLEY MORGAN

RESULTS: NO APPARENT LEAKS
NO PHYSICAL DEFORMATION

NOTES: _____

SEE ENLARGED VIEW X-1
ON SHEET 3

PROJECT	DRUGS, CHEMICALS, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES
DATE	10/10/00
DESIGNED BY	DRUGS, CHEMICALS, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES
CHECKED BY	DRUGS, CHEMICALS, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES
APPROVED BY	DRUGS, CHEMICALS, AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES
SCALE	1/4" = 1"
SHEET	2 OF 3

REVISIONS				
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	08/09/07	D.V.
ALL	B	DIM #625 WAS 34.44	10/09/07	D.V.
ALL	C	ADDED MATERIAL, CUTTING TO DIM, ADDED FITTING SPEC, AND X-RAY INSPECTION TO NOTES. DELETED CALL OUT ON GROOVE WEARS	11/27/07	D.V.
ALL	D	ADDED NOTE 5; MATERIAL SPEC. AND ADDED MISSING WELD	12/06/07	D.V.
ALL	E	ADDED LIFTING LUG	02/04/08	D.V.

100

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Ave S.W. • Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
GASPAR JOB NUMBER:	36063
DRAWING NUMBER:	W-SNG1002.48 REV.B
CUSTOMER PO NUMBER:	700521452
ITEM(S):	COAL FEEDER FRAME

THIS CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AFFIRMS THAT, TO THE BEST OF OUR KNOWLEDGE, THE REFERENCED EQUIPMENT WAS FABRICATED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER, CONTRACT, AND APPLICABLE CODE REQUIREMENTS (IF ANY).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

NAME: WESLEY MORGAN
TITLE: QUALITY CONTROL MANAGER
DATE: 5/21/08

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	35063	FAB (1) FEEDER FRAME	W-SNG1002.48
PS Number	Dwg Number	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	35063	MEH	Domestic or West European Origin

NO	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/T	P/P
SS	-			(1) UNIT SHOWN (1) UNIT REQD				
1	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 24 3/4 LG	BTM FRAME	A500 GRB		
2	-	2	PLATE	1/2 THK X 8 1/2 WD X 18 3/4 LG	BASE PLATE	SA-36		
3	-	1	PLATE	3/8 THK X 8 5/16 WD X 15 3/8 LG	MTG PLATE	SA-36		
4	-	1	STRUCT	3/16 X 1 1/2 X 1 1/2 ANGLES X 11 LG	SPRT MTG PL	SA-36		
5	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 11 LG	BTM FRAME	A500 GRB		
6	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 22 LG	BACK POSTS	A500 GRB		
7	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 14 1/2 LG	INTER POSTS	A500 GRB		
8	-	2	STRUCT	1 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 8 LG	FRONT POSTS	A500 GRB		
9	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 13 7/8 LG	UPPER FRAME	A500 GRB		
10	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 8 3/8 LG	UPPER FRAME	A500 GRB		
11	-	2	STRUCT	2 1/2 SQ TUBE 1/8 THK WALL X 23 1/8 LG	DWG	A500 GRB		
12	-	1	PLATE	3/8 THK X 8 WD X 10 3/16 LG	SIDE PLATE	SA-36		
13	-	2	STRUCT	1/4 X 3 X 3 ANGLES X 10 LG	TOP ANGLES	SA-36		
14	-	1	PLATE	1/4 THK X 3 WD X 18 3/4 LG	BACK PLATE	SA-36		
15	-	1	PLATE	1/4 THK X 3 1/2 WD X 2 1/2 LG	GUSSETS	SA-36		
900	-		NOTENOT	SURF PREP. PER MANUFACTURERS DATA SHEET				
999	-			PRIMER: SHERWIN-WILLIAMS, KEM 400 PRIMER				
995	-			RED OXIDE E61R50400 OR EQ				

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 FRAME CONSTRUCTED USING 2 1/2" SQUARE TUBING WITH 1/8" THICK WALL, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2 DEBURR AND REMOVE ALL SHARP EDGES, PRIOR TO PRIMER COATING.
- 3 FINISH: PRIMER USING SHERWIN-WILLIAMS, KEM 400 PRIMER RED OXIDE, PRODUCT NUMBER: E61R00402 OR EQUIVALENT. PERFAPE SURFACE PER MANUFACTURES DATA SHEET.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	09/04/07	DW	
ALL	B	DIM. 35, 50 WAS 25, 13; ADDED TWO TUBES AT 45°	10/09/07	DW

ISO VIEW REAR

ISO VIEW FRONT

1. PARTS LIST 2. DIMENSIONS 3. MATERIALS 4. FINISHES 5. WEIGHTS 6. TOLERANCES 7. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS 8. COMMENTS		9. NAME 10. ADDRESS 11. CITY 12. STATE 13. ZIP	
14. QUANTITY 15. UNIT 16. DATE 17. BY 18. CHECKED 19. APPROVED 20. COMMENTS		21. PROJECT 22. DRAWING NO. 23. SCALE 24. WEIGHT 25. TOLERANCE 26. FINISH 27. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS 28. COMMENTS	
29. PARTS LIST 30. DIMENSIONS 31. MATERIALS 32. FINISHES 33. WEIGHTS 34. TOLERANCES 35. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS 36. COMMENTS		37. NAME 38. ADDRESS 39. CITY 40. STATE 41. ZIP	
42. QUANTITY 43. UNIT 44. DATE 45. BY 46. CHECKED 47. APPROVED 48. COMMENTS		49. PROJECT 50. DRAWING NO. 51. SCALE 52. WEIGHT 53. TOLERANCE 54. FINISH 55. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS 56. COMMENTS	

#36063

#36063

#36063

ARPS ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
400 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85001

PROJECT: COAL TO SNG
TITLE: KINETICS REACTOR
WELDMENT, COAL FEEDER FRAME

SITE: DWG. NO. REV
D W-SNG1002.48 B

SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0" (SEE SHEET 3 OF 4)

SECTION C-C

SECTION D-D

#36063

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX F

Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Upper CharPot Assembly

Final Design from Gaspar Inc.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

www.gasparinc.com

FINAL DATA PACKAGE

GASPAR JOB NUMBER(S):	36093
CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:	700521452
DESCRIPTION:	UPPER CHAR POT
ITEM NUMBER(S):	N/A
OTHER:	N/A

DATA PACKAGE CONTENTS

- ☒ DATA REPORT
- ☒ NAMEPLATE COPY
- ☒ BILL OF MATERIAL
- ☒ MATERIAL TEST REPORTS
- ☒ NDE REPORTS
- ☐ HEAT TREAT CHARTS
- ☒ CALCULATIONS
- ☒ DRAWINGS
- ☐ OTHER: (LIST BELOW)

NOTE:

FORM U-1A MANUFACTURER'S DATA REPORT FOR PRESSURE VESSELS
(Alternative Form for Single Chamber, Completely Shop or Field Fabricated Vessels Only)
As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

1. Manufactured and certified by: Gaspar, Inc. 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
(Name and address of manufacturer)

2. Manufactured for: Arizona Public Service Co. P.O. Box 53999 Phoenix, AZ 85072
(Name and address of purchaser)

3. Location of installation Unknown
(Name and address)

4. Type: Vertical 36093B ---- 36093 Rev.3 2527 2008
(Horizontal or vertical tank) (Manufacturer's serial Number) (CRN) (Drawing number) (National Board number) (Year built)

5. The chemical and physical properties of all parts meet the requirements of material specifications of the ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE The design, construction, and workmanship conform to ASME Rules, Section VIII, Division 1 2007 Edition
Year

to ----- ----- -----
Addenda (Date) (Code Case numbers) (Special Service per UG-120 (d))

6. Shell SA312-316H .500" .03" 0' - 7.625" 3' - 2"
Material spec. number, grade) Nominal thickness (Corr. allow) (Inner diameter) Length (overall)

7. Seams: Smls None 100% ---- Welded/Type 1 Full 100% One
[Long (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)] R.T. (spot or full) (Eff.) (H.T. temp.) (Time, hr) [Girth (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)] [R.T. (spot or full)] (Eff. %) No. of courses

8. Heads: (a) Material SA403-316H (b) Material SA403-316H
(Spec. number, grade) (Spec. number, grade)

	Location (Top, Bottom, Ends)	Minimum Thickness	Corrosion Allowance	Crown Radius	Knuckle Radius	Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex Angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to Pressure (Convex or Concave)
(a)	Top	.337"	.03"	----	----	----	*	----	----	Concave
(b)	Bottom	.337"	.03"	----	----	----	*	----	----	Concave

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastenings) -----
(Material spec. number, grade, size, number)

9. MAWP 1150 ---- at max temp. 1000 ----
(Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)

Min. design metal temp. -20 at 1150 Hydro. pneu., or comb. test press. Pneumatic 1265

10. Nozzles, inspection, and safety valve openings:

Purpose (Inlet, Outlet, Drain)	Number	Diameter or Size	Type	Material	Nominal Thickness	Reinforcement Material	How Attached	Location
Aux	1	2"	1500#WN	SA403-316H	.218"	----	Butt Weld	----
Aux	1	2-1/2"	1500#WN	SA403-316H	.218"	----	Butt Weld	----

11. Supports: Skirt No 2 0 ---- Attached Shell/Welded
(Yes or No) (No.) (No.) (Describe) (Where and How)

12. Remarks: Manufacturer's Partial Data Reports properly identified and signed by Commissioned Inspectors have been furnished for the following items of the report: -----
(Name of part, item number, Manufacturer's name and identifying stamp)

UHA-51(d) Exempt (impact test). Item 8: *Heads are standard 8" x 4" concentric reducers (ANSI B16.9).

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements made in this report are correct and that all details of design, material, construction and workmanship of this vessel conform to the ASME Boiler and pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. "U" Certificate of Authorization Number 16,862
expires July 25, 2011

Date 9-12-08 Co. Name Gaspar, Inc. Signed Wesley Morgan
(Manufacturer) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD INSPECTION

Vessel constructed by Gaspar, Inc. at 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors and/or the State or Province of Ohio and employed by OneBeacon America Insurance Company Lynn, Mass
have inspected the component described in this Manufacturer's Data Report on 09-12-2008, and state that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed this pressure vessel in accordance with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. By signing this certificate neither the Inspector nor his/her employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel this described in this Manufacturer's Data Report. Furthermore, neither the Inspector nor his/her employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date 09-12-2008 Signed [Signature] Commissions NB-9638A OHIO COMM.
(Authorized Inspector) [National Board (incl endorsements) State, Prov. and number]

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP	<u>110</u>	PSI AT	<u>1000</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>-20</u>	°F AT	<u>1150</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE

MAWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>XX</u>	°F AT	<u>XX</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	F

36093A
MFG. SERIAL NO.

2006
YEAR BUILT

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	36093	FAB (2) CHAR POTS (SET OF	PRTY#2-ITEM#5
PCNumber	DwgNumber	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	CUST DWG	MEH	Domestic or Imported except CHINA

MRK	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
0.5	-			(1) UNIT SHOWN (1) UNIT REQ'D				
1	-	1	FORGING	2 1/2 1500# B-16.5 RFWN (S/80 BORE)	UPPER POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
2	-	1	FITTING	4 X 2 1/2 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UPPER POT	SA-403-316/H*		
3	-	4	FITTING	8 X 4 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UP & LWR POT	SA-403-316/H*		
4	-	1	PIPE	8 SMLS X S/80 X 38 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
5	-	3	FITTING	4 X 2 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UP & LWR POT	SA-403-316/H*		
6	1	1	BAR/ROD	3 DIA X 6 LG (ANNEALED)	UPPER POT	SB-166-N06600		
6	1			DRILL 2 5/16 NOM ID, WALL 0.320 MIN.				
7	-	6	FORGING	1/2 6000# NPT HALF B-16.11	UP & LWR POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
8	-	5	FORGING	2 1500# B-16.5 RFWN (S/80 BORE)	UP & LWR POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
9	-	2	PLATE	1/2 THK X 1 1/2 WD X 4 LG	UPPER POT	SA-240-316/H*		
10	-	1	PIPE	2 SMLS X S/80 X 17 1/2 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
11	-	1	FITTING	2 X 1 RED ELBOW WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X 90 DEG	UPPER POT	SA-403-316/H*		
12	-	1	PIPE	1 SMLS X S/80 X 3 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
13	-	1	MISC	1 PIPE B/W X 1/2 S/W ADAPTER	UPPER POT	316 SS		
13	-			SWAGELOK SS-16-MPW-A-8TSW				
14	-	1	TUBE	1/2 OD X 0.065 WALL SMLS X 31 LG	UPPER POT	SA-213-TP316		
15	-	1	PIPE	8 SMLS X S/80 X 36 LG	LOWER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
16	-	1	FORGING	2 1500# B-16.5 BLIND	LOWER POT	SA-182-F316		
17	-	1	GASKET	.175 THK X 2 1500# STD RF CGI SWG STYLE	LOWER POT	FLEXITALLIC		
17	-			316L INNER & OUTER (THERMICULITE 835 FILLER)				
18	-	24	BOLTING	7/8 9UNC STUD X 6 1/4 LG	UP & LWR POT	SA-193-B7		
19	-	48	BOLTING	7/8 9UNC NUT X HEX HEAVY	UP & LWR POT	SA-194-2H		
20	-	48	BOLTING	7/8 WASHER FLAT	UP & LWR POT	STAINLESS		
21	-	2	GASKET	.175 THK X 2 1500# STD RF CGI SWG STYLE	UP & LWR POT	FLEXITALLIC		
21	-			INCONEL 625 INNER & OUTER RING				
21	-			(INCONEL 625 WINDING W/ THERMICULITE 835 FILLER)				
999	-		NOTE	* ALL ABOVE MAT'L CARBON CONTENT MUST				
999	-			BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%				

36093 MK 1

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	28122
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316H DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
1	2½" 1500# WN RF S80
	PROJECT# 36093-1

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316H	.047	1.42	.035	.022	.43	16.45	10.15	2.14	.059
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD .2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
28122	59,690	85,300	67.0	82.8		No. 4.0

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

10 # 30236 30V - 36093
TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

36093 MK 2
Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
1	1	4 X 2 1/2 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS										
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V
1	MQUR	0.073	1.48	0.035	0.002	0.48	11.20	16.80	2.64			

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
1	89600	58000	50.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
1	GRAIN SIZE: ACTUAL NO. 6.5

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED .

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY
CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

07/11/2008

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER #: 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	2	8 X 4 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MQUS	0.08	0.89	0.023	0.001	0.35	11.00	16.10	2.03						0.216

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	76200	32500	77.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE ACUTAL: ASTM NO 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED .

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

07/17/2008

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

36093 MK3

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	2	8 X 4 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MQUS	0.08	0.89	0.023	0.001	0.35	11.00	16.10	2.03						0.216

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	76200	32500	77.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE ACUTAL: ASTM NO 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT 07/17/2008

36093 MK 4,15

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

SUMITOMO METAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.

STEEL TUBE WORKS

1, NISHINO-CHO, HITGASHI-MUKOJIMA, AMAGASAKI, JAPAN

CERTIFICATE NO.: OYU1947

PAGE: 1

DATE: 2007-07-26

CUSTOMER : TIoga PIPE SUPPLY INC.
 ORDER NO. : 902933 ITEM NO. 14
 SHIPPER : SUMITOMO CORPORATION 057 KEQ 0613/5 7P12S306501
 COMMODITY : SEAMLESS HOT FINISHED STAINLESS STEEL PIPE WITH PLAIN SQUARE CUT ENDS

STANDARD : ASTM A312-05A / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-312 TP316 ASTM A312-05A / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-312 TP316H
 ASTM A376-04 / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-376 TP316 ASTM A376-04 / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-376 TP316H
 SPECIFICATION : POA #2SUM-1A REV. 7

MILL WORK NO. : OYU1947 O.D. : NPS8 W.T. : SCH80S LENGTH: MIN. 13feet MAX. 17feet QUANTITY: 3pcs.
 TOTAL LENGTH: 46.48feet MASS: 916kg

HEAT NO. PRODUCTS PCS. HEAT NO. PRODUCTS PCS.
 F421613 1 F528042 2

HEAT TREATMENT: SOLUTION TREATED (2102° F X 2min. W.Q.)

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (%)

	#1	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	Ni	Mo	Co	#1 R: LADLE & PRODUCT ANALYSIS L: LADLE ANALYSIS P: PRODUCT ANALYSIS
SPEC. MIN.	R	4	-	-	-	160	110	200	-	-	
MAX.	R	8	75	200	45	30	180	140	300	-	
HEAT NO.											
F421613	L	8	35	89	23	1	161	110	203	216	#2: X10
	P	8	33	90	24	1	162	112	205	220	#3: X1000
F528042	L	8	48	99	25	0	166	112	206	133	OTHER: X100
	P	8	48	101	26	1	167	113	205	140	
	P	8	48	101	26	1	166	113	205	140	

TENSILE TEST

	#1	#2	YS	TS	EL	TYPE OF SPECIMEN
			#3	#3	%	STRIP 1-1/2" WIDTH
SPEC. MIN.	L	B	P 30.0	P 75.0	35	GAUGE LENGTH
MAX.	L	B	P -	P -	-	2.0"
HEAT NO.						KIND OF YS
F421613	L	B	P 32.5	P 76.2	77	0.2% OFFSET
F528042	L	B	P 34.2	P 75.6	78	#1 DIRECTION
						L: LONGITUDINAL
						#2 SAMPLING POSITION
						B: BASE METAL
						#3 UNIT
						P: ksi

AUSTENITE GRAIN SIZE (ASTM E112)

SPEC. MIN.	-
MAX.	7.0
HEAT NO.	
F421613	3.8
F528042	3.7
	3.5

AS. IE

Yr 2007 Add. _____
 Q.C. WM Date 6/13/08
 AI 8081500

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MATERIAL HEREIN DESCRIBED HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED, AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABOVE STANDARD AND SPECIFICATION AND SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS.

M. Nagai

MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

SUMITOMO METAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.
STEEL TUBE WORKS
1, NISHINO-CHO, HIGASHI-MUKOJIMA, AMAGASAKI, JAPAN

CERTIFICATE NO.: OYU1947

PAGE: 2

DATE: 2007-07-26

CORROSION TEST (MIL-P-11440): ACCEPTABLE
CORROSION TEST (MIL-P-24691/3): GUARANTEE
FLATTENING TEST: ACCEPTABLE
VISUAL & DIMENSIONS: ACCEPTABLE
HYDROSTATIC TEST 1700psi: ACCEPTABLE
EN10204 3.1

NO WELD REPAIR

MERCURY FREE

HARNESS (HRC 22 MAX.): GUARANTEED

THE MATERIAL WAS COOLED TO BELOW 800 DEG F IN 3 MINUTES OR LESS

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. Q.C. WM Date 6/13/08

ASME 08-15-08

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MATERIAL HEREIN DESCRIBED HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED, AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABOVE STANDARD AND SPECIFICATION AND SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS.

MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER #: 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
3	3	4 X 2 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
3	MQUR	0.073	1.48	0.035	0.002	0.48	11.20	16.80	2.64						

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
3	89600	58000	50.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
3	GRAIN SIZE: ACTUAL NO. 6.5

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT 07/11/2008

NOTE: THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE.

SOUTHERN U.S.

HUNTINGTON ALLOYS

A Special Metals Company

HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25720

P

CORROSION MATERIALS		CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT		No. 38112	
5092 STEADOMONT		DATE		PAGE	
HOUSTON TX 77040		100030407 1		02/26/07 1 2	
QUANTITY		INSPECTED BY		HA/SMC	
2655 LBS		MARK ORDER NO.		68077K S-161753000	
CHARGE ORDER NO.		INCONEL ALLOY 600		HOT FIN RND CENTERLESS GRD ANN	
68077K		3.0000 IN 132-156 IN NOM		QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVE	
DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL SHIPPED
CORROSION MATERIALS INC					
2262 GROOM RD					
BAKER LA 70714					

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ALL REQUIRED SAMPLING INSPECTIONS AND TESTS HAVE BEEN PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. THE TEST REPORT REPRESENTS THE ACTUAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE MATERIAL FURNISHED BY THIS CERTIFICATE. THE MATERIAL IS FULLY COMPLIANT WITH THE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT THE FOLLOWING TESTS WERE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

*****THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEM(S) TESTED AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL.*****

SPECIFICATIONS: ASTM B 166-04\ASME SB-166 2004 EDITION 06 ADDENDAN

UNS:N06600

SAE AMS 5665M CHEM ONLY

BS 3076:1989 NA 14 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES & CHEMISTRY ONLY.

QUALITY SYSTEM CERTIFICATION: ISO 9001:2000 (ABS-QE CERT. 30125)

EN 10 204/DIN 50049 (TYPE 3.1)

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS (WT. %)

HEAT#	C	MN	FE	SI	CU	NI	CR	AL
NX5671XR	0.07	0.21	9.38	0.001	0.16	74.11	15.43	0.266
	0.25	0.046	0.03	<0.01				

TESTED BY HUNTINGTON ALLOYS, 3200 RIVERSIDE DR., HUNTINGTON, WV 25705, ACCREDITED FOR FASTENER QUALITY ACT VIA PRI CERT 113115 (EXPIRES 04/30/2007).

MELT METHOD: EF/AOD

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

HEAT/LOT	QUANTITY	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE	TENSILE	YIELD	R/A	DEG
NX5671XR 111	10 PCS	81.3	0400	0967	44.0	50.7	
ROOM TEMP-HRD	AS SHIPPED						

YIELD STRENGTH WAS DETERMINED USING A STRESS STRAIN CURVE

MILL HEAT TREATMENT: ANNEALED IN A CONTINUOUS FURNACE AT 1625 DEG F 31 MINUTES, AIR COOLED.

NO WELDING OR WELD REPAIR WAS PERFORMED ON THIS MATERIAL.

ASME

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

Yr 2007 Add.

Q.C. WM Date 8/6/08

A17 UB-15-08

TRACER# 054875

36093 MK 6

PENNSYLVANIA MACHINE WORKS, INC.
201 BETHEL AVENUE
ASTON, PENNSYLVANIA 19014
(610) 497-3300 - FAX (610) 497-3325
www.pennusa.com

40.30237
36093 mk7
TEXAS PMW, INC.
315 NORTH WAYSIDE DRIVE
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77020
(713) 679-7900 - FAX (713) 679-7920
www.pennusa.com

MILL TEST REPORT

ROBERT-JAMES-TWINSBURG
P.O. BOX 590
TWINSBURG, OH 44087

P.O. cg4571
ORDER # 01 411275
6/19/08

1/2 6MTD HFCE 316H

QTY: 6

HEAT CODE: E61941
SPECIFICATION: A182
HEAT TREATMENT:
UNS NUMBER: S31609

MATERIAL: F316H

SPEC. YEAR: 04

SPEC. REV:

SOLUTION ANNEALED

	ACTUAL MEASUREMENT	SPECIFICATION	
		MIN %	MAX %
C	.046	.040	.100
MN	1.680		2.000
P	.029		.045
S	.025		.030
SI	.280		1.000
NI	10.560	10.000	14.000
CR	17.450	16.000	18.000
MO	2.040	2.000	3.000
YIELD (PSI)	37500	30000	
TENSILE (PSI)	81500	75000	
ELONGATION (%)	58.000	30.000	
RED. OF AREA (%)	77.000	50.000	
HARDNESS (HB)	137		237
GRAIN	4.50	6.00	

ACTUAL
MEASUREMENT
1900 F

SPECIFICATION
MINIMUM MAXIMUM
1900 F

SOLUTION ANNEALED

MATERIAL I/A/W ASME-SEC.II SA SPECIFICATION-CERTIFICATE I/A/W EN10204 TYPE 3.1

MATERIAL MEETS NACE-MRO175-2003

MATERIAL IS CAPABLE OF PASSING A CORROSION TEST TO ASTM-A262 PRACTICE "E"

MATERIAL MEETS NACE-MRO103-2007

MERCURY FREE MATERIAL - NO WELD REPAIRED MATERIAL - MADE IN THE U.S.A.

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE REPORTED FIGURES ARE CORRECT AS CONTAINED IN THE RECORDS OF THE CORPORATION.

James B. Friant
JAMES B. FRIANT
QUALITY MANAGER

MANUFACTURERS OF Penn FORGED PIPE FITTINGS
QUALITY • SERVICE • PARTNERSHIP

36093 MK 8

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	23988
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316H DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
5	2" 1500# WN RF S80
	PROJECT# 36093-8

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316H	.047	1.45	.031	.001	.54	16.20	10.10	2.13	.043
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD 2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
23988	41,950	82,050	63.7	81.1		No. 5.0

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH Postfach 16 01 20 D-42830 Remscheid
Tel.: 02191-895-278 Fax: 02191-895-499**INSPECTION CERTIFICATE**
ABNAHMEZEUGNIS
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION
EN 10204:1991 + A1:1995 3.1.B(A01)
(A05)

Nr./No/N° (A03)

01156-050613

0080067615

Blatt / page 1 / 2

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH - Postfach 16 01 20 - D-42830 Remscheid (A07)

DMV STAINLESS USA INC.
12050 W. LITTLE YORKCustomer order no. / Kundenbestell Nr.
No de commande client :**0000215622****USA - HOUSTON TX 77041**
USA

(A08) DMV order no / DMV Auftrags-Nr. / No. de commande DMV

215657005

(820 2124 / 005)

Part number:

(A05) Purchaser / Besteller / Client

Grade and Specifications

(B02) (B01) (B04)

SEAMLESS STAINLESS STEEL HOT FINISHED PIPES ** acc.to ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 Edition 2004. **
HEAT TREATED CONDITION acc.to STANDARD and SPECIFICATION ** PICKLED ** PLAIN ENDS, SQUARE CUT,
DEBURRED ** Size tolerances acc. to ASTM A 312-04b ** RANDOM LENGTH 17,000 - 24,000 feet ** Quantity Tolerance
+ 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 **Nahtlose warmgefertigte Edelstahlrohre ** nach ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 ED. 04. ** wärmebehandelt ** gebeizt
** glatt, senkrecht geschnitten, entgratet ** Abmessungstoleranznorm: ASTM A 312-04b ** Herstelllänge 17,000 - 24,000
feet ** Mengentoleranz: + 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 **PIPES ACIER INOX SANS SOUDURE FINIS A CHAUD ** selon ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 ED. 04. ** A L'ETAT
TRAITE SELON NORME ET SPECIFICATION ** DECAPE ** Extrémités LISSES, COUPEES D'EQUERRE, EBAVUREES
** Tolérances dimensionnelles selon ASTM A 312-04b ** LONGUEURS COURANTES 17,000 - 24,000 pieds ** Tolerance
sur quantité + 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 ****Marking of the product / Kennzeichnung / Marquages**

(B05) (B07)

DMV-D - TP 316H - TP 316 - HEAT - 2 X SCH 80S AW -
ASTM A 312 - ASME SA 312 - LOT NO. - SML - GERMANY - WA

(B10)	Pieces Stück Pièces	(B11)	Total weight Gesamtgewicht Poids totale	(B15)	Total length Gesamtlänge Longeur totale	Dimensions Abmessungen Dimensiones
	22	1064 kg	2345 lbs	145,240 m	476,509 ft	ODxWT NPS 2 x SCH 80S AW 60,30 mm * 5,54 mm

(C71 - C92)

(B05)	(C70)		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Cr	Ni	Mo
Cast no. Schmelzen-Nr No.de coulée	Meltingpr. Erschm.Ar Elaboration	min.	0,0400					15,0000	11,0000	2,0000
		max.	0,0800	2,0000	0,0450	0,0300	1,0000	18,0000	14,0000	3,0000
QD042	S E + VOD		0,0480	1,7200	0,0185	0,0070	0,3800	17,1600	11,0900	2,1000

ASMEYr 2007 Add. —Q.C. WM Date 6/17/08

AI 08-15-00

This certificate is issued by a computerized system and is
valid without signature. In case the owner of the original
would release a copy of it he must attest its conformity and
will be responsible for any unlawful or not allowed use. Any
alterations or falsification will be subject to law.Dieses Zeugnis bzw. diese Bescheinigung wurde mit
Hilfe der EDV erstellt und ist, ohne Unterschrift, gültig.
Veränderungen sowie Verwendung für andere
Erzeugnisse werden als Urkundenfälschung und
Betrug strafrechtlich verfolgt.Ce certificat, ou cette attestation est rédigé à l'aide d'un
traitement électronique de données et est applicable sans
signature. Tous changements ou application pour d'autres
produits seront considérés comme falsification de documents
et fraude et seront sujet à la juridiction pénale.**DMV STAINLESS DEUTSCHLAND GmbH ISO 9001 - LRQA Nr. 923284**

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH

Postfach 16 01 20 D-42830 Remscheid
Tel.: 02191-895-278 Fax: 02191-895-499

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE
ABNAHMEZEUGNIS
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION
EN 10204:1991 + A1:1995 3.1.B

(A01)
(A02)

Nr./No/N° (A03)
01156-050613
0080067615
Blatt / page 2 / 2

Tensile test results / Ergebnisse des Zugversuchs / Résultats de traction

(C00) Test no. Proben-Nr. No. de testé	(B04) Cast no. Schmelzen-Nr. No. de coulée	(C05) Test orientation Probenrichtung Orientation de l'éprouvette	(C03) Temp. °C	(C11) Yield strength Streck / Dehngrenze Limite apparente d'élasticité Rp		(C12) Tensile strength Zugfestigkeit Resistance à la traction Rm	(C13) Elongation (%) Dehnung (%) Allongement (%) A	RP/ Rm	Z (%)
				0,2%	1,0%		2"		
				N/mm²	N/mm²	N/mm²			
MINIMUM				205		515	35		
MAXIMUM									
051418-1-0	OD042	L	+ 20	325		666	49,8		

**Other test results and confirmations / Sonstige Prüfergebnisse und Bestätigungen /
Résultats supplémentaires des inspections et des confirmations**

VISUAL AND DIMENSIONAL INSPECTION SATISFACTORY (D01)
MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION TEST OF ALL TUBES BY SPECTROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS: SATISFACTORY
FLATTENING TEST: SATISFACTORY (C50)
GRAIN SIZE 7 ACC. TO ASTM E 112
HYDROSTATIC TEST, 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): SATISFACTORY
HEAT TREATMENT: SOLUTION HEAT TREATED

BESICHTIGUNG UND MASSKONTROLLE: BESTANDEN (D01)
VERWECHSLUNGSPRUEFUNG ALLER ROHRE DURCH SPECTRAL-ANALYSE: BESTANDEN
RINGFALTVERSUCH: BESTANDEN (C50)
KORNGROESSE 7 GEMAESS ASTM E 112
INNENDRUCKVERSUCH MIT WASSER 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): BESTANDEN
WAERMEBEHANDLUNG: LOESUNGSGEGLUET

INSPECTION ET CONTROLE DIMENSIONNEL: SATISFAISANT (D01)
ESSAI ANTI MELANGE SUR TOUS LES TUBES PAR ANALYSE SPECTRALE: SATISFAISANT
ESSAI D'APLATISSEMENT: SATISFAISANT (C50)
DIMENSION DU GREIN 7 CONFORME ASTM E 112
ESSAI HYDRAULIQUE: 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): PASSE
TRAITEMENT THERMIQUE: RECUIT

The products have been tested in accordance with the purchase specification and are found to be satisfied.
Wir bestätigen, das die Erzeugnisse bestellungsgemäß geprüft und für in Ordnung befunden wurden.
Nous attestons que les produits livrés sont conformes aux stipulations de la commande.

Inspection representative / Der Werkssachverständige / L'expert d'usine

(A05) DMV Stainless Deutschland GmbH, Abnahme
42830 Remscheid, Postfach 160120
(Z01) Gezeichnet, Brozulat, der Werkssachverständige
Datum / Date Montag, 13. Juni 2005
Telefon: 02191) 895-278
Telefax: 02191) 895-210
e-Mail: dbrozulat@dmv-stainless.com

ASME**Yr 2007****Add.****Q.C. WM****Date 6/17/08****AL 2081500**

Confirmation with reference to Pressure Equipment Directive 97/23/EC:

The works operates a quality management system that has undergone a specific assessment for materials for pressure equipment and is certified by a competent body (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025)

Bestätigung in Bezug auf Druckgeräterichtlinie 97/23/EG:

Das Werk wendet ein Qualitätsmanagementsystem an, das in Bezug auf Werkstoffe für Druckgeräte einer spezifischen Bewertung unterzogen wurde und von einer zuständigen Stelle (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025) zertifiziert ist.

Confirmation concernant la Directive Equipements sous Pression 97/23/EC:

L'usine applique un système de management de la qualité qui a fait l'objet d'une évaluation spécifique pour les matériaux pour équipements sous pression etc qui est certifié par un organisme compétent (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025)

This Certificate is issued by a computerized system and is valid without signature. In case the owner of the original would release a copy of this report attest its conformity and will be responsible for any unauthorised or not allowed use. Any alterations or falsification will be subject to law.

Dieses Zeugnis bzw. diese Bescheinigung wurde mit Hilfe der EDV erstellt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. Veranlassungen sowie Verwendung für andere Zwecke. Erzeugnisse werden als Urkundenfälschung und Betrug strafrechtlich verfolgt.

Ce certificat, ou cette attestation est rédigé à l'aide d'un traitement informatique de données et est applicable sans signature. Tous changements ou application pour d'autres produits, seront considérés comme falsification de documents et fraude et seront sujet à la juridiction pénale.

DMV STAINLESS DEUTSCHLAND GmbH ISO 9001 - LRQA Nr. 923284

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012240

SOLD TO:

ROBERT JAMES SALES, INC.
P.O. BOX 590
TWINSBURG, OH 44087

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: CG4572

TAG NUMBER: CG4572, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	1	2 LR 90 S/80 WP316HS A/SA403	PIPE, A312-06, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MPMK	0.06	1.14	0.037	0.011	0.49	12.36	16.80	2.12						0.096

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	80400	41800	49.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE: MPMK-ACTUAL:DUPLEX ASTM NO. 6 & ASTM NO. 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-06; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

06/27/2008

36093 MK 12

DMV STAINLESS Italia s.r.l

Via Piò, 30 24062 Costa Volpino (BG)
Tel : 035.975.611 - Fax : 035.971624
e-mail: dm vitality@dmv-stainless.com

No

04.03625

Page 1/2

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

3.1.B - EN 10204 : 1991+A1 : 1995

Purchaser	DMV STAINLESS USA INC. .
Address	12050 W. LITTLE YORK HOUSTON .
Customers Order	0000211080 date 09/03/2004 .
DMV Ref. Order / Item	0000211107/000009 .
Part number	N.A.

Product

Seamless Stainless Steel Hot Finished Pipes Solution Annealed Pickled Plain Ends Square Cut Deburr

Specification	ASME SA-312 ED. 2001 ADD. 2002 ASTM A 312-01a
Grade	TP316 TP316H
Tolerances	ASTM A 312-01A AW
Marking	{LOGO_DMV} ASTM/ASME A/SA-312 TP316/TP316H SEAMLESS HEAT {HEAT} NPS 1 SCH 80S 33,4 x 4,55 - ITALY - DMV-I BUNDLE {BUNDLE}

Heat	No	Weight	Total length	OD	W. Th.	Length
54446	12	493,83 lbs	223' 1" ft.	NPS 1	SCH 80S	17' /24' ft.
Tot.	12	493,83 lbs	223' 1" ft.			

Heat 54446 Melting Process

Electric + AOD

Chemical analysis (%)

	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	Mo	Ni
Min	0.040					16.000	2.000	11.000
Max	0.080	1.0000	2.00	0.045	0.0300	18.000	3.000	14.000
Heat	0.055	0.4000	1.90	0.022	0.0010	16.700	2.050	11.200

Mechanical and Metallurgical Properties

Tensile test (at 20 °C)

	Direct.	Y.S. 0,2%	U.T.S.	El 50 mm
	Long/Trans	ksi	ksi	%
Min		30,00	75,00	35.00
No 175148	L	48.62	93.99	48.00

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —Q.C. WM Date 6/7/08

AL 208-15-06

FLATTENING TEST

Required ASTM A 999

Result OK

CORROSION TEST

Required ASTM A 262 PR E

Result OK

MICROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

No 175148 Grain size required max 7 , result 6,5. According to ASTM E 112

No 176020 Grain size required max 7 , result 6,5. According to ASTM E 112

Other tests and declarations

Heat treatment	1958°F	
Antimixing checked by PMI		OK
Visual and dimensional examination		OK
Eddy current testing	ASTM A 999	OK

DMV STAINLESS Italia s.r.l

Via Piò, 30 24062 Costa Volpino (BG)
Tel : 035.975.611 - Fax :035.971624
e-mail: dmvitaly@dmv-stainless.com

No

04.03625

Page 2/2

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

3.1.B - EN 10204 : 1991+A1 : 1995

No weld repair

Tubes are free from mercury contamination

We certify that the delivered products comply with the request of the order

Corrosion test acc.to MIL-P-24691-3: satisfactory

Date 09-Sep-2004

Mill inspector F. RAZZITTI

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —

Q.C. WM Date 6/17/08

AI 08-15-08

Confirmation with reference to Pressure Equipment Directive 97/23/EC:

The works operates a quality management system that has undergone a specific assessment for materials for pressure equipment and is certified by a competent body (TUV-CERT.No: 70/2002/MUC)

This certificate is issued by a computerized system and is valid without signature. In case the owner of the original certificate would release a copy of he must attest its conformity to the original one, taking upon himself the responsibility for any unlawful or not allowed use. Any alteration and/or falsification will be subject to the law

36093 MK 13

Swagelok Company
29600 Solon Rd
Solon, OH 44139 U.S.A
440.349.6600
440.519.4997 fax

Certificate of Compliance/Typical Material Certification (EN 10204-2.2)

Distributor	Customer	Customer PO#
Abbott Valve & Fitting Co. 8090 Cochran Road Solon, OH 44139	Gaspar 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, OH. 44710	30188

No. Part Number	Qty
1 SS-16-MPW-A-8T8W	1

Swagelok products referenced above are manufactured from material purchased and certified as being in accordance with the specification(s) listed below.

The material stipulations included in this certification do not include such components as snap rings, springs, balls, o-rings, gaskets, jam nuts, space collars, seals, locking dogs, lanyards, or sleeves.

Stainless steel material has passed the Intergranular Corrosion Test requirements of EN ISO 3651-2, Method A, and/or ASTM A-262 Practice A or E.

All parts were cleaned and packaged in accordance with Swagelok Specifications.

Typical mechanical and/or chemical analysis of the material used in the manufacture of the Swagelok products involved are listed below. These values are average values determined from a sample of certified material test reports. Actual values for a material heat may vary from those indicated.

MATERIAL STANDARDS

Components	Material	Standards
Shaped Fittings	F316 Stainless Steel Forgings	ASTM A182, ASME SA182
Straight Fittings	316 Stainless Steel Bar	ASTM A276, ASTM A479, ASME SA479

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

Components / Materials	Yield Strength (ksi/MPa)	Tensile Strength (ksi/MPa)	Elongation (%)	% Red. Area	Hardness
Shaped Fittings	48/331	90/621	61	76	
Straight Fittings	93/641	108/745	36	73	HRC 22

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Components / Materials	C	Cr	Mn	Mo	N	Ni	P	S	Si	Co
Shaped Fittings	0.043	17.16	1.57	2.09	0.042	12.23	0.028	0.025	0.60	
Straight Fittings	0.040	17.51	1.71	2.27	0.037	12.19	0.029	0.025	0.60	0.23

The Swagelok product specified above were manufactured in accordance with Swagelok Company's Quality Assurance Manual (latest revision, revision H, dated December 10, 2007). Swagelok Company's Quality System is approved to ISO 9001 (BSI Certificate # FM01729).

Certifications Supervisor
Jonathan Seewald

36093 MK 14

%%[TO:1-330-425-9395, GASPAR, INC, DAVE C]

Robert James SalesTwinsburg, OH 44087
330-425-9116**Test Report**Date : 09/03/08
CG6154
509542-CCPTo: GASPAR, INC
1545 WHIPPLE AVE SW
CANTON, OH 44710

ATTN: BOB | 830879

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION
1/2 X 065 (16 GA) ST 316

Heat # :	J0210-154	Misc Note 1 :	
Spec :	ASTM A213/269	Misc Note 2 :	
Finish :	A&P CF		
C :	0.042	Eddy Hydro :	OK
Si :	0.430	Yield (psi) :	37000
Mn :	0.740	Tensile (psi) :	89000
P :	0.035	Elong (%/2") :	50.000
S :	0.010	Hardness RB :	88
Ni :	11.150	Flattening :	OK
Cr :	16.350	Flaring :	OK
Mo :	2.150	Rev Flt/Bend :	
Ti :		Flange :	OK
Cb+Ta :		Int. Cor/Embr :	
Fe :		Passiv. :	
Cu :		Grain Size :	
Al :		Misc Note :	A/SA213-99A, A269-01
N :			
Co :			

Certified - The above information is an extract of data furnished to us by the producing mill.

Karen Lutz

Authorized Signature

ASME

%%[SEND]

Yr 2007 Add. 2008a
Q.C. WM Date 9/8/08

36093 MK 16

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	8688074
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316 DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
1	2" 1500# BL RF
	PROJECT# 36093-16

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316	.047	1.70	.026	.02	.43	16.86	10.21	2.10	.049
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD .2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
8688074	40,700	81,360	57.8	55.7		

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 081808-3 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 8/18/08 Page 1 of 2

CUSTOMER CASPAR LOCATION CANTON, OHIO

PO# 10978 JOB# 36093A MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL

WELDING PROCESS: SEE DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER .218", .337", .500 SCREENS F/B: 005"-010"

FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK M FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 x 17 1/2 SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐

OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE > "T" 1 1/2" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 4 1/2", 8 1/2", 16" TIME: 3 MIN / 2 MIN / 40 SEC ^{15 SEC} Ug 0.020

SOURCE .115 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 72 KV — MA —

RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SEC V ART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SEC VIII DIV 5

IQI SIZE: 18/12/15 SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☒ IQI TYPE: ASTME 747 SHIM THK: 1/16" 1/2" TECH. USED B/A

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION	FILM INTERVAL NUMBERS	ACCEPT(V)	REJECT(X)	SLAG/TUNGSTEN	POROSITY	LACK/PENE	NONFUSION	CRACK	SURFACE	OTHER	REMARKS AND/OR DEFECT LOCATIONS
36093A											NOTE: N.A.D. = No Apparent Defects
36093A-1	0°	✓									N.A.D.
	90°	✓									N.A.D.
36093A-2	0 - 1	✓									N.A.D.
	1 - 2	✓									N.A.D.
	2 - 0	✓									N.A.D.
36093A-3	0 - 1	✓									N.A.D.
	1 - 2	✓									N.A.D.
	2 - 0	✓									N.A.D.
36093A-4	0 - 1	✓									N.A.D.
	1 - 2	✓									N.A.D.
	2 - 0	✓									N.A.D.

REVIEWER: [Signature] RADIOGRAPHER: S.L. CLIENT REVIEWER: Wesley Morgan

SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II

"A" = Pipe Diameter ≤ 3 1/2" "B" = Pipe O.D. ≥ 3 1/2" to Unlimited "C" = Diameter limited by

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 081808-4		RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT		DATE:	Page 2 of 2
CUSTOMER	GASPAR		LOCATION	CANTON, OHIO	
PO#	10978	JOB#	36093A	MATERIAL TYPE:	STAINLESS STEEL

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER:	RADIOGRAPHER: S.L.	CLIENT REVIEWER
SNT-TC1A LEVEL:	SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II	Wesley Morgan

CUSTOMER GASPAR LOCATION 1545 WHIPPLE AVE
PO# 10978 JOB# 36093A MATERIAL TYPE: INCO / STAINLESS STEEL
WELDING PROCESS: SEE DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER .276" / .320" SCREENS F/B: 005" - 010"
FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK M FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 X 10" SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐
OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE > "T" 3/4" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 18" TIME: 3 MIN Ug 0.020
SOURCE .115 X - IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 69 KV - MA -
RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SEC II ART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SEC VIII DIV 1
IQI SIZE: 15 SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☐ IQI TYPE: ASTM E 1025 SHIM THK: 1/2" Bk TECH. USED B

[illegible]

GASPAR, INC.
LIQUID PENETRANT INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: September 11, 2008

JOB #: 36093A

PT: PROCEDURE: PT-1 REV.7 PENETRANT TYPE : ☒ Direct Visual

ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ☒ ASME Sect. VIII Div.I ☐ ASME B31.1 ☐ Other -

MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION

CLEANER: SKC-S

PENETRANT: SKL-SP

DEVELOPER: SKD-S

LIGHTING EQUIPMENT: Portable AC Halogen single light of 100 watts.

COMPONENT DESCRIPTION / PC. #: LOWER CHAR POT

DRAWING #: 36093 REV.2

THICKNESS / DIMENSIONS: SEE DWG.

WELD ID OR PART NUMBER	TEMP.	SURF. COND.	ACCEPT/ REJECT	SIZE AND TYPE OF INDICATION	REMARKS
ALL PRESSURE WELDS	70°F	AS WELDED	ACCEPT	NONE	

ADDITIONAL REMARKS, SKETCHES, ETC.

PERFORMED BY: JIM FREDERICK/LEVEL II

CALCULATION PACKAGE

FABRICATOR

GASPAR INC.

1545 WHIPPLE AVE SW

CANTON OH, 44710

PHONE 330-477-2222

PURCHASER **ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.**

LOCATION **PHEONIX, AZ**

P.O. **700521452**

DATE **Apr 28, 2008**

VESSEL **CHAR POTS (UPPER & LOWER)**

ITEM NUMBER **PRTY# 2**

GASPAR SERIAL# **36093**

AUG 13, 2008: CHANGED LOWER CHAR POT PRESSURE TEST FROM HYDRO TO PNEUMATIC.

AUG 06, 2008: 2 1/2" PIPE 316H MATERIAL CHANGED TO 3" OD INCONEL 600 MATERIAL.

Aug 14, 2008	SEE NOTE	<i>Matthew L Miller</i>
Aug 6, 2008	SEE NOTE (MLM)	
Jul 31, 2008	CALCULATIONS PER ASME VIII-1 (MLM)	
May 8, 2008	FOR APPROVAL (MLM)	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

Table of Contents

1. COMPONENTS INCLUDED IN PRESSURE BOUNDARY
2. UPPER CHAR POT
3. LOWER CHAR POT

Table Of Contents

1. Pressure Summary
2. Hydrostatic Test
3. Weight Summary
4. 2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)
5. 3 OD" PIPE
6. 4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END
7. 4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
8. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
9. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END
10. 8" PIPE
11. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END
12. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
13. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
14. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END
15. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#
16. 2" BRANCH (B1)
17. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)
18. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)
19. Wind Code
20. Seismic Code

Pressure Summary

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MAWP (psi)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
<u>3 OD" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,250	-325	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,397.87	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 2	No
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 3, 4	No
<u>2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,169.51	-320	Note 2	No
<u>2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) - Flange Hub</u>	1,150	1,000	2,075.87	-320	Note 5	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 3, 4	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 6	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 6	No
<u>2" BRANCH (B1)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,250	-320	Note 2	No

Chamber design MDMT is -20 °F
Chamber rated MDMT is -55 °F @ 1,169.51 psi
Chamber MAWP was used in the MDMT determination

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

Notes for MDMT Rating:

Note #	Exemption	Details
1.	Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F	
2.	Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F	

3.	Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b)	
4.	Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F
5.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.33389)	
6.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.0909)	

Hydrostatic Test

Shop test pressure determination based on design P per UG-99(b)

Shop hydrostatic test gauge pressure is 1,495 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,150 psi)

The shop test is performed with the vessel in the horizontal position.

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-99 stress ratio	UG-99 pressure factor
3 OD" PIPE	1,495.232	0.232	3.2714	1.30
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	1,495.233	0.233	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,495.329	0.329	1.3072	1.30
8" PIPE	1,495.327	0.327	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,495.329	0.329	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	1,495.225	0.226	1.3072	1.30
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	1,495.227	0.227	N/A	1.30
2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) (1)	1,495.231	0.231	1	1.30
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)	1,495.034	0.034	1.7699	1.30
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)	1,495.379	0.379	1.7699	1.30
2" BRANCH (B1)	1,495.88	0.88	1.3072	1.30

Notes:

(1) 2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) limits the UG-99 stress ratio.

(2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded *	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
3 OD" PIPE	4.4	4.1	0	0	0	0	3.5
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	1.2	1	0	0	0	0	0.3
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
8" PIPE	140.1	132.2	0	0	0	0	62.7
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	32	32	0	0	0	0	0
Support Skirt #1	3.8	3.8	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	213.2	202.3	0	0	0	0	81.2

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
3 OD" PIPE	35.9	35.6	7.9	6.9	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" PIPE	0	0	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Support Skirt #1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	60.9	60.6	8.6	7.6	0	0	0	0	0

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 270 lb
Vessel operating weight, New: 283 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 270 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 283 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 364 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 283 lb
Center of Gravity: 36.2851"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 9 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 10 US gal

**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)

ASME VIII-1, 2007 Edition, Appendix 2 Flange Calculations

Flange is attached to:	3 OD" PIPE (Top)	
Flange type:	Weld neck integral	
Flange material specification:	SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)	
Bolt material specification:	SA-193 B8 2 Bolt ($3/4 < t \leq 1$) (II-D p. 356, ln. 27)	
Bolt Description:	1 in Coarse Thread	
Internal design pressure, P:	1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F	
Required flange thickness: t_r =	1.5402 in	
Maximum allowable working pressure, MAWP:	1,169.51 psi @ 1,000 °F	
Corrosion allowance:	Bore = 0.03 in	Flange = 0 in
Bolt corrosion (root), C_{bolt} :	0 in	
Design MDMT:	-20 °F	No impact test performed
Rated MDMT:	-320 °F	Flange material is not normalized Material is not produced to fine grain practice PWHT is not performed
Estimated weight:	New = 35.9 lb	corroded = 35.6 lb

Flange dimensions, new

flange OD A = 9.625 in
 bolt circle C = 7.5 in
 gasket OD = 5.988 in
 gasket ID = 5.088 in
 flange ID B = 2.323 in
 thickness t = 1.55 in
 bolting = 8- 1 in dia
 hub thickness g_1 = 1.3028 in
 hub thickness g_0 = 0.276 in
 hub length h = 1.876 in
 length e = 4.0404 in
 gasket factor m = 3
 seating stress y = 10,000.00 psi

Note: this flange is calculated as an integral type.

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Wind

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

Gasket width N = 0.45 in

$$b_0 = N/2 = 0.225 \text{ in}$$

Effective gasket seating width, $b = b_0 = 0.225 \text{ in}$

$$G = (\text{OD of contact face} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = 5.538 \text{ in}$$

$$h_G = (C - G)/2 = (7.5 - 5.538)/2 = 0.981 \text{ in}$$

$$h_D = R + g_1/2 = 1.2857 + 1.2728/2 = 1.9221 \text{ in}$$

$$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G)/2 = (1.2857 + 1.2728 + 0.981)/2 = 1.7698 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &= 2 \cdot b \cdot 3.14 \cdot G \cdot m \cdot P \\ &= 2 \cdot 0.225 \cdot 3.14 \cdot 5.538 \cdot 3 \cdot 1,150 \\ &= 26,996.92 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 0.785 \cdot G^2 \cdot P \\ &= 0.785 \cdot 5.538^2 \cdot 1,150 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 27,686.84 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_D &= 0.785 \cdot B^2 \cdot P \\ &= 0.785 \cdot 2.383^2 \cdot 1,150 \\ &= 5,126.44 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_T &= H - H_D \\ &= 27,686.84 - 5,126.44 \\ &= 22,560.41 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m1} &= H + H_p \\ &= 27,686.84 + 26,996.92 \\ &= 54,683.77 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m2} &= 3.14 \cdot b \cdot G \cdot y \\ &= 3.14 \cdot 0.225 \cdot 5.538 \cdot 10,000 \\ &= 39,125.98 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Required bolt area, A_m = greater of A_{m1} , $A_{m2} = 2.734188 \text{ in}^2$

$$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 54,683.77 / 20,000 = 2.7342 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 39,125.98 / 20,000 = 1.9563 \text{ in}^2$$

Total area for 8- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, $A_b = 4.408 \text{ in}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (A_m + A_b) \cdot S_a / 2 \\ &= (2.7342 + 4.408) \cdot 20,000 / 2 \\ &= 71,421.88 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$M_D = H_D \cdot h_D = 5,126.44 \cdot 1.9221 = 9,853.5 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_T = H_T \cdot h_T = 22,560.41 \cdot 1.7698 = 39,926.3 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$H_G = W_{m1} - H = 54,683.77 - 27,686.84 = 26,996.92 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G \cdot h_G = 26,996.92 \cdot 0.981 = 26,484 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 9,853.5 + 39,926.3 + 26,484 = 76,263.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W \cdot h_G = 71,421.88 \cdot 0.981 = 70,064.9 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B \cdot g_0)^{1/2} = (2.383 \cdot 0.246)^{1/2} = 0.7656 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 9.625/2.383 = 4.039$

$$T = 1.003 \quad Z = 1.1306 \quad Y = 1.4349 \quad U = 1.5768$$

$$h/h_0 = 2.4502 \quad g_1/g_0 = 5.174$$

$$F = 0.4731 \quad V = 0.0152 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.6179$$

$$d = (U/V) \cdot h_0 \cdot g_0^2 = (1.576849/0.0152) \cdot 0.7656 \cdot 0.246^2 \\ = 4.8108 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t \cdot e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (1.55 \cdot 0.6179 + 1)/1.003027 + 1.55^3/4.8108 \\ = 2.725902$$

$$S_H = f \cdot M_o / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 76,263.8 / (2.725902 \cdot 1.2728^2 \cdot 2.383) \\ = 7,247 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_o / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B) \\ = (1.33 \cdot 1.55 \cdot 0.6179 + 1) \cdot 76,263.8 / (2.725902 \cdot 1.55^2 \cdot 2.383) \\ = 11,112 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_o / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R \\ = 1.4349 \cdot 76,263.8 / (1.55^2 \cdot 2.383) - 1.1306 \cdot 11,112 \\ = 6,552 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{fo} = 11,300 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{no} = 7,000 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$S_H \text{ does not exceed } \text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fo}, 2.5 \cdot S_{no}] = 16,950 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 9,179 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 6,899 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f \cdot M_g / (L \cdot g_1^2 \cdot B) \\ = 1 \cdot 70,064.9 / (2.725902 \cdot 1.2728^2 \cdot 2.383)$$

$$= 6,658 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_g / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B)$$

$$= (1.33 \cdot 1.55 \cdot 0.6179 + 1) \cdot 70,064.9 / (2.725902 \cdot 1.55^2 \cdot 2.383)$$

$$= 10,208 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_g / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R$$

$$= 1.4349 \cdot 70,064.9 / (1.55^2 \cdot 2.383) - 1.1306 \cdot 10,208$$

$$= 6,019 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 22,900 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fa}, 2.5 \cdot S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 8,433 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 6,339 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 \cdot V \cdot M_o / (L \cdot E \cdot g_o^2 \cdot K_I \cdot h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 \cdot 0.0152 \cdot 76,263.8 / (2.7259 \cdot 22,800,000 \cdot 0.246^2 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 0.7656)$$

$$= 0.06990274$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) - Flange hub

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Flange hub
 Material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.33389)

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$

Static liquid head:

Not Considered

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = $-20 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$ No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = $-320 \text{ }^\circ\text{F}$ Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT

Top circumferential joint - N/A
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 1.1 lb corr = 1 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.04 US gal

OD = 2.875"
Length L_c = 1.876"
t = 0.276"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$t = \frac{P \cdot R_o}{(S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion}}$$

$$= \frac{1,150 \cdot 1.4375}{(11,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03}$$

$$= 0.1706"$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$P = \frac{S \cdot E \cdot t}{(R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s}$$

$$= \frac{11,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.246}{(1.4375 - 0.40 \cdot 0.246) - 0}$$

$$= 2,075.87 \text{ psi}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$EFE = (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o)$$

$$= (50 \cdot 0.276 / 1.2995) \cdot (1 - 1.2995 / \infty)$$

$$= 10.6195 \%$$

Design thickness = 0.1706"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.276" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S_t	S_c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	11,300	10,369	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0497	0.0496
						Seismic	0.05	0.0494
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	11,300	10,454	1,000	0	Wind	0.0485	0.0484
						Seismic	0.0488	0.0481
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,651	0	0.03	Wind	0	0
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0002
						Wind	0	0

Empty, New	0	20,000	14,737	0	0	Seismic	0.0002	0.0002
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	11,300	10,369	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0

3 OD" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SB-166 Annealed
N06600 (II-D p. 222, ln. 3)
Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.43''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 4.8 lb corr = 4.4 lb
Capacity New = 0.11 US gal corr = 0.12 US gal

OD = 3"
Length $L_c = 6''$
 $t = 0.32''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.5 / (7,000 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.2613'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 7,000 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.25 / (1.5 - 0.40 \cdot 0.25) - 0 \\ &= 1,250 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.2613"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.32" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	7,000	7,000	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.083	0.0769
						Seismic	0.0846	0.0752
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	7,000	7,000	1,000	0	Wind	0.0814	0.0744
						Seismic	0.0831	0.0727
Empty, Corroded	0	22,900	13,800	0	0.03	Wind	0.0007	0.0019
						Seismic	0.0012	0.0027
Empty, New	0	22,900	13,800	0	0	Wind	0.0009	0.0021
						Seismic	0.0014	0.003
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	7,000	7,000	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0025	0.0041

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.4455''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 1.2 lb corr = 1 lb
Capacity New = 0.04 US gal corr = 0.04 US gal

OD = 2.875"

Length $L_c = 2''$

t = 0.242"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.4375 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1349'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.212 / (1.4375 - 0.40 \cdot 0.212) - 0 \\ &= 2,397.87 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.242 / 1.3165) \cdot (1 - 1.3165 / \infty) \\ &= 9.1910 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1349"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.242" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,261	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0391	0.0361
						Seismic	0.04	0.0351
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,357	1,000	0	Wind	0.0384	0.0349
						Seismic	0.0394	0.0339
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,528	0	0.03	Wind	0.0009	0.0019
						Seismic	0.0016	0.003
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,638	0	0	Wind	0.0011	0.0021
						Seismic	0.0018	0.0032
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,261	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0012	0.0029

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 7.205", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential Full UW-11(a) Type 1
joint -

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 2"$
 $t = 0.295"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0618	0.0606
						Seismic	0.0623	0.0601
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.061	0.0596
						Seismic	0.0614	0.059
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0009
						Seismic	0.0007	0.0014
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.001
						Seismic	0.0007	0.0015
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0013

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205'$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
 Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length L_c = 3"
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0619	0.0605
						Seismic	0.0624	0.0599
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.061	0.0595
						Seismic	0.0616	0.0589
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.001
						Seismic	0.0008	0.0016
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.001
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0017
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0013

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential Full UW-11(a) Type 1
joint -

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 3''$
 $t = 0.4375''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1209	0.1205
						Seismic	0.121	0.1203
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1199	0.1195
						Seismic	0.1201	0.1193
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0004
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0006
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0004
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0006
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0.0005

8" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p.
78, ln. 1)
Pipe NPS and Schedule: 8" Sch 80S (XS)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.0625$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 140.6 lb corr = 132.7 lb
Capacity New = 7.51 US gal corr = 7.63 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 38$ "
 $t = 0.5$ "

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.5" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1195	0.1173
						Seismic	0.1199	0.1169
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1186	0.1164
						Seismic	0.119	0.1158
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0005	0.0016
						Seismic	0.0008	0.0021
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0005	0.0017
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0022
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.001

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
 Length $L_c = 3$ "
 t = 0.4375"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1216	0.1191
						Seismic	0.1219	0.1187
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1206	0.1182
						Seismic	0.121	0.1177
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0006	0.0018
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0022
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0006	0.0018
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0024
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.001

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 3$ "
t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0655	0.0555
						Seismic	0.0668	0.0541
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0647	0.0544
						Seismic	0.0663	0.0527
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0032	0.0062
						Seismic	0.0042	0.0078
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0032	0.0064
						Seismic	0.0044	0.0082
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0006	0.0025

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 2$ "
t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0659	0.0552
						Seismic	0.0671	0.0538
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.065	0.0541
						Seismic	0.0665	0.0524
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0034	0.0066
						Seismic	0.0044	0.0081
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0035	0.0068
						Seismic	0.0046	0.0086
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0006	0.0025

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.2465$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"
Length $L_c = 2$ "
t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0532	0.0066
						Seismic	0.0571	0.0023
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.053	0.0047
						Seismic	0.0582	0.0016
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0164	0.0266
						Seismic	0.0194	0.0312
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.017	0.0274
						Seismic	0.021	0.0332
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0.0067

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

2" BRANCH (B1)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.25 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 3 OD" PIPE
Liquid static head included: 0 psi
Nozzle material specification: SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p. 78, ln. 1)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
Nozzle description: 2" Sch 80S (XS)
Nozzle orientation: 190°
Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.28 in
Nozzle center/shell outer surface intersection to datum: 69.25 in
End of nozzle to shell center: 19.25 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new: 1.939 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.218 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 17.7139 in
Nozzle is tilted: -5° from radial

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

Appendix 1-10 Maximum Local Primary Membrane Stress For P = 1250 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
P _L (psi)	S _{allow} (psi)	A ₁ (in ²)	A ₂ (in ²)	A ₃ (in ²)	A ₅ (in ²)	A _{welds} (in ²)	t _{req} (in)	t _{min} (in)
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1648	0.1908

Division 2 Part 4.5 Strength of Nozzle Attachment Welds Summary Average Shear Stress in Weld								
	L _t	L _{41T}	L _{42T}	L _{43T}	f _{welds}	τ	S	Over

k_y	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(lb _f)	(psi)	(psi)	stressed
1	1.8683	0.1768	0	0	1,517.52	4,073	7,000	No

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1316	0.175	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The thickness requirements of UG-45 govern the MAP of this nozzle.

Appendix 1-10 Maximum Local Primary Membrane Stress For P = 3519.48 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
P _L (psi)	S _{allow} (psi)	A ₁ (in ²)	A ₂ (in ²)	A ₃ (in ²)	A ₅ (in ²)	A _{welds} (in ²)	t _{req} (in)	t _{min} (in)
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1907	0.1908

Division 2 Part 4.5 Strength of Nozzle Attachment Welds Summary Average Shear Stress in Weld								
k_y	L _τ (in)	L _{41T} (in)	L _{42T} (in)	L _{43T} (in)	f _{welds} (lb _f)	τ (psi)	S (psi)	Over stressed
1	1.8682	0.1768	0	0	4,041.71	9,950	22,900	No

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1526	0.175	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 0°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 48.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr}: 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
Liquid static head included: 0 psi
Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation: 180°
Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 48.75 in
End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Building Code:	ASCE 7-05
Elevation of base above grade:	0.0000 ft
Increase effective outer diameter by:	0.0000 ft
Wind Force Coefficient Cf:	0.8400
Basic Wind Speed:, V:	85.0000 mph
Importance Factor:, I:	1.1500
Exposure category:	C
Wind Directionality Factor, Kd:	0.9500
Topographic Factor, Kzt:	1.0000
Enforce min. loading of 10 psf:	No

Vessel height, h :	6.3584 ft
Vessel Minimum Diameter, b	
Operating, Corroded:	0.1979 ft
Empty, Corroded:	0.1979 ft
Fundamental Frequency, n_1	
Operating, Corroded:	7.7056 Hz
Empty, Corroded:	8.5724 Hz
Vacuum, Corroded:	7.7056 Hz
Damping coefficient, β	
Operating, Corroded:	0.0179
Empty, Corroded:	0.0200
Vacuum, Corroded:	0.0179

D = Dead load
 H = Pressure load
 W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	0.25	26.5	0.0001106	0	3	12	0.045
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	0.24	22.8	7.63e-005	0	3	13	0.0383
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	4	13	0.037
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	5	15	0.0357
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	8	16	0.0337
8" PIPE	18.26	0.72	22.8	0.004843	0	38	88	0.0318
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	40	98	0.0071
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	41	108	0.0051
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	42	115	0.0032
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	43	137	0.002

Wind Deflection Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	0.25	31.0	0.0001106	0	3	12	0.0364
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	0.24	28.3	7.63e-005	0	3	13	0.031

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	4	13	0.0299
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	5	15	0.0288
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	8	16	0.0272
8" PIPE	18.26	0.72	28.3	0.004843	0	38	88	0.0257
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	40	98	0.0057
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	41	108	0.0041
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	42	115	0.0026
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	0.20	28.3	3.326e- 005	0	43	137	0.0016

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G_f) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/\alpha} \\
&= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * \underline{V}^2 * \underline{I} \text{ psf} \\
&= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 85.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
&= 20.2069 * K_z \\
WP &= q_z * \underline{G_f} * \underline{C_f} \\
&= q_z * \underline{G_f} * 0.8400
\end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K_z	q_z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)

15.0	0.8489	17.15	13.16	13.16	N.A.	N.A.
------	--------	-------	-------	-------	------	------

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 6.3584 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 6.3584) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9781 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9781) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9135
 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 6.3584 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 6.3584) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9781 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9781) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0.9135$$

Table Lookup Values

$$\alpha = 9.5000, Zg = 900.0000 \text{ ' [Table 6-2, page 78]}$$

$$c = 0.2000, l = 500.0000, ep = 0.2000 \text{ [Table 6-2, page 78]}$$

$$a^- = 0.1538, b^- = 0.6500 \text{ [Table 6-2, page 78]}$$

$$g_Q = 3.40 \text{ [6.5.8.1 page 26]}$$

$$g_v = 3.40 \text{ [6.5.8.1 page 26]}$$

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	I = 1.5000
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 10.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4-2	R = 3.0000
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	= 0.3333
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	= 0.2000

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load = ρQ_E

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 6.3584 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 270 lb

Empty, Corroded: 270 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.130 sec (f = 7.7 Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.117 sec (f = 8.6 Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \pi * \text{Sqr}(\{\text{Sum}(W_i * y_i^2)\} / \{g * \text{Sum}(W_i * y_i)\}), \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and
 y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	26.5	0.0001	13	19
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	22.8	0.0001	13	21
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	22.8	0.0004	14	23
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	22.8	0.0004	14	27
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	22.8	0.0043	16	30
8" PIPE	18.26	22.8	0.0048	35	118
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	22.8	0.0043	36	126
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	22.8	0.0004	36	135
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	22.8	0.0004	36	141
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	22.8	0.0000	37	160
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500# (top)	4	20.4	0.0124	37	160
Support Skirt #1	0	29.3	0.001519	37	173

Seismic Shear Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	31.0	0.0001	13	19
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	28.3	0.0001	13	21
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	28.3	0.0004	14	23
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	28.3	0.0004	14	27
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	28.3	0.0043	16	30

8" PIPE	18.26	28.3	0.0048	35	118
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	28.3	0.0043	36	126
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	28.3	0.0004	36	135
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	28.3	0.0004	36	141
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	28.3	0.0000	37	160
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500# (top)	4	29.4	0.0124	37	160
Support Skirt #1	0	29.4	0.001519	37	173

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = E_a * S_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = E_v * S_l = 2.4000 * 10.00 / 100 = 0.2400$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{DI}

$$S_{DI} = 2 / 3 * S_{MI} = 2 / 3 * 0.2400 = 0.1600$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side:} = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is:				
greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	37	270.5	0.046	0.2
Operating, New	39	282.6	0.046	0.2
Empty, Corroded	37	270.5	0.046	0.2
Empty, New	39	282.6	0.046	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.130$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.130) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \frac{S_{DS}}{(R/I)} = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_s \text{ Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{ Max} = \frac{S_{DI}}{(T * (R/I))} = 0.1600 / (0.1281 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.6244$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * W$$

$$= 0.1973 * 270.4562$$

$$= 53.37 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 53.37$$

$$= 37.36 \text{ lb}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.117$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.117) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{s\text{Min}} = 0.01$$

$$C_{s\text{Max}} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.1167 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.6858$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * \underline{W}$$

$$= 0.1973 * 270.4562$$

$$= 53.37 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 53.37$$

$$= 37.36 \text{ lb}$$

**CALCULATIONS PER ASME B31.3
2006 EDITION**

**NOTE: THESE CALCULATIONS INCLUDE
UPPER CHAR POT ONLY**

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2)
AND SECTION 304.1.2 EQUATION #3A
 $t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$

FOR 2" STRAIGHT PIPE		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.218	MIN WALL 0.19075 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	2.375	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-312-TP316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
$t_m =$	0.12107	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m , THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2)
AND SECTION 304.1.2 EQUATION #3A
 $t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$

FOR 1" STRAIGHT PIPE		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.179	MIN WALL 0.15663 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	1.315	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-312-TP316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
$t_m =$	0.08043	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m , THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS
SEC. 304.3.2(B), SEC. 328.5, 328.5.4; ASME B16.11 SEC 2.1

ASME B16.11 TABLE 2, ASME B1.20.1 NPT

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.11 1/2" NPT THREADED FITTING		CLASS 6000
NOM WALL THK	0.254	MIN WALL 0.25725 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	0.84	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-182-F316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.087	in PER ASME B1.20.1 (dimension "h") + corr. allowance
t _m	0.11921	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

REQUIRED WELD SIZE ON 2" PIPE
PER SEC. 328.5.4(C,D) & FIG. 328.5.4D
FULL PENETRATION GROOVE WELD FINISHED WITH COVER FILLET WELD
FILLET WELD SIZE: t_c = LESSER OF 0.7 T_b OR 1/4"

t_c = 0.2058 in. FILLET WELD ROOT DEPTH

t_c = 0.3125 in. FILLET WELD SIZE (LEG)

FIG. 328.5.4D (1)

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS; ASME B16.9 SEC. 2.1

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.9- 2" X 1" REDUCING ELBOW-2" END		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.218	MIN WALL 0.19075 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	2.375	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-403-316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
t _m	0.12107	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS; ASME B16.9 SEC. 2.1

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.9- 2" X 1" REDUCING ELBOW-1" END		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.179	MIN WALL 0.15663 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	1.315	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F

MATERIAL	SA-403-216	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
tm =	0.08043	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN tm, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

MINIMUM PNEUMATIC TEST PRESSURE

PER SECTION 345.5 AND SEC. 345.5.4

$P_t = 1.1 \cdot P$

DESIGN PRESSURE 1150 psi

MATERIAL	P_t
SA-312-TP316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi
SA-182-F316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi
SA-403-WP316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi

HYDROSTATIC SHELL TEST

PER ASME B16.5 SECTION 8.3

TEST PRESSURE= 1.5 THE 100F RATING (ROUND UP TO NEAREST 25 psi)

MIN PNEUMATIC TEST PRESSURE= 1265 psi

Table Of Contents

1. Pressure Summary
2. Pneumatic Test
3. Weight Summary
4. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER
5. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END
6. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
7. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
8. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END
9. 8" PIPE
10. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END
11. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
12. 4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
13. 4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END
14. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER
15. ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER
16. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)
17. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)
18. Wind Code
19. Seismic Code

Pressure Summary

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MAWP (psi)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 1	No
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 2, 3	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-320	Note 2	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 2, 3	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 4	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 4	No

Chamber design MDMT is -20 °F

Chamber rated MDMT is -55 °F @ 1,502.52 psi

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

Notes for MDMT Rating:

Note #	Exemption	Details
1.	Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F	
2.	Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b)	
3.	Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F
4.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.11801)	

Pneumatic Test

Shop test pressure determination based on design P per UG-100(b)

Shop pneumatic test gauge pressure is 1,653.608 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,150 psi)

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-100 stress ratio	UG-100 pressure factor
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END (1)	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER	1,653.608	0	N/A	1.10
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" PIPE	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER	1,653.608	0	N/A	1.10
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)	1,653.608	0	1.7699	1.10
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)	1,653.608	0	1.7699	1.10

Notes:

(1) 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END limits the UG-100 stress ratio.

(2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded *	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
<u>8" PIPE</u>	132.7	125.2	0	0	0	0	59.4
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER</u>	32	32	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Support Skirt #1</u>	1.9	1.9	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	199	189	0	0	0	0	74.4

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" PIPE</u>	0	0	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Support Skirt #1</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	50	50	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 240 lb

Vessel operating weight, New: 250 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 240 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 250 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 324 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 250 lb
Center of Gravity: 27.7778"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 9 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 9 US gal

**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER

Flange description: 2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material: SB-166 Annealed Bolt N06600
Flange rated MDMT: -320°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))
Liquid static head on flange: 0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP: 1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP: 3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test: 5425 psi @ 70°F

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.2465$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"

Length $L_c = 2$ "

t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0316	0.0315
						Seismic	0.032	0.0311
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.0307	0.0306
						Seismic	0.0311	0.0301
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0007
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.0002	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0007
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0004

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length L_c = 2"
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0613	0.0613
						Seismic	0.0615	0.0611
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0604	0.0603
						Seismic	0.0605	0.0601
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0003
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0003
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 3''$
 $t = 0.295''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0613	0.0612
						Seismic	0.0616	0.061
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0604	0.0603
						Seismic	0.0606	0.06
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0005
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0005
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
 Length $L_c = 3''$
 t = 0.4375"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1207	0.1207
						Seismic	0.1208	0.1206
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1198	0.1197
						Seismic	0.1199	0.1196
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0001
						Seismic	0	0.0002
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0001
						Seismic	0	0.0002
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p.
78, ln. 1)
Pipe NPS and Schedule: 8" Sch 80S (XS)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.0625"$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 133.2 lb corr = 125.7 lb
Capacity New = 7.12 US gal corr = 7.23 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 36"$
 $t = 0.5"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.5" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1193	0.1177
						Seismic	0.1195	0.1175
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1183	0.1168
						Seismic	0.1186	0.1165
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0012
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0015
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0003	0.0012
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0016
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0007	0.0007

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 9.125", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
 Length $L_c = 3"$
 t = 0.4375"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1213	0.1195
						Seismic	0.1215	0.1193
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1203	0.1186
						Seismic	0.1206	0.1183
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0004	0.0013
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0016
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.0014
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0017
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0007	0.0007

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 3$ "
t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0644	0.057
						Seismic	0.065	0.0562
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0634	0.0559
						Seismic	0.0643	0.055
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0023	0.0047
						Seismic	0.0028	0.0056
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0023	0.0048
						Seismic	0.0029	0.0058
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0013	0.0013

4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 7.205", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 2"$
 $t = 0.295"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0646	0.0567
						Seismic	0.0653	0.0559
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0637	0.0556
						Seismic	0.0645	0.0547
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0025	0.005
						Seismic	0.003	0.0059
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0025	0.0051
						Seismic	0.0031	0.0061
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0013	0.0013

4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 6.2465", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"

Length $L_c = 2"$

t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0482	0.0121
						Seismic	0.0498	0.0101
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.0476	0.0106
						Seismic	0.0501	0.0078
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0126	0.0208
						Seismic	0.0138	0.0229
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.0129	0.0212
						Seismic	0.0148	0.0242
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0029	0.0029

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER

This is an ASME B16.5/16.47 rated blind flange.

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316 ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 2)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 0°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 46.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 180°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 46.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr} : 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Building Code:	ASCE 7-05
Elevation of base above grade:	0.0000 ft
Increase effective outer diameter by:	0.0000 ft
Wind Force Coefficient Cf:	0.8300
Basic Wind Speed:, V:	85.0000 mph
Importance Factor:, I:	1.1500
Exposure category:	C
Wind Directionality Factor, Kd:	0.9500
Topographic Factor, Kzt:	1.0000
Enforce min. loading of 10 psf:	No

Vessel height, h ,	5.5425 ft
Vessel Minimum Diameter, b	
Operating, Corroded:	0.1979 ft
Empty, Corroded:	0.1979 ft
Fundamental Frequency, n_1	
Operating, Corroded:	9.6455 Hz
Empty, Corroded:	10.7384 Hz
Vacuum, Corroded:	9.5611 Hz
Damping coefficient, β	
Operating, Corroded:	0.0179
Empty, Corroded:	0.0200
Vacuum, Corroded:	0.0177

D = Dead load
 H = Pressure load
 W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	1	0	0.03
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	2	1	0.0269
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	3	1	0.026
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	6	2	0.0245
8" PIPE	16.26	0.72	22.8	0.004843	0	34	62	0.023
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	36	70	0.0053
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	37	80	0.0039
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	38	86	0.0024
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	40	106	0.0015
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	0.71	20.4	0.01236	0	40	106	0

Wind Deflection Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	0.20	28.3	3.326e-005	0	1	0	0.0242
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS)	58.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	2	1	0.0217

4" END								
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	3	1	0.0209
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	6	2	0.0198
8" PIPE	16.26	0.72	28.3	0.004843	0	34	62	0.0186
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	36	70	0.0043
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	37	80	0.0031
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	38	86	0.002
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	0.20	28.3	3.326e- 005	0	40	106	0.0012
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	0.71	29.4	0.01236	0	40	106	0

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G^{-}) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/\alpha} \\
&= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * \underline{V}^2 * \underline{I} \text{ psf} \\
&= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 85.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
&= 20.2069 * K_z \\
WP &= q_z * \underline{G^{-}} * \underline{C_f} \\
&= q_z * \underline{G^{-}} * 0.8300
\end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K _z	q _z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)
15.0	0.8489	17.15	13.02	13.02	N.A.	N.A.

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned} z^- &= 0.60 * h \\ &= 0.60 * 5.5425 \\ &= 15.0000 \\ I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\ &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\ &= 0.2281 \\ L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\ &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\ &= 427.0566 \\ Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\ &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 5.5425) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\ &= 0.9798 \\ G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\ &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9798) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\ &= 0.9144 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned} z^- &= 0.60 * h \\ &= 0.60 * 5.5425 \\ &= 15.0000 \\ I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\ &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\ &= 0.2281 \\ L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\ &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\ &= 427.0566 \\ Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\ &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 5.5425) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\ &= 0.9798 \\ G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\ &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9798) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\ &= 0.9144 \end{aligned}$$

Table Lookup Values

$\alpha = 9.5000$, $Zg = 900.0000$ ' [Table 6-2, page 78]

$c = 0.2000$, $l = 500.0000$, $ep = 0.2000$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$a^- = 0.1538$, $b^- = 0.6500$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$g_Q = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

$g_v = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	I = 1.5000
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 10.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4-2	R = 3.0000
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	= 0.3333
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	= 0.2000

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load = ρQ_E

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 5.5425 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 240 lb

Empty, Corroded: 240 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.104 sec (f = 9.6 Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.093 sec (f = 10.7 Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \text{PI} * \text{Sqr}(\{\text{Sum}(W_i * y_i^2)\} / \{g * \text{Sum}(W_i * y_i)\}), \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and
 y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	22.8	0.0000	8	3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	22.8	0.0004	8	4
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	22.8	0.0004	9	6
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	22.8	0.0043	11	9
8" PIPE	16.26	22.8	0.0048	31	78
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	22.8	0.0043	32	86
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	22.8	0.0004	32	94
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	22.8	0.0004	32	99
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	22.8	0.0000	33	116
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	20.4	0.0124	33	116

Seismic Shear Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	28.3	0.0000	8	3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	28.3	0.0004	8	4
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	28.3	0.0004	9	6
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	28.3	0.0043	11	9
8" PIPE	16.26	28.3	0.0048	31	78

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	28.3	0.0043	32	86
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	28.3	0.0004	32	94
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	28.3	0.0004	32	99
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	28.3	0.0000	33	116
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	29.4	0.0124	33	116

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = F_a * S_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = F_v * S_1 = 2.4000 * 10.00 / 100 = 0.2400$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{DI}

$$S_{DI} = 2 / 3 * S_{MI} = 2 / 3 * 0.2400 = 0.1600$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side:} = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is:				
greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	33	239.6	0.046	0.2
Operating, New	34	249.8	0.046	0.2
Empty, Corroded	33	239.6	0.046	0.2
Empty, New	34	249.8	0.046	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.104$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.104) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_s \text{ Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{ Max} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.1037 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.7716$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * W$$

$$= 0.1973 * 239.6326$$

$$= 47.29 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 47.29$$

$$= 33.10 \text{ lb}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.093$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.093) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{s \text{ Min}} = 0.01$$

$$C_{s \text{ Max}} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.0931 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.8591$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * \underline{W}$$

$$= 0.1973 * 239.6326$$

$$= 47.29 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 47.29$$

$$= 33.10 \text{ lb}$$

- GENERAL NOTES:
- 1 ALL WELDING SURFACES SHOULD BE CLEAN AND FREE OF ANY DEBRIS BEFORE ASSEMBLY.
ALIGN ALL COMPONENTS TO ORIENTATION AS SHOWN OR DESCRIBED.
 - 2 TUBING SHALL BE HYDROTESTED TO 100 FT. / LBS. (10000 PSI BALL STRESS) FILLING GASKET MANUFACTURER'S SPECIMENT WHEN TIGHTENING BOLTS.
 - 3 GASKET, MK-22, TO BE USED BETWEEN VALVE FLANGES ONLY AS SHOWN.
 - 4 GASKET, MK-37, TO BE USED AT BLIND FLANGE OR LOWER CHAR POT ONLY.
 - 5 SEE SHEET 2 FOR DETAILS AND BILL OF MATERIAL.
 - 6 REFERENCE OR WORK WITH RESPECTIVE DRAWINGS FOR WELDING PROCEDURES, AND DETAILS OF FABRICATED COMPONENTS.

FOR CONTINUATION OF TEE
SEE SHEET 4 OF 5

REVISIONS				
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/06/07	D.V.
ALL	B	LENGTHENED UPPER AND LOWER CHAR POTS, DELETED LOWER VALVE	08/28/07	D.V.
ALL	C	ADDED COUPLINGS TO VOLUMES	10/19/07	D.V.
ALL	D	ADDED NOTES 3, 4, AND 5, ALSO ADDED SHEET 2	12/06/07	D.V.
ALL	E	ITEM 4, V-SNUGGERSION WAS V-SNUGGERSION	12/20/07	D.V.
ALL	F	ADDED LIFTING LUGS TO ASSEMBLIES	02/09/08	D.V.

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP 1150 PSI AT 1000 °F
MDMT -20 °F AT 1150 PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

RT 1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE
MAWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F
MDMT N/A °F AT N/A PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

36093 A 2008

W.G. SERIAL NO. YEAR BUILT

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP 1150 PSI AT 1000 °F
MDMT -20 °F AT 1150 PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

RT 1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE
MAWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F
MDMT N/A °F AT N/A PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

36093 B 2008

W.G. SERIAL NO. YEAR BUILT

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP 1150 PSI AT 1000 °F
MDMT -20 °F AT 1150 PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

RT 1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE
MAWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F
MDMT N/A °F AT N/A PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

36093 B 2008

W.G. SERIAL NO. YEAR BUILT

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO

SHELL SIDE

MAWP 1150 PSI AT 1000 °F
MDMT -20 °F AT 1150 PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

RT 1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE
MAWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F
MDMT N/A °F AT N/A PSI
MAELWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F

36093 B 2008

W.G. SERIAL NO. YEAR BUILT

NAMEPLATE LOWER CHAR POT

DESIGN REVISIONS		DATE		BY		APPROVED	
1	INITIAL RELEASE	07/06/07	D.V.				
2	LENGTHENED UPPER AND LOWER CHAR POTS, DELETED LOWER VALVE	08/28/07	D.V.				
3	ADDED COUPLINGS TO VOLUMES	10/19/07	D.V.				
4	ADDED NOTES 3, 4, AND 5, ALSO ADDED SHEET 2	12/06/07	D.V.				
5	ITEM 4, V-SNUGGERSION WAS V-SNUGGERSION	12/20/07	D.V.				
6	ADDED LIFTING LUGS TO ASSEMBLIES	02/09/08	D.V.				

REV.	BY	DATE	COMMENT
3	MEH	09/08/08	ADDED NATIONAL BOARD NUMBER FOR UPPER POT.
2	MEH	08/12/08	ADDED NATIONAL BOARD NUMBER FOR LOWER POT.
1	MEH	07/29/08	ADDED MAJOR COMP. CHART FOR LVR POT. CHANGED TO FILL SIZE N/P. TEST WAS PRELIMINARY. ADDED WATER NOTE.

HYDROSTATIC TEST NOTE:
DEIONIZED TEST WATER MUST
BE USED AND UNIT TO BE
COMPLETELY DRIED AFTER TESTING.

UPPER CHAR POT

LOWER CHAR POT

MAJOR COMPONENT DESIGN DATA
VESSEL, DESIGNED PER THE ASME CODE
SECTION VIII DIVISION 1 & 2B.3
CODE SYMBOL: VES
DESIGN PRESSURE: INTERNAL, 1150 PSIG @ 1000 DEG F
DESIGN PRESSURE: EXTERNAL, N/A
HYDROTEST: 1200 PSIG
PNEUMATIC TEST: 1200 PSIG
MDMT: -20 DEG F
PAHT: NO
CORROSION ALLOWANCE: 0.00 INCH

DESIGNER/REV. LOG	CHK.
SHEET	N/A
HEAD	N/A
NO. OF PAGES	N/A
NO. OF SHEETS	N/A
NO. OF REV.	N/A

MAJOR COMPONENT DESIGN DATA
VESSEL, DESIGNED PER THE ASME CODE
SECTION VIII DIVISION 1 & 2B.3
CODE SYMBOL: VES
DESIGN PRESSURE: INTERNAL, 1150 PSIG @ 1000 DEG F
DESIGN PRESSURE: EXTERNAL, N/A
HYDROTEST: 1200 PSIG
PNEUMATIC TEST: 1200 PSIG
MDMT: -20 DEG F
PAHT: NO
CORROSION ALLOWANCE: 0.00 INCH

DESIGNER/REV. LOG	CHK.
SHEET	N/A
HEAD	N/A
NO. OF PAGES	N/A
NO. OF SHEETS	N/A
NO. OF REV.	N/A

- GENERAL NOTES:
- 1 ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, ASME SECTION Q, DIVISION 1, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
 - 2 INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE DONE I-P-W, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
 - 3 FITTINGS, AND PTFE, TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.9, FLANGES IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5, ASSETS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASME B16.21, THREADS, AND ANY SOLDERED FITTINGS ARE TO BE END REPTED PER ASME B16.23
 - 4 COLDPLAYS TO BE AT 600 AND 1200 POSITIONS AS SHOWN

LOWER CHAR POT ASSEMBLY

REVISIONS				
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY / APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/09/07	D.V.
ALL	B	CHANGED LENGTH OF PIPE TO 36\", WAS 12\"	08/28/07	D.V.
ALL	C	ADDED ITEM 5 WELDLET COUPLINGS	10/19/07	D.V.
ALL	D	ADDED MATERIAL CALL OUT TO BDN, WELDS TO BE FULL PEN	12/10/07	D.V.
ALL	E	ADDED NOTE 5, MATERIAL SPEC. AND CORRECTED WELDING ERRORS	12/06/07	D.V.
ALL	F	ADDED ITEM 6, LIFTING LUG, CHANGED ALL MATERIAL TO 316 ST. STL.	03/09/08	D.V.
ALL	G	DN. 44.00 WAS 30.25; ROTATED LUGS 70DEG	04/20/08	D.V.

2	MEH	07/29/08	ADDED FULL PENE WELDS.
1	MEH	07/14/08	DIMENSION FOR MK-7 WAS 42.25\"
REV.	BY	DATE	COMMENT

DESIGNER	CHECKED	APPROVED	DATE
MEH	MEH	MEH	07/29/08
TITLE	SCALE	DATE	BY
LOWER CHAR POT ASSEMBLY	1/2\"	07/29/08	MEH
PROJECT	CLIENT	DATE	BY
NOZ. MK-7	NOZ. MK-7	07/29/08	MEH
DESIGNER	CHECKED	APPROVED	DATE
MEH	MEH	MEH	07/29/08
TITLE	SCALE	DATE	BY
LOWER CHAR POT ASSEMBLY	1/2\"	07/29/08	MEH
PROJECT	CLIENT	DATE	BY
NOZ. MK-7	NOZ. MK-7	07/29/08	MEH

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX G

Bench Scale Hydrogasifier Lower CharPot Assembly

Final Design from Gaspar Inc.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

WELDING & FABRICATIONS

1545 Whipple Avenue SW Canton, Ohio 44710

Phone: 330-477-2222

Fax: 330-477-2322

www.gasparinc.com

FINAL DATA PACKAGE

GASPAR JOB NUMBER(S):	36093
CUSTOMER:	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:	700521452
DESCRIPTION:	LOWER CHAR POT
ITEM NUMBER(S):	N/A
OTHER:	N/A

DATA PACKAGE CONTENTS

- ☒ DATA REPORT
- ☒ NAMEPLATE COPY
- ☒ BILL OF MATERIAL
- ☒ MATERIAL TEST REPORTS
- ☒ NDE REPORTS
- ☐ HEAT TREAT CHARTS
- ☒ CALCULATIONS
- ☒ DRAWINGS
- ☐ OTHER: (LIST BELOW)

NOTE:

FORM U-1A MANUFACTURER'S DATA REPORT FOR PRESSURE VESSELS
(Alternative Form for Single Chamber, Completely Shop or Field Fabricated Vessels Only)
As Required by the Provisions of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Rules, Section VIII, Division 1

1. Manufactured and certified by: Gaspar, Inc. 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
(Name and address of manufacturer)

2. Manufactured for: Arizona Public Service Co. P.O. Box 53999 Phoenix, AZ 85072
(Name and address of purchaser)

3. Location of installation Unknown
(Name and address)

4. Type: Vertical 36093B ---- 36093 Rev.2 2500 2008
(Horizontal or vertical tank) (Manufacturer's serial Number) (CRN) (Drawing number) (National Board number) (Year built)

5. The chemical and physical properties of all parts meet the requirements of material specifications of the ASME BOILER AND PRESSURE VESSEL CODE The design, construction, and workmanship conform to ASME Rules, Section VIII, Division 1 2007 Edition
Year

to ----- ----- -----
Addenda (Date) (Code Case numbers) [Special Service per UG-120 (d)]

6. Shell SA312-316H .500" .03" 0' - 7.625" 3' - 0"
Material spec. number, grade) Nominal thickness (Corr. allow) (Inner diameter) Length (overall)

7. Seams: Smls None 100% ---- Welded/Type 1 Full 100% One
[Long (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)] R.T. (spot or full) (Eff.) (H.T. temp.) (Time, hr) [Girth (welded, dbl. singl., lap, butt)] [R.T. (spot or full)] (Eff. %) No. of courses

8. Heads: (a) Material SA403-316H (b) Material SA403-316H
(Spec. number, grade) (Spec. number, grade)

	Location (Top, Bottom, Ends)	Minimum Thickness	Corrosion Allowance	Crown Radius	Knuckle Radius	Elliptical Ratio	Conical Apex Angle	Hemispherical Radius	Flat Diameter	Side to Pressure (Convex or Concave)
(a)	Top	.337"	.03"	----	----	----	*	----	----	Concave
(b)	Bottom	.337"	.03"	----	----	----	*	----	----	Concave

If removable, bolts used (describe other fastenings) ----
(Material spec. number, grade, size, number)

9. MAWP 1150 ---- at max temp. 1000 ----
(Internal) (External) (Internal) (External)

Min. design metal temp. -20 at 1150 Hydro. pneu., or comb. test press. Pneumatic 1654

10. Nozzles, inspection, and safety valve openings:

Purpose (Inlet, Outlet, Drain)	Number	Diameter or Size	Type	Material	Nominal Thickness	Reinforcement Material	How Attached	Location
Aux	2	2"	1500#WN	SA403-316H	.218"	-----	Butt Weld	----

11. Supports: Skirt No 2 0 ---- Attached Shell/Welded
(Yes or No) (No.) (No.) (Describe) (Where and How)

12. Remarks: Manufacturer's Partial Data Reports properly identified and signed by Commissioned Inspectors have been furnished for the following items of the report: -----
(Name of part, item number, Manufacturer's name and identifying stamp)

UHA-51(d) Exempt (impact test). Item 8: *Heads are standard 8" x 4" concentric reducers (ANSI B16.9). Item 10: The two 2" 1500#WN Flanges are welded to standard 4" x 2" concentric reducers (ANSI B16.9).

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD COMPLIANCE

We certify that the statements made in this report are correct and that all details of design, material, construction and workmanship of this vessel conform to the ASME Boiler and pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. "U" Certificate of Authorization Number 16,862
expires July 25, 2011

Date 8-15-08 Co. Name Gaspar, Inc. Signed Wesley Morgan
(Manufacturer) (Representative)

CERTIFICATE OF SHOP/FIELD INSPECTION

Vessel constructed by Gaspar, Inc. at 1545 Whipple Ave SW Canton, Ohio 44710
I, the undersigned, holding a valid commission issued by the National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors and/or the State or Province of Ohio and employed by OneBeacon America Insurance Company Lynn, Mass
have inspected the component described in this Manufacturer's Data Report on 08-15-2008, and state that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Manufacturer has constructed this pressure vessel in accordance with ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section VIII, Division 1. By signing this certificate neither the Inspector nor his/her employer makes any warranty, expressed or implied, concerning the pressure vessel described in this Manufacturer's Data Report. Furthermore, neither the Inspector nor his/her employer shall be liable in any manner for any personal injury or property damage or a loss of any kind arising from or connected with this inspection.

Date 08-15-2008 Signed [Signature] Commissions NB-9636A OHIO COMM.
(Authorized Inspector) (National Board (incl endorsements) State, Prov. and number)

1600

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC.
CANTON, OHIO.

SHELL SIDE

MAWP	<u>110</u>	PSI AT	<u>100</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>70</u>	°F AT	<u>110</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F

RT 1

TUBE (JACKET) SIDE

MAWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	°F
MDMT	<u>XX</u>	°F AT	<u>XX</u>	PSI
MAEWP	<u>XX</u>	PSI AT	<u>XX</u>	F

60978

1988

MFG. SERIAL NO.

YEAR BUILT

Gaspar B.O.M.

Company Name	Project Name	Project Description	Item Number
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE	36093	FAB (2) CHAR POTS (SET OF	PRTY#2-ITEM#5
PCNumber	DwgNumber	Engineer	Material Restrictions
700521452	CUST DWG	MEH	Domestic or Imported except CHINA

MRK	REV	QTY	TYPE	DESCRIPTION	LOCATION	MATERIAL	L/L	P/P
0.5	-			(1) UNIT SHOWN (1) UNIT REQ'D				
1	-	1	FORGING	2 1/2 1500# B-16.5 RFWN (S/80 BORE)	UPPER POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
2	-	1	FITTING	4 X 2 1/2 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UPPER POT	SA-403-316/H*		
3	-	4	FITTING	8 X 4 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UP & LWR POT	SA-403-316/H*		
4	-	1	PIPE	8 SMLS X S/80 X 38 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
5	-	3	FITTING	4 X 2 REDUCER WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X CONCENTRIC	UP & LWR POT	SA-403-316/H*		
6	1	1	BAR/ROD	3 DIA X 6 LG (ANNEALED)	UPPER POT	SB-166-N06600		
6	1			DRILL 2 5/16 NOM ID, WALL 0.320 MIN.				
7	-	6	FORGING	1/2 6000# NPT HALF B-16.11	UP & LWR POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
8	-	5	FORGING	2 1500# B-16.5 RFWN (S/80 BORE)	UP & LWR POT	SA-182-F316/H*		
9	-	2	PLATE	1/2 THK X 1 1/2 WD X 4 LG	UPPER POT	SA-240-316/H*		
10	-	1	PIPE	2 SMLS X S/80 X 17 1/2 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
11	-	1	FITTING	2 X 1 RED ELBOW WLD B-16.9 X S/80 X 90 DEG	UPPER POT	SA-403-316/H*		
12	-	1	PIPE	1 SMLS X S/80 X 3 LG	UPPER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
13	-	1	MISC	1 PIPE B/W X 1/2 S/W ADAPTER	UPPER POT	316 SS		
13	-			SWAGELOK SS-16-MPW-A-8TSW				
14	-	1	TUBE	1/2 OD X 0.065 WALL SMLS X 31 LG	UPPER POT	SA-213-TP316		
15	-	1	PIPE	8 SMLS X S/80 X 36 LG	LOWER POT	SA-312-TP316/H*		
16	-	1	FORGING	2 1500# B-16.5 BLIND	LOWER POT	SA-182-F316		
17	-	1	GASKET	.175 THK X 2 1500# STD RF CGI SWG STYLE	LOWER POT	FLEXITALLIC		
17	-			316L INNER & OUTER (THERMICULITE 835 FILLER)				
18	-	24	BOLTING	7/8 9UNC STUD X 6 1/4 LG	UP & LWR POT	SA-193-B7		
19	-	48	BOLTING	7/8 9UNC NUT X HEX HEAVY	UP & LWR POT	SA-194-2H		
20	-	48	BOLTING	7/8 WASHER FLAT	UP & LWR POT	STAINLESS		
21	-	2	GASKET	.175 THK X 2 1500# STD RF CGI SWG STYLE	UP & LWR POT	FLEXITALLIC		
21	-			INCONEL 625 INNER & OUTER RING				
21	-			(INCONEL 625 WINDING W/ THERMICULITE 835 FILLER)				
999	-		NOTE	* ALL ABOVE MAT'L CARBON CONTENT MUST				
999	-			BE EQUAL TO, OR ABOVE .04%				

36093 MK 1

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	28122
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316H DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
1	2½" 1500# WN RF S80
	PROJECT# 36093-1

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316H	.047	1.42	.035	.022	.43	16.45	10.15	2.14	.059
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD .2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
28122	59,690	85,300	67.0	82.8		No. 4.0

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

10 # 30236 30V - 36093
TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

36093 MK 2
Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
1	1	4 X 2 1/2 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS										
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V
1	MQUR	0.073	1.48	0.035	0.002	0.48	11.20	16.80	2.64			

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
1	89600	58000	50.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
1	GRAIN SIZE: ACTUAL NO. 6.5

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED .

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN
ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY
CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

07/11/2008

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER #: 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	2	8 X 4 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MQUS	0.08	0.89	0.023	0.001	0.35	11.00	16.10	2.03						0.216

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	76200	32500	77.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE ACUTAL: ASTM NO 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED .

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

07/17/2008

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

36093 MK3

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	2	8 X 4 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MQUS	0.08	0.89	0.023	0.001	0.35	11.00	16.10	2.03						0.216

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	76200	32500	77.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE ACUTAL: ASTM NO 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT 07/17/2008

36093 MK 4,15

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

SUMITOMO METAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.

STEEL TUBE WORKS

1, NISHINO-CHO, HITGASHI-MUKOJIMA, AMAGASAKI, JAPAN

CERTIFICATE NO.: OYU1947

PAGE: 1

DATE: 2007-07-26

CUSTOMER : TIoga PIPE SUPPLY INC.
 ORDER NO. : 902933 ITEM NO. 14
 SHIPPER : SUMITOMO CORPORATION 057 KEQ 0613/5 7P12S306501
 COMMODITY : SEAMLESS HOT FINISHED STAINLESS STEEL PIPE WITH PLAIN SQUARE CUT ENDS

STANDARD : ASTM A312-05A / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-312 TP316 ASTM A312-05A / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-312 TP316H
 ASTM A376-04 / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-376 TP316 ASTM A376-04 / ASME 2004 (A05) SA-376 TP316H
 SPECIFICATION : POA #2SUM-1A REV. 7

MILL WORK NO. : OYU1947 O.D. : NPS8 W.T. : SCH80S LENGTH: MIN. 13feet MAX. 17feet QUANTITY: 3pcs.
 TOTAL LENGTH: 46.48feet MASS: 916kg

HEAT NO. PRODUCTS PCS. HEAT NO. PRODUCTS PCS.
 F421613 1 F528042 2

HEAT TREATMENT: SOLUTION TREATED (2102° F X 2min. W.Q.)

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (%)

	#1	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	Ni	Mo	Co	#1 R: LADLE & PRODUCT ANALYSIS L: LADLE ANALYSIS P: PRODUCT ANALYSIS
SPEC. MIN.	R	4	-	-	-	160	110	200	-	-	
MAX.	R	8	75	200	45	30	180	140	300	-	
HEAT NO.											
F421613	L	8	35	89	23	1	161	110	203	216	#2: X10
	P	8	33	90	24	1	162	112	205	220	#3: X1000
F528042	L	8	48	99	25	0	166	112	206	133	OTHER: X100
	P	8	48	101	26	1	167	113	205	140	
	P	8	48	101	26	1	166	113	205	140	

TENSILE TEST

	#1	#2	YS	TS	EL	TYPE OF SPECIMEN
			#3	#3	%	STRIP 1-1/2" WIDTH
SPEC. MIN.	L	B	P 30.0	P 75.0	35	GAUGE LENGTH
MAX.	L	B	P -	P -	-	2.0"
HEAT NO.						KIND OF YS
F421613	L	B	P 32.5	P 76.2	77	0.2% OFFSET
F528042	L	B	P 34.2	P 75.6	78	#1 DIRECTION
						L: LONGITUDINAL
						#2 SAMPLING POSITION
						B: BASE METAL
						#3 UNIT
						P: ksi

AUSTENITE GRAIN SIZE (ASTM E112)

SPEC. MIN.	-
MAX.	7.0
HEAT NO.	
F421613	3.8
F528042	3.7
	3.5

AS. IE

Yr 2007 Add. _____
 Q.C. WM Date 6/13/08
 AI 8081500

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MATERIAL HEREIN DESCRIBED HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED, AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABOVE STANDARD AND SPECIFICATION AND SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS.

M. Nagai

MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

SUMITOMO METAL INDUSTRIES, LTD.
STEEL TUBE WORKS
1, NISHINO-CHO, HIGASHI-MUKOJIMA, AMAGASAKI, JAPAN

CERTIFICATE NO.: OYU1947

PAGE: 2

DATE: 2007-07-26

CORROSION TEST (NIL-P-11440): ACCEPTABLE
CORROSION TEST (NIL-P-24691/3): GUARANTEE
FLATTENING TEST: ACCEPTABLE
VISUAL & DIMENSIONS: ACCEPTABLE
HYDROSTATIC TEST 1700psi: ACCEPTABLE
EN10204 3.1

NO WELD REPAIR

MERCURY FREE

NACE MR0175 HARDNESS (HRC 22 MAX.): GUARANTEED

THE MATERIAL WAS COOLED TO BELOW 800 DEG F IN 3 MINUTES OR LESS

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. Q.C. WM Date 6/13/08

ASME 08-15-08

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MATERIAL HEREIN DESCRIBED HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED, AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ABOVE STANDARD AND SPECIFICATION AND SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS.

MANAGER, QUALITY ASSURANCE SECTION

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER #: 0000012238

SOLD TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE. SW
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: 30236

TAG NUMBER: 30236, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
3	3	4 X 2 CR S/80 WP316HS SA403	PIPE, A312-07, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
3	MQUR	0.073	1.48	0.035	0.002	0.48	11.20	16.80	2.64						

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
3	89600	58000	50.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
3	GRAIN SIZE: ACTUAL NO. 6.5

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-O6; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT 07/11/2008

NOTE: THE RECORDING OF FALSE, FICTITIOUS OR FRAUDULENT STATEMENTS OR ENTRIES ON THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE.

SOUTHERN U.S.

HUNTINGTON ALLOYS

A Special Metals Company

HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25720

P

CORROSION MATERIALS		CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT		No. 38112	
5092 STEADOMONT		DATE		PAGE	
HOUSTON TX 77040		100030407 1		02/26/07 1 2	
		QUANTITY		INSPECTED BY	
		2655 LBS		HA/SMC	
CORROSION MATERIALS INC		CHARGE ORDER NO		MARK ORDER NO.	
2262 GROOM RD		68077K		68077K S-161753000	
BAKER LA 70714		DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL SHIPPED		INCONEL ALLOY 600 HOT FIN RND CENTERLESS GRD ANN	
		3.0000 IN 132-156 IN NOM			

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT ALL REQUIRED SAMPLING INSPECTIONS AND TESTS HAVE BEEN PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER AND SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. THE TEST REPORT REPRESENTS THE ACTUAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE MATERIAL. THE MATERIALS SHOWN ARE CORRECT AND TRUE TO THE MATERIAL SPECIFICATION. THE MATERIALS SHOWN ARE FULL COMPLIANCE WITH ALL ORDER SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS. THE MATERIALS SHOWN ARE FULLY QUALITY THAT THE CUSTOMER REQUESTED. THE MATERIALS SHOWN ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ORDER SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.

QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVE

*****THIS REPORT RELATES ONLY TO THE ITEM(S) TESTED AND MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED EXCEPT IN FULL.*****

SPECIFICATIONS: ASTM B 166-04\ASME SB-166 2004 EDITION 06 ADDENDA\

UNS:N06600

SAE AMS 5665M CHEM ONLY\

BS 3076:1989 NA 14 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES & CHEMISTRY ONLY\

QUALITY SYSTEM CERTIFICATION: ISO 9001:2000 (ABS-QE CERT. 30125)\

EN 10 204/DIN 50049 (TYPE 3.1)

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS (WT. %)

HEAT#	C	MN	FE	SI	CU	NI	CR	AL
NX5671XR	0.07	0.21	9.38	0.001	0.16	74.11	15.43	0.266
	0.25	0.046	0.03	<0.01				

TESTED BY HUNTINGTON ALLOYS, 3200 RIVERSIDE DR., HUNTINGTON, WV 25705, ACCREDITED FOR FASTENER QUALITY ACT VIA PRI CERT 113115 (EXPIRES 04/30/2007).

MELT METHOD: EF/AOD

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

HEAT/LOT	QUANTITY	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE	TENSILE	YIELD	R/A	DEG
NX5671XR 111	10 PCS	81.3	0400	0967	44.0	50.7	
ROOM TEMP-HRD	AS SHIPPED						

YIELD STRENGTH WAS DETERMINED USING A STRESS STRAIN CURVE

MILL HEAT TREATMENT: ANNEALED IN A CONTINUOUS FURNACE AT 1625 DEG F 31 MINUTES, AIR COOLED.

NO WELDING OR WELD REPAIR WAS PERFORMED ON THIS MATERIAL.

ASME

COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: MELTED AND MANUFACTURED IN THE USA

Yr 2007 Add.

Q.C. WM Date 8/6/08

A17 UB-15-08

TRACER# 054875

36093 MK 6

HUNTINGTON ALLOYS
A Special Metals Company
HUNTINGTON, WEST VIRGINIA 25720

ERROSION MATERIALS

260 STEADMONT

CUSTON TX 77040

ERROSION MATERIALS INC

262 GROOM RD

ARER LA 70714

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

No. 38112

PAGE OF

ORDER NO. 11

100030407 1

DATE _____

03/26/07

PAGE OF

2

QUANTITY

2655 1.03

INSPECTED BY _____

SECRET

CHARGE ORDER NO.

68077X

MARK ORDER NO.

FA077X 5-161753000

HOT FIN RND CENTERLESS GRD ANN

HOT FIN RND CENTERLESS GRD ANN

QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVE

QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVE

QUAL AND DIMENSIONAL EXAMINATION SATISFACTORY.

IS FREE FROM CONTAMINATION BY MERCURY, RADIUM, ALPHA SOURCE, & LOW MELTING ELEMENTS

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS AS REQUIRED FOR CARBON, SULFUR, NITROGEN OR OXYGEN IS PERFORMED BY COMBUSTION TECHNIQUES. OTHER REPORTED ELEMENTS ARE ANALYZED BY X-RAY AND/OR EMISSION SPECTROSCOPY."

QUALITY SYSTEM MEETS REQUIREMENTS OF DIRECTIVE 97/23/EC (PRESSURE EQUIPMENT DIRECTIVE),
 ANNEX 1, CHAPTER 4.3 PER ABS GROUP LTD CERTIFICATE 008 (EXPIRES AUGUST 2008) AND
 CERTIFICATE 2067492A (EXPIRES MAY 2008)."

AUTHORIZED QUALITY CERTIFICATION REPRESENTATIVES :
W. BOLEN, P.D. CUSTER, M.A. MORRISON, P. WAUGH

A. BOLEN, P.D. CUSTER, M.A. MORRISON, P. WAUGH

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —

Q.C. WM Date 8/8/08

2025-08

TRANFER# 054875

PENNSYLVANIA MACHINE WORKS, INC.
201 BETHEL AVENUE
ASTON, PENNSYLVANIA 19014
(610) 497-3300 - FAX (610) 497-3325
www.pennusa.com

40.30237
36093 mk7
TEXAS PMW, INC.
315 NORTH WAYSIDE DRIVE
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77020
(713) 679-7900 - FAX (713) 679-7920
www.pennusa.com

M I L L T E S T R E P O R T

ROBERT-JAMES-TWINSBURG
P.O. BOX 590
TWINSBURG, OH 44087

P.O. cg4571
ORDER # 01 411275
6/19/08

1/2 6MTD HFCE 316H

QTY: 6

HEAT CODE: E61941
SPECIFICATION: A182
HEAT TREATMENT:
UNS NUMBER: S31609

MATERIAL: F316H

SPEC. YEAR: 04

SPEC. REV:

SOLUTION ANNEALED

	ACTUAL MEASUREMENT	SPECIFICATION MIN % MAX %	
C	.046	.040	.100
MN	1.680		2.000
P	.029		.045
S	.025		.030
SI	.280		1.000
NI	10.560	10.000	14.000
CR	17.450	16.000	18.000
MO	2.040	2.000	3.000
YIELD (PSI)	37500	30000	
TENSILE (PSI)	81500	75000	
ELONGATION (%)	58.000	30.000	
RED. OF AREA (%)	77.000	50.000	
HARDNESS (HB)	137		237
GRAIN	4.50	6.00	

ACTUAL
MEASUREMENT
1900 F

SPECIFICATION
MINIMUM MAXIMUM
1900 F

SOLUTION ANNEALED

MATERIAL I/A/W ASME-SEC.II SA SPECIFICATION-CERTIFICATE I/A/W EN10204 TYPE 3.1

MATERIAL MEETS NACE-MRO175-2003

MATERIAL IS CAPABLE OF PASSING A CORROSION TEST TO ASTM-A262 PRACTICE "E"

MATERIAL MEETS NACE-MRO103-2007

MERCURY FREE MATERIAL - NO WELD REPAIRED MATERIAL - MADE IN THE U.S.A.

WE HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE REPORTED FIGURES ARE CORRECT AS CONTAINED IN THE RECORDS OF THE CORPORATION.

James B. Friant
JAMES B. FRIANT
QUALITY MANAGER

MANUFACTURERS OF Penn FORGED PIPE FITTINGS
QUALITY • SERVICE • PARTNERSHIP

36093 MK 8

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	23988
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316H DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
5	2" 1500# WN RF S80
	PROJECT# 36093-8

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316H	.047	1.45	.031	.001	.54	16.20	10.10	2.13	.043
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD 2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
23988	41,950	82,050	63.7	81.1		No. 5.0

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH Postfach 16 01 20 D-42830 Remscheid
Tel.: 02191-895-278 Fax: 02191-895-499**INSPECTION CERTIFICATE**
ABNAHMEZEUGNIS
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION
EN 10204:1991 + A1:1995 3.1.B(A01)
(A05)

Nr./No/N° (A03)

01156-050613

0080067615

Blatt / page 1 / 2

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH - Postfach 16 01 20 - D-42830 Remscheid (A07)

DMV STAINLESS USA INC.
12050 W. LITTLE YORKCustomer order no. / Kundenbestell Nr.
No de commande client :**0000215622****USA - HOUSTON TX 77041**
USA

(A08) DMV order no / DMV Auftrags-Nr. / No. de commande DMV

215657005

(820 2124 / 005)

Part number:

(A05) Purchaser / Besteller / Client

Grade and Specifications

(B02) (B01) (B04)

SEAMLESS STAINLESS STEEL HOT FINISHED PIPES ** acc.to ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 Edition 2004. **
HEAT TREATED CONDITION acc.to STANDARD and SPECIFICATION ** PICKLED ** PLAIN ENDS, SQUARE CUT,
DEBURRED ** Size tolerances acc. to ASTM A 312-04b ** RANDOM LENGTH 17,000 - 24,000 feet ** Quantity Tolerance
+ 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 **Nahtlose warmgefertigte Edelstahlrohre ** nach ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 ED. 04. ** wärmebehandelt ** gebeizt
** glatt, senkrecht geschnitten, entgratet ** Abmessungstoleranznorm: ASTM A 312-04b ** Herstelllänge 17,000 - 24,000
feet ** Mengentoleranz: + 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 **PIPES ACIER INOX SANS SOUDURE FINIS A CHAUD ** selon ASTM A 312-04b // ASME SA-312 ED. 04. ** A L'ETAT
TRAITE SELON NORME ET SPECIFICATION ** DECAPE ** Extrémités LISSES, COUPEES D'EQUERRE, EBAVUREES
** Tolérances dimensionnelles selon ASTM A 312-04b ** LONGUEURS COURANTES 17,000 - 24,000 pieds ** Tolerance
sur quantité + 10,0 / - 10,0 % ** TP316H / TP316 ****Marking of the product / Kennzeichnung / Marquages**

(B05) (B07)

DMV-D - TP 316H - TP 316 - HEAT - 2 X SCH 80S AW -
ASTM A 312 - ASME SA 312 - LOT NO. - SML - GERMANY - WA

(B10)	Pieces Stück Pièces	(B11)	Total weight Gesamtgewicht Poids totale	(B15)	Total length Gesamtlänge Longueur totale	Dimensions Abmessungen Dimensiones
	22	1064 kg	2345 lbs	145,240 m	476,509 ft	ODxWT NPS 2 x SCH 80S AW 60,30 mm * 5,54 mm

(C71 - C92)

(B05)	(C70)		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Cr	Ni	Mo
Cast no. Schmelzen-Nr No.de coulée	Meltingpr. Erschm.Ar Elaboration	min.	0,0400					15,0000	11,0000	2,0000
		max.	0,0800	2,0000	0,0450	0,0300	1,0000	18,0000	14,0000	3,0000
QD042	S E + VOD		0,0480	1,7200	0,0185	0,0070	0,3800	17,1600	11,0900	2,1000

ASMEYr 2007 Add. —Q.C. WM Date 6/17/08

AI 08-15-00

This certificate is issued by a computerized system and is
valid without signature. In case the owner of the original
would release a copy of it he must attest its conformity and
will be responsible for any unlawful or not allowed use. Any
alterations or falsification will be subject to law.Dieses Zeugnis bzw. diese Bescheinigung wurde mit
Hilfe der EDV erstellt und ist, ohne Unterschrift, gültig.
Veränderungen sowie Verwendung für andere
Erzeugnisse werden als Urkundenfälschung und
Betrug strafrechtlich verfolgt.Ce certificat, ou cette attestation est rédigé à l'aide d'un
traitement électronique de données et est applicable sans
signature. Tous changements ou application pour d'autres
produits seront considérés comme falsification de documents
et fraude et seront sujet à la juridiction pénale.**DMV STAINLESS DEUTSCHLAND GmbH ISO 9001 - LRQA Nr. 923284**

DMV STAINLESS Deutschland GmbH

Postfach 16 01 20 D-42830 Remscheid
Tel.: 02191-895-278 Fax: 02191-895-499

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE
ABNAHMEZEUGNIS
CERTIFICAT DE RECEPTION
EN 10204:1991 + A1:1995 3.1.B

(A01)
(A02)

Nr./No/N° (A03)
01156-050613
0080067615
Blatt / page 2 / 2

Tensile test results / Ergebnisse des Zugversuchs / Résultats de traction

(C00) Test no. Proben-Nr. No. de testé	(B04) Cast no. Schmelzen-Nr. No. de coulée	(C05) Test orientation Probenrichtung Orientation de l'éprouvette	(C03) Temp. °C	(C11) Yield strength Streck / Dehngrenze Limite apparente d'élasticité Rp		(C12) Tensile strength Zugfestigkeit Resistance à la traction Rm	(C13) Elongation (%) Dehnung (%) Allongement (%) A	RP/ Rm	Z (%)
				0,2%	1,0%		2"		
				N/mm²	N/mm²	N/mm²			
MINIMUM				205		515	35		
MAXIMUM									
051418-1-0	OD042	L	+ 20	325		666	49,8		

**Other test results and confirmations / Sonstige Prüfergebnisse und Bestätigungen /
Résultats supplémentaires des inspections et des confirmations**

VISUAL AND DIMENSIONAL INSPECTION SATISFACTORY (D01)
MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION TEST OF ALL TUBES BY SPECTROGRAPHIC ANALYSIS: SATISFACTORY
FLATTENING TEST: SATISFACTORY (C50)
GRAIN SIZE 7 ACC. TO ASTM E 112
HYDROSTATIC TEST, 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): SATISFACTORY
HEAT TREATMENT: SOLUTION HEAT TREATED

BESICHTIGUNG UND MASSKONTROLLE: BESTANDEN (D01)
VERWECHSLUNGSPRUEFUNG ALLER ROHRE DURCH SPECTRAL-ANALYSE: BESTANDEN
RINGFALTVERSUCH: BESTANDEN (C50)
KORNGROESSE 7 GEMAESS ASTM E 112
INNENDRUCKVERSUCH MIT WASSER 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): BESTANDEN
WAERMEBEHANDLUNG: LOESUNGSGEGLUET

INSPECTION ET CONTROLE DIMENSIONNEL: SATISFAISANT (D01)
ESSAI ANTI MELANGE SUR TOUS LES TUBES PAR ANALYSE SPECTRALE: SATISFAISANT
ESSAI D'APLATISSEMENT: SATISFAISANT (C50)
DIMENSION DU GREIN 7 CONFORME ASTM E 112
ESSAI HYDRAULIQUE: 170 BAR (1BAR=100KPA): PASSE
TRAITEMENT THERMIQUE: RECUIT

The products have been tested in accordance with the purchase specification and are found to be satisfied.
Wir bestätigen, das die Erzeugnisse bestellungsgemäß geprüft und für in Ordnung befunden wurden.
Nous attestons que les produits livrés sont conformes aux stipulations de la commande.

Inspection representative / Der Werkssachverständige / L'expert d'usine

(A05) DMV Stainless Deutschland GmbH, Abnahme
42830 Remscheid, Postfach 160120
(Z01) Gezeichnet, Brozulat, der Werkssachverständige
Datum / Date Montag, 13. Juni 2005
Telefon: 02191) 895-278
Telefax: 02191) 895-210
e-Mail: dbrozulat@dmv-stainless.com

ASME**Yr 2007****Add.****Q.C. WM****Date 6/17/08****AL 2081500**

Confirmation with reference to Pressure Equipment Directive 97/23/EC:

The works operates a quality management system that has undergone a specific assessment for materials for pressure equipment and is certified by a competent body (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025)

Bestätigung in Bezug auf Druckgeräterichtlinie 97/23/EG:

Das Werk wendet ein Qualitätsmanagementsystem an, das in Bezug auf Werkstoffe für Druckgeräte einer spezifischen Bewertung unterzogen wurde und von einer zuständigen Stelle (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025) zertifiziert ist.

Confirmation concernant la Directive Equipements sous Pression 97/23/EC:

L'usine applique un système de management de la qualité qui a fait l'objet d'une évaluation spécifique pour les matériaux pour équipements sous pression etc qui est certifié par un organisme compétent (TÜV-Cert.No: 01202811/Q-020025)

This Certificate is issued by a computerized system and is valid without signature. In case the owner of the original would release a copy of this report attest its conformity and will be responsible for any unauthorised or not allowed use. Any alterations or falsification will be subject to law.

Dieses Zeugnis bzw. diese Bescheinigung wurde mit Hilfe der EDV erstellt und ist ohne Unterschrift gültig. Veranlassungen sowie Verwendung für andere Zwecke. Erzeugnisse werden als Urkundenfälschung und Betrug strafrechtlich verfolgt.

Ce certificat, ou cette attestation est rédigé à l'aide d'un traitement informatique de données et est applicable sans signature. Tous changements ou application pour d'autres produits, seront considérés comme falsification de documents et fraude et seront sujet à la juridiction pénale.

DMV STAINLESS DEUTSCHLAND GmbH ISO 9001 - LRQA Nr. 923284

TAYLOR FORGE STAINLESS

P. O. BOX 610
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876
Phone: (908)722-1313
FAX: (908)722-2943

Material Test Report

Page : 1 of 1

SALES ORDER # : 0000012240

SOLD TO:

ROBERT JAMES SALES, INC.
P.O. BOX 590
TWINSBURG, OH 44087

SHIP TO:

GASPAR INC.
1545 WHIPPLE AVE S.W.
CANTON, OH 44710

CUST P.O.#: CG4572

TAG NUMBER: CG4572, PPD-CHG

DATE SHIPPED: / 0/

ITEM #	QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION	STARTING MATERIAL
2	1	2 LR 90 S/80 WP316HS A/SA403	PIPE, A312-06, 316/316H

ITEM #	HEAT CODE	CHEMICAL ANALYSIS													
		C	Mn	P	S	Si	Ni	Cr	Mo	Cu	Cb	V	Al	N	Co
2	MPMK	0.06	1.14	0.037	0.011	0.49	12.36	16.80	2.12						0.096

ITEM #	PHYSICAL PROPERTIES			
	TENSILE (PSI)	YIELD (PSI)	ELONG (2 IN)	
2	80400	41800	49.00	0.00

ITEM #	SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
2	GRAIN SIZE: MPMK-ACTUAL:DUPLEX ASTM NO. 6 & ASTM NO. 4.0

SOLUTION ANNEALED, WATER QUENCHED.

ASME SA403 2004 ED; ASTM A403-06; EN 10204/3.1 ASME B16.9

THE FITTINGS WERE MANUFACTURED, SAMPLED, TESTED AND INSPECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS AND FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

THE MATERIAL WAS MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND INSPECTED WITHOUT MERCURY CONTAMINATION. NO WELD REPAIRS.

NACE MR0175

QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT

06/27/2008

36093 MK 12

DMV STAINLESS Italia s.r.l

Via Piò, 30 24062 Costa Volpino (BG)
Tel : 035.975.611 - Fax : 035.971624
e-mail: dm vitality@dmv-stainless.com

No

04.03625

Page 1/2

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

3.1.B - EN 10204 : 1991+A1 : 1995

Purchaser	DMV STAINLESS USA INC. .
Address	12050 W. LITTLE YORK HOUSTON .
Customers Order	0000211080 date 09/03/2004 .
DMV Ref. Order / Item	0000211107/000009 .
Part number	N.A.

Product

Seamless Stainless Steel Hot Finished Pipes Solution Annealed Pickled Plain Ends Square Cut Deburr

Specification	ASME SA-312 ED. 2001 ADD. 2002 ASTM A 312-01a
Grade	TP316 TP316H
Tolerances	ASTM A 312-01A AW
Marking	{LOGO_DMV} ASTM/ASME A/SA-312 TP316/TP316H SEAMLESS HEAT {HEAT} NPS 1 SCH 80S 33,4 x 4,55 - ITALY - DMV-I BUNDLE {BUNDLE}

Heat	No	Weight	Total length	OD	W. Th.	Length
54446	12	493,83 lbs	223' 1" ft.	NPS 1	SCH 80S	17' /24' ft.
Tot.	12	493,83 lbs	223' 1" ft.			

Heat 54446 Melting Process

Electric + AOD

Chemical analysis (%)

	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Cr	Mo	Ni
Min	0.040					16.000	2.000	11.000
Max	0.080	1.0000	2.00	0.045	0.0300	18.000	3.000	14.000
Heat	0.055	0.4000	1.90	0.022	0.0010	16.700	2.050	11.200

Mechanical and Metallurgical Properties

Tensile test (at 20 °C)

	Direct.	Y.S. 0,2%	U.T.S.	El 50 mm
	Long/Trans	ksi	ksi	%
Min		30,00	75,00	35.00
No 175148	L	48.62	93.99	48.00

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —Q.C. WM Date 6/7/08

AL 08-15-08

FLATTENING TEST

Required ASTM A 999

Result OK

CORROSION TEST

Required ASTM A 262 PR E

Result OK

MICROGRAPHIC EXAMINATION

No 175148 Grain size required max 7 , result 6,5. According to ASTM E 112

No 176020 Grain size required max 7 , result 6,5. According to ASTM E 112

Other tests and declarations

Heat treatment	1958°F	
Antimixing checked by PMI		OK
Visual and dimensional examination		OK
Eddy current testing	ASTM A 999	OK

DMV STAINLESS Italia s.r.l

Via Piò, 30 24062 Costa Volpino (BG)
Tel : 035.975.611 - Fax :035.971624
e-mail: dmvitaly@dmv-stainless.com

No

04.03625

Page 2/2

INSPECTION CERTIFICATE

3.1.B - EN 10204 : 1991+A1 : 1995

No weld repair

Tubes are free from mercury contamination

We certify that the delivered products comply with the request of the order

Corrosion test acc.to MIL-P-24691-3: satisfactory

Date 09-Sep-2004

Mill inspector F. RAZZITTI

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —

Q.C. WM Date 6/17/08

AI 08-15-08

Confirmation with reference to Pressure Equipment Directive 97/23/EC:

The works operates a quality management system that has undergone a specific assessment for materials for pressure equipment and is certified by a competent body (TUV-CERT.No: 70/2002/MUC)

This certificate is issued by a computerized system and is valid without signature. In case the owner of the original certificate would release a copy of he must attest its conformity to the original one, taking upon himself the responsibility for any unlawful or not allowed use. Any alteration and/or falsification will be subject to the law

CERTIFICATE OF TEST

36093 MK 14

CERTIFICATION #	CERTIFICATION DATE	MILL ORDER #	ITEM #	CUSTOMER PO #
135430	07/20/2007	0551805		69837

Sold To:

CORROSION MATERIALS
P.O. BOX 630
BAKER, LA 70714
USA

Ship To:

CORROSION MATERIALS
2262 GROOM ROAD
BAKER, LA 70714
USA

PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	PRODUCT SPECIFICATIONS
BRIGHT ANNEALED 0.500"OD X 0.065"AW UNS N06600 (SMLS)	ASTM-B-163-04/B-167-05a, ASME-SB-163-04/SB-167-04

Chemical Analysis

Heat #	Melt Source	C	Mn	P	S	Si	Cr	Ni	Mo	Cu	Co	N	Ti	Cb+Ta	Al	Fe	W	V
NX5605XR-L	USA	0.030	0.210	0.005	0.003	0.060	15.680	73.790	0.000	0.190	0.067	0.000	0.210	0.000	0.312	9.390	0.000	0.000
NX5605XR-C	USA	0.030	0.220	0.005	0.003	0.070	15.660	73.830	0.000	0.190	0.068	0.000	0.210	0.000	0.308	9.350	0.000	0.000

Mechanical Tests

Heat #	Lot #	Pieces	Footage	Tensile Strength (Psi)	Yield Strength (Psi)	%Elongation in 2"	Hardness (RB)
NX5605XR	1	51	1020	94800	51100	43	83

Flare Test - Pass

Hydrostatic Test - Pass

Heat treated at 1800 F min for sufficient time to achieve uniform temperature throughout the wall thickness and rapidly atmospherically quenched.

The raw material used to produce this tubing is DFARS compliant.

Melt Method - EF/AOD.

* Cold drawn - Made in the USA

* Produced in accordance with EN 10204 3.1

* Produced without contact with Mercury or other metals that are liquid at ambient temperature.

* No class I ozone depleting chemicals were used in the manufacture of this product.

* Produced without weld repair.

TRACER# 056450

Alan Mirarchi
Alan Mirarchi, Q.A.

The Results shown above are certified to be a correct statement of records that were derived from testing of samples of the material.

ASME

Yr 2007 Add. —

Q.C. WM Date 6/17/08

AI 08-15-08

36093 MK 16

WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

687 COUNTY ROAD 2201
CLEVELAND, TX 77327
PH (281) 727-7000 FAX (281) 727-7062

CERTIFIED MATERIAL TEST REPORT

CERTIFIED IAW EN10204 3.1 (MADE IN USA)

TO: GASPAR INC.	WFF SO NO:	11752
PO: 30214	HEAT NO:	8688074
SPECIFICATIONS: ASME SA182 F316 DIM/TOL PER ASME B16.5		

QTY	ITEM DESCRIPTION
1	2" 1500# BL RF
	PROJECT# 36093-16

TYPE	C	MN	P	S	SI	CR	NI	MO	N
F316	.047	1.70	.026	.02	.43	16.86	10.21	2.10	.049
MILL									

HEAT NO.	YIELD .2% OFF-PSI	TENSILE-PSI	% ELONG	% RED AREA	HARDNESS	GRAIN SIZE (ASTM)
8688074	40,700	81,360	57.8	55.7		

- NO WELDING PERFORMED ON THE FORGINGS OF THIS ORDER.
- MATERIAL HEREIN IS CERTIFIED FREE FROM ANY FORM OF MERCURY, RADIUM OR ALPHA PARTICLE CONTAMINATION THROUGHOUT ALL PHASES OF MANUFACTURE AND SHIPMENT.
- THE FORGINGS ON THIS ORDER CONFORM TO THE SPECIFIED DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS.
- MATERIAL MANUFACTURED IAW WFF QA PROGRAM QAM-WF07.0702.
- Solution annealed @1950°F and water quenched to below 300°F within less than three minutes.

WE CERTIFY THE MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN MANUFACTURED, TESTED AND EXAMINED IAW AND MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF ABOVE PURCHASE ORDER AND REFERENCED SPECIFICATIONS.

07/24/08

DATE

Rose Kay

ROSE KAY, QUALITY ASSURANCE ASSISTANT
WESTERN FORGE & FLANGE CO.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of Western Forge & Flange. The recording of false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or entries on this document may be punishable as felony under federal statutes.

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 080708-2 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 8/07/08 Page 1 of 2

CUSTOMER GASPAR LOCATION CANTON, OHIO
 PO# 10978 JOB# 360938 MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL
 WELDING PROCESS: SEE DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER .218/.337/.500 SCREENS FIB: 005"-010"
 FILM TYPE/SPEED: FUJI 59 FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 x 10 SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐
 OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 1" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 4 1/2" / 18" TIME: 1 MIN / 2 MIN Ug 0.020
 SOURCE 115 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 79 KV — MA —

RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SEC II PART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SEC VIII DIV 5
 IQI SIZE: 12/18 SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☒ IQI TYPE: ASTM E1025 SHIM THK: 1/16" / 1/4" TECH. USED A/B

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
 ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION	FILM INTERVAL NUMBERS	ACCEPT(V)	REJECT(X)	SLAG/TUNGSTEN	POROSITY	LACK/PENE	NONFUSION	CRACK	SURFACE	OTHER	REMARKS AND/OR DEFECT LOCATIONS
360938											NOTE: N.A.D. = No Apparent Defects
WS# 19	0°		X			X					
	90°		X				X				
WS# 20	0 - 1	✓									N.A.D.
	1 - 2	✓									N.A.D.
	2 - 0	✓									N.A.D.
WS# 21	0 - 1		X				X				
	1 - 2		X				X				
	2 - 0		X				X				
WS# 26	0 - 1		X				X				
	1 - 2		X				X				
	2 - 0		X				X				

REVIEWER: [Signature] RADIOGRAPHER: S.L. CLIENT REVIEWER: [Signature]
 SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II

"A" = Pipe Diameter ≤ 3 1/2"

"B" = Pipe O.D. ≥ 3 1/2" to Unlimited

"C" = Diameter limited by

DWE/DWV

X

DWE/DWV

SWE/SWV

SWE/SWV

DWE/SWV

X

SWE/SWV

AI REVIEW:
 08-12-08

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 080708-3 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: 08/07/08 Page 1 of 1

CUSTOMER GASPARZ

LOCATION CANTON OH 10

PO# 10978

JOB# 360938

MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED. ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

[illegible]

REVIEWER:

RADIOGRAPHER: 316

CLIENT REVIEWER

SNT-TC1A LEVEL:

SNT-TC1A LEVEL: *II*

BAKER INSPECTION GROUP

REPORT # 081208-2 RADIOGRAPHIC INSPECTION REPORT DATE: 08/12/08 Page 1 of 1

CUSTOMER GASPAR LOCATION CANTON, OHIO
 PO# 10978 JOB# 36093B MATERIAL TYPE: STAINLESS STEEL
 WELDING PROCESS: SEE DWG THICKNESS/DIAMETER 218/1/2/1.337 SCREENS F/B: 005"-010"
 FILM TYPE/SPEED: KODAK T FILM SIZE: 4 1/2 x 17 SINGLE ☒ DOUBLE ☐ OTHER ☐ PROCESSING: MANUAL ☒ AUTO ☐
 OBJECT TO FILM DISTANCE >"T" 1" SOURCE/OBJECT DISTANCE: 4 1/2/8 1/2/18 TIME: 2min/14sec/30sec Ug 0.020
 SOURCE 1115 X — IR. ☒ CO. ☐ X-RAY ☐ CURIES: 75 KV — MA —
 RADIOGRAPHIC TECHNIQUE: ASME SECT II ART 2 ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ASME SECT VIII DIV 5
 IQI SIZE: 12/1B SIDE: SOURCE ☒ FILM ☒ IQI TYPE: ASTM E 1025 SHIM THK: 3/4"/N/A TECH. USED A/B

WE ASSUME NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR LOSSES OF ANY KIND DUE TO OUR INTERPRETATION OF THE QUALITY OF THE MATERIAL SUBMITTED.
 ALL DATA AND INFORMATION WILL BE HELD STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.

SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION	FILM INTERVAL NUMBERS	ACCEPT(V)	REJECT(X)	SLAG/TUNGSTEN	POROSITY	LACK/PENE	NONFUSION	CRACK	SURFACE	OTHER	REMARKS AND/OR DEFECT LOCATIONS NOTE: N.A.D. = No Apparent Defects
<u>36093B</u>											
<u>WS#19</u>	<u>0°</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>NEW WELD</u>	<u>90°</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>WS#21</u>	<u>0 - 1</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>NEW WELD</u>	<u>1 - 2</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
	<u>2 - 0</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>WS#26</u>	<u>0 - 1</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>NEW WELD</u>	<u>1 - 2</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
	<u>2 - 0</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>
<u>WS#27 RI</u>	<u>2-0</u>	☒							☒		<u>I.D.</u>
<u>WS#28 RI</u>	<u>0°</u>	☒									<u>N.A.D.</u>

 REVIEWER: [Signature]
 SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II

 RADIOGRAPHER: S.L.
 SNT-TC1A LEVEL: II

 CLIENT REVIEWER
Wesley Morgan
"A" = Pipe Diameter $\leq 3\frac{1}{2}$ ""B" = Pipe O.D. $\geq 3\frac{1}{2}$ " to Unlimited

"C" = Diameter limited by

 AT REVIEW:
[Signature] 08-15-08

GASPAR, INC.
LIQUID PENETRANT INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: August 15, 2008

JOB #: 36093B

PT: PROCEDURE: PT-1 REV.7

PENETRANT TYPE : ☒ Direct Visual

ACCEPTANCE STANDARD: ☒ ASME Sect. VIII Div.I ☐ ASME B31.1 ☐ Other -

MATERIAL IDENTIFICATION

CLEANER: SKC-S

PENETRANT: SKL-SP

DEVELOPER: SKD-S

LIGHTING EQUIPMENT: Portable AC Halogen single light of 100 watts.

COMPONENT DESCRIPTION / PC. #: LOWER CHAR POT

DRAWING #: 36093 REV.2

THICKNESS / DIMENSIONS: SEE DWG.

WELD ID OR PART NUMBER	TEMP.	SURF. COND.	ACCEPT/ REJECT	SIZE AND TYPE OF INDICATION	REMARKS
ALL PRESSURE WELDS	70°F	AS WELDED	ACCEPT	NONE	

ADDITIONAL REMARKS, SKETCHES, ETC.

PERFORMED BY: JIM FREDERICK/LEVEL II

CALCULATION PACKAGE

FABRICATOR

GASPAR INC.

1545 WHIPPLE AVE SW

CANTON OH, 44710

PHONE 330-477-2222

PURCHASER **ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE CO.**

LOCATION **PHEONIX, AZ**

P.O. **700521452**

DATE **Apr 28, 2008**

VESSEL **CHAR POTS (UPPER & LOWER)**

ITEM NUMBER **PRTY# 2**

GASPAR SERIAL# **36093**

AUG 13, 2008: CHANGED LOWER CHAR POT PRESSURE TEST FROM HYDRO TO PNEUMATIC.

AUG 06, 2008: 2 1/2" PIPE 316H MATERIAL CHANGED TO 3" OD INCONEL 600 MATERIAL.

Aug 14, 2008	SEE NOTE	<i>Matthew L. Miller</i>
Aug 6, 2008	SEE NOTE (MLM)	
Jul 31, 2008	CALCULATIONS PER ASME VIII-1 (MLM)	
May 8, 2008	FOR APPROVAL (MLM)	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY

Table of Contents

1. COMPONENTS INCLUDED IN PRESSURE BOUNDARY
2. UPPER CHAR POT
3. LOWER CHAR POT

Table Of Contents

1. Pressure Summary
2. Hydrostatic Test
3. Weight Summary
4. 2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)
5. 3 OD" PIPE
6. 4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END
7. 4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
8. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
9. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END
10. 8" PIPE
11. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END
12. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
13. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
14. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END
15. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#
16. 2" BRANCH (B1)
17. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)
18. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)
19. Wind Code
20. Seismic Code

Pressure Summary

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MAWP (psi)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
<u>3 OD" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,250	-325	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,397.87	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 2	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 2	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 2	No
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 3, 4	No
<u>2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,169.51	-320	Note 2	No
<u>2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) - Flange Hub</u>	1,150	1,000	2,075.87	-320	Note 5	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 3, 4	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 6	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 6	No
<u>2" BRANCH (B1)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,250	-320	Note 2	No

Chamber design MDMT is -20 °F
Chamber rated MDMT is -55 °F @ 1,169.51 psi
Chamber MAWP was used in the MDMT determination

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

Notes for MDMT Rating:

Note #	Exemption	Details
1.	Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F	
2.	Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F	

3.	Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b)	
4.	Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F
5.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.33389)	
6.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.0909)	

Hydrostatic Test

Shop test pressure determination based on design P per UG-99(b)

Shop hydrostatic test gauge pressure is 1,495 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,150 psi)

The shop test is performed with the vessel in the horizontal position.

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-99 stress ratio	UG-99 pressure factor
3 OD" PIPE	1,495.232	0.232	3.2714	1.30
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	1,495.233	0.233	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,495.329	0.329	1.3072	1.30
8" PIPE	1,495.327	0.327	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,495.329	0.329	1.3072	1.30
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,495.26	0.26	1.3072	1.30
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	1,495.225	0.226	1.3072	1.30
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	1,495.227	0.227	N/A	1.30
2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) (1)	1,495.231	0.231	1	1.30
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)	1,495.034	0.034	1.7699	1.30
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)	1,495.379	0.379	1.7699	1.30
2" BRANCH (B1)	1,495.88	0.88	1.3072	1.30

Notes:

(1) 2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) limits the UG-99 stress ratio.

(2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded *	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
3 OD" PIPE	4.4	4.1	0	0	0	0	3.5
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	1.2	1	0	0	0	0	0.3
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
8" PIPE	140.1	132.2	0	0	0	0	62.7
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	32	32	0	0	0	0	0
Support Skirt #1	3.8	3.8	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	213.2	202.3	0	0	0	0	81.2

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
3 OD" PIPE	35.9	35.6	7.9	6.9	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" PIPE	0	0	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Support Skirt #1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	60.9	60.6	8.6	7.6	0	0	0	0	0

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 270 lb
Vessel operating weight, New: 283 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 270 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 283 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 364 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 283 lb
Center of Gravity: 36.2851"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 9 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 10 US gal

**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED)

ASME VIII-1, 2007 Edition, Appendix 2 Flange Calculations

Flange is attached to:	3 OD" PIPE (Top)	
Flange type:	Weld neck integral	
Flange material specification:	SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)	
Bolt material specification:	SA-193 B8 2 Bolt ($3/4 < t \leq 1$) (II-D p. 356, ln. 27)	
Bolt Description:	1 in Coarse Thread	
Internal design pressure, P:	1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F	
Required flange thickness: t_r =	1.5402 in	
Maximum allowable working pressure, MAWP:	1,169.51 psi @ 1,000 °F	
Corrosion allowance:	Bore = 0.03 in	Flange = 0 in
Bolt corrosion (root), C_{bolt} :	0 in	
Design MDMT:	-20 °F	No impact test performed
Rated MDMT:	-320 °F	Flange material is not normalized Material is not produced to fine grain practice PWHT is not performed
Estimated weight:	New = 35.9 lb	corroded = 35.6 lb

Flange dimensions, new

flange OD A = 9.625 in
 bolt circle C = 7.5 in
 gasket OD = 5.988 in
 gasket ID = 5.088 in
 flange ID B = 2.323 in
 thickness t = 1.55 in
 bolting = 8- 1 in dia
 hub thickness g_1 = 1.3028 in
 hub thickness g_0 = 0.276 in
 hub length h = 1.876 in
 length e = 4.0404 in
 gasket factor m = 3
 seating stress y = 10,000.00 psi

Note: this flange is calculated as an integral type.

Flange calculations for Internal Pressure + Wind

Gasket details from facing sketch 1(a) or (b), Column II

Gasket width N = 0.45 in

$$b_0 = N/2 = 0.225 \text{ in}$$

Effective gasket seating width, $b = b_0 = 0.225 \text{ in}$

$$G = (\text{OD of contact face} + \text{gasket ID}) / 2 = 5.538 \text{ in}$$

$$h_G = (C - G)/2 = (7.5 - 5.538)/2 = 0.981 \text{ in}$$

$$h_D = R + g_1/2 = 1.2857 + 1.2728/2 = 1.9221 \text{ in}$$

$$h_T = (R + g_1 + h_G)/2 = (1.2857 + 1.2728 + 0.981)/2 = 1.7698 \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_p &= 2 \cdot b \cdot 3.14 \cdot G \cdot m \cdot P \\ &= 2 \cdot 0.225 \cdot 3.14 \cdot 5.538 \cdot 3 \cdot 1,150 \\ &= 26,996.92 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= 0.785 \cdot G^2 \cdot P \\ &= 0.785 \cdot 5.538^2 \cdot 1,150 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 27,686.84 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_D &= 0.785 \cdot B^2 \cdot P \\ &= 0.785 \cdot 2.383^2 \cdot 1,150 \\ &= 5,126.44 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_T &= H - H_D \\ &= 27,686.84 - 5,126.44 \\ &= 22,560.41 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m1} &= H + H_p \\ &= 27,686.84 + 26,996.92 \\ &= 54,683.77 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{m2} &= 3.14 \cdot b \cdot G \cdot y \\ &= 3.14 \cdot 0.225 \cdot 5.538 \cdot 10,000 \\ &= 39,125.98 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

Required bolt area, $A_m = \text{greater of } A_{m1}, A_{m2} = 2.734188 \text{ in}^2$

$$A_{m1} = W_{m1} / S_b = 54,683.77 / 20,000 = 2.7342 \text{ in}^2$$

$$A_{m2} = W_{m2} / S_a = 39,125.98 / 20,000 = 1.9563 \text{ in}^2$$

Total area for 8- 1 in dia bolts, corroded, $A_b = 4.408 \text{ in}^2$

$$\begin{aligned} W &= (A_m + A_b) \cdot S_a / 2 \\ &= (2.7342 + 4.408) \cdot 20,000 / 2 \\ &= 71,421.88 \text{ lb}_f \end{aligned}$$

$$M_D = H_D \cdot h_D = 5,126.44 \cdot 1.9221 = 9,853.5 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_T = H_T \cdot h_T = 22,560.41 \cdot 1.7698 = 39,926.3 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$H_G = W_{m1} - H = 54,683.77 - 27,686.84 = 26,996.92 \text{ lb}_f$$

$$M_G = H_G \cdot h_G = 26,996.92 \cdot 0.981 = 26,484 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_o = M_D + M_T + M_G = 9,853.5 + 39,926.3 + 26,484 = 76,263.8 \text{ lb-in}$$

$$M_g = W \cdot h_G = 71,421.88 \cdot 0.981 = 70,064.9 \text{ lb-in}$$

Hub and Flange Factors

$$h_0 = (B * g_0)^{1/2} = (2.383 * 0.246)^{1/2} = 0.7656 \text{ in}$$

From FIG. 2-7.1, where $K = A/B = 9.625/2.383 = 4.039$

$$T = 1.003 \quad Z = 1.1306 \quad Y = 1.4349 \quad U = 1.5768$$

$$h/h_0 = 2.4502 \quad g_1/g_0 = 5.174$$

$$F = 0.4731 \quad V = 0.0152 \quad e = F/h_0 = 0.6179$$

$$d = (U/V) * h_0 * g_0^2 = (1.576849/0.0152) * 0.7656 * 0.246^2 \\ = 4.8108 \text{ in}^3$$

Stresses at operating conditions - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$f = 1$$

$$L = (t * e + 1)/T + t^3/d \\ = (1.55 * 0.6179 + 1)/1.003027 + 1.55^3/4.8108 \\ = 2.725902$$

$$S_H = f * M_o / (L * g_1^2 * B) \\ = 1 * 76,263.8 / (2.725902 * 1.2728^2 * 2.383) \\ = 7,247 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 * t * e + 1) * M_o / (L * t^2 * B) \\ = (1.33 * 1.55 * 0.6179 + 1) * 76,263.8 / (2.725902 * 1.55^2 * 2.383) \\ = 11,112 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y * M_o / (t^2 * B) - Z * S_R \\ = 1.4349 * 76,263.8 / (1.55^2 * 2.383) - 1.1306 * 11,112 \\ = 6,552 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{fo} = 11,300 \text{ psi}$$

$$\text{Allowable stress } S_{no} = 7,000 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$S_H \text{ does not exceed } \text{Min}[1.5 * S_{fo}, 2.5 * S_{no}] = 16,950 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R \text{ does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 9,179 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

$$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 6,899 \text{ psi does not exceed } S_{fo}$$

Stresses at gasket seating - VIII-1, Appendix 2-7

$$S_H = f * M_g / (L * g_1^2 * B) \\ = 1 * 70,064.9 / (2.725902 * 1.2728^2 * 2.383)$$

$$= 6,658 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_R = (1.33 \cdot t \cdot e + 1) \cdot M_g / (L \cdot t^2 \cdot B)$$

$$= (1.33 \cdot 1.55 \cdot 0.6179 + 1) \cdot 70,064.9 / (2.725902 \cdot 1.55^2 \cdot 2.383)$$

$$= 10,208 \text{ psi}$$

$$S_T = Y \cdot M_g / (t^2 \cdot B) - Z \cdot S_R$$

$$= 1.4349 \cdot 70,064.9 / (1.55^2 \cdot 2.383) - 1.1306 \cdot 10,208$$

$$= 6,019 \text{ psi}$$

Allowable stress $S_{fa} = 20,000 \text{ psi}$

Allowable stress $S_{na} = 22,900 \text{ psi}$

S_T does not exceed S_{fa}

S_H does not exceed $\text{Min}[1.5 \cdot S_{fa}, 2.5 \cdot S_{na}] = 30,000 \text{ psi}$

S_R does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_R) = 8,433 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

$0.5(S_H + S_T) = 6,339 \text{ psi}$ does not exceed S_{fa}

Flange rigidity per VIII-1, Appendix 2-14

$$J = 52.14 \cdot V \cdot M_o / (L \cdot E \cdot g_o^2 \cdot K_I \cdot h_o)$$

$$= 52.14 \cdot 0.0152 \cdot 76,263.8 / (2.7259 \cdot 22,800,000 \cdot 0.246^2 \cdot 0.3 \cdot 0.7656)$$

$$= 0.06990274$$

The flange rigidity index J does not exceed 1; satisfactory.

2 1/2" WN FLANGE #1500 (MODIFIED) - Flange hub

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Flange hub
 Material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.33389)

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000^\circ\text{F}$

Static liquid head:

Not Considered

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20°F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320°F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT

Top circumferential joint - N/A
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 1.1 lb corr = 1 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.04 US gal

OD = 2.875"
Length L_c = 1.876"
t = 0.276"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$t = \frac{P \cdot R_o}{(S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion}}$$

$$= \frac{1,150 \cdot 1.4375}{(11,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03}$$

$$= 0.1706"$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$P = \frac{S \cdot E \cdot t}{(R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s}$$

$$= \frac{11,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.246}{(1.4375 - 0.40 \cdot 0.246) - 0}$$

$$= 2,075.87 \text{ psi}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$EFE = (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o)$$

$$= (50 \cdot 0.276 / 1.2995) \cdot (1 - 1.2995 / \infty)$$

$$= 10.6195 \%$$

Design thickness = 0.1706"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.276" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S_t	S_c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	11,300	10,369	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0497	0.0496
						Seismic	0.05	0.0494
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	11,300	10,454	1,000	0	Wind	0.0485	0.0484
						Seismic	0.0488	0.0481
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,651	0	0.03	Wind	0	0
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0002
						Wind	0	0

Empty, New	0	20,000	14,737	0	0	Seismic	0.0002	0.0002
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	11,300	10,369	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0

3 OD" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SB-166 Annealed
N06600 (II-D p. 222, ln. 3)
Rated MDMT per UNF-65 = -325 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.43''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -325 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 4.8 lb corr = 4.4 lb
Capacity New = 0.11 US gal corr = 0.12 US gal

OD = 3"
Length $L_c = 6''$
 $t = 0.32''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.5 / (7,000 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.2613'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 7,000 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.25 / (1.5 - 0.40 \cdot 0.25) - 0 \\ &= 1,250 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.2613"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.32" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	7,000	7,000	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.083	0.0769
						Seismic	0.0846	0.0752
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	7,000	7,000	1,000	0	Wind	0.0814	0.0744
						Seismic	0.0831	0.0727
Empty, Corroded	0	22,900	13,800	0	0.03	Wind	0.0007	0.0019
						Seismic	0.0012	0.0027
Empty, New	0	22,900	13,800	0	0	Wind	0.0009	0.0021
						Seismic	0.0014	0.003
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	7,000	7,000	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0025	0.0041

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.4455''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 1.2 lb corr = 1 lb
Capacity New = 0.04 US gal corr = 0.04 US gal

OD = 2.875"

Length $L_c = 2''$

t = 0.242"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.4375 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1349'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.212 / (1.4375 - 0.40 \cdot 0.212) - 0 \\ &= 2,397.87 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.242 / 1.3165) \cdot (1 - 1.3165 / \infty) \\ &= 9.1910 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1349"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.242" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,261	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0391	0.0361
						Seismic	0.04	0.0351
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,357	1,000	0	Wind	0.0384	0.0349
						Seismic	0.0394	0.0339
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,528	0	0.03	Wind	0.0009	0.0019
						Seismic	0.0016	0.003
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,638	0	0	Wind	0.0011	0.0021
						Seismic	0.0018	0.0032
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,261	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0012	0.0029

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 7.205", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential Full UW-11(a) Type 1
joint -

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 2"$
 $t = 0.295"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0618	0.0606
						Seismic	0.0623	0.0601
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.061	0.0596
						Seismic	0.0614	0.059
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0009
						Seismic	0.0007	0.0014
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.001
						Seismic	0.0007	0.0015
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0013

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205'$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
 Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length L_c = 3"
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0619	0.0605
						Seismic	0.0624	0.0599
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.061	0.0595
						Seismic	0.0616	0.0589
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.001
						Seismic	0.0008	0.0016
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.001
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0017
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0013

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 3''$
 $t = 0.4375''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1209	0.1205
						Seismic	0.121	0.1203
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1199	0.1195
						Seismic	0.1201	0.1193
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0004
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0006
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0004
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0006
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0.0005

8" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p.
78, ln. 1)
Pipe NPS and Schedule: 8" Sch 80S (XS)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.0625$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 140.6 lb corr = 132.7 lb
Capacity New = 7.51 US gal corr = 7.63 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 38$ "
 $t = 0.5$ "

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.5" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1195	0.1173
						Seismic	0.1199	0.1169
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1186	0.1164
						Seismic	0.119	0.1158
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0005	0.0016
						Seismic	0.0008	0.0021
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0005	0.0017
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0022
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.001

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 3''$
 $t = 0.4375''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1216	0.1191
						Seismic	0.1219	0.1187
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1206	0.1182
						Seismic	0.121	0.1177
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0006	0.0018
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0022
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0006	0.0018
						Seismic	0.0009	0.0024
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0005	0.001

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 7.205", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 3"$
 $t = 0.295"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0655	0.0555
						Seismic	0.0668	0.0541
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0647	0.0544
						Seismic	0.0663	0.0527
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0032	0.0062
						Seismic	0.0042	0.0078
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0032	0.0064
						Seismic	0.0044	0.0082
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0006	0.0025

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length $L_c = 2$ "
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0659	0.0552
						Seismic	0.0671	0.0538
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.065	0.0541
						Seismic	0.0665	0.0524
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0034	0.0066
						Seismic	0.0044	0.0081
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0035	0.0068
						Seismic	0.0046	0.0086
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0006	0.0025

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 6.2465", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"

Length $L_c = 2"$

t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0532	0.0066
						Seismic	0.0571	0.0023
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.053	0.0047
						Seismic	0.0582	0.0016
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0164	0.0266
						Seismic	0.0194	0.0312
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.017	0.0274
						Seismic	0.021	0.0332
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0	0.0067

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

2" BRANCH (B1)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.25 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on:	3 OD" PIPE
Liquid static head included:	0 psi
Nozzle material specification:	SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p. 78, ln. 1)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency:	1
Nozzle description:	2" Sch 80S (XS)
Nozzle orientation:	190°
Local vessel minimum thickness:	0.28 in
Nozzle center/shell outer surface intersection to datum:	69.25 in
End of nozzle to shell center:	19.25 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new:	1.939 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness:	0.218 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance:	0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr:	17.7139 in
Nozzle is tilted:	-5° from radial

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

Appendix 1-10 Maximum Local Primary Membrane Stress For P = 1250 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
P _L (psi)	S _{allow} (psi)	A ₁ (in ²)	A ₂ (in ²)	A ₃ (in ²)	A ₅ (in ²)	A _{welds} (in ²)	t _{req} (in)	t _{min} (in)
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1648	0.1908

Division 2 Part 4.5 Strength of Nozzle Attachment Welds Summary Average Shear Stress in Weld								
	L _t	L _{41T}	L _{42T}	L _{43T}	f _{welds}	τ	S	Over

k_y	(in)	(in)	(in)	(in)	(lb _f)	(psi)	(psi)	stressed
1	1.8683	0.1768	0	0	1,517.52	4,073	7,000	No

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1316	0.175	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The thickness requirements of UG-45 govern the MAP of this nozzle.

Appendix 1-10 Maximum Local Primary Membrane Stress For P = 3519.48 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
P _L (psi)	S _{allow} (psi)	A ₁ (in ²)	A ₂ (in ²)	A ₃ (in ²)	A ₅ (in ²)	A _{welds} (in ²)	t _{req} (in)	t _{min} (in)
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1907	0.1908

Division 2 Part 4.5 Strength of Nozzle Attachment Welds Summary Average Shear Stress in Weld								
k_y	L _τ (in)	L _{41T} (in)	L _{42T} (in)	L _{43T} (in)	f _{welds} (lb _f)	τ (psi)	S (psi)	Over stressed
1	1.8682	0.1768	0	0	4,041.71	9,950	22,900	No

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.1526	0.175	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 0°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 48.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr} : 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(lower)} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$Leg_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
Liquid static head included: 0 psi
Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation: 180°
Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 48.75 in
End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, Lpr: 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Wind Code

Building Code: ASCE 7-05
Elevation of base above grade: 0.0000 ft
Increase effective outer diameter by: 0.0000 ft
Wind Force Coefficient Cf: 0.8400
Basic Wind Speed, V: 85.0000 mph
Importance Factor, I: 1.1500
Exposure category: C
Wind Directionality Factor, Kd: 0.9500
Topographic Factor, Kzt: 1.0000
Enforce min. loading of 10 psf: No

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height, h: 6.3584 ft
Vessel Minimum Diameter, b
 Operating, Corroded: 0.1979 ft
 Empty, Corroded: 0.1979 ft
Fundamental Frequency, n_1
 Operating, Corroded: 7.7056 Hz
 Empty, Corroded: 8.5724 Hz
 Vacuum, Corroded: 7.7056 Hz
Damping coefficient, β
 Operating, Corroded: 0.0179
 Empty, Corroded: 0.0200
 Vacuum, Corroded: 0.0179

Table Lookup Values

2.4.1 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

5. $D + H + W$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Reports:

Operating, Corroded

Empty, Corroded

Wind Pressure Calculations

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	0.25	26.5	0.0001106	0	3	12	0.045
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	0.24	22.8	7.63e-005	0	3	13	0.0383
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	4	13	0.037
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	5	15	0.0357
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	8	16	0.0337
8" PIPE	18.26	0.72	22.8	0.004843	0	38	88	0.0318
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	40	98	0.0071
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	41	108	0.0051
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	42	115	0.0032
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	43	137	0.002

Wind Deflection Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	0.25	31.0	0.0001106	0	3	12	0.0364
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	0.24	28.3	7.63e-005	0	3	13	0.031

4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	4	13	0.0299
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	5	15	0.0288
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	8	16	0.0272
8" PIPE	18.26	0.72	28.3	0.004843	0	38	88	0.0257
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	40	98	0.0057
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	41	108	0.0041
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	42	115	0.0026
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	0.20	28.3	3.326e- 005	0	43	137	0.0016

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G_f) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/\alpha} \\
&= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * \underline{V}^2 * \underline{I} \text{ psf} \\
&= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 85.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
&= 20.2069 * K_z \\
WP &= q_z * \underline{G_f} * \underline{C_f} \\
&= q_z * \underline{G_f} * 0.8400
\end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K_z	q_z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)

15.0	0.8489	17.15	13.16	13.16	N.A.	N.A.
------	--------	-------	-------	-------	------	------

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 6.3584 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 6.3584) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9781 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9781) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9135
 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 6.3584 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 6.3584) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9781 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9781) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0.9135$$

Table Lookup Values

$\alpha = 9.5000$, $Z_g = 900.0000$ ' [Table 6-2, page 78]

$c = 0.2000$, $l = 500.0000$, $ep = 0.2000$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$a^- = 0.1538$, $b^- = 0.6500$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$g_Q = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

$g_v = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	I = 1.5000
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 10.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4-2	R = 3.0000
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	= 0.3333
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	= 0.2000

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load = ρQ_E

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 6.3584 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 270 lb

Empty, Corroded: 270 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.130 sec (f = 7.7 Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.117 sec (f = 8.6 Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \pi * \text{Sqr}(\{\text{Sum}(W_i * y_i^2)\} / \{g * \text{Sum}(W_i * y_i)\}), \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and
 y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	26.5	0.0001	13	19
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	22.8	0.0001	13	21
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	22.8	0.0004	14	23
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	22.8	0.0004	14	27
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	22.8	0.0043	16	30
8" PIPE	18.26	22.8	0.0048	35	118
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	22.8	0.0043	36	126
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	22.8	0.0004	36	135
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	22.8	0.0004	36	141
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	22.8	0.0000	37	160
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500# (top)	4	20.4	0.0124	37	160
Support Skirt #1	0	29.3	0.001519	37	173

Seismic Shear Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
3 OD" PIPE	66.26	31.0	0.0001	13	19
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2 1/2" END	64.26	28.3	0.0001	13	21
4" X 2 1/2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	62.26	28.3	0.0004	14	23
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	59.26	28.3	0.0004	14	27
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	56.26	28.3	0.0043	16	30

8" PIPE	18.26	28.3	0.0048	35	118
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	15.26	28.3	0.0043	36	126
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	12.26	28.3	0.0004	36	135
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	28.3	0.0004	36	141
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	4.01	28.3	0.0000	37	160
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500# (top)	4	29.4	0.0124	37	160
Support Skirt #1	0	29.4	0.001519	37	173

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = F_a * S_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = F_v * S_l = 2.4000 * 10.00 / 100 = 0.2400$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{DI}

$$S_{DI} = 2 / 3 * S_{MI} = 2 / 3 * 0.2400 = 0.1600$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side:} = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is:				
greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	37	270.5	0.046	0.2
Operating, New	39	282.6	0.046	0.2
Empty, Corroded	37	270.5	0.046	0.2
Empty, New	39	282.6	0.046	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.
 $T = 0.130$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:
 C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.
 C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.130) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \frac{S_{DS}}{(R/I)} = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_s \text{ Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{ Max} = \frac{S_{DI}}{(T * (R/I))} = 0.1600 / (0.1281 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.6244$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$\begin{aligned} V &= C_s * W \\ &= 0.1973 * 270.4562 \\ &= 53.37 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_h &= 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)} \\ &= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 53.37 \\ &= 37.36 \text{ lb} \end{aligned}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.
 $T = 0.117$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:
 C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.
 C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.117) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{s\text{Min}} = 0.01$$

$$C_{s\text{Max}} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.1167 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.6858$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * \underline{W}$$

$$= 0.1973 * 270.4562$$

$$= 53.37 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 53.37$$

$$= 37.36 \text{ lb}$$

**CALCULATIONS PER ASME B31.3
2006 EDITION**

**NOTE: THESE CALCULATIONS INCLUDE
UPPER CHAR POT ONLY**

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2)
AND SECTION 304.1.2 EQUATION #3A
 $t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$

FOR 2" STRAIGHT PIPE		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.218	MIN WALL 0.19075 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	2.375	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-312-TP316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
$t_m =$	0.12107	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m , THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2)
AND SECTION 304.1.2 EQUATION #3A
 $t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$

FOR 1" STRAIGHT PIPE		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.179	MIN WALL 0.15663 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	1.315	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-312-TP316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
$t_m =$	0.08043	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m , THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS
SEC. 304.3.2(B), SEC. 328.5, 328.5.4; ASME B16.11 SEC 2.1

ASME B16.11 TABLE 2, ASME B1.20.1 NPT

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.11 1/2" NPT THREADED FITTING		CLASS 6000
NOM WALL THK	0.294	MIN WALL 0.25725 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	0.84	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-182-F316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.087	in PER ASME B1.20.1 (dimension "h") + corr. allowance
t _m =	0.11921	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

REQUIRED WELD SIZE ON 2" PIPE
PER SEC. 328.5.4(C,D) & FIG. 328.5.4D
FULL PENETRATION GROOVE WELD FINISHED WITH COVER FILLET WELD
FILLET WELD SIZE: t_c = LESSER OF 0.7 T_b OR 1/4"

t_c = 0.2058 in. FILLET WELD ROOT DEPTH

t_c = 0.3125 in. FILLET WELD SIZE (LEG)

FIG. 328.5.4D (1)

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS; ASME B16.9 SEC. 2.1

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.9- 2" X 1" REDUCING ELBOW-2" END		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.218	MIN WALL 0.19075 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	2.375	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F
MATERIAL	SA-403-316	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
t _m =	0.12107	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN t_m, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

PER SECTION 304.1.1(A) EQUATION (2), SEC. 304.1.5 EQUATION #3A,
SEC. 326.1, 326.2, AND TABLE 326.1 STANDARDS; ASME B16.9 SEC. 2.1

$$t_m = (P \cdot D_o / (2 \cdot (S \cdot E \cdot W + P \cdot Y))) + c$$

FOR B16.9- 2" X 1" REDUCING ELBOW-1" END		SCH 80
NOM WALL THK	0.179	MIN WALL 0.15663 in
P	1150	psi
D _o	1.315	in
DESIGN TEMP	1000	F

MATERIAL	SA-403-216	
S	15300	PER TABLE A-1
E	1	PER TABLE A1-B
W	0.95	PER SECTION 302.3.5(E)
Y	0.4	FROM TABLE 304.1.1(A)
c	0.03	in
tm =	0.08043	in

SINCE THE MIN WALL THK IS GREATER THAN tm, THE THICKNESS IS ADEQUATE

MINIMUM PNEUMATIC TEST PRESSURE

PER SECTION 345.5 AND SEC. 345.5.4

$P_t = 1.1 \cdot P$

DESIGN PRESSURE 1150 psi

MATERIAL	P_t
SA-312-TP316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi
SA-182-F316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi
SA-403-WP316 TABLE A-1	1265 psi

HYDROSTATIC SHELL TEST

PER ASME B16.5 SECTION 8.3

TEST PRESSURE= 1.5 THE 100F RATING (ROUND UP TO NEAREST 25 psi)

MIN PNEUMATIC TEST PRESSURE= 1265 psi

Table Of Contents

1. Pressure Summary
2. Pneumatic Test
3. Weight Summary
4. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER
5. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END
6. 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
7. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END
8. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END
9. 8" PIPE
10. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END
11. 8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
12. 4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END
13. 4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END
14. 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER
15. ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER
16. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)
17. 1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)
18. Wind Code
19. Seismic Code

Pressure Summary

Identifier	P Design (psi)	T Design (°F)	MAWP (psi)	MDMT (°F)	MDMT Exemption	Impact Tested
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" PIPE</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.53	-320	Note 1	No
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	1,150	1,000	1,891.09	-320	Note 1	No
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	1,150	1,000	2,193.3	-320	Note 1	No
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 2, 3	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-320	Note 2	No
<u>2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER</u>	1,150	1,000	1,820	-55	Note 2, 3	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 4	No
<u>1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)</u>	1,150	1,000	1,502.52	-320	Note 4	No

Chamber design MDMT is -20 °F

Chamber rated MDMT is -55 °F @ 1,502.52 psi

This pressure chamber is not designed for external pressure.

Notes for MDMT Rating:

Note #	Exemption	Details
1.	Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F	
2.	Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b)	
3.	Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F
4.	Impact test exempt per UHA-51(g)(coincident ratio = 0.11801)	

Pneumatic Test

Shop test pressure determination based on design P per UG-100(b)

Shop pneumatic test gauge pressure is 1,653.608 psi at 70 °F (the chamber design P = 1,150 psi)

Identifier	Local test pressure psi	Test liquid static head psi	UG-100 stress ratio	UG-100 pressure factor
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END (1)	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER	1,653.608	0	N/A	1.10
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" PIPE	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	1,653.608	0	1.3072	1.10
2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER	1,653.608	0	N/A	1.10
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)	1,653.608	0	1.7699	1.10
1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)	1,653.608	0	1.7699	1.10

Notes:

- (1) 4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END limits the UG-100 stress ratio.
- (2) The zero degree angular position is assumed to be up, and the test liquid height is assumed to the top-most flange.

Weight Summary

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Vessel Elements						
	Metal New*	Metal Corroded *	Insulation & Supports	Lining	Piping + Liquid	Operating Liquid	Test Liquid
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
<u>8" PIPE</u>	132.7	125.2	0	0	0	0	59.4
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	9.8	9.2	0	0	0	0	5.1
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	3.4	3.1	0	0	0	0	1.3
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	2.3	2	0	0	0	0	0.9
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	0.8	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.2
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER</u>	32	32	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Support Skirt #1</u>	1.9	1.9	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	199	189	0	0	0	0	74.4

* Shells with attached nozzles have weight reduced by material cut out for opening.

Component	Weight (lb) Contributed by Attachments								
	Body Flanges		Nozzles & Flanges		Packed Beds	Ladders & Platforms	Trays & Supports	Rings & Clips	Vertical Loads
	New	Corroded	New	Corroded					
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" PIPE</u>	0	0	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END</u>	25	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Support Skirt #1</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:	50	50	0.7	0.7	0	0	0	0	0

Vessel operating weight, Corroded: 240 lb

Vessel operating weight, New: 250 lb
Vessel empty weight, Corroded: 240 lb
Vessel empty weight, New: 250 lb
Vessel test weight, New: 324 lb

Vessel center of gravity location - from datum - lift condition

Vessel Lift Weight, New: 250 lb
Center of Gravity: 27.7778"

Vessel Capacity

Vessel Capacity** (New): 9 US gal
Vessel Capacity** (Corroded): 9 US gal

**The vessel capacity does not include volume of nozzle, piping or other attachments.

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, UPPER

Flange description: 2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material: SB-166 Annealed Bolt N06600
Flange rated MDMT: -320°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))
Liquid static head on flange: 0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP: 1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP: 3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test: 5425 psi @ 70°F

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.2465$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"

Length $L_c = 2$ "

t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0316	0.0315
						Seismic	0.032	0.0311
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.0307	0.0306
						Seismic	0.0311	0.0301
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0007
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.0002	0.0003
						Seismic	0.0002	0.0007
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0004	0.0004

4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length L_c = 2"
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0613	0.0613
						Seismic	0.0615	0.0611
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0604	0.0603
						Seismic	0.0605	0.0601
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0003
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0003
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
Length $L_c = 3''$
 $t = 0.295''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0613	0.0612
						Seismic	0.0616	0.061
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0604	0.0603
						Seismic	0.0606	0.06
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0005
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0002
						Seismic	0.0001	0.0005
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.125''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
 Length $L_c = 3''$
 t = 0.4375"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1207	0.1207
						Seismic	0.1208	0.1206
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1198	0.1197
						Seismic	0.1199	0.1196
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0001	0.0001
						Seismic	0	0.0002
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0001	0.0001
						Seismic	0	0.0002
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0002	0.0002

8" PIPE

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-312 TP316H Wld & smls pipe (II-D p.
78, ln. 1)
Pipe NPS and Schedule: 8" Sch 80S (XS)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi}$ (SG = 1, $H_s = 9.0625''$, Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
Bottom circumferential Full UW-11(a) Type 1
joint -

Estimated weight New = 133.2 lb corr = 125.7 lb
Capacity New = 7.12 US gal corr = 7.23 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 36''$
 $t = 0.5''$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447'' \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.5" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1193	0.1177
						Seismic	0.1195	0.1175
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1183	0.1168
						Seismic	0.1186	0.1165
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0003	0.0012
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0015
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0003	0.0012
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0016
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0007	0.0007

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.33 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 9.125", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I
Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type I

Estimated weight New = 9.8 lb corr = 9.2 lb
Capacity New = 0.61 US gal corr = 0.62 US gal

OD = 8.625"
Length $L_c = 3"$
 $t = 0.4375"$

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 4.3125 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.3447" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.4075 / (4.3125 - 0.40 \cdot 0.4075) - 0 \\ &= 1,502.53 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.4375 / 4.0938) \cdot (1 - 4.0938 / \infty) \\ &= 5.3435 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.3447"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.4375" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.1213	0.1195
						Seismic	0.1215	0.1193
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	9,995	1,000	0	Wind	0.1203	0.1186
						Seismic	0.1206	0.1183
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,142	0	0.03	Wind	0.0004	0.0013
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0016
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,203	0	0	Wind	0.0004	0.0014
						Seismic	0.0005	0.0017
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	9,945	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0007	0.0007

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 7.205$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 3.4 lb corr = 3.1 lb
 Capacity New = 0.16 US gal corr = 0.16 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length $L_c = 3$ "
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0644	0.057
						Seismic	0.065	0.0562
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0634	0.0559
						Seismic	0.0643	0.055
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0023	0.0047
						Seismic	0.0028	0.0056
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0023	0.0048
						Seismic	0.0029	0.0058
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0013	0.0013

4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: $P = 1,150 \text{ psi @ } 1,000 \text{ °F}$

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.26 \text{ psi (SG = 1, } H_s = 7.205", \text{ Horizontal test head)}$

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 2.3 lb corr = 2 lb
 Capacity New = 0.1 US gal corr = 0.11 US gal

OD = 4.5"
 Length $L_c = 2"$
 t = 0.295"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 2.25 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1942" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.265 / (2.25 - 0.40 \cdot 0.265) - 0 \\ &= 1,891.09 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_f) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.295 / 2.1025) \cdot (1 - 2.1025 / \infty) \\ &= 7.0155 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1942"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.295" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0646	0.0567
						Seismic	0.0653	0.0559
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,177	1,000	0	Wind	0.0637	0.0556
						Seismic	0.0645	0.0547
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,332	0	0.03	Wind	0.0025	0.005
						Seismic	0.003	0.0059
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,425	0	0	Wind	0.0025	0.0051
						Seismic	0.0031	0.0061
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,100	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0013	0.0013

4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Component: Cylinder
 Material specification: SA-403 316H (II-D p. 78, ln. 8)
 Rated MDMT per UHA-51(d)(1)(b), (carbon content does not exceed 0.1 percent) = -320 °F

Internal design pressure: P = 1,150 psi @ 1,000 °F

Static liquid head:

$P_{th} = 0.23$ psi (SG = 1, $H_s = 6.2465$ ", Horizontal test head)

Corrosion allowance Inner C = 0.03" Outer C = 0"

Design MDMT = -20 °F No impact test performed
 Rated MDMT = -320 °F Material is not normalized
 Material is not produced to Fine Grain Practice
 PWHT is not performed

Radiography: Longitudinal joint - Seamless No RT
 Top circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1
 Bottom circumferential joint - Full UW-11(a) Type 1

Estimated weight New = 0.8 lb corr = 0.6 lb
 Capacity New = 0.03 US gal corr = 0.03 US gal

OD = 2.375"
 Length $L_c = 2$ "
 t = 0.191"

Design thickness, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} t &= P \cdot R_o / (S \cdot E + 0.40 \cdot P) + \text{Corrosion} \\ &= 1,150 \cdot 1.1875 / (15,300 \cdot 1.00 + 0.40 \cdot 1,150) + 0.03 \\ &= 0.1167" \end{aligned}$$

Maximum allowable working pressure, (at 1,000 °F) Appendix 1-1

$$\begin{aligned} P &= S \cdot E \cdot t / (R_o - 0.40 \cdot t) - P_s \\ &= 15,300 \cdot 1.00 \cdot 0.161 / (1.1875 - 0.40 \cdot 0.161) - 0 \\ &= 2,193.3 \text{ psi} \end{aligned}$$

% Forming Strain - UHA-44(a)(2)(a)

$$\begin{aligned} EFE &= (50 \cdot t / R_p) \cdot (1 - R_f / R_o) \\ &= (50 \cdot 0.191 / 1.092) \cdot (1 - 1.092 / \infty) \\ &= 8.7454 \% \end{aligned}$$

Design thickness = 0.1167"

The governing condition is due to internal pressure.

The cylinder thickness of 0.191" is adequate.

Thickness Required Due to Pressure + External Loads

Condition	Pressure P (psi)	Allowable Stress Before UG-23 Stress Increase (psi)		Temperature (°F)	Corrosion C (in)	Load	Req'd Thk Due to Tension (in)	Req'd Thk Due to Compression (in)
		S _t	S _c					
Operating, Hot & Corroded	1,150	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Wind	0.0482	0.0121
						Seismic	0.0498	0.0101
Operating, Hot & New	1,150	15,300	10,324	1,000	0	Wind	0.0476	0.0106
						Seismic	0.0501	0.0078
Empty, Corroded	0	20,000	14,454	0	0.03	Wind	0.0126	0.0208
						Seismic	0.0138	0.0229
Empty, New	0	20,000	14,604	0	0	Wind	0.0129	0.0212
						Seismic	0.0148	0.0242
Hot Shut Down, Corroded, Weight & Eccentric Moments Only	0	15,300	10,201	1,000	0.03	Weight	0.0029	0.0029

2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#. LOWER

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER

This is an ASME B16.5/16.47 rated blind flange.

Flange description:	2 inch Class 1500 WN A182 F316H
Bolt Material:	SA-193 B7 Bolt $\leq 2 \frac{1}{2}$
Flange rated MDMT:	-55°F
(Per UHA-51(d)(1)(b))	
(Flange rated MDMT = -320 °F	
Bolts rated MDMT per Fig UCS-66 note (e) = -55 °F)	
Liquid static head on flange:	0 psi
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAWP:	1820 psi @ 1000°F
ASME B16.5 flange rating MAP:	3600 psi @ 70°F
ASME B16.5 flange hydro test:	5425 psi @ 70°F

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7a)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
Liquid static head included: 0 psi
Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316 ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 2)
Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
Nozzle orientation: 0°
Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 46.75 in
End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr}: 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

1/2" HALF-COUPLING (7b)

ASME Section VIII Division 1, 2007 Edition

$$t_{w(\text{lower})} = 0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{Leg}_{41} = 0.375 \text{ in}$$

Note: round inside edges per UG-76(c)

Located on: 8" PIPE
 Liquid static head included: 0 psi
 Nozzle material specification: SA-182 F316H ≤ 5 (low stress) (II-D p. 74, ln. 32)
 Nozzle longitudinal joint efficiency: 1
 Nozzle description: 0.500" Class 6000 - threaded
 Nozzle orientation: 180°
 Local vessel minimum thickness: 0.4375 in
 Nozzle center line offset to datum line: 46.75 in
 End of nozzle to shell center: 5.25 in
 Nozzle inside diameter, new: 0.84 in
 Nozzle nominal wall thickness: 0.33 in
 Nozzle corrosion allowance: 0.03 in
 Projection available outside vessel, L_{pr} : 0.9375 in

Reinforcement Calculations for Internal Pressure

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAWP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 1502.52 psi @ 1000 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.1247	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary

Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.21	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Reinforcement Calculations for MAP

The vessel wall thickness governs the MAP of this nozzle.

UG-37 Area Calculation Summary (in ²) For P = 2114.8 psi @ 70 °F							UG-45 Nozzle Wall Thickness Summary (in) The nozzle passes UG-45	
A required	A available	A ₁	A ₂	A ₃	A ₅	A welds	t _{req}	t _{min}
This nozzle is exempt from area calculations per UG-36(c)(3)(a)							0.0761	0.33

UG-41 Weld Failure Path Analysis Summary
The nozzle is exempt from weld strength calculations per UW-15(b)(2)

UW-16 Weld Sizing Summary			
Weld description	Required weld throat size (in)	Actual weld throat size (in)	Status
Nozzle to shell fillet (Leg ₄₁)	0.231	0.2625	weld size is adequate

This opening does not require reinforcement per UG-36(c)(3)(a)

Wind Code

Building Code: ASCE 7-05
Elevation of base above grade: 0.0000 ft
Increase effective outer diameter by: 0.0000 ft
Wind Force Coefficient Cf: 0.8300
Basic Wind Speed, V: 85.0000 mph
Importance Factor, I: 1.1500
Exposure category: C
Wind Directionality Factor, Kd: 0.9500
Topographic Factor, Kzt: 1.0000
Enforce min. loading of 10 psf: No

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height, h: 5.5425 ft
Vessel Minimum Diameter, b
 Operating, Corroded: 0.1979 ft
 Empty, Corroded: 0.1979 ft
Fundamental Frequency, n_1
 Operating, Corroded: 9.6455 Hz
 Empty, Corroded: 10.7384 Hz
 Vacuum, Corroded: 9.5611 Hz
Damping coefficient, β
 Operating, Corroded: 0.0179
 Empty, Corroded: 0.0200
 Vacuum, Corroded: 0.0177

Table Lookup Values

2.4.1 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

5. $D + H + W$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

W = Wind load

Wind Deflection Reports:

Operating, Corroded

Empty, Corroded

Wind Pressure Calculations

Wind Deflection Report: Operating, Corroded

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	1	0	0.03
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	2	1	0.0269
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	3	1	0.026
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	6	2	0.0245
8" PIPE	16.26	0.72	22.8	0.004843	0	34	62	0.023
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	0.72	22.8	0.004293	0	36	70	0.0053
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	37	80	0.0039
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	0.38	22.8	0.0003827	0	38	86	0.0024
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	0.20	22.8	3.326e-005	0	40	106	0.0015
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	0.71	20.4	0.01236	0	40	106	0

Wind Deflection Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Effective OD (ft)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Platform wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	Total wind shear at Bottom (lbf)	bending moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)	Deflection at top (in)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	0.20	28.3	3.326e-005	0	1	0	0.0242
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS)	58.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	2	1	0.0217

4" END								
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	3	1	0.0209
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	6	2	0.0198
8" PIPE	16.26	0.72	28.3	0.004843	0	34	62	0.0186
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	0.72	28.3	0.004293	0	36	70	0.0043
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	37	80	0.0031
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	0.38	28.3	0.0003827	0	38	86	0.002
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	0.20	28.3	3.326e- 005	0	40	106	0.0012
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	0.71	29.4	0.01236	0	40	106	0

Wind Pressure (WP) Calculations

Gust Factor (G^{-}) Calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
K_z &= 2.01 * (Z/Z_g)^{2/\alpha} \\
&= 2.01 * (Z/900.0000)^{0.2105} \\
q_z &= 0.00256 * K_z * K_{zt} * K_d * \underline{V}^2 * \underline{I} \text{ psf} \\
&= 0.00256 * K_z * 1.0000 * 0.9500 * 85.0000^2 * 1.1500 \\
&= 20.2069 * K_z \\
WP &= q_z * \underline{G^{-}} * \underline{C_f} \\
&= q_z * \underline{G^{-}} * 0.8300
\end{aligned}$$

Design Wind Pressures

Height Z (')	K _z	q _z (psf)	WP: Operating (psf)	WP: Empty (psf)	WP: hydrotest (psf)	WP: Vacuum (psf)
15.0	0.8489	17.15	13.02	13.02	N.A.	N.A.

Design Wind Force determined from: $F = \text{Pressure} * A_f$, where A_f is the projected area.

Gust Factor Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Gust Factor Calculations: Operating, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 5.5425 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 5.5425) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9798 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9798) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9144
 \end{aligned}$$

Gust Factor Calculations: Empty, Corroded

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^- &= 0.60 * h \\
 &= 0.60 * 5.5425 \\
 &= 15.0000 \\
 I_z^- &= c * (33 / z^-)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2000 * (33 / 15.0000)^{1/6} \\
 &= 0.2281 \\
 L_z^- &= l * (z^- / 33)^{ep} \\
 &= 500.0000 * (15.0000 / 33)^{0.2000} \\
 &= 427.0566 \\
 Q &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((h + h) / L_z^-)^{0.63})) \\
 &= \text{Sqr}(1 / (1 + 0.63 * ((0.1979 + 5.5425) / 427.0566)^{0.63})) \\
 &= 0.9798 \\
 G &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * g_Q * I_z^- * Q) / (1 + 1.7 * g_v * I_z^-) \\
 &= 0.925 * (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281 * 0.9798) / (1 + 1.7 * 3.40 * 0.2281) \\
 &= 0.9144
 \end{aligned}$$

Table Lookup Values

$\alpha = 9.5000$, $Zg = 900.0000$ ' [Table 6-2, page 78]

$c = 0.2000$, $l = 500.0000$, $ep = 0.2000$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$a^- = 0.1538$, $b^- = 0.6500$ [Table 6-2, page 78]

$g_Q = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

$g_v = 3.40$ [6.5.8.1 page 26]

Seismic Code

Method of seismic analysis:	ASCE 7-05 ground supported
Site Class	D
Importance Factor:	I = 1.5000
Spectral Response Acceleration at short period (% g)	$S_s = 40.00\%$
Spectral Response Acceleration at period of 1 sec (% g)	$S_1 = 10.00\%$
Response Modification Coefficient from Table 15.4-2	R = 3.0000
Acceleration based site co-efficient:	$F_a = 1.4800$
Velocity based site co-efficient:	$F_v = 2.4000$
Long-period transition period:	$T_L = 12.0000$
Redundancy factor:	$\rho = 1.0000$
User Defined Vertical Accelerations Considered:	Yes
Force Multiplier:	= 0.3333
Minimum Weight Multiplier:	= 0.2000

12.4.2.3 Basic Load Combinations for Allowable Stress Design

The following load combinations are considered in accordance with ASCE section 2.4.1:

$$5. \quad D + H + 0.7E = (1.0 + V_{Accel})D + H + 0.7\rho Q_E$$

Where

D = Dead load

H = Pressure load

E = Seismic load = ρQ_E

V_{Accel} = User defined vertical acceleration

Vessel Characteristics

Vessel height: 5.5425 ft

Vessel Weight:

Operating, Corroded: 240 lb

Empty, Corroded: 240 lb

Period of Vibration Calculation

Fundamental Period, T:

Operating, Corroded: 0.104 sec (f = 9.6 Hz)

Empty, Corroded: 0.093 sec (f = 10.7 Hz)

The fundamental period of vibration T (above) is calculated using the Rayleigh method of approximation:

$$T = 2 * \text{PI} * \text{Sqr}(\{\text{Sum}(W_i * y_i^2)\} / \{g * \text{Sum}(W_i * y_i)\}), \text{ where}$$

W_i is the weight of the i^{th} lumped mass, and
 y_i is its deflection when the system is treated as a cantilever beam.

Seismic Shear Reports:

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations

Seismic Shear Report: Operating, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	22.8	0.0000	8	3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	22.8	0.0004	8	4
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	22.8	0.0004	9	6
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	22.8	0.0043	11	9
8" PIPE	16.26	22.8	0.0048	31	78
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	22.8	0.0043	32	86
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	22.8	0.0004	32	94
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	22.8	0.0004	32	99
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	22.8	0.0000	33	116
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	20.4	0.0124	33	116

Seismic Shear Report: Empty, Corroded

Component	Elevation of bottom above base (in)	Elastic modulus E (10 ⁶ psi)	Inertia I (ft ⁴)	Seismic shear at Bottom (lbf)	Bending Moment at Bottom (lbf-ft)
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 2" END	60.26	28.3	0.0000	8	3
4" X 2" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	58.26	28.3	0.0004	8	4
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 4" END	55.26	28.3	0.0004	9	6
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, UPPER (SCH XS) 8" END	52.26	28.3	0.0043	11	9
8" PIPE	16.26	28.3	0.0048	31	78

8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 8" END	13.26	28.3	0.0043	32	86
8" X 4" REDUCER B16.9, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	10.26	28.3	0.0004	32	94
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 4" END	8.26	28.3	0.0004	32	99
4" X 2" B16.9 REDUCER, LOWER (SCH XS) 2" END	2.01	28.3	0.0000	33	116
ASME B16.5/16.47 Blind on 2" RFWN FLANGE 1500#, LOWER (top)	2	29.4	0.0124	33	116

11.4.3: Maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{MS}

$$S_{MS} = F_a * S_s = 1.4800 * 40.00 / 100 = 0.5920$$

The maximum considered earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{MI}

$$S_{MI} = F_v * S_1 = 2.4000 * 10.00 / 100 = 0.2400$$

11.4.4: Design spectral response acceleration parameters

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at short period, S_{DS}

$$S_{DS} = 2 / 3 * S_{MS} = 2 / 3 * 0.5920 = 0.3947$$

Design earthquake spectral response acceleration at 1 s period, S_{DI}

$$S_{DI} = 2 / 3 * S_{MI} = 2 / 3 * 0.2400 = 0.1600$$

User Defined Vertical Acceleration Term, V_{Accel}

Factor is applied to dead load.

$$\text{Compressive Side:} = 1.0 + V_{Accel}$$

V_{Accel} Term is:				
greater of (Force Mult * Base Shear / Weight) or (Min. Weight Mult.)				
Force multiplier = 0.3333		Minimum Weight Multiplier = 0.2000		
Condition	Base Shear (lbf)	Weight (lb)	Force Mult * Shear Weight	V_{Accel}
Operating, Corroded	33	239.6	0.046	0.2
Operating, New	34	249.8	0.046	0.2
Empty, Corroded	33	239.6	0.046	0.2
Empty, New	34	249.8	0.046	0.2

Base Shear Calculations

Operating, Corroded
Empty, Corroded

Base Shear Calculations: Operating, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.104$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.104) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_s \text{ Min} = 0.01$$

$$C_s \text{ Max} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.1037 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.7716$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * W$$

$$= 0.1973 * 239.6326$$

$$= 47.29 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 47.29$$

$$= 33.10 \text{ lb}$$

Base Shear Calculations: Empty, Corroded

Paragraph 15.4.4: Period Determination

Fundamental Period is taken from the Rayleigh method listed previously in this report.

$T = 0.093$ sec.

12.8.1: Calculation of Seismic Response Coefficient

C_s is the value computed below, bounded by C_s Min and C_s Max:

C_s Min is 0.01 unless $S_1 \geq 0.6g$, in which case eqn 12.8-6 is used.

C_s Max calculated with 12.8-3 because $(T = 0.093) \leq (T_L = 12.0000)$

$$C_s = \underline{S_{DS}} / (\underline{R} / \underline{I}) = 0.3947 / (3.0000 / 1.5000) = 0.1973$$

$$C_{s \text{ Min}} = 0.01$$

$$C_{s \text{ Max}} = \underline{S_{DI}} / (T * (\underline{R} / \underline{I})) = 0.1600 / (0.0931 * (3.0000 / 1.5000)) = 0.8591$$

$$C_s = 0.1973$$

12.8.1: Calculation of Base Shear

$$V = C_s * \underline{W}$$

$$= 0.1973 * 239.6326$$

$$= 47.29 \text{ lb}$$

12.4.2.1 Seismic Load Combinations: Horizontal Seismic Load Effect, E_h

$$Q_E = V$$

$$E_h = 0.7 * \rho * Q_E \text{ (Only 70\% of seismic load considered as per Section 2.4.1)}$$

$$= 0.70 * 1.0000 * 47.29$$

$$= 33.10 \text{ lb}$$

- GENERAL NOTES:
1. ALL WELDING SURFACES SHOULD BE CLEAN AND FREE OF ANY DEBRIS BEFORE ASSEMBLY. ALIGNE ALL COMPONENTS TO ORIENTATION AS SHOWN OR INDICATED.
 2. TORQUE BOLTS, PER HYDROTEST TORQUE TO 107 FT.-LBS. (2000 PSI BOLT STRESS) FOLLOWING GASKET MANUFACTURERS SEQUENCE WHEN TIGHTENING BOLTS.
 3. GASKET, KK-2L, TO BE USED BETWEEN VALVE FLANGES ONLY AS SHOWN.
 4. GASKET, KK-2L, TO BE USED AT BLIND FLANGE OR LIVER DASH POT ONLY.
 5. SEE SHEET 2 FOR DETAILS AND BILL OF MATERIAL.
 6. REFERENCE DR MARK WITH RESPECTIVE DRAWINGS FOR WELDING PROCEDURES, AND DETAILS OF FABRICATED COMPONENTS.

REVISIONS					
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY	APPROVED
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	B	LENGTHENED UPPER AND LOWER CHAIR POTS, DELETED LOWER VALVE	08/28/07	D.W.	
ALL	C	ADDED COUPLINGS TO VENTMENTS	10/19/07	D.W.	
ALL	D	ADDED NOTES 3, 4, AND 5, ALSO ADDED SHEET 2	12/06/07	D.W.	
ALL	E	ITEM 11 V-SINGLES800 WAS V-SINGLES18C	12/30/07	D.W.	
ALL	F	ADDED LIFTING LINES TO ASSEMBLIES	02/09/08	D.W.

CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC. CANTON, OHIO					
_____ LATHE					
SHELL SIDE					
RT 1					
V MAWP 1130 MDMT -20 MAEWP N/A PSI AT °F AT PSI AT °F AT	TUBE CLACKETY SIDE MAWP N/A MDMT N/A MAEWP N/A PSI AT °F AT PSI AT °F AT				
36093 A					
IF G. SERIAL NO.					
YEAR BUILT

		CERTIFIED BY GASPAR, INC CANTON, OHIO			
		SHILL SIDE			
		MAWP 1150 PSI AT 1000 °F			
		MDMT -20 °F AT 1150 PSI			
		MAEWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F			
		TUBE (CLACKET) SIDE			
		MAWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F			
		MDMT N/A °F AT N/A PSI			
		MAEWP N/A PSI AT N/A °F			
		RT 1			
		36093 B			
		W.G. SERIAL NO.			
		2008			
		YEAR BUILT			

[illegible]

REV.	BY	DATE	COMMENT
2	MEH	08/12/08	ADDED NATIONAL BEARD NUMBER FOR LOWER POT
1	MEH	07/29/08	ADDED MAIR COMP. CHART FOR LXR POLI, CHANGED TO FILL SIZE N/P, TEST WAS PREDOMINATE, ADDED WATER INDEX

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1 ALL WELDS TO MEET PRESSURE VESSEL CODE, SOME SECTION 4, DIVISION 4, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2 INSPECTION OF WELDS TO BE 100% P-WAVE, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 3 FITTINGS AND PIPE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5 FITTINGS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.5 EXCEPT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ANSI B16.21 PROCEEDINGS, AND ANY BURNED-IN FITTINGS ARE TO BE END REPEATED PER ANSI B16.5.
- 4 COLUMNS TO BE AT 600 AND 1200 FEET/STAGES AS SHOWN

LOWER CHAR POT ASSEMBLY

LIFT LUG DETAIL
<4> REQUIRED

REVISIONS				
ZONE	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	REV. BY
ALL	A	INITIAL RELEASE	07/09/07	D.V.
ALL	B	CHANGED LENGTH OF PIPE TO 36', WAS 12'	08/28/07	D.M.
ALL	C	ADDED ITEM 5 WELDLET COUPLINGS	10/19/07	D.V.
ALL	D	ADDED MATERIAL CALL OUT TO BDN, ADDED WELD NOTES AND CORRECTED VELTS TO BE FULL PEN	12/01/07	D.V.
ALL	E	ADDED NOTE 5: MATERIAL SPEC. AND CORRECTED WELDING ERRORS	12/06/07	D.V.
ALL	F	ADDED ITEM 6 LIFTING LUGS CHANGED ALL MATERIAL TO 316 ST STL	03/09/08	D.V.
ALL	G	DOM. 4400 WAS 3025; ROTATED LUGS 70DEG	04/30/08	D.M.

2	MEH 07/29/08	ADDED FULL PENE WELDS.
1	MEH 07/14/08	DIMENSION FOR MK-7 WAS 42.25'.
REV.	BY DATE	COMMENT

[illegible]

APPENDIX H

Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Process

PI&D

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**COAL TO SNG
BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR
PROCESS PI & D**

**FINAL
MARCH 2010**

**ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85003**

**Principal Investigator
Dr. Xiaolei Sun
602.250.1510
602.250.1505
xiaolei.sun@aps.com**

F = FILTER / STRAINER

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	9/16/08	
		TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			
		FRACTIONAL ±	ENG APPR:			
		ANGULAR: MACH ±	MFG APPR:			TITLE: 7 C 5 @HC GB: ? B 9 H7 GF 95 7 HC F
		BEND ±				WATER SYSTEM
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±				SIZE DWG. NO. REV
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±				D SNG2000.2 5
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:				SCALE: 1:1 SHEET 1 OF 1
		MATERIAL				
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH				
APPLICATION

F
E
D
C
B
A

A
SNG2000.3
SHEET 1

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	8/01/07
		TOLERANCES:			
		FRACTIONAL ±		CHECKED	
		ANGULAR: MACH ±		ENG APPR:	
		BEND ±		MFG APPR:	
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±		Q.A.	
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±		COMMENTS:	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC			
		TOLERANCING PER:			
		MATERIAL			
		FINISH			
NEXT ASSY	USED ON				
APPLICATION					

TITLE: 7 C 5 @HC GB: 7-B 9H7 GF 95 7 HC F	
HYDROGEN SYSTEM	
SIZE DWG. NO.	REV
D SNG2000.3	6
SCALE: 1:1	SHEET 2 OF 2

D
SNG2000.3
SHEET 2 OF 2

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		DRAWN	D. WAIBEL		8/01/07
		TOLERANCES:		CHECKED			
		FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR.			
		ANGULAR: MACH ± BEND ±		MFG APPR.			
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±		COMMENTS:			
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±					
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:					
		MATERIAL					
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH		TITLE: 7 C 5 @ H C GB 7 B 9 H 7 GF 95 7 H C F			
APPLICATION				COAL FEEDER SYSTEM			
				SIZE DWG. NO. REV			
				D SNG2000.4 5			
				SCALE: 1:1 SHEET 1 OF 1

NOTE: ALL TEMPERATURE ELEMENTS ARE WIRED TO PC/PLC I/O CARDS. CONTROL SOFTWARE WILL ALLOW EACH TE TO HAVE A HIGH TEMP, A HIGH HIGH TEMP. AND LOW TEMP. ALARM WITH ALARM INDICATION AND, AS NEEDED, SHUTDOWN SEQUENCE.

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	8/01/07
		TOLERANCES:		CHECKED	
		FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR:	
		ANGULAR: MATCH ±		MFG APPR:	
		BEND ±		G.A.	
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±		COMMENTS:	
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±			
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:			
		MATERIAL			
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH			
APPLICATION					
<div><div> </div><div>TITLE: 7 C 5@HC QB: ? B 9#7 GF 95 7 HC F</div></div>					
<div><div>PRE-HEATER SYSTEM</div><div><div>SIZE</div><div>D</div><div>DWG. NO.</div><div>SNG2000.5</div><div>REV</div><div>3</div></div></div>					
<div><div>SCALE: 1:1</div><div>WEIGHT:</div><div>SHEET 1 OF 2</div></div>

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:	NAME	DATE	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	D. WAIBEL	8/01/07	
		TOLERANCES:	CHECKED		
		FRACTIONAL: ±	ENG APPR:		
		ANGULAR: MATCH ±	MFG APPR:		
		BEND: ±	Q.A.		
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ±	COMMENTS:		
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL: ±			
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:			
		MATERIAL			
		FINISH			
NEXT ASSY	USED ON				
APPLICATION					

TITLE: 7 C 5 @ H C ' B : ? B 9 H 7 G F 9 5 7 H C F	
PRE-HEATER SYSTEM	
SIZE D	DWG. NO. (B: &\$\$\$)
SCALE: 1:1	WEIGHT: SHEET 2 OF 2

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES	DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	8/02/07	
		TOLERANCES:	CHECKED			
		FRACTIONAL ±	ENG APPR.			
		ANGULAR MATCH ±	MFG APPR.			TITLE: 7 C 5 @H C QB: 7-B 9H7 GF 95 7 HC F REACTOR SYSTEM
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±				
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±				
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:				
		MATERIAL				SIZE DWG. NO. REV D SNG2000.6 4 SCALE: 1:1 WEIGHT: SHEET 2 OF 2
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH				
APPLICATION

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	8/02/07
		TOLERANCES:			
		FRACTIONAL: ±		CHECKED	
		ANGULAR: MACH ±	BEND ±	ENG APPR:	
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL: ±		MFG APPR:	
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL: ±		Q.A.	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:		COMMENTS:	
		MATERIAL:			
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH			
APPLICATION					

TITLE: 7 C 5 @HD GB: 7-B 9H7 GF 95 7 HC F	
CHAR POT SYSTEM	
SIZE DWG. NO.	REV
D SNG2000.8	4
SCALE: 1:1	SHEET 1 OF 2

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:			NAME	DATE	<div> </div> <div>TITLE: 7 C 5 @HC (B); ? B 9 H 7 GF 95 7 HC F</div> <div>CHAR POT SYSTEM</div> <div>SIZE D SNG2000.8 REV 4</div> <div>SCALE: 1:1 SHEET 2 OF 2</div>
DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES			DRAWN	D. WAIBEL	
TOLERANCES:			CHECKED		
FRACTIONAL ±			ENG APPR.		
ANGULAR: MATCH ±			MFG APPR.		
BEND ±			Q.A.		COMMENTS:
TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±					
THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±					
INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:					
MATERIAL					
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH			
APPLICATION

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:	NAME	DATE	
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES: FRACTIONAL ± ANGULAR, MACH ± BEND ± TWO PLACE DECIMAL ± THREE PLACE DECIMAL ± MATERIAL	D. WAIBEL	8/02/07	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:	Q.A.		COMMENTS:
					TITLE: 7 C 5@HC GB: 7 B 9H7 GF957 HC F CONDESER SYSTEM
					SIZE DWG. NO. REV D SNG2000.9 3
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH			SCALE: 1:1 SHEET 1 OF 1
APPLICATION

		UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:		NAME	DATE
		DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES		D. WAIBEL	8/02/07
		TOLERANCES:		CHECKED	
		FRACTIONAL ±		ENG APPR:	
		ANGULAR: MACH ±	BEND ±	MFG APPR:	
		TWO PLACE DECIMAL ±		Q.A.	
		THREE PLACE DECIMAL ±		COMMENTS:	
		INTERPRET GEOMETRIC TOLERANCING PER:			
		MATERIAL			
NEXT ASSY	USED ON	FINISH			
APPLICATION					

TITLE: 7 C 5 @HC GB: ? B 9 H7 GF 95 7 HC F
FILTRATION SYSTEM

SIZE DWG. NO. REV
D SNG2000.10 3

SCALE: 1:1 SHEET 1 OF 1

APPENDIX I

Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Process

Bill of Material

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**COAL TO SNG
BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR
BILLING OF MATERIAL (BOM)**

**FINAL
MARCH 2010**

**ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85003**

**Principal Investigator
Dr. Xiaolei Sun
602.250.1510
602.250.1505
xiaolei.sun@aps.com**

COAL TO SNG PROJECT INSTRUMENT LIST																	
Sheet#	System	Teg #	Type	Expected System											Manufacturer	Model #	Pressu Min
				Pressure	Temp.	Flow, SCFH											
				Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max	Min	Max						
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-1	Check Valve, 1/2", 1PSIG	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-CHS8-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-18	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-2	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-3	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-4	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-5	Check Valve, 1/2", 1PSIG									SwageLok	SS-CHS8-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-6	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG									SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-7	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG									SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-CV-8	Check Valve, 1/4", 1PSIG									SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-DPI-91	Differential Pressure Indicator	1"								Bebco	Integral to 1001A-LPS-CI-YZ-RH				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-FM-92	Flow meter (rotameter), 0-5 SCFM, acrylic, 100 PSIG MAWP									McMaster-Carr	2968K34				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve, brass, with inlet and outlet gauges	0	2400			0				Tescom	44-5213-243V				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-4	Pressure Control Valve, brass, with inlet and outlet gauges	0	2400			0				Tescom	44-5213-243V				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-5	Pressure Control Valve, brass	0	2400			0				Tescom	44-5213-243V				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-7	Pressure Control Valve									Praxair	PRS 409313				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-90	Pressure Control Valve (regulator), Type Z purge system			120						Bebco	Integral to 1001A-LPS-CI-YZ-RH				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-96	Pressure Control Valve, brass									Tescom	44-5213-246V				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-2	Pressure Relief Valve 1/4"	0	2400	30	300				Setting						
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-90	Pressure Relief Valve, 1/4"	PSIG	PSIG	F	F				psi	SwageLok	177-R3A-K1-C	SS-4R3A			
												SwageLok	SS-RL3S4				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-91	Pressure Relief Valve, aluminum with spark arrestorType Z Purge, top mount		5"							Bebco	EPV-1-SA-00				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-92	Pressure Relief Valve, 1/4", 100 PSIG setpoint									SwageLok	SS-4R3A				
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-18	Valve, plug, 1/4"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0			
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-2	Valve, needle, 1/4"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0			
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-3	Valve, needle, 1/4"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000			SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0			

2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-4	Valve, needle, 1/4"		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-5	Valve, needle, 1/4"		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0
		N2-V-51											
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-6	Valve, needle, 1/4"		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-7	Valve, plug, 1/2"		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-8P6T	0
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-8	Valve, needle, 1/4"		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-1RS4	0
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-92	Manual valve, 1/4"			100					McMaster-Carr	Integral in 2968K34	
2000.1 sht1	Nitrogen	N2-V-95	Manual valve, 1/4"								SwageLok	SS-4P4T	
												Type 807, 1/2", G trim, with Type 755 pneumatic actuator, fail open, air to close (ATCP) positioner	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-AOV-40	Pressure control valve, F.O.								Badger	54432900101	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-CV-9	Check Valve, 1/2", 1PSIG								SwageLok	SS-CHS8-1	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-2	Pressure Control Valve, brass,k Cv=2.0, with inlet and outlet gauges		0	2400	-65	165		35000	Tescom	44-13162082	
					0	300	-65	165		1500	Tescom	44-5213-243V	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-3	Pressure Control Valve, brass		0	300	-65	165				Norgren	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-40	Regulator, Nitrogen Air Set			120							
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-93	Regulator, brass, with inlet and outlet gauge, CGA 580								Victor	SR4G	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PCV-94	Regulator, brass, with inlet and outlet gauge, CGA 580								Praxair		
					ELIMINATED								
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PI-50	Pressure Indicator 1/4"		0	150	30	300			SwageLok	PGI-63S-OG300-LAQX	
			I/P signal converter, Class I, Div 2 Grp B		PSIG	PSIG	F	F					
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PIC-40			ELIMINATED						ABB	26/06-69	
					0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok	SS-4R3A	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-1	Pressure Relief Valve, brass		0	2400	30	300	0	1000	SwageLok		
					0	2400	30	300		Setting 1200 psi			
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PRV-4	Pressure Relief Valve 1/4"		0	2400	30	300			SwageLok	177-R3A-K1-C SS-4R3A	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PT-40	Pressure transmitter, Class I, Div. 2, Grp. B			3000					WIKA (SwageLok)	E-10A-PBI-ND-ZG2X67-ZZ (PTI-E-NG250-19AR equiv.)	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PT-40	Pressure transmitter, Class I, Div. 2, Grp. B		0	150	30	300			WIKA (SwageLok)	E-10A-PBI-ND-ZG2X67-ZZ (PTI-E-NG250-19AR equiv.)	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-PT-50	Pressure transmitter, Class I, Div. 2, Grp. B		0	150	30	300			WIKA (SwageLok)	E-10A-PBI-ND-ZG2X67-ZZ (PTI-E-NG250-19AR equiv.)	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-RO-1	Flow restricting Orifice Union, 1/2" tube ends, 0.060" ORIFICE DIAM.								SwageLok	SS-810-6PD-E-060	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-SV-40	Sol. Valve, 1/4", Cv=.15, High temp coil, X-Proof								ASCO	EFHT8314G035	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	H2-SV-12	Sol. Valve, 1/4"								ASCO	EF8320G176	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	H2-SV-22	Sol. Valve, 1/4"								ASCO	EF8320G176	

2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-1	Valve, plug, 1/2"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-8P6T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-10	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-11	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-12	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-13	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-14	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-15	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-20	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-21	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-22	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-23	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-24	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-25	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-35	Valve, plug, 1/2"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-8P6T	0
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-50	Manual valve, 1/4"								SwageLok	SS-43GS4	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-61	Manual valve, 1/2"								SwageLok	SS-AFSS8	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-62	Manual valve, 1/2"								SwageLok	SS-AFSS8	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-63	Manual valve, 1/4"								SwageLok	SS-4P4T	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-64	Manual valve, 1/4"								SwageLok	SS-4P4T	
2000.1 sht2	Nitrogen	N2-V-9	Valve, plug, 1/4"		0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft³/hr	1000 sft³/hr	SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0
2000.2	Water	H2O-LI-1	Level indicator/site glass		ELIMINATED						McMaster-Carr		
2000.2	Water	H2O-LSL-1	Level switch, Div 2, Grp B								McMaster-Carr	46815K11 or equivalent	
2000.2	Water	H2O-PT-1	Pressure transmitter			200 PSIG	30 F	212 F			McMaster-Carr	3196K1	
2000.2	Water	H2O-F-1	1", Filter		0 PSIG	125 PSIG	30 F	125 F	0 10 gpm		McMaster-Carr	4448K22	0
2000.2	Water	H2O-F-2	1", Strainer, bronze, 100 mesh		0 PSIG	125 PSIG	30 F	125 F	1 25 gpm		McMaster-Carr	4385K36	0
2000.2	Water	H2O-F-3	1" Filter								Universal	Blue canisitor filter	
2000.2	Water	H2O-F-4	1" Filter								Universal	Blue canisitor filter	
2000.2	Water	H2O-P-1	Water Pump		0 PSIG	125 PSIG	30 F	248 F			Grundfos	96083250	
2000.2	Water	H2O-P-2	Water Pump								Universal/Leeson	M6C17FK80E	

2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-FCV-1 (H2-FIC-1)	Flow Control Valve	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	900 sft ³ /hr	Badger: Mod: 1002GCN36MGOPJEP36	1/2" RCV Type 807 316s/s Globe Cast body NPT 316sst. Graphoil Packing Medium guided 316sst J = % trim Type 766 ATO-P 3-15 psig Fail close Actuator w/BLRA Positioner Set w/6 lbs. Preload ABB 4-20 Exp Proof I/P Transducer & (1) Gauge SST Fittings w/ASCO EF8320	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-FIC-1	Flow Indicating Controller, (main H2, Labview)	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	900 sft ³ /hr	ETEC	PLC, Use MicroMotion MFM and Badger valve with I/P	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-FM-1	Flow Meter	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	900 sft ³ /hr	MicroMotion	CMF010M323NQBUEZZZ	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-LSH-10	Limit switch, Class I, Div. 1, Grp B						SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-131 Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-LSL-10	Limit switch, Class I, Div. 1, Grp B						SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-131 Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	900 sft ³ /hr	Tescom	26-2065D24A200: Cv .06 and CTFE seat for 1500 scfh H2. \$1435.00	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PCV-2	Pressure Control Valve						Tescom	26-2065D24A180 PEEK: Cv .02 for 300 scfh H2, 1500psig outlet.	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PCV-30	Regulator, manual, non-venting, inlet and outlet gauges, 316 SS						Tescom Regulator	26-1065-24-638. \$1190.00. 3-4 WEEKS	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PCV-88	Regulator, Nitrogen Air Set	0	160				Norgren	11 1/2 GD	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PI-2	Pressure Indicator		1500				SwageLok	PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX-AN	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PI-3	Pressure Indicator		1500				SwageLok	PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX-AN	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PI-5	Pressure Indicator 1/4"		3000				SwageLok	PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX-AN	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PI-1	Pressure Indicating Controller						Tescom	ER3000EI-1, with 85061 Option	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PI-4	Pressure Indicating Controller						Tescom	ER3000EI-1, with 85061 Option	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PRV-1	Pressure Relief Valve	0 PSIG	2000 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	3000 sft ³ /hr	SwageLok	SS-4R3A-NE	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PRV-2	Pressure Relief Valve, ASME, 62 SCFM at 1150 PSIG	0 PSIG	1150 PSIG	30 F	290 sft ³ /hr	3000 sft ³ /hr	Flowsafe	Model 85, 1/2" MNPT x 1/2" FNPT, Kalrez seat, SS body, ASME, P/N 01- 2184M-103, set at 1150 PSIG	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PRV-30	Pressure Relief Valve, ASME, 107 SCFM at 2000 PSIG		2000				Flowsafe	Model 85, 1/2" MNPT x 1/2" FNPT, Kalrez seat, SS body, ASME, P/N 01- 2184M-103, set at 2000 PSIG	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PT-1	Pressure Transmitter	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	100 F	290 sft ³ /hr	900 sft ³ /hr	WIKA	E-10-A-PBQ-NB-2G2X67-ZZ	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PT-4	Pressure Transmitter		3000				WIKA	E-10-A-PBQ-NB-2G2X67-ZZ	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PT-5	Pressure Transmitter		3000				WIKA	E-10-A-PBQ-NB-2G2X67-ZZ	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-PT-PLANT	Pressure Transmitter		3000				WIKA	E-10-A-PBQ-NB-2G2X67-ZZ	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-SV-1	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating						ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-SV-12	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating						ASCO, come with Badger H2- FCV-1	EF8320G176/EF800302 (Coil)	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-SV-2	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating						ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	

2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-SV-3	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating												ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-1	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-10	Valve, Needle, 1/4"												SwageLok	SS-3HNPRS4, Peek seat, 1/4"	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-3	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-4	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-5	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-6	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-7	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-8	Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4 (peek)	0
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-9	Valve, Needle, 1/4"												SwageLok	SS-3HNPRS4, Peek seat, 1/4"	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-91	Valve, needle, 1/4"												SwageLok	Swage ends	
2000.3 sht1	Hydrogen	H2-V-92	Valve, needle, 1/4"												SwageLok	SS-1RS4	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-AOV-2	Air Operated Valve												SwageLok	SS-83PS4-31C Spring Return with Limit Switches	0
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-AOV-20	1/4"												SwageLok	SS-83PS4-31C Spring Return with Limit Switches	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-CV-20	Check Valve 1/4"												SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1 Viton seat, 1 PSI	0
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-CV-5	Check Valve 1/4"												SwageLok	SS-CHS4-1 Viton seat, 1 PSI	0
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-FCV-2 (H2-FIC-2)	Flow Control Valve												Badger: 1001GCN36 SV0S0LN36	1/4" RCV Type 807 316s/s Globe Cast body NPT 316sst Teflon Packing O Linear 316sst trim Type 7/55 Air to Close 3-15 psig Fail Open Actuator w/ ABB 4-20 Exp Proof I/P Transducer & (1) Gauge SST Fittings w/ASCO EF8320G176 Brass w/Brass Fittings	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-FIC-2	Flow Indicating Controller, Carrier h2												ETEC	PLC, Use MicroMotion MFM and Badger valve with I/P	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-FM-2	Flow Meter												MicroMotion	CMF010M323NQBUEZZZ	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-LSH-20	Limit switch												SwageLok	Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-LSH-30	Limit switch, Class I, Div. 1, Grp B												SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-131 Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-LSL-20	Limit switch												SwageLok	Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-LSL-30	Limit switch, Class I, Div. 1, Grp B												SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-131 Integral to AOV	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-MFC-1	Mass Flow Controller Unit												Advanced Specialty Gas	11FRC and CCO-150	
2000.3 sht2	Hydrogen	H2-PRV-20	ASME Pressure Relief Valve, 67 SCFM@1200 PSIG												Flowsafe	Model 85, 1/2" MNPT x 1/2" FNPT, Kalrez seat, SS body, ASME, P/N 01-2184M-103, set at 1200 PSIG	

2000.4	Coal Feeder	CF-V-2	Metering Valve, 1/4"													SwageLok	SS-1SR4		0
2000.4	Coal Feeder	H2O-V-21	Valve, 1/2" Brnz, ball valve	0 PSIG		30 F	212 F									McMaster-Carr	600 CWP		0
2000.4	Coal Feeder	H2O-V-22	Valve, 1/4" Brnz, ball valve	0 PSIG		30 F	212 F									McMaster-Carr	600 CWP		0
2000.4	Coal Feeder	N2-PI-16	Pressure Indicator 1/4"													SwageLok	PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX-AN		
2000.4	Coal Feeder	N2-PRV-3	Pressure Relief valve														Model 85, 1/2" MNPT x 1/2" FNPT, Kalrez seat, SS body, ASME, P/N 01-2184M-103, set at 1200 PSIG		
2000.4	Coal Feeder	N2-PT-16	Pressure transmitter													SwageLok	PTI-E-NG3000-19AQ-A		
2000.4	Coal Feeder	N2-V-16	Valve, plug, 1/4"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0	1000 sft ³ /hr							SwageLok	SS-4P4T		0
2000.4	Coal Feeder	AR-MFC-1	Mass Flow Rate Controller													Advanced Specialty Gas	19 FRC		
2000.4	Coal Feeder	AR-PCV-1	Regulator													Victor	SR4G		
2000.4	Coal Feeder	AR-V-1	Manual Valve 1/4"													SwageLok	SS-4P4T		
2000.6 sht 1	Reactor	R-AOV-3	Air Operated Ball Valve, F22, 1500 # flanged ends, with actuator w/ LSL, LSH	TOOK OUT												Copeland	PSV-7		
2000.6 sht 1	Reactor	R-LSH-3	2007NBY2B2M020000413	TOOK OUT												Copeland/Beacon	Integral to R-AOV-3		
2000.6 sht 1	Reactor	R-LSL-3	Limit switch (position), 2007NBY2B2M020000412	TOOK OUT												Copeland/Beacon	Integral to R-AOV-3		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	AIR-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve, brass, with CGA-346	TOOK OUT												Victor (PRAXAIR)	VIC0781-0044		
2000.6 sht 1	Reactor	R-AOV-3	Thermal 60 Series Ball Valve, 1" PBW Ends, DA HT Actuator													SwageLok	SS-T65MW16P80-35DHT		
2000.6 sht 1	Reactor	R-LS-3	Limit Switch													SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-135		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-AOV-1	Thermal 60 Series Ball Valve, 1" PBW Ends, DA HT Actuator													SwageLok	SS-T65MW16P80-35DHT		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-LS-1	Limit Switch													SwageLok	MS-LSK-A2-135		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	H20-FSL-1	ELIMINATED, replaced by misting system													McMaster Carr	91445K13		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	H20-PSL-1	ELIMINATED, replaced by misting system													McMaster Carr	91445K13		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	H2O-SV-20	ELIMINATED, replaced by misting system													McMaster Carr	7918K14		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-AOV-4	Tescom Air-Operated Valve, Normally Open, Cv=2.0, / 10,000 psi PEEK/Viton/1/2" NPT Explosion Proof, Solenoid Valve													Tescom	VGP6PVVG9H9-067		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-CV-30	1/2"													SwageLok	SS-CHS8-1 Viton seat, 1 PSI		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-CV-31	1/2"													SwageLok	SS-CHS8-1 Viton seat, 1 PSI		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-F-1	Filter, sintered metal, 40 micron													Tescom	Integral to valve (R-PCV-1)		

2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-F-10	1/2"									SwageLok	SS-8TF-2, 2 micron filter	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-F-11	1/2"									SwageLok	SS-8TF-2, 2 micron filter	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-F-12	1/2"									SwageLok	SS-8TF-2, 2 micron filter	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-FAN-2	ELIMINATED , replaced by misting system											
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-HC-30	Cooling coil, thermal loop									Prime Mechanical	1/2" ODT .035" w/ 316 SS, formed into a cooling coil approx 12" diam, 40 ft OAL (13 coils)	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-MFC-1	Mass Flow Controller Nitrogen. Mass flow meter, integral control vale									ASGE	FRC 23-HP with control module, PLC	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PCV-1	Balancing Valve									Tescom	26-2065T24A270 \$1435.00, with ER3000EI-1 electronic controller (\$1240) and 85061 option	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PCV-30	Back Pressure Control Valve-Nitrogen (N.O./ATC)									Tescom	26-1765-28-294A (with ER3000EI-1 and 85061 option for R-PIC-30)	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PI-2	Pressure Indicator, solid front, LM, 1/4" tube	0 PSIG	1400 PSIG	32 F	200 F					WIKA	SwageLok equiv. PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PI-30	Pressure Indicator, 1500 PSIG, solid front, LM, 1/4" tube									SwageLok	PGI-63S-OG1500-LAQX	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PIC-30	Electronic pressure controller									Tescom	ER3000EI-1 with 85061 option, mounted on R-PCV-30	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PIC-31	Electronic pressure controller	0 PSIG	1400 PSIG	32 F	200 F					Tescom	ER3000EI-1 with 85061 option, mounted on 26-2065T24A270 valve, R-PCV-1	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PT-2	Pressure Transducer									SwageLok	PTI-E-NG1500-19AQ	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-PT-30	Pressure Transducer									WIKA	E-10-NB-ZG2X67-ZZ	
			Pressure Relief Valve, ASME, 63 SCFM @ 1200 PSIG										Model 85, 1/2" MNPT x 1/2" FNPT, Kalrez seat, SS body, ASME, P/N 01-2184M-103, set at 1200 PSIG	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-SRV-1	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating									Flowsafe		
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-3	Solenoid valve-3 way, CI 1, Div 1, Grp B High Temp Coil 120 VAC, NC									ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-30	Solenoid valve-3 way, CI 1, Div 1, Grp B High Temp Coil 120 VAC, NC									ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-31	Solenoid valve-3 way, CI 1, Div 1, Grp B High Temp Coil 120 VAC, NC									ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-40	Solenoid valve-3 way, CI 1, Div 1, Grp B High Temp Coil 120 VAC, NC									ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-1	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F					SwageLok	SS-83PS8	
				0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F							
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-2	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"									SwageLok	SS-83PS8	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-4	1/2"									SwageLok	SS-83PS8	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-7	1/4" Manual valve									SwageLok	SS-4P4T	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-90	Valve, metering, 1/4"									SwageLok	SS-1RS4	
2000.6 sht1	Reactor	R-V-96	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"									SwageLok	SS-83PS8	

2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-7	Solenoid valve 2-way, IAS, 120 VAC, X proof coil, 1500 PSIG							ASCO	EFHB8223G025-120/60, Explosion Proof coil EFHT8007G1
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-SV-8	Solenoid valve 2-way, IAS, 120 VAC, X proof coil, 1500 PSIG							ASCO	EFHB8223G025-120/60, Explosion Proof coil EFHT8007G1
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-5	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-78	Manual Needle Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-1RS4
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-8	Manual Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-4P4T
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-9	Manual Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-4P4T
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-10	Manual Valve 1"							Swagelok	SS-65TF16
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-20	Manual Needle Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-1RS4
2000.8 sht1	Reactor	R-V-21	Manual Needle Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-1RS4
2000.9	Condenser	CON-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8-31C
2000.9	Condenser	CON-CYL-1	Sample Cyl., 1000 CC, 304 stainless, 1800 PSIG, 1/2" NPT both ends							Swagelok	304L-HDF8-1000
2000.9	Condenser	CON-CYL-2	Sample Cyl., 1000 CC, 304 stainless, 1800 PSIG, 1/2" NPT both ends							Swagelok	304L-HDF8-1000
2000.9	Condenser	CON-HX-1	Heat Exchanger	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Sentry	WSW8222U-Special
2000.9	Condenser	CON-HX-2	Heat Exchanger	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Sentry	WSW8222U-Special
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PI-1	Pressure Indicator, 0-100 PSIG	0 PSIG		32 F	1600 F			McMaster Carr (Noshok)	38055K21
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PI-2	Pressure Indicator, 0-100 PSIG	0 PSIG		32 F	100 F			McMaster Carr (Noshok)	38055K21
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PI-3	Pressure Indicator, 0-2000 PSIG, 1/4"							WIKA	WIKA Equiv. PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PI-4	Pressure Indicator, 0-1500 PSIG, 1/4"	0 PSIG		32 F	100 F			WIKA	
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PI-5	Pressure Indicator, 0-3000 PSIG							Swagelok	PGI-63S-PG3000-LAQX
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PRV-1	Pressure Relief Valve, Brnz, 1" MPT x 1" FPT, 151-200 PSIG range, 175 PSIG set	0 PSIG		32 F	1600 F			McMaster Carr (Aquatrol #69)	4703K56
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PRV-3	Pressure Relief Valve, Brnz, 1" MPT x 1" FPT, 151-200 PSIG range, 175 PSIG set							McMaster Carr (Aquatrol #69)	4703K56
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PT-1	Pressure Transducer, 0-300 psig, 1/4" TUBE ADAPTER, 4-20 mA	0 PSIG	300 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			WIKA	E10-APBI-NB-ZG2X67-ZZ
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PT-2	Pressure Transducer, 0-300 psig, 1/4" TUBE ADAPTER, 4-20 mA	0 PSIG	300 PSIG	32 F	100 F			WIKA	E10-APBI-NB-ZG2X67-ZZ
2000.9	Condenser	CON-PT-3	Pressure Transducer, 0-3000 psig, 1/4" TUBE ADAPTER, 4-20 mA							WIKA	S# 260Q0Y1 (Swagelok equiv. PTL-E-NG3000-19AQ-A)
2000.9	Condenser	CON-SV-1	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating							ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz

2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-1	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8	
2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-2	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8	
2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-20	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8	
2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-21	Valve, 1" Brnz, ball valve	0 PSIG	125 PSIG	30 F	212 F			McMaster-Carr	47865K25	0
2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-3	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8	
2000.9	Condenser	CON-V-4	Valve, manual, trunnion, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Swagelok	SS-83PS8	
2000.9	Condenser	SG-DPI-1	ELIMINATED	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	100 F					
2000.9	Condenser	SG-F-1	Filter, 316 SS, 10 micron stainless mesh	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F			Norman Filter	4535GG-10DH-V	
2000.9	Condenser	SG-F-2	ELIMINATED	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	32 F	1600 F					
2000.9	Condenser	SEP-1	Gas liquid Separator							Customer Made by Prime Mechanicals		
2000.9	Condenser	H2O-V-17	1" Brass Ball Valve							Universal	S9541	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-FM-1	Flow Meter		100		150	120	2400	Roots-Dresser	8C175-IMC/W2-PTZ + software	
			Pressure Control Valve, SS, back pressure regulating, with air actuation and electronic control, 1/2" NPT ports, Cv=.12, Viton seals	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft ³ /hr	1000 sft ³ /hr	Tescom	26-1765-28-294A with ER3000EI-1 controller and 85061 option	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PCV-2	Pressure Control Valve, SS, non-venting						3000	Tescom Regulator	44-5262-243	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PCV-10	Pressure Control Valves							Swagelok	KPR1FJF412A2000	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PI-1	Pressure Indicator, solid front, LM, 1/4" tube	0 PSIG	1400 PSIG	32 F	200 F			Swagelok	PGI-63S-PG1500-LAQX-AN	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PI-2	Pressure Indicator, solid front, LM, 1/4" tube							Swagelok	PGI-63S-PG1000-LAQX	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PIC-1	Pressure Indicating Controller							Tescom	ER3000EI-1, with 85061 Option	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-PT-1	Pressure Transducer	0 PSIG	1400 PSIG	32 F	200 F			Swagelok	PTI-E-NG1500-19AQ	
2000.10	Sampling Gas	SG-SV-20	Solenoid Valve 3-way venting, NC, 150 PSIG, 1/4", 120 VAC, CI 1 Div 1 Grp B coil with high temp rating							ASCO	EFHT8314G035, 120 VAC/60 Hz	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-1	Valve, plug, 1/2"	0 PSIG	2400 PSIG	30 F	300 F	0 sft ³ /hr	1000 sft ³ /hr	Swagelok	SS-8P6T	0
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-10	Manual Valve 1/4"							Swagelok	SS-4P4T	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-11	Manual Valve 1/2"							Swagelok	SS-45S8	

2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-12	Manual Needle Valve 1/2"											SwageLok	SS-1RS8		
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-13	Manual Needle Valve 1/2"											SwageLok	SS-45S8		
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-14	Manual Needle Valve 1/2"											SwageLok	SS-83PS8		
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-15	Manual Needle Valve 1/2"											SwageLok	SS-83PS8		
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-2	Valve, plug, 1/4"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000					SwageLok	SS-4P4T	0	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-21	Valve, needle, 1/2"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000					SwageLok	SS-1RS8	0	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-22	Valve, needle, 1/2"	0	2400	30	300	0	1000					SwageLok	SS-1RS8	0	
2000.10	Sample Gas	SG-V-3	Valve, ball, NC, spring, 1", Brnz	0	100	32	200							McMaster	4171K25		
2000.10	Sample Gas	ZnO Heater	1 Gallon Volume Sample Dewar											SwageLok	304L-HDF8-1GAL		

APPENDIX J

Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Commissioning Procedure

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**COAL TO SNG
BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR
COMMISSIONING PROCEDURE**

**FINAL
JULY 2010**

**ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85003**

**Principal Investigator
Dr. Xiaolei Sun
602.250.1510
602.250.1505
xiaolei.sun@aps.com**

COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR COMMISSIONING PROCEDURE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	COLD REACTOR TEST	1
1.1	Initial Condition.....	1
1.2	Main Line Process Functional Check Using Nitrogen	1
1.2.1	Preparation.....	1
1.2.2	Leak Test	2
1.2.3	Flow Control Functional Check	3
1.2.4	Temporal Plant Shutdown.....	5
1.2.5	Flow Meter Calibration for R-MFC-1, H2-FCV-1 (N ₂), and N2-RO-1.....	6
1.2.6	Plant Depressurizing.....	7
1.3	Pressure Balancing System Validation Using Nitrogen (N ₂)	8
1.3.1	Leak Test	8
1.3.2	Establish Controlled Nitrogen Flow.....	8
1.3.3	Balancing System Control Functional Check for Emergency Shutdown (ESD)	9
1.3.4	Trip Sequence Test 1.....	9
1.3.5	Trip Sequence Test 2.....	10
1.3.6	Trip Sequence Test 3.....	11
2	HOT REACTOR TEST.....	12
2.1	Heater Conditioning.....	12
2.1.1	Establish Controlled Nitrogen and Air Flow	12
2.1.2	Heater Conditioning	14
2.1.3	Plant Shutdown	14
2.2	Hot Reactor Test Using N ₂	15
2.2.1	Leak Test	15
2.2.2	Establish Controlled Nitrogen Flow.....	15
2.2.3	Establish Pressure Balancing System	16
2.2.4	Turn on Cooling Water	17
2.2.5	H ₂ Preheater Performance Test.....	17
2.2.6	Reactor Heater Performance Test.....	20
2.2.7	Plant Temporary Shutdown	21
2.3	Hot Reactor Test using H ₂ (Low Flow)	21
2.3.1	Establish Controlled Gas Flow using Nitrogen (N ₂)	21
2.3.2	Establish Pressure Balancing System	22
2.3.3	H ₂ Flow Meter Calibration	23
2.3.4	Turn on Cooling Water	24
2.3.5	Heating up by N ₂	25
2.3.6	Switch Nitrogen to Hydrogen	26
2.3.7	H ₂ Preheater Performance Test.....	27
2.3.8	Reactor Heater Performance Test.....	29
2.3.9	Plant Temporary Shutdown	29
2.4	Hot Reactor Test using H ₂ High Flow	30
2.4.1	Establish Controlled Gas Flow using Nitrogen	30
2.4.2	Establish Pressure Balancing System	30
2.4.3	Turn on Cooling Water	30

2.4.4	Heating up by N ₂	30
2.4.5	Switch Nitrogen to Hydrogen	30
2.4.6	H ₂ Preheater Performance Test.....	31
2.4.7	Reactor Heater Performance Test.....	34
2.4.8	Plant Shutdown	34

List of Figures

Figure 1 –Preheater 1 through 4 Temperature (degrees F) to Tube Length (inches).....	17
Figure 2 – Preheaters 1 through 4 Temperature Profile and Preheaters Gas Temperatures Comparison to Outer and Inner Wall Temperatures.....	27
Figure 3 – Relation between the Preheater Outputs, Temperatures, and Tube Temperatures Depending on the Gas Flow	32

List of Tables

Table 1 – Leak Testing Tube Trailer Pressures, Capacities, and Available Volume	2
Table 2 – H ₂ -PIC-1 psig and sft ³ /h and H ₂ -FCV-1 Percent Opening	23
Table 3 – H ₂ -PIC-2 at psig and sft ³ /h with H ₂ -FCV-2 percent opening	24

Appendix

Appendix 1 – Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist	1
--	---

1 COLD REACTOR TEST

1.1 Initial Condition

1. The system is in a normal shutdown lineup per Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist, Appendix 1.
2. All systems are secured and high-pressure lines are locked.
3. Confirm that all tagouts and locking devices are installed on the high-pressure gas lines per Appendix 1.
4. Verify that the reactor is cold and all heaters are secure.
5. Confirm that locking devices are installed on H2-HTR-1, -2, -3, and -4 and R-HTR-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, and -7.
6. Confirm that the coal hopper and feed system are empty and depressurized to 5 pounds per square inch gauge (psig). Also, confirm and check the following items:
 - a. C-MTR-1 is locked.
 - b. N2-PI-16 and N2-PT-16 are checked.

1.2 Main Line Process Functional Check Using Nitrogen

1.2.1 Preparation

Verify that power meter is operational and that the power usage is being recorded by the monitoring system during all modes of testing.

1.2.1.1 Power

Verify that power meter is operational and that the power usage is being recorded by the monitoring system during all modes of testing.

1.2.1.2 Instrument Nitrogen Supply

1. Verify that Nitrogen (N₂) air supply and that the pressure is more than 100 psig at N2-PT-50.
2. Check and open N2-V-1.
3. Check and open N2-V-9, -10, -11, -12, -13, -14, -15, -20, -21, -22, -23, -24, -25, and -50.

1.2.1.3 N₂ Tube Trailer for Main Use

A N₂ tube trailer, which is rated to 2400 psig with 55,000 standard cubic feet (sft³) capacity, will be used for plant purge before operations, leak testing, and process use. This will have a usable capacity of about 27,000 sft³; there will be 4 or 5 tests on the one trailer. When the pressure of tube trailer approaches 1500 psig, Test Manager must schedule the trailer's refilling.

1.2.1.4 N₂ PAK for Emergency

Verify that the N₂ PAK is full through N2-PCV-2.

1.2.1.5 Temporary Tubing

In order to simplify the pressure balancing system operation during initial startup operation and coal feeder calibration, take out R-CV-31 and temporarily insert short tube.

1.2.2 Leak Test

The leak test will be performed at three pressure levels, which are (1) 400, (2) 800, and (3) 1000 psig. The required gas volume for pressurizing the plant to 1000 psig is about 730 sft³. Before the leak testing, the pressure must be at least at 1500 to 1550 psig. Refer to Table 1 below:

Table 1 – Leak Testing Tube Trailer Pressures, Capacities, and Available Volume

Pressure of Tube Trailer (psig)	Capacity (sft ³)	Available Volume to 1200 psig (sft ³)
2200	55,000	22,917
2100	52,708	20,625
2000	50,417	18,333
1900	48,125	16,042
1800	45,833	13,750
1700	43,542	11,458
1600	41,250	9,167
1500	38,958	6,875
1400	36,667	4,583
1300	35,521	2,292
1200	34,375	0

- 1.2.2.1 Check and shut N2-V-7, N2-V-6, N2-V-4, N2-V-5, N2-V-2, N2-V-3, N2-V-8, N2-V-18/H2-V-5, H2-V-8, H2-V-9, H2-V-10/N2-V-16, CF-V-1, CF-SV-1, CF-SV-3, CF-SV-4/R-V-2, R-V-4/R-V-5, R-SV-7, R-SV-8/CON-V-3, CON-V-4, CON-V-20/SG-V-21, SG-V-22, and SG-V-3.
- 1.2.2.2 Check and shut SG-PCV-1, R-AOV-2, and R-PCV-30.
- 1.2.2.3 Check and open H2-V-1, H2-V-6, H2-V-7, H2-V-3, H2-V-4/CF-SV-2/R-V-1/CON-V-1, CON-V-2/SG-V-1, and SG-V-2.
- 1.2.2.4 Check and open H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-AOV-1, H2-PCV-2/H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20/R-AOV-3, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-AOV-4/R-AOV-1/CON-AOV-1.
- 1.2.2.5 Set N2-PCV-1 secondary pressure at 1150 psig.
- 1.2.2.6 Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 400 (800, and 1000) psig and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.2.2.7 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 and N2-V-7 so that they are fully open. Watch the pressure at H2-PT-1 and R-PT-2 and pressurize the system to 400 psig (800 and 1000) at SG-PT-1 and R-PT-30.

- 1.2.2.8 In order to keep same pressurizing speed between R-PT-3 and R-PT-30, watch the time-history graph for both pressures, and adjust the opening of H2-PCV-1. (As the annulus volume is about three times larger than coal hopper plus the reactor tube plus char pot, the speed of pressurizing annulus will be slower.) The pressure difference must be kept within 50 psig.
- 1.2.2.9 When SG-PT-1 and R-PT-30 reach about 400 psig (800 and 1000), shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 1.2.2.10 Perform leak test using liquid leak detector on flanges, joints, and the parts where was dismantled after the previous run for sampling and maintenance.
1. If a leakage is found out, try to tighten in accordance with the designed torque.
 2. If the leakage is not stopped, open SG-PCV-1 to depressurize the system to ambient pressure and shut SG-PCV-1. (If the depressurizing speed is too slow, use SG-V-21 and SG-V-22 to bleed the pressure.)
 3. Exchange the gasket and perform the following necessary actions:
 - a. Perform the N₂ purge using subsection 2.2 steps for the N₂ valve and vent valve, which are near the dismantled flange or joint.
 - b. Pressurize the plant in accordance with the subsection 1.2.2.7.
 - c. Make sure no leakage.
- 1.2.2.11 Repeat steps 1.2.2.6 through 1.2.2.10 at 800 psig for the second leak test, then repeat steps 1.2.2.6 through 1.2.2.10 at 1000 psig for the final leak test to make sure that there are no leaks in the system.
- 1.2.2.12 Make sure that there is no leaking. Check the liquid leak detector at 1000 psig, shut H2-V-1 and SG-V-1, and keep the plant at 1000 psig for 15 minutes.
- 1.2.2.13 Verify that the pressure did not change at SG-PT-1 and R-PT-30.
- 1.2.2.14 After the leak test was completed, check and shut H2-V-1, H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-MFC-1, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, (SG-V-21, SG-V-22), and open SG-V-1.
- 1.2.3 Flow Control Functional Check
- 1.2.3.1 **Initial Condition:** The plant pressure is kept at about 1000 psig after the leak test.
- This functional check uses N₂ to simulate H₂ flow for tuning and better control of the pressure control valves (PCVs) and function control valves (FCVs).

Follow these guidelines below to perform the flow control functional check:

Simulating				N ₂ flow (sft ³ /h)			Operation (hr)	Consumption (sft ³)
H ₂ /C	GRT	H ₂ main	H ₂ Carrier	Main	Carrier	Annulus		
0.4	10 sec	822 sft ³ /h	91 sft ³ /h	220 ^a	24 ^b	600	as 5.0	4220
^a Tube trailer 22,700 sft ³ is available from 2200 psig to 1200 psig. ^b N ₂ flow is at the same FCV opening and upstream pressure as H ₂ flows. sft ³ /h means standard cubic foot per hour								

- 1.2.3.2 Confirm N₂ tube trailer has more than 1500 psig pressure.
- 1.2.3.3 Set N2-PCV-1 at 1150 psig.
- 1.2.3.4 Make trip bypass OFF on IN2-PI-1_{LL}.
- 1.2.3.5 Check and open H2-V-3, H2-V-4, H2-V-6, H2-V-7, R-V-1, CON-V-1, and CON-V-2/SG-V-2.
- 1.2.3.6 Check and open H2-AOV-1, R-AOV-4, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1.
- 1.2.3.7 Check and shut N2-V-7 and N2-V-3.
- 1.2.3.8 Check and shut H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-PCV-30.
- 1.2.3.9 Set SG-PIC-1 at (<R-PT-3> +10 psig), and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.2.3.10 In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully open.
- 1.2.3.11 Open R-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1 secondary pressure.
- 1.2.3.12 Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint 20 sft³/h increments every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
- 1.2.3.13 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 1.2.3.14 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 1.2.3.15 Open H2-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1 secondary pressure.
- 1.2.3.16 Select flow indicator for N₂ on H2-FIC-1.
- 1.2.3.17 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator (H2-FIC-1) and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
- 1.2.3.18 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.2.3.19 Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1000 psig.
- 1.2.3.20 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 1.2.3.21 Increase H2-FIC-1 flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the H2-FIC-1 setpoint.

- 1.2.3.22 Adjust the opening of H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 so as to achieve an appropriate opening on H2-FCV-1 (about 65%) and R-MFC-1. Record the openings of H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 and use the instructions contained in the Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Startup Procedure.
- 1.2.3.23 Check and open H2-V-6 and CF-SV-2.
- 1.2.3.24 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-2 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 1.2.3.25 Select flow Indicator for N₂ on H2-FIC-2.
- 1.2.3.26 Open H2-AOV-2 and H2-AOV-20.
- 1.2.3.27 Open H2-PCV-2 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-4 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 1.2.3.28 Check and open R-AOV-3.
- 1.2.3.29 Open H2-FCV-2 in 1% opening increments until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify that N₂ starts to flow. If H2-PIC-4 reduces rapidly, open H2-PCV-2 to achieve stable flow rate.
- 1.2.3.30 Set H2-FCV-2 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.2.3.31 Increase H2-FIC-2 to 24 sft³/h by changing the setpoint. If the opening of H2-FCV-2 fully opens, open H2-PCV-2 to achieve appropriate opening on H2-FCV-2.
- 1.2.3.32 Open H2-AOV-20.
- 1.2.3.33 Open H2-MFC-1 by manual in 1% opening increments until you see the flow on the flow indicator. If the coal feeder MAG drive seal is perfect, gas does not flow. In this case, keep H2-MFC-1 open at 50%.
- 1.2.3.34 If a flow is indicated, try to set H2-MFC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.2.3.35 If the flow increases with the opening, set H2-MFC-1 setpoint to achieve minimum and stable flow rate on H2-MFC-1.
- 1.2.3.36 Check the stability of all auto control loop by observing the time history trend graph on the control screen.
- 1.2.3.37 If there is unstable control loop, turn on PID on the control loop in accordance with the Control Engineer's instruction.

1.2.4 Temporal Plant Shutdown

In order to make the flow meter calibration test continuously in next day, the plant will be kept at high-pressure N₂.

- 1.2.4.1 Using manual control mode, manually shut H2-PCV-1, H2-PCV-2, and R-PCV-1.
- 1.2.4.2 Using manual control mode, manually shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 1.2.4.3 Using manual control mode, manually shut SG-PCV-1.

1.2.4.4 Using manual control mode, manually shut SG-V-1 and keep the plant pressure at 1000 psig.

1.2.5 Flow Meter Calibration for R-MFC-1, H2-FCV-1 (N₂), and N2-RO-1

Initial Condition: The plant pressure is kept at about 1000 psig after the previous test.

This test is to check flow meters and make calibration using SG-FM-1.

1.2.5.1 For R-MFC-1 flow meter calibration, follow the following steps:

1. Confirm N₂ tube trailer has more than 1500 psig pressure.
2. Confirm N2-PCV-1 setpoint at 1150 psig.
3. Check and open H2-AOV-1, R-AOV-4, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1.
4. Check and shut N2-V-7 and N2-V-3.
5. Check and shut H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-PCV-30.
6. Open SG-V-1.
7. Set SG-PIC-1 at R-PT-3 + 10 psig and set R-MFC-1 to auto control mode for calibration.
8. In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully open.
9. Open R-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
10. Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint 20 sft³/h incrementally every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
11. Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1015 psig.
12. Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
13. Adjust R-PCV-1 opening (R-PT-2 pressure) to obtain stable control on R-MFC-1.
14. Measure and record the flow rate on SG-FM-1 at 600, 400, 200 sft³/h on R-MFC-1

1.2.5.2 For H2-FCV-1 (N₂) flow meter calibration, follow these steps:

1. Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
2. In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
3. Open H2-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1 secondary pressure.
4. Select flow Indicator for N₂ on H2-FIC-1.

5. Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator H2-FIC-1 and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
6. Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
7. Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1000 psig.
8. Using manual control mode, manually shut R-PCV-1 and R-MFC-1.
9. Using manual control mode, manually shut N2-V-7.
10. Increase H2-FIC-1 flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the H2-FIC-1 setpoint.
11. Adjust the opening of H2-PCV-1 to achieve a stable control on H2-FCV-1.
12. Measure and record the flow rate on SG-FM-1 at 220, 150, and 100 sft³/h on H2-FM-1.

1.2.5.3 For N2-RO-1, flow meter calibration, follow these steps:

1. Using manual control mode, manually shut H2-PCV-1 and H2-FCV-1.
2. Using manual control mode, manually shut N2-V-3.
3. Connect the N₂ tube trailer to the inlet of emergency N₂ line.
4. Set N2-PCV-2 at 1150 psig.
5. Set N2-PIC-40 at R-PT-5 – 10 psig and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
6. Input the trip signal intentionally as directed by Control Engineer so that N2-AOV-40 works as pressure control valve.
7. Measure the flow rates at N2-PIC-40 three setpoints (R-PT-5 +30, +50, and +70 psig) and find out the pressure difference through N2-RO-1 to achieve about 1000 sft³/h.
8. Switch from auto control mode to manual control mode and manually shut N2-AOV-40.
9. Manually shut N2-PCV-2 regulator and disconnect N₂ tube trailer from the inlet of emergency N₂ line.

1.2.6 Plant Depressurizing

When the flow meter calibration is completed, start plant depressurization. Follow these steps:

- 1.2.6.1 Set SG-PCV-1 program controller at 5 psig as the target pressure and 15 psig per minute as the ramp down speed.
- 1.2.6.2 Start the ramp down of plant pressure.
- 1.2.6.3 Shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 1.2.6.4 Open by manual control mode H2-PCV-1, H2-PCV-2, and R-PCV-1.

- 1.2.6.5 Watch the time history trend graph on H2-PIC-1, H2-PIC-4, N2-PT-16, R-PT-30, R-PT-3, and SG-PT-1.
- 1.2.6.6 If R-dPT-1 is going to go over 10 psig, slow down the ramp down speed so as to get R-dPT-1 within 10 psig. (Note: Remember to record the ramp down speed.)
- 1.2.6.7 When SG-PT-1 reaches 5 psig, shut by manual control mode SG-PCV-1.
- 1.2.6.8 Keep the plant pressure at 5 psig.

1.3 Pressure Balancing System Validation Using Nitrogen (N₂)

Install R-CV-31 in accordance with piping and instrumentation diagram (P&ID).

1.3.1 Leak Test

Conduct the leak test following the subsection 1.2.2.

1.3.2 Establish Controlled Nitrogen Flow

Use these guidelines to establish the controlled nitrogen flow:

Simulating				N ₂ flow (sft ³ /h) ^a			Operation (hr)	Consumption (sft ³)
H ₂ /C	GRT	H ₂ main	H ₂ carrier	Main	Carrier	Annulus		
0.4	10 sec	822 sft ³ /h	91 sft ³ /h	220 ^b	— ^c	600	as 5.0	4100

^a Tube trailer: 22,700 sft³ is available from 2200 psig to 1200 psig.
^b N₂ flow is at same FCV opening and upstream pressure as H₂ flow.
^c There was no carrier gas used on this test.

- 1.3.2.1 Confirm that N₂ trailer has 1600 psig pressure.
- 1.3.2.2 Set N2-PCV-1 at 1150 psig.
- 1.3.2.3 Check and open H2-V-3, H2-V-4, H2-V-6, H2-V-7, R-V-1, CON-V-1, CON-V-2, and SG-V-2.
- 1.3.2.4 Check and open H2-AOV-1, R-AOV-4, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1.
- 1.3.2.5 Check and shut N2-V-7 and N2-V-3.
- 1.3.2.6 Check and shut H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-PCV-30.
- 1.3.2.7 Set SG-PCV-1 at (R-PI-3) +10 psig, and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.3.2.8 In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully open.
- 1.3.2.9 Open R-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 1.3.2.10 Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint 20 sft³/h incrementally every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
- 1.3.2.11 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).

- 1.3.2.12 In half turn increments, open N₂-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 1.3.2.13 Open H₂-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H₂-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N₂-PCV-1 secondary pressure.
- 1.3.2.14 Select flow Indicator for N₂ on H₂-FIC-1.
- 1.3.2.15 Open H₂-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator H₂-FIC-1 and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
- 1.3.2.16 Set H₂-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.3.2.17 Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1000 psig.
- 1.3.2.18 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 350 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 1.3.2.19 Increase H₂-FIC-1 flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the setpoint.
- 1.3.2.20 Adjust the opening of H₂-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 to achieve about 65% (an appropriate) opening on H₂-FCV-1 and R-MFC-1.
- 1.3.2.21 Confirm proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.
- 1.3.2.22 If there is unstable control loop, turn on PID on the control loop as directed by Control Engineer.
- 1.3.3 Balancing System Control Functional Check for Emergency Shutdown (ESD)

This test is to select a best sequence from three options to balance and perform ESD. Follow these steps:

 - 1.3.3.1 Establish pressure balancing system.
 - 1.3.3.2 Confirm that the auto control R-MFC-1 flow rate is stable.
 - 1.3.3.3 Set R-PCV-30 setpoint at 1000 psig and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
 - 1.3.3.4 Confirm proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 1.3.3.5 Shut R-AOV-4.
 - 1.3.3.6 Confirm proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 1.3.3.7 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
 - 1.3.3.8 Set differential pressure (dP) setpoint of program controller R-PT-30 = P-PT-3 + dP 0 psig and turn on the program controller.
 - 1.3.3.9 Increase the dP setpoint in 3 psig increments to 15 psig to achieve R-PT-30 at 1015 psig.
 - 1.3.3.10 Confirm proper response for all pressure and flow instruments.
- 1.3.4 Trip Sequence Test 1

For the Trip Sequence Test 1 make sure of the following: (1) R-AOV-4 is open; and (2) change R-PCV-30 program controller dP setpoint to 0 psig. Other steps are as follows:

- 1.3.4.1 Verify the emergency purge N₂ Pak is full (at 2400 psig).
 - 1.3.4.2 Set N₂-PIC 40 setpoint at 1040 psig.
 - 1.3.4.3 Confirm the Trip Sequence Test 1 is programmed in the Lab View Program.
 - 1.3.4.4 Make the grouping of N₂-PIC-40, H₂-PT-5, R-PIC-31, R-PIC-30, R-dPT-1, R-PT-3, and SG-PIC-1 on the time history trend graph on the control screen.
 - 1.3.4.5 Activate the trip system by hitting manual trip button.
 - 1.3.4.6 Confirm that H₂-AOV-1 is shut, and R-AOV-4 and N₂-AOV-40 are open.
 - 1.3.4.7 Verify the proper responses for SG-PCV-1 and R-PCV-30.
 - 1.3.4.8 Manually shut H₂-FCV-1.
 - 1.3.4.9 When R-PT-3 and R-PT-30 become stable, measure the flow rate at SG-FM-1 and estimate how the annulus purge N₂ is divided and flowing to R-PCV-30 and SG-PCV-1.
 - 1.3.4.10 When the flow measurement is finished, the test is finished.
 - 1.3.4.11 Verify that all pressure and flow to R-MFC-1 is normal.
 - 1.3.4.12 Push trip reset button on the Trip Bypass Screen.
 - 1.3.4.13 Verify that H₂-PT-1 is about same as the secondary pressure of H₂-PCV-30.
 - 1.3.4.14 Open H₂-AOV-1 and shut N₂-AOV-40.
 - 1.3.4.15 Open H₂-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
 - 1.3.4.16 Increase H₂-FIC-1 flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
 - 1.3.4.17 Determine the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.
- 1.3.5 Trip Sequence Test 2

For Trip Sequence Test 2, perform the following steps: (1) change R-PCV-30 program controller dP setpoint to 0 psig; and (2) keep the R-AOV-4 shut.

- 1.3.5.1 Control Engineer changes the trip sequence to Test 2 action.
- 1.3.5.2 Change the emergency purge N₂ Pak to a new full Pak.
- 1.3.5.3 Verify that N₂-PIC 40 setpoint is at 1040 psig.
- 1.3.5.4 Using auto control mode, verify that R-MFC-1 flow rate is stable.
- 1.3.5.5 Shut R-AOV-4.
- 1.3.5.6 Confirm proper responses for R-PCV-30 and SG-PCV-1.
- 1.3.5.7 Set dP setpoint of program controller R-PT-30 = R-PT-3+dP 0 psig and turn on the R-PT-30 program controller.
- 1.3.5.8 Increase the dP setpoint in 3 psig increments to 15 psig to achieve 1015 psig at R-PI-30.

- 1.3.5.9 Determine the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.
- 1.3.5.10 Confirm the grouping of N2-PIC-40, H2-PT-5, R-PIC-31, R-PIC-30, R-dPT-1, R-PT-3, and SG-PIC-1 on the time history trend graph on the screen.
- 1.3.5.11 Activate the trip system by hitting manual trip button.
- 1.3.5.12 Confirm that H2-AOV-1 is shut and N2-AOV-40 is open.
- 1.3.5.13 Carefully observe the pressure changes on R-PT-30 and R-PT-3.
- 1.3.5.14 Verify the proper responses for SG-PCV-1 and R-PCV-30.
- 1.3.5.15 Using manual control mode, shut H2-FCV-1.
- 1.3.5.16 When R-PT-3 and R-PT-30 become stable, the test is finished.
- 1.3.5.17 Verify that all pressures and R-MFC-1 flow are normal.
- 1.3.5.18 Push trip reset button on Trip Bypass Screen.
- 1.3.5.19 Open H2-AOV-1 and shut N2-AOV-40.
- 1.3.5.20 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify the flow rate.
- 1.3.5.21 Increase H2-FCV-1 (H2-FM-1) flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 1.3.5.22 Verify the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.

1.3.6 Trip Sequence Test 3

For Trip Sequence Test 3, the following steps must be performed: (1) open R-AOV-4; (2) change R-PCV-30 program controller dP setpoint to 0 psig; and (3) shut R-AOV-4.

- 1.3.6.1 As directed by Control Engineer, change the trip sequence to Test 3 action.
- 1.3.6.2 Change the emergency purge N₂ Pak to a new full Pak.
- 1.3.6.3 Verify that the N2-PIC 40 setpoint is set at 1040 psig.
- 1.3.6.4 Verify that the R-MFC-1 flow rate is stable.
- 1.3.6.5 Verify that R-PCV-30 setpoint at 1000 psig and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 1.3.6.6 Shut R-AOV-4.
- 1.3.6.7 Verify the proper responses for R-PCV-30 and SG-PCV-1.
- 1.3.6.8 Set dP setpoint of program controller R-PT-30 so that it equals R-PT-3+dP 0 psig, and turn on the R-PT-30 program controller.
- 1.3.6.9 Increase the dP setpoint in 3 psig increments to 15 psig to achieve 1015 psig for R-PT-30.
- 1.3.6.10 Confirm the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.

- 1.3.6.11 Confirm the grouping of N2-PIC-40, H2-PT-5, R-PIC-31, R-PIC-30, R-dPT-1, R-PT-3, and SG-PIC-1 on the time history trend graph on the screen.
- 1.3.6.12 Activate the trip system by turning on the manual trip button.
- 1.3.6.13 Confirm that H2-AOV-1 is open, R-AOV-4 is shut, and N2-AOV-40 is open.
- 1.3.6.14 Carefully observe the pressure changes of R-PT-30 and R-PT-3
- 1.3.6.15 Verify proper response for SG-PCV-1 and R-PCV-30.
- 1.3.6.16 Using manual control mode, shut H2-FCV-1.
- 1.3.6.17 When R-PT-3 and R-PT-30 become stable, the test is finished.
- 1.3.6.18 Verify that all pressures and R-MFC-1 flow are normal.
- 1.3.6.19 Push trip reset button on Trip Bypass Screen.
- 1.3.6.20 Open H2-AOV-1 and shut N2-AOV-40.
- 1.3.6.21 Open R-AOV-4.
- 1.3.6.22 Using manual control mode, shut R-PCV-30; watch SG-PI-1 to make sure that there is no more than 5 psig pressure change.
- 1.3.6.23 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify the flow rate.
- 1.3.6.24 Set SG-PCV-1 ramp down program controller at 5 psig as the target pressure and 15 psig per minute as the ramp down speed.
- 1.3.6.25 Start the ramp down of the plant's pressure.
- 1.3.6.26 Shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 1.3.6.27 When SG-PT-1 reaches 5 psig, use manual control mode to shut SG-PCV-1.
- 1.3.6.28 Set all valves in accordance with Appendix 1 in the normal shutdown line up.

2 HOT REACTOR TEST

2.1 Heater Conditioning

2.1.1 Establish Controlled Nitrogen and Air Flow

- 2.1.1.1 Connect Air 12 Pak to R-V-2.
- 2.1.1.2 Set Air 12 Pak regulator secondary pressure at 1200 psig.
- 2.1.1.3 Install needle valve temporally at the position of R-F-11.
- 2.1.1.4 Check and shut N2-V-7, N2-V-6, N2-V-4, N2-V-5, N2-V-2, N2-V-3, N2-V-8, N2-V-18, H2-V-1, H2-V-5, H2-V-6, H2-V-7, H2-V-8, H2-V-9, H2-V-10, N2-V-16, CF-V-1, CF-SV-1, CF-SV-2, CF-SV-3, CF-SV-4, R-AOV-3/R-V-2, R-V-4, R-V-5, R-SV-7, R-SV-8/CON-V-3, CON-V-4, CON-V-20, SG-V-21, SG-V-22, and SG-V-3.

- 2.1.1.5 Check valves R-AOV-2, R-AOV-4, and R-PCV-30, and using manual control mode shut R-AOV-2, R-AOV-4, and R-PCV-30.
- 2.1.1.6 Check and open H2-V-3, H2-V-4/R-V-1, R-V-4/CON-V-1, CON-V-2/SG-V-1, and SG-V-2.
- 2.1.1.7 Take out the plug on the end of R-V-4 tube.
- 2.1.1.8 Using manual control mode, open valves H2-AOV-1 and SG-PCV-1 all the way (100%).
- 2.1.1.9 In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully opened.
- 2.1.1.10 Open R-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as the secondary pressure Air 12 Pak Regulator.
- 2.1.1.11 Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint 20 sft³/h incrementally every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
- 2.1.1.12 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.1.1.13 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.1.1.14 Open H2-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1 secondary pressure.
- 2.1.1.15 Select flow indicator H2-FIC-1 for N₂.
- 2.1.1.16 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator H2-FIC-1, and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
- 2.1.1.17 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and set it on auto control mode.
- 2.1.1.18 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 2.1.1.19 Increase H2-FIC-1 flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the setpoint.
- 2.1.1.20 The reactor tube pressure R-PT-3 and annular space pressure R-PT-30 would be resigned to the situation (close to ambient pressure), but the R-dPT-1 has to be kept lower than 50 pounds per square inch (psi) under high temperature. If the R-dPT-1 cannot go over 50 psi (increasing both flow rates), the test manager has to decide to whether he needs to change either pressures or flow rate.

2.1.2 Heater Conditioning

- 2.1.2.1 Verify that the power supply system is ready.
- 2.1.2.2 Confirm that the alarm setpoint on reactor heater's temperature.
- 2.1.2.3 Verify that all of heaters' switches are off and setpoint of power output is 0.
- 2.1.2.4 Verify that all of trip triggers on heaters' temperature monitors have the Trip Bypass ON.
- 2.1.2.5 Set the Trip Bypass on R-TI-10^{HH} to OFF.
- 2.1.2.6 Check TIC-21, TE-21B-3, TE-21A-2, TE-21B-2, TE-21A-1, TE-21B-41 TE-21B-1, and TE-27A-1 (21 group) indicators on the time history trend graph on the screen.
- 2.1.2.7 Turn on the Reactor Heater Zone 1 and set TIC-21 to auto control mode.
- 2.1.2.8 Ramp the heater temperature up to 425 °F by changing setpoint at 25 °F increments per minute. Note: This is the actual heating up speed so be careful not to setpoint and change the speed.
- 2.1.2.9 Observe the air purge exhaust (smoke of binder) and analyze the N₂ purge through the reactor tube.
- 2.1.2.10 Once the binder has out of gas (no visible smoke is coming out), increase TIC-21 to 1600 °F at 25 °F increments per minute.
- 2.1.2.11 Monitor the reactor tube's temperature profile and observe (watch and listen) the reactor see if there is an indication of motion, hot spots, interferences with frame, etc.
- 2.1.2.12 Keep 1600 °F for 30 minutes and de-energize the zone and proceed to next zone.
- 2.1.2.13 Repeat Steps 2.1.2.6 through Step 2.1.2.12 for Zone 2, then each subsequent zone. The Operator may decide to accelerate the conditioning processes by energizing more zones up to 425 °F based on observing how the reactor responds during the conditioning of the first two zones.

2.1.3 Plant Shutdown

- 2.1.3.1 Continue to keep gas flow through reactor tube and annulus until all temperatures go below 200 °F.
- 2.1.3.2 Shut Air 12 Pak regulator valve and confirm that the annulus pressure has become ambient pressure.
- 2.1.3.3 Shut R-V-2 and R-V-4, and install the plug back at the end of R-V-4.
- 2.1.3.4 Shut N2-V-3 and confirm that the reactor's pressure on R-PT-3 and SG-PT-1 have become ambient pressure.
- 2.1.3.5 Open R-AOV-4.
- 2.1.3.6 Using manual control mode, open H2-PCV-1 and H2-FCV-1.

- 2.1.3.7 Confirm that N2-PCV-4's secondary pressure setpoint is 200 psig.
- 2.1.3.8 Shut SG-PCV-1.
- 2.1.3.9 Open N2-V-6 and pressurize the reactor tube and annulus space to 150 psig.
- 2.1.3.10 Shut N2-V-6.
- 2.1.3.11 Open SG-PCV-1 and depressurize the reactor's tube and annulus space to 5 psig.
- 2.1.3.12 Shut SG-PCV-1.
- 2.1.3.13 Repeat Steps 2.1.3.9 through 2.1.3.8 two times.
- 2.1.3.14 Set all valves in accordance with Appendix D the normal shutdown line up.
- 2.1.3.15 Keep the plant pressure at 5 psig.

2.2 Hot Reactor Test Using N₂

2.2.1 Leak Test

Conduct the leak test following the subsection 1.2.2.

2.2.2 Establish Controlled Nitrogen Flow

Initial Condition: The plant pressure is kept at about 1000 psig after the leak test.

- 2.2.2.1 Confirm that the N₂ tube trailer has more than 1500 psig pressure. See items below as reference:

Follow the steps below to perform the flow control functional check:

N ₂ Flow (sft ³ /h)		Operation (hr)	Consumption (sft ³)
Process	Annulus		
220 ^a	600	as 6.0	4920
^a N ₂ flow is at same FCV opening and upstream pressure as H ₂ ; the equivalent flow with high H ₂ flow is used for this test.			

- 2.2.2.2 Set N2-PCV-1 at 1150 psig.
- 2.2.2.3 Check and open H2-V-3, H2-V-4, H2-V-6, H2-V-7, R-V-1, CON-V-1, CON-V-2, and SG-V-2.
- 2.2.2.4 Check and open H2-AOV-1, R-AOV-4, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1.
- 2.2.2.5 Check and shut N2-V-7 and N2-V-3.
- 2.2.2.6 Check and shut H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20, R-AOV-3, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-PCV-30.
- 2.2.2.7 Set SG-PCV-1 at R-PT-3 + 10 psig, and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.2.2.8 In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully open.

- 2.2.2.9 Open R-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 2.2.2.10 Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint in 20 sft³/h incrementally every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
- 2.2.2.11 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.2.2.12 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.2.2.13 Open H2-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 2.2.2.14 Select flow indicator for N₂ on H2-FIC-1.
- 2.2.2.15 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
- 2.2.2.16 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.2.2.17 Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1000 psig.
- 2.2.2.18 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 350 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 2.2.2.19 Increase H2-FCV-1 (H2-FM-1) flow rate to 220 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint (the opening will be about 65% with 90 psi of pressure drop).
- 2.2.2.20 Adjust the opening of H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 to achieve an appropriate opening on H2-FCV-1 and R-MFC-1.
- 2.2.2.21 Verify the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.
- 2.2.2.22 If there is unstable control loop, make a turning on PID on the control loop in accordance with Control Engineer's advice.
- 2.2.3 Establish Pressure Balancing System
 - 2.2.3.1 Verify that the R-MFC-1 flow rate is stable and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode/
 - 2.2.3.2 Set R-PCV-30 setpoint at 1000 psig and set it on auto control mode.
 - 2.2.3.3 Verify the proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 2.2.3.4 Shut R-AOV-4.
 - 2.2.3.5 Verify the proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 2.2.3.6 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
 - 2.2.3.7 Set dP setpoint of program controller R-PT-30 equals R-PT-3 + dP 0 psig and turn on the R-PT-30 program controller.
 - 2.2.3.8 Increase the dP setpoint in 3 psig increments to 15 psig to achieve 1015 psig on R-PT-30.
 - 2.2.3.9 Verify proper responses for all pressure and flow instrument.

2.2.4 Turn on Cooling Water

- 2.2.4.1 Open H2O-V-1 and verify that the tank is full.
- 2.2.4.2 Check and open H2O-V-2, -4, -5, -6, -7, and -8; check and open H2O-SV-8 and H2O-SV-20.
- 2.2.4.3 Turn on H2O-P-1.
- 2.2.4.4 Open CON-V-21 and vent the air in the water line, verify that the water is circulating, and shut CON-V-21.
- 2.2.4.5 Verify that mist to the reactor head and char pot.
- 2.2.4.6 Verify CON-PI-1 and CON-PI-2 indicate pressure.
- 2.2.4.7 Set the Trip Bypass on H2O-FSL-2 ON to OFF and H2O-P-1 to OFF.

2.2.5 H₂ Preheater Performance Test

Confirm the relation between the heater output, heater temperature, and tube temperature depending on gas flow. Refer to Figure 1.

Figure 1 –Preheater 1 through 4 Temperature (degrees F) to Tube Length (inches)

As the tube wall temperature in operation will be very close to the design temperature (1650 °F) especially on Preheater 1, careful attention should be paid on the wall's temperature.

- 2.2.5.1 Verify that the power supply system is ready.
- 2.2.5.2 Confirm the alarm setpoint on all of heaters' related temperatures.
- 2.2.5.3 Verify that all of heaters are switched off, and setpoint of power output is at 0.
- 2.2.5.4 Verify that all of trip triggers on heaters' temperature monitors have the Trip Bypass ON.

- 2.2.5.5 Set the Trip Bypass on R-TI-10^{HH} to OFF.
- 2.2.5.6 Make the grouping of all preheaters' tube temperatures on the time history trend graph on the screen.
- 2.2.5.7 Turn on the H₂ Preheaters PH-HTR-1, -2, -3, and -4 as well as Heater 5.
- 2.2.5.8 Set TIC-14A-3 program controller setpoint at 1000 °F for the target temperature and at 25 °F every minute as the ramp up speed and turn on the controller.
 - 1. When TIC-14A-3 reaches to the target temperature, turn off the ramp up control and return to auto control mode.
 - 2. Increase the TIC-14A-3 setpoint in 25 °F increments every 1 to 2 minutes to achieve 1400 °F on TI-14C-2A/2B. Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-14A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
 - 3. If the overshoot is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-14A-3 from auto control mode to auto control mode, and manually increase the TIC-14A-3's power output in 1 % increments to achieve 1400 °F.
 - 4. After TIC-14A-3 reaches 1400 °F, increase the heater output by manual control mode to achieve 1400 °F for TI-14C-2A/2B.
 - 5. Set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at temperature on the indicator and switch it from manual control mode to auto control mode.
 - 6. Manually increase and adjust the heater output of PH-HTR-1, -2, -3, and Heater 5 heater to achieve same temperature as TI-14A-2A/2B on TI-13C-2A/2B, TI-12C-2A/2B and TI-11C-2A/2B, and TI-15A/B/C/D-2.
 - 7. When the PH-HTR-4 temperature is stable, record the temperature and heater output power.
 - 8. Unless TI-14C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, turn the TI-14C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
 - 9. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.
 - b. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
 - c. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.
- 2.2.5.9 Set TIC-13A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch it to auto control mode. Follow these steps below:
 - 1. Increase the TIC-13A-3 setpoint in 10 °F increments every 1 to 2 minutes to achieve 1500 °F on TI-13C-2A/2B. Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-13A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.

2. If the overshoot over 10 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-13A-3's auto control mode to manual control mode, and increase the power output carefully by manual control mode to achieve 1500 °F TIC-13A-3.
3. In manual control mode, set the TIC-13A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch it back to auto control mode.
4. Increase and adjust the heater output of PH-HTR-1, 2 and Heater 5 by manual control mode to achieve the same temperature as TI-13A-2A/2B on TI-12C-2A/2B, TI-11C-2A/2B, and TI-15A/B/C/D-2.
5. When the temperature related with PH-HTR-3 are stable, record the temperature, and heater output power.
6. Unless TI-13C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, turn the trip bypass on TI-13C-2A/2B^{HH} to OFF.
7. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.
 - b. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
 - c. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.

- 2.2.5.10 On manual control mode, set TIC-12A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch to auto control mode. Follow these steps:
1. Increase the TIC-12A-3 setpoint in 5 °F increments every 1 to 2 minutes to achieve 1550 °F on TI-12C-2A/2B. Carefully watch and do not overshoot on TIC-12A-3. Record the relation between power output and temperatures.
 2. If the overshoot is over 5 °F after PID tuning, turn off auto control mode on TIC-12A-3, use TIC-12A-3's manual control mode to increase the power output carefully to achieve 1550 °F.
 3. If the control is manual control mode, set the TIC-12A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and turn on the auto control mode.
 4. Increase and adjust the heater output of PH-HTR-1 and Heater 5 by manual control mode to achieve same temperature as TI-12A-2A/2B on TI-11C-2A/2B and TI-15A/B/C/D-2.
 5. When the temperatures related with PH-HTR-3 are stable, record the temperatures, and heater output power.
 6. Unless TI-12C-2A/2B and TI-12C-1A/1B indicate abnormal temperatures, set the TI-12C-2A/2B^{HH} and TI-12C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
 7. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.

- a. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
- a. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.

2.2.5.11 Keep TIC-11A-3 manual control mode, and follow these instructions:

1. Increase the power output carefully by manual control mode and wait until the TI-11C-2A/2B become stable, and check to make sure the temperature is under 1600 °F.
2. Repeat Step 1 (above) until the TI-11C-2A/2B reaches to 1600 °F.
3. Set the TIC-11A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch it from auto control mode to manual control mode.
4. Using manual control mode, increase and adjust the Heater 5 to achieve the same temperature as TI-11A-2A/2B on TI-15-1.
5. When the temperature related to Heater PH-HTR-1 is stable, record the temperature.
6. Unless TI-11C-2A/2B and TI-11C-1A/1B indicate abnormal temperatures, set TI-11C-2A/2B^{HH} and TI-11C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
7. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.
 - b. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
 - c. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.

2.2.6 Reactor Heater Performance Test

The heater operation should be performed using temperature profile screen. Follow these steps for the reactor heaters' performance test:

- 2.2.6.1 Turn on the Reactor Heaters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.
- 2.2.6.2 Set TIC-21 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.2.6.3 Increase the TIC-21 setpoint 25 °F increments to achieve the same temperature as the average of TI-15A/B/C/D-2 on the TE-22A-1. Observe carefully the behavior of TE-21A-1, TE-21B-4, TE-21B-1 and TE-27A-1 due to the increase of the TIC-21 setpoint.
- 2.2.6.4 If the overshoot of TIC-21 is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-21 from auto control mode to manual control mode and carefully increase the power.
- 2.2.6.5 Conduct the same operation on TIC-22, TIC-23, TIC-24, TIC-25, TIC-26, and TIC-27.
- 2.2.6.6 Monitor R-TI-60/61 carefully. If the R-TI-60/61 goes over 900 °F, first turn off Heater 7, and if necessary turn off Heater 6.

- 2.2.6.7 When the temperature profile on reactor tube between Heater 2 and Heaters 5, 6, and 7 becomes roughly flat and stable, record the output of each heater and all of temperatures in the plant.

2.2.7 Plant Temporary Shutdown

- 2.2.7.1 Manually turn off all heaters.
- 2.2.7.2 Change the setpoints of R-PIC-30 program controller dP from 15 to 0 psig in 3 psig increments every 5 minutes.
- 2.2.7.3 Turn off R-PIC-30's program controller.
- 2.2.7.4 When all heaters' TIs go below than 200 °F, shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7
- 2.2.7.5 Open R-AOV-4.
- 2.2.7.6 Using manual control mode, shut SG-PCV-1, R-PCV-30, and H2-PCV-1.
- 2.2.7.7 Shut SG-V-1.
- 2.2.7.8 Keep the plant at about 1000 psig.
- 2.2.7.9 Set the tip bypass on H2O-FSL-2 to ON and H2O-P-1 to OFF.
- 2.2.7.10 Turn off H2O-P-1.

2.3 Hot Reactor Test using H₂ (Low Flow)

Initial Condition: The plant pressure is kept at about 1000 psig after the previous run.

2.3.1 Establish Controlled Gas Flow using Nitrogen (N₂)

- 2.3.1.1 Confirm that N₂ tube trailer has more than 1600 psig pressure and that it has sufficient N₂ to operate the system. Follow this information to establish controlled gas flow using N₂:

H ₂ /C	N ₂ flow (sft ³ /h) Process	H ₂ flow (sft ³ /h) Process	N ₂ flow (sft ³ /h) Annulus	Operation (hr)	N ₂ Consumption (sft ³)
0.2	220 ^a	267 ^b	600	as 6.0	4400
^a N ₂ flow is for startup.					
^b 90% of 296 at RT = 20, H ₂ /C = 0.2, and T = 1500 °F					

- 2.3.1.2 Set N2-PCV-1 at 1150 psig.
- 2.3.1.3 Check and open H2-V-3, H2-V-4, H2-V-6, H2-V-7/R-V-1/CON-V-1, CON-V-2/SG-V-1, and SG-V-2.
- 2.3.1.4 Check and open H2-AOV-1/R-AOV-4/R-AOV-1/CON-AOV-1
- 2.3.1.5 Check and shut H2-PCV-1, H2-FCV-1, H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1, H2-AOV-20, R-AOV-3, R-PCV-1, R-MFC-1, and R-PCV-30.
- 2.3.1.6 Set SG-PCV-1 at R-PT-3 +10 psig, and switch SG-PCV-1 to auto control mode.

- 2.3.1.7 In half turn increments, open N2-V-7 so that it is fully open.
- 2.3.1.8 Open R-PCV-1 in 1 % opening increments every 10 seconds until R-PIC-31 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 2.3.1.9 Set R-MFC-1 setpoint at 10 sft³/h and increase the setpoint 20 sft³/h increments every 10 seconds to achieve 100 sft³/h.
- 2.3.1.10 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.3.1.11 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.3.1.12 Open H2-PCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-1 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 2.3.1.13 Select H2-FIC-1 flow indicator for N₂.
- 2.3.1.14 Open H2-FCV-1 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until you see the flow on the H2-FCV-1 flow indicator and verify that N₂ starts to flow.
- 2.3.1.15 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.3.1.16 Set SG-PCV-1 setpoint at 1000 psig.
- 2.3.1.17 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 350 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
- 2.3.1.18 Increase H2-FIC-1 (H2-FM-1) flow rate to 220 sft³/h on N₂ flow scale by changing the flow setpoint.
- 2.3.1.19 Adjust the H2-PCV-1 opening to achieve an appropriate opening (about 65%) on H2-FCV-1. Note: There is a 65% opening at H2-PT-1 and it should be 1090 psig in theory.
- 2.3.1.20 If there is unstable control loop, make a turning on PID on the control loop in accordance with control engineer's advice.
- 2.3.2 Establish Pressure Balancing System
 - 2.3.2.1 Using auto control mode, verify that R-MFC-1 flow rate is stable.
 - 2.3.2.2 Set R-PCV-30 setpoint at 1000 psig and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
 - 2.3.2.3 Verify the proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 2.3.2.4 Shut R-AOV-4.
 - 2.3.2.5 Verify proper response for R-PCV-30.
 - 2.3.2.6 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 600 sft³/h by changing the flow setpoint.
 - 2.3.2.7 Set dP setpoint of program controller R-PT-30 at R-PT-3 + dP 0 psig and turn on R-PT-30 program controller.
 - 2.3.2.8 Increase the dP setpoint in 3 psig increments to 15 psig to achieve 1015 psig for R-PT-30.
 - 2.3.2.9 Verify the proper responses for all pressure and flow instruments.

2.3.3 H₂ Flow Meter Calibration

2.3.3.1 Operation of facility in H₂ Park

1. Open V-306 in H₂ Park.
2. Check and shut V-303, V-314, and V325 on Test Bed Panel.
3. Check and open V-305, V-318, V319, and V-342 on Test Bed Panel.
4. Set PCV-303 secondary pressure at 2400 psig.

2.3.3.2 Operation on SNG Panel and Lab View

1. Set H2-PCV-30 at 1200 psig.
2. Confirm H2-PT-PLANT shows 1200 psig.
3. Using manual control mode, fix H2-FIC-1% opening to present value.
4. Select H₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
5. Select flow indicator for H₂ on H2-FIC-1.
6. In half turn increments, open H2-V-1 so that it is fully open.
7. In half turn increments, shut N2-V-3 so that it is fully shut.
8. Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control to auto control mode.
9. Calibrate H2-FM-1 at 5 flow rates using SG-FM-1.
 - a. When the operator changes the setpoint, find out an appropriate interval of input to not a cause of pressure fluctuation of more than 5 psig on R-dPT-1.
 - b. Refer Table 2 as a guideline for the relation between flow rate and pressure and valve opening when H2-PT-5 is at 1000 psig.

Table 2 – H2-PIC-1 psig and sft³/h and H2-FCV-1 Percent Opening

H2-PIC-1 (psig)	H2-FIC-1 (H2-FM-1) (sft ³ /h)	H2-FCV-1 % opening
1090	822	65%
–	700	–
–	500	–
–	300	–
	200	45%

2.3.3.3 Keep the flow rate H2-FCV-1 at 200 sft³/h.

2.3.3.4 Check and open H2-V-6 and CF-SV-2.

2.3.3.5 Select H₂ as fluid on H2-FM-2 (Micro Motion flow meter).

2.3.3.6 Select flow indicator for H₂ on H2-FIC-2.

- 2.3.3.7 Open H2-AOV-2 and H2-AOV-20.
- 2.3.3.8 Open H2-PCV-2 in 1% opening increments every 10 seconds until H2-PIC-4 indicates the same pressure as N2-PCV-1's secondary pressure.
- 2.3.3.9 Check and open R-AOV-3.
- 2.3.3.10 Open H2-FCV-2 in 1% opening increments until you see the flow on the flow indicator and verify that H₂ starts to flow. If H2-PIC-4 reduces rapidly, open H2-PCV-2 to achieve a stable flow rate
- 2.3.3.11 Set H2-FCV-2 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch to auto control mode.
- 2.3.3.12 Calibrate H2-FM-2 at 5 flow rates using SG-FM-1. Refer Table 3 as a guideline for the relation between flow rate and pressure and valve opening when N2-PT-16 is at 1000 psig.

Table 3 – H2-PIC-2 at psig and sft³/h with H2-FCV-2 percent opening

H2-PIC-2 (psig)	H2-FIC-2 (H2-FM-2) (sft ³ /h)	H2-FCV-2 % opening
1045	100	63
–	70	–
–	50	–
1010	30	43

- 2.3.3.13 Using manual control mode, fix H2-FIC-1 % opening to present value.
- 2.3.3.14 Using manual control mode, fix H2-FIC-2 % opening to present value.
- 2.3.3.15 Select N₂ as fluid for H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.3.3.16 Select N₂ as fluid for H2-FM-2 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.3.3.17 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.3.3.18 In half turn increments, shut H2-V-1 so that it is fully shut.
- 2.3.3.19 Using manual control mode, shut H2-PCV-2 and H2-FCV-2.
- 2.3.3.20 Shut H2-AOV-2 and R-AOV-3.
- 2.3.3.21 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.3.3.22 Increase H2-FIC-1 (H2-FM-1) flow rate to 220 sft³/h on N₂ flow scale.
- 2.3.4 Turn on Cooling Water
 - 2.3.4.1 Open H2O-V-1 and verify that the tank is full.
 - 2.3.4.2 Check and open H2O-V-2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8; H2O-SV-8; and H2O-SV-20.
 - 2.3.4.3 Turn on H2O-P-1.

- 2.3.4.4 Open CON-V-21 and vent the air in the water line; verify that the water is circulating and shut CON-V-21.
- 2.3.4.5 Verify the mists to the reactor head and char pot.
- 2.3.4.6 Verify CON-PI-1 and CON-PI-2 indicate the pressures.
- 2.3.4.7 Set the H2O-FSL-2 and H2O-P-1 trip bypasses on OFF.
- 2.3.5 Heating up by N₂
 - 2.3.5.1 Verify that the power supply system is ready.
 - 2.3.5.2 Verify that the all of heaters are switched off and that the setpoint of power output is at 0.
 - 2.3.5.3 Verify that all of the trip triggers on heater's temperature trip bypasses are ON.
 - 2.3.5.4 Set the trip bypass on R-TI-10^{HH} to OFF, H2O-FSL-2 to ON, and H2O-P-1 to OFF.
 - 2.3.5.5 The time history trend graph on the screen shows the preheater tube temperature.
 - 2.3.5.6 Turn on the H₂ Preheaters PH-HTR-1, -2, -3, and -4.
 - 2.3.5.7 Set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at 1400 °F and set the TIC-14A-3 program controller at 25 °F per minute and activate the ramp up controller .
Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-14A-3. If the overshoot is over 50 °F, turn off the auto control mode and switch to manual control mode on TIC-14A-3, and manually increase the power output to achieve 1400 °F.
 - 2.3.5.8 Set TIC-13A-3 setpoint at 1500 °F and set the TIC-13A-3 program controller at 25 °F per minute and activate the ramp up controller.
Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-13A-3. If the overshoot is over 30 °F, turn off the auto control mode and switch to manual control mode on TIC-13A-3, and manually increase the power output to the achieve 1500 °F.
 - 2.3.5.9 Set TIC-12A-3 setpoint at 1550 °F and set TIC-12A-3 program controller at 25 °F per minute and activate the ramp up controller.
Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-12A-3. If the overshoot is over 15 °F, turn off the auto control mode and switch to manual control mode on TIC-12A-3, and manually increase the power output to the achieve 1550 °F.
 - 2.3.5.10 Set TIC-11A-3 setpoint at 1600 °F and set TIC-11A-3 program controller at 25 °F every minute and activate the ramp up controller.
Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-11A-3. If the overshoot is over 5 °F, turn off the auto control mode and switch to manual control mode on TIC-11A-3, and manually increase the power to the achieve 1600 °F.

- 2.3.5.11 If the TI-11C-2A/B, TI-11C-1A/B, TI-12C-1A/B, and TI-12C-2A/B temperatures are normal, turn the TI-11C-1A/B^{HH}, TI-11C-2A/B^{HH}, TI-12C-1A/B^{HH}, TI-12C-2A/B^{HH}, TI-13C-1A/B^{HH}, and TI-14C-1A/B^{HH} trip bypasses to OFF.
- 2.3.5.12 Set and adjust the output of Preheater Zone 5 to achieve the same temperature as TI-11C-1A/B on TIC-15A/B/C/D-2.
- 2.3.5.13 The time history trend graph on the screen should show reactor's tube temperature.
- 2.3.5.14 Turn on all of reactor Heaters 1 to 5
- 2.3.5.15 Set TIC-21, -22, -23, 24, and -25 setpoints at the temperature obtained in 2.2.6.7; set the TIC-21, -22, -23, 24, and -25 program controllers at 25 °F per minute; and activate the controller.
- 2.3.5.16 After each heater reaches at the setpoint temperature, using manual control mode adjust the setpoint to achieve the average temperature of reactor tube between Heaters 1 and 5 same as the reactor inlet temperature (the average temperature of TI-15A/B/C/D-2).
- 2.3.6 Switch Nitrogen to Hydrogen
 - 2.3.6.1 Operation of Facility in H₂ Park
 1. Open V-306 in H₂ Park.
 2. Check and shut V-303, V-321, and V-325 on Test Bed Panel.
 3. Check and open V-305, V-318, V-319, and V-342 on Test Bed Panel.
 4. Set PCV-303 secondary pressure at 2400 psig.
 - 2.3.6.2 Operation on SNG Panel and Lab View
 1. Set H₂-PCV-30 at 1200 psig.
 2. Confirm H₂-PT-PLANT shows 1200 psig.
 3. Using manual control mode, fix the H₂-FIC-1's opening to present value.
 4. Using manual control mode, fix all of H₂ Preheater and Reactor Heater outputs to present value.
 5. Select H₂ as fluid on H₂-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
 6. Select flow indicator for H₂ on H₂-FIC-1.
 7. In half turn increments, open H₂-V-1 so that it is fully open.
 8. In half turn increments, shut N₂-V-3 so that it is fully shut.
 9. Set H₂ FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
 10. Change H₂-FCV-1 setpoint in about 50 sft³/h increments from present value to 267 sft³/h.
 11. In parallel with operation in Step 10 (above), adjust H₂-PCV-1 opening to achieve a stable control point on H₂-FIC-1.

12. Confirm that H2-FCV-1 has proper response.

2.3.7 H₂ Preheater Performance Test

Confirm the relation between the heater's outputs, heater's temperature, and tube's temperature depending on the gas flow. Figure 2 shows Preheaters 1 through 4 temperature profile and preheaters' comparison gas temperatures to outer and inner wall temperatures.

Figure 2 – Preheaters 1 through 4 Temperature Profile and Preheaters Gas Temperatures Comparison to Outer and Inner Wall Temperatures

As the tube wall temperature in operation will be very close to the design temperature (1650 °F) especially on Preheater 1, make sure to take precaution on the wall temperatures.

2.3.7.1 Set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:

1. Increase the setpoint in 25 °F increments per minute to the fastest rate to achieve 1400 °F at the TI-14C-2A/B (average). Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-14A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
2. If the overshoot is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch from auto control mode to manual control mode on TIC-14A-3, and manually increase the power output.
3. When TI-14C-2A/2B reaches 1400 °F, set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at temperature on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
4. When the PH-HTR-4 temperatures are stable, record the temperatures.

5. Unless TI-14C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, switch TI-14C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
- 2.3.7.2 Set TIC-13A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:
1. Increase the setpoint to 10 °F in increments per minute to achieve 1500 °F on the TI-13C-2A/B (average). Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-13A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
 2. If the overshoot is over 10 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-13A-3 from auto control mode to manual control mode and manually increase the power.
 3. When TI-13C-2A/2B reaches 1500 °F, and the temperature related to PH-HTR-3 is stable, record the temperatures.
 4. Unless TI-13C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, switch TI-13C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
- 2.3.7.3 Set TIC-12A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:
1. Increase the setpoint in 5 °F increments per minute to achieve 1550 °F at the TI-12C-2A/B (average). Carefully watch not to overshoot TIC-12A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
 2. If the overshoot is over 5 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-12A-3 from auto control mode to manual control mode and manually increase the power.
 3. When TI-12C-2A/2B reaches to 1550 °F and the temperature related to PH-HTR-3 is stable, record the temperatures.
 4. Unless TI-12C-2A/2B and TI-12C-1A/1B indicate abnormal temperature, set the TI-12C-2A/2B^{HH} and TI-12C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypasses to OFF.
- 2.3.7.4 Keep TIC-11A-3 control on the manual control mode. Follow these steps:
1. Using manual control mode, increase the power output; wait until the TI-11C-2A/2B becomes stable and check to see if the temperature is under 1600 °F.
 2. Repeat Step 1 (above) until the TI-11C-2A/2B reaches 1600 °F.
 3. Using the manual control mode, increase and adjust the heater output of Heater 5 to achieve same temperature as TI-11A-2A/2B on TI-15A/B/C/D-2.1.
 4. When the temperature related to PH-HTR-1 is stable, record the temperature.

5. Unless TI-11C-2A/2B and TI-11C-1A/1B indicates abnormal temperature, switch TI-11C-2A/2B^{HH} and TI-11C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypasses to OFF.
6. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.
 - b. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
 - c. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.

2.3.8 Reactor Heater Performance Test

The heater operation should be performed using temperature profile screen. Follow these steps:

- 2.3.8.1 Turn on the Reactor Heaters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.
- 2.3.8.2 Set TIC-21 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.3.8.3 Increase the TIC-21 setpoint 25 °F increments to achieve the same temperature as the average of TI-15A/B/C/D-2 on the TE-22A-1. Due to the increase of the TIC-21 setpoint, carefully observe the behavior of TE-21A-1, TE-21B-4, TE-21B-1, and TE-27A-1.
- 2.3.8.4 If the overshoot of TIC-21 is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-21 from auto control mode to manual control mode, and manually increase the power output.
- 2.3.8.5 Conduct the same operation on TIC-22, TIC-23, TIC-24, TIC-25, TIC-26, and TIC-27 as shown in steps 2.3.8.2 through 2.3.8.4.
- 2.3.8.6 Carefully monitor R-TI-60/61. If the R-TI-60/61 goes over 900 °F, first turn off Heater 7, then if necessary turn off Heater 6.
- 2.3.8.7 When the temperature profile on reactor tube between Heater 2 and Heaters 5, 6, and 7 becomes roughly flat and stable, record the output of each heater and all of temperatures on the plant.

2.3.9 Plant Temporary Shutdown

- 2.3.9.1 Manually turn off all heaters.
- 2.3.9.2 Keep H₂ flow until all of tube temperatures for TIC-11A/B-3, TIC-12A/B-3, TIC-13A/B-3 and TIC-14A/B-3 and heater temperatures for TIC-11A/B-3, TIC-12A/B-3, TIC-13A/B-3, and TIC-14A/B-3 are lower than 1000 °F.
- 2.3.9.3 Using manual control mode, set the H₂-FIC-1 and fix the opening of H₂-FCV-1.
- 2.3.9.4 Select flow indicator for N₂ on H₂-FIC-1.
- 2.3.9.5 In half turn increments, open N₂-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.3.9.6 Select N₂ as fluid on H₂-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.3.9.7 In half turn increments, shut H₂-V-1 so that it is fully shut.

- 2.3.9.8 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.3.9.9 Increase H2-FIC-1 setpoint 20 sft³/h increments to achieve 220 sft³/h.
- 2.3.9.10 In parallel with H2-FIC-1 operation, increase H2-PI-1 by increasing H2-PCV-1 opening to achieve about 65% on H2-FCV-1 (up to 1100 psig on H2-PI-1 in theory).
- 2.3.9.11 When all heaters' TI are below 200 °F, change the R-PIC-30 program controller dP setpoint from 15 to 0 psig in 3 psig increments every 5 minutes.
- 2.3.9.12 Turn off the R-PIC-30 program controller.
- 2.3.9.13 Shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 2.3.9.14 Open R-AOV-4.
- 2.3.9.15 Manually shut SG-PCV-1, R-PCV-30, and H2-PCV-1.
- 2.3.9.16 Shut SG-V-1 and keep the plant at about 1000 psig.
- 2.3.9.17 Switch H2O-FSL-2 tip bypass to ON and H2O-P-1 tip bypass to OFF.
- 2.3.9.18 Turn off H2O-P-1.

2.4 Hot Reactor Test using H₂ High Flow

Initial Condition: The plant pressure is kept at about 1000 psig after the previous run.

2.4.1 Establish Controlled Gas Flow using Nitrogen

Establish the N₂ flow following Section 2.3.1.

2.4.2 Establish Pressure Balancing System

Establish the pressure balancing system following the Section 2.3.2.

2.4.3 Turn on Cooling Water

To turn on cooling water, follow Section 2.3.4.

2.4.4 Heating up by N₂

For heating up the plant, follow Section 2.3.5.

2.4.5 Switch Nitrogen to Hydrogen

2.4.5.1 Facility in H₂ Park Operation

1. Open V-306 in H₂ Park.
2. Check and shut V-303, V-314, V-321, and V-325 on Test Bed Panel.
3. Check and open V-305, V-318, V319, and V-342 on Test Bed Panel.
4. Set PCV-303's secondary pressure at 2400 psig.

2.4.5.2 SNG Panel and Lab View Operation

1. Set H2-PCV-30 at 1200 psig.
2. Confirm H2-PT-PLANT shows 1200 psig.
3. Using manual control mode, set H2-FIC-1 1% opening to present value.
4. Using the manual control mode, set all of H₂ Preheaters' and Reactor Heaters' output at present value.
5. Select H₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
6. Select H2-FIC-1 flow indicator for H₂.
7. In half turn increments, open H2-V-1 so that it is fully open.
8. In half turn increments, shut N2-V-3 so that it is fully shut.
9. Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
10. Adjust H2-FIC-1 flow rate to 822 sft³/h (Note: The H2-FCV-1 opening will be same as the N2-220's sft³/h.).
11. Adjust H2-PCV-1 opening to achieve a stable control point on H2-FIC-1.
12. Verify H2-FCV-1's proper response.

2.4.6 H₂ Preheater Performance Test

Confirm the relation between the heater outputs, heater temperature, and tube temperature depending on gas flow. (Refer to Figure 3.)

Figure 3 – Relation between the Preheater Outputs, Temperatures, and Tube Temperatures Depending on the Gas Flow

Because the tube wall temperature in operation will be very close to the design temperature (1650 °F) especially on Preheater 1, pay careful attention to the preheaters' wall temperatures.

2.4.6.1 Set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:

1. Increase the setpoint in 25 °F increments per minute to achieve 1300 °F at the TI-14C-2A/B (average). Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-14A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
2. If the overshoot is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-14A-3 from auto control mode to manual control mode, and carefully manually increase the power output.
3. When TI-14C-2A/2B reaches 1300 °F, set TIC-14A-3 setpoint at temperature on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
4. When the temperatures related to Heater PH-HTR-4 are stable, record the temperatures.
5. Unless TI-14C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, switch TI-14C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.

2.4.6.2 Set TIC-13A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:

1. Increase the setpoint in 10 °F increments per minute in the fastest case to achieve 1530 °F at the TI-13C-2A/B (average). Carefully

watch for an overshoot on TIC-13A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.

2. If the overshoot is over 10 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-13A-3 from auto control mode to manual control mode, and carefully manually increase the power output.
3. When TI-13C-2A/2B reaches to 1530 °F, the Heater PH-HTR-3 temperature is stable, record the temperature.
4. Unless TI-13C-2A/2B indicates abnormal temperature, make the TI-13C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass is OFF.

2.4.6.3 Set TIC-12A-3 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator. Follow these steps:

1. Increase the setpoint in 5 °F increments per minute to achieve 1560 °F at the TI-12C-2A/B (average). Carefully watch for an overshoot on TIC-12A-3 and record the relation between power output and temperatures.
2. If the overshoot is over 5 °F after PID tuning, switch TIC-12A-3 from auto control mode to manual control mode, and manually increase the power output in 1 % increments.
3. When TI-12C-2A/2B reaches to 1560 °F and the PH-HTR-3 temperature is stable, record the temperature.
4. Unless TI-12C-2A/2B and TI-12C-1A/1B indicate abnormal temperature, set the TI-12C-2A/2B^{HH}, and TI-12C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypasses to OFF.

2.4.6.4 Keep TIC-11A-3 control on the manual control mode. Follow these steps:

1. Manually increase the power output carefully, wait until the TI-11C-2A/2B becomes stable, and check to make sure the temperature is under 1600 °F.
2. Repeat Step 1 (above) until the TI-11C-2A/2B reaches 1600 °F.
3. Manually increase and adjust the Heater 5 to achieve same temperature as TI-11A-2A/2B on TI-15A/B/C/D-2.1.
4. When the temperatures related to Heater PH-HTR-1 are stable, record the temperatures.
5. Unless TI-11C-2A/2B and TI-11C-1A/1B indicate abnormal temperature, switch the TI-11C-2A/2B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF, and TI-11C-1A/1B^{HH} trip bypass to OFF.
6. Surface temperature measurements use contact meter or infrared meter on the following:
 - a. Reactor top flange bolts and nuts.
 - b. Coal feed pipe to the reactor.
 - c. Reactor bottom flange bolts and nuts.

2.4.7 Reactor Heater Performance Test

The heater operation should be performed using temperature profile screen. Follow these steps:

- 2.4.7.1 Turn on the Reactor Heaters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.
- 2.4.7.2 Set TIC-21 setpoint at the temperature on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.4.7.3 Increase the TIC-21 setpoint by 25 °F increments to achieve the same temperature as the average temperature of TI-15A/B/C/D-2 on the TE-22A-1. Due to the increase of the TIC-21 setpoint, carefully observe the behavior of TE-21A-1, TE-21B-4, TE-21B-1 and TE-27A-1.
- 2.4.7.4 If the overshoot of TIC-21 is over 25 °F after PID tuning, switch to from auto control mode to manual control mode on TIC-21, and carefully manually increase the power output.
- 2.4.7.5 Follow the same steps as 2.4.7.2 through 2.4.7.4 for TIC-22, TIC-23, TIC-24, TIC-25, TIC-26, and TIC-27.
- 2.4.7.6 Carefully monitor R-TI-60/61. If the R-TI-60/61 goes over 900 °F, first turn off Heater 7 and if necessary turn off Heater 6.
- 2.4.7.7 When the temperature profile on reactor tube between Heater 2, 5, 6, and 7 may become roughly flat and stable, record the output of each heater and all of temperatures on the plant.

2.4.8 Plant Shutdown

- 2.4.8.1 Manually turn off all heaters.
- 2.4.8.2 Keep H₂ flow until all of tube temperatures for TIC-11A/B-3, TIC-12A/B-3, TIC-13A/B-3, and TIC-14A/B-3 and heater temperatures for TIC-11A/B-3, TIC-12A/B-3, TIC-13A/B-3, and TIC-14A/B-3 are lower than 1000 °F.
- 2.4.8.3 Manually set the H2-FIC-1 and fix H2-FCV-1 opening.
- 2.4.8.4 Select H2-FIC-1 flow indicator for N₂.
- 2.4.8.5 In half turn increments, open N2-V-3 so that it is fully open.
- 2.4.8.6 Select N₂ as fluid on H2-FM-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).
- 2.4.8.7 In half turn increments, shut H2-V-1 so that it is fully shut.
- 2.4.8.8 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode.
- 2.4.8.9 Adjust H2-FIC-1 flow rate at 220 sft³/h. Note: The H2-FCV-1 opening will be same as H2-822.
- 2.4.8.10 Adjust H2-PCV-1 opening to achieve a stable control point on H2-FIC-1.
- 2.4.8.11 When all of the Heaters' TI reach below 200 °F, change the setpoint of R-PIC-30 program controller dP from 15 to 0 psig in 3 psig increments every 5 minutes.

- 2.4.8.12 Turn off the R-PIC-30 program controller.
- 2.4.8.13 Open R-AOV-4.
- 2.4.8.14 Manually shut R-PCV-30 so as to give a pressure change of more than 5 psig on SG-PT-1.
- 2.4.8.15 Check and open H2-PCV-2, H2-FCV-2, H2-AOV-20, CF-SV-2, and R-AOV-3.
- 2.4.8.16 Set SG-PCV-1 ramp down program controller at 5 psig as the target pressure and 15 psig/minute as the ramp down speed.
- 2.4.8.17 Start the ramp down of plant pressure.
- 2.4.8.18 Shut N2-V-3 and N2-V-7.
- 2.4.8.19 When SG-PT-1 reaches 5 psig, manually shut SG-PCV-1.
- 2.4.8.20 Confirm that N2-PCV-4 and N2-PCV-5 secondary pressure setpoints are at 200 psig.
- 2.4.8.21 Open N2-V-6 and N2-V-8 to pressurize the plant.
- 2.4.8.22 When SG-PT-1 and R-PT-30 reach 150 psig, shut N2-V-6 and N2-V-8.
- 2.4.8.23 Open SG-PCV-1 to de-pressurize the plant to 5 psig and close SG-PCV-1.
- 2.4.8.24 Repeat Steps 2.4.8.21 through 2.4.8.23 three times.
- 2.4.8.25 Keep the plant pressure at 5 psig.
- 2.4.8.26 Set H2O-FSL-2 tip bypass to ON and H2O-P-1 tip bypass to OFF .
- 2.4.8.27 Turn off H2O-P-1.
- 2.4.8.28 Set all valves in accordance with Appendix D Normal Shutdown line up.

APPENDIX 1 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist

Date Inspected:

Operator:

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
N2-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	N ₂ High Pressure Purge Panel
N2-V-6	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-5	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-18	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-95	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	Instrument N ₂ Panel
N2-V-9	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-10	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-11	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-12	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-13	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-14	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-15	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-20	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-21	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-22	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-23	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-24	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-25	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-50	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-35	Valve	Shut	☐	Emergency Purge N ₂
H2O-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	Cooling Water Tank
H2O-V-2	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-3	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-4	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-P-1	Pump	Off	☐	
H2O-P-2	Pump	Off	☐	In Frame
H2O-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	

APPENDIX 1 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
H2O-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	In Frame
H2O-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-16	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-17	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-1	Lock Valve	Shut – Lockout	☐	Hydrogen Panel
H2-V-3	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-4	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-5	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-7	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-91	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-92	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	Coal Feeder Panel
CF-V-2	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-16	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-5	Valve	Open	☐	In Frame
H2O-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-21	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
C-MTR-1	Motor	Off	☐	
R-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	Balancing Panel
R-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
R-V-96	Valve	Shut	☐	
AR-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	In Frame
R-V-5	Valve	Open	☐	
R-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	

APPENDIX 1 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
R-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-78	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	In Frame
CON-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-20	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	SNG Product Panel
SG-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-2	Valve	Shut (Commissioning)	☐	
SG-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	SNG Product Panel
SG-V-10	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-11	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-12	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-13	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-14	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-15	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-AOV-40	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.1
H2-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.3 1/2
H2-FCV-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-PCV-2	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-FCV-2	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.3 2/2
H2-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-2	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-20	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-SV-1	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.4
CF-SV-2	Solenoid Valve	Open	☐	
CF-SV-3	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-SV-4	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-AOV-4	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	

APPENDIX 1 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
R-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.6
R-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-4	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	
R-PCV-30	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-3	Air Operated valve	Shut	☐	
AR-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	SNG2000.8
R-AOV-2	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
R-SV-7	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
R-SV-8	Solenoid valve	Shut	☐	
CON-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	SNG2000.9
SG-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.10

APPENDIX K

Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing

Startup Procedure

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**COAL TO SNG
BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR
STARTUP PROCEDURES**

**FINAL
JULY 2010**

**ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85003**

**Principal Investigator
Dr. Xiaolei Sun
602.250.1510
602.250.1505
xiaolei.sun@aps.com**

COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR STARTUP PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	COAL PREPARATION.....	3
2	SYSTEM PURGE.....	3
3	LEAK TEST AND PRESSURING THE PLANT.....	5
4	TEST OPERATION PREPARATION.....	6

APPENDIXES

Appendix A – Torque Table	A-1
Appendix B – Order of Bolt Tightening	A-1
Appendix C – Test Plan Data Sheet.....	A-2

1 COAL PREPARATION

1.1 Coal/Silica Mixing

One day before operation, follow these steps:

- 1.1.1. Weigh 19 lb. of coal and 1 lb. of fumed silica ion case of 5% mixture and pour into a large container. Mix the coal and silica by using power-drive hand-mixer to get uniform color for about one hour.
- 1.1.2. Make sampling of 0.5 lb coal into the bottle from the bulk for coal analysis, and weigh 19 lb of mixture and transfer it the big funnel for loading.

1.2 Coal Loading to Coal Hopper

One day before operation, ensure that the appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) is used while filling the coal hopper. As a minimum, the operator shall wear a dust mask, gloves, apron, and safety glasses. Follow these steps:

- 1.2.1 Shut and check shut R-AOV-3, CF-SV-1, CF-AOV-4, CF-PCV-1, and CF-SV-3.
- 1.2.2 Open N2-V-16 and vent the coal hopper and check that the hopper is 0 psig at N2-PT-16
- 1.2.3 Loosen the feed hopper flange bolts and remove the flange.
- 1.2.4 Pour the coal minimizing the amount of coal that goes airborne.
- 1.2.5 Clean the mating surfaces between the flange and the coal hopper by brushing away loose particulate matter or by using an approved solvent.
- 1.2.6 Use a new gasket, replace the hopper flange, and install and tighten all flange bolts in accordance with design torques in the Appendix A and bolt tightening order in the Appendix B.
- 1.2.7 Shut N2-V-16

2 SYSTEM PURGE

One day before operation, follow these steps:

- 2.1 Ensure the system is in a normal shutdown lineup in Appendix D
- 2.2 Confirm that the N2 tube trailer has the pressure over 1600 psig.
- 2.3 Shut, Check Shut SG-PCV-1, R-PCV-30
- 2.4 Shut, check shut H2-FCV-1, H2-FCV-2, R-MFC-1(set point ; 0scf3/h)
- 2.5 Confirm regulator N2-PCV-1 set point at 1150 psig
- 2.6 Open N2-V-3 and N2-V-7

- 2.7 Open, Check Open H2-V-1, H2-V-3, H2-V-4, H2-V-6/ R-V-1, R-V-6/ SG-V-1, SG-V-2
- 2.8 Open, Check Open H2-AOV-1, H2-AOV-2, H2-MFC-1(input the set point 2000 cc/min), H2-AOV-20/ CF-SV-2/ R-AOV-1/ CON-AOV-1
- 2.9 Make the historical trend graph on the screen for R-PT-30, R-PT-3, N2-PT-16, CF-PT-1, SG-PT-1
- 2.10 Open, check open R-AOV-3
- 2.11 Set R-PCV-30 at 10 psig and auto control mode
- 2.12 Open R-PCV-1 to 5% opening.
- 2.13 Open H2-PCV-1 to % opening.
- 2.14 Set R-MFC-1 flow rate at 100 scf³/hr and set auto control to start to pressurize the annulus space at first.
- 2.15 When R-PCV-30 reached at about 5 psig, and set H2-FCV-1 flow rate at 50 scf³/hr on N2 and set auto control to start to pressurize reactor tube.
- 2.16 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 300 scf³/h (with opening R-PCV-1) and increase/adjust also H2-FCV-1 flow rate (between 150 – 200 scf³/hr h with opening H2-PCV-1) to keep R-dPT-1 within +10 psig.
- 2.17 Increase H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-30 in 1% increment along with the downstream pressure.
- 2.18 When R-PT-30 reached at about 160 psig, shut R-PCV-1 and H2-PCV-1.
- 2.19 Shut R-AOV-3.
- 2.20 Start to slowly depressurize the coal hopper by opening N2-V-16 manually.
- 2.21 (Note: The speed of depressurizing is same or less than as the speed of pressurizing. Make sure that there are no particles in the vent gas from N2-V-16)
- 2.22 Start to depressurize the reactor pressure by opening SG-V-21 and 22 to chase N2-PT-16 depressurizing.
- 2.23 Set R-PCV-30 set point at 0 psig and adjust SG-V-21/22 to keep the R-PT-3 less than R-PT-30 and keep R-dPT-1 within 10 psig.
- 2.24 When N2-PT-16 reaches to 5 psig, make the N2-V-16 full open and close after the vent gas is stopped.
- 2.25 When R-PT-3 reaches to 5 psig, make the SG-V-21/22 full open and close SG-V-21/22 after the SG-PT-1 reaches to less than 0 psig.
- 2.26 Open R-AOV-3.
- 2.27 Set R-PCV-30 at 10 psig
- 2.28 Repeat 2.8 through 2.22 two times.

3 LEAK TEST AND PRESSURING THE PLANT

These process steps listed below are performed with continuous operation from Section 2 System Purge:

- 3.1 Shut, Check Shut R-AOV-4, CF-AOV-4
- 3.2 Open, Check Open R-AOV-1, R-AOV-3
- 3.3 Set SG-PCV-1 set point at 400 psig and turn on auto control mode.
- 3.4 Open R-PCV-1 to 5 % opening
- 3.5 Open H2-PCV-1 to 5% opening
- 3.6 Set R-MFC-1 flow rate at 100 scf³/h and set auto control to start to pressurize the annulus space at first
- 3.7 When R-PCV-30 reached at about 5 psig, and set H2-FCV-1 flow rate at 50 scf³/hr on N₂ and set auto control to start to pressurize reactor tube.
- 3.8 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate to 300 scf³/h (with opening R-PCV-1) and increase/adjust also H2-FCV-1 flow rate (between 150 - 200 scf³/hr with opening H2-PCV-1) to keep R-dPT-1 within +10 psig.
- 3.9 Increase H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-30 in 1 % increment along with the downstream pressure.
- 3.10 When the plant pressure get higher and higher, the H2-FM-1 is increased gradually in 5 scf³/h increments and R-MFC-1 is decreased gradually in 5 scf³/h increments to keep R-dPT-1 between 4 and 10 psi.
- 3.11 When SG-PCV-1 start to control the reactor pressure, perform leak test using liquid leak detector on flanges, joints and the parts dismantled after the previous run for sampling and maintenance
 - 3.11.1 If a leakage is found out, try to tighten in accordance with the designed torque.
 - 3.11.2 If the leakage is not stopped, shut R-PCV-1, H2-PCV-1, H2-PCV-2 to stop gas flow in.
 - 3.11.3 Open CF-SV-3 and set CF-PCV-1 ramp down conditions (start pressure and ramp down time) to depressurize the coal hopper at first.
 - 3.11.4 Open SG-V-1-21 & 22 to depressurize the reactor system to ambient pressure keeping the coal hopper pressure lower than the reactor tube (R-PI-3) by 30 psig.
 - 3.11.5 Exchange the gasket and/or perform necessary action.
 - 3.11.6 Perform the N₂ purge referring section 2 procedure using N₂ valve and vent valve where is nearest to the dismantled flange or joint.
 - 3.11.7 Pressurize the plant in accordance with the procedure from 4.4

- 3.11.8 Make sure no leakage
- 3.12 Change SG-PCV-1 set point at 800 and continue to pressurize the plant by increasing the inlet pressures (R-PCV-1 and H2-PCV-1) to 800 psig and perform the second leak test and then 800 PSIG as the final leak test to make sure no leakage in the system.
- 3.13 Record the H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 valves opening.
- 3.14 After make sure that there is no leakage by liquid leak detector at 800 psig, shut H2-PCV-1 & R-PCV-1 and H2-FCV-1 & R-MFC-1. The plant is kept automatically by SG-PCV-1 setting.
- 3.15 Adjust the annulus pressure to get R-dPT-1 at 5 to 10 psig by flowing gas through R-MFC-1.
- 3.16 Make the plant block by closing N2-V-3, N2-V-7, SG-V-1 and R-V-96.
- 3.17 Shut instrument N2 valve to the R-PCV-30 and small regulator valve on the H2-FCV-1 to save the instrument N2.

4 TEST OPERATION PREPARATION

4.1 Prior Meeting

Test Manager shall prepare the Test Condition Data Sheet prior to the test and call a prior meeting to verify the test condition according to the Test Plan.

4.2 Miscellaneous Preparation

4.2.1 Labview Program and Power

4.2.1.1 If the Labview Program shows any error, stop the program and shut down the computer and start again.

4.2.1.2 Heater Control Panel

1. Turn on the reactor heater power breaker
2. Confirm the system switch-on, which is on the left panel door.

4.2.1.3 Turn on the breaker switches for two pumps and coal feeder in the box.

4.2.2 Plant pressure: Verify that the decrease of plant pressure through, which means over night the pressure should be approximately 50 psi or below.

4.2.3 Instrument N2 supply: Verify the Instrument N2 supply from 16 N2 pack is higher than 500 psig. (Keep the spare 16 pack always).

4.2.4 Trip System: Make the trip "Bypass ON" on all of heater temperature triggers, R-TI-10^{HH}, H2O-PT-2^{LL}.

4.2.5 N2 Tube Trailer: Verify the N2 tube trailer pressure is higher than 1600 psig. If the pressure is close to 1600 psig, make the refill order for next test operation.

- 4.2.6 N2 PAK for Emergency: Verify that the N2 PAK is full (2400 psig) through N2-PCV-2. However this system will not be used for a while.
- 4.2.7 Gas Chromatograph and Mass Spectrometer: Verify the GC/MS is ready to operate.

5 TEST RUN

5.1 Plant block release

5.1.1 Open instrument N2 valve to the R-PCV-30 and small regulator valve on the H2-FCV-1 to get 30 psig.

5.1.2 Open N2-V-3, N2-V-7, R-V-96 and SG-V-1

5.2 Pressurizing and pressure balancing build up

5.2.1 Make trip bypass OFF on N2-PT-50_{LL}

5.2.2 Confirm SG-PCV-1 set point at 1010 psig and set auto control mode.

5.2.3 Make the time history trend graph for flow rates.

5.2.4 Shut, check shut H2-PCV-1, R-PCV-1

5.2.5 Shut, Check shut H2-FCV-1 (0% manual mode) and R-MFC-1 (0 50 scf³/hr set point)

5.2.6 Set R-PCV-30 dP set point at 10 psig and set auto control mode

5.2.7 Open H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 to the valve opening which were recorded at the end of pressurizing in 3.13

5.2.8 IF the reading of R-dPT-1 is less than 5psig, set R-MFC-1 set point at 50 scf³/hr and start to pressurize the annulus space. When the R-dPT-1 approach to 5 psig, set H2-FCV-1 at 50 scf³/hr on N2 scale and auto control mode.

5.2.9 IF the reading of R-dPT-1 is higher than 5 psig, set H2-FCV-1 at 50 scf³/hr on N2 scale and auto control mode to start pressurize the reactor tube side When the R-dPT-1 approach to 5 psig, set R-MFC-1 set point at 50 scf³/hr and start to pressurize the annulus space.

5.2.10 Increase H2-FCV-1 flow set point in 50 scf³/hr increments gradually to 300 scf³/hr together with opening H2-PCV-1 and also adjusting the R-MFC-1 flow set point to keep R-dPT-1 between 5 and 10 psig. The final set point on H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 will be 63-65% to reach to 1010 psig on SG-PCV-1.

5.2.11 When the SG-PCV-1 start to control the plant pressure, stop to open H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 and wait for the plant pressure is settle down.

5.2.12 Change the R-PCV-30 set point to 5 psig.

5.2.13 Increase R-MFC-1 flow rate according to the Test Plan Data Sheet

5.2.14 Shut R-AOV-3

5.2.15 Verify proper response of all pressure and flow instruments.

5.3 H2 in Operation of Facility in H2 Park

5.3.1 Check Open V-306 in H2 Park

5.3.2 Shut, Check shut V-330 on Test Bed Panel

5.3.3 Open, Check open V-305, V-318, and V342 on Test Bed Panel

5.3.4 Confirm PCV-300 secondary pressure at 4000 psig

5.3.5 Confirm PCV-303 secondary pressure at 1800 psig

Note: For operation on SNG panel and Labview, do the following steps

5.3.6 Verify H2-PCV-30 set point at 1200 psig

5.3.7 Be fixed H2-FCV-1 % opening at present value on manual mode.

5.3.8 Open H2-V-1 at first

5.3.9 Shut N2-V-3 secondly

5.3.10 Select H2 as the fluid on H2-FIC-1 (Micro Motion flow meter).

5.3.11 When the flow indication on H2-FIC-1 become stable, set H2-FCV-1 set point at the flow rate on the indicator and set auto control mode.

5.3.12 Confirm proper response of H2-FCV-1

5.3.13 Change H2-FCV-1 set point in about -50 sft³/h increments from the present value to get the H2 flow rate in accordance with the Test Plan Data Sheet.

5.3.14 In parallel with operation in step 5.3.13, adjust H2-PCV-1 opening to get a stable control point on H2-FVC-1 (about 50% opening).

5.3.15 Confirm H2-FCV-1 proper response

5.3.16 Start the periodical Gas Chromatograph Analysis

5.4 Turn on Cooling Water

5.4.1 Open, check open H2O-V-4 and verify the tank water.

5.4.2 Open, check open H2O-V-7

5.4.3 Turn on the breaker lock lever on the connection boxes.

5.4.4 Switch on H2O-P-1

5.4.5 Confirm that the H2O-PT-1 indicate above 20 psig.

5.5 Heating Up

- 5.5.1 Verify the all of heater switch off, and set point of power out put is 0.
- 5.5.2 Verify all of trip triggers on heater temperature are 'Trip Bypass ON'.
- 5.5.3 Shut R-AOV-3.
- 5.5.4 Make the time history trend graphs on H2 Preheater, Connecting tube and reactor tube.
- 5.5.5 Double click the Heater-on switch on the screen
- 5.5.6 Set all of heater temperature (TIC-14A-3, TIC-13A-3, TIC-12A-3, TIC-11A-3) set point at 2000 °F and turn on all heaters to start the heating up.
- 5.5.7 Verify the all H2 pre-heater TC temperatures start heating up.
- 5.5.8 When either temperature of TC (TE-xxx-A3/B3) controlling the H2 pre-heater output hit the first high alarm point, change the heater set point according to the Test Plan Data Sheet.
- 5.5.9 Set PH5A (HTR-15/16/17) output at 10 % and turn on the heaters.
- 5.5.10 Set PH5B (HTR-18N/18S) & PH5C (HTR-18E/18W) output at 10 % and turn on the heaters.
- 5.5.11 Verify all TC belonging to the connecting tube start heating up.
- 5.5.12 Increase PH5A, PH5B, and PH5C output in 10% increments in about every 10 - 15 minutes to 70 %.
- 5.5.13 When either heater temperatures (TE-xxx-3) in PH5A, PH5B, and PH5C hit the first high alarm point, reduce/adjust the heater output to keep the heater temperature below than 1650 F.
- 5.5.14 Set the heater output at 10% on the operating reactor heaters according to the Data Sheet and turn on heaters.
- 5.5.15 Increase the reactor tube heater output in 10% increments looking at the tube temperature profile.
- 5.5.16 Adjust the reactor heater output to make the reaction temperature profile to be flat according to the Test Plan Data Sheet.

5.6 Coal In

- 5.6.1 Check shut H2-FCV-2.
- 5.6.2 Check Open H2-V-6, CF-SV-2, CF-SV-4.
- 5.6.3 Check Open H2-AOV-2, H2-AOV-20.
- 5.6.4 Verify H2-MFC-1 set point is 2000 cc/min..

- 5.6.5 Open R-AOV-3.
- 5.6.6 Open H2-PCV-2 to get the opening which is 1 % lower than H2 PCV-1 opening.
- 5.6.7 Set H2-FCV-2 set point at the flow rate in the Test Plan Data Sheet and set auto control mode.
- 5.6.8 If the opening of H2-FCV-2 goes to full open, shut the H2-FCV-2 by manual mode.
- 5.6.9 Increase H2-PCV-2 opening in 0.1% increments to get the flow rate with a moderate valve opening on H2-FCV-2 step by step.
- 5.6.10 If the opening of H2-FCV-2 goes to 0% open, shut the H2-FCV-2 by manual mode.
- 5.6.11 Decrease H2-PCV-2 opening in 0.1% increments to get the flow rate with a moderate valve opening on H2-FCV-2 step by step.
- 5.6.12 Verify the H2-FCV-1 and H2-FCV-2 indicate the flow rates in the Test Plan Data Sheet, and stable flow.
- 5.6.13 Make the trip bypass OFF on DT-21A-1^{HH}, DT-21B-4^{HH}, DT-21B-1^{HH}, DT-22A-1^{HH}, DT-22B-4^{HH}, DT-22B-1^{HH}
- 5.6.14 Set CF-MD-1 set point at 100 rpm on the motor speed and start the coal feeder.
- 5.6.15 Increase the CF-MD-1 set point in 100 rpm increments in every one minute to the final set point in the Test Plan Data Sheet, and confirm the coal-in by observing a temperature drop on the TE-21A-1/21B-4/21B-1/27A-1.
- 5.6.16 Adjust the No. 1 and No. 2 reactor heater output to reach the reactor temperature in accordance with the Test Plan Data Sheet, watching a temperature rise due to an exothermic reaction at the top part of reactor tube, and also adjusting the reactor heater power output to get a flat temperature profile (on the screen) at the target temperature.
- 5.6.17 Verify proper response of all pressure and temperature instruments.
- 5.6.18 Carefully keep watching the temperature on the TE-21A-1/21B-4/21B-1/27A-1. If those temperature start to increase simultaneously/simply suddenly, it indicate that the coal would be clogged between H2 nozzle and coal feeder.
 - 1. Turn off the coal feeder
 - 2. X Decrease No. 1 heater output to the value before the coal in.
 - 3. Shut R-AOV-3 and wait for a while until N2-PT-16 reach to the same pressure as H2-PCV-2.
 - 4. Open R-AOV-3 looking at the pressure trend graph and verify N2-PT-16 return to the previous value

5. If the N2-PT-16 does not return to the previous pressure, it means that the clogging is not cleared. Try to repeat items 3 and 4) several times, and if the clogging is not cleared still, report to the Test Manager.
6. If the N2-PT-16 returned to the previous pressure, verify the H2 FCV-2 return to the normal flow rate.
7. Turn on the Coal feeder and verify the reactor top zone temperature (the TE-21A-1/21B-4/21B-1/27A-1) start to drop to the previous temperature.
8. Adjust No. 1 heater output to get the temperature profile before the clogging.

5.7 Product Gas Data Acquisition Start(steady state operation)

- 5.7.1 Confirm that the gas component by GC Analysis is in a steady state (stable H2 and/or CH4 concentration in product gas).
- 5.7.2 Estimate the remaining coal weight in the hopper and run time which we can feed the coal considering the time spent since coal feed start in 5.6 operation
- 5.7.3 Decide the time (xx:xx) of data acquisition start.
- 5.7.4 Shut R-AOV-1 and CON-AOV-1 at xx:xx (starting time)
- 5.7.5 Verify that the GC and MS are operating properly.

5.8 Steady-State Operation

- 5.8.1 Keep adjusting the reactor heater output to get flat temperature profile.
- 5.8.2 Continuously monitor system temperatures, pressures and flow rates.
 - If the reactor top zone temperatures (TE-21A-1/21B-4/21B-1/27A-1) start to increase simultaneously/suddenly, it indicates that the coal would be clogged between H2 nozzle and coal feeder. Execute the procedure in 5.6.18
 - If an alarm on pressure and flow rate in local auto control mode is on, perform the following steps
 1. Check the time history trend and find out whether it is swinging or simple increase/decrease.
 2. If it is a swinging, change the auto control mode to manual control. Adjust the valve opening to get correct number in accordance with the Test Plan Data Sheet. Make a tuning by changing P, I, D and return to auto control mode.
 3. If it is a simple increase/decrease, change the auto control mode to manual control, and report to Test Manager and control engineer to find out the problem.

- If an alarm on pressure balancing system in program control mode is on
 1. Turn off the program control.
 2. Watch the control for a while by checking the time history trend whether the local auto control is stable.
 3. If the problem is a swinging on the local control mode, take a required action mentioned above.
 4. If it is a simple increase/decrease, change the auto control mode to manual control, and report to Test Manager and control engineer to find out the problem.
- If high alarm on pre-heater tube temperature(TE-xxC-yy) is on,
 1. Check the time history trend of the temperatures.
 2. Decrease the temperature set point to clear the alarm set point.
 3. If the temperature hit the heater off set point, turn on the heater after the temperature clear the alarm set point, and set lower set point than before.
 4. Keep watching the trend and report the Test Manager
- If high alarm on reactor tube temperature is on,
 1. Check the time history trend of the temperatures.
 2. Decrease the power output if needed.
 3. If several TC which is close each other are going up, turn off the auto control mode on the heater related to the TC, and reduce the output of heater power.
 4. Keep watching the trend and report to the Test Manager.

APPENDIX A – TORQUE TABLE

	Flange size	Gasket size	Bolt		1st Round Torque (Ft-Lbs)	2nd Round Torque (Ft-Lbs)	3rd Round Torque (Ft-Lbs)	4th Round Torque (Ft-Lbs)
			Dia.	No.				
Coal Hopper Top	2"	$2 \frac{1}{16} \times 2 \frac{5}{16} \times 3 \frac{3}{8} \times 5 \frac{5}{8} \times 0.175$	0.875	8	50	100	160	160
R-AOV-3 Upper	1"	$1 \frac{1}{16} \times 1 \frac{1}{4} \times 1 \frac{7}{8} \times 3 \frac{1}{8} \times 0.175$	0.875	4	35	70	115	115
R-AOV-3 Upper	1"	↓	0.875	4	35	70	115	115
Reactor Top	10"	$9 \frac{29}{32} \times 10 \frac{21}{32} \times 0.175$	1"	12	75	150	245	245
Reactor Bottom	8"	$8 \frac{1}{32} \times 8 \frac{25}{32} \times 0.175$	0.875"	12	50	100	160	160
U. Char Pot Top	2-1/2	$5 \frac{11}{64} \times 5 \frac{59}{64} \times 0.175$	1"	8	75	150	245	245
U. Char Pot Bottom	2"	$2 \frac{1}{16} \times 2 \frac{5}{16} \times 3 \frac{3}{8} \times 5 \frac{5}{8} \times 0.175$	0.875"	8	50	100	160	160
L. Char Pot Top	2"	↓	0.875	8	50	100	160	160
L. Char Pot Bottom	2"	↓	0.875	8	50	100	160	160

APPENDIX B – ORDER OF BOLT TIGHTENING

APPENDIX C – TEST PLAN DATA SHEET

Test Plan Data Sheet						
Run Number		RUN xxxxxx				
Date		MM/DD/YY				
Reaction Condition		Gas Residence Time		sec		
		H2/C ratio		wt/wt		
		Reaction Pressure		psig		
		Reaction Temperature		°F		
Coal Feeder		Moter rpm setting		rpm		
		Coal Flow Rate		lb/h		
N2 PCV Setting		N2-PCV-1		1150 psig		
		N2-PCV-2		1150 psig		
		N2-PCV-3		110 psig		
H2 PCV Setting		H2-PCV-30		1200 psig		
		H2-PCV-1		-		
		H2-PCV-2		-		
Rx PCV-Setting		R-PCV-1		-		
		R-dPT-30		5		
		SG-PCV-1		1010		
H2 Flow Setting		Total				
		H2-FIC-1		scfh for H2	during heating up	
				scfh for H2	before coal in	
		H2-FIC-2		scfh		
		H2-FCV-20		cc/min		
Rx Flow Setting		R-MFC-1		scfh		
Temperature Setting	H2 pre-heater	H2 900 sft3/h	TIC-11A-3		2000 °F	heater set point for startup
			TIC-12A-3		off °F	
			TIC-13A-3		2000 °F	
			TIC-14A-3		OFF °F	
		After Tube Temp get to	TIC-11A-3		°F	at TE-11B-3=1560 F
			TIC-12A-3		°F	at TE-12A-3=1560 F
			TIC-13A-3		°F	at TE-13A-3=1560 F
			TIC-14A-3		°F	at TE-14A-3=1500 F
	1/4" connecting tube	PH5A		%	start from , & adjust	
		PH5B		%		
		PH5C		%		
		Reactor Tube Heater	Heater #1		%	start from , & adjust
			Heater #2		%	
			Heater #3		%	
			Heater #4		%	
			Heater #5		%	
Heater #6			%			
Target Temperature	H2 pre-heater	TI-11C-A/B		°F		
		PH-TI-15				
	Reactor tube average Temp. among TE in flat part	TE-22A-1,TE-22B-1,TE-22B-4 TE-23A-1,TE-23B-1,TE-23B-4 TE-24A-1,TE-24B-1,TE-25A-1		°F		
Results ;	Running time			Runing time		
	Upper Char		lb	Lower Char		
	Product gas		scf ³	Product gas		
	Oil sample		g(7 ml)	Oil sample		
	H ₂ O sample		g	H ₂ O sample		
Comments						

APPENDIX L

Bench Scale Hydrogasification Testing Shutdown Procedure

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

**COAL TO SNG
BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR
SHUTDOWN PROCEDURE**

**FINAL
JULY 2010**

**ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
400 NORTH 5TH STREET
PHOENIX, AZ 85003**

**Principal Investigator
Dr. Xiaolei Sun
602.250.1510
602.250.1505
xiaolei.sun@aps.com**

COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR SHUTDOWN PROCEDURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	NORMAL SHUTDOWN	1
1.1	Initial Conditions	1
1.2	Coal Feeding Stop and Cool Down	1
1.3	N ₂ Flow In	1
1.4	Depressurizing by Auto Control Mode for Ramp Down	2
1.5	Plant Purge with Nitrogen	3
2	EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN	5
2.1	Overview	5
2.2	Full Shutdown	5
2.3	Local Shutdown	6
3	SAMPLINGS	9
3.1	Char Sampling	9
3.2	Coal Discharge	10
3.3	Liquids Sampling	11

APPENDIXES

Appendix 1 – Test Plan Data Sheet	1-1
Appendix 2 – Coal to SNG Bench Scale test Reactor Normal shutdown lineup checklist	2-1

1 NORMAL SHUTDOWN

1.1 Initial Conditions

Listed below are the initial conditions to be checked before starting the normal shutdown process:

- 1.1.1 The reactor is operating per the SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Startup Procedure, subsections 5.7, Product Gas Data Acquisition Start Before Steady-State Operation, and 5.8 Steady-State Operation.
- 1.1.2 The required samples have been obtained on the Test Plan Data Sheet (Appendix A) and the end of data acquisition period is approaching, or Test Manager decides to do a shutdown.
- 1.1.3 Reactor temperatures and pressures have stabilized as expected and the system is ready for shutdown.

1.2 Coal Feeding Stop and Cool Down

Follow these steps for stopping coal feeding and cool down of the Bench-Scale Test Reactor:

- 1.2.1 Set the Trip Bypasses for TE-21A-1^{HH}, TE-21B-4^{HH}, TE-21B-1^{HH}, TE-27A-1^{HH}, TE-22A-1^{HH}, and TE-22B-4^{HH} in the ON position.
- 1.2.2 Turn off the coal feeder and shut R-AOV-3 at the time which was decided in the Startup Procedure, subsection 5.7, Product Gas Data Acquisition Start Before Steady-State Operation.
- 1.2.3 Turn off the No. 1 Reactor Heater.
- 1.2.4 After 5 minutes from the coal feeder turn off, shut CON-V-1.
- 1.2.5 Shut H2-PCV-2.
- 1.2.6 Shut CF-AOV-4.
- 1.2.7 Turn off the H₂ Preheater and Reactor Heater.
- 1.2.8 Keep H₂ flow until all of preheater tube temperatures in TI-14C-1A/1B, TI-14C-2A/2B, TI-13C-1A/1B, TI-13C-2A/2B, TI-12C-2A/2B, and TI-11C-2A/2B and all of reactor tube temperatures in TIC-11A/B-3, TIC-12A/B-3, TIC-13A/B-3, and TIC-14A/B-3 are lower than 1300 °F.

1.3 N₂ Flow In

Follow these steps for N₂ flow in:

- 1.3.1 Set H2-FCV-1 to manual control mode and make the valve opening fix at the opening shown on the auto control mode. Open N2-V-3 in half turn increments to full open.
- 1.3.2 Shut H2-V-1.
- 1.3.3 Select flow Indicator for N₂ on H2-FCV-1.

- 1.3.4 Set H2-FIC-1 setpoint at the flow rate on the indicator and switch from auto control mode to manual control mode.
- 1.3.5 Increase and adjust H2-FCV-1 setpoint to 220 sft³/h and adjust H2-PCV-1 opening to get 50 to 60% opening on H2-FCV-1.
- 1.3.6 On the Test Bed Panel, shut valves V-342 and V-306.
- 1.3.7 Keep the N₂ flow for 15 minutes and then move to Depressurizing by Auto Control for Ramp Down, subsection 1.4, below.

1.4 Depressurizing by Auto Control Mode for Ramp Down

Follow these steps for depressurizing by auto control mode for ramp down:

- 1.4.1 Shut H2-PCV-1 and R-PCV-1 to stop N₂ flow.
- 1.4.2 Open CF-SV-3.
- 1.4.3 For R-PCV-30, set dP setpoint at 15 psig.
- 1.4.4 For CF-PCV-1, set the dP setpoint at 40 psig.
- 1.4.5 Open R-V-78 char pot equalizing valve.
- 1.4.6 On SG-PCV-1, set the ramp down time at 90 minutes.
- 1.4.7 Turn on the ramp down and start the ramp down.
- 1.4.8 Verify that N2-PT-16 starts to decrease the pressure.
- 1.4.9 In order to keep R-PCV-30 higher than R-PT-3, pressurize the annulus space by setting R-MFC- 1 and by opening R-PCV-1 several times.
- 1.4.10 Verify that SG-PT-1 is switched on and start to decrease the pressure on R-PT-30.
- 1.4.11 When the SG-PT-1 reaches about 50 psig or the auto ramp down does not work well, turn off SG-PCV-1 ramp down, and stop the ramp down. Switch from auto control mode to manual control mode to continue depressurization.
- 1.4.12 Manually shut CF-PCV-1 and CF-SV-3.
- 1.4.13 Remove the end cap on N2-V-16 and slightly open N2-V-16 to get about 3 psig per minute of depressurizing speed.
- 1.4.14 Open R-AOV-4.
- 1.4.15 Open SG-V-14 and SG-V-15 slightly to keep the same depressurizing speed on the coal hopper (N2-PT-16), but adjust the both valve openings to keep R-dPT-1 within 10 psig.
- 1.4.16 If the R-PT-11 does not pressurize R-PT-3 and SG-PT-1, open R-V-20 and R-V-21 slightly to vent the lower char pot.
- 1.4.17 When N2-PT-16 reaches 10 psig, set N2-V-16 to full open then shut after the N2-PT-16 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.

- 1.4.18 When SG-PT-1 reaches 10 psig, set SG-V-14 and SG-V-15 to full open and shut after the SG-PT-1 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.
- 1.4.19 When R-PT-11 reaches 10 psig, turn R-V-20 and R-V-21 to full open and shut after the R-PT-11 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.

1.5 Plant Purge with Nitrogen

Follow these steps for plant purging with nitrogen:

- 1.5.1 Check and shut SG-PCV-1.
- 1.5.2 Check and shut CF-PCV-1.
- 1.5.3 Shut R-AOV-4.
- 1.5.4 Verify that R-dPT-1 is higher than 10 psig.
- 1.5.5 If R-dPT-1 is lower than 10 psig, pressurize the annulus space by opening R-PCV-1 to 5% and set R-MFC-1 at 100 sft³/h to 10 psig for R-dPT-1. When the R-PT-30 reaches 10 psig, shut R-PCV-1.
- 1.5.6 Open H2-PCV-1 to 5% opening.
- 1.5.7 Set H2-FCV-1 at 50 sft³/h and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode to start to pressurizing the reactor tube.
- 1.5.8 When the R-dPT-1 approaches 5 psig, open R-PCV-1 to 5% and set R-MFC-1 at 100 sft³/h and switch from auto control mode to manual control mode to pressurize the annulus space.
- 1.5.9 Manually open H2-FCV-2 to 100% and select N₂ for flow indicator.
- 1.5.10 In order to pressurize the coal hopper, open H2-PCV-2 to get to 20 sft³/h on H2-FM-2.
- 1.5.11 Increase H2-FCV-1 setpoint in 50 sft³/h increments every 3 minutes to reach 150 sft³/h by increasing H2-PCV-1 in 1% increments.
- 1.5.12 Increase R-MFC-1 setpoint in 100 sft³/h increments every 3 minutes to reach 300 sft³/h with increasing R-PCV-1 in 1% increments.
- 1.5.13 Keep adjusting H2-FCV-1 flow rate and R-MFC-1 flow rate to keep R-dPT-1 within 10 psig.
- 1.5.14 Keep increasing H2-PCV-2 opening to keep about 20 sft³/h on H2-FM-2 to pressurize to 150 psig on the N2-PT-16.
- 1.5.15 Keep increasing H2-PCV-1 in 1% increments to pressurize to 150 psig for R-PT-3 and SG-PT-1.
- 1.5.16 Keep increasing R-PCV-1 in 1% increments to pressurize to 160 psig for R-PT-30.
- 1.5.17 When R-PT-3/SG-PT-1 reaches to 150 psig, shut H2-PCV-1.
- 1.5.18 When R-PT-30 reaches to 160 psig, shut R-PCV-1.

- 1.5.19 When N2-PT-16 reaches to 150 psig, shut H2-PCV-2. Open N2-V-16 slightly to get about 3 psig per minute of depressurizing speed and start to depressurize the hopper.
- 1.5.20 Open N2-V-16 slightly to get about 3 psig per minute of depressurizing speed and start to depressurize the hopper.
- 1.5.21 Open R-AOV-4.
- 1.5.22 Open SG-V-14 and SG-V-15 slightly to keep the same depressurizing speed as coal hopper (N2-PT-16), but adjust the both valve openings to keep R-dPT-1 within 10 psig.
- 1.5.23 If the R-PT-11 does not follow to the R-PT-3 and SG-PT-1, open R-V-20 and R-V-21 slightly to vent the lower char pot.
- 1.5.24 When N2-PT-16 reaches 10 psig, set N2-V-16 full to open then shut after the N2-PT-16 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.
- 1.5.25 When SG-PT-1 reached to 10 psig, fully open SG-V-14 and SG-V-15, and shut them after SG-PT-1 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.
- 1.5.26 When R-PT-11 reaches 10 psig, fully open R-V-20 and R-V-21 and shut them after R-PT-11 shows ambient pressure or lower than 0 psig.
- 1.5.27 Repeat steps 1.5.3 through 1.5.23.
- 1.5.28 At the second cycle, when N2-PT-16 and R-PT-3/SG-PT-1 reach 15 psig, shut N2-V-16, SG-V-14, SG-V-15, R-V-20, and R-V-21 to keep the plant pressure at about 15 psig.
- 1.5.29 When R-PT-30 reaches about 25 psig, shut R-PCV-30 and R-AOV-4 to keep the annulus pressure higher than reactor tube pressure.
- 1.5.30 Shut N2-V-3, N2 V-7, SG-V-1, and R-V 96 to block the plant.
- 1.5.31 Turn off the cooling water pump.
- 1.5.32 Turn off all heater breakers.
- 1.5.33 Verify that all valves are in the normal shutdown lineup as shown in Appendix B.

2 EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN

2.1 Overview

An operator controls normal shutdown activities. The Operator activates and controls the alarms during normal shutdown. Emergency shutdown is not controlled by the Operator.

An Emergency Shutdown also occurs when there is a power failure and some instruments act as a battery backup so that the plant is safely shutdown.

During high-risk situations such as a thunderstorm, the Bench Scale Test Reactor (BSTR) should remain shutdown. This minimizes the risk of personnel injury due to lightning strikes as well as interruptions due to power outages.

If an emergency condition occurs, shutdown the reactor by pressing one of the emergency shutdown switches located near the test area. Evacuate all nonessential personnel from the area and perform actions as required by this procedure.

2.2 Full Shutdown

2.2.1 Trigger Full Shutdown Conditions

The following conditions will trigger a full shutdown:

1. Hitting the manual trip switch.
2. Power failure.
3. Instrument air pressure transmitter reaches the low-low setpoint.
4. R-dPT-1 reaches the high-high setpoint.
5. Char pot cooling system failure.
6. R-TI-10 high-high setpoint and H2O-FSL-2 is activated or H2O-P-1 is shut off.

2.2.2 Automatic Actions Triggered

The following things will happen automatically after an emergency shutdown is triggered:

1. Coal feeder stops.
2. H2-AOV-1, H2-AOV-2, and H2-AOV-20 shut.
3. N2-AOV-40 and R-AOV-4 open.
4. All heaters are off.
5. R-AOV-3 is shut.

2.2.3 The operator must confirm that the coal feeder stopped and ensures the following steps are performed:

- 2.2.3.1 H2-AOV-1, H2-AOV-2, and H2-AOV-40 are CLOSED.
- 2.2.3.2 N2-AOV-40 and R-AOV-4 are OPEN.
- 2.2.3.3 All the heaters are OFF.
- 2.2.3.4 R-AOV-3 is CLOSED.
- 2.2.4 Shut H2-PCV-1, H2-PCV-2, and H2-FCV-2.
- 2.2.5 Verify that the proper response of all pressures and temperatures (especially on N2-PT-40, R-PT-3, R-PT-30 and SG-PT-1) (to confirm there is no big fluctuation or overshooting of all pressures and temperatures).
- 2.2.6 Confirm the condition that triggered the emergency shutdown has been identified and the condition is cleared before progressing with the other steps.
- 2.2.7 When the pressure on N₂ Pack for emergency purge approaches 1200 psig, open N2-V-3.
- 2.2.8 Push the trip reset button and open H2-AOV-1.
- 2.2.9 Select flow indicator H2-FIC-1 to stop N₂ flow.
- 2.2.10 Using manual control mode, adjust H2-FCV-1 opening to 70%.
- 2.2.11 Open H2-PCV-1 (65% opening) to get to 1085 psig on H2-PT-1.
- 2.2.12 Confirm that the N₂ flow is about 220 sft³/hr on H2-FIC-1.
- 2.2.13 Shut N2-AOV-40.
- 2.2.14 Continue to cool the reactor.
- 2.2.15 Once all of temperature indicators on the H₂ Preheater and the Reactor read below 1300 °F, move to the Subsection 1.4 followed by Subsection 1.5 in the normal shutdown.

2.3 Local Shutdown

Local Shutdown affects the Heaters and/or the Coal Feeder.

2.3.1 Local Shutdown 1

Local Shutdown 1 happens if the rate of change in one of the temperature sensors is at the top part of the reactor tube is too fast (over 100 °F per second). It typically depends on the temperature increase in the reactor's top zone. If this does occur, follow these steps:

- 2.3.1.1 Confirm that the Coal Feeder stopped and R-AOV-3 is closed.
- 2.3.1.2 Depending on the temperature increase in the Reactor's top zone, turn off the No. 1 and No. 2 Reactor Heaters.
- 2.3.1.3 Verify proper response of all temperatures, flow rates, and pressures to make sure there is no big fluctuation of all these parameters.
- 2.3.1.4 Push the trip reset button.

- 2.3.1.5 Verify the pressure of H₂-PCV-2 is higher than N₂-PT-16.
- 2.3.1.6 Crack OPEN R-AOV-3 and wait for a several minutes.
- 2.3.1.7 If the blockage is not clear, shut R-AOV-3, and repeat steps 2.3.1.4 and 2.3.1.5 (above) two to three times to make sure that there are no blockages.
- 2.3.1.8 In the case the blockage is not cleared, turn off the entire heater and shut H₂-V-6 and move to the Normal Shutdown, Subsection 1.2, of this procedure.
- 2.3.1.9 If the blockage is cleared, the Test Manager can decide if he wishes to continue the test or not.

Note: If the blockage has occurred during temperature stabilizing before R-AOV-1 shuts, the testing can be continued but the decision may depend on how much coal is remaining in the hopper.
- 2.3.1.10 If the Test Manager does not wish to continue the test, turn off the entire heater and shut H₂-V-6 and mover to the Normal Shutdown steps in subsection 1.2.
- 2.3.1.11 If the Test Manager decides to continue the test, there should be enough time to operate and coal is still in the hopper. After getting the process in stable condition, if R-AOV-1 and CON-AOV-1 were closed then open them.
- 2.3.1.12 Stabilize the Reactor's temperature following the operating data sheet, shown in Appendix A.
- 2.3.1.13 To re-start the process, go to Startup Procedure, subsection 1.2.

2.3.2 Local Shutdown 2

In case of the H₂ Preheater Tube temperatures reach a high-high setpoint, the entire Preheater will be turned off. If the coal was being fed to the Reactor, the Coal Feeder must be powered off and R-AOV-3 is closed. Follow these steps:

- 2.3.2.1 Confirm which temperature controller (TC) hits high-high setpoint and turn off the Preheater.
- 2.3.2.2 If the temperature was jumped up to maximum value of the range abruptly, turn the temperature indicator (TI) trip bypass ON.
- 2.3.2.3 When the temperature of trip trigger is cleared, push the trip reset button.
- 2.3.2.4 The Test Manager has to decide whether the shutdown operation can be continued or stopped.
- 2.3.2.5 If the setpoint of H₂ Preheater can be reduced 10 or 20°F, the operation will be continued.
- 2.3.2.6 If there is enough coal remaining in the coal hopper, the operation will be continued.
- 2.3.2.7 If the Test Manager decides to continue with the test, turn on the H₂ Preheater and open R-AOV-3, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1, then return

to Startup Procedure, subsection 5.5, Heating Up.

- 2.3.2.8 If the Test Manager decides not to continue the test, turn off all of the Reactor Heaters, and go to the steps shown in Shutdown Procedure, subsection 1.2.

2.3.3 Local Shutdown 3

In case the reactor's tube temperature reaches a high-high setpoint, the entire reactor heater must be turned off. If the coal was being fed to the reactor, the coal feeder is powered off and R-AOV-3 is closed. Follow these steps:

- 2.3.3.1 Confirm which temperature controller (TC) hits the high-high setpoint and turn off its reactor heaters.
- 2.3.3.2 If the temperature was jumped up to maximum value of the range abruptly, turn the temperature indicator bypass ON.
- 2.3.3.3 When the temperature of trip trigger is cleared, push the trip reset button.
- 2.3.3.4 The Test Manager has to decide whether the operation can be continued or stopped. The Test Manager will consider the following items:
1. If the setpoint of H₂ Preheater can be reduced, the operation will be continued.
 2. If there is enough coal remaining in the coal hopper, the operation will be continued.
- 2.3.3.5 If the Testing Manager decides to continue the testing, turn on the H₂ Preheater and open R-AOV-3, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1, then return to Startup Procedure, subsection 5.5, Heating Up.
- 2.3.3.6 If the decision is not to continue, turn off the H₂ Preheater, then go to the Shutdown Procedure, subsection 1.2

2.3.4 Heater Shutdown

Each heater on the H₂ Preheater will be switched off when the temperature measuring Heater's element (xx-yy-3) and/or the temperature measuring insulation surface (xx-yy-2) hits the high-high setpoint. Follow these steps:

- 2.3.4.1 If the Heater-Off is shown in the alarm annunciator, confirm which Heater was turned off and report it to the Test Manager.
- 2.3.4.2 If the temperature was jumped up to outside of the range abruptly, change the wiring to B-side Heater. (As the initial wiring of temperature controller, A-side Heater TC (xx-yyA-3) is used to control the heater. If the A-side temperature controller failed, change the wiring to B-Side Heater's temperature controller (xx-yyB-3).)
- 2.3.4.3 When the temperature of shutdown heater is cleared, the Test Manager has to decide whether the operation can be continued or not. Follow these steps:
1. If the effect of heater off on the H₂ temperature and/or the temperature profile on reactor tube is small, the test can be

continued.

2. If there is a time for stabilizing the temperature and there is enough coal remaining in the coal hopper, the test can be continued.
- 2.3.4.4 If the Testing Manager decides to continue the testing, turn on the Heater. If the heater shutdown is performed during data acquisition period, open R-AOV-3, R-AOV-1, and CON-AOV-1, then return to Startup Procedure, subsection 5.5, Heating Up.
- 2.3.4.5 If the decision is not to continue the testing, move to the Section 1, Normal Shutdown, of this procedure.

3 SAMPLINGS

3.1 Char Sampling

Persons who perform the char samplings must wear a dust mask and safety glasses and follow these steps outlined and shown below:

- 3.1.1 Prepare metal can, with two plastic bags. The plastic bags must be large enough to fit over the metal can, the tubes, and the bottom of the char pot's pipe.
- 3.1.2 Put the can and plastic bag on the scale and set the scale reading to zero.
- 3.1.3 Set the can and plastic bag under the lower char pot and the plastic bag's open end must cover the char pot bottom pipe and R-V-10.
- 3.1.4 Shut R-V-78.
- 3.1.5 Verify that R-PT-11 shows 10 to 15 psig. If it is lower than 10 psig, pressurize the lower char pot with N₂ by using N2-V-5, set N2-PCV-7 to 100 psig, use N2-V-51, open R-SV-7 and R-V-8. If it is higher than 15 psig, depressurize by opening R-V-20 and R-V-21.
- 3.1.6 Remove the end cap and open R-V-10 gradually and using the gas flow due to pressure release discharge the char in lower char pot so that the char flows through the tube into the plastic bag.
- 3.1.7 Insert the three 1-ft long ¼ tubes N₂ blow tube from R-V-10 into the lower char pot, and use N₂ to blow out the char on the char pot wall, and collect all char into the plastic bag.
- 3.1.8 Take the N₂ blow tubes out.
- 3.1.9 Weigh the can plus the plastic bag with char and measure and record the net char weight, and put a char sampling into a plastic bottle.
- 3.1.10 Put the can and a new plastic bag on the scale, and set the scale reading zero.
- 3.1.11 Insert the three 1-ft long 1/4-inch N₂ blow tubes back into the lower char pot.
- 3.1.12 Set the can and plastic bag under the lower char pot and join the plastic bag's open end completely covering the char pot bottom pipe and valve R-V-10.

- 3.1.13 Verify that R-PT-3 shows 10 to 15 psig. If it is lower than 10 psig, pressurize the reactor tube with N₂ by using H2-PCV-1 and H2-FCV-1. If it is higher than 15 psig, depressurize by opening SG-V-1, SG-V-14, and SG-V-15.
- 3.1.14 Open R-AOV-1 and discharge the char in the upper char pot with the gas flow due to pressure release.
- 3.1.15 Add other N₂ blow tube (three 1-ft long ¼-inch tubes) and blow N₂ to blow out the char on the char pot wall, and collect all char into the same plastic bag.
- 3.1.16 Take all six of N₂ blow tubes out of the char pots.
- 3.1.17 Weigh the can plus the plastic bag with char. Measure and record the net char weight.
- 3.1.18 Mix the char collected from upper char pot using drive stirrer for about 5 minutes and take the char sampling for the char analysis.

3.2 Coal Discharge

After char sampling, the remaining coal in the coal feeder should be discharged from the char pot and feeder to keep uniformity of coal for the next run. Follow these steps:

- 3.2.1 Verify N2-PT-16 shows 10 to 15 psig. If it is lower than 10 psig, pressurize the coal hopper with N₂ by using H2-PCV-2 and H2-FCV-2. If it is higher than 15 psig, depressurize by opening N2-V-16.
- 3.2.2 Put the can and a new plastic bag on the scale and set the scale reading zero.
- 3.2.3 Set the can and plastic bag under the lower char pot and joint the plastic bag's open end completely covering the char pot bottom pipe and R-V-10.
- 3.2.4 Check and open H2-AOV-20, H2-MFC-1(set 2000 cc/min), H2-AOV-2, and CF-SV-2.
- 3.2.5 Open R-AOV-3 and discharge the coal in the hopper with the gas flow due to pressure releasing.
- 3.2.6 Remove the top flange on the coal hopper and check to make sure that the coal hopper is empty.
- 3.2.7 If coal remains in the hopper, turn on the coal feeder and discharge all of the coal in the hopper.
- 3.2.8 Verify that all coal runs out and turn off the coal feeder.
- 3.2.9 Weigh the can plus plastic bag with coal, and measure and record the net coal weight.
- 3.2.10 Open N2-V-3.
- 3.2.11 Open H2-PCV-1 to 15% opening.
- 3.2.12 Set H2-FCV-1 setpoint at 300 sft³/h and switch from manual control mode to auto control mode in order to make sure that N₂ flows into reactor tube.

3.2.13 Shut H2-PCV-1 and H2-FCV-1 so that there are no more coal particles coming out of the char unit and going into the plastic bag.

3.2.14 Shut R-V-10 and set the end plugs back onto the char pot's bottom.

3.3 Liquids Sampling

Persons who work with liquid sampling must wear a dust mask, plastic gloves, and safety glasses. They must follow these steps in sampling liquids:

3.3.1 Prepare several sample glass bottles (500 cc), solvent (acetone), and an empty can.

3.3.2 Fill liquid sprayer with acetone.

3.3.3 Remove two caps at the end of vent line on two liquid pots, and one cap on the liquid pot's bottom end.

3.3.4 Open CON-V-2 and CON-V-20 slightly and depressurize the two liquid pots to the ambient pressure keeping upper pot pressure slightly higher than lower char pot's pressure.

3.3.5 Open CON-V-2 and CON-V-20 fully.

3.3.6 Set the sampling bottle at the outlet of CON-CYL-2.

3.3.7 Open CON-V-3 and discharge the lower pot liquids into the sampling bottle.

3.3.8 Blow N₂ from CON-V-20 3 to make sure all liquids are discharged.

3.3.9 Set other glass bottle at the outlet of CON-CYL-2.

3.3.10 Pressurize the liquid sprayer with N₂ and spray about 100 cc Acetone from CON-V-20 to wash the pot wall.

3.3.11 Blow N₂ from CON-V-20 for a while and dry out the Acetone.

3.3.12 Shut off CON-V-20.

3.3.13 Set the sampling bottle at the outlet of CON-CYL-2.

3.3.14 Open CON-AOV-1 and discharge the upper pot liquids into the sampling bottle.

3.3.15 Blow N₂ from CON-V-2 3 to make sure all liquids discharged.

3.3.16 Set other glass bottle at the outlet of CON-CYL-2.

3.3.17 Shut off CON-V-2 and remove the plug on the nozzle on the SEP-1.

3.3.18 Pressurize the liquid sprayer with N₂ and spray about 300 cc Acetone from the nozzle on the SEP-1 to wash the SEP-1 and upper pots wall.

3.3.19 Blow N₂ from the same nozzle to dry out the Acetone.

3.3.20 Check shut of CON-V-2, CON-V-20, and CON-V-3, and reset three caps at the tube ends and one plug on SEP-1.

APPENDIX 1 – TEST PLAN DATA SHEET

Test Plan Data Sheet					
Operator Name					
Run Number		RUN			
Date MM/DD/YY		/ /			
Reaction Condition		Gas Residence Time	sec		
		H ₂ /C ratio	wt/wt		
		Reaction Pressure	psig		
		Reaction Temperature	degrees F		
Coal Feeder		Motor rpm setting	rpm		
		Coal Flow Rate	lb/hr		
N ₂ PCV Setting		N2-PCV-1	1150 psig		
		N2-PCV-2	1150 psig		
		N2-PCV-3	110 psig		
H ₂ PCV Setting		H2-PCV-30	psig		
		H2-PCV-1	psig		
		H2-PCV-2	psig		
Rx PCV Setting		R-PCV-1	– psig		
		R-dPT-30	5 psig		
		SG-PCV-1	1010 psig		
Total H ₂ Flow Setting		H2-FIC-1	sft ³ /h for H ₂ during heating up		
			sft ³ /h for H ₂ before Coal in		
		H2-FIC-2	sft ³ /h		
		H2-FCV-20	cc/min		
Rx Flow Setting		R-MFC-1	sft ³ /h		
Temperature Setting	H ₂ Pre-heater	H ₂ 900 sft ³ /h	TIC-11A-3	2000 ☐	Heater Setpoint for Startup
			TIC-12A-3	Off ☐	
			TIC-13A-3	2000 ☐	
			TIC-14A-3	Off ☐	
		After Tube Temp Get to	TIC-11A-3	☐	At TE-11A-3 = 1560 °F
			TIC-12A-3	☐	At TE-12A-3 = 1560 °F
			TIC-13A-3	☐	At TE-13A-3 = 1560 °F
			TIC-14A-3	☐	At TE-14A-3 = 1560 °F
	¼ inch Connecting Tube	PH5A	%	Start from, and adjust	
		PH5B	%		
		PH5C	%		
	Reactor Tube Heater	Heater 1	%	Start from, and adjust	
		Heater 2	%		
		Heater 3	%		
		Heater 4	%		
		Heater 5	%		
		Heater 6	%		

APPENDIX 1 – TEST PLAN DATA SHEET (CONTD)

Test Plan Data Sheet (Contd)				
Target Temperature	H ₂ Preheater	T1-11C-A/B	☐	
		PH-T1-15	☐	
	Reactor Tube Average Temp. Among TE in Flat Part	TE-22A-1	☐	
		TE-22B-1	☐	
		TE-22B-4	☐	
		TE-23A-1	☐	
		TE-23B-1	☐	
		TE-23B-4	☐	
		TE-24A-1	☐	
		TE-24B-1	☐	
		TE-25A-1	☐	
Results:	Running Time		Running Time	
	Upper Char	lb	Upper Char	lb
	Product gas	sft ³	Product gas	sft ³
	Oil	g (7 ml)	Oil	g (7 ml)
	H ₂ O Sample	g	H ₂ O Sample	g
Comments				

APPENDIX 2 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist

Date Inspected:

Operator:

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
N2-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	N ₂ High Pressure Purge Panel
N2-V-6	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-5	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-18	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-95	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	Instrument N ₂ Panel
N2-V-9	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-10	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-11	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-12	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-13	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-14	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-15	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-20	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-21	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-22	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-23	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-24	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-25	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-50	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-35	Valve	Shut	☐	Emergency Purge N ₂
H2O-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	Cooling Water Tank
H2O-V-2	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-3	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-4	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-P-1	Pump	Off	☐	
H2O-P-2	Pump	Off	☐	
H2O-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	In Frame

APPENDIX 2 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTD)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
H2O-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	In Frame
H2O-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-16	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-17	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-1	Lock Valve	Shut – Lockout	☐	Hydrogen Panel
H2-V-3	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-4	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-5	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-7	Valve	Open	☐	
H2-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-91	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-92	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	Coal Feeder Panel
CF-V-2	Valve	Open	☐	
N2-V-16	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-5	Valve	Open	☐	In Frame
H2O-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
H2O-V-21	Valve	Shut	☐	
H2O-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
C-MTR-1	Motor	Off	☐	
R-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	Balancing Panel
R-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-6	Valve	Open	☐	
R-V-96	Valve	Shut	☐	
AR-V-1	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	In Frame
R-V-5	Valve	Open	☐	
R-V-7	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-8	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-9	Valve	Shut	☐	

APPENDIX 2 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTD)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
R-V-10	Valve	Shut	☐	
R-V-78	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	In Frame
CON-V-2	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-20	Valve	Shut	☐	
CON-V-4	Valve	Shut	☐	SNG Product Panel
SG-V-1	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-2	Valve	Shut (Commissioning)	☐	
SG-V-3	Valve	Shut	☐	SNG Product Panel
SG-V-10	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-11	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-12	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-13	Valve	Open	☐	
SG-V-14	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-15	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
SG-V-22	Valve	Shut	☐	
N2-AOV-40	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.1
H2-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.3 1/2
H2-FCV-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-PCV-2	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-FCV-2	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.3 2/2
H2-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-2	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
H2-AOV-20	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-SV-1	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.4
CF-SV-2	Solenoid Valve	Open	☐	
CF-SV-3	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-SV-4	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
CF-AOV-4	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	

APPENDIX 2 – COAL TO SNG BENCH SCALE TEST REACTOR NORMAL SHUTDOWN LINEUP CHECKLIST (CONTD)

Coal to SNG Bench Scale Test Reactor Normal Shutdown Lineup Checklist (Continued)

Component Number	Component Description	Required Position (On, Off, Tagout, Lockout, etc.)	Check	P&ID Drawing
R-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.6
R-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-4	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	
R-PCV-30	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-3	Air Operated valve	Shut	☐	
AR-MFC-1	Flow Control Valve	Shut	☐	
R-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	SNG2000.8
R-AOV-2	Air Operated Valve	Shut	☐	
R-SV-7	Solenoid Valve	Shut	☐	
R-SV-8	Solenoid valve	Shut	☐	
CON-AOV-1	Air Operated Valve	Open	☐	SNG2000.9
SG-PCV-1	Pressure Control Valve	Shut	☐	SNG2000.10

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX M

Process Design, Plant Design, and Systems Analysis and Cost Engineering

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Preliminary Engineering Package for Hydrogasification / Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility Conceptual Design

Prepared for:

Arizona Public Service Company

Prepared by:

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

WorleyParsons Group Inc.

**April 19, 2011
Final Report**

NOTICE

This Preliminary Engineering Package was prepared by WorleyParsons Group, Inc. (WorleyParsons) as an account of work contracted by and for the benefit of the Arizona Public Service Company. This package is a conceptual design and costing effort for a novel yet unproven hydrogasification / substitute natural gas (SNG) process. The overall process has been developed by joint discussions, analyses, and the best judgment of the APS Project Team. By necessity, many design basis inputs, including the gasifier performance, have been assumed based on the team's knowledge and best understanding to date. As possible, the team researched existing literature to make informed decisions based on the lessons from past gasifier / process development activities, and information available to the team members in the public domain, and/or past project experiences. APS provided gasifier performance for the bench scale test reactor.

WorleyParsons has relied upon this information and information from other team members in the preparation of this design basis document and has not independently verified that the information is accurate, complete or applicable. Key assumptions need to be confirmed during subsequent work. As such, WorleyParsons does not assume any liability for the use or misuse of the information in this report.

Decisions and actions based upon the information in this design basis and the subsequent conceptual design effort should acknowledge the preliminary nature of the current analysis. The design and cost data are only suited for planning and budget estimation purposes only, and are not of sufficient depth of detail to justify major capital investment.

Should the project continue to move forward, an experienced reactor/gasifier designer will need to join the team to further the development of the hydrogasification reactor concepts and design elements. WorleyParsons is an architect/engineering firm and is not a reactor designer. In addition, a patent review for possible patent infringement should be performed prior to continued development of the process.

Contact Information:

David Stauffer, PE
Project Manager
WorleyParsons Group, Inc.
2676 Morgantown Rd
Reading, PA 19607
David.Stauffer@worleyparsons.com
(610) 855-2696

WorleyParsons Report No. APS-0-LI-011-0001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Project Objective.....	1
1.2	Results.....	2
1.3	Report Approach/ Structure/ Content	3
2	Design Basis Information	5
2.1	SNG Production Capacity.....	5
2.2	Site Conditions.....	5
2.3	Feedstocks.....	7
2.3.1	Coal.....	7
2.3.2	Externally Supplied Hydrogen and Oxygen	9
2.4	Gasifier Performance and Design	9
2.4.1	Hydrogasifier Performance	9
2.4.2	Hydrogasifier Model.....	11
2.4.3	Hydrogasifier Design.....	12
2.5	Product Requirements.....	14
2.5.1	Substitute Natural Gas	14
2.5.2	Sulfur.....	15
2.6	Operational Requirements	16
2.7	Storage Requirements	16
2.8	Client Requirements.....	16
2.9	Environmental Requirements.....	16
3	Hydrogasification Configuration Selection	19
4	Engineering Package	23
4.1	Configuration Overview	23
4.2	Plant Configuration.....	25
4.3	Plant Arrangement	26
4.4	Performance Summary.....	30
4.5	Major System Descriptions.....	33
4.5.1	Coal Handling System	33
4.5.2	Coal Feed System	35
4.5.3	Hydrogasification Reactor	36
4.5.4	H ₂ Production and Handling	39
4.5.5	Raw Gas Cooling and Entrained Particulate Removal	39
4.5.6	Mercury Removal	40
4.5.7	Acid Gas Removal and Sulfur Recovery	40
4.5.8	Char Combustor	42
4.5.9	Ash / Waste Handling System	43

4.5.10	Deoxidation, and CO ₂ Compression and Handling	44
4.5.11	Methanation Unit	44
4.5.12	Cogeneration System	45
4.5.13	Circulating Water System	46
4.5.14	Sour Water Treatment.....	47
4.5.15	Water and Waste Water Treatment.....	47
4.5.16	Flare System.....	48
4.5.17	Accessory Electric Plant	49
4.5.18	Instrumentation and Control	49
5	Construction, Commissioning and Schedule	50
5.1	Constructability.....	50
5.2	Initial Start-Up and Commissioning	52
5.2.1	Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Process	53
5.2.1.1	Construction Testing	53
5.2.1.2	Functional Testing	53
5.2.1.3	Operational / Performance Testing	54
5.2.2	Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Personnel	54
5.2.3	Start-Up Manual.....	54
5.3	Schedule.....	57
6	Start-up Sequence and Operating Procedures.....	62
6.1	Start-up Sequence	62
6.1.1	System Interrelationships.....	62
6.1.2	Start-up Sequence	63
6.2	Operating Procedure Manuals.....	66
6.2.1	Operating Procedures Overview	66
6.2.2	Example Table of Contents.....	67
6.2.3	Introduction to the Operating Manual.....	68
6.2.3.1	Integrated Plant Procedures	68
6.2.3.2	Localized Island Operating Procedures	68
6.2.3.3	System Operating Procedures	69
7	Cost Estimate.....	70
7.1	Cost Estimating Approach	70
7.2	Cost Basis and Assumptions	70
7.2.1	Capital Costs Basis and Assumptions.....	71
7.2.2	Battery Limits	73
7.2.3	Operations and Maintenance Cost Basis and Assumptions	73
7.3	Capital and O&M Cost Results.....	74
7.3.1	Total Indicative Price.....	74
7.3.2	Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	75
7.4	Cost of Product Estimate Basis.....	76

7.4.1	Hydrogen Cost / Electrolysis Basis.....	79
7.4.2	Oxygen Cost / Electrolysis Basis	82
7.5	Cost of Product (Cost of Hydrogen, Cost of SNG)	82
References		86

Appendices

A	Design Basis
B	Process Flow Diagrams
C	Material Balance Tables
D	Water Balance Diagram
E	Major Equipment List
F	Capital Cost Details
G	Operating Cost Details
H	Hydrogen Source Review for Electrolysis

WorleyParsons

resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package, Final Report*

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1-1 Commercial Hydrogasification Facility Key Features	3
Exhibit 2-1 Site Ambient Conditions.....	6
Exhibit 2-2 Site Characteristics	6
Exhibit 2-3 Fruitland Coal Analysis and Properties	8
Exhibit 2-4 Hydrogen & Oxygen Purity	9
Exhibit 2-5 Hydrogasifier Performance.....	10
Exhibit 2-6 Phase I Hydrogasifier Sketch.....	13
Exhibit 2-7 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specifications	14
Exhibit 2-8 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specification Summary	15
Exhibit 2-9 Typical Sulfur Specification	15
Exhibit 3-1 Phase I Block Flow Diagram of the Hydrogasification SNG Process.....	19
Exhibit 4-1 Block Flow Diagram of the Base Hydrogasification SNG Process.....	24
Exhibit 4-2 Hydrogasification / SNG Process Configuration and Design Redundancy	26
Exhibit 4-3 Hydrogasification Facility General Arrangement.....	29
Exhibit 4-4 Process Summary.....	31
Exhibit 4-5 Product SNG Composition	32
Exhibit 4-6 Electric Power Co-Generation Performance.....	33
Exhibit 4-7 Coal Handling System Technical Requirements	35
Exhibit 4-8 Commercial Scale Hydrogasifier Concept	38
Exhibit 4-9 Hydrogasifier Design Conditions	38
Exhibit 4-10 Typical CrystaSulf Process	41
Exhibit 4-11 Ash Handling System Technical Requirements and Design Basis.....	43
Exhibit 4-12 Hydrogasification Plant Cooling Tower Duty	47
Exhibit 5-1 Recent Procurement Lead Times (as of Dec 2010)	58
Exhibit 5-2 Preliminary Project Schedule.....	60
Exhibit 6-1 Start-up Sequence	63
Exhibit 7-1 Plant Tie-Points.....	73
Exhibit 7-2 Capital Cost Estimate.....	75
Exhibit 7-3 O&M Costs	75
Exhibit 7-4 Cost of Product Economic Assumptions	76
Exhibit 7-5 Project Financial Structure.....	77
Exhibit 7-6 Electrolysis Products.....	79
Exhibit 7-7 Electrolysis Design & Cost Basis	80
Exhibit 7-8 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rates.....	81
Exhibit 7-9 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rate Chart	81
Exhibit 7-10 Breakdown of Costs for Levelized Cost of Hydrogen Production	83

Exhibit 7-11	Sensitivity of First Year Cost of Hydrogen Production for Variation in Electricity Costs.	83
Exhibit 7-12	Breakdown of Costs and Revenue of Hydrogasification Process with Resulting SNG Production Cost.	84
Exhibit 7-13	Sensitivity of First Year Cost of SNG Production for Variation in Listed Cost Categories.	85
Exhibit 7-14:	NYMEX Henry-Hub Natural Gas Price – Past 12 Months	85

Revision Record: Appendices

Appendix	Document Title	Document Number	Revision
A	Design Basis, Arizona Public Service Hydrogasification / Substitute Natural Gas, Conceptual Design Study Commercial Scale Facility	NA	I
B	Process Flow Diagrams		
	Block Flow Diagram	APSS-1-SK-021-305-105	B
	Gasification & Radiant Cooler	APSS-1-SK-021-305-301	E
	Syngas Cooling & Sulfur Removal	APSS-1-SK-021-305-302	C
	Methanation	APSS-1-SK-021-305-303	E
	Methanation Product Cooling and Compression	APSS-1-SK-021-305-304	F
	Char Combustor	APSS-1-SK-021-305-305	E
	Deoxidation and Recycle	APSS-1-SK-021-305-306	D
	Sour Water Stripper	APSS-1-SK-021-305-307	D
	Steam and Feedwater System	APSS-1-SK-021-305-308	D
C	Material Balances		
	Gasification Section & Radiant Cooler	Streams 101 – 113	01/20/11
	Syngas Cooling & Sulfur Removal	Streams 202 – 206	01/20/11
	Methanation	Streams 301 – 309	01/20/11
	SNG Cooling & Compression	Streams 401– 406	01/20/11
	Char Combustion	Streams 501 – 510	01/20/11
	Deoxidation & Recycle	Streams 601 – 604	01/20/11
	Sour Water Stripping	Streams 701 – 703	01/20/11
	Steam Cycle	Streams 801 – 826	01/20/11
D	Water Balance Diagram	APSS-1-DW-021-305-500	B
E	Major Equipment List	NA	01/20/11
F	Capital Costs Details	NA	01/28/11
G	Operating Costs Details	NA	01/28/11
H	Hydrogen Source Review for Electrolysis	NA	A

1 Introduction

This report represents a preliminary engineering package for the commercial-scale facility utilizing the hydrogasification process to produce Substitute Natural Gas (SNG). Section 1.1 presents the project objectives, Section 1.2 presents the key results of the project, and Section 1.3 presents the overall approach and structure of the report.

1.1 Project Objective

The objective of this Phase II 2010 update of the Phase I 2007 coal hydrogasification/ substitute natural gas (SNG) conceptual design effort is two fold:

1. To update the gasifier performance and its affect on the envisioned configuration, based on the Bench Scale Test Reactors (BSTR) initial results. Key gasifier performance parameters to be updated include:
 - a. Carbon conversion from 70% to approximately 52%,
 - b. Methane syngas levels,
 - c. Disposition of coal sulfur between char and syngas, and
 - d. Quantity / analysis of gasifier tars and oils (no tars and oils are expected at 1750°F),
 - e. Hydrogen to coal ratio (as influenced by both the gasifier and methanator),
 - f. Oxygen to coal ratio (as required for the thermal balance).
2. To update the configuration and cost estimate for changes that result from the intervening years including:
 - a. Cost escalation, and
 - b. Technological advances, if any.

The ultimate objective of the overall three (3) Phase project is to develop and demonstrate an engineering-scale, coal hydrogasification, based process for co-production of substitute natural gas (SNG) and electricity, with near-zero emissions meeting the following performance targets:

1. Overall process efficiency greater than 50%,
2. SNG cost less than \$5/MMBTU,
3. Capture and sequestration of CO₂ equivalent to 90% of emissions from power production^a,
4. Reduce water usage as compared to partial oxidation gasification/syngas methanation process.
5. Capability of accepting hydrogen (preferably from a renewable source) as a supplemental source of energy

^a The proposed cycle converts rather than captures CO₂ as the process recycles the produced CO₂ and utilizes it for the production of SNG. In this way, the produced CO₂ is sequestered as fuel.

6. Ability to use Western sub-bituminous coals. (In Phase I & II, only a single design coal is considered), and
7. The capacity target for a single commercial-scale gasifier module is to process 1,000 short tons (dry) per day of coal.

The objective of this Phase II Task is to develop a conceptual design for the novel hydrogasification process and to examine the feasibility of a commercial-scale hydrogasification project. This preliminary package includes engineering and cost estimates for the Phase II conceptual effort of the **Commercial-Scale** facility.

1.2 Results

The key design features of a commercial scale hydrogasification facility are presented in Exhibit 1-1.

Exhibit 1-1 Commercial Hydrogasification Facility Key Features

Parameter	Value
<u>Output</u>	
SNG	119.7 MMSCFD (Note A)
Gross Electric Power	241.4 MWe (Note B)
Net Electric Power	201.0 MWe (Note C)
Elemental Sulfur	20.6 TPD
<u>Plant Configuration</u>	
Gasifiers	Three gasifiers, 1,000 tpd each (Note D)
Steam Turbines	One steam turbine-generator, 241 MWe
Syngas Cooling and Conditioning	Three trains serving three gasifiers, 384 MMSCFD
Oxygen Blown Char Combustor	Single system serving three gasifiers, 1,584 tpd of char
SNG Conditioning	Single train serving three gasifiers, 120 MMSCFD
<u>Consumables</u>	
Coal	3,235 TPD
Hydrogen	354 MMSCFD
Oxygen	83 MMSCFD
Water	2,456 gpm
<u>Capital Costs (in Jun. 2010 USD)</u>	
Bare Erected Capital Costs	\$ 886,902,000.
<u>O & M Costs (in Jun. 2010 USD) (Note E)</u>	
Fixed Operating Cost	\$ 12,535,000. / year
Routine Maintenance Material	\$7,347,000. / year
Water	\$ 1,358,000. / year
Chemicals	\$ 9,930,000. / year
Fuel (@ \$29.5/ton)	\$ 26,919,000. / year
Hydrogen (@ \$3.50/kg, or \$1.59/lb)	\$ 873,185,000. / year
Oxygen	\$ 0. / year (included in H ₂ electrolysis cost)
Ash Disposal (@ \$19.37/ton ash, and \$500/ton Hg sorbent)	\$5,285,000. / year
Sulfur ByProduct Credit (@ \$30/ton)	(\$180,000.) / year
<u>Land Area Requirement</u>	70 acres

Notes:

- A. At 60°F, 14.73 psia
- B. On generator terminals at 20 kV
- C. On 230 kV main step up transformer terminals.
- D. Fruitland coal, Dry basis, HHV=10,710 Btu/lb
- E. O&M costs reflect an 80% capacity factor.

1.3 Report Approach/ Structure/ Content

This report represents a broad engineering assessment for the project that should supply sufficiently accurate information to support system planning studies, preliminary cost and economic assessments, and plant siting evaluations. The conceptual design engineering

presented in this report lays the technical foundation for selecting design concepts and equipment, and defines the key design features, functional systems and structure, system and equipment design constraints, plant performance, and plant costs.

This report focuses on developing the preliminary technical and cost information to support project planning and analysis. As the project evolves, a follow-on detailed design process will be required to facilitate continued feasibility assessment, permitting/licensing, equipment procurement, construction and operation of the new facility.

The performance of the hydrogasification facility is evaluated using ASPEN based gasification system models developed by WorleyParsons. Typically these models are based on commercial gasification processes which have been calibrated to actual plant characteristics over several decades of consulting for the US Department of Energy, Electric Power research Institute, and private sector clients. However, the work described herein is not based on an existing commercial gasification process, but a developmental concept. The models developed for the APS hydrogasification reactor are based on the BSTR results along with WorleyParsons' understanding of how to extend the BSTR results to the anticipated commercial scale reactor conditions. This performance should be confirmed with an engineering scale test should APS be interested in continuing the hydrogasification project. The cost estimates are based on a factored approach and are not a product of a detailed "bottoms-up" design or cost estimating. The developed cost represents the use of the aforementioned models coupled with engineering and cost estimating judgment.

The evaluation scope included performing steady-state simulations of the various technological islands, developing heat and mass balances and estimating plant performance to support equipment sizing and capital and operating costs estimates. Equipment lists were developed for the hydrogasification facility in support of the plant capital cost estimates.

The key performance and economic parameters of the hydrogasification facility are summarized in Section 1.2.

The evaluation basis details, including site ambient conditions, fuel composition and environmental targets, are provided in Section 2.

In Section 3, the base hydrogasification process selected by APS for development is presented.

Over the course of the evaluation, the configuration evolved. The final hydrogasification plant configuration, along with the performance summary, plant arrangement and major systems descriptions are presented in Section 4.

Constructability and construction schedule of the new facility are discussed in Section 5.

The preliminary information regarding new plant start-up and operation is provided in Section 06.

The new hydrogasification facility capital and operating costs are evaluated in Section 7.

2 Design Basis Information

This section contains a summary of essential technical and functional requirements that were used as a basis in establishing conceptual design for the commercial-scale hydrogasification / SNG process evaluated in this report. Further design criteria details are provided in the Design Basis for the Conceptual Design of the Commercial Scale Facility (Appendix A).

2.1 SNG Production Capacity

A fundamental design criterion for the commercial-scale process is the SNG production level listed below.

1. **The target SNG production** for the commercial scale process has been selected as **approximately 120 MMSCFD [1]**, and will be based on **three (3) gasifier modules**.
 - a. This SNG production level has been selected considering the capacity of the Dakota Gasification facility and publicly available information regarding SNG facilities proposed by others. The Dakota Gasification facility produces 160 MMSCFD of SNG and is the only SNG facility in the United States. A presentation by ConocoPhillips on SNG at the 2006 Gasification Technology Conference presented three SNG production schemes ranging from 90 to 115 MMSCFD [2]. Since the 1000 TPD hydrogasifier produces approximately 40 MMSCFD, three gasifier modules will produce approximately 120 MMSCFD.
 - b. In subsequent phases of this project, APS will want to consider additional factors such as the spare NG pipeline capacity, the availability of externally generated H₂, the impact of economies of scale, market conditions, and the desires and financial considerations of the project development team.

2.2 Site Conditions

The design will be based on site conditions as presented in Exhibit 2-1.

Exhibit 2-1 Site Ambient Conditions

Parameter	Value
Location	South Western United States (Note A)
Elevation, ft (above MSL)	5,500 (Note B)
Barometric Pressure, psia	12.0 (Note B)
Design Ambient Temperature, Dry Bulb, °F	
Maximum	95
Minimum	-3
Average	60
Design Ambient Wet Bulb Temperature, °F	
Summer Design	65
Design Relative Humidity, %RH	50
Ambient Air Composition, Vol%:	
Nitrogen	77.27
Argon	0.93
Oxygen	20.73
Water	1.04
Carbon Dioxide	0.03
Total	100.00

Notes:

- A. Assumed site is based on ambient conditions and other characteristics of the Four Corners Station, near Fruitland, San Juan County, New Mexico
- B. Elevation and pressure are largely irrelevant if pressurized H₂ and O₂ are supplied over the fence.

Site characteristics are presented in Exhibit 2-2.

Exhibit 2-2 Site Characteristics

Parameter	Value
Cost Basis	Farmington, New Mexico
Topography	Level
Size, acres	200, (i.e., the site is not constrained)
Access	Land-locked, having access by major state highway, 2 miles north of the plant. Nearest railroad hub is located in Gallup, New Mexico, 60 miles from the site.
Ash Disposal	Off Site
Coal Delivery	In 200 tons/load trucks from BHP Navajo Coal Company mine, located about 1 ½ miles distant
Water	Artificial cooling lake with water impounded from the Sun Juan River
Waste Water	Zero Liquid Discharge (i.e., No evaporation pond)

The following design considerations are site-specific, and will not be quantified for this preliminary report of the commercial scale facility. Allowances for normal conditions and

construction will be included in the cost estimates. Typically the consideration of these factors does not have a significant impact on the cost unless the site specific situation is unusual or extreme.

- Flood plain considerations.
- Existing soil/site conditions (except that the use of piles has been assumed).
- Rainfall/snowfall criteria.
- Seismic design.
- Buildings/enclosures.
- Fire protection.
- Local code height requirements.
- Noise regulations – Impact on site and surrounding area.

2.3 Feedstocks

This section documents the coal analysis and composition of the externally supplied hydrogen and oxygen.

2.3.1 Coal

Gas Technology Institute (GTI) performed the coal analysis on the Fruitland coal samples that were supplied by APS. Following are the coal composition and properties as reported by GTI. These values will be the design basis for the process modeling work.

Exhibit 2-3 Fruitland Coal Analysis and Properties ^b

Proximate Analysis		As Received	Dry Basis		
Moisture, %		7.27	---		
Volatile Matter, %		35.00	37.74		
Ash (950°C), %		20.07	21.32		
Fixed Carbon, % (by difference)		37.66	40.94		
Total		100.00	100.00		
Ultimate Analysis		Dry Basis	Fluorine, Chlorine, and Trace Elements (µg/g)		
Ash (750°C), %		21.32	Fluorine	87	
Carbon, %		61.92	Chlorine	99	
Hydrogen, %		4.61	Arsenic	<200	
Nitrogen, %		1.28	Cadmium	<6	
Sulfur, %		0.69	Lead	<200	
Oxygen, % (by difference)		10.18	Mercury	0.055	
Total		100.00			
Sulfur by Type, Wt. %			Fusion Temperature of Ash (ASTM D1857), °F		
				Reducing	Oxidizing
Sulfide <0.020			Initial Deformation (IT) Softening (ST) Hemispherical (HT) Fluid (FT)	>2,700	>2,700
Sulfate 0.026				>2,700	>2,700
Pyritic 0.074				>2,700	>2,700
Organic (by difference) 0.59				>2,700	>2,700
Heating Value		Dry Basis			
Analyzed Gross, (HHV) Btu/lb		10,710			
Calculated Net, (LHV) Btu/lb		10,200			
Major/Minor Oxides in Ash (ASTM D6349) - (Values reported on an ash basis.)					
Element	Wt. %	Oxide	Wt. %	Wt. %, Normalized	
Si	25.56	SiO ₂	54.66	61.25	
Al	12.12	Al ₂ O ₃	22.90	25.66	
Fe	1.79	Fe ₂ O ₃	2.56	2.87	
Mg	0.28	MgO	0.47	0.52	
Ca	1.48	CaO	2.07	2.32	
Ti	0.54	TiO ₂	0.89	1.00	
K	0.51	K ₂ O	0.61	0.68	
P	0.96	P ₂ O ₅	2.20	2.47	
Na	0.85	Na ₂ O	1.15	1.29	
Mn	0.01	MnO ₂	0.02	0.02	
Ba	0.15	BaO	0.17	0.19	
Sr	0.04	SrO	0.04	0.05	
V	<0.10	V ₂ O ₃	<0.15	<0.15	
S	0.61	SO ₃	1.51	1.69	
Total			89.25	100.00	

^b CRS Sample Login No: 061131-001 (received 3/10/06)

The coal feed into the gasifier will be pulverized such that approximately 70% will pass a 200 mesh screen. [3].

2.3.2 Externally Supplied Hydrogen and Oxygen

Hydrogen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at 104°F and 1150 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline. Hydrogen will be free of sulfur, chlorine, potassium, and particulate matter.

High pressure oxygen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at 104°F and 1120 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline. [4]

Low pressure oxygen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at ambient temperature and 50 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline.

Hydrogen and oxygen characteristics are based on an electrolysis process and are presented in Exhibit 2-4.

Exhibit 2-4 Hydrogen & Oxygen Purity

Electrolysis Product	Purity
Hydrogen purity	>99.99%
Oxygen purity	>99.5%

No on-site bulk storage will be assumed within the gasification facility battery limits, as the electrolysis unit will be composed of many parallel units providing high reliability and will have the ability to quickly respond to the instantaneous demand.

2.4 Gasifier Performance and Design

APS has designed, built and tested a bench scale test reactor (BSTR) in order to determine the performance of such a hydrogasification reactor based on the Fruitland coal. The performance and design of a commercial scale hydrogasifier as developed by APS is presented below.

2.4.1 Hydrogasifier Performance

The operating conditions and performance of the hydrogasifier assuming the Fruitland coal feedstock are presented in Exhibit 2-5.

Exhibit 2-5 Hydrogasifier Performance

Specification Parameter	Value	Reference	Notes
Gasifier Operating Temperature	1750°F	[5]	
Gasifier Effluent Pressure	1000 psig	[5]	
H ₂ /Coal (mass ratio)	0.2	[5]	May be too low with recycled CO ₂ . Allow to float according to Methanation requirements.
H ₂ Injection Temperature	ca 1350°F	[5]	Lower if required by available materials
H ₂ / O ₂ burner	Possibly	[5]	Depends on HB requirement.
Carbon Conversion (wt %)	51.84%	[5]	Conversion of coal constituents to syngas
CC into CH ₄	46.30%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into CO	5.24%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into CO ₂	0.00%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into C ₂ H ₆	0.30%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into BTX	0.00%	[5]	
CC into Oil	0.00%	[5]	
Conversion to Syngas (wt %)			Conversion of coal constituents to syngas
S Conversion	81.7%	[5]	
H Conversion	82.4%	[5]	
N Conversion	68.9%	[5]	
O Conversion	99.7%	[5]	
Raw Syngas Composition (mol%)			Result of BSTR & subject to change.
H ₂	0.6628	[5]	
CH ₄	0.2362	[5]	
H ₂ O	0.0631	[5]	
N ₂		[5]	
CO	0.0267	[5]	
CO ₂		[5]	
NH ₃	0.0076	[5]	
H ₂ S	0.0028	[5]	
C ₆ H ₆		[5]	
C ₂ H ₆	0.0008	[5]	
Total Syngas	1.0000	[5]	

The information in Exhibit 2-5 is based on APS assumption of the commercial scale gasifier operating conditions. It is possible that many factors may cause the assumed performance for the

commercial scale gasifier to drift away from those above (in addition to factors typically considered as a part of scale-up process, such as surface area to volume ratio). The factors that may cause the performance to change include the following:

1. Compared to the BSTR results, the commercial scale gasifier will be operated at 1000 psig, instead of the 800 psig utilized in the BSTR performance test.
2. Compared to the BSTR results which utilized a H₂ carrier gas, a CO₂ carrier gas will be used in the commercial scale reactor.
3. Compared to the BSTR arrangement, which utilized electric heaters, the commercial scale reactor utilizes the exothermic heat of reaction from injected O₂ and an increased H₂ flow to preheat the remaining H₂ and to allow the hydrogasifier to achieve the desired operating condition of 1750°F. Approximately 10% of the incoming H₂ is consumed by the O₂ feed.
4. With the relatively low carbon conversion ratio of approximately 50%, the CO₂ produced from the char combustion that ultimately ends up in the methanator, may require an increased hydrogen to coal ratio fed into the hydrogasifier.

To address these factors, WorleyParsons has developed a simplified gasifier model based on the BSTR performance in order to extend the gasifier performance to other conditions. This model is discussed briefly below.

2.4.2 Hydrogasifier Model

In order to capitalize on the actual BSTR testing while also allowing deviations from the BSTR test results as necessitated by changes required by the commercial scale reactor design conditions, a simple gasifier model was constructed by WorleyParsons. Inputs to the simplified model included the as-tested coal and char analyses, and the February 18 2010 test data results provided by APS [6]. Based on these inputs, an empirically-based “approach to equilibrium^c” gasifier model was set up with seven (7) independent gasification reactions. The temperature approaches to each reaction were adjusted to match as closely as possible the measured BSTR results. The simplified model matched the BSTR test results of H₂ and CH₄ to within 1.0% and 0.2% respectively. The predicted carbon partitioning between CO and CO₂ as reflected by the ratio of CO/(CO+CO₂) was 97% for the model and 100% based on the measured data. Unfortunately the lack of a water balance for the test data prevented assessment of the model’s accuracy for the predicted water value. The amount of water would affect the disposition of CO, CO₂, H₂O and H₂ through the water gas shift reaction, and possibly the amount of methane. In

^c The reader should understand that the “approach to equilibrium” modeling is not the same as using an equilibrium model. An equilibrium model assumes that the reactor provides sufficient time for the reactions to achieve equilibrium. The reality of limited residence-time reactors implies that many reactions will not achieve equilibrium as a result of “slow” reaction kinetics. The “approach to equilibrium” method allows for incorporation of this kinetic affect based on test data by determining an empirically-based artificial temperature where the equilibrium composition matches the composition of the actual kinetically limited reaction. The difference between the artificial temperature and the actual temperature is known as the approach to equilibrium ($\Delta T_{\text{equilibrium}}$). This method allows for deviations from the test conditions to be estimated, and as such is more accurate than using an equilibrium model or in using the test data while ignoring the affects of these deviations.

light of the above values, the empirical model was judged to be as good as possible based on test data.

The overall modeling approach utilized for the commercial scale application is summarized below.

1. The gasification reactions are modeled with the “approach to equilibrium” methodology, developed from applicable BSTR results.
2. The overall carbon conversion level is set to approximately 52% per the BSTR results
3. The reactor temperature is set to 1750°F, per direction from APS.
4. The amount of supplied oxygen is varied so that the ASPEN gasifier model achieves an energy balance. (Equivalently the reader can consider ASPEN as varying the O₂ flow to achieve the 1750°F target temperature)
5. The amount of H₂ fed into the gasifier is varied to achieve the proper stoichiometric ratio for the Methanator. (i.e., 3:1 H₂/ CO and 4:1 H₂/ CO₂)

The above modeling approach allows the gasifier performance and effluent composition to reflect the changes in H₂, O₂, N₂ and CO₂ feed rates and pressure from the test conditions.

2.4.3 Hydrogasifier Design

The design of the hydrogasifier for this second phase of the project is under the control of APS, who had been considering both the ARCH and Rockwell Type designs. However, since APS is now terminating the hydrogasification project and had many unanswered design decisions, APS has requested that the gasifier design be based on the Phase I design presented in Reference [7]. A sketch of the Phase I hydrogasifier from that reference is presented in Exhibit 2-6. The project team has allowed the design to evolve from this original vision as the project evolved. For example, although the fundamental design of the gasifier is unchanged from Phase I, the hydrogen heating has been modified and incorporated into the raw gas cooler and gasifier as described in Section 4. It is important that the reactor design, performance and cost are all consistent. Since the bench scale test reactor (BSTR) is essentially a drop tube reactor, the original hydrogasification design is consistent with that simple vision.

Exhibit 2-6 Phase I Hydrogasifier Sketch

Reference:[7], p 38 Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-001-RA

Notes (Phase I):

1. Four water cooled POX H₂ burners.
2. Four micronized coal injectors spaced 90 degrees apart. Coal injectors to be cooled.
3. Gasifier refractory lined inside edge of refractory liner shown.
4. Gasifier volume 900 ft³.
5. Internal converging / diverging zone.

2.5 Product Requirements

2.5.1 Substitute Natural Gas

El Paso Gas provided the following natural gas pipeline specification [8] for gas to be received by them in the Four Corners region. These requirements are shown below in Exhibit 2-7. These requirements are summarized in Exhibit 2-8.

Exhibit 2-7 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specifications

General Specifications. Shipper warrants that all natural gas received by El Paso at any mainline receipt point(s) shall conform to the following specifications and must be, in El Paso's reasonable judgment, otherwise merchantable:	
(a)	Liquids - The gas shall be free of water and hydrocarbons in liquid form at the temperature and pressure at which the gas is received. The gas shall in no event contain water vapor in excess of seven (7) pounds per million standard cubic feet.
(b)	Hydrocarbon Dew Point - The hydrocarbon dew point of the gas received shall not exceed twenty degrees Fahrenheit (20°F) at normal pipeline operating pressures.
(c)	Total Sulfur - The gas shall not contain more than five (5) grains of total sulfur, which includes hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, carbon disulfide, mercaptans, and mono-, di- and poly-sulfides, per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet. The gas shall also meet the following individual specifications for hydrogen sulfide, mercaptan sulfur or organic sulfur:
(i)	Hydrogen Sulfide - The gas shall not contain more than one-quarter (0.25) grain of hydrogen sulfide per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet.
(ii)	Mercaptan Sulfur - The mercaptan sulfur content shall not exceed more than three-quarters (0.75) grain per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet.
(iii)	Organic Sulfur - The organic sulfur content shall not exceed one and one-quarter (1.25) grains per one hundred standard cubic feet, which includes mercaptans, mono-, di- and poly-sulfides, but it does not include hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide or carbon disulfide.
(d)	Oxygen - The oxygen content shall not exceed two-tenths of one percent (0.2%) by volume and every reasonable effort shall be made to keep the gas delivered free of oxygen.
(e)	Carbon Dioxide - The gas shall not have a carbon dioxide content in excess of two percent (2%) by volume, except for gas acceptable under Sections 5.2 and 5.3.
(f)	Diluents - The gas shall not at any time contain in excess of three percent (3%) total diluents (the total combined carbon dioxide, nitrogen, helium, oxygen, and any other diluent compound) by volume
(g)	Dust, Gums and Solid Matter - The gas shall be commercially free of dust, gums and other solid matter.
(h)	Heating Value - The gas shall have a heating value of not less than 967 Btu per cubic foot.
(i)	Temperature - The gas received by El Paso shall be at temperatures not in excess of one hundred twenty degrees Fahrenheit (120°F) nor less than fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F). Any party tendering gas at a temperature standard less than fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F) shall receive a waiver of such standard only if a test has been conducted in accordance with procedures set forth in Section 5.12(b) hereof, and the results from such test demonstrate that the particular segment of the pipeline tested can be safely operated below the fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F) temperature standard.
(j)	Deleterious Substances - The gas shall not contain deleterious substances in concentrations that are hazardous to health, injurious to pipeline facilities or adversely affect merchantability.

The pipelines in northern Arizona are running at 845 - 895 psig maximum.

Exhibit 2-8 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specification Summary

Specification Parameter	Value
Pipeline Pressure	895 psig (maximum)
Pipeline Temperature Requirement	50 -120°F <50°F by waiver
HHV, Btu/scf (with scf @ 60°F, 14.73 psi)	>967
Diluents (CO ₂ , N ₂ , He, O ₂ , + other) %vol.	<3%
CO ₂ , % volume	<2%
O ₂ , %volume	<0.2%
CO	Not specified, (see diluent)
H ₂	<3 mol % [9]
Liquids	None, at receiving T
Water	< 7 lbs/MMSCF
Hydrocarbon Dew Point, °F	< 20°F at pipeline op pressure
Total Sulfur,	5 grains/100 scf
H ₂ S	0.25 grains/ 100 scf
Mercaptan S	0.75 grains/ 100 scf
Organic S (includes mercaptans, mono- di- and poly-sulfides, but excluding H ₂ S, COS, CS ₂)	1.25 grains/ 100 scf

Since the maximum natural gas pipeline pressure can reach 895 psig, the SNG product delivery pressure at the compressor outlet will be assumed to be 960 psia to allow a 50 psi driving force for injection into the NG pipeline.

The existing natural gas pipeline is assumed to be located within 5 miles from the site boundary and is reported to have a spare capacity of 2 billion cubic feet per day.

2.5.2 Sulfur

Sulfur is a commodity, which can be used in a wide range of applications. Most sulfur is converted to sulfuric acid, which can be utilized in industrial processes. However, its primary use is in manufacturing phosphate fertilizers.

A typical set of sulfur product characteristics from the Montana Sulphur and Chemical Company is shown in Exhibit 2-9. [10]

Exhibit 2-9 Typical Sulfur Specification

Parameter	Value
Sulfur Content, wt % min	99.9
Moisture, wt % max	0.5
Reduced Carbon, wt % max	0.05
Ash, wt % max	0.01

Parameter	Value
Acidity, wt % max	0.02
Color / Appearance	Light Yellow
Odor	Sweet to a Mercaptan-Like Odor

2.6 Operational Requirements

The SNG process is expected to be a base-loaded unit. The following operational requirements are specified:

- Turndown to a minimum of 80% will be achievable for an indefinite time without flaring.
- On-stream time: The design on-stream factor shall be 292 days per year, which is consistent with a Target Availability ~80%.
- In order to minimize the use of electricity for electrolysis during the summer peak, the plant will be scheduled for annual maintenance during the month of July.

2.7 Storage Requirements

The coal yard shall be sized for 7 days storage. The coal yard will not be covered.

No bulk oxygen or hydrogen storage will be associated with the SNG plant. The oxygen and hydrogen will be supplied on demand. The electrolysis plant will be comprised of hundreds of units, each with a turn down capability to 20% or less. In addition, electrolysis units are able to ramp nearly instantaneously. A small storage volume will be provided for operational considerations.

2.8 Client Requirements

The following guidance has been provided by APS.

1. No routine flaring will be allowed. Flaring for start-up, shutdown and upset conditions will be allowed. An elevated flare is planned. During normal operation, small flare streams can be combusted in the oxygen blown CFB combustor.
2. As much as possible, only commercial technology should be utilized. Where developmental technology is required, it will be identified in the system descriptions.
3. The site need only be planned for a single SNG facility. That is, accommodations will not be made for a future unit.

2.9 Environmental Requirements

Considering that the hydrogasification project does not include a combustion turbine or directly release any combustion products, traditional air emission limits are not relevant. The one source of combustion in the process is the fluidized bed oxygen-blown combustor that burns the gasification char. However, these combustion products, nearly pure CO₂, are recycled back into the process and ultimately are converted into additional SNG. Therefore emission requirements will be placed on the end user of the SNG, such as a GTCC, and not on the process itself.

Nevertheless, the project will need to obtain the appropriate permits that will cover the following:

- Flares, for controlling air emissions during start-up and upset conditions (as applicable),
- Cooling towers (as applicable),
- Water makeup
- Material handling and storage (unloading/loading, conveyor belts, transfer points, silos, bin vents, etc.) for
 - Coal
 - Ash, and
 - Sulfur
- Wastewater treatment plant plan approval
- Waste disposal site, if ash and/or sulfur are not beneficially reused

These permits/approvals are not unique to the process, but would be typical of any industrial project.

In summary, it is anticipated that there will not be any process air emission values that will influence the plant design. Instead, the natural gas quality specification will form a part of the process design requirements.

Furthermore, the process will convert the produced CO₂ to SNG, such that at least 90% of the carbon in the gasified coal will be contained in the resulting fuel. In addition, as described in the next section, the plant design will utilize a Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) system to eliminate the discharge of wastewater.

3 Hydrogasification Configuration Selection

Several hydrogasification process configurations were considered and evaluated between 2007 and 2010. [7, 11, 12] The hydrogasification process selected by APS as the basis for the current Phase II engineering/cost estimating tasks is the Phase I configuration presented in Exhibit 3-1. [13]

Exhibit 3-1 Phase I Block Flow Diagram of the Hydrogasification SNG Process

Note: This Phase I BFD was selected by APS as the base configuration for the present Phase II evaluation. Changes to this base configuration were implemented during the Phase II conceptual design effort and are documented below.

The configuration presented in Exhibit 3-1 formed the starting point for the present Phase II effort. The ground rules of this effort allowed for the configuration to evolve over the course of the study. The result of the Phase II configuration evolution is presented in Exhibit 4-1. The rest of this section will briefly highlight the changes that were made between the Phase I BFD and the Phase II BFD. A full description of the Phase II process is presented in Section 4.

The major changes incorporated into the Phase II process configuration compared to the Phase I process are listed below.

1. **Methanator Optimization.** In Phase II, the methanation process was optimized by the utilization of two bulk methanation reactors, and a single trim reactor. The first bulk reactor is designed for high temperature catalyst and a recycle loop to limit the thermal rise resulting from the exothermic methanation reactions. Interstage heat exchange was incorporated to maximize methane production while simultaneously producing high quality heat sufficient for the production of superheated high pressure steam. In contrast, the Phase I methanator was an isothermal reactor with integral heat removal that produced only saturated steam.
2. **Removal of the Hydrogen Membrane.** With the optimized methanation process, the excess hydrogen remaining in the SNG product fell below the 3% H₂ specification without requiring the H₂ membrane. Thus the H₂ membrane originally utilized in Phase I was eliminated in Phase II.
3. **Removal of the Pressure Swing Absorber (PSA).** In Phase I, the PSA was utilized to remove methane from the H₂ rich gas, separated by the Hydrogen membrane, prior to being recycled back to the gasifier. Minimization of methane recycled to the gasifier was introduced to maximize production of methane within the gasifier, thus allowing for smaller methanation reactors. In Phase II, the need for the PSA was eliminated along with the elimination of the hydrogen membrane.
4. **Elimination of the Hydrogen Recycle Stream.** With the elimination of the H₂ membrane and PSA, the H₂ recycle and H₂ recycle compressor were eliminated in Phase II.
5. **Elimination of the Methanator Steam Feed.** As a result of the optimization of the methanation process, a high recycle rate was utilized for thermal moderation and humidification, thus eliminating the need for steam injection used in Phase I. This allowed for the steam turbine generator to produce additional power.
6. **Elimination of the Process Condensate Going to the Deaerator.** In Phase I, significant process condensate was collected from the cooled methanation product gas. In Phase I, the condensate was supplied to the steam cycle since large makeup quantities were required to supply the methanation steam feed. In Phase II, the process condensate was divorced from the steam cycle for simplicity and since the steam cycle makeup requirements were greatly reduced upon the elimination of the process steam injection prior to the methanator.
7. **High Temperature H₂ Heater.** In order to minimize the amount of required oxygen and hydrogen, a high temperature (1250°F) H₂ heater was introduced in Phase II within the raw syngas cooler. In Phase I, the H₂ was heated to 550°F via steam condensation.
8. **Relocated Deoxidation Process.** The deoxidation process is utilized to eliminate free oxygen in the CO₂ rich stream before forwarding to other processes that can't tolerate oxygen. In Phase I, the deoxidation stage (formerly identified as hydrogenation) was located before the oxy-combustor's CO₂ product stream was split into two streams for the methanator feed and material transport. In Phase II, the deoxidation process was located after the split, such that only the CO₂ stream going to the methanator is deoxidized. In Phase II, the oxygen bearing CO₂ stream used for pulverized coal feed was not deoxidized as the oxygen level of 5% by volume is judged to be sufficiently low

enough to preclude explosion hazards. This judgment should be confirmed or refined as the project moves forward.

Key Process Changes.

In addition to the major configuration changes mentioned above, various process parameters were also changed in Phase II compared to Phase I. Key process parameter changes are highlighted below.

1. The Phase I, the gasification temperature had been 1600°F. In Phase II, the gasification temperature was increased to 1750°F to eliminate the production of oil byproducts.
2. The Phase I, the carbon conversion to syngas was taken as 70% with 30% remaining in the solid stream leaving the gasifier as char. The Phase II carbon conversion is taken as 52%, based upon the BSTR results.
3. In Phase I, approximately half of the generated CO₂ stream was used to transport the coal and char. In Phase II, only 20% of the CO₂ was utilized in the coal transport, while air is utilized to feed the char. This will minimize the CO₂ release, increase safety, and maximize the methane production.

Although other process changes have been incorporated into the Phase II analysis, they are discussed in the other report sections where appropriate.

4 Engineering Package

4.1 Configuration Overview

Over the course of this hydrogasification project, several hydrogasification process configurations were considered and evaluated. Section 3 presents the base configuration selected by APS for WorleyParsons to evaluate and improve if possible. The hydrogasification process developed during this Phase II engineering/cost estimating tasks is presented in Exhibit 4-1.

Exhibit 4-1 Block Flow Diagram of the Base Hydrogasification SNG Process

Coal, hydrogen, oxygen and a CO₂ carrier gas stream are all fed into the hydrogasification reactor. A minimal amount of oxygen is introduced to help in providing the required gasification temperature of approximately 1750°F. Both the oxygen and hydrogen are preheated to minimize the required oxygen. The oxygen is preheated in an external heater, while the hydrogen is heated within the radiant syngas cooler. The oxygen will be introduced with the hydrogen to partially oxidize the hydrogen, producing a hot hydrogen/steam stream which will come in contact with the coal transported into the gasifier by CO₂. The hot hydrogen will begin the hydrogasification reaction with the coal. Approximately 52% of the coal carbon will gasify with approximately 48% of the coal carbon remaining in the solid stream leaving the gasifier.

The solids leaving the gasifier will be combusted with an O₂ stream in order to generate a nearly pure CO₂ stream. The generated CO₂ stream will be split into 2 streams, with approximately 20% being used to transport the coal and 80% being combined with syngas going to the methanator. The CO₂ stream going to the gasifier will be cooled and compressed and reheated prior to being re-introduced into the gasifier with the coal. The CO₂ stream going to the methanator will be cooled and compressed and mixed with the gas stream leaving the gasifier. Since there will be some excess O₂ left after combustion, hydrogen will be introduced to catalytically combine with the remaining O₂ and SO₂. The mixed stream will be cooled and sent to a CrystaSulf desulfurizer process and then onto a methanation block consisting of a series of heat exchanger and catalytic reactors. The methanator catalyst requires a very low sulfur level to prevent poisoning.

The raw SNG leaving the methanation block will be dried and compressed.

Hot raw fuel gas exits the gasifier at approximately 1750°F/1000 psia. The fuel gas and residual char leaving the Radiant Raw Gas Cooler are cooled to 655°F raising high-pressure steam and preheating hydrogen gas. High pressure steam is also generated in the evaporative surfaces of the Char Combustor and the deoxidizer and Methanator gas coolers. High pressure steam is superheated in the Char Combustor and utilized in the steam turbine generator (STG) to produce electric power.

The lower portion of the BFD focuses on the collection of process water, waste heat, the generation of steam, and the integration of a steam turbine generator for the production of electricity.

4.2 Plant Configuration

Hydrogasification plant configuration and sparing philosophy of the major hydrogasification / SNG process components are presented in Exhibit 4-2.

Exhibit 4-2 Hydrogasification / SNG Process Configuration and Design Redundancy

System	Description	Quantity/Capacity
Gasifier	APS Hydrogasification Reactor	3 x 33%
Raw Syngas Cooler /H ₂ Heater	RSC includes H ₂ heater	3 x 33%
Fuel Feed (per Gasifier)	Dry feed (Stamet Posimetric pump)	9 x 16.7% [i.e. 3 x 50%, per Gasifier]
Coal Preparation (per Plant)	Pulverized Coal Mills (70% through 200 mesh.)	3 x 50%
Hydrogen / Oxygen Generator	Electrolysis Unit (not in cost basis)	NA
Char Combustor	Oxygen blown CFB	1 x 100%
CO ₂ Recycle System	CO ₂ cooling/reheat/compression	1 x 100%
Mercury Removal	Sulfur impregnated carbon bed	1 x 100%
Acid Gas Removal	CrystaSulf, physical solvent	1 x 100%
Sulfur Recovery	Via CrystaSulf Process	1 x 100%
Methanation Unit	Catalytic	1 x 100%
Flare System	Free standing elevated flare	1 x 100%

Since the hydrogasification plant will be co-producing electric power, the sparing philosophy of the Power Island will follow the established Good Engineering Practice (GEP) in the power plant design to achieve high availability / reliability. Except for the prime movers, large electrical equipment, and a few select equipment items, adequate sparing will be provided.

General guidelines on sparing are presented below:

1. Prime Movers (Steam Turbine Generators) : 1 x100%
2. Heat Recovery Steam Generators: 1x100%
3. Step Up and Auxiliary Transformers: 1x100%
4. Cooling Tower: 1 x 100%,
5. Boiler Feed Pumps: 2x100%
6. Condensate Pumps: 2x100%
7. Closed Cooling Water Pumps: 2x100%
8. Circulating Water Pumps: 2x50%
9. Miscellaneous Other Pumps: 2x100%

4.3 Plant Arrangement

The estimated space requirement for the new hydrogasification facility is approximately 70 acres, excluding a buffer zone. The site is designed to be accessible by automobiles and mine trucks. The hydrogasification plant components are arranged in several technological islands

separated by access roads and with adequate space for construction, operations, and maintenance. **Major technological islands** include:

- Coal handling Island - Coal receiving, storage and reclaim systems
- Gasification Island - Hydrogasifiers and Char Combustor
- Process Island - Syngas conditioning, Methanation, CH₄ and CO₂ processing systems
- Power Island - Steam turbine systems, and water storage and treatment systems
- Balance of plant Island - Cooling tower
- Switch yard - High and medium voltage electrical equipment

Buildings and Structures

The following buildings are included in the preliminary design scope.

- Steam turbine building
- Water Treatment building
- Machine shop
- Warehouse
- Coal crusher building
- Runoff water pump house
- Administration and service building
- Circulation Water Pump house
- Waste treatment building (Zero Liquid Discharge)

Pile foundations are provided for the following support structures, and other plant components.

- Coal Storage Bins
- Flare
- Pipe Rack
- Hydrogen Separation
- Crusher Building
- Char Combustor
- Conveyors
- CO₂ Compression
- Mill House
- Hydrogenation Unit
- Oxygen Custody Transfer and Preheaters
- Sour Water Stripper
- Hydrogen Custody Transfer
- Steam Turbine Generator
- Coal Pneumatic Transfer System
- Transformers
- Hydrogasification Section
- SNG Compression and Custody Transfer
- Gasifier Cooling
- Emergency Diesel Generator
- CrystaSulf Sulfur Removal
- Switchyard
- Sulfur Storage
- Cooling Tower
- Methanation Unit
- Ash Silo

Hydrogasification facility general; arrangement is presented in Exhibit 4-3.

Exhibit 4-3 Hydrogasification Facility General Arrangement

4.4 Performance Summary

The Aspen Plus, Version 20.0, software by Aspen Technology, Inc has been utilized to model the operation of the hydrogasification plant.

The summary of computer simulation results for process systems is presented in Exhibit 4-4, and electric power co-generation performance is presented in Exhibit 4-6.

The following engineering diagrams are presented in the appendices of this report:

- Process Flow Diagrams Appendix B
- Heat and Mass Balances Appendix C
- Water Balance diagram Appendix D

Exhibit 4-4 Process Summary

Gasifier	
Coal Flow (AR), TPD	3,235
Coal Flow (Dry), TPD	3,000
Oxygen Flow, TPD	783
Hydrogen Flow, TPD	929
Carbon Conversion, %	52
Char Combustor	
Char flow, TPD	1,584
Oxygen flow, TPD	2,718
Carbon Conversion, %	97
Ash flow, TPD	667
SNG	
SNG flow, MMSCFD (Note A)	119.7
SNG Pressure, psia	910
SNG HHV, Btu/scf (Note A)	967.5
CrystaSulf	
Sulfur Recovery, %	99%
Sulfur Produced, TPD	20.6
Process Condensate^d	
Flow, gpm	726
Methanation	
CO Conversion, %	99.99
CO ₂ Conversion, %	95.66
Deoxidization	
Hydrogen Flow, TPD	14
Temperature, °F	1080

Notes:

A. At 60°F, 14.73 psia

The Product SNG composition is presented Exhibit 4-5

^d The process condensate is largely a result of the water produced during the methanation process, which is ultimately condensed out from the product SNG stream.

**Exhibit 4-5
Product SNG Composition**

Constituent		Value
Hydrogen	H ₂	2.63%
Methane	CH ₄	94.76%
Nitrogen	N ₂	0.87%
Carbon Monoxide	CO	0.00%
Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂	1.75%
Total		100.00%

It is noted that the carbon dioxide level is approximately 1.75% which is below the El Paso gas pipeline specification of <2.0% as listed in Exhibit 2-8, and that the diluent level (N₂+CO₂) totals 2.62%, which is also below the El Paso gas specification of <3.0%. Originally, both of these specifications were violated in the March 2007 report [4]. The implementation of a multi-stage adiabatic methanation process with interstage cooling, in lieu of the isothermal methanation process that had been employed in Phase I, brings all of the SNG parameters into compliance with the SNG specifications. The SNG HHV of 967.5 Btu/SCF is also in compliance with the specification of >967 Btu/SCF.

Exhibit 4-6 Electric Power Co-Generation Performance

STG Gross Power at 20 kV Generator Terminals, kWe	241,390
AUXILIARY LOAD SUMMARY	
13.8 kV Auxiliary Loads, kWe	
CO ₂ Recycle Compressor	12,390
HP FW Pump	3,880
Subtotal Electrically-Driven Auxiliaries @ 13.8 kV, kWe	-16,270
Auxiliary Step-down Transformer 20 kV / 13.8 kV, kWe	-200
4.16 kV Auxiliary Loads, kWe	
Pulverizers	1,220
Stamet Pumps	2,240
Ash Handling	3,740
Air Locking Gas Compressor	1,140
Flue Gas Recycle Fan	220
CrystaSulf	1,960
Methanation Recycle Compressor	2,120
SNG Compressor	540
CW Pump	2,460
Condensate Pump	200
Plant Air Compressor	400
Subtotal Electrically-Driven Auxiliaries @ 4.16 kV, kWe	-16,240
Auxiliary Step-down Transformer 13.8 kV / 4.16 kV, kWe	-120
480 V Auxiliary Loads, kWe	
Coal Handling	2,390
Waste Water Treatment (ZLD)	1,200
Cooling Tower Fans	1,830
Miscellaneous Pumps/Blowers	1,490
Subtotal 480 V loads, kWe (Note A)	-6,910
Auxiliary Step-down transformer 4.16 kV / 480 V losses, kWe	-30
Subtotal Auxiliary Loads @ 20 kV, kWe	-39,770
Net Power Output at 20 kV, kWe	201,620
Main Step-Up Transformer 20 kV / 230 kV losses, kWe	-600
Net Power Output at 230 kV, kWe	201,020

Notes:

- A. All motors smaller than 250 hp are connected at 480 V. These include cooling tower fan motors, coal and ash handling systems and other miscellaneous loads.

4.5 Major System Descriptions

The descriptions of Hydrogasification, Power Islands and Balance of Plant (BOP) systems are provided in this section. Major equipment lists are contained in the Appendix E.

4.5.1 Coal Handling System

The function of the coal handling system is to unload, convey, store, and reclaim the coal delivered to the plant. The scope of the system is from the truck unloading station and coal receiving hoppers up to and including the slide gate valves on the outlet of the coal storage silos.

New coal receiving, storage and reclaim systems will be sized for nominal 3300 tpd of Fruitland subbituminous coal.

Coal Receiving and Storage

The coal will be delivered to the site by 200 tons/load trucks from BHP Navajo Coal Company mine, located about 1½ miles distant. The unloading will be done by trucks dumping the coal into two receiving hoppers, equipped with grizzlies. Coal is withdrawn from each hopper by a single belt feeder. The 3" x 0 coal is discharged from the belt feeder onto a belt conveyor that includes a belt scale and "as-received" sample system. The coal is then conveyed to the storage pile. The storage pile is formed by a linear traveling stacker that builds a 7 day storage pile.

Coal Preparation System

Coal is delivered to the coal preparation system silos from the coal storage system via a reclaim system. The coal is reclaimed from the pile by rotary plows in a concrete tunnel under the pile. Reclaimed coal from the storage pile is conveyed by a reclaim conveyor. The reclaim conveyor includes a belt scale, magnetic separator and an "as-fired" sample system. The reclaim conveyor deposits the coal into a surge bin in the crusher building. A belt feeder withdraws coal from the surge bin and conveys it to the crusher where it is reduced to 1¼" x 0. From the crusher, the coal is deposited onto a conveyor that lifts the coal to the top of the two (2) coal storage bins. A series of diverter gates and conveyors distribute the coal to the desired storage bin.

From the crushed coal silos, coal is fed into coal pulverizers with a heated air stream which dries the coal from the 7.27% as received moisture to 4.0% moisture. The air is heated via a low pressure extraction stream taken from the LP steam turbine. The coal is pulverized in three mills (3 x 50%) to the fineness of 70% passing through a 200 mesh sieve^e. Pulverized coal is carried pneumatically to the pulverized coal silos (two silos per each gasifier, total of six silos per plant). Coal particles are separated from the carrier gas in sleeve filters. Milled coal is stored in silos at atmospheric pressure with inert (CO₂) gas blanket.

Technical Requirements and Design Basis

The technical requirements and design basis for the coal handling system are presented in Exhibit 4-7.

^e ASTM 200 mesh sieve has nominal aperture of 74 microns.

Exhibit 4-7 Coal Handling System Technical Requirements

Parameter	Value	Comment
<u>Coal burn rate</u>		
Coal burn rate at MCR	3,235 tpd = 135 tph	Based on the 100% MCR rating for the plant
Nominal design coal burn rate	3,300 tpd = 137.5 tph	
<u>Coal delivered to the plant</u>		
Trucks per day at MCR	17	Mine trucks; Two 8-hour shifts per day, no trucks received at night. (7 days per week)
Receiving System Load at MCR	202 tph	Truck capacity 200 tons
Receiving System Design Capacity	240 tph	Includes 15% capacity margin
<u>Coal Reclaim/Crushing</u>		
Reclaim/Crushing rate	202 tph	Two 8-hour shifts, 7 days per week
Reclaim/Crushing Design Capacity	240 tph	Includes 15% capacity margin
Crusher Design Capacity	120 tph	2 x 50%
Crushed Coal Storage Capacity	1,620 tons	8 hr night shift plus 4 hrs margin
Time required to refill Crushed coal storage at MCR operation	15 hrs	Task should be completed during two 8-hours shifts
Duration to deplete Crushed coal storage at MCR operation	5 days	With one 50% crusher out of service
<u>Coal Milling</u>		
Milling rate	135 tph	Three 8-hour shifts per day, 7 days per week
Design Milling Rate	150 tph	Based on the 100% MCR rating for the plant
Design Mill Capacity	75 tph	Includes 15% capacity margin
Milled Coal Storage	600 tons	3 x 50%
		Four hours
<u>Storage pile</u>		
Storage size	23,000 tons	Liners, run-off collection, and treatment systems
		7 days at nominal burn rate

4.5.2 Coal Feed System

The coal feed system for each hydrogasifier is comprised of 3 trains, each sized for 50% of coal feed requirement per gasifier unit, or 16.7% of total hydrogasification plant coal feed rate of 3,300 tpd. The coal is drawn from the pulverized coal silo and fed by Stamet posimetric solids pumps to a pneumatic conveyor. Coal is pressurized and fluidized with carbon dioxide gas, and transported to horizontally-opposed feed injectors on the hydrogasification reactor.

There is a potential that the utilization of CO₂ as an inert/transport agent for the milled coal may result in CO₂ reacting with surface moisture in the coal to form a carbonic acid. Presence of carbonic acid may cause relatively high corrosion rates in the milled coal handling and storage equipment. However, the extent of this potential problem is not known at this time and, thus, no

special provisions related to acid corrosion are included in the current equipment design, other than the nominal drying associated with heated air contacting the coal in the pulverization process. The potential for carbonic acid induced corrosion will need to be better defined and addressed during the detailed design phase of the project.

4.5.3 Hydrogasification Reactor

Process flow diagram of the gasification section system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-301 (Appendix B).

The design of the hydrogasification reactor is based on the collaborative ideas of the APS organized team endeavoring to produce SNG from western coal. The root concept of this design is the exploitation of the hydrogen-coal reaction to produce methane (CH_4) induced by the moderately elevated temperatures ($>1,500^\circ\text{F}$). This reaction is typically referred to as hydrogasification. A preliminary concept for a commercial-scale hydrogasification reactor is described below. The proposed operating conditions for this reactor are 1,000 psia and $1,750^\circ\text{F}$. The operating temperature of $1,750^\circ\text{F}$ was chosen to preclude the production of oils as learned during via the BSTR testing program [14]^f.

An illustrative sketch of the hydrogasification concept is shown in Exhibit 4-8. The gasifier is envisioned as a refractory-lined vessel with internal dimensions of about 5 foot diameter and 50 foot in height. The bottom third of the gasifier will be shaped with steel and refractory blocks such that a converging-diverging section is affected to aid in the phase separation of the particulate and syngas. The overall internal dimensions of the gasifier would yield an approximate volume of 900 cubic feet.

Four partial oxidation burners will be located on the extreme top of the vessel. These burners will fire hydrogen in the presence of an oxidant consisting of 99.95 percent oxygen. Approximately 10% of the incoming hydrogen will be burned to provide a high temperature hydrogen-rich gas stream, along with a small amount of water vapor. The burners will be arranged to provide a tangential swirl and with 90 degrees of separation from one another. The burners will be cooled by a circulating water system with external indirect heat exchange to cooling water or other suitable heat sink.

Due to the high reaction rates and laminar flame speeds, it is recommended to start-up the gasifier on CH_4 versus considering H_2 . Even though there may not be any manufacturers available to supply an existing burner which is capable of starting up on CH_4 and loading up to a pressure of 1000 psi conditions on H_2 fuel, it is possible to design one. The burner can be designed to accommodate multiple passages for both CH_4 and H_2 fuels to be burnt simultaneously during the transfers. Also, it is possible to design multiple plenums and orifices in the same burner to operate H_2 at low pressures and high pressure conditions. One common burner for start-up, shutdown and full load operating condition is possibly available from several manufacturers today. However, it may not be up to the pressure of 1000 psi. Gas Turbine manufacturers can operate their H_2 burners at pressures up to ~300 psi.

^f During the BSTR testing program, APS discovered that operation at $1,625^\circ\text{F}$ produced oils, while operation at $1,750^\circ\text{F}$ did not. APS therefore decided to analyze the gasifier performance at $1,750^\circ\text{F}$.

The hydrogen-rich gas stream will be directed downward into the gasifier. Pulverized coal will be injected perpendicularly into the hydrogen-rich gas stream. Four coal injectors will be used. The injectors will be arranged 90 degrees from one another. The injectors may or may not be cooled with an external cooling water loop similar to that of the hydrogen partial oxidation burners.

Hot hydrogen will contact the coal resulting in the production of CH_4 . The reaction is exothermic and will result in a bulk gas temperature increase. Downward motion of the gas and solid particles through the reactor will approximate plug-flow in character with some interphase slip between the suspend coal / char particles and the gas. It is anticipated that 52 percent of the coal carbon can be hydrogasified in this manner. The remaining 48 percent will exit the gasifier as char and primarily consist of carbon and ash with small amounts of hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur present.

Gas and particles will travel the length of the vessel, converging and accelerating, and then diverging and de-accelerating in the bottom third of the reactor. This motion is intended to focus the path of the pulverized particles towards the bottom of the gasifier and into the char hopper system. Gaseous components, such as CH_4 , H_2 , N_2 , H_2S , and HCl , will exit from an outlet nozzle located at the terminus of the diverging zone.

The converging-diverging zone is shown because there is some concern as to the ease with which the pulverized particles will be separated from the gas phase. The concern is that the individual solid and gas phases would be indistinguishable. This will make phase separation very difficult. Other possible separation strategies include internal baffling, and/or the use of ceramic or metallic candle filters. A combination of cyclone and candle filters has been assumed in this design. Entrained particulate removal system is described in Section 4.5.5.

A char hopper system is illustrated at the bottom of the hydrogasifier. The first char lock hopper is designed to cool the char to perhaps 212°F . The second char hopper is for depressurization to a secondary process.

Exhibit 4-8 Commercial Scale Hydrogasifier Concept

Key design features are presented in Exhibit 4-9.

Exhibit 4-9 Hydrogasifier Design Conditions

Design Parameter	Design Value	Notes
Gasifier type	Rockwell type	Single Stage, Entrained Flow, Hydrogasification
Gas flow direction	Down flow	Single pass, no recycle.
Gasifier dimensions D x h	5' dia, x 50' high (900 ft ³)	Dimensions are for 1000 tpd dry coal throughput.
Thermal Protection	Refractory	
Coal Feeding	Coal lock hoppers	Fed by GE/Stamet Posimetric Solids pump
Char Removal	Char lock hoppers	
Location of coal injectors	Top Side	Four injectors, oriented tangentially for swirl.
Location of H ₂ Injectors	Top	Number of injectors -4

Design Parameter	Design Value	Notes
Location of O ₂ injectors	Top	Number of injectors -4
Gas Phase separation	Acceleration De-acceleration	Other design features may be added, such as a cyclone
H ₂ Conditions T, P, flow rate	1250°F 1150 psig 77,433 lb/hr	1250°F based on suitability of materials to service. P= 1000 + injector & heater dPs of 100 + 50 Flow rate as required for methanation
O ₂ Conditions T, P, flow rate	200°F 1120 psig 65,246 lb/hr	P= 1000 + injector dP of 80 + heater dP of 40 = Flow rate, as required to achieve 1750°F gasifier T
Design Coal Flow	3000 TPD	Assumed scaled up size of 1000 TPD per gasifier.
Coal Transport Gas Gas T, P, Flow rate	CO ₂ 750°F 1105 psia 40,644 lb/hr	P=1000 + heater, transport & line dP of 65, 20 & 20 The CO ₂ flow will be based on 350 kg coal/ m ³ carrier gas.

4.5.4 H₂ Production and Handling

The electrolysis unit is the sole source of H₂ for the hydrogasifier. However, per the boundary limit set by APS and NETL, the electrolysis unit is outside of the engineering scope of this study.

4.5.5 Raw Gas Cooling and Entrained Particulate Removal

Process flow diagram of the gasifier cooling system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-301 as well as APSS-1-SK-021-305-302 (Appendix B).

The crude raw gas leaves the hydrogasifier at approximately 1750°F and contains a small quantity of char. Heat is recovered from this syngas in the Radiant Raw Syngas Cooler (RSC) which is comprised of a hydrogen heater bank and a high pressure saturated steam generator.

Near ambient temperature hydrogen enters the RSC and flows through the hydrogen heater banks in a downward direction, countercurrent to the syngas flow. The countercurrent flow will facilitate the heat transfer with minimal heat transfer area, while the upward syngas flow will aid in the disengagement of entrained char. Approximately 80% of the heat exchanged from the raw syngas is absorbed in the hydrogen heater. The remaining 20% is absorbed via the steam generator.

Heating the hydrogen to 1250°F at more than 1000 psia is challenging service. With hot hydrogen at 1250°F and the hot raw syngas at approximately 1750°F, the metal temperatures will be on the order of 1500°F. Finding metals to contain hydrogen with a 1000 psid pressure differential at 1500°F is not feasible. Therefore the heat exchange surface was envisioned as being completely within the pressure containment of the RSC. With this design approach, the differential pressure across the 1500°F heat exchange tubes is constrained to less than 150 psid.

This design requires that the heated hydrogen not leave the gasifier/ RSC pressure boundary. As such the hot hydrogen is transported from the RSC to the gasifier via the internals of the gasifier and the pipe connecting the gasifier and RSC.

The partially cooled raw syngas exiting the RSC passes through a cyclone and a candle filter with the collected solids drained to the collecting hopper. The cleaned gas is piped to the Acid Gas Removal system via several heat exchangers that further recover heat from the gas for the feedwater heating.

Ash is removed from the cyclone and candle filter drains to a collecting hopper from which it passes into the lock hopper pressure letdown system. The char is then fed to the pelletizing system for the oxygen blown char-burning CFB.

4.5.6 Mercury Removal

Mercury removal process for the hydrogasification plant is based on Eastman Chemical's experience which uses carbon beds for mercury removal at its syngas facility in Kingsport, Tennessee [15]. Mercury removal is accomplished by a packed bed of sulfur-impregnated activated carbon. A bed of sulfur-impregnated activated carbon with approximately a 20-second superficial gas residence time would achieve more than 90 percent reduction of mercury in addition to removal some portions of other volatile heavy metals such as arsenic.

The packed carbon bed vessel is located upstream of the AGR unit and at a temperature near 100°F. Allowable gas velocities are limited by considerations of particle entrainment, bed agitation, and pressure drop. The bed density of 30 lb/ft³ is based on the Calgon Carbon Corporation HGR-P sulfur-impregnated pelletized activated carbon [16]. These parameters determined the amount of carbon needed and the size of the vessels.

Eastman Chemicals replaces its bed every 18 to 24 months. For this study a 24 month carbon replacement cycle was assumed. Under these assumptions, the mercury loading in the bed would build up to almost 3.6 wt%. Mercury capacity of sulfur-impregnated carbon can be as high as 20 wt%. [17]. The mercury laden carbon is considered to be a hazardous waste, and the disposal cost estimate reflects this categorization.

4.5.7 Acid Gas Removal and Sulfur Recovery

CrystaSulf process is selected for the Acid Gas Removal (AGR) unit and sulfur recovery. The low sulfur Fruitland coal and the hydrogasifier reactions produce a syngas containing ~1250 ppmv of sulfur compounds, which corresponds to a relatively small amount of sulfur (~20 TPD) to be recovered in the process. CrystaSulf is reported to have an economic advantage over the physical or chemical solvent AGR combined with a Claus Sulfur Recovery Unit (SRU) for sulfur recovery rates up to 20 to 30 TPD [18].

CrystaSulf is a sulfur recovery process that removes hydrogen sulfide and SO₂ from gas streams and converts it into sulfur. The CrystaSulf process utilizes a proprietary non-aqueous solution and operating conditions that promote liquid-phase conversion of H₂S and SO₂ to elemental sulfur. The CrystaSulf is a solution that dissolves elemental sulfur and rejects product water because it is hydrophobic.

4-10.

Typical CrystaSulf Process

the absorber.

plugging free operation.

system and returned to the top of the absorber.

stream from the filter is fed to a solvent recovery for separation, where it rinsed with water. The

recovered CrystaSulf solution, the wash solvent and the water are recycled to their respective processes.

Since the inlet sour syngas does not have the necessary $\text{H}_2\text{S}:\text{SO}_2$ ratio of 2:1, additional SO_2 is introduced to the process, and carried into the absorber with lean CrystaSulf solution. The SO_2 is produced by burning some elemental sulfur. The SO_2 laden gas from the sulfur burner system is added to the CrystaSulf solution through a scrubber column on the sulfur burner exhaust.

4.5.8 Char Combustor

The process flow diagram of the Char Combustor system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-305 (Appendix B). The oxygen blown CFB steam generator system described herein is based on results obtained by the Alstom Power Inc. during their Phase 2 pilot scale testing of an oxygen blown CFB [19].

The solids leaving the gasifier are combusted with an O_2 stream in order to generate a nearly pure CO_2 stream. This is accomplished in an oxygen blown circulating fluidized bed boiler (CFB). The thermal and chemical energy in the char supplies the energy needed to raise 1800 psig/1000°F/1000°F steam for the steam turbine. Approximately 52 percent of carbon in the coal is converted in the hydrogasification reactor. The remaining 48 percent exits the gasifier vessel as a char, and primarily consist of ash and carbon with small amounts of hydrogen, nitrogen, and sulfur. The residual carbon-rich char from the gasifier is cooled in a stripper/cooler, depressurized, pelletized to particle size of approximately 0.25-inch and stored in a containment vessel before being injected into the CFB boiler for final combustion.

The average size of char particles coming out of the gasifier is expected to be ~50 microns or less. The CFB process requires feedstock size of approximately 0.25-inch. Agglomeration of the char to a nominal size of 0.125 to 0.25 of an inch will be accomplished in a pelletizer. Pelletizer binding agent type is not known at this time, and can only be determined by testing. Binding agent properties are desired to be very similar to coal ash to minimize any contaminants that would dilute the CO_2 combustion product.

In the boiler, residual carbon-rich char is reacted with a preheated mixture of oxygen and recirculated flue gas in the Combustor section of the Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) system. The oxygen supply is provided over the fence from an Electrolysis Unit. The products of combustion (comprised of primarily CO_2 and H_2O vapor and unreacted hot solids) leave the combustor, and flow through a cyclone, where most of the hot solids are removed. The hot solids are recirculated to the combustor. Draining hot solids through a water-cooled fluidized bed ash cooler controls solids inventory in the system, while recovering heat from the hot ash. The flue gas leaving the cyclone is cooled in an economizer located in the convection pass of the system. The flue gas leaving the convection pass heat exchanger sections is further cooled in an oxidant heater. The oxygen stream from the Electrolysis Unit is mixed with a small stream of recirculated flue gas and the mixture is preheated in the Preheater. The flue gas leaving the preheater heater is cleaned of fine particulate matter in the Particulate Removal system. Flue gas stream leaving the Char Combustor consists primarily of CO_2 with some water vapor and excess O_2 . Water is subsequently condensed out of the CO_2 stream in cooling, knockout and

compression steps, and prior to CO₂ stream being recycled back to the gasifier or sent to the deoxidation system for O₂ removal.

4.5.9 Ash / Waste Handling System

The oxygen-blown CFB Char Combustor operates at non-slugging conditions. Therefore both the solids produced from the bottom of the char combustor as well as those entrained with the flue gas are classified as “ash” products.

The function of the ash handling system is to provide for conveying, preparing, storing, and disposing of the fly ash and bottom ash produced on a daily basis by the boiler.

The bottom ash and flyash are cooled and depressurized via separate pathways. Both ash streams are combined and transferred to the ash silo. The ash silo is sized for a nominal holdup capacity of 72 hours of full-load operation. At periodic intervals, ash-hauling trucks will transit the unloading station underneath the silo and remove a quantity of ash for disposal.

The scope of the system is from the baghouse hoppers and hydrobins to the truck filling stations. The fly ash collected in the baghouse is conveyed to the fly ash storage silo. A pneumatic transport system using low-pressure air from a blower provides the transport mechanism for the fly ash. Fly ash is discharged through a wet unloader, which conditions the fly ash and conveys it through a telescopic unloading chute into a truck for disposal.

The bottom ash from the boiler is fed into a clinker grinder. The clinker grinder is provided to break up any clinkers that may form. From the clinker grinders, the bottom ash is sluiced to hydrobins for dewatering and offsite removal by truck.

Ash from the economizer hoppers and pyrites (rejected from the coal pulverizers) is conveyed by hydraulic means (water) to the economizer/pyrites transfer tank. This material is then sluiced on a periodic basis to the hydrobins. Exhibit 4-11 presents the technical requirements and design basis for the ash handling system.

**Exhibit 4-11
Ash Handling System Technical Requirements and Design Basis**

Bottom Ash and Fly Ash Rates	
Bottom ash generation rate	16,700 lb/h (8.3 tph)
Fly ash generation rate	38,900 lb/h (19.5 tph)
Bottom Ash	
Clinker grinder capacity	10 tph
Conveying rate to hydrobins	15 tph
Fly Ash	
Collection rate	20 tph
Conveying rate from precipitator and air heaters	50 tph
Silo capacity (72-hour storage)	2,000 tons
Wet unloader capacity	40 tph

4.5.10 Deoxidation, and CO₂ Compression and Handling

Process flow diagram of the Deoxidation and CO₂ Compression and Handling system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-305 and-306 (Appendix B)

CO₂ from the Char Combustor System's cooler and knock out drum is compressed in a multi-stage intercooled CO₂ Recycle Compressor to around 1100 psig before being split into two streams. Approximately 15% of the compressed CO₂ is compressed further prior to being heated in the oxycombustor and ultimately utilized as transport gas in the gasifier coal feed system. The remaining ~85% is sent to the Deoxidation system.

In the Deoxidation system, excess oxygen is removed from the CO₂ stream by injecting hydrogen into the stream and using two stages of catalytic beds. The deoxidation feed is first preheated to approximately 670°F using reactor product or a start-up heater before it is sent to the first reactor stage. Hydrogen is then injected into the stream and the CO₂ + H₂ stream enters the first reactor stage where hydrogen and about 50% of the oxygen react. Only about 50% of the oxygen is allowed to react to limit the exotherm across the bed. The product stream exits at about 1090°F and is cooled by a feed/product heat exchanger. This cooled stream is further reacted with hydrogen in a second reactor stage where the remaining oxygen is reacted to water.

The second stage operates at similar inlet/outlet temperatures and exotherm as the first stage. Products from the second stage are cooled using a cooling train including high pressure steam generation, HP Boiler feedwater economization, and condensate preheat. Following the cooling train at approximately 110°F, condensed water is removed in the CO₂ knock out drum before it is sent to the sour water stripper. CO₂ from the Knock Out Drum is mixed with the gasifier raw syngas prior to the mercury removal process. Ultimately this CO₂ is further cleaned of sulfur in the CrystaSulf unit and sent to the methanator where it is converted to methane.

4.5.11 Methanation Unit

Process flow diagram of the methanation system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-303 and 304 (Appendix B).

Desulfurized syngas from the CrystaSulf system is preheated in two Feed/ Product Exchangers to about 500°F before it enters the Zinc Oxide (ZnO) guard bed to remove any remaining sulfur which would poison the Methanation reactor catalyst. The Methanation system is comprised of two bulk methanation reactors with recycle, followed by a trim reactor. The Methanation process reactions are as follows:

The preheated syngas stream leaving the ZnO bed mixes with a recycle stream that acts to limit the temperature rise across the first reactor by dilution prior to entering the first bulk reactor. The mixed reactor feed enters the first bulk reactor where the hydrogen reacts with the majority of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide to produce methane and water. The first bulk reactor uses a high temperature catalyst that can withstand the exhaust temperature of approximately 1020°F. Heat is removed from the exhaust stream by cooling to approximately 650°F and generating superheated high pressure steam. Approximately 78% of the exhaust is recycled back

to the reactor feed. The recycled stream is cooled in a recycle feed/product heat exchanger followed by water cooling where water is knocked out as a result. The cooled recycle stream is then compressed and reheated to approximately 550°F prior to mixing in with the feed stream as diluent.

The first bulk reactor effluent that is not recycled is sent to the second bulk methanation reactor at approximately 650°F where additional CO and CO₂ are converted to methane. The effluent temperature is around 800°F. Heat is recovered from this stream for additional steam generation and economization, cooling the stream to approximately 500°F. The cooled methanation effluent enters the trim reactor which brings the CO, CO₂ and H₂ to low mole percentage levels of 0.003%, 1.75% and 2.63% (dry basis) respectively. The trim reactor effluent of approximately 580°F is utilized for sweet feed preheating and economization, cooling the stream to approximately 350°F. Additional cooling/condensation is provided by cooling water before the SNG product stream is sent for drying in the Triethylene Glycol (TEG) unit.

Following the methanation cooling system, the process condensate is flashed to about atmospheric pressure. The overheads from the low pressure flash are sent to the Char Combustor while the condensate is sent to waste water treatment.

Water vapor from the TEG Unit is vented. The dried SNG stream is compressed to 910 psia before it is exported as SNG product.

4.5.12 Cogeneration System

Process flow diagram of the electric power cogeneration system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-308 (Appendix B)

Steam Turbine

The steam turbine is designed for a long-term operation at maximum continuous rating (MCR) with throttle control valves 95% open. It is also capable of a short-term 5 percent over pressure/valves wide open (OP/VWO) condition (16 hours).

The steam turbine is tandem compound type, consisting of an HP-IP and two LP (double flow) sections enclosed in three casings, designed for condensing single reheat operation, and equipped with unregulated extractions and four-flow exhaust.

Steam System

The function of the main steam system is to convey main steam from the Char Combustor superheater outlet to the high-pressure turbine stop valve. The function of the reheat system is to convey steam from the HP turbine exhaust to the Char Combustor reheater, and to the turbine reheat stop valves. Main steam system will be operating at 1800 psig/1000°F, and reheat system will be operating at 1000°F.

Feedwater System

The function of the feedwater system is to pump the feedwater stream from the deaerator storage tank to the CFB (char burner) steam drum. Two 100 percent capacity boiler feed pumps are provided. Each pump is provided with inlet and outlet isolation valves, and an outlet check

valve. Minimum flow recirculation to prevent overheating and cavitation of the pumps during start-up and low loads is provided by an automatic recirculation valve and associated piping that discharges back to the deaerator storage tank. Pneumatic flow control valves control the recirculation flow.

The feedwater pumps are supplied with instrumentation to monitor and alarm on low oil pressure, or high bearing temperature. Feedwater pump suction pressure and temperature are also monitored. In addition, the suction of each boiler feed pump is equipped with a start-up strainer.

4.5.13 Circulating Water System

The circulating water system supplies cooling water to the condenser to condense the main turbine exhaust steam. The system also supplies cooling water to the auxiliary cooling system. The heat transferred from the steam to the circulating water in the condenser is removed by a mechanical draft cooling tower.

The system consists of two 50 percent capacity vertical circulating water pumps. The circulating water pumps are vertical wet pit pumps, with a single stage impellor. The piping system is equipped with butterfly isolation valves and all required expansion joints.

The condenser is a single-pass, horizontal type with divided water boxes. There are two separate circulating water circuits in each box. One-half of the condenser can be removed from service for cleaning or plugging tubes. This can be done during normal operation at reduced load.

The condenser is equipped with an air extraction system to evacuate the condenser steam space for removal of noncondensable gases during steam turbine operation and to rapidly reduce the condenser pressure from atmospheric pressure before unit start-up and admission of steam to the condenser.

The auxiliary cooling water system is a closed-loop system. Plate and frame heat exchangers with circulating water as the cooling medium are provided. This system provides cooling water to the methanation, AGR, syngas cooler, air and carbon dioxide compressors, lube oil coolers, turbine generator, feedwater pumps, etc. All pumps, vacuum breakers, air release valves, instruments, controls, etc. are included for a complete operable system.

Cooling Tower

A mechanical draft, fiberglass structure, counter-flow cooling tower is provided for the circulating water heat sink.

The cooling tower is comprised of two linear array of 6 cells, each containing a 200 hp fan that induces airflow through the tower fill. Hot circulating water from the condenser is sprayed on to the spray deck above the fill, and falls down in films over the fill with a counterflow of air rising and cooling the water. Approximately 80% of the heat transfer occurs by evaporation of the water, with the remaining 20% occurring by sensible heat transfer to the air.

The cooled water falls into the cooling tower basin underneath the fill, and is directed to a wet pit pump suction chamber. The circulating water pumps take suction from this pump chamber,

circulating the water to and through the steam condenser and back to the tower spray deck, completing the circuit.

Exhibit 4-12 shows the contribution of different plant systems to the cooling tower duty, which directly drives the cooling tower evaporation rate and blowdown rate.

**Exhibit 4-12
Hydrogasification Plant Cooling Tower Duty**

System	Duty, 10⁶ Btu/h	Contribution
Condenser duty	1239.07	73.6%
Sour Syngas cooler	21.8	1.3%
AGR Crystasulf	16.4	1.0%
Methanator cooling	278.0	16.5%
Flue gas from CFB cooling	43.9	2.6%
CO ₂ Compression duty	48.0	2.8%
Sour Water/Ammonia Stripper,	37.1	2.2%
Total	1684.4	100.0%

4.5.14 Sour Water Treatment

Process flow diagram of sour water treatment system is shown on Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-307 (Appendix B). The sour gas stripper removes NH₃, H₂S, and other impurities from the liquid waste streams of the syngas and flue gas coolers throughout the plant. The sour gas stripper consists of the sour drum that accumulates sour water from the coolers. Sour water from the drum flows to the sour stripper, which consists of a packed column with a steam-heated reboiler. Sour gas is stripped from the liquid and sent to the char-burning CFB unit. The liquid from the Sour Water Stripper is sent to the Ammonia Stripper where the ammonia is removed and the resulting liquid stream is sent to the Waste Water Treatment system.

4.5.15 Water and Waste Water Treatment

Water and waste water treatment is accomplished by the Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) system. The preliminary Water Balance is shown on Drawing APSS-1-DW-021-305-500 (Appendix D).

Plant water supply will provide service water, fire protection system water, potable water, steam cycle demineralized makeup water, and makeup water to the circulating water system (wet cooling tower system basis). Additional makeup water to the circulating water system will come from reuse of treated wastewaters and storm water runoff. Blowdown from the circulating water system will be treated via a zero liquid discharge (ZLD) system to concentrate and remove solids, and recycle treated wastewater back to the circulating water system. The steam cycle makeup will be provided from process condensate of the Methanation system.

A raw water tank and a filtered water/fire water tank will be provided to store water supplied from the water supply source. Depending on the hydrogasification plant location and reliability

of the plant water supply, the two tanks may be combined as one in final design. Filtered water will be provided to the service water system.

Plant water supply will be directed to the cooling tower basin as required to makeup for evaporation losses, dust suppression water use, gasifier/stripper process consumption, and moisture losses with waste solids to landfill. The water balance is based on five cycles of concentration in the circulating water system. Cooling tower blowdown (CTBD) will be used for dust suppression and gasifier/sour stripper process needs. Excess CTBD will be directed to the ZLD system clarifier for chemical conditioning for solids removal and reuse. Clarifier sludge solids will be dewatered via a filter press and be directed to a landfill for disposal. Clarifier effluent will be concentrated in a wastewater RO system. RO concentrate will be sent to a brine concentrator system consisting of an evaporator and a crystallizer. A portion of the salt solids slurry from the crystallizer will be dewatered in a pneumatic pressure filter with the filtrate being returned to the crystallizer. The dewatered salt solids will be directed to a landfill for disposal.

Wastewater RO permeate, evaporator distillate, crystallizer condensate and boiler blowdown will be recycled as makeup to the circulating water system. Plant drains, storm water runoff, coal pile runoff, and gasifier/sour stripper process blowdown will be individually treated and reused as makeup to the circulating water system.

A brine holding tank, concentrate holding tank and sludge holding tank will be provided to allow for start-up and shutdown of the treatment systems. Chemical feed systems will be provided as required for treatment processes. Bulk liquid chemical storage tanks or totes will be provided depending on specific chemical demands. Chemical silo/slurry makeup systems will be provided for bulk solid chemicals.

Spare process and chemical feed pumps will be provided throughout the water and wastewater treatment system. Connections will be provided to allow use of contracted, trailer-mounted demineralizers during the plant start-up.

The wastewater treatment clarification system, RO package, and ZLD brine concentration package are all single 100% capacity units. Emergency 24-hour storage of cooling tower blowdown will be provided either via increased volume built into the cooling tower basin or via an emergency blowdown storage pond to allow for short term maintenance. The brine holding tank and concentrate tank will provide additional maintenance flexibility.

4.5.16 Flare System

Hydrogasification plant will be equipped with a single 100% capacity elevated and fully automated flare system. The purpose of the flare system is venting and disposal of waste gases from the gasifier and syngas cleaning systems any time during operation.

The flare system is comprised of multiple relief valves discharging into a common header, knockout drum for liquids separation, and self-supporting, refractory-lined, carbon steel flare stack. The integrated ignition system complete with multiple propane-fueled pilot burners, and monitoring instrumentation is capable of instantaneous initiation and maintaining of stable burning throughout the period of waste gas flow.

The flare system will be designed to support planned and emergency flaring events. The examples of planned flaring events would be gasifier start-up, shutdown, and ramping. The emergency flaring events could occur as a result of upset operating condition. Waste gas during the planned flaring events would be treated to reduce environmental impact. Flaring of untreated waste gases will be limited to emergency events.

The flare stack location, height and exclusion zone around the stack will be selected based on the allowable radiation exposure limits guidance provided in API RP 521 [20].

4.5.17 Accessory Electric Plant

The accessory electric plant consists of switchgear and control equipment, generator equipment, station service equipment, conduit and cable trays, and wire and cable. It also includes the main power transformer, required foundations, and standby equipment.

4.5.18 Instrumentation and Control

An integrated plant-wide control and monitoring system (DCS) is provided. The DCS is a redundant microprocessor-based, functionally distributed system. The control room houses an array of multiple video monitor and keyboard units. The Monitor/keyboard units are the primary interface between the generating process and operations personnel. The DCS incorporates plant monitoring and control functions for all the major plant equipment. The DCS is designed to provide 99.5 percent availability. The plant equipment and the DCS are designed for automatic response to load changes from minimum load to 100 percent. Start-up and shutdown routines are implemented as supervised manual, with operator selection of modular automation routines available.

5 Construction, Commissioning and Schedule

A preliminary constructability analysis, startup/commissioning process description and a construction/ start-up schedule are presented in the following sections.

5.1 Constructability

This section presents a preliminary constructability analysis of the commercial-scale facility including determination of novel construction processes, definition of construction methods, and identification of construction packages.

The constructability analysis is, by definition, very preliminary, since the project has not identified a specified site and detailed design documentation is not available to provide a more in depth analysis. As such, the following assumptions form the basis of this constructability analysis:

1. All engineering and procurement will be substantially complete prior to the start of major construction activities.
2. The entire site will require pilings and major construction activities cannot be started until all the piling work has been completed.
3. Due to unknown site conditions, we have not taken into account the relocation of existing utilities.
4. The site will have sufficient space to accommodate the following:
 - a. Pre-fabrication areas
 - b. Contractor office and tool trailers
 - c. Material/Equipment storage (Inside and outside storage)
 - d. Worker parking
 - e. Wash and toilet facilities
 - f. Break/Lunch areas
 - g. Access through two (2) separate points to allow for construction activities at two different areas.

The layout of the site is very clean and it can accommodate “novel” construction processes/pre – fabrication. The following are areas that should be considered for pre – fabrication during FEED and final design:

1. Pre-fabrication of electrical duct banks
2. Pre-fabrication of integrated pipes/pipe rack
3. Pre-fabricated MCC room (with pre – installed and pre-wired equipment)

4. Consideration of any filtration/process areas that can be shop assembled and skid mounted: Specifically, the following components should be considered for “shop-fabrication”:
 - a. Hydrogasifier
 - b. Cyclones
 - c. HRSG and heat exchangers (Sectioning and/or modularization should be considered), and
 - d. Conveyors

At this stage of the constructability analysis, it is estimated that the pre-fabrication of the above listed items could save 1- 3 months of construction time.

Without taking into account any specific pre-fabrication activities, construction will be conventional. It is expected that concrete pilings with pile caps that will bear the loads for the equipment will be utilized as the civil design. Equipment slabs with spread footings may be utilized to support major equipment. A pedestal type foundation will be utilized to support the STG. Buildings will be constructed on slab on finish grade.

Special precautions will be necessary during concrete pouring operations, for major structural foundations (i.e. – steam turbine generator, steam generators, and the char combustor), during high ambient temperatures. Concrete pours above 80°F will require special temperature control measures.

It is envisioned at this time that one large crane (up to 1,000 tons) may be needed for the major portions of the project and that 2-3 cranes (up to 250 tons) may be needed for selected portions of the project.

The bottom of the pipe rack should be kept at least 25 ft above ground level to allow for the access of small cranes and other maintenance equipment. The general arrangement presented in Exhibit 4-3 is based on road access from both the south and north side of the plant for crane and other maintenance equipment. If the specifics of the selected site do not facilitate this level of access, then the location of the pipe racks should be reconsidered for the possible relocation to the southern side of the present arrangement or what ever is dictated by the specifics of that site.

Safety management will be critical for this project, due to worker/site exposure to heavy lifts, gases, and possible high ambient temperatures. These factors need to be taken into account on the specific Construction Management plan for the site. The possibility of siltation and run-off and spills need to be addressed during the project Construction Management plan. Noise pollution and required mitigating measures, especially during construction and start – up, need to be addressed during the project Construction Management plan.

The following are the construction packages that are envisioned at this time (all packages are “lump sum, firm price contract packages”):

1. Site clearing and grubbing (Includes site preparation and excavation for the retaining ponds, and installation of silt fences and other construction environmental protection devices.)

2. Pile installation and major foundations/slabs (Includes “civil” construction of retaining pond – less liners)
3. Mechanical (Includes equipment, piping, pipe racks, etc. Includes structural steel for CFB and process equipment)
4. Electrical (Includes high voltage and low voltage – below grade and above grade. Includes structural supports for cable tray and aerial conduit runs)
5. Switch yard (T&D) (Includes towers/poles (if any), and structural components for distribution lines and main transformer)
6. Buildings and roads (Could be grouped together with other civil activities. Includes structural steel for buildings and architectural finishes)
7. Landscaping
8. Painting and insulation (Could be grouped together with other activities)
9. Cooling tower
10. Liners for retaining ponds

An EPCM contract should be strongly considered for this project to maximize the savings on major procurements, to improve on the expected construction schedule time frame, and to have a highly qualified staff on site to ensure that the project goals are met. Key components that will provide benefits to the client via an EPCM contract are as follows:

1. **Engineering:** On going constructability review of the project as the design progresses. This will maximize opportunities for pre-fabrication and mitigate coordination problems between the disciplines when the construction phase of the project starts.
2. **Procurement:** On time coordination of engineering – procurement – construction, to ensure that the required equipment/materials are on site when needed.
3. **Construction Management:** Will have a highly skilled staff on site to manage the project and to ensure that all project goals (safety, health, environment, cost and schedule) are met.

Above all, having complete engineering packages for the procurement and for the construction bidding process will reduce change orders and provide more accurate construction pricing. Construction management available early on this process will assist the prospective contractors in preparing a more responsive bid for the project.

5.2 Initial Start-Up and Commissioning

The Initial Start-up and Commissioning of an involved process takes careful planning to ensure the execution of the project has a minimal number of unforeseen challenges. The creation of policies and procedures that control and direct the initial start-up and commissioning process are paramount to this effort. The overall philosophy of the control of start-up and commissioning should have two facets: Administrative Control and Technical Control. These two facets are defined in the Start-up Administrative Manual. This document controls all aspects of start-up

from organization to technical testing documentation. Each section will be described below in greater detail. A discussion will also be made of a probable start-up sequence including possible start-up team members, site mobilization, commissioning and start-up, requirements of owner's operators, training, and performance testing.

5.2.1 Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Process

The process used for the initial start-up and commissioning of a project can be divided into three phases: 1) Construction Testing, 2) Functional Testing, and 3) Operational/ Performance Testing. The requirements of these phases are decided upon between the Owner and the Contractor during the initial negotiations of the contract. These requirements can be tailored to meet the needs of the Owner. Even though the details may change certain milestones for the project are met during these phases.

5.2.1.1 Construction Testing

Construction Testing involves the testing of equipment prior to, during, and/or after installation. Many tests are conducted on equipment to determine if it is the condition to perform the task for which it was procured. Electric motors are checked for proper insulation resistance and proper direction of rotation, pumps and motors are properly aligned to prevent damage and ensure efficient operation. These tests would primarily be performed by the construction trades and the results documented. The documentation from these tests would then be used to support the turn over of systems from the Construction Contractor to the Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Contractor. Once construction is complete and all turnover documentation has been reviewed the Construction Contractor and the Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Contractor would conduct a joint walk down of the system to ensure the system is complete and there are no outstanding issues such as missing insulation, wrong type of valve, etc. Any discrepancies would be recorded on a punch list to ensure the items are eventually completed. If there are no major problems then the care, custody and control of the system would pass from the Construction Contractor to the Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Contractor. Once the care, custody, and control of the system changes, then the initial start-up and commissioning for that system would progress to the next phase, Functional Testing.

5.2.1.2 Functional Testing

Functional Testing, as the name implies, deals with testing the different functions of the system to ensure they operate properly. This type of testing may require other systems to be operational in order for the testing to be conducted. The first thing to be performed upon systems during this phase of testing is a flush of the piping to remove any contaminants from the system left over from construction. Then if a hydrostatic test is required of the system that test would be performed. The functional items tested are operation of alarms, trips and interlocks. These tests would include any local controls as well as remote locations such as the control room. The Distributed Control System (DCS) logic would be included in this testing for the purpose of finding any errors in the control logic. Other tests to ensure pumps, fans, and control valves are working properly would be conducted also. The purpose of this testing is to ensure the system is ready and able to be operated in the automatic mode. Once a system has been verified to be functional by the completion of the functional test procedure all data from the tests would be

recorded and compiled within the system turnover book along with all of the data from the construction testing. The Owner and the Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Contractor would then perform a joint walk down of the system to ensure there are no outstanding issues and the punch list for that system is completed. If the Owner agrees then care, custody, and control would pass from the Contractor to the Owner for that system.

5.2.1.3 Operational / Performance Testing

Once all functional testing is complete operational and performance testing can take place. These tests vary widely and are decided upon by the Owner depending on what requirements the Owner desires. For instance, if the project is an entire power plant the Owner might require testing to ensure plant performance falls into specifications for heat rate and electric power generation. The testing required for this project would entail ensuring the gasifier, char combustor, syngas cleanup, methanator and steam turbine are all operating within parameters determined during the detailed design phase of the project.

5.2.2 Initial Start-Up and Commissioning Personnel

The start-up staff would most likely consist of a start-up manager, assistant start-up manager, and a turnover coordinator for the overall management of the initial start-up and commissioning of the project. The number of required personnel for the Initial Start-up and Commissioning of the project would depend on the schedule for completion of the systems. The construction of major systems should be structured around staggered completion dates allowing for the minimum number of additional personnel. This will require forethought and planning on the part of the Engineer, Construction Contractor, and the Start-up group. A goal of three (3) Mechanical Commissioning Leads, three (3) Electrical Leads, and three (3) Instrumentation & Control Leads for a total of nine (9) additional personnel supported by trades utilized from construction should be utilized. It is premature to speculate about personnel levels beyond this at this stage of development. The start-up schedule for a facility on this scale would require heavy planning and close coordination of all entities involved. The start-up schedule would dovetail into the construction schedule and the Start-up Manager and the construction manager would work together closely throughout the project. They would tackle any challenges to the schedule together. The construction craft labor would perform many of the initial checks required of the equipment such as motor checks, and pump inspections. These items will be documented and the documentation will be collected into a system turnover book. As the name implies, each system will have its own book and the organization of the book is governed by the system turnover procedure listed above. This documentation along with completed functional test procedures will be turned over to the Owner to prove the system is complete and functioning in accordance with contractual requirements. The Turnover Coordinator would be responsible for ensuring the turnover books were being completed in accordance with the procedure as well as coordinating walk downs of systems.

5.2.3 Start-Up Manual

A start-up manual can take different forms from one project to another. It is important to note however, regardless of its form, the manual will contain certain things to ensure that the plant is commissioned to the industry standard and to minimize warranty claims and rework. The proper

administration of the initial start-up and commissioning process can determine the success or failure of the entire project. The administration encompasses the management and control of everything from the schedule, to the punch list, to the interface between start-up and other organizations. The basis of the control of these different items is the Start-up Administrative Manual. This manual contains the policies and procedures necessary to control how the start-up is conducted. Below is an example table of contents from a Start-up Administrative Manual with a brief description of each section.

WP-01 Introduction to the Start-up Administrative Manual

This procedure introduces and outlines the purposes of the manual. It also defines abbreviations and terms and contains a brief description of each procedure.

WP-02 Start-up and Commissioning Organization

This procedure describes the Start-up and Commissioning organization and its various components; it contains an organizational chart and describes the relationships between Start-up and interfacing organizations.

WP-03 Initial Start-up Program

This procedure describes the activities to be performed as part of the Initial Start-up Program.

WP-04 Resolution of Engineering Problems

This procedure describes methods to be used by Start-up to report engineering problems and request or document engineering changes.

WP-05 Construction/Start-up and Commissioning Interface

This procedure defines activities to be performed by Start-up and Construction during the Start-up phases.

WP-07 Start-up Turnback to Construction Procedure

This procedure describes the method to be used to return a system or portion of a system to Construction for correction of a significant deficiency or change to a system.

WP-08 System Turnover, Release Procedure

This procedure outlines the preparation and utilization of turnover packages and the steps to be followed in the transfer of control of systems from Construction to Start-up and then to the Owner. It also describes the establishment and maintenance of System Turnover Punch Lists.

WP-09 Borrowed Material Report

This procedure outlines the steps to be taken when it becomes necessary to replace defective material with material borrowed from the on-site supplies of Operations or Construction. It also describes how replacement or repaired material is to be used to replace the borrowed material.

WP-10 Vendor Representatives

This procedure describes the methods to be used in monitoring and documenting vendor service requested by Start-up and outlines the preparation required to make the most efficient use of vendor time.

WP-11 Start-up Purchasing

This procedure describes the manner in which Start-up is to go about purchasing necessary test equipment, vendor service, repair service spare parts or replacement parts required during the start-up phase.

WP-12 Initial Start-up Schedule

This procedure consists of a graphical representation of Start-up activities versus time. Activities will be broken down by Start-up System and Key items such as system turnover dates and duration of activities will be included.

WP-13 Checkout and Commissioning - Electrical Equipment and Systems

This procedure defines how electrical equipment and systems are to be tested and placed in service during the operational phase of the project.

WP-14 Checkout and Commissioning - Instrumentation and Controls

This procedure defines how instrumentation and control systems and components are to be calibrated, tested and made operational during the start-up phase of the project.

WP-15 Checkout and Commissioning - Mechanical Equipment and Systems

This procedure defines how mechanical equipment and systems are to be tested and placed in service during the operational phase of the project.

WP-16 Construction/Start-up-Commissioning Activity Interface

This procedure presents the activity interface responsibility diagram for system completion and testing and includes a description of its use and purpose.

WP-17 Start-up-Commissioning Progress Reporting

This procedure establishes methods used for monitoring and reporting Start-up-Commissioning progress and establishes the frequency for updating and issuing reports.

WP-18 Project Punch List

This procedure defines the mechanism used for identifying and monitoring open work items on systems/components turned over to Start-up-Commissioning.

WP-19 Test Equipment Control

This procedure establishes the requirements for control and maintenance of Start-up-Commissioning test equipment that is to be used during the Start-up-Commissioning Program.

WP-20 Temporary Modification Control

This procedure establishes the requirements for authorizing temporary modifications, for identifying temporary modifications, and for restoring temporary modifications after system/component turnover.

WP-21 Equipment Maintenance Program

This procedure establishes the methods for interfacing with the Owner's Operation & Maintenance group to ensure that equipment is properly maintained following turnover to Start-up-Commissioning.

WP-22 Turnover Tracking System

This procedure establishes the process for tracking system turnover packages from the scheduled turnover date to Start-up-Commissioning through completion of the testing and commissioning.

These procedures provide for the control and management of initial start-up and commissioning activities from mobilization of the site to the end of performance testing and complete operational turn-over to the Owner. The technical procedures for mechanical, electrical, and instrumentation & control check and commissioning are generic in nature and the specific procedure for each system would be developed separately. For example, the mechanical section contains the generic procedure for aligning a pump and its prime mover. As part of the commissioning procedure for each system a list of pumps would be generated for that system that needed to be aligned.

5.3 Schedule

This section presents a preliminary level 1 schedule for the commercial-scale facility design, construction and start up in Exhibit 5-2. This schedule is based upon the duration of major engineering, procurement, construction and start-up activities.

The total project is expected to take approximately 5 ¼ years from the engineering notice to proceed (NTP) to commercial operation. Early permitting activities are expected to precede the NTP by approximately 6 months. Preliminary engineering and the development of bid packages for major equipment is forecast to take approximately 1 year. The critical path bid to award process is forecast to take approximately four months.

The overall procurement phase of the project includes equipment design, fabrication, and delivery and is estimated to span 2 ¾ years, with the gasification reactor being on the critical path. A chart of procurement lead times for common power plant equipment is presented in Exhibit 5-1, and provides the procurement time utilized in the overall project schedule. The schedule presented herein is consistent with the lead times experienced in 2010. Of course, market conditions and these lead times are subject to change and must be evaluated as the project implementation draws near.

Exhibit 5-1 Recent Procurement Lead Times (as of Dec 2010)

Project construction is forecast to span approximately 26 months. This construction schedule is based on a single shift 50-hour construction week, with night shifts envisioned for major welding activities. The schedule accounts for some minor pre-fabrication of components. Due to the generic nature of the site, it does not account for relocation of existing utilities. An assumption has been made that the entire site will require piling and that major construction cannot start until all piling work has been completed in that area. The initiation of the pile driving could be delayed somewhat from what is indicated in the developed schedule, thus shortening the total construction duration, without extending the completion schedule. Nevertheless, the piling is assumed to be started reasonable early to provide a buffer for surprises. Since the piles are not on the critical path, this assumption has no impact on the overall project duration. The construction phase concludes with demobilization, site clean up, and paving. This activity will be initiated after the major work features are installed to prevent damage to the new roads.

Start-up activities are forecast to last about 10 months, and are scheduled begin about 6 months before the completion of the gasifier itself. This will allow functional testing to be performed on systems completed prior to the availability of the syngas.

Again, these assumptions join together to forecast a total project duration of approximately 5 ¼ years from engineering notice to proceed to commercial operation. A major driver in the overall project schedule is the estimated 33 month procurement cycle for award to delivery of the gasification reactor.

Historically, a 7" thick clad wall vessel, like the APS gasifier^g, would be ring forged as plate rolling has only been economic for 6" thick walls or less. Several years ago, with the heat up of the heavy wall equipment market, several vendors in the far east (e.g., Japan) have extended their capability of rolling plate up to a maximum of 8". The turnkey procurement^h cycle for forged ring manufacturing is approximately 40 to 42 months ExWⁱ. The turnkey procurement cycle for rolled plate manufacturing is forecast to be approximately 30 to 32 months ExW. With transportation from the far east, we have forecast 33 months for the gasification reactor for award to delivery based on a rolled plate manufacturing process. Should the reactor design be changed to more than 8" thickness, this would add an additional 10 months onto the total project duration.

^g The precise gasifier wall thickness will not be determined until it is designed and certified according to the appropriate ASME pressure vessel code. The wall thickness is presumed to be approximately 5 to 7". Until the final design, it will be presumed to be 7" for the scheduling considerations.

^h In this context, "turnkey procurement" includes engineering and review.

ⁱ "ExW" is an Incoterms abbreviation for Ex works. Delivery is excluded.

Exhibit 5-2 Preliminary Project Schedule

when experience counts

6 Start-up Sequence and Operating Procedures

Section 6 provides an introduction to two operational issues: The development of a Plant Start-up Sequence and a preliminary outline for the Plant Operating Procedures. Section 6.1 presents information on a plant start-up sequence and discusses the cold start-up of the entire SNG plant including the Hydrogasifier Island, Char Combustor Island, Power Island, and the Syngas Processing Island. The start-up sequence will provide insight to the interrelationships between the diverse systems comprising the plant.

Section 6.2 discusses a proposed organization for the Plant Operating Procedures manual. It provides a basic table of contents for the procedures for the safe and efficient operation of the plant. This section also presents the envisioned structure of these procedures and the reasoning underlying the structure.

6.1 Start-up Sequence

The start-up procedure that follows represents an initial birds-eye view procedure that is consistent with the preliminary conceptual design status of the process itself. The start-up sequence will need to be reviewed and revised as the conceptual design proceeds towards its final design. The many complex systems that form the overall process have many inter dependences that will require detailed design engineering before all the relationships can be finalized and properly understood. Nevertheless, a general start-up sequence is presented here that highlights the interrelationships and sequencing of the major systems.

6.1.1 System Interrelationships

It is important to understand the basic interrelationships between the major systems prior to discussing the overall start-up sequence. As such, the reader should reference the preceding system descriptions and the simplified block diagram found in Exhibit 4-1.

The generation of the SNG product is an involved process that is the result of the coordinated effort of many individual systems. All of these systems are interdependent upon one another. If one is not operating, then the others cannot operate or operate at a reduced efficiency. It is important to grasp the significance of this fact when discussing operations, especially discussing a start-up sequence. Knowing which system needs to be online prior to another system is the foundation upon which the start-up procedure is based.

Based upon the understanding of the system interrelationships and requirements, an overall cold start start-up sequence presented in Exhibit 6-1 was developed.

Exhibit 6-1 Start-up Sequence

Shift	-1	Day 1			Day 2			Day 3			Day 4			13
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Auxiliary Boiler														
Feed Water		Start to protect Gasifier												
Gasifier		NG/Air Heat Up							Switch to H ₂ and O ₂ . Begin Pressurization	H/U Complete. Introduce Coal				Gasifier at 100%
CFB Plant						Start on NG/Air		Start on Coal/Air	Introduce O ₂ & Recycle CO ₂	Switch to Char				CFB at 100%
Electrolysis														
Crystalsulf									Startup	Operate on sour gas				
Methanator														
Deoxidation														
Steam Turbine														
Legend Start-up System and/or Heat-up Pressurization and/or Fuel Switching Ramp up to 100% Operating at 100%														

6.1.2 Start-up Sequence

The following start-up sequence is based upon a cold start and assumes that all essential services will be available. These services include water, steam, electric power, natural gas, hydrogen and oxygen gases, instrument air and plant service air.

Gasification Island – Preheat Gasifier

The sequence begins with a purge of the gasifier and the start-up of the feed water system. The gasifier is purged to ensure that there is not a buildup of combustible or explosive gases in the vessel prior to lighting off the start-up burners. The feed water system is started to ensure heat removal from the radiant raw gas cooler during the hydrogasifier vessel heat-up and to keep the start-up burners cool. The gasifier heat-up will be accomplished using natural gas as a start-up fuel at atmospheric pressure. Combustion gases will leave the gasifier via the raw gas outlet and will be vented via the flare or a start-up stack. The heat-up will require approximately 8 eight-hour shifts, or 64 hours (~2.5days). In lieu of natural gas, the heat-up could also be accomplished via the hydrogen and oxygen gases which are available on site. However, natural gas is more economical and is also readily available on site. In addition, there are many technical challenges to overcome in order to use hydrogen as the start-up fuel. For reference, these challenges are because hydrogen has the following characteristics:

- High reaction rates,
- Highest laminar flame speed of any fuel, due to its reactivity,
- Risk of overheating due to its high laminar flame speed,
- High flame pressure fluctuations, and
- High stoichiometric combustor flame temperature.

Additional considerations for the hydrogen combustion are presented in Section 4.5.3

Heating up of the gasifier with natural gas will require a burner designed for natural gas and an accompanying air handling system^j. It is envisioned that the gasifier hydrogen/oxygen burner can be designed to handle natural gas/air combustion for start-up. This may also serve to simplify the design of the gasifier by minimizing the penetrations into the gasifier and number of burners requiring water cooling.

After approximately 7 shifts, or 56 hours of heating, the gasifier will be nearing its desired operating condition and will be nearing its ability to accept and gasify coal. Before the gasifier can accept coal, the syngas cleanup system should be ready to receive the coal syngas, and the gasifier should be brought to pressure. So during the 8th shift, the gasifier start-up burners will be transitioned from natural gas and air, to hydrogen and oxygen, through the gradual introduction of hydrogen and oxygen and via the gradual reduction of natural gas and air. The pressurization of the hydrogasifier vessel will begin by throttling start-up flow control valves in the exhaust gas lines.

At this same time, during the 8th shift, the syngas processing system will be started up so that the gasifier will be able to accept coal and have the syngas cleaned up in the 9th shift. The start-up of these systems is described separately. The introduction of coal will require CO₂ for coal transport. The Char combustor will be capable of producing CO₂ at the beginning of the 9th shift.

^j Although O₂ is available for combustion, it is being supplied by the electrolysis unit, so since natural gas is being used instead of H₂ gas, no O₂ will be available from the electrolysis unit. Thus natural gas / air blown startup heating is envisioned. This startup decision can be re-evaluated in the next phase.

Approximately 8 hours later, or during the 9th shift since the beginning of the start-up, the hydrogasifier will begin to operate on coal as well as hydrogen and oxygen and start ramping up to 100% operation. Once the hydrogasifier is operating on coal, the Char Combustor will begin switching from a fuel source of coal and char to 100% char. The ratio of char to coal will change in direct proportion to the operational level of the hydrogasifier.

CFB Char Combustor Island

Once the gasifier heat-up has reached the 4th shift, or 32nd hour, the Char Combustor should commence start-up to ensure that sufficient steam and carbon dioxide are available when they are required. The Char Combustor requires approximately 4 shifts or 32 hours to complete its heat-up. Prior to the start up of the CFB, the start-up of the auxiliary boiler is required in order to provide steam for deaeration and start-up. This auxiliary boiler can be started up in several hours. Steam of the auxiliary boiler will be sparged in the deaerator and steam turbine condenser to decrease oxygen level in the condensate and feed water.

The CFB is purged to ensure that there is not a buildup of combustible or explosive gasses in the vessel prior to lighting off the start-up burners. The feedwater system is started to ensure heat removal from the CFB heat exchange surfaces during the furnace heat-up. The CFB heat-up will be accomplished using natural gas as a start-up fuel. Combustion gases will be evacuated from the CFB by the Flue Gas Recycle fan, which during the start up will be diverted to discharge in the start-up stack. The purpose of the CFB heat up is to dry out and heat up refractory in the boiler, and increase temperature of inert material in the furnace bed to an ignition temperature of a start-up coal. Once the desired temperature of the inert material is reached, the bed will be fluidized using a start-up fan, and a start-up coal will be introduced. The firing rate of the natural gas start-up burners will be gradually reduced as coal feed and air flow rates are increased. When normal operating temperature of bed inert material is reached, natural gas burners will be turned off, air velocity will be gradually increased, and a circulating movement of solids in the boiler will be established. At this point the CFB is expected to operate at approximately 30% of its rated capacity. Steam produced by the CFB will be dumped into the steam turbine condenser via steam bypass system.

The CFB transition from air blowing to oxygen blowing will be accomplished by gradually increasing flue gas recirculation into the furnace while injecting oxygen into the flue gas stream, and proportionally reducing start up air fan flow rate. Once complete transition to the oxygen blowing is accomplished, and the CFB is shifted to recycle operation, the system will start providing carbon dioxide for the coal feeding system of the hydrogasifier. During this time char will be gradually introduced in the coal feed stream of the CFB. Once CFB operation is transferred to 100% char combustion, the CFB will be ramped up to its full rated capacity.

Syngas Cleanup and Processing Island

After approximately 7 shifts, or 56 hours, many actions will be required beginning with the start-up of major supporting equipment including the CrystaSulf, the methanator, and the deoxidizer. These systems are needed to treat the syngas produced by the hydrogasifier. As such, these system need to be operational prior to the addition of coal to the gasifier, unless the air permit would allow operation of the flare during this stage of the start-up. Here we are assuming that

the plant will be designed to minimize flaring. At the beginning of the 9th shift, the CrystaSulf, methanator, and deoxidizer systems will all be ready to receive the sour gas from the gasifier. The flare may be used during the 9th shift should the gas cleanup system not be fully able to handle any of the gaseous streams that do not meet process or pipeline specifications.

These syngas cleanup systems are relatively straightforward and easy to start-up. The CrystaSulf is a low temperature system. Start-up heaters will be incorporated on the methanator and deoxidizer. All systems will be purged and heated as appropriate prior to start-up.

Upon generation of SNG that is capable of introduction into the NG pipeline, the SNG boost compressor may also need to be started depending upon the pressure requirement of the natural gas pipeline which can vary during the year.

Steam Turbine Generator Island – Start-up

The steam turbine is used to produce electricity from steam that is produced from heat generated in other parts of the process. As such, the turbine will be brought up to speed based on the availability of steam. The steam system receives steam input from the Char Combustor, the radiant raw gas cooler, the methanator, and the hydrogenator. In order for the steam turbine to operate at 100% power all of the systems supplying steam must be operating at that level also. Therefore, the steam turbine ramp up will be directly proportional to the ramp up of the hydrogasifier. The ramp up to full operational capacity will require the monitoring of many different indications, understanding how all of the systems will react to changes in other systems.

6.2 Operating Procedure Manuals

This section presents an overview of the Operating Procedures and an example table of contents for the same.

6.2.1 Operating Procedures Overview

The operating procedures listed in the following section are generic in nature and are based upon the engineering package developed for this report. The actual number of procedures needed and their specific content will need to be revisited after final design engineering is complete for the commercial-scale facility. The number of procedures would most likely increase once all of the engineering is completed.

There are several important factors that must be considered in the development of effective operating procedures.

1. First, the procedure author(s) must completely understand the process, and all the inputs and outputs of that process. The many different complex systems that make up the facility will be interrelated in many different ways. In order to create effective procedures, the affect of these systems upon each other must be understood. This will allow operation actions to keep the process operating at optimum efficiency.
2. Second, the procedures must reflect the control philosophy developed for the control system and alert the operator to the major actions that the control system will automatically undertake. This will ensure that the control system is operating properly and that the operators understand what is happening in the process at all times.

3. Last, the procedures must be concise and easy for a trained operator to understand.

In short, the development of final procedures for the process should wait until the engineering is complete and the entire control scheme is envisioned.

6.2.2 Example Table of Contents

During the final design phase, the plant operating procedures manual will need to be developed. A possible outline of this document is presented below. First, is the procedures manual for the operation of the integrated plant. Next are procedures for the major plant systems.

I. Integrated Plant Procedures

1. Integrated Start-up Procedure
2. Integrated Shutdown Procedure

II. Hydrogasifier Island Operating Procedures

1. Start-up Procedure
2. Burner Fuel Switching and Gasifier Pressurization Procedure
3. Gasifier Ramp to Normal Operation
4. Normal Shutdown Procedure
5. Emergency Shutdown Procedure

III. Hydrogasifier Island and CFB Island Auxiliaries Operating Procedures

1. Start-up Fuel Gas System
2. Air Handling System
3. Coal Handling System
4. Hydrogen and Oxygen Systems
5. Char Handling System
6. Radiant Raw Gas Cooler System
7. Sour Water System
8. Sour Gas System
9. Flare System
10. Carbon Dioxide System

IV. SynGas Island Operating Procedures

1. SynGas System
2. CrystaSulf System
3. Methanator System
4. Deoxidation System
5. SynGas Compression System

V. CFB Island Operating Procedures

1. Start-up Procedure
2. Recycle Operating Procedure
3. Normal Shutdown Procedure

4. Emergency Shutdown Procedure

VI. Power Island Operating Procedures

1. Main Steam System
2. Steam Turbine System
3. Auxiliary Steam System
4. Steam Drains System
5. Steam Drum Blowdown System
6. Circulating Water System
7. Auxiliary Cooling Water
8. Feedwater System
9. Condensate System
10. Cycle Makeup System
11. Condenser Air Extraction System
12. Cycle Chemical Feed System
13. Sampling System
14. Circulating Water Chemical Feed System

VII. Plant Auxiliary Systems

1. Compressed Air System
2. Fire Water System
3. Service Water System
4. Waste Water System
5. Zero Liquid Discharge System

Again, as the design advances, the content and organization of these manuals will likely be revised as the design evolves.

6.2.3 Introduction to the Operating Manual

There are three types of procedures presented in the preceding table of contents, which may not be obvious from the list of titles above. The types of procedures include an overall integrated plant procedure, localized island operating procedures, and system operating procedures. These three types are described below.

6.2.3.1 Integrated Plant Procedures

The Integrated Start-up and Shutdown Procedures are the key tools to ensure the smooth operation of the plant through these involved processes. Most operating mistakes occur during these time periods due to the many complex procedures that must be followed at the same time. These procedures combine steps from the individual system procedures to allow the operator to understand the interconnections between the different systems and major pieces of equipment.

6.2.3.2 Localized Island Operating Procedures

The various equipment islands are technically complex pieces of equipment with equally challenging operations. These procedures integrate operations from the many systems and major

pieces of equipment that comprise these islands. While these procedures do not cover all of the operations of the supporting systems they walk the operator through the overall operation of the islands to prevent operational mistakes by referencing multiple procedures.

6.2.3.3 System Operating Procedures

The equipment islands are comprised of many supporting systems that allow them to operate properly. Each system has its own operating procedure consisting of: a description of the purpose of the system, pre-start requirements, system start-up, normal operation, system shutdown, system valve line-up, and system electrical line-up. These procedures cover all operational situations for the system and are the key operational reference. Portions of these procedures are incorporated into the Integrated Plant Procedures as well as the Localized Island Operating Procedures.

7 Cost Estimate

This section presents information on the cost estimating approach, the cost basis, and the estimates themselves.

7.1 Cost Estimating Approach

The approach to capital cost development is a combination of WorleyParsons estimates of selected specific major systems to supplement the costs from an in-house cost model that develops capital costs for the entire hydrogasification plant. The format includes separate evaluation of major systems and sub-systems for the entire plant. These costs are determined with several levels of complexity depending on the specific system being estimated. The capital cost at the level of Total Field Cost (TFC) includes equipment, materials, and installation labor.

The resulting capital cost is provided on an estimate form that recognizes each cost account for the plant. Each account in the estimate contains separate costs for equipment, materials, and installation labor. These costs comprise the TFC, also referred to as the Bare Erected Cost (BEC). The Total Capital Cost consists of equipment and material costs, direct and indirect labor costs, engineering costs, other costs and contingencies for the total hydrogasification plant. The estimate does not include financing costs or any additional costs that would be the responsibility of the plant owner. The WorleyParsons model helps assure that plant estimates are consistently evaluated and that all relevant process scope is included. When more than one case is evaluated, this approach produces costs that indicate normalized and unbiased results that reflect generic differences.

The cost of plant operating and maintenance is included for the hydrogasification plant. These costs were developed on an average annual cost basis. This operating and maintenance cost estimate includes the following:

- Fuel cost
- Fixed Operating Cost
 - Operating labor
 - Maintenance labor and material
 - Administrative and support labor
- Variable, non-fuel operating costs
 - Consumables
 - Waste disposal
 - Other operating costs (By-Products)

7.2 Cost Basis and Assumptions

The section summarizes the cost basis and assumptions for the capital cost estimate, the plant battery limits for the cost estimate, and basis and assumptions for the operating cost estimate.

7.2.1 Capital Costs Basis and Assumptions

- The capital cost estimate accuracy is +/- 30% and is based on a combination of recent historical cost data, in-house cost evaluations of similar plant system configurations, and vendor input.
- The estimate includes all anticipated costs for equipment and materials, installation labor, professional services (Engineering, CM, and Start-up), and contingency.
- Escalation to a future period of performance and Owner's costs are excluded.
- The estimates are presented in June 2010 dollars.
- Labor costs are based on a prevailing wages for a union labor force for the Northwest New Mexico region.
- Labor is based on a 50-hour work-week (5-10s). No additional incentives such as per-diems or bonuses offered to attract craft labor are included.
- Construction Overtime: Spot overtime (approximately 3%)
- The estimate is based upon an EPCM contracting approach.
- While not included at this time, labor incentives may ultimately be required to attract and retain skilled labor depending on the amount of competing work and the availability of skilled craft in the area at the time the projects proceed to construction. The types and amounts of incentives will vary based on project location and timing relative to other work. The impact can be significant; in some cases adding as much as 25% to the cost of labor.
- Contingency is included in the estimate to account for the uncertainty in equipment design, performance, and cost. The overall contingency is approximately 18.9%. It is derived based on a weighted analysis in which contingency is evaluated individually for each major cost element.
- The estimates exclude all taxes with the exception of payroll taxes.
- Owner's costs, including interest during construction, are excluded.
- The estimate is for a first hydrogasification unit installation. The estimate does not represent near-term mature technology plant, or "nth plant." It does include a contingency allowance for costs associated with a first-of-a-kind plant.
- The site is assumed to be free from above ground or below ground obstructions. No allowance has been made for removal/relocation of interferences.
- The site is assumed to be free from hazardous materials. No allowance has been made for removal/remediation of hazardous materials or soils.
- The site is assumed to be free of archeological artifacts. No allowances have been made for the removal of archeological finds.

- The site conditions are assumed to be a “brownfield” quality site, with a relatively level site elevation and with all utility connections available at the site fence boundary.
- The estimate boundary limit is defined to include all new or modified systems or structures within the plant fence line. Offsite pipelines, rail facilities, transmission lines, etc. are excluded from the estimate.
- The WorleyParsons historical cost data applied in this evaluation consists of recent project cost data including individual equipment components, bulk material unit pricing, and labor costs. The proportionate breakdown of these costs is derived from actual completion cost data.
- The distribution of quotation data from suppliers supporting advanced technology projects is extremely limited due to market conditions and the preliminary development status of the subject technologies.
- The cost development of the gasification equipment, process unit equipment, and major power block equipment is based on the engineered equipment parameters, capacity data, flow information and process data related to the mass and energy balances.
- A significant amount of process equipment in this cost estimate has been estimated using ASPEN ICARUS. ASPEN ICARUS is used by companies to analyze the potential cost of capital projects, including new plants and revamps for existing facilities. The software is based on the ICARUS evaluation engine that utilizes industry standards, design codes and detailed real-world engineering and construction information. ASPEN ICARUS technology does not rely only on capacity factored curves for equipment pricing or factors to estimate total installed costs from equipment capacities. It follows a unique approach where equipment and the associated bulk material and labor costs are developed from a comprehensive design based installation model. This model is calibrated so that quantity based cost information is based upon actual historical installed project data.

Owners Costs

Owner’s costs are excluded from the estimate. Typical Owner’s costs include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Permits & Licensing (other than construction permits)
- Land Acquisition / Rights of Way Costs
- Economic Development
- Project Development Costs
- Environmental Impact Costs
- Excessive Noise Abatement
- Local Facilitation Costs
- Improvements to Existing Roads or Infrastructure
- Legal Fees

- Wetland Mitigation
- Interconnection Agreements
- Fuel Purchase Agreements
- Owner's Engineering / Project & Construction Management Staff
- Plant Operators during Start-up
- Electricity consumed during Start-up
- Fuel and Reagent consumed during Start-up
- Initial Fuel & Reagent Inventory^k
- Operating Spare Parts
- Mobile Equipment for use during Plant Operations
- Furnishings for new Office, Warehouse and Laboratory
- Financing Costs
- Owner's Contingency

7.2.2 Battery Limits

The summary of plant tie-points is presented in Exhibit 7-1.

Exhibit 7-1 Plant Tie-Points

Tie-Point	Location	Properties
Coal	At mine truck coal unloading	Exhibit 2-3
Hydrogen	At site boundary	1150 psia / 104°F, Exhibit 2-4
HP Oxygen	At site boundary	1120 psia / 104°F
LP Oxygen	At site boundary	50 psia / 77°F
NG (for start-up)	At site boundary	860 psia / 50 -120°F, Exhibit 2-7, Exhibit 2-8
SNG (product)	At site boundary	910 psia / 104°F, Exhibit 2-7, Exhibit 2-8
Sulfur	At sulfur unloading station	Elemental, Exhibit 2-9
Ash	Outlet of the ash storage silo	Dry
Water	Existing Cooling Lake	Appendix A (DBD), Exhibit 2-13
Waste Water	At waste water ZLD treatment facility	ZLD sludge
Electric Power	At site connections to utility switchyard	Dead End 230 kV Tower on Site

7.2.3 Operations and Maintenance Cost Basis and Assumptions

Annual Operating and Maintenance (O&M) costs are based on and include the following:

- O&M estimate is the average annual cost.
- Evaluation Plant Capacity factor is 80%.

^k Although the initial reagent fill is excluded for the TPC, the initial fill can be found in Appendix G.

- Cost of fuel is included. (\$29.50/ton) [21]
- Average operator labor rate is \$34.65.
- Operator labor burden is 30% of base labor, and Overhead charge is 25% of all plant labor.
- Average annual maintenance material and labor
- Consumables costs are based on the expected full load consumption (resulting costs are adjusted for the stated plant capacity factor) and corresponding unit costs for the following:
 - Water Makeup
 - Water Treatment Chemicals
 - Mercury Removal Bed
 - Deoxidation Reactor
 - Waste Water Carbon Bed
 - ZnO Guard Beds
 - Methanation Reactor
 - CrystaSulf (Solution/Chemicals)
 - TEG(Make-Up)
 - Oxygen
 - Hydrogen
 - Waste Disposal
 - Sulfur By-Product Credit

7.3 Capital and O&M Cost Results

The capital cost estimate and operating and maintenance costs are presented below.

7.3.1 Total Indicative Price

A summary of the capital cost estimate is shown in Exhibit 7-2. Additional capital cost details are presented in Appendix F.

Exhibit 7-2 Capital Cost Estimate

Acct No.	Item/Description	Equipment Cost	Material Cost	Labor Cost	Bare Erected Cost \$	Eng'g CM H.O. & Fee	Contingency Project	Total Plant Cost \$
1	Coal Handling & Preparation	\$27,273	\$6,787	\$13,071	\$47,131	\$4,165	\$11,264	\$62,559
2	Gasifier & Accessories	\$42,659	\$7,308	\$23,555	\$73,521	\$7,131	\$30,321	\$110,973
3	ASU & Hydrogen Plant Plant	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Raw Syngas Particulate Removal & Cooling	\$47,071	\$562	\$9,372	\$57,004	\$5,493	\$12,499	\$74,996
5	Acid Gas Removal / Sulfur Recovery	\$9,347	\$5,341	\$8,007	\$22,695	\$1,788	\$6,121	\$30,603
7	Other Gas Processes	\$69,919	\$19,444	\$42,103	\$131,467	\$12,858	\$28,865	\$173,190
10	Char Combustor, Ducting & Stack	\$53,246	\$2,557	\$5,122	\$60,926	\$4,201	\$22,565	\$87,692
11	Steam Turbine Generator	\$73,538	\$1,103	\$15,035	\$89,676	\$7,701	\$12,275	\$109,652
12	Feedwater & Misc BOP Systems	\$28,309	\$8,179	\$11,956	\$48,444	\$3,932	\$13,572	\$65,948
13	Cooling Water System	\$12,719	\$8,097	\$10,167	\$30,982	\$2,797	\$6,147	\$39,926
14	Ash Handling System	\$4,028	\$2,301	\$1,780	\$8,110	\$737	\$1,354	\$10,201
15	Accessory Electric Plant	\$11,414	\$6,827	\$11,989	\$30,229	\$2,620	\$5,608	\$38,457
16	Instrumentation & Control	\$5,540	\$1,427	\$4,146	\$11,113	\$996	\$1,737	\$13,845
17	Improvement to Site	\$2,483	\$17,448	\$10,249	\$30,180	\$2,888	\$9,920	\$42,988
18	Buildings & Structures	\$0	\$9,816	\$9,195	\$19,011	\$1,686	\$5,174	\$25,871
TOTAL COST		\$387,544	\$97,196	\$175,747	\$660,487	\$58,992	\$167,423	\$886,902

Notes:

- 1 Cost Basis of Jun 2010, (\$x1000)
- 2 Cost Estimate Type: Conceptual

7.3.2 Operating and Maintenance (O&M) Costs

The estimated annual operating and maintenance costs are summarized in Exhibit 7-3. Additional O&M cost details are presented in Appendix G.

Exhibit 7-3 O&M Costs

Parameter	Value
O & M Costs (in Jun 2011 USD) (Note A)	
Fixed Operating Cost	\$ 12,535,000./year
Routine Maintenance Material	\$ 7,347,000./year
Water	\$ 1,358,000. / year
Chemicals	\$ 9,930,000. / year
Fuel (@ \$29.5/ton)	\$ 26,919,000. / year
Hydrogen (@ \$3.50/kg, or \$1.59/lb)	\$ 873,184,000. / year
Oxygen (note B)	\$ 0. / year
Ash Disposal (@ \$19.37/ton)	\$5,279,000. / year
Hg Sorbent Disposal (@ \$500/ton)	\$5,000. / year
Sulfur ByProduct Credit (@ \$30/ton)	(\$180,000.) / year

Notes:

- A. O&M costs reflect an 80% capacity factor.
- B. The O₂ cost is \$0/ton as it is included in the H₂ electrolysis cost.

7.4 Cost of Product Estimate Basis

The economic performance has been assessed using the NETL Power Systems Financial Model. The Power Systems Financial Model is a discounted cash flow based financial model developed by NETL that is used to evaluate long-term costs and investment criteria for advanced energy systems. The model incorporates detailed accounting of the financing structure, interest during construction, depreciation, senior and subordinated debt, debt payments, and escalation of feedstock, O&M, and product prices, among many other financial and engineering parameters. The model can also be utilized to compute a cost of product (COP). For the current SNG project, the COP of SNG is a key measure of the economic feasibility of the project. The calculated COP is the price at which the product SNG must be sold in order to offset:

1. Project capital costs
2. Project operating costs,
3. Debt service, and to
4. Provide the expected rate of return to its equity investors.

The COP for the SNG will be calculated by first calculating the COP for hydrogen generated from an electrolysis project. Both COP analyses will be based on the economic assumptions recommended by NETL in 2008 [22] and utilized by a commonly cited NETL reference report from November 2010 [23]. These economic assumptions are presented in Exhibit 7-4.

Exhibit 7-4 Cost of Product Economic Assumptions

Parameter	Value	Ref.
TAXES		
Income Tax Rate, Effective	38% (34% Federal, 6% State)	[23]
Capital Depreciation	20 years, declining balance	[23]
Investment Tax Credit	0%	[22, 23]
Tax Holiday	0 years	[22, 23]
CONTRACTING AND FINANCING TERMS		
Contracting Strategy	Engineering Procurement Construction Management (owner assumes project risks for performance, schedule and cost)	[23]
Type of Debt Financing	Non-Recourse (collateral that secures debt is limited to the real assets of the project)	[22, 23]
Repayment Term of Debt	15 years	[22, 23]
Grace Period on Debt Repayment	0 years	[22, 23]
Debt Reserve Fund	None	[23]
ANALYSIS TIME PERIODS		
Capital Expenditure Period	5 Years	[23]
Operational Period	30 years	[22, 23]
Economic Analysis Period (used for IRROE)	35 Years (capital expenditure period plus operational period)	[23]
TREATMENT OF CAPITAL COSTS		
Capital Cost Escalation During Capital Expenditure Period (nominal annual rate)	2.0%	page 87

Parameter	Value	Ref.
Distribution of Total Overnight Capital over the Capital Expenditure Period (before escalation)	5-Year Period: 10%, 30%, 25%, 20%, 15%	[23]
Working Capital	zero for all parameters	[23]
% of Total Overnight Capital that is Depreciated	100% <i>(this assumption introduces a very small error even if a substantial amount of TOC is actually non-depreciable)</i>	[23]
ESCALATION OF OPERATING REVENUES AND COSTS		
Escalation of COE (revenue), O&M Costs, and Fuel Costs (nominal annual rate)	2.0%	page 87

Project financing¹ will be the financing structure utilized for the SNG project.

The COP will be calculated using financial parameters as shown in Exhibit 7-5, which are based on assumed high technology and commodity risk for the project. (Source: Table 7-5 “Financial Structure for High-Risk Fuels Projects” [22]). The NETL reference defines advanced technology projects and or fuels projects as high risk. Thus the recommended cost of debt and equity are higher than electric generation projects cited within the reference document.

The cost of debt for the high-risk fuel project has been set as the LIBOR rate plus 6% [22]. Since the 1 year LIBOR rate has been between 1.2% and 0.8% since November 2009 and February 2011, (the present) respectively, this analysis will utilize a nominal 1 year LIBOR of 1.0% [24]. Thus the cost of debt will be set as 7.0%.

**Exhibit 7-5
Project Financial Structure**

Type of Security	% of Total	Current (Nominal) Dollar Cost	Weighted Current Cost of Capital
Debt	50%	7.0% (LIBOR + 6%)	3.5
Equity	50%	20%	10
Weighted Average	100%		13.5%

In addition, the following financial parameters will be applied:

- Credits or debits for CO₂ emissions are not accounted

¹ “Project financing,” also known as “non-recourse financing” is in contrast to corporate financing. Non-recourse debt is secured by a pledge of collateral, which typically will be the real assets of the project. The liability is limited to the collateral of these assets. Project financing is technically defined as the financing of long-term infrastructure or industrial projects based on non-recourse financing, and where debt and equity are paid back from the cashflow generated by the project. Project financing is typically accounted for off the balance sheet, while corporate finance will be on balance sheet, and the corporation would hold a general liability for the amount of the loan. [22]

- All costs (e.g., fuel costs, O&M costs) and product price are assumed to escalate at an annual nominal rate of 2%. Capital costs are assumed to escalate at the same rate during the construction period. The escalation rate is based on the GDP Chain-type Price Index reported in Table 1 of the EIA's Annual Energy Outlook 2011 (Early Release Overview), which was projected to grow at an annual nominal rate of 1.8% between 2009 and 2035. [25]

7.4.1 Hydrogen Cost / Electrolysis Basis

One of the most significant cost contributors to the cost of the SNG (cost of product) is the cost of hydrogen. As such, defining the basis of the hydrogen cost is an important input to the cost of SNG product analysis.

The electrolysis unit will be excluded from the SNG plant engineering, performance and cost. The electrolysis unit cost will only be considered in this analysis to the extent that it is an input to the Hydrogen cost.

7.4.1.1 *Electrolysis*

APS has engaged several electrolysis vendors over the life of the project. Communication with Hydrogen Technologies, (formerly Norsk Hydro Electrolysers AS) was particularly useful as they helped APS understand how the electrolysis technology might develop for such a high volume application. The electrolysis product requirements provided to Hydrogen Technologies is presented in Exhibit 7-6.

Exhibit 7-6 Electrolysis Products

Product	Parameter	Notes
High Pressure Hydrogen	Purity = 99.99%, P = 1150 psig T = 104°F (40°C)	Requires De-oxygenation to reach specified purity The product pressure is based on a gasifier operating pressure of 1000 psig, 100 psid for flow control/ injection, and 50 psid for heating.
High Pressure Oxygen	Purity = 99.5%, P = 1120 psig T = 104°F (40°C)	The product pressure is based on a gasifier operating pressure of 1000 psig, 100 psid for flow control/ injection, and 20 psid for heating.
Low Pressure Oxygen	Purity = 99.5%, P = 50 psig T = 77°F	For oxy-combustion.

Reference: [26]

The cost of Hydrogen will be developed from the parameters based in Exhibit 7-7.

Exhibit 7-7 Electrolysis Design & Cost Basis

Product	Parameter	Notes
Energy Consumption of Plant	5.5 kWh/Nm ³ of H ₂ , [equivalent to: 66 MWh/day @ 100% capacity factor for a single 500 Nm ³ /h unit.]	Equivalent to 62 kWh/kg H ₂ , or 63.7% HHV. This consumption accounts for the product delivery pressure and purity). Ref [27]
Electrolysis Technology	500 Nm ³ /h Atmospheric Alkaline Unit	
Cost of Electrolysis Plant (for 500 Nm ³ /h capacity)	1.35M USD (2010) at 70% reduction Basis [27]: 4.5M USD (2010 base – single unit) High volume cost reduction of 60-70%	This cost is for the plant, not just the electrolysis unit. The cost covers the deoxo unit for oxygen removal from the H ₂ and product pressurization. High volume refers to several hundred units.
Cost of Electrolysis Plant (for 500 Nm ³ /h capacity), Installed	2.565 USD (2010), Installed	The installed cost will be developed from the above equipment only cost through the utilization of a 1.9 factor, and the equipment cost of \$1.35M.
Basis of the Electrolysis Plant	Electrolysis Unit Water Purification (filtration, RO) KOH storage and Mixing Tank Stepdown Transformers & Rectifiers Product Purification, drying, and compression	Excluded from the cost scope from STATOIL are: Installation Utilities (cooling water, air)
Operational Cost	3% of the investment cost per year	According to Norsk Hydro, now Hydrogen Technologies, this would cover the major overhauls that typically take place every 7 th year. [28]
Electrolyzer cell replacement	Every 7 years. Cost = 35% of original cost	per NREL Reference [29]. This is redundant of the operational cost above. The above will be used.
Full Time Employee	1 FTE per 8 units (@ 500 Nm ³ /h)	Per Ref 29, vendors quoted 5-10 for 50,000kg/d, which is equivalent to 45 (500Nm ³ /h) units. This is 1 FTE per 4.5 to 9 units.

References: [26, 27, 28, 29]

7.4.1.2 Electric Power Supply

Hydrogen will be produced on an as needed basis utilizing the electric rate at the time of production. APS has indicated that the projected electric prices for the Four Corners plant are as presented in Exhibit 7-8 and Exhibit 7-9. Since the electric demand and rates are the highest in July, the scheduled outage will be scheduled for the month of July. Thus, the annual average electric rate, excluding July, of \$33.26/MWh will be utilized in the development of the Hydrogen cost. The electric rate of \$33.26/MWh reflects the composite rate of the on- and off-peak rates for 11 months of the year.

Exhibit 7-8 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rates

Future Month	Four Corners Power Cost		
	On-Peak (\$/MWh)	Off-Peak (\$/MWh)	Diff (\$/MWh)
9/1/2010	\$35.09	\$24.34	\$10.75
10/1/2010	\$32.65	\$23.00	\$9.65
11/1/2010	\$33.00	\$25.75	\$7.25
12/1/2010	\$36.65	\$27.25	\$9.40
1/1/2011	\$39.34	\$28.76	\$10.58
2/1/2011	\$38.15	\$27.86	\$10.30
3/1/2011	\$36.51	\$26.64	\$9.87
4/1/2011	\$34.12	\$20.40	\$13.72
5/1/2011	\$36.89	\$22.09	\$14.80
6/1/2011	\$46.49	\$28.76	\$17.72
7/1/2011	\$54.38	\$35.34	\$19.04
8/1/2011	\$53.67	\$35.30	\$18.37
Average (12 Months)	\$39.74	\$27.12	
Average (minus July)	\$38.41	\$26.38	
Hours Per Week	96.0	72.0	
Ave (minus Jul) Composite	\$33.26		

Note:

1. Future prices as of 8/19/10
 2. Off-peak hours are 8 hours (10pm-6 am) M-Sat, & all day Sunday.
- Reference: [30]

Exhibit 7-9 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rate Chart

7.4.1.3 Product Storage

Since the electrolysis electric power supply will not be limited to off-peak power, bulk storage of the product gases will not be required. Electrolysis units are able to start up quickly, turn down quickly and follow the instantaneous demand requirement of the gasification plant. As such, no product storage will be considered as part of the electrolysis plant.

7.4.2 Oxygen Cost / Electrolysis Basis

Typically oxygen for gasification is supplied from a dedicated ASU and is quite expensive. However, since the oxygen will be co-generated by the electrolysis process and will be generated in excess compared to the hydrogen, and since the underlying cost of electrolysis will be covered by the hydrogen cost, the cost of oxygen will be free at the plant boundary.

7.5 Cost of Product (Cost of Hydrogen, Cost of SNG)

An economic assessment was performed to determine the first year production cost of SNG using the NETL Power Systems Financial Model Version 6.1. Using the first year production cost allows for the simple comparison of the assessment results to the current natural gas market.

The resulting first year cost of product for hydrogen is \$3.49/kg (Jun 2010 USD). For reference, a September 2009 NETL study, based on different assumptions predicted a cost of hydrogen of \$3.00/kg^m. A breakdown of the levelizedⁿ cost of product is shown in Exhibit 7-10. This Exhibit clearly illustrates that electricity costs dominate the cost of H₂ production. To understand the influence of the variation of the electricity cost on the cost of H₂ production, a sensitivity study was performed with a $\pm 20\%$ variation in electricity costs. The results in Exhibit 7-11 illustrate that this variation in electricity cost results in a $\pm 12\%$ variation in the cost of H₂ production.

^m Excerpts of the 2009 NETL study, along with other public domain information regarding the generation of hydrogen via electrolysis is presented in Appendix H of this report.

ⁿ The levelized cost of product (COP), will by definition, be different than the first year COP. The levelized COP is calculated from the discounted SNG revenue stream per MMBtu of SNG required to cover the discounted expenditures assuming that the COP is escalated at a nominal annual rate of 0%. That is, this COP is level or constant over the 30 year operating life. In contrast, the analysis utilized to develop the first year COP accounts for a non-zero escalation of the SNG COP. In both cases the NPV of the revenue from the SNG will cover the NPV of the expenditures. The first year COP allows for a comparison to today's cost of natural gas. The levelized COP allows for the presentation of the cost components.

Exhibit 7-10 Breakdown of Costs for Levelized Cost of Hydrogen Production

Exhibit 7-11 Sensitivity of First Year Cost of Hydrogen Production for Variation in Electricity Costs.

Based on the parameters defined in the above Exhibits and the hydrogen production cost of \$3.49/kg, the resulting first year production cost for SNG is \$30.15/MMBtu. This is significantly above the current price of natural gas of about \$4 to 5/MMBtu [31] as shown in

Exhibit 7-14. To further understand the high SNG cost associated with this process, a breakdown of the process costs and revenues is provided in Exhibit 7-12. This exhibit clearly illustrates that the hydrogen cost to the process leads to the high SNG production cost. Therefore, to reduce the SNG production cost to a more competitive level, the hydrogen costs to the process need to be reduced through either greatly decreasing the amount of hydrogen required by the process and/or finding a lower costs method for producing hydrogen.

Exhibit 7-12 Breakdown of Costs and Revenue of Hydrogasification Process with Resulting SNG Production Cost.

The sensitivity of the cost of SNG production to H₂ cost, electricity costs, coal costs, and capital costs is illustrated in Exhibit 7-13. For the H₂ cost sensitivity study, the cost of H₂ was assumed to vary independently of the other costs. For the electricity sensitivity study, the impact of the electricity cost on the revenue from electricity sales and the production cost of H₂ was taken into account. The results of this sensitivity study show that the variation in H₂ and electricity costs have the greatest impact on the SNG production costs, while variations in the coal and capital costs have little impact. In Exhibit 7-13, it is important to note that the 12% SNG variation resulting for the 20% variation in electricity costs is driven by large change in hydrogen cost, and not the electric revenue. The cost of SNG variation that results from a 20% variation in H₂, electricity, coal and capital costs are 17.4%, 11.8%, 0.4% and 2.4% respectively.

**Exhibit 7-13 Sensitivity of First Year Cost of SNG Production
for Variation in Listed Cost Categories.**

For reference, the NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas price for the past year is presented in Exhibit 7-14.

Exhibit 7-14: NYMEX Henry-Hub Natural Gas Price – Past 12 Months

Reference: [31], courtesy of www.oilnergy.com.

References

- 1 Design Criteria Telecon with APS, 11/06/06.
- 2 Cliff Keeler, ConocoPhillips, "Substitute Natural Gas (SNG), Scrubbing the Carbon in Coal and Petcoke," October 2, 2006, Gasification Technology conference, Washington DC, as found on http://www.gasification.org/Docs/2006_Papers/21CKEEL.pdf, as of November 1, 2006.
- 3 Email from Xiaolei "Sally" Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: Conference call notes regarding the DBD, August 13, 2010.
- 4 "APS Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG Preliminary Engineering Package (PEP)" Scope of Work document transmitted by email from Juanita Rodriguez (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) dated June 23, 2010.
- 5 Gasifier Performance Input as attached to email from Juanita Rodriguez (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) dated June 23, 2010.
- 6 Email from Xiaolei "Sally" Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: APS data input for WP phase II modeling, March 9, 2010.
- 7 "Preliminary Engineering Package for the Hydrogasification/ Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility, Conceptual Design," Prepared by WorleyParsons, for APS, dated March 22, 2007, Rev D.
- 8 Email from Anders Johnson, El Paso Gas, to Sally Sun, APS, dated September 8, 2006.
- 9 E-mail from Anders T. Johnson, Director, Gas Control and Facility Planning, El Paso Tennessee Gas Pipeline, to Sally Sun, APS, dated March 8, 2007
- 10 Montana Sulphur and Chemical Company Specification Sheet. Yellowstone Brand High Purity Sulfur Prills. 05 October 2006.
- 11 "Heat and Mass Balance for the Algae Integration & Hydrogasification/ Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility, Conceptual Design," Prepared by WorleyParsons, for APS, dated March 8, 2007, Rev A.
- 12 Email from David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) to Raymond Hobbs (APS), RE: Algae Integration - Process Model Preliminary Results, June 26, 2009.
- 13 "APS Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG Preliminary Engineering Package (PEP)" Scope of Work document transmitted by email from Juanita Rodriguez (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) dated June 23, 2010.
- 14 Email from Xiaolei "Sally" Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: APS Bench Data, February 1, 2010.
- 15 M.D. Rutkowski, M.G. Klett, R.C. Maxwell, Parsons, The Cost of Mercury Removal in an IGCC Plant, Gasification Technologies Public Policy Workshop, October 1, 2002, Washington, DC, <http://www.gasification.org>
- 16 <http://www.calgoncarbon.com/bulletins/HGR-P.htm>
- 17 http://www.calgoncarbon.com/bulletins/TYPE_HGR.htm

- 18 Curtis O. Rueter, Kenneth E. DeBerry, Kenneth E. McIntosh, and Dennis A. Dalrymple, (CrystaTech, Inc.), CrystaSulf Process for Recovering Sulfur from Gas Streams, presented at the North Texas Gas Processors Association Chapter meeting, April 4, 2000.
- 19 Nsakala ya Nsakala, Gregory N. Liljedahl, David G. Turek, Greenhouse Gas Emissions Control by Oxygen Firing in Circulating Fluidized Bed Boilers, Phase 2 – Pilot Scale Testing and Updated Performance and Economics for Oxygen Fired CFB with CO₂ Capture, Alstom Power Inc. Power Plant Laboratories, prepared for the US DOE NETL, October 2004.
- 20 Guidance for Pressure-Relieving and Depressuring Systems, API Recommended Practice 521, American Petroleum Institute.
- 21 Email from Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: Coal Price, January 25, 2011.
- 22 DOE/NETL-401/090808 – “Recommended Project Finance Structures for the Economic Analysis of Fossil-Based Energy Projects,” DOE/NETL-401/090808, September 2008.
- 23 DOE/NETL-2010/1397 – “Cost and Performance Baseline for Fossil Energy Plants, Volume 1: Bituminous Coal and Natural Gas to Electricity,” Revision 2, November 2010.
- 24 “1 Year LIBOR – Rate, Definition & Historical Graph,” www.moneycafe.com/library/libor.htm, February 15, 2011.
- 25 “Annual Energy Outlook 2011 Early Release Overview,” U.S. Energy Information Administration, as found on www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/pdf January, 12, 2011.
- 26 Email from Donna Rennemo (Hydrogen Technologies) to Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) and David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: Electrolysis Performance and Cost Confirmation, October 7, 2010.
- 27 Email from Donna Rennemo (Hydrogen Technologies) to Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS), RE: Electrolysis Performance, September 28, 2010.
- 28 Norsk Hydro Electrolysers AS Memo from Roy Grelland, provided to Ray Hobbs, dated 2006-07-12.
- 29 Current (2009) State-of-the-Art Hydrogen Production Cost Estimate Using Water Electrolysis, Independent Review, published for the U.S. DOE Hydrogen Program, NREL/BK-6A1-46676, September 2009.
- 30 Email from Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: APS Peak / Off Peak Electric Rates, August 23, 2010.
- 31 “NYMEX Henry-Hub Natural Gas Price,” as presented on <http://www.oilenergy.com/1gnymex.htm>, February 16, 2011.

Appendices

Appendix A:	Design Basis Document
Appendix B:	Process Flow Diagrams
Appendix C:	Material Balances
Appendix D:	Water Balance Diagrams
Appendix E:	Major Equipment List
Appendix F:	Capital Cost Details
Appendix G:	Operating Cost Details
Appendix H:	Hydrogen Source Review for Electrolysis

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix A: Design Basis Document

when experience counts

WorleyParsons

resources & energy

**Design Basis
Arizona Public Service
Hydrogasification / Substitute Natural Gas
Conceptual Design Study
Commercial Scale Facility
(Gasifier Performance Update)**

January 12, 2011

Revision I

Leadership
No Incidents
Safe Behavior

NOTICE

This Design Basis Document was prepared by WorleyParsons Resources and Energy Inc. as an account of work contracted by and for the benefit of the Arizona Public Service Company. This document forms the basis of a conceptual design and costing effort of a novel yet unproven hydrogasification / substitute natural gas (SNG) process. The overall process has been developed by joint discussions, analyses, and the best judgment of the APS Project Team. By necessity, many design basis inputs, including the gasifier performance, have been assumed based on the team's knowledge and best understanding to date. As possible, the team researched existing literature to make informed decisions based on the lessons from past gasifier / process development activities, and information available to the team members in the public domain, and/or past project experiences. APS provided gasifier performance for the bench scale test reactor.

WorleyParsons Resources and Energy Inc. has relied upon this information and information from other team members in the preparation of this design basis document and has not independently verified that the information is accurate, complete or applicable. As such, WorleyParsons does not assume any liability for the use or misuse of the information in this report.

Decisions and actions based upon the information in this design basis and the subsequent conceptual design effort should acknowledge the preliminary nature of the current analysis. The design and cost data to be developed are only suited for planning and budget estimation purposes, and are not of sufficient depth of detail to justify major capital investment.

Should the project continue to move forward, an experienced reactor/ gasifier designer will need to further develop the hydrogasification reactor concepts and design elements. WorleyParsons is an architect/engineering firm and is not a reactor designer. In addition, a patent review for possible patent infringement should be performed prior to continued development of the process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Objective / Introduction	1
1.1	Objectives	1
1.2	Summary Process Description	2
1.3	Document Organization	3
2	Design Basis Information – Commercial Scale	5
2.1	SNG Production Capacity	5
2.2	Site Conditions	5
2.3	Feedstocks	7
2.3.1	Coal	7
2.3.2	Externally Supplied Hydrogen and Oxygen	9
2.4	Gasifier Performance and Design	9
2.4.1	Hydro-gasifier Performance	9
2.4.2	Hydro-gasifier Design	11
2.5	Product Requirements	13
2.5.1	Substitute Natural Gas	13
2.5.2	Sulfur	15
2.6	Operational Requirements	16
2.7	Sparing Philosophy	16
2.8	Storage Requirements	17
2.9	Client Rules	18
2.10	Environmental Requirements	18
2.11	Balance of Plant Inputs	18
2.12	Utility Information	20
3	Cost Estimate Basis	21
3.1	Battery Limits	21
3.2	Capital Cost Estimate Basis	21
3.3	Cost of Product Estimate Basis	22
3.3.1	Hydrogen Cost / Electrolysis Basis	23
3.3.2	Oxygen Cost / Electrolysis Basis	26
	References	27

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1-1 Block Flow Diagram of the Base Hydrogasification SNG Process.....	2
Exhibit 2-1 Site Ambient Conditions.....	6
Exhibit 2-2 Site Characteristics	6
Exhibit 2-3 Fruitland Coal Analysis and Properties	8
Exhibit 2-4 Hydrogen & Oxygen Purity.....	9
Exhibit 2-5 Hydrogasifier Performance.....	10
Exhibit 2-6 Hydrogasifier Sketch	12
Exhibit 2-7 Hydrogasifier Design Conditions – Preliminary Values	13
Exhibit 2-8 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specifications	14
Exhibit 2-9 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specification Summary	15
Exhibit 2-10 Typical Sulfur Specification	16
Exhibit 2-11 Hydrogasification / SNG Process Configuration and Design Redundancy.....	17
Exhibit 2-12 Balance of Plant Assumptions	19
Exhibit 2-13 Site Water Characteristics.....	20
Exhibit 3-1 Plant Tie-Points.....	21
Exhibit 3-2 Cost Estimate Basis	21
Exhibit 3-3 Project Financial Structure.....	22
Exhibit 3-4 Electrolysis Products.....	23
Exhibit 3-5 Electrolysis Design & Cost Basis	24
Exhibit 3-6 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rates.....	25
Exhibit 3-7 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rate Chart	26

Revision Record

Revision	Date	Content
A	10/19/2006	Draft – pre-release
B	11/01/2006	Draft in progress – For APS Feedback.
C	11/22/2006	Incorporates APS inputs to date.
D	12/08/2006	Incorporates latest APS comments
E	02/08/2007	Minor edits for consistency with Engineering Package. E.g. Cost basis date, number of pulverizer trains.
F	06/03/2009	Update analysis with newest APS prediction of gasifier carbon conversion. Streamlined document: Deleted Sec 3 (Configuration justification), deleted Sec 4 (Old Nexant H&MB), and deleted Sec 5 (system descriptions).
G	8/2/2010	Update for Bench Scale Test Reactor results and revised BFD.
H	10/25/2010	Update for electrolysis, electric cost, gas storage, gasifier, among other.
I	1/12/2011	Update coal fineness remark and miscellaneous editorial improvements

WorleyParsons

resources & energy

Design Basis for APS Hydrogasification/SNG Conceptual Design
Rev. I

when experience counts

1 Objective / Introduction

This section presents the objective of the conceptual design effort, an introduction to the process itself, and an overview of the organization of this document.

1.1 Objectives

The objective of this 2010 update of the 2007 coal hydrogasification/ substitute natural gas (SNG) conceptual design effort is two fold:

1. To update the gasifier performance and its affect on the envisioned configuration, based on the Bench Scale Test Reactors (BSTR) initial results. Key gasifier performance parameters to be updated include:
 - a. Carbon Conversion from 70% to approximately 52%,
 - b. Methane syngas levels,
 - c. Disposition of coal sulfur between char and syngas, and
 - d. Quantity / analysis of gasifier tars and oils (no tars and oils are expected at 1750°F),
 - e. Hydrogen to Coal ratio (as influenced by both the gasifier and methanator),
 - f. Oxygen to coal ratio (as required for the thermal balance).
2. To update the Configuration and cost estimate for changes that result from the intervening years including:
 - a. Cost escalation, and
 - b. Technological advances, if any.

The objective of the overall three (3) Phase project is to develop and demonstrate an engineering-scale, coal hydrogasification-based process for the co-production of substitute natural gas (SNG) and electricity -with near-zero emissions meeting the following performance targets:

1. Overall process efficiency greater than 50%,
2. SNG cost less than \$5/MMBTU,
3. Capture and sequestration of CO₂ equivalent to 90% of emissions from power production^a,
4. Reduce water usage as compared to partial oxidation gasification/syngas methanation process,
5. Capability of accepting hydrogen (preferably from a renewable source) as a supplemental source of energy,
6. Ability to use low-rank Western coals. (In Phase I, only a single design coal is considered), and
7. The capacity target for a single commercial-scale gasifier module is to process 1,000 short tons (dry) per day of coal.

^a The proposed cycle converts rather than captures CO₂, as the process recycles the produced CO₂ and utilizes it for the production of SNG. Sequestration would depend on the end use of the SNG.

The objective of the Phase I Tasks, is to develop a conceptual design for the novel hydrogasification process and to examine the feasibility of a commercial scale hydrogasification project. This Design Basis document identifies the criteria that will be used in developing the engineering and cost estimate for this Phase I conceptual effort of the **Commercial Scale** facility.

1.2 Summary Process Description

Several hydrogasification process configurations were considered and evaluated between 2007 and 2010. The hydrogasification process selected by APS as the basis for the updated Phase I engineering/cost estimating tasks is presented in Exhibit 1-1. [1]

Exhibit 1-1 Block Flow Diagram of the Base Hydrogasification SNG Process

Note: This BFD was selected by APS as the base configuration, although changes during the conceptual design are permitted.

Coal, hydrogen, oxygen and a CO₂ recycle stream are all fed into the hydrogasification reactor. A minimal amount of oxygen is introduced to help in providing the required gasification temperature of approximately 1750°F. The oxygen required will be minimized by maximizing the preheating for the incoming feedstocks. If needed, the oxygen will be introduced with the hydrogen to partially oxidize the hydrogen, producing a hot hydrogen/steam stream which will come in contact with the coal fed into the gasifier. The hot hydrogen will begin the hydrogasification reaction with the coal.

Approximately 52% of the coal carbon will gasify with approximately 48% of the coal carbon remaining in the solid stream leaving the gasifier.

The solids leaving the gasifier will be combusted with an O₂ stream in order to generate a nearly pure CO₂ stream. The generated CO₂ stream will be split into 2 streams, with approximately one half being used to transport the coal and char, and the other half feeding the methanator. The CO₂ stream going to the gasifier will be cooled and compressed and reheated prior to being re-introduced into the gasifier with the coal. Additionally, a CO₂ stream will be utilized to transport the ash from the gasifier ash hoppers. The CO₂ stream going to the syngas conditioning and methanation processes will be cooled and compressed and mixed with the gas stream leaving the gasifier. Since there will be excess O₂ left after combustion, hydrogen will be introduced to catalytically combine with the remaining O₂ and SO₂. The mixed stream will be cooled and sent to a CrystaSulf desulfurizer process and then onto a methanation block consisting of a series of heat exchanger and catalytic reactors. The methanator catalyst requires a very low sulfur level to prevent poisoning.

The raw SNG leaving the methanation block will be dried and sent to a hydrogen membrane separation unit if required. This will remove most of the hydrogen while maintaining the SNG at high pressure. Methane will be removed from the hydrogen rich stream by a Pressure swing Absorber (PSA) unit in order to maximize the direct conversion of the coal carbon to methane. The separated hydrogen will be recycled back to the gasifier to minimize the required hydrogen. An additional hydrogen stream will be used in the hydrogenation reaction and used to remove the excess O₂ from the oxyburner. Preliminary analysis indicates that it may be possible to eliminate the hydrogen membrane, PSA and hydrogen recycle compressor by careful design of the methanation process. This will be investigated and implemented as possible.

The lower portion of the BFD focuses on the collection of process water, waste heat, the generation of steam, and the integration of a steam turbine generator for the production of electricity.

1.3 Document Organization

This design basis document is organized with the following structure.

Section 1: Objective / Introduction	This Section
Section 2: Design Basis Information - Commercial Scale	The technical design basis information for the Commercial Scale Process
Section 3: Cost Basis Information	The cost basis for the technical process

This version of the design basis document was streamlined from previous editions by the elimination of non-essential information^b.

^b Specifically, the following non-essential sections were eliminated. Section 3: Hydrogasification Configuration Selection – eliminated because there is no longer a question of which configuration will be utilized; Section 4: Heat & Mass Balance Basis for Selected Gasifier – eliminated because the section documented input from Nexant which is now irrelevant; and Section 5: Major System Descriptions – eliminated since it is more appropriate for inclusion in the Preliminary Engineering Package.

2 Design Basis Information – Commercial Scale

The following sections form the design basis for the commercial scale hydrogasification/ SNG process.

2.1 SNG Production Capacity

A fundamental design criteria for the commercial scale process is the SNG production level listed below.

1. The **target SNG production** for the commercial scale process has been selected as **approximately 120 MMSCFD [2]**, and will be based on **three (3) gasifiers modules**.

This SNG production level has been selected considering the capacity of the Dakota Gasification facility and publicly available information regarding SNG facilities proposed by others. The Dakota Gasification facility produces 160 MMSCFD of SNG and is the only SNG facility in the world. A Presentation by ConocoPhillips on SNG at the 2006 Gasification Technology Conference presented three SNG production schemes ranging from 90 to 115 MMSCFD [3]. Since the 1000 TPD hydrogasifier produces approximately 40 MMSCFD, three gasifier modules will produce approximately 120 MMSCFD.

In subsequent phases of this project, APS will want to consider additional factors such as the spare NG pipeline capacity, the availability of externally generated H₂, the impact of economies of scale, market conditions, and the desires and financial considerations of the project development team.

2.2 Site Conditions

The design will be based on site conditions as presented in Exhibit 2-1.

Exhibit 2-1 Site Ambient Conditions

Parameter	Value
Location	South Western United States ^c
Elevation, ft (above MSL)	5,500*
Barometric Pressure, psia	12.0*
Design Ambient Temperature, Dry Bulb, °F	
Maximum	95
Minimum	-3
Average	60
Design Ambient Wet Bulb Temperature, °F	
Summer Design	65
Design relative Humidity, %RH	50
Ambient Air Composition, Vol%:	
Nitrogen	77.27
Argon	0.93
Oxygen	20.73
Water	1.04
Carbon Dioxide	0.03
Total	100.00

Note: * Elevation and pressure are largely irrelevant for the proposed configuration.

Site characteristics^c are presented in Exhibit 2-2.

Exhibit 2-2 Site Characteristics

Cost Basis	Farmington, New Mexico
Topography	Level
Size, acres	200, (the site is not constrained)
Access	Land-locked, having access by major state highway, 2 miles north of the plant. Nearest railroad hub is located in Gallup, New Mexico, 60 miles from the site.
Ash Disposal	Off Site
Coal Delivery	In 200 tons/load trucks from BHP Navajo Coal Company mine, located about 1 ½ miles distant
Water	Artificial cooling lake with water impounded from the Sun Juan River
Waste water	Zero Liquid Discharge (i.e., No evaporation pond)

The following design considerations are site-specific, and will not be quantified for this preliminary study of the commercial scale facility. Allowances for normal conditions and construction will be

^c Assumed site is based on ambient conditions and other characteristics of the Four Corner Station, near Fruitland, San Juan County, New Mexico.

included in the cost estimates. Typically the consideration of these factors do not have a significant impact on the cost unless the site specific situation is unusual or extreme.

- Flood plain considerations.
- Existing soil/site conditions.
- Water discharges and reuse.
- Rainfall/snowfall criteria.
- Seismic design.
- Buildings/enclosures.
- Fire protection.
- Local code height requirements.
- Noise regulations – Impact on site and surrounding area.

2.3 Feedstocks

This section documents the Coal analysis and composition of the externally supplied hydrogen and oxygen.

2.3.1 Coal

Gas Technology Institute (GTI) performed the coal analysis on the Fruitland coal samples that were supplied by APS. Following are the coal composition and properties as reported by GTI. These values will be the design basis for the process modeling work.

Exhibit 2-3 Fruitland Coal Analysis and Properties ^d

Proximate Analysis		As Received	Dry Basis		
Moisture, %		7.27	---		
Volatile Matter, %		35.00	37.74		
Ash (950°C), %		20.07	21.32		
Fixed Carbon, % (by difference)		37.66	40.94		
Total		100.00			
Ultimate Analysis		Dry Basis	Fluorine, Chlorine, and Trace Elements (µg/g)		
Ash (750°C), %		21.32	Fluorine	87	
Carbon, %		61.92	Chlorine	99	
Hydrogen, %		4.61	Arsenic	<200	
Nitrogen, %		1.28	Cadmium	<6	
Sulfur, %		0.69	Lead	<200	
Oxygen, % (by difference)		10.18	Mercury	0.055	
Total		100.00			
Sulfur by Type, Wt.%			Fusion Temperature of Ash (ASTM D1857), °F		
Sulfide <0.020 Sulfate 0.026 Pyritic 0.074 Organic (by difference) 0.59				Reducing	Oxidizing
			Initial Deformation (IT)	>2,700	>2,700
			Softening (ST)	>2,700	>2,700
			Hemispherical (HT)	>2,700	>2,700
			Fluid (FT)	>2,700	>2,700
Heating Value		Dry Basis			
Analyzed Gross, (HHV) Btu/lb		10,710			
Calculated Net, (LHV) Btu/lb		10,200			
Major/Minor Oxides in Ash (ASTM D-6349) - (Values reported on an ash basis.)					
Element	Wt.%	Oxide	Wt.%	Wt. %, Normalized	
Si	25.56	SiO ₂	54.66	61.25	
Al	12.12	Al ₂ O ₃	22.90	25.66	
Fe	1.79	Fe ₂ O ₃	2.56	2.87	
Mg	0.28	MgO	0.47	0.52	
Ca	1.48	CaO	2.07	2.32	
Ti	0.54	TiO ₂	0.89	1.00	
K	0.51	K ₂ O	0.61	0.68	
P	0.96	P ₂ O ₅	2.20	2.47	
Na	0.85	Na ₂ O	1.15	1.29	
Mn	0.01	MnO ₂	0.02	0.02	
Ba	0.15	BaO	0.17	0.19	
Sr	0.04	SrO	0.04	0.05	
V	<0.10	V ₂ O ₃	<0.15	<0.15	
S	0.61	SO ₃	1.51	1.69	
Total			89.25	100.00	

The coal feed into the gasifier will be pulverized such that approximately 70% will pass a 200 mesh screen. [4].

^d CRS Sample Login No: 061131-001 (received 3/10/06) and Login No. 061131-002 (received 3/24/06)

2.3.2 Externally Supplied Hydrogen and Oxygen

Hydrogen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at 104°F and 1150 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline. Hydrogen will be free of sulfur, chlorine, potassium, and particulate matter. Hydrogen characteristics are based on an electrolysis process and are presented in Exhibit 2-4.

Exhibit 2-4 Hydrogen & Oxygen Purity

Electrolysis Product	Purity
Hydrogen purity	> 99.99%
Oxygen purity	> 99.5%

High pressure oxygen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at 104°F and 1120 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline. [1]

Low pressure oxygen is assumed to be delivered as a compressed gas at ambient temperature at 50 psig to the plant boundary via a dedicated pipeline.

No on-site bulk storage will be assumed within the gasification facility battery limits, as the electrolysis unit will be composed of many parallel units providing high reliability and will have the ability to quickly respond to the instantaneous demand.

2.4 Gasifier Performance and Design

APS has designed, built and tested a bench scale test reactor (BSTR) in order to determine the performance of such a hydrogasification reactor based on the fruitland coal. The performance and design of a commercial scale hydrogasifier as developed by APS is presented below.

2.4.1 Hydro-gasifier Performance

The operating conditions and performance of the hydrogasifier assuming the Fruitland coal are presented in Exhibit 2-5.

Exhibit 2-5 Hydrogasifier Performance

Specification Parameter	Value	Reference	Notes
Gasifier Operating Temperature	1750°F	[5]	
Gasifier Effluent Pressure	1000 psig	[5]	
H ₂ /Coal (mass ratio)	0.2	[5]	May be too low with recycled CO ₂ . Allow to float according to Methanation requirements.
H ₂ Injection Temperature	ca 1350	[5]	Lower if required by available materials
H ₂ / O ₂ burner	Possibly	[5]	Depends on HB requirement.
Carbon Conversion (wt %)	51.84%	[5]	Conversion of coal constituents to syngas
CC into CH ₄	46.30%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into CO	5.24%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into CO ₂	0.00%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into C ₂ H ₆	0.30%	[5]	Result of BSTR & subject to change.
CC into BTX	0.00%	[5]	
CC into Oil	0.00%	[5]	
Conversion to Syngas (wt %)			Conversion of coal constituents to syngas
S Conversion	81.7%	[5]	
H Conversion	82.4%	[5]	
N Conversion	68.9%	[5]	
O Conversion	99.7%	[5]	
Raw Syngas Composition (mol%)			Result of BSTR & subject to change.
H ₂	0.6628	[5]	
CH ₄	0.2362	[5]	
H ₂ O	0.0631	[5]	
N ₂		[5]	
CO	0.0267	[5]	
CO ₂		[5]	
NH ₃	0.0076	[5]	
H ₂ S	0.0028	[5]	
C ₆ H ₆		[5]	
C ₂ H ₆	0.0008	[5]	
Total Syngas	1.0000	[5]	

The information in Exhibit 2-5 is based on APS assumption of the commercial scale gasifier operating conditions. This performance should be revisited as the analysis moves forward. It is

possible that many factors may cause the assumed performance to drift away from those above. The factors that may cause the performance to change may include the following:

1. Compared to the BSTR results, the commercial scale gasifier will be operated at 1000 psig, instead of the 800 psig utilized in the BSTR performance test.
2. Compared to the BSTR results which utilized a N₂ carrier gas, a CO₂ carrier gas will be used.
3. Compared to the BSTR arrangement, which utilized electric heaters, oxygen may be required to achieve the desired operating condition of 1750°F within the hydrogasifier.
4. With the relatively low carbon conversion ratio of approximately 50%, the CO₂ produced from the Char combustion that ultimately ends up in the methanator, may require an increased H to coal ratio fed into the hydrogasifier.

To address these factors, WorleyParsons has developed a simplified gasifier model based on the BSTR performance in order to extend the gasifier performance to other conditions.

2.4.2 Hydro-gasifier Design

The design of the hydrogasifier for this phase of the project is under the control of APS, who had been considering both the ARCH and Rockwell Type designs. However, since APS is now terminating the hydrogasification project and had many unanswered design decisions, APS has requested that the gasifier design be based on that presented in Reference [6]. A sketch of the hydrogasifier from that reference is presented in Exhibit 2-6. The project team will allow the design to evolve from this original vision as the project evolves. It is important that the reactor design, performance and cost are all consistent. Since the Bench scale test reactor (BSTR) is essentially a drop tube reactor, the original hydrogasification design is consistent with that simple vision.

Key design features are presented in Exhibit 2-7.

Exhibit 2-6 Hydrogasifier Sketch

Reference:[6], p 38 Drawing APSS-1-SK-021-305-001-RA

Exhibit 2-7 Hydrogasifier Design Conditions – Preliminary Values

Design Parameter	Design Value	Notes
Gasifier type	Rockwell type	Single Stage, Entrained Flow, Hydrogasification
Gas flow direction	Down flow	Single pass, no recycle.
Gasifier dimensions D x h x thickness	5'dia, x 50'high (900ft ³)	Dimensions are for 1000 tpd dry coal throughput.
Thermal Protection	Refractory	
Coal Feeding	Coal lock hoppers	Fed by GE/Stamet Posimetric Solids pump
Char Removal	Char lock hoppers	
Location of coal injectors	Top Side	Four injectors, oriented for swirl.
Location of H ₂ Injectors	Top	Number of injectors -4
Location of O ₂ injectors	Top	Number of injectors -4
Gas Phase separation	Acceleration De-acceleration	Other design features may be added, such as a cyclone
H ₂ Conditions T, P, flow rate	Up to 1350 F 1150 psig as required	Temperature up to 1350F per H&MB P= 1000 + injector & heater dPs of 100 + 50 Flow rate as required for methanation
O ₂ Conditions T, P, flow rate	200 F 1120 psig as required	(If needed) P= 1000 + injector dP of 100 + heater dp of 20 = Flow rate, if required to achieve 1750 F
Design Coal Flow	1000 TPD	Assumed scaled up size
Coal Transport Gas Gas T, P, Flow rate	CO ₂ 750 F TBD 350 kg/ m ³	P=1000 + dP for transport The CO ₂ flow will be based on 350 kg coal/ m ³ .
Cooling water requirement	TBD	For injectors, (duty, T, flow)

2.5 Product Requirements

2.5.1 Substitute Natural Gas

El Paso Gas provided the following natural gas pipeline specification [7] for gas to be received by them in the Four Corners region. These requirements are shown below in Exhibit 2-8. These requirements are summarized in Exhibit 2-9.

Exhibit 2-8 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specifications

General Specifications. Shipper warrants that all natural gas received by El Paso at any mainline receipt point(s) shall conform to the following specifications and must be, in El Paso's reasonable judgment, otherwise merchantable:

- (a) **Liquids** - The gas shall be free of water and hydrocarbons in liquid form at the temperature and pressure at which the gas is received. The gas shall in no event contain water vapor in excess of seven (7) pounds per million standard cubic feet.
- (b) **Hydrocarbon Dew Point** - The hydrocarbon dew point of the gas received shall not exceed twenty degrees Fahrenheit (20°F) at normal pipeline operating pressures.
- (c) **Total Sulfur** - The gas shall not contain more than five (5) grains of total sulfur, which includes hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide, carbon disulfide, mercaptans, and mono-, di- and poly-sulfides, per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet. The gas shall also meet the following individual specifications for hydrogen sulfide, mercaptan sulfur or organic sulfur:
 - (i) **Hydrogen Sulfide** - The gas shall not contain more than one-quarter (0.25) grain of hydrogen sulfide per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet.
 - (ii) **Mercaptan Sulfur** - The mercaptan sulfur content shall not exceed more than three-quarters (0.75) grain per one hundred (100) standard cubic feet.
 - (iii) **Organic Sulfur** - The organic sulfur content shall not exceed one and one-quarter (1.25) grains per one hundred standard cubic feet, which includes mercaptans, mono-, di- and poly-sulfides, but it does not include hydrogen sulfide, carbonyl sulfide or carbon disulfide.
- (d) **Oxygen** - The oxygen content shall not exceed two-tenths of one percent (0.2%) by volume and every reasonable effort shall be made to keep the gas delivered free of oxygen.
- (e) **Carbon Dioxide** - The gas shall not have a carbon dioxide content in excess of two percent (2%) by volume, except for gas acceptable under Sections 5.2 and 5.3.
- (f) **Diluents** - The gas shall not at any time contain in excess of three percent (3%) total diluents (the total combined carbon dioxide, nitrogen, helium, oxygen, and any other diluent compound) by volume
- (g) **Dust, Gums and Solid Matter** - The gas shall be commercially free of dust, gums and other solid matter.
- (h) **Heating Value** - The gas shall have a heating value of not less than 967 Btu per cubic foot.
- (i) **Temperature** - The gas received by El Paso shall be at temperatures not in excess of one hundred twenty degrees Fahrenheit (120°F) nor less than fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F). Any party tendering gas at a temperature standard less than fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F) shall receive a waiver of such standard only if a test has been conducted in accordance with procedures set forth in Section 5.12(b) hereof, and the results from such test demonstrate that the particular segment of the pipeline tested can be safely operated below the fifty degrees Fahrenheit (50°F) temperature standard.
- (j) **Deleterious Substances** - The gas shall not contain deleterious substances in concentrations that are hazardous to health, injurious to pipeline facilities or adversely affect merchantability.

The pipelines in northern Arizona are running at 845 - 895 psig maximum.

Exhibit 2-9 Natural Gas Pipeline Quality Specification Summary

Specification Parameter	Value
Pipeline Pressure	895 psig (maximum)
Pipeline Temperature Requirement	50 -120°F <50°F by waiver
HHV, Btu/scf (with scf @ 60°F, 14.73 psi)	>967
Total Diluents (CO ₂ , N ₂ , He, O ₂ , + other) %vol.	<3%
CO ₂ , % volume	<2%
O ₂ , %volume	<0.2%
CO	Not specified, (see diluent)
H ₂	<3 mol % [8]
Liquids	None, at receiving T
Water	< 7 lbs/MMSCF
Hydrocarbon Dew Point, °F	< 20°F at pipeline op pressure
Total Sulfur,	5 grains/100 scf
H ₂ S	0.25 grains/ 100 scf
Mercaptan S	0.75 grains/ 100 scf
Organic S (includes mercaptans, mono- di- and poly-sulfides, but excluding H ₂ S, COS, CS ₂)	1.25 grains/ 100 scf

Since the maximum natural gas pipeline pressure can reach 895 psig, the SNG product delivery pressure at the compressor outlet will be assumed to be 960 psia to allow a 50 psi driving force for injection into the NG pipeline.

The existing natural gas pipeline is assumed to be located within 5 miles from the site boundary and has a spare capacity of 2 billion cubic feet per day.

2.5.2 Sulfur

Sulfur is a commodity, which can be used in a wide range of applications. Most sulfur is converted to sulfuric acid, which can be utilized in industrial processes. However, its primary use is in manufacturing phosphate fertilizers.

A typical set of sulfur product characteristics from the Montana Sulphur and Chemical Company is shown in Exhibit 2-10. [10]

Exhibit 2-10 Typical Sulfur Specification

Parameter	Value
Sulfur Content, wt % min	99.9
Moisture, wt % max	0.5
Reduced Carbon, wt % max	0.05
Ash, wt % max	0.01
Acidity, wt % max	0.02
Color / Appearance	Light Yellow
Odor	Sweet to a Mercaptan-Like Odor

2.6 Operational Requirements

The SNG process is expected to be a base-loaded unit. The following operational requirements are specified:

- Turndown to a minimum of 80% will be achievable for an indefinite time without flaring.
- On-stream time: The design on-stream factor shall be 292 days per year, which is consistent with a Target Availability ~80%.
- In order to minimize the use of electricity for electrolysis during the summer peak, the plant will be scheduled for annual maintenance during the month of July.

2.7 Sparing Philosophy

The sparing philosophy of the major hydrogasification/SNG process components are presented in Exhibit 2-11.

Exhibit 2-11
Hydrogasification / SNG Process Configuration and Design Redundancy

System	Description	Quantity/Capacity
Gasifier	APS Hydrogasification Reactor	3 x 33%
Fuel Feed (per Gasifier)	Dry feed (Stamet Posimetric pump)	9x16.7% [i.e., 3 x 50%, per Gasifier]
Coal Preparation (per Plant)	Pulverized Coal Mills (70% through 200 mesh.)	3 x 50%
Syngas Scrubbing	Tray counterflow	1 x 100%
Hydrogen / Oxygen Generator	Electrolysis Unit (not in cost basis)	NA
Hydrogen / Oxygen Storage	Type to be determined (in cost basis) Not bulk storage, but small storage volume for operational ease.	1 x 100%
Char Combustor	Oxygen blown CFB	1 x 100%
CO ₂ Recycle System	CO ₂ cooling/reheat/compression	1 x 100%
Mercury Removal	Sulfur impregnated carbon bed	1 x 100%
Acid Gas Removal	CrystaSulf, physical solvent	1 x 100%
Sulfur Recovery	Via CrystaSulf Process	1 x 100%
Methanation unit	Catalytic	1 x 100%
SNG Cleanup System	Membrane/PSA (as appropriate)	1 x 100%
Flare System	Free standing elevated flare	1 x 100%

Since the Hydrogasification plant will be co-producing electric power, the sparing philosophy of the Power Island will follow the established Good Engineering Practice (GEP) in the power plant design to achieve high availability /reliability. Except for the prime movers, large electrical equipment, and a few select equipment, adequate sparing will be provided.

General guidelines on sparing are presented below:

1. Prime Movers (Steam Turbine Generators) : 1 x100%
2. Heat Recovery Steam Generators: 1x100%
3. Step Up and Auxiliary Transformers: 1x100%
4. Cooling Tower: 1 x 100%, if applicable
5. Boiler Feed Pumps: 2x100%
6. Condensate Pumps: 2x100%
7. Closed Cooling Water Pumps: 2x100%
8. Circulating Water Pumps: 2x50%
9. Miscellaneous Other Pumps: 2x100%

2.8 Storage Requirements

The coal yard shall be sized for 7 days storage. The coal yard will not be covered.

No bulk oxygen or hydrogen storage will be associated with the SNG plant. The oxygen and hydrogen will be supplied on demand. The electrolysis plant will be comprised of hundreds of units, each which can turn down to 20% or less. In addition, electrolysis units are able to ramp nearly instantaneously. A small storage volume will be provided for operational considerations.

2.9 Client Rules

The following guidance has been provided by APS.

1. No routine flaring will be allowed. Flaring for startup, shutdown and upset conditions will be allowed. An elevated flare is planned. During normal operation, small flare streams can be combusted in the oxygen blown CFB combustor.
2. As much as possible, only commercial technology should be utilized. Where developmental technology is required, it will be identified in the system descriptions.
3. The site need only be planned for a single SNG facility. That is, accommodations will not be made for a future unit.

2.10 Environmental Requirements

Considering that the hydrogasification project does not include a combustion turbine or directly release any combustion products, traditional air emission limits are not relevant. The one source of combustion in the process is the fluidized bed oxygen-blown combustor that burns the gasification char. However, these combustion products, nearly pure CO₂, are recycled back into the process and ultimately are converted into additional SNG. Therefore emission requirements will be placed on the end user of the SNG, such as a GTCC, and not on the process itself.

Nevertheless, the project will need to obtain the appropriate permits that will cover the following:

- Flares, for controlling air emissions during startup and upset conditions (as applicable),
- Cooling towers (as applicable),
- Material handling and storage (unloading/loading, conveyor belts, transfer points, silos, bin vents, etc.) for
 - Coal
 - Ash, and
 - Sulfur
- Wastewater treatment plant plan approval, if the zero liquid discharge system is not used.
- Waste disposal site, if ash and/or sulfur are not beneficially reused

These permits/approvals are not unique to the process, but would be typical of any industrial project.

In summary, it is anticipated that there will not be any process air emission values that will influence the plant design. Instead, the natural gas quality specification will form a part of the process design requirements.

Furthermore, the process will convert the produced CO₂ to SNG, such that at least 90% of the carbon in the gasified coal will be contained in the resulting fuel. In addition, as described in the next section, the plant design will utilize a Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) system to eliminate the discharge of wastewater.

2.11 Balance of Plant Inputs

The process will be based on the Balance of plant assumptions presented in Exhibit 2-12.

Exhibit 2-12
Balance of Plant Assumptions

Plant Characteristic	Basis
<u>Fuel and Other Storage</u>	
Coal	Coal pile sized for 7 days of operation at MCR while firing the design Fruitland coal.
Product Sulfur	72 hours
Ash	72 hours
Solids Handling Crew Operation	(2 shifts x 8 hr) x 7 days/week
Hydrogen Storage	No bulk storage. Small operational storage.
Oxygen Storage	No bulk storage. Small operational storage.
<u>System Inputs</u>	
Steam Turbine generator	Vendor Standard Designs
Cooling system (if required)	Evaporative mechanical draft cooling tower
Grid Interconnection voltage	The new Hydro Gasification plant will utilize new dedicated HV, MV and LV systems independent from the existing plant systems. The new facility will be connected to the existing APS switchyard at 230 kV. The existing APS switchyard capacity is assumed to be sufficient and no modifications should be included in the Hydro Gasification plant scope.
<u>Water and Waste Water</u>	
Makeup Water	Plant makeup will be provided from the cooling lake
Process Wastewater	Process waste water (including gasification and BOP processes) and storm water that contacts equipment surfaces will be collected and treated in ZLD facility.
Sanitary Waste Disposal	Design will include a packaged domestic sewage treatment plant with effluent discharged to the Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) system. Sludge will be hauled off site. Packaged sanitary waste plant will be sized for 1,500 gallons per day.
Water Discharge	Zero liquid discharge

The characteristics of makeup/cooling water assumed in the study are presented in Exhibit 2-13. [11]

Exhibit 2-13 Site Water Characteristics

Parameter	Value
Temperature, [12]	
Coldest, °F	68
Hottest, °F	94
pH	8.2
Specific Conductance, at 25°C, µmhos	1240
Alkalinity, "P" as CaCO ₃ , ppm	0
Alkalinity, "M" as CaCO ₃ , ppm	105
Acidity, Free Mineral, as CaCO ₃ , ppm	
Sulfur, Total, as SO ₄ , ppm	455
Chloride, as Cl, ppm	49
Hardness, Total, as CaCO ₃ , ppm	378
Calcium Hardness, Total, as CaCO ₃ , ppm	229
Magnesium Hardness, Total, as CaCO ₃ , ppm	147
Copper, Total, as Cu, ppm	< 0.05
Iron, Total, as Fe, ppm	0.13
Sodium, as Na, ppm	126
Phosphate, Ortho-, as PO ₄ , ppm	< 0.2
Silica, Total, as SiO ₂ , ppm	7.5
Solids, Total Suspended mg/l	< 10
Solids, Total Dissolved mg/l, at 105°\tab	914
Carbon, Total Organic, as C, ppm	5.6

2.12 Utility Information

Since the project will not be constructed at a facility with a predefined set of utility conditions (like would be found at a petrochemical facility), the required utility steam and condensate/feedwater conditions will be chosen as appropriate for the project as the design requirements solidify.

3 Cost Estimate Basis

3.1 Battery Limits

The summary of plant tie-points is presented in Exhibit 3-1.

**Exhibit 3-1
Plant Tie-Points**

Tie-Point	Location	Properties
Coal	At mine truck coal unloading	Exhibit 2-3
Hydrogen	At site boundary	1150 psia / 104°F, Exhibit 2-4
HP Oxygen	At site boundary	1120 psia / 104°F
LP Oxygen	At site boundary	50 psia / 77°F
NG (for startup)	At site boundary	860 psia / 50 -120°F, Exhibit 2-8, Exhibit 2-9
SNG (product)	At site boundary	910 psia / 104°F, Exhibit 2-8, Exhibit 2-9
Sulfur	At sulfur unloading station	Elemental, Exhibit 2-10
Ash	Outlet of the ash storage silo	Dry
Water	Existing cooling lake	Exhibit 2-13
Waste Water	At waste water ZLD treatment facility	ZLD sludge
Electric Power	At site connections to utility switchyard	Dead End 230 kV Tower on Site

3.2 Capital Cost Estimate Basis

Total Plant Costs (TPC) will be estimated based on the major assumptions presented in Exhibit 3-2.

Exhibit 3-2 Cost Estimate Basis

Estimate Basis	2010 (Jun)
First Year of Operation	2016 [Unless adjusted by project schedule.]
Contract Type	EPCM
Labor	Union
Construction Week	50 hours
Construction Overtime	Spot overtime (approximately 3%)
Site Size	200 acres (i.e., unrestrained)
Site Condition	Level
Foundations	Piles
Coal Storage	7 Days of pile storage
Ash Disposal	Off site

Switchyard	Not Included
Water Supply	At the site boundary, per Exhibit 2-13
Start Up Fuel	Natural Gas
Gas Tie-In	At Site Boundary
Off Site Road Access	Assumed in Place
Cooling Method	Evaporative mechanical draft cooling tower
Power Tie-In	Dead End Tower on Site Included
Typical Owner's Cost	Not Included

3.3 Cost of Product Estimate Basis

The economic performance will be assessed using the NETL Power Systems Financial Model. The Power Systems Financial Model is a discounted cash flow based financial model developed by NETL that is used to evaluate long-term costs and investment criteria for advanced energy systems. The model incorporates detailed accounting of the financing structure, interest during construction, depreciation, senior and subordinated debt, debt payments, and escalation of feedstock, O&M, and product prices, among many other financial and engineering parameters. The model also computes a cost of product (COP). COP is a key measure of the economic feasibility of a project. This is the price at which the product SNG must be sold in order to offset:

1. project capital costs
2. project operating costs,
3. debt service, and
4. Provide the expected rate of return to its equity investors.

COP will be calculated using financial parameters as shown in Exhibit 3-3, which are based on assumed high technology and commodity risk for the project. (Source: Table 7-5 "Financial Structure for High-Risk Fuels Projects" [13]).

Exhibit 3-3
Project Financial Structure

Type of Security	% of Total	Current (Nominal) Dollar Cost	Weighted Current Cost of Capital
Debt	50%	9.5%	4.75
Equity	50%	20%	10

In addition, the following financial parameters will be applied:

- The internal rate of return for the equity portion of the investment (IRROE) is assumed to be a market standard of 20% per reference [13] recommendations.
- Debt term is assumed to be 15 years, which is the industry standard. The IRROE is calculated over the project life, which will typically be 30 years.
- A 30-year levelization and 5-year construction/capital expenditure period will be utilized

- Credits or debits for CO₂ emissions are not accounted
- All costs (e.g., fuel costs, O&M costs) and product price are assumed to escalate at an annual nominal rate of 2%. Capital costs are assumed to escalate at the same rate during the construction period. The escalation rate is based on the GDP Chain-type Price Index reported in Table 1 of the EIA's Annual Energy Outlook 2011 (Early Release Overview), which was projected to grow at an annual nominal rate of 1.8% between 2009 and 2035. [14]

3.3.1 Hydrogen Cost / Electrolysis Basis

One of the most significant cost contributors to the cost of the SNG (cost of product) is the cost of hydrogen. As such, definition the basis of the hydrogen cost is an important input to the cost of SNG product analysis.

The electrolysis unit will be excluded from the SNG plant engineering, performance and cost. The electrolysis unit cost will only be considered in this analysis to the extent that it is an input to the Hydrogen cost.

3.3.1.1 Electrolysis

APS has engaged several electrolysis vendors over the life of the project. Communication with Hydrogen Technologies, (formerly Norsk Hydro Electrolysers AS) was particular useful as they helped APS understand how the electrolysis technology might develop for such a high volume application. The electrolysis product requirements provided to Hydrogen Tehcnologies is presented in Exhibit 3-4.

Exhibit 3-4 Electrolysis Products

Product	Parameter	Notes
High Pressure Hydrogen	Purity = 99.99%, P = 1150 psig T= 104°F (40°C)	Requires De-oxygenation to reach specified purity The product pressure is based on a gasifier operating pressure of 1000 psig, 100 psid for flow control/ injection, and 50 psid for heating.
High Pressure Oxygen	Purity = 99.5%, P = 1120 psig T= 104°F (40°C)	The product pressure is based on a gasifier operating pressure of 1000 psig, 100 psid for flow control/ injection, and 20 psid for heating.
Low Pressure Oxygen	Purity = 99.5%, P = 50 psig T= 77°F	For oxy-combustion.

Reference: [15]

The cost of Hydrogen will be developed from the parameters based in Exhibit 3-5.

Exhibit 3-5 Electrolysis Design & Cost Basis

Product	Parameter	Notes
Energy Consumption of Plant	5.5 kWh/Nm ³ of H ₂	Equivalent to 62 kWh/kg H ₂ , or 63.7% HHV. This consumption accounts for the product delivery pressure and purity). Ref [16]
Electrolysis Technology	500 Nm ³ /h Atmospheric Alkaline Unit	
Cost of Electrolysis Plant (for 500 Nm ³ /h capacity)	1.35 USD (2010) at 70% reduction Basis [16]: 4.5M USD (2010 base – single unit) High volume cost reduction of 60-70%	This cost is for the plant, not just the electrolysis unit. The cost covers the deoxo unit for oxygen removal from the H ₂ and product pressurization. High volume refers to several hundred units.
Basis of the Electrolysis Plant	Electrolysis Unit Water Purification (filtration, RO) KOH storage and Mixing Tank Stepdown Transformers & Rectifiers Product Purification, drying, and compression	Excluded from the cost scope from STATOIL are: Installation Utilities (cooling water, air)
Operational Cost	3% of the investment cost per year	According to Norsk Hydro, now Hydrogen Technologies, this would cover the major overhauls that typically take place every 7 th year. [17]
Electrolyzer cell replacement	Every 7 years. Cost = 35% of original cost	per NREL Reference [18]. This is redundant of the operational cost above. The above will be used.
Full Time Employee	1 FTE per 8 units (@ 500 Nm ³ /h)	Per Ref 18, vendors quoted 5-10 for 50,000kg/d, which is equivalent to 45 (500Nm ³ /h) units. This is 1 FTE per 4.5 to 9 units.

References: [15, 16, 17, 18]

3.3.1.2 Electric Power Supply

Hydrogen will be produced on an as needed basis utilizing the electric rate at the time of production. APS has indicated that the projected electric prices for the Four Corners plant are as presented in Exhibit 3-6 and Exhibit 3-7. Since the electric demand and rates are the highest in July, the scheduled outage will be scheduled for the month of July. Thus, the annual average electric rate, excluding July, of \$33.26/MWh will be utilized in the development of the Hydrogen cost. The electric rate of \$33.26/MWh reflects the composite rate of the on- and off-peak rates for 11 months of the year.

Exhibit 3-6 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rates

Future Month	Four Corners Power Cost		
	On-Peak (\$/MWh)	Off-Peak (\$/MWh)	Diff (\$/MWh)
9/1/2010	\$35.09	\$24.34	\$10.75
10/1/2010	\$32.65	\$23.00	\$9.65
11/1/2010	\$33.00	\$25.75	\$7.25
12/1/2010	\$36.65	\$27.25	\$9.40
1/1/2011	\$39.34	\$28.76	\$10.58
2/1/2011	\$38.15	\$27.86	\$10.30
3/1/2011	\$36.51	\$26.64	\$9.87
4/1/2011	\$34.12	\$20.40	\$13.72
5/1/2011	\$36.89	\$22.09	\$14.80
6/1/2011	\$46.49	\$28.76	\$17.72
7/1/2011	\$54.38	\$35.34	\$19.04
8/1/2011	\$53.67	\$35.30	\$18.37
Average (12 Months)	\$39.74	\$27.12	
Average (minus July)	\$38.41	\$26.38	
Hours Per Week	96.0	72.0	
Ave (minus Jul) Composite	\$33.26		

Note:

1. Future prices as of 8/19/10
2. Off-peak hours are 8 hours (10pm-6 am) M-Sat, & all day Sunday.

Reference: [19]

Exhibit 3-7 Peak & Off-Peak Electric Rate Chart

3.3.1.3 Product Storage

Since the electrolysis electric power supply will not be limited to off-peak power, bulk storage of the product gases will not be required. Electrolysis units are able to start up quickly, turn down quickly and follow the instantaneous demand requirement of the gasification plant. As such, no product storage will be considered as part of the electrolysis plant.

3.3.2 Oxygen Cost / Electrolysis Basis

Typically oxygen for gasification is supplied from a dedicated ASU and is quite expensive. However, since the oxygen will be co-generated by the electrolysis process and will be generated in excess compared to the hydrogen, and since the underlying cost of electrolysis will be covered by the hydrogen cost, the cost of oxygen will be free at the plant boundary.

References

- 1 “APS Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG Preliminary Engineering Package (PEP)” Scope of Work document transmitted by email from Juanita Rodriguez (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) dated June 23, 2010.
- 2 Design Criteria Telecon with APS, 11/06/06.
- 3 Cliff Keeler, ConocoPhillips, “Substitute Natural Gas (SNG), Scrubbing the Carbon in Coal and Petcoke,” October 2, 2006, Gasification Technology conference, Washington DC, as found on http://www.gasification.org/Docs/2006_Papers/21CKEEL.pdf, as of November 1, 2006.
- 4 Email from Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: Conference call notes regarding the DBD, August 13, 2010.
- 5 Gasifier Performance Input as attached to email from Juanita Rodriguez (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons) dated June 23, 2010.
- 6 “Preliminary Engineering Package for the Hydrogasification/ Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility, Conceptual Design,” Prepared by WorleyParsons, for APS, dated march 22, 2007, Rev D.
- 7 Email from Anders Johnson, El Paso Gas, to Sally Sun, APS, dated September 8, 2006.
- 8 E-mail from Anders T. Johnson, Director, Gas Control and Facility Planning, El Paso Tennessee Gas Pipeline, to Sally Sun, APS, dated March 8, 2007
- 10 Montana Sulphur and Chemical Company Specification Sheet. Yellowstone Brand High Purity Sulfur Prills. 05 October 2006.
- 11 Water analysis Report, GE Infrastructure Water & Process Technologies, sampled 08/07/2005, reported 10/03/2005, transmitted via APS e-mail message dated 11/13/2006.
- 12 Email from Bruce Salisbury to Raymond Hobbs, dated November 20, 2006.
- 13 DOE/NETL-401/090808 - Recommended Project Finance Structures for the Economic Analysis of Fossil-Based Energy Projects, DOE/NETL-401/090808, September 2008.
- 14 “Annual Energy Outlook 2011 Early Release Overview,” U.S. Energy Information Administration, as found on www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/pdf January, 12, 2011.
- 15 Email from Donna Rennemo (Hydrogen Technologies) to Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) and David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: Electrolysis Performance and Cost Confirmation, October 7, 2010.
- 16 Email from Donna Rennemo (Hydrogen Technologies) to Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS), RE: Electrolysis Performance, September 28, 2010.
- 17 Norsk Hydro Electrolysers AS Memo from Roy Grelland, provided to Ray Hobbs, dated 2006-07-12.
- 18 Current (2009) State-of-the-Art Hydrogen Production Cost Estimate Using Water Electolysis, Independent Review, published for the U.S. DOE Hydrogen Program, NREL/BK-6A1-46676, September 2009.
- 19 Email from Xiaolei “Sally” Sun (APS) to David Stauffer (WorleyParsons), RE: APS Peak / Off Peak Electric Rates, August 23, 2010.

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix B: Process Flow Diagrams

when experience counts

Notes:

1. SNG Output* - 119.7 MMSCFD
2. Net Elec Power - 201.0 MW
3. Sulfur Produced - 20.6 TPD
4. Coal Consumption - 3,235 TPD
5. H₂ Consumption - 354 MMSCFD
6. O₂ Consumption - 83 MMSCFD
7. Raw Water Makeup - 2476 gpm
8. Ash for Disposal - 667 TPD

* at 60F, 14.73 psia

Arizona Public Service
SNG Advanced Hydrogasification
Project

Block Flow Diagram
Commercial Scale

DWG No:	Rev	Date:
APPS-1-SK-021-305-105	B	1/20/11

NOTES:

LEGEND

- HYDROGEN
- OXIDANT
- WATER/STEAM
- x-x- COAL
- o-o- CHAR
- .-.- SYNGAS/SNG
- .-.- CO₂/FLUE GAS
- .-.- SULFUR
- .-.- ASH
- SOUR GAS

D	11 15 10	FINAL REVISION	MTP		MTP	DBS	
C	03 22 07	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS	
B	12 05 06	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS	
A		INITIAL ISSUE					
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/ TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER	
ORIGINATING PERSONNEL			CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION				
DRAWN BY M.T. PUGLISI							
CHECKED BY							
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST M.T. PUGLISI							
PROJECT MANAGER D.B. STAUFFER
Leadership No Incidents Safe Behavior
WorleyParsons resources & energy							
CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION							
PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM GASIFICATION & RADIANT COOLER							
WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO. APSS-1-SK-021-305-301							REV E

NOTES:

LEGEND

Diagram illustrating the components of a gasification process, showing the distribution of various gases and solids across a horizontal axis. The components are listed on the right:

- HYDROGEN
- OXIDANT
- WATER/STEAM
- COAL
- CHAR
- SYNGAS/SNG
- CO₂/FLUE GAS
- SULFUR
- ASH
- SOUR GAS

The diagram shows the presence or absence of these components at different points along the horizontal axis, indicated by symbols (dots, lines, circles, crosses) above the axis.

C	¹¹ ₁₅ ₁₀	FINAL REVISION	MTP	MTP	DBS	
B	¹² ₀₅ ₀₆	GENERAL REVISION	JEV	JSW	DBS	
A		INITIAL ISSUE				
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/ TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER

ORIGINATING PERSONNEL	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWN BY	
M.T. PUGLISI	
CHECKED BY	
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST	
M.T. PUGLISI	
PROJECT MANAGER	
D.B. STAUFFER
Zero Harm Leadership No Incidents Safe Behavior

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE

CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION

PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

SYNGAS COOLING & SULFUR REMOVAL

WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO.

APSS-1-SK-021-305-302

REV

C

NOTES:

LEGEND

- HYDROGEN
- OXIDANT
- WATER/STEAM
- x-x- COAL
- o-o- CHAR
- .- SYNGAS/SNG
- .- CO₂/FLUE GAS
- .- SULFUR
- ASH
- SOUR GAS

E	11/15/10	FINAL REVISION	MTP		MTP	DBS
D	01/19/07	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		PEM	DBS
C	12/14/06	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		PEM	DBS
B	12/05/06	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS
A		INITIAL ISSUE				
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER
ORIGINATING PERSONNEL			CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION			
DRAWN BY M.T. PUGLISI						
CHECKED BY						
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST M.T. PUGLISI						
PROJECT MANAGER D.B. STAUFFER						
CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION						
PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM METHANATION						
WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO. APSS-1-SK-021-305-303						REV E

NOTES:

LEGEND

- HYDROGEN
- OXIDANT
- WATER/STEAM
- COAL
- CHAR
- SYNGAS/SNG
- CO₂/FLUE GAS
- SULFUR
- ASH
- SOUR GAS

REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER
D	11/15/10	FINAL REVISION	MTP			DBS
C	01/19/07	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		PEM	DBS
B	12/05/06	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS
A		INITIAL ISSUE				

ORIGINATING PERSONNEL		CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWN BY	M.T. PUGLISI	
CHECKED BY		
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST	M.T. PUGLISI	
PROJECT MANAGER	D.B. STAUFFER
Leadership No Incidents Safe Behavior

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION

PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

CHAR COMBUSTOR

WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO.

APSS-1-SK-021-305-305

REV

E

NOTES:

LEGEND

Diagram illustrating the relative concentrations of various components (Hydrogen, Oxidant, Water/Steam, Coal, Char, Syngas/SNG, CO₂/Flue Gas, Sulfur, Ash, Sour Gas) across different feedstocks. The components are listed on the right, and the feedstocks are represented by horizontal lines with markers indicating their presence and relative concentration.

- HYDROGEN
- OXIDANT
- WATER/STEAM
- COAL
- CHAR
- SYNGAS/SNG
- CO₂/FLUE GAS
- SULFUR
- ASH
- SOUR GAS

D	¹¹ ₁₅ ¹⁰	FINAL REVISION	MTP		MTP	DBS
C	¹² ₀₆	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		PEM	DBS
B	¹² ₀₅ ⁰⁶	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS
A		INITIAL ISSUE				
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/ TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER

ORIGINATING PERSONNEL	CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
DRAWN BY M.T. PUGLISI	
CHECKED BY	
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST M.T. PUGLISI	
PROJECT MANAGER D.B. STAUFFER
Zero Harm Leadership No Incidents Safe Behavior

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE

ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION

PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

DEOXIDATION & RECYCLE

WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO.

APSS-1-SK-021-305-306

EV

0

NOTES:

LEGEND

-----HYDROGEN

-----OXIDANT

-----WATER/STEAM

---x---COAL

---o---CHAR

-----SYNGAS/SNG

-----CO₂/FLUE GAS

-----SULFUR

●●●●●ASH

●●●●●SOUR GAS

D	11/15/10	FINAL REVISION	MTP		MTP	DBS	
C	01/19/07	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		PEM	DBS	
B	12/05/06	GENERAL REVISION	JEV		JSW	DBS	
A	08/17/06	INITIAL ISSUE	JEV		JSW	CLH	
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHECKED	ENGINEER/TECH. SPEC.	PROJECT MANAGER	
ORIGINATING PERSONNEL			CONCEPTUAL DESIGN STUDY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION				
DRAWN BY							
M.T. PUGLISI							
CHECKED BY							
ENGINEER/TECH SPECIALIST							
M.T. PUGLISI							
PROJECT MANAGER							
D.B. STAUFFER
Leadership No Incidents Safe Behavior
WorleyParsons resources & energy							
CLIENT/PROJECT TITLE							
ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE SNG ADVANCED HYDROGASIFICATION							
PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM							
SOUR WATER STRIPPER							
WORLEYPARSONS DWG. NO.							REV
APSS-1-SK-021-305-307							D

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix C: Material Balances

Heat & Material Balance: Gasification & Radiant Cooler

PFD Stream No.	101		102		103		104		105		106		107		108	
DESCRIPTION	Raw Coal Feed		Dried Coal Feed		Hydrogen from Electrolysis		Hydrogen to Deoxidation		Hydrogen to Radiant Cooler		Heated Hydrogen to Gasifier		Oxygen from Electrolysis		Oxygen to Gasifier	
Vapor & Liquid																
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	38,919.7	99.990	569.1	99.990	38,350.6	99.990	38,350.6	99.990	10.2	0.500	10.2	0.500
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	1,088.9	100.000	578.7	100.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	3.9	0.010	0.1	0.010	3.8	0.010	3.8	0.010	2,038.4	99.500	2,038.4	99.500
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
TOTAL	1,088.9	100.000	578.7	100.000	38,923.6	100.000	569.1	100.000	38,354.4	100.000	38,354.4	100.000	2,048.6	100.000	2,048.6	100.000
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	78,457	99.842	1,147	99.842	77,310	99.842	77,310	99.842	21	0.032	21	0.032
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	19,600	100.000	10,417	100.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	125	0.158	2	0.158	123	0.158	123	0.158	65,226	99.968	65,226	99.968
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
TOTAL	19,600	100.000	10,417	100.000	78,582	100.000	1,149	100.000	77,433	100.000	77,433	100.000	65,246	100.000	65,246	100.000
V&L Mixture Components																
Total Flow, acfm	---		---		3,506		51		3,455		10,683		174		217	
Vapor Frac	---		---		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000	
Temperature, F	60.0		60.0		104.0		104.0		104.0		1,250.0		104.0		200.0	
Pressure, psia	12.0		12.0		1,162.0		1,162.0		1,162.0		1,112.0		1,135.0		1,095.0	
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	---		---		104.23		104.23		104.23		4,104.82		-2.49		21.32	
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	---		---		3.466		3.466		3.466		3.553		0.250		0.242	
Density, lb/ft ³	---		---		0.374		0.374		0.374		0.121		6.249		5.007	
Molecular Weight	---		---		2.019		2.019		2.019		2.019		31.849		31.849	
Solid																
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr	
Coal (dry)	250,001		250,001		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
TOTAL	250,001		250,001		0		0		0		0		0		0	

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale

Heat & Material Balance: Gasification & Radiant Cooler

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	109		110		111		112		113				
DESCRIPTION	Raw Gas to Radiant Raw Gas Cooler		Char from Gasifier		Raw Syngas to Cooling		Fines to Char Lock Hopper		Char to Char Combustor				
Vapor & Liquid													
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %			
CH ₄	4,358.0	10.320	0.0	0.000	4,358.0	10.320	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
C ₂ H ₆	28.7	0.068	0.0	0.000	28.7	0.068	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
CO	3,003.9	7.114	0.0	0.000	3,003.9	7.114	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
CO ₂	103.6	0.245	0.0	0.000	103.6	0.245	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
H ₂	29,627.2	70.162	0.0	0.000	29,627.2	70.162	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	4,864.5	11.520	0.0	0.000	4,864.5	11.520	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
H ₂ S	45.4	0.107	0.0	0.000	45.4	0.107	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
NH ₃	195.8	0.464	0.0	0.000	195.8	0.464	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
TOTAL	42,226.9	100.000	0.0	0.000	42,226.9	100.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000			
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %			
CH ₄	69,914	22.429	0	0.000	69,914	22.429	0	0.000	0	0.000			
C ₂ H ₆	862	0.277	0	0.000	862	0.277	0	0.000	0	0.000			
CO	84,139	26.993	0	0.000	84,139	26.993	0	0.000	0	0.000			
CO ₂	4,557	1.462	0	0.000	4,557	1.462	0	0.000	0	0.000			
H ₂	59,725	19.160	0	0.000	59,725	19.160	0	0.000	0	0.000			
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	87,635	28.114	0	0.000	87,635	28.114	0	0.000	0	0.000			
H ₂ S	1,546	0.496	0	0.000	1,546	0.496	0	0.000	0	0.000			
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000			
NH ₃	3,334	1.070	0	0.000	3,334	1.070	0	0.000	0	0.000			
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000			
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000			
TOTAL	311,713	100.000	0	0.000	311,713	100.000	0	0.000	0	0.000			
V&L Mixture Components													
Total Flow, acfm	16,843		---		8,721		---		---				
Vapor Frac	1.000		---		1.000		---		---				
Temperature, F	1,750.0		1,750.0		655.0		212.2		212.0				
Pressure, psia	1,002.0		1,002.0		982.0		12.0		12.0				
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-741.62		---		-2,010.42		---		---				
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	1.242		---		1.078		---		---				
Density, lb/ft ³	0.308		---		0.596		---		---				
Molecular Weight	7.382		---		7.382		---		---				
Solid													
Solid Components			lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr				
Coal	0		0		0		0		0				
Char	24,491		107,538		0		24,491		132,029				
Ash	0		0		0		0		0				
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0				
TOTAL	24,491		107,538		0		24,491		132,029				

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale

Heat & Material Balance: Syngas Cooling & Sulfur Removal

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	201		202		203		204		205		206			
DESCRIPTION	Cooled Syngas to KO Drum		Cooled Syngas to Mercury Removal		Reheated Syngas to Crystasulf		Sweet Gas to Methanation		Sulfur		Process Condensate to SWS			
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %		
CH ₄	4,358.0	10.320	4,357.9	11.709	4,357.9	10.244	4,357.9	10.246	0.0	0.000	0.1	0.001		
C ₂ H ₆	28.7	0.068	28.7	0.077	28.7	0.067	28.7	0.067	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO	3,003.9	7.114	3,003.9	8.071	3,003.9	7.061	3,003.9	7.062	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO ₂	103.6	0.245	103.5	0.278	5,292.2	12.440	5,292.2	12.442	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
H ₂	29,627.2	70.162	29,627.1	79.606	29,627.9	69.643	29,627.9	69.656	0.0	0.000	0.1	0.002		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	4,864.5	11.520	50.8	0.136	64.6	0.152	109.8	0.258	0.0	0.000	4,813.7	96.086		
H ₂ S	45.4	0.107	45.2	0.121	45.2	0.106	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.2	0.003		
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	114.1	0.268	114.1	0.268	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
NH ₃	195.8	0.464	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	195.8	3.908		
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	8.3	0.020	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
TOTAL	42,226.9	100.000	37,217.1	100.000	42,542.7	100.000	42,534.4	100.000	0.0	0.000	5,009.8	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %		
CH ₄	69,914	22.429	69,913	31.542	69,913	15.400	69,913	15.443	0	0.000	1	0.001		
C ₂ H ₆	862	0.277	862	0.389	862	0.190	862	0.190	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO	84,139	26.993	84,139	37.960	84,139	18.534	84,139	18.585	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO ₂	4,557	1.462	4,557	2.056	232,908	51.304	232,908	51.446	0	0.000	1	0.001		
H ₂	59,725	19.160	59,725	26.945	59,726	13.156	59,726	13.193	0	0.000	0	0.000		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	87,635	28.114	915	0.413	1,163	0.256	1,978	0.437	0	0.000	86,720	96.290		
H ₂ S	1,546	0.496	1,540	0.695	1,540	0.339	0	0.000	0	0.000	6	0.006		
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	3,195	0.704	3,195	0.706	0	0.000	0	0.000		
NH ₃	3,334	1.070	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	3,334	3.702		
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	532	0.117	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
TOTAL	311,713	100.000	221,651	100.000	453,980	100.000	452,721	100.000	0	0.000	90,062	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	4,079		4,054		5,001		4,901		---		25			
Vapor Frac	0.883		1.000		1.000		1.000		---		0.000			
Temperature, F	110.0		110.0		150.0		130.0		77.0		113.0			
Pressure, psia	962.0		962.0		944.0		929.0		12.0		929.0			
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-2,875.40		-1,344.99		-2,566.30		-2,597.67		---		-6,644.25			
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	1.186		1.227		0.726		0.727		---		1.084			
Density, lb/ft ³	1.274		0.915		1.516		1.540		---		59.665			
Molecular Weight	7.382		5.970		10.678		10.644		---		17.977			
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr			
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		1,716		0			
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		1,716		0			

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Heat & Material Balance: Methanation

PFD Stream No.	301		302		303		304		305		306		307		308	
DESCRIPTION	Bulk Reactor 1 Inlet		Bulk Reactor 1 Outlet		Recycled Syngas		Bulk Reactor 2 Inlet		Bulk Reactor 2 Outlet		Trim Reactor Inlet		Trim Reactor Outlet		SNG to Cooling	
Vapor & Liquid																
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %
CH ₄	46,027.1	36.299	53,428.1	47.656	41,669.1	49.450	11,754.2	47.656	12,248.0	51.729	12,248.0	51.729	12,474.5	53.714	12,472.1	70.881
C ₂ H ₆	28.7	0.023	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO	3,372.8	2.660	472.9	0.422	368.9	0.438	104.0	0.422	8.6	0.036	8.6	0.036	0.4	0.002	0.4	0.002
CO ₂	8,296.3	6.543	3,852.4	3.436	3,004.1	3.565	847.5	3.436	449.1	1.897	449.1	1.897	230.8	0.994	230.6	1.310
H ₂	40,703.0	32.100	14,199.1	12.665	11,075.1	13.143	3,123.8	12.665	1,244.0	5.254	1,244.0	5.254	346.1	1.490	346.0	1.967
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	27,853.7	21.967	39,641.4	35.359	27,743.9	32.924	8,721.1	35.359	9,613.3	40.602	9,613.3	40.602	10,058.1	43.309	4,432.7	25.192
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
N ₂	518.4	0.409	518.4	0.462	404.3	0.480	114.0	0.462	114.0	0.482	114.0	0.482	114.0	0.491	114.0	0.648
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
TOTAL	126,799.9	100.000	112,112.3	100.000	84,265.5	100.000	24,664.7	100.000	23,677.1	100.000	23,677.1	100.000	23,224.1	100.000	17,595.8	100.000
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %
CH ₄	738,402	41.086	857,136	47.692	668,487	49.720	188,570	47.692	196,492	49.696	196,492	49.696	200,126	50.615	200,087	68.059
C ₂ H ₆	862	0.048	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO	94,472	5.257	13,247	0.737	10,333	0.769	2,914	0.737	242	0.061	242	0.061	11	0.003	11	0.004
CO ₂	365,118	20.316	169,541	9.434	132,211	9.833	37,299	9.434	19,765	4.999	19,765	4.999	10,159	2.569	10,147	3.451
H ₂	82,052	4.566	28,624	1.593	22,326	1.661	6,297	1.593	2,508	0.634	2,508	0.634	698	0.176	698	0.237
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	501,792	27.920	714,150	39.736	499,815	37.175	157,113	39.736	173,187	43.802	173,187	43.802	181,200	45.828	79,856	27.163
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
N ₂	14,522	0.808	14,522	0.808	11,326	0.842	3,195	0.808	3,195	0.808	3,195	0.808	3,195	0.808	3,194	1.087
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
TOTAL	1,797,221	100.000	1,797,220	100.000	1,344,498	100.000	395,388	100.000	395,388	100.000	395,388	100.000	395,388	100.000	293,993	100.000
V&L Mixture Components																
Total Flow, acfm	24,582		32,962		16,263		5,410		5,983		4,416		4,736		2,504	
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		0.887	
Temperature, F	534.0		1,020.7		553.0		653.0		801.6		500.0		569.4		332.9	
Pressure, psia	912.0		902.0		912.0		890.0		880.0		870.0		860.0		845.0	
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-3,036.45		-3,036.44		-3,276.61		-3,303.20		-3,303.21		-3,508.32		-3,508.35		-3,052.48	
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	0.688		0.766		0.673		0.687		0.711		0.654		0.662		0.708	
Density, lb/ft ³	1.219		0.909		1.378		1.218		1.101		1.492		1.392		1.957	
Molecular Weight	14.174		16.031		15.956		16.031		16.699		16.699		17.025		16.708	
Solid																
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr	
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

20-Jan-11

Notes:

2011-01-20_APS Final HMB - rev A.xls

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale

Heat & Material Balance: SNG Cooling & Compression

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	401		403		404		405		406					
DESCRIPTION	Cooled SNG to Drying Unit		SNG to Compression		SNG Product		Flash Gas to Char Combustor		Process Condensate to Treatment					
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %				
CH ₄	12,469.1	94.521	12,469.1	94.756	12,469.1	94.756	9.7	79.375	0.3	0.002				
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000				
CO	0.4	0.003	0.4	0.003	0.4	0.003	0.0	0.078	0.0	0.000				
CO ₂	229.7	1.741	229.7	1.746	229.7	1.746	1.2	9.413	0.7	0.005				
H ₂	345.9	2.622	345.9	2.629	345.9	2.629	0.5	4.268	0.0	0.000				
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	32.8	0.248	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.8	6.473	13,200.9	99.993				
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000				
N ₂	114.0	0.864	114.0	0.866	114.0	0.866	0.0	0.392	0.0	0.000				
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000				
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000				
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000				
TOTAL	13,191.9	100.000	13,159.1	100.000	13,159.1	100.000	12.3	100.000	13,201.8	100.000				
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %				
CH ₄	200,038	93.197	200,038	93.454	200,038	93.454	156	69.734	4	0.002				
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000				
CO	11	0.005	11	0.005	11	0.005	0	0.120	0	0.000				
CO ₂	10,110	4.710	10,110	4.723	10,110	4.723	51	22.687	30	0.012				
H ₂	697	0.325	697	0.326	697	0.326	1	0.471	0	0.000				
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	590	0.275	0	0.000	0	0.000	14	6.386	237,818	99.986				
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000				
N ₂	3,194	1.488	3,194	1.492	3,194	1.492	1	0.601	0	0.000				
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000				
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000				
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000				
TOTAL	214,640	100.000	214,050	100.000	214,050	100.000	224	100.000	237,852	100.000				
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	1,483		1,483		1,372		62		64					
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		0.000					
Temperature, F	110.0		115.0		120.0		110.0		110.0					
Pressure, psia	840.0		837.0		910.0		20.0		20.0					
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-2,061.97		-2,051.19		-2,050.05		-2,620.44		-6,787.64					
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	0.609		0.610		0.616		0.473		0.997					
Density, lb/ft ³	2.413		2.405		2.600		0.060		61.851					
Molecular Weight	16.271		16.266		16.266		18.261		18.017					
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr					
Coal	0		0		0		0		0					
Char	0		0		0		0		0					
Ash	0		0		0		0		0					
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0					
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		0					

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Heat & Material Balance: Char Combustor

PFD Stream No.	501		502		503		504		505		506		507		508	
DESCRIPTION	Flue Gas from Particulate Removal System		CO ₂ to Compression		Flue Gas to Recycle Fan		Oxygen from Electrolysis		Combustor Ash to Disposal		Process Condensate to SWS		CO ₂ to Deoxidation		Transport CO ₂ to Fan	
Vapor & Liquid																
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO ₂	8,833.0	78.701	6,062.4	86.199	2,770.6	86.199	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.003	5,189.0	92.446	873.3	92.446
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	35.6	0.500	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	1,698.6	15.134	495.7	7.049	226.6	7.049	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	1,451.5	99.996	17.6	0.313	3.0	0.313
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
N ₂	194.2	1.730	133.3	1.895	60.9	1.895	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	114.1	2.032	19.2	2.032
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
O ₂	483.5	4.308	331.8	4.718	151.7	4.718	7,077.2	99.500	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	284.0	5.060	47.8	5.060
SO ₂	14.2	0.127	9.8	0.139	4.5	0.139	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	8.3	0.149	1.4	0.149
TOTAL	11,223.4	100.000	7,033.0	100.000	3,214.2	100.000	7,112.8	100.000	0.0	0.000	1,451.5	100.000	5,613.1	100.000	944.7	100.000
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO ₂	388,737	88.117	266,804	91.776	121,934	91.776	0	0.000	0	0.000	2	0.008	228,369	94.561	38,433	94.561
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	72	0.032	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	30,600	6.936	8,931	3.072	4,082	3.072	0	0.000	0	0.000	26,149	99.990	316	0.131	53	0.131
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
N ₂	5,439	1.233	3,733	1.284	1,706	1.284	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	3,195	1.323	538	1.323
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
O ₂	15,472	3.507	10,619	3.653	4,853	3.653	226,463	99.968	0	0.000	0	0.000	9,089	3.763	1,530	3.763
SO ₂	911	0.206	625	0.215	286	0.215	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.002	535	0.221	90	0.221
TOTAL	441,158	100.000	290,711	100.000	132,860	100.000	226,534	100.000	0	0.000	26,152	100.000	241,504	100.000	40,644	100.000
V&L Mixture Components																
Total Flow, acfm	96,922		45,710		20,890		11,530		---		7		581		98	
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		---		0.000		1.000		1.000	
Temperature, F	300.0		110.0		110.0		104.0		212.0		110.1		231.1		231.1	
Pressure, psia	15.7		15.6		15.6		62.0		12.0		15.6		1,017.0		1,017.0	
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-3,739.88		-3,702.94		-3,702.94		5.46		---		-6,830.60		-3,632.76		-3,632.76	
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	0.246		0.217		0.217		0.222		---		1.081		0.287		0.287	
Density, lb/ft ³	0.076		0.106		0.106		0.327		---		59.310		6.924		6.924	
Molecular Weight	39.307		41.335		41.335		31.849		---		18.016		43.025		43.025	
Solid																
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr	
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Ash	0		0		0		0		55,558		0		0		0	
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		55,558		0		0		0	

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Heat & Material Balance: Char Combustor

PFD Stream No.	509		510											
DESCRIPTION	Transport CO ₂ to Preheater		Heated Transport CO ₂ to Gasifier											
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %										
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
CO ₂	873.3	92.446	873.3	92.446										
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	3.0	0.313	3.0	0.313										
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
N ₂	19.2	2.032	19.2	2.032										
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000										
O ₂	47.8	5.060	47.8	5.060										
SO ₂	1.4	0.149	1.4	0.149										
TOTAL	944.7	100.000	944.7	100.000										
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %										
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000										
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000										
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000										
CO ₂	38,433	94.561	38,433	94.561										
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000										
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	53	0.131	53	0.131										
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000										
N ₂	538	1.323	538	1.323										
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000										
O ₂	1,530	3.763	1,530	3.763										
SO ₂	90	0.221	90	0.221										
TOTAL	40,644	100.000	40,644	100.000										
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	92		195											
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000											
Temperature, F	250.4		752.0											
Pressure, psia	1,119.3		1,052.2											
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-3,629.10		-3,491.25											
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	0.290		0.276											
Density, lb/ft ³	7.376		3.478											
Molecular Weight	43.025		43.025											
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr											
Coal	0		0											
Char	0		0											
Ash	0		0											
Sulfur	0		0											
TOTAL	0		0											

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale Heat & Material Balance: Deoxidation & Recycle

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	601		602		603		604							
DESCRIPTION	Deoxidation Reactor 1 Inlet		Deoxidation Reactor 2 Outlet		CO ₂ to Mercury Removal		Process Condensate to SWS							
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %						
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
CO ₂	5,189.0	92.446	5,189.0	87.980	5,188.6	97.428	0.4	0.071						
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.8	0.014	0.8	0.015	0.0	0.000						
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	17.6	0.313	585.7	9.931	13.8	0.259	572.0	99.923						
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
N ₂	114.1	2.032	114.1	1.934	114.1	2.142	0.0	0.000						
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
O ₂	284.0	5.060	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000						
SO ₂	8.3	0.149	8.3	0.141	8.3	0.156	0.0	0.006						
TOTAL	5,613.1	100.000	5,898.0	100.000	5,325.6	100.000	572.4	100.000						
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %						
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
CO ₂	228,369	94.561	228,369	94.114	228,351	98.288	18	0.173						
H ₂	0	0.000	2	0.001	2	0.001	0	0.000						
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	316	0.131	10,552	4.349	248	0.107	10,304	99.805						
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
N ₂	3,195	1.323	3,195	1.317	3,195	1.375	0	0.000						
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
O ₂	9,089	3.763	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000						
SO ₂	535	0.221	535	0.220	532	0.229	2	0.022						
TOTAL	241,504	100.000	242,653	100.000	232,328	100.000	10,324	100.000						
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	1,112		1,656		364		3							
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		1.000		0.000							
Temperature, F	670.0		1,081.3		110.0		110.0							
Pressure, psia	1,014.0		991.0		965.0		965.0							
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-3,513.55		-3,615.08		-3,814.45		-6,821.96							
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	0.273		0.300		0.433		1.077							
Density, lb/ft ³	3.619		2.442		10.629		60.933							
Molecular Weight	43.025		41.142		43.625		18.037							
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr							
Coal	0		0		0		0							
Char	0		0		0		0							
Ash	0		0		0		0							
Sulfur	0		0		0		0							
TOTAL	0		0		0		0							

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

PFD Stream No.	701		702		703								
DESCRIPTION	SWS Feed		SWS Off-Gas to Char Combustor		SWS Bottoms to WWT								
Vapor & Liquid													
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %							
CH ₄	0.1	0.001	0.1	0.022	0.0	0.000							
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000							
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.000							
CO ₂	0.5	0.007	0.5	0.161	0.0	0.000							
H ₂	0.1	0.001	0.1	0.030	0.0	0.000							
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	6,837.1	97.205	96.4	32.937	6,740.8	99.995							
H ₂ S	0.2	0.002	0.2	0.056	0.0	0.000							
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000							
NH ₃	195.8	2.783	195.4	66.778	0.4	0.005							
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000							
SO ₂	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.015	0.0	0.000							
TOTAL	7,033.7	100.000	292.6	100.000	6,741.1	100.000							
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %							
CH ₄	1	0.001	1	0.020	0	0.000							
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000							
CO	0	0.000	0	0.003	0	0.000							
CO ₂	21	0.016	21	0.407	0	0.000							
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.003	0	0.000							
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	123,173	97.341	1,736	34.082	121,437	99.995							
H ₂ S	6	0.004	6	0.110	0	0.000							
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000							
NH ₃	3,334	2.635	3,328	65.321	6	0.005							
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000							
SO ₂	3	0.002	3	0.053	0	0.000							
TOTAL	126,538	100.000	5,095	100.000	121,443	100.000							
V&L Mixture Components													
Total Flow, acfm	35		1,951		33								
Vapor Frac	0.000		1.000		0.000								
Temperature, F	114.3		179.5		110.0								
Pressure, psia	120.0		17.0		27.0								
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-6,696.73		-2,692.86		-6,830.73								
Heat Capacity, Btu/lb R	1.085		0.501		1.081								
Density, lb/ft ³	59.948		0.044		60.907								
Molecular Weight	17.990		17.410		18.015								
Solid													
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr								
Coal	0		0		0								
Char	0		0		0								
Ash	0		0		0								
Sulfur	0		0		0								
TOTAL	0		0		0								

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale

Heat & Material Balance: Steam Cycle

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	801		802		803		805		806		807		808		809	
DESCRIPTION	Demineralized Water		ST Condensate to LT Heaters		ST Condensate to Deaerator		HP BFW to Methanation		HP BFW to HP Economizer		Economized HP BFW to HP Evaporators		HP Steam Blowdown to Flash Drum		HP Steam to Char Combustor Superheater	
Vapor & Liquid																
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
CO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	988.1	100.000	72,769.5	100.000	72,769.5	100.000	26,614.1	100.000	51,344.5	100.000	51,344.5	100.000	1,045.7	100.000	50,831.1	100.000
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000
TOTAL	988.1	100.000	72,769.5	100.000	72,769.5	100.000	26,614.1	100.000	51,344.5	100.000	51,344.5	100.000	1,045.7	100.000	50,831.1	100.000
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
CO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	17,800	100.000	1,310,964	100.000	1,310,964	100.000	479,460	100.000	924,987	100.000	924,987	100.000	18,839	100.000	915,735	100.000
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000
TOTAL	17,800	100.000	1,310,964	100.000	1,310,964	100.000	479,460	100.000	924,987	100.000	924,987	100.000	18,839	100.000	915,735	100.000
V&L Mixture Components																
Total Flow, acfm	2		117		125		46		88		102		3		994	
Vapor Frac	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000		1.000	
Temperature, F	59.0		101.3		272.4		291.0		291.0		481.1		632.2		632.2	
Pressure, psia	20.0		150.0		147.0		2,250.0		2,250.0		1,950.0		1,950.0		1,950.0	
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-6,838.91		-6,796.41		-6,624.78		-6,601.69		-6,601.69		-6,400.60		-6,200.27		-5,724.48	
Density, lb/ft ³	62.380		62.009		58.178		58.102		58.102		50.599		39.364		5.116	
Molecular Weight	18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015	
Solid																
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr	
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Heat & Material Balance: Steam Cycle

PFD Stream No.	813		814		815		816		817		818			
DESCRIPTION	HP Superheated Steam from Char Combustor		HP Superheated Steam from Methanation		HP Superheated Steam to Steam Turbine		Cold Reheat from HP Turbine		Hot Reheat to IP Turbine		Steam to Condenser			
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %		
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	50,831.1	100.000	26,081.8	100.000	76,912.9	100.000	74,620.9	100.000	74,620.9	100.000	71,781.5	100.000		
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
TOTAL	50,831.1	100.000	26,081.8	100.000	76,912.9	100.000	74,620.9	100.000	74,620.9	100.000	71,781.5	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %		
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	915,735	100.000	469,872	100.000	1,385,607	100.000	1,344,315	100.000	1,344,315	100.000	1,293,165	100.000		
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
TOTAL	915,735	100.000	469,872	100.000	1,385,607	100.000	1,344,315	100.000	1,344,315	100.000	1,293,165	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	2,196		1,127		3,407		8,822		13,820		2,215,767			
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		1.000		0.925			
Temperature, F	1,005.0		1,005.0		1,000.0		684.9		1,000.0		101.7			
Pressure, psia	1,850.0		1,850.0		1,800.0		540.0		460.0		1.0			
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-5,384.29		-5,384.29		-5,385.80		-5,519.83		-5,344.89		-5,838.66			
Density, lb/ft ³	2.317		2.317		2.259		0.847		0.540		0.003			
Molecular Weight	18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015			
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr			
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0			
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		0		0			

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

Arizona Public Service Advanced Hydrogasification / SNG -- Commercial Scale

Heat & Material Balance: Steam Cycle

20-Jan-11

PFD Stream No.	819		820		821		824		825		826			
DESCRIPTION	LP Extraction		LP Steam from Blowdown Flash		Blowdown to WWT		LP Steam to Processes & Deaerator		LP Steam to Deaerator		Process Steam Condensate to Deaerator			
Vapor & Liquid														
V&L Mixture Components	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %	lbmol/hr	Mol %		
CH ₄	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
C ₂ H ₆	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
CO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
H ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	5,131.4	100.000	449.4	100.000	596.3	100.000	5,580.8	100.000	972.2	100.000	4,608.7	100.000		
H ₂ S	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
N ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
NH ₃	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
O ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
SO ₂	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.000		
TOTAL	5,131.4	100.000	449.4	100.000	596.3	100.000	5,580.8	100.000	972.2	100.000	4,608.7	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %	lb/hr	Mass %		
CH ₄	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
C ₂ H ₆	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
CO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
H ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
H ₂ O (and ionic species)	92,443	100.000	8,097	100.000	10,742	100.000	100,540	100.000	17,514	100.000	83,026	100.000		
H ₂ S	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
N ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
NH ₃	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
O ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
SO ₂	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000	0	0.000		
TOTAL	92,443	100.000	8,097	100.000	10,742	100.000	100,540	100.000	17,514	100.000	83,026	100.000		
V&L Mixture Components														
Total Flow, acfm	4,150		262		1		4,413		769		8			
Vapor Frac	1.000		1.000		0.000		1.000		1.000		0.000			
Temperature, F	570.8		307.6		307.6		548.9		548.9		297.9			
Pressure, psia	75.0		75.0		75.0		75.0		75.0		75.0			
Enthalpy, Btu/lb	-5,549.75		-5,684.71		-6,588.85		-5,560.62		-5,560.62		-6,598.77			
Density, lb/ft ³	0.124		0.172		57.051		0.127		0.127		57.366			
Molecular Weight	18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015		18.015			
Solid														
Solid Components	lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr		lb/hr			
Coal	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Char	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Ash	0		0		0		0		0		0			
Sulfur	0		0		0		0		0		0			
TOTAL	0		0		0		0		0		0			

Notes:

1. Results based on bench scale reactor test results communicated by APS.

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix D: Water Balance Diagram

when experience counts

Design Values	
Potable Water	5 gpm
Service Water	25 gpm
Dust Suppression	50 gpm
Evaporation	3130 gpm
Cycles of Concentration	5
Steam Cycle Losses	0.5%
Blowdown	0.5%
Wastewater RO Reject	30%
Clarifier Sludge Rate	2%
MMF Backwash	2%
RO Reject	25%
EDI Reject	5%
Filter Press Feed	40%
Solids in Filter Cake	60%

Summary Balance:
In Flow 3,202
Out Flow 3,202
Difference 0
All flows are 24hr averages
Flows are in GPM
Streams from the mass balances show
stream numbers in circles

Preliminary Design

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

Arizona Public Service

SNG Advanced Hydrogasification

Water Balance

Commercial Scale Hydrogasification Concept

65°F, 50% RH ambient

DWG No:

APSS-1-DW-021-305-500

Rev:

B

Date:

21-Jan-11

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix E: Major Equipment List

when experience counts

ACCOUNT 1 COAL HANDLING AND PREPARATION

ACCOUNT 1.1 COAL RECEIVING AND STORAGE

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Truck Dump station	Receiving hopper with grizzly and dust collector	240 tons	1
2	Feeder	Belt	240 tph	1
3	Conveyor No. 1	Belt w/scale	240 tph	1
4	Transfer House #1			1
5	Conveyor No. 2	Belt	240 tph	1
6	As-Received Coal Sampling System	Two-stage	N/A	1
7	Stacker Conveyor	Belt, linear	240 tph	1
8	Telescoping chute			1
9	Coal Reclaim conveyor #1	Belt	240 tph	1
10	Transfer House #2	w/dust collector and w/magnetic separator		1
11	Coal Reclaim Conveyor No. 2	Belt	240 tph	1
12	Crusher feed conveyor	Belt	240 tph	1
13	Coal Surge Bin w/ Vent Filter	Compartment	120 ton	1
14	Crusher Feeder	Belt	240 tph	1
15	Crusher	Impact reduction swing hammer	3"x0 – 1-1/4"x0, 120 tph	2
16	As-Fired Coal Sampling System			1
17	Coal storage Conveyor No. 1	Belt	240 tph	1
18	Coal storage Conveyor #2	Belt	240 tph	1
19	Coal storage Conveyor #3	Belt	240 tph	1
20	Crushed Coal Silo	w/ Vent Filter and Slide Gates, Forced Ventilation, Inert gas Blanket system	810 ton	2

ACCOUNT 1.2 COAL RECLAIM AND PREPARATION

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Feeder	Belt	150 tph	2
2	Conveyor No. 1	Belt	150 tph	1
3	Transfer House #1	w/dust collector		1
4	Mill Feed Hopper	Vertical, double hopper	100 tons	1
5	Feeder	Belt	150 tph	2
6	Gravimetric Feeder	w/ variable frequency drive and magnetic separator	150 tph	2
7	Mill	Vendor Design	75 tph Inlet: 1-1/4" x 0", Final 70% through mesh 200	3

ACCOUNT 2 GASIFIER AND GASIFIER FEED SYSTEM

ACCOUNT 2.1 GASIFIER

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Pulverized Coal Silo	Bolted CS, Forced Ventilation, Inert Gas Blanket system	100 tons	6
2	Milled Coal Pneumatic system	Pressurized, CO ₂ Gas, including cyclone separators, dust baghouse, exhaust & GR fans	150 tph	1
3	Solids Feed System	Stamet Posimetric solids pumps	25 tph, 1,000 psig	9

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
4	APS Hydrogasification System	Pressurized, dry-feed, Refractory-lined, Down-flow, entrained bed Non-slugging	8 ft ID, 55 ft T/T 316 SS clad - 4-inch CS 5-inch surface refractory 12-inch refractory brick 1,000 psig / 1,750°F 4 coal feed injectors 4 water-cooled hydrogen POX burners POX burner cooling loop exchanger	3
5	Char Lock Hopper and Cooler	Tube bundle Refractory-lined	Vessel: 3 ft ID, 6 ft T/T 316 SS clad - 2-inch CS 5-inch surface refractory 12-inch refractory brick 1,000 psig / 1,750°F Tube Bundle: 33 MMBtu/hr CrMo	3
6	Char Lock Hopper	Vertical with conical discharge	3 ft ID, 6 ft T/T 316 SS clad - 2-inch CS 5-inch surface refractory 12-inch refractory brick 1,000 psig/250°F	3
7	Oxygen Heater	Shell and Tube	1 MMBtu/hr 25 ft ² Shell: 65 psig/490°F Tubes: 1,125 psig/200°F B165 N04400 Annealed	3
8	Air Locking Gas Compressor	Centrifugal	1,450 acfm 0 /1,000 psig 650 hp CS/CS Impeller	3

Note: Pressures and temperatures are normal operating conditions. Ratings, capacities, and duties are nominal design values.

ACCOUNT 3 AIR SEPARATION UNIT (ASU)

N/A – Oxygen supplied by electrolysis unit.

ACCOUNT 4 RAW SYNGAS PARTICULATE REMOVAL AND COOLING

ACCOUNT 4.1 PARICULATE REMOVAL

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Cyclone System	Refractory-lined with Vortex finder enclosed in pressure shell	9,700 acfm 970 psig/ 660°F 27,000 lb/hr solids loading CS shell	1
2	Candle Filter System	Pall's PSS iron aluminide w/CPP inert gas blow back, or equal	9,700 acfm 970 psig/ 660°F 1 micron removal efficiency	1
3	Cyclone Char Lock Hopper	Vertical with conical discharge	3 ft ID, 6 ft T/T 2-inch CS 5-inch surface refractory 7-inch refractory brick 970 psig/ 660°F	1

ACCOUNT 4.2 SYNGAS COOLING

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Hydrogen Heater	Pressurized, Refractory-lined, Upflow	115 MMBtu/hr 18,100 ft ² Gas Side: 1,000 psig/1,750°F 316 SS clad - 4-inch CS 5-inch surface refractory 12-inch refractory brick Hydrogen Side: 1,150 psig/1,250°F CrMo	3

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
2	Radiant Raw Gas Cooler (WHB)	Gas-tight membrane water wall evaporation surfaces enclosed in pressure shell, and connected to the steam drum (natural or assisted circulation per Vendor design)	30 MMBtu/hr 3,000 ft ² Gas Side: 990 psig/900°F Steam Side: 1,940 psig/630°F CrMo/CrMo	3
3	Syngas Cooler #1	Shell and Tube	150 MMBtu/hr 43,300 ft ² Shell: 970 psig/660°F Tubes: 2,240 psig/475°F 316 clad CrMo/CS	1
4	Syngas Cooler #2	Shell and Tube	110 MMBtu/hr 46,700 ft ² Shell: 140 psig/270°F Tubes: 960 psig/310°F CS/316 clad CrMo	1
5	Syngas Cooler #3	Shell and Tube	25 MMBtu/hr 9,900 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 950 psig/160°F CS/316 clad CrMo	1
6	Raw Gas KO Drum	Vertical with mist eliminator	5 ft dia, 20 ft T/T 950 psig/160°F 316 clad CrMo	1
7	Steam Drum	Horizontal	7 ft dia, 20 ft T/T 1,940 psig/630°F CS	1

ACCOUNT 4.3 MERCURY REMOVAL

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Mercury Removal Unit	Sulfur-impregnated carbon bed	5,300 acfm Operating: 950 psia /115°F Design: 1,050 psig/200°F	1

ACCOUNT 5 ACID GAS REMOVAL AND SULFUR RECOVERY

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Acid Gas Removal /Sulfur Recovery	CrystaSulf non-aqueous sulfur recovery process. Vendor design Includes syngas preheater	Sour gas: 930 psia / 110°F / 500,000 lb/h 430 MMSCFD 1,250 ppm total sulfur Sweet gas: 10 ppm total sulfur Elemental sulfur: 20 tpd	1

ACCOUNT 6 SULFUR RECOVERY & TGTU

N/A – Sulfur recovery done through AGR.

ACCOUNT 7 OTHER GAS PROCESSES

ACCOUNT 7.1 METHANATION SYSTEM

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Sulfur Guard Bed	Fixed-Bed	14 ft dia, 14 ft T/T 900 psig/500°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CS	1
2	Bulk Methanation Reactor 1	Fixed-Bed	13.5 ft dia, 17.5 ft T/T 900 psig/1,060°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CrMo	3
3	Bulk Methanation Reactor 2	Fixed-Bed	14 ft dia, 14 ft T/T 880 psig/830°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CrMo	1
4	Trim Methanation Reactor	Fixed-Bed	14 ft dia, 14 ft T/T 860 psig/580°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CrMo	1
5	Feed/Product Exchanger 1	Shell and Tube	45 MMBtu/hr 26,000 ft ² Shell: 840 psig/380°F Tubes: 920 psig/250°F CrMo/CrMo	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
6	Feed/Product Exchanger 2	Shell and Tube	95 MMBtu/hr 80,300 ft ² Shell: 850 psig/580°F Tubes: 915 psig/500°F CrMo/CrMo	1
7	HP Steam Superheater	Shell and Tube	175 MMBtu/hr 57,500 ft ² Shell: 1,950 psig/1,000°F Tubes: 890 psig/1,060°F CrMo/CrMo	1
8	HP Steam Generator 1	Shell and Tube	340 MMBtu/hr 32,400 ft ² Shell: 1,940 psig/630°F Tubes: 890 psig/930°F CrMo/CrMo	1
9	HP Steam Generator 2	Shell and Tube	50 MMBtu/hr 6,600 ft ² Shell: 1,940 psig/630 F Tubes: 870 psig/830 F CS/CrMo	1
10	BFW Heater 1	Shell and Tube	55 MMBtu/hr 19,600 ft ² Shell: 2,240 psig/350°F Tubes: 850 psig/410°F CS/CrMo	1
11	BFW Heater 2	Shell and Tube	45 MMBtu/hr 8,200 ft ² Shell: 2,240 psig/430°F Tubes: 870 psig/650°F CS/CrMo	1
12	Recycle Inlet/Outlet Exchanger	Shell and Tube	125 MMBtu/hr 60,300 ft ² Shell: 870 psig/650°F Tubes: 900 psig/550°F CrMo/CrMo	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
13	Recycle Trim Cooler	Shell and Tube	145 MMBtu/hr 7,900 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 880 psig/520°F CS/SS	1
14	SNG Trim Cooler	Shell and Tube	100 MMBtu/hr 18,000 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 840 psig/350°F CS/SS	1
15	Process Condensate Cooler	Shell and Tube	55 MMBtu/hr 10,000 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 940 psig/380°F CS/CS	1
16	Steam Drum	Horizontal	11 ft dia, 30 ft T/T 1,940 psig/630°F CS	1
17	Recycle KO Drum	Vertical	12 ft dia, 20 ft T/T 880 psig/400°F SS	2
18	Methanation KO Drum 1	Vertical	8.5 ft dia, 15.5 ft T/T 840 psig/380°F SS	1
19	Methanation KO Drum 2	Vertical	8 ft dia, 15 ft T/T 830 psig/350°F SS	1
20	SNG Trim Cooler KO Drum	Vertical	6.5 ft dia, 13 ft T/T 830 psig/110°F SS	1
21	Recycle Compressor	Centrifugal	13,500 acfm 870 psig/900 psig 3,350 hp CS/SS Impeller	1

ACCOUNT 7.2 DEOXIDATION SYSTEM

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Deoxidation Reactor 1	Fixed-Bed	6 ft dia, 13 ft T/T 1,000 psig/1,090°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CrMo	1
2	Deoxidation Reactor 2	Fixed-Bed	6 ft dia, 13 ft T/T 990 psig/1,080°F Top: Distribution Plate Bottom: Johnson screen with ceramic balls to tangent line. CrMo	1
3	Product/Feed Exchanger	Shell and Tube	32 MMBtu/hr 7,400 ft ² Shell: 990 psig/1,090°F Tubes: 1,000 psig/670°F CrMo/CrMo	1
4	HP Steam Generator	Shell and Tube	32 MMBtu/hr 2,200 ft ² Shell: 980 psig/1,080°F Tubes: 1,940 psig/630°F CS/CrMo	1
5	BFW Heater	Shell and Tube	26 MMBtu/hr 7,500 ft ² Shell: 970 psig/660°F Tubes: 2,240 psig/480°F CS/CrMo	1
6	Condensate Heater	Shell and Tube	22 MMBtu/hr 7,800 ft ² Shell: 140 psig/270°F Tubes: 970 psig/310°F CS/SS	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
7	Trim Cooler	Shell and Tube	6 MMBtu/hr 2,000 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 960 psig /160°F CS/SS	1
8	Steam Drum	Horizontal	5 ft dia, 15 ft T/T 1,940 psig/630°F CS	1
9	Condensate KO Drum	Vertical	5 ft dia, 11.5 ft T/T 950 psig/110°F SS	1

ACCOUNT 7.3 SNG PURIFICATION AND COMPRESSION

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	SNG Product Cooler	Shell and Tube	2 MMBtu/hr 2,000 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 900 psig/130°F CS/CS	1
2	Gas Dryer	Triethylene glycol (TEG) Desiccant System	235,000 lb/hr 1,600 acfm 0.25% H ₂ O in -40°F dew point out	1
3	SNG Product Compression	Centrifugal	1,500 acfm 830 psig/900 psig 965 hp CS/CS Impeller	1

ACCOUNT 7.4 SOUR WATER SYSTEM

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Sour Water Stripper	Vertical Packed Column	5 ft dia, 55 ft T/T 100 psig/250°F Carbon steel shell, 316 SS internals 1-inch ceramic Raschig rings	1
2	Ammonia Stripper	Vertical Packed Column	4 ft dia, 60 ft T/T 20 psig/250°F Carbon steel shell, 316 SS internals 1-inch ceramic Raschig rings	1
3	Sour Water Pump	Horizontal, Centrifugal	Sour water @ 300 gpm 250 ft head, 40 hp 110 psig/120°F 316 SS casing w/ CS body	2
4	Stripper Column Pump	Horizontal, Centrifugal	Sour water @ 325 gpm 100 ft head, 20 hp 20 psig/180°F 316 SS casing w/ CS body	2
5	Ammonia Column Pump	Horizontal, Centrifugal	Sour water @ 300 gpm 100 ft head, 20 hp 10 psig/180°F 316 SS casing w/ CS body	2
6	Sour Stripper Feed Heater	Shell and Tube	1165 MMBtu/hr 1,000 ft ² Shell: 60 psig/550°F Tubes: 110 psig/260°F CS/SS	1
7	Sour Stripper Reboiler	Shell and Tube	7 MMBtu/hr 800 ft ² Shell: 60 psig/550°F Tubes: 20 psig/250°F CS/SS	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
8	Stripper Bottoms Cooler	Shell and Tube	3 MMBtu/hr 370 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 20 psig/250°F CS/SS	1
9	Sour Gas Cooler	Shell and Tube w/ condensate drain	1 MMBtu/hr 250 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 20 psig/210°F CS/SS	1
10	Ammonia Stripper Reboiler	Shell and Tube	23 MMBtu/hr 2,550 ft ² Shell: 60 psig/550°F Tubes: 20 psig/250°F CS/SS	1
11	Ammonia Stripper Condenser	Shell and Tube	17 MMBtu/hr 4,750 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 20 psig/220°F CS/SS	1
12	Ammonia Stripper Bottoms Cooler	Shell and Tube	21 MMBtu/hr 5,100 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 20 psig/250°F CS/SS	1
13	Sour Water Drum	Horizontal Tank	14 ft dia, 115 ft T/T 100 psig/200°F 316 clad CS	1
14	Ammonia Stripper Condensate Receiver	Horizontal Tank	4 ft dia, 9 ft T/T 50 psig/200°F 316 clad CS	1

ACCOUNT 7.5 FLARE STACK

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Flare Stack	Self-supporting, carbon steel, stainless steel top, pilot ignition	18 MMSCFH at 110°F	1

ACCOUNT 8 POLYGEN OPTIONS

NA

ACCOUNT 9 COMBUSTION TURBINE AND AUXILIARIES

NA

ACCOUNT 10 CHAR COMBUSTOR

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Char Combustor	Oxygen-blown, pelletized char fired, circulating fluidized bed boiler, Includes: Char feeding system, Oxygen feed and flue gas recirculation systems, Economizer, steam superheater, reheater, furnace loop evaporative equipment and drum, Backpass heat recovery equipment, Tubular CO ₂ and oxidant preheaters, External bubbling bed heat exchanger, Ash removal system, Supporting structural steel Materials per vendor	Char Feed - 75 tph Steam Superheater - 360 MMBtu/hr, 1,040,000 lb/hr, 1,840 psig/1,000°F Steam Reheater - 220 MMBtu/hr, 1,510,000 lb/hr, 610 psig/1,000°F Steam Evaporator - 620 MMBtu/hr, 875,000 lb/hr, 1,940 psig/630°F Economizer - 35 MMBtu/hr, 165,000 lb/hr, 2,240 psig/475°F CO ₂ Preheater - 8 MMBtu/hr, 1,100 psig/750°F Oxidant Preheater - 12 MMBtu/hr, 5 psig/250°F Ash Removal - 60,000 lb/hr	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
2	Char Pelletizer	Mars Mineral Model P160 Disc Pelletizer, with binder spray system, or equal	25 tph, 75 hp drive motor; 67 gpm binder supply flow rate; In: 50 μ (average) Out: 0.25 by 0.125 inch	3
3	Flue Gas Trim Cooler	Shell and Tube	45 MMBtu/hr 8,900 ft ² Shell: 50 psig/100°F Tubes: 5 psig/300°F CS/SS clad	1
4	Flue Gas KO Drum	Vertical	11 ft dia, 18.5 ft T/T 5 psig /110°F SS clad	1
5	Flue Gas Recirculation Fan	Centrifugal	12,000 acfm 4 psig/6 psig 190 hp CS	2
6	CO ₂ Compressor	Centrifugal, Multistage, Intercooled with KO drums	50,000 acfm 4 psig/1,010 psig 22,000 hp 55 MMBtu/hr Cooling Duty SS/SS Impellers	2
7	CO ₂ Booster Compressor	Centrifugal	110 acfm 1,000 psig/1,110 psig 80 hp CS	1
8	Char Pneumatic System	Pressurized, CO ₂ Gas, including cyclone separators, dust baghouse, exhaust & FGR fans	75 tph	1
9	Char Silo	w/ Vent Filter and Rotary Valves, Forced Ventilation	700 ton	1
10	Char Feeder	Belt	75 tph	1
11	Char Conveyor	Belt	75 tph	1
12	Char Conveyor	Belt w/ scale	75 tph	1

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
13	Startup Stack	Reinforced concrete with a single fiber glass reinforced plastic liner	50% MCR - max. Liner ID 7 ft Height 170 ft	1

ACCOUNT 11 STEAM TURBINE GENERATOR AND AUXILIARIES

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Steam Turbine Generator and Ancillaries Package	Reheat, Tandem compound HP, IP, and two-flow LP turbines	240 MWe, 1,800 psia/1000°F/1000°F	1
2	Steam Turbine Generator	Hydrogen cooled, static excitation	270 MVA, 0.9 p.f., 60HZ, 3-ph	1
3	Steam Bypass		30% steam flow @ design steam conditions	1
4	Bearing Lube Oil Coolers	Plate and frame		2
5	Bearing Lube Oil Conditioner	Pressure filter closed loop		1
6	Control System	Digital electro-hydraulic		1
7	Generator Coolers	Plate and frame		2
8	Hydrogen Seal Oil System	Closed loop		1
9	Surface Condenser	Single pass, divided waterbox	1,300,000 lb/h 2.0 in HgA 75°F CW, 20°F rise Hotwell storage – 5 min	1
10	Condenser Vacuum Pumps	Rotary, water sealed	2,500/25 scfm (hogging/holding)	2

ACCOUNT 12 BOP, STEAM & WATER SYSTEMS

ACCOUNT 12.1 FEEDWATER AND CONDENSATE

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Condensate Storage Tank	Vertical, cylindrical, outdoor, 304 SS	100,000 gal	1
2	Condensate Pumps	Vertical canned	2,900 gpm, 420 ft, El. motor 380 hp	2

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
3	Deaerator	Horizontal spray type	3,100 gpm 40 psig, 5 ppb O ₂ , 5 min storage tank	1
4	High Pressure Feedwater Pump	Horizontal, multi-staged, centrifugal	3,100 gpm, 6,300 ft El. motor 7,000 hp	2

ACCOU 12.2 BOP EQUIPMENT

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Service Air Compressors	Reciprocating, single stage, double acting, horizontal	100 psig, 500 cfm	2
2	Instrument Air Dryers	Duplex, regenerative	500 cfm	2
3	Raw Water Booster Pumps	SS, single suction	125 ft, 2 x 100% @ 2,500 gpm each	2
4	Raw Water tank	Vertical, cylindrical	1,800,000 gal – 12 hr storage	1
5	Cooling Tower Water Makeup Pumps	Horizontal centrifugal, double suction	100 ft, 2 x 100% @ 4,000 gpm each	2
6	Wastewater Treatment System Clarification	Clarifier, including sludge pumps (4)	900 gpm	1
7	Sludge Dewatering	Filter Press, including filter press feed pumps (2)	70 ft ³	1
8	Service Water Pumps	SS, single suction	160 ft, 50 gpm	2
9	Fire Water Tank	Vertical, cylindrical	600,000 gal	1
10	Fire Service Booster Pump	Two-stage horizontal centrifugal	250 ft, 1,000 gpm	1
11	Engine-Driven Fire Pump	Vertical turbine, diesel engine	350 ft, 1,000 gpm	1
12	Closed Cooling Water Pumps	Horizontal, centrifugal	70 ft, 2 x 100% @ 27,000 gpm each	2
13	Closed Cooling Heat Exchangers	Plate	250 MMBtu/h	2

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
14	Chemical Feed Systems	Sodium hypochlorite, Sodium bisulfite, antiscalant, polymer, caustic, acid, incl. diaphragm-type chemical metering pumps	1-50 gph each nominal, 2 pumps per system	6
15	Demineralized Water Treatment	Multimedia filter, cartridge filter, RO pump, and reverse osmosis (RO) membrane assembly, air scour blower, EDI	100 gpm	1
16	Demineralized water transfer pumps	Horizontal, centrifugal	100 gpm @100 ft	2
17	Conductivity Sensors			15
18	Wastewater Treatment Reverse Osmosis Package	Multimedia filter, cartridge filter, RO pump, and reverse osmosis (RO) membrane assembly, air scour blower	410 gpm	1
19	Zero Liquid Discharge Equalization Tanks	Brine holding tank, Concentrate tank	500,000 gal 100,000 gal	1 ea.
20	Zero Liquid Discharge System	Evaporator, Crystallizer, distillate and condensate tanks, pumps, vapor compressors, chemical feed pumps, misc tanks, etc.	125 gpm nominal	1
21	Zero Liquid Discharge Dewatering	Pneumatic Pressure Filter, filtrate tank, pump	30 gpm max. feed	1
22	Auxiliary Boiler	Shop fab., water tube, NG fired	40,000 lb/h; 400 psig, 650°F	1
23	Chemical Storage Tanks	Caustic, Acid, Sodium Hypochlorite, Coagulant	6,000 gal. each nominal	4

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
24	Bulk Silo, Slurry Feed Systems	Lime, Soda Ash or Other, including slurry tank, mixer, pumps. etc	2,000 ft ³ nominal	2
25	Sludge Holding Tank	FRP with Mixer	6,000 gal nominal	1
26	Oil/Water Separator		25 gpm	1

ACCOUNT 13 COOLING WATER SYSTEM

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Circ. Water Pumps	Vertical wet pit	93,000 gpm, @ 100 ft El. motor 3,300 hp	2
2	Cooling Tower	Evaporative, mechanical draft, multi-cell	65°F WB 75°F CWT 95°F HWT 1,850 MMBtu/h	1

ACCOUNT 14 ASH HANDLING

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	Bottom Ash Cooler	Screw	9 tph	1
2	Fly Ash Cooler	Screw	20 tph	1
3	Ash pneumatic system	Vacuum	30 tph	1
4	Ash Silo w/ Slide Gate Valves	Reinforced concrete	2,000 tons	1
5	Truck Loading Station	w/dust collector	45 tph	1
6	Telescoping Loading Chute	--	45 tph	1

ACCOUNT 15 ACCESORIES ELECTRICAL PLANT

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	STG Step-up Transformer	Oil-filled	230 kV / 20kV, 220 MVA, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1
2	Start-up Transformer	Oil-filled	20 kV / 13.8 kV, 20 MVA, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1
3	Auxiliary Transformer	Oil-filled	20 kV / 13.8 kV, 49 MVA, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1
4	Auxiliary Transformer	Oil-filled	13.8 kV / 4.16 kV, 29 MVA, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1
5	LV Auxiliary Transformer	Dry ventilated	4.16 kV / 0.48 kV, 9 MVA, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1
6	Emergency Diesel Generator	Sized for emergency shutdown	3,600 kW, 480 V, 3-ph, 60 Hz	1

ACCOUNT 16 INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL

Equipment No.	Description	Type	Design Condition	Qty
1	DCS - Main Control	Monitor/keyboard; Operator printer – laser color; Eng. Printer – laser color	Operator Stations/Printers Engineering. Stations/Printers	4
2	DCS - Processor	Microprocessor with Redundant Input/Output		1
3	DCS - Data System	Optical Disk/Tape Backup	Historical Archive, Trends Logger, Report, Performance Monitoring	1
4	DCS - Data Highway	Fiber optic	Fully redundant, 25% spare

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix F: Capital Cost Details

when experience counts

Client: APS
Project: Hydrogasification / Substitute Natural Gas

Report Date: 2011-Feb-01

TOTAL PLANT COST SUMMARY

Case: POX H2 Gasification, CO2 for transport & Methanation Feed, CrystaSulf, and H2 Membrane
Plant Size: 201 MW,net Estimate Type: Conceptual Cost Base (Jun) 2010 (\$x1000)

Acct No.	Item/Description	Equipment Cost	Material Cost	Labor Cost	Bare Erected Cost \$	Eng'g CM H.O. & Fee	Contingency Project	TOTAL PLANT COST \$
	1 COAL HANDLING & PREPARATION	\$27,273	\$6,787	\$13,071	\$47,131	\$4,165	\$11,264	\$62,559
	2 GASIFIER & ACCESSORIES							
	2.1 Hydrogasification Reactor	\$42,659	\$0	\$19,672	\$62,330	\$6,111	\$27,377	\$95,818
	2.2-2.3 Other Gasification Equipment	\$0	\$7,308	\$3,883	\$11,191	\$1,020	\$2,945	\$15,155
	SUBTOTAL 2	\$42,659	\$7,308	\$23,555	\$73,521	\$7,131	\$30,321	\$110,973
	3 ASU & HYDROGEN PLANT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	4 RAW SYNGAS PM REMOVAL & COOLING	\$47,071	\$562	\$9,372	\$57,004	\$5,493	\$12,499	\$74,996
	5 ACID GAS REMOVAL/SULFUR RECOVERY	\$9,347	\$5,341	\$8,007	\$22,695	\$1,788	\$6,121	\$30,603
	6 SULFUR RECOVERY & TGTU (w/5.0)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	7 OTHER GAS PROCESSES							
	7.1 Methanation	\$33,641	\$11,858	\$25,377	\$70,877	\$6,949	\$15,565	\$93,390
	7.2 Deoxidation System	\$3,119	\$1,358	\$3,041	\$7,519	\$737	\$1,651	\$9,907
	7.3 SNG Purification & Compression	\$4,742	\$855	\$1,829	\$7,426	\$728	\$1,631	\$9,784
	7.4 Sour Water System	\$1,684	\$917	\$1,962	\$4,562	\$447	\$1,002	\$6,012
	7.5 Flare Stack	\$670	\$0	\$365	\$1,035	\$74	\$222	\$1,331
	7.6 CO2 Compression	\$25,916	\$4,299	\$9,199	\$39,414	\$3,864	\$8,656	\$51,934
	7.7 Fuel Gas Piping	\$147	\$157	\$330	\$634	\$59	\$139	\$832
	SUBTOTAL 7	\$69,919	\$19,444	\$42,103	\$131,467	\$12,858	\$28,865	\$173,190
	8 POLYGEN OPTIONS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	9 COMBUSTION TURBINE/ACCESSORIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	10 CHAR COMBUSTOR, DUCTING & STACK	\$53,246	\$2,557	\$5,122	\$60,926	\$4,201	\$22,565	\$87,692
	11 STEAM TURBINE GENERATOR	\$73,538	\$1,103	\$15,035	\$89,676	\$7,701	\$12,275	\$109,652
	12 FEEDWATER & MISC. BOP SYSTEMS	\$28,309	\$8,179	\$11,956	\$48,444	\$3,932	\$13,572	\$65,948
	13 COOLING WATER SYSTEM	\$12,719	\$8,097	\$10,167	\$30,982	\$2,797	\$6,147	\$39,926
	14 ASH HANDLING SYSTEM	\$4,028	\$2,301	\$1,780	\$8,110	\$737	\$1,354	\$10,201
	15 ACCESSORY ELECTRIC PLANT	\$11,414	\$6,827	\$11,989	\$30,229	\$2,620	\$5,608	\$38,457
	16 INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROL	\$5,540	\$1,427	\$4,146	\$11,113	\$996	\$1,737	\$13,845
	17 IMPROVEMENTS TO SITE	\$2,483	\$17,448	\$10,249	\$30,180	\$2,888	\$9,920	\$42,988
	18 BUILDINGS & STRUCTURES	\$0	\$9,816	\$9,195	\$19,011	\$1,686	\$5,174	\$25,871
	TOTAL COST	\$387,544	\$97,196	\$175,747	\$660,487	\$58,992	\$167,423	\$886,902

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix G: Operating Cost Details

when experience counts

INITIAL & ANNUAL O&M EXPENSES						
Client: APS				Cost Base (Jun):		2010
Project: Hydrogasification / Substitute Natural Gas				SNG (Mbtu/hr):		4825
Case: POX H2 Gasification, CO2 for transport & Methanation Feed, CrystaSulf, and H2 Membrane				MWe-net:		202
				Capacity Factor (%):		80
OPERATING & MAINTENANCE LABOR						
Operating Labor						
Operating Labor Rate (base):		34.65	\$ /hour			
Operating Labor Burden:		30.00	% of base			
Labor O-H Charge Rate:		25.00	% of labor			
Operating Labor Requirements(O.J.)per Shift:		1 unit/mod.	Total Plant			
Skilled Operator		2.0	2.0			
Operator		9.0	9.0			
Foreman		1.0	1.0			
Lab Tech's, etc.		1.0	1.0			
TOTAL-O.J.'s		13.0	13.0			
					Annual Cost (\$)	Annual Unit Cost (\$/Mbtu)
Annual Operating Labor Cost					\$5,129,725	\$0.121
Maintenance Labor Cost					\$4,897,962	\$0.116
Administrative & Support Labor					\$2,506,922	\$0.059
TOTAL FIXED OPERATING COSTS					\$12,534,609	\$0.297
VARIABLE OPERATING COSTS						
Maintenance Material Cost					\$7,346,944	\$0.174
Consumables						
		Consumption	Unit Cost	Initial Cost		
		Initial Fill	Per Day			
Water (/1000 gallons)		0.00	3,600.00	1.29	\$0	\$1,357,604
Chemicals						
MU & WT Chem. (lbs)		0.00	10,723.88	0.21	\$0	\$647,057
HG Removal Carbon Bed (lb)		54,000.00	73.97	1.25	\$67,709	\$27,083
Deoxidation Catalyst (ft3)		134.00	0.03	10,000.00	\$1,340,000	\$85,760
WW Carbon Bed (ft3)		264.00	0.36	156.73	\$41,378	\$16,551
ZnO Catalyst (ft3)		2,153.98	1.48	490.00	\$1,055,449	\$211,090
Methanation Catalyst (ft3)		8,697.40	8.69	1,950.00	\$16,959,937	\$4,947,782
CrystaSulf Solution (Make-Up)		0.00	1.00	13,222.81	\$0	\$3,861,062
Tri-Ethylene Glycol (lbs)		0.00	440.85	1.04	\$0	\$133,666
Subtotal Chemicals				\$19,464,471	\$9,930,051	\$0.294
Other						
Oxygen (lb)		0.00	7,002,720.00	0.00	\$0	\$0
Hydrogen (lb)		0.00	1,885,968.00	1.59	\$0	\$873,185,271
Subtotal Other				\$0	\$873,185,271	\$25.821
Waste Disposal						
Spent Mercury Catalyst (lb.)		0.00	73.97	0.25	\$0	\$5,400
Ash (ton)		0.00	933.31	19.37	\$0	\$5,279,423
Subtotal-Waste Disposal				\$0	\$5,284,823	\$0.156
By-products & Emissions						
Sulfur (tons)		0.00	20.59	30.00	\$0	(\$180,386)
Subtotal By-Products				\$0	(\$180,386)	(\$0.005)
TOTAL VARIABLE OPERATING COSTS					\$19,464,471	\$896,924,306
Fuel (ton)						
			3,125.02	29.50	\$0	\$26,918,888
						\$0.796

WorleyParsons
resources & energy

*APS Hydrogasification SNG
Preliminary Engineering Package
Appendices*

Appendix H: Hydrogen Source Review for Electrolysis

when experience counts

Hydrogen Source Review for Electrolysis

Prepared for:

Arizona Public Service Company

Prepared by:

WorleyParsons Group Inc.

**February 16, 2011
Revision A**

NOTICE

This document was prepared by WorleyParsons with the objective of performing a high level review of hydrogen production through electrolysis. The document examines both public available and project specific information regarding electrolysis.

This effort was not meant to be an exhaustive review of electrolysis processes.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Objective / Introduction	1
2	Hydrogen Sources.....	2
	2.1 Electrolysis.....	2
3	References	7

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2-1	Commercial or Near Commercial Hydrogen Production PEM and Alkaline Electrolysis Technology.....	2
Exhibit 2-2	Estimate Performance and Cost of Electrolyzers	3
Exhibit 2-3	Centralized Plant (50,000 kg/day H ₂ Production) Base Case Input to Cost Analysis	4
Exhibit 2-4	Breakdown of Centralized Plant Base Case Hydrogen Production Cost	4
Exhibit 2-5	Sensitivity Analysis Tornado Chart for Centralized Plant Base Case	5

Revision Record

Revision	Date	Content
A	2/16/2011	Issued with report for reference

1 Objective / Introduction

Arizona Public Service (APS) has been developing a process/project known as the APS Hydrogasification / SNG project. The primary objective of the project has been the development of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from indigenous coal. The project hydrogasification process requires a supply of approximately 79,000 lb/hr (930,000 kg/day) of hydrogen (H₂). APS has decided that hydrogen is best supplied by electrolysis.

The primary objective of this document is to provide a high level review of electrolysis performance and cost values to allow the reader to interpret the values utilized within the SNG analysis.

This paper provides a high level review of H₂ generation from electrolysis, including technical and cost information documented with the public domain by electrolysis vendors, information provided by one electrolysis vendor specific to the APS SNG process, as well as information taken from a 2009 independent review sponsored by USDOE.

2 Hydrogen Sources

The base case hydrogen sourcing for the APS hydrogasification process has always been electrolysis. Other hydrogen sources such as steam methane reforming (SMR) and gasification are also possible, but were not selected by APS for implementation in the process analysis. This paper documents publicly available information regarding electrolysis.

2.1 Electrolysis

The base hydrogen source proposed by APS from the very beginning has always been electrolysis. The use of electrolysis allowed for renewable wind energy to be utilized as well as excess nuclear power which are GHG neutral and have been indicated as being low cost energy sources, particularly at certain times of day and year. Both of these electric energy sources offer advantages to APS.

Hydrogen Technologies, formerly known as Norsk Hydro, and IHT are suppliers of large capacity commercial electrolyzers, up to 760 Nm³/hr (1,635 kg/day). Other suppliers of commercial or near commercial alkaline and polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolyzers, but at smaller unit capacities, include those identified in Exhibit 2-1.

Exhibit 2-1

Commercial or Near Commercial Hydrogen Production PEM and Alkaline Electrolysis Technology

Supplier	Location	Technology	Production Capacity (kg/day)	H ₂ Product Pressure (psi)
Avalance	United States	Unipolar Alkaline	Up to 10	Up to 6,500
Giner	United States	Bipolar PEM	Up to 8	Up to 1,250
H2 Technologies	Norway	Bipolar Alkaline	Up to 1,000	Atmospheric
Hydrogenics	United States	Bipolar PEM	Up to 127	Up to 363
IHT	Switzerland	Bipolar Alkaline	Up to 1,500	Up to 464
Proton	United States	Bipolar PEM	Up to 13	Up to 435

Reference [1, Table 1]

According to Hydrogen Technologies' website [2], they currently provide atmospheric electrolyzers with capacities up to about 485Nm³/hr of H₂ (about 1,040 kg/day). Hydrogen Technologies indicate that they are developing a pressurized electrolyzer operating at up to 30 barg (450 psia). In two memos provided to WorleyParsons by APS, Hydrogen Technologies provided an estimate of the electric power requirement and costs for a 500 Nm³/hr H₂ electrolyzer unit if a single unit was built, along with an estimate for future units assuming the development of more efficient manufacturing techniques and design optimization for high-volume applications [4,5]. The estimates provided in the memo and performance estimates obtained from the Hydrogen technology website are shown in Exhibit 2-2.

Exhibit 2-2 Estimate Performance and Cost of Electrolyzers

Supplier	Electrolyzer Type	Capacity	Specific Electric Power Required	Cost Today	Future Cost
Hydrogen Technologies, Statoil (formerly Norsk Hydro)	Pressurized alkaline (30 barg) (future) [2]	500Nm ³ /hr [2] (1,075 kg/day)	4.7 kWh/Nm ³ H ₂ [4] (53 kWh/kg) (74.5% HHV)	3.1 M€ [4] (\$3.9 M) – 2006\$ [\$3,600/(kg/day H ₂)] [\$1,660/kWe in]	750 – 900 K€ (\$950 K) – 2006\$ [4] [\$880/(kg/day H ₂)] [\$400/kWe in]
	Atmospheric alkaline [2]		4.3 kWh/Nm ³ H ₂ [2] (48 kWh/kg) (81.5% HHV)	Not available	Not available
	Atmospheric alkaline [5] <u>(delivered to 1150 psig, & 99.99% H₂)</u>		5.5 kWh/Nm ³ H ₂ [5] (62 kWh/kg) (63.7% HHV)	\$ 4.5 M (Y2010 \$) [5] [\$4,200/(kg/day H ₂)] [\$1,640/kWe in]	60% to 70% for high volume cost reduction, [5] i.e., \$ 1.35 – 1.8 M [\$1260-1670/(kg/day H ₂)] [\$490 - 650/kWe in]
IHT	Pressurized alkaline (Lurgi Tech.) (30 bar) [3]	to 760 Nm ³ /hr (1,630 kg/day) [3]	4.3 – 4.6 kWh/Nm ³ H ₂ dry [3] (48 – 51 kWh/kg) (81.5 -76.2% HHV)	Not available	Not available
	Atmospheric alkaline (Bamag Tech) [3]	to 330 Nm ³ /hr (710 kg/day) [3]	4.2 – 4.54 kWh/Nm ³ H ₂ dry [3] (47 – 51 kWh/kg) (83.4-77.2% HHV)	Not available	Not available

References: [2, 3, 4, 5]

IHT's website indicates that they supply both atmospheric electrolyzers with unit capacities up to 330 Nm³/hr (710 kg/day) and pressurized electrolyzers (based on the Lurgi pressurized electrolyzer) operating at 30 barg (450 psia) with capacities up to 760 Nm³/hr (1,630 kg/day) [3]. The estimated performance of the IHT atmospheric and pressurized electrolyzers, as provided by their website, is also shown in Exhibit 2-2. Cost information on the IHT electrolyzers was not available from the website. The information indicate that the specific power consumption is similar for the Hydrogen technologies and IHT technologies.

A major source of current (2009) state-of-the-art cost estimates for hydrogen from electrolysis is a report prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Renewable Energy Laboratory. This comprehensive report contains cost information for both "forecourt" refueling stations, based on a design capacity of 1,500 kg/day of H₂, and centralized electrolysis plants with total capacity of about 50,000 kg/day. The later would be most applicable to the APS project. The centralized plant was based on multiple electrolyzers with unit capacities no greater than 1,000 kg/day, consistent with the largest unit available from Hydrogen Technologies but slightly smaller than the largest pressurized unit available from IHT.

The DOE report provides a centralized plant Base Case estimate of about \$3.00/kg H₂ (\$2005) based on the input shown in Exhibit 2-3. The total depreciable cost for the Base Case was based on an electrolyzer cost of \$1000 per kilogram per day of H₂ production. A breakdown of the cost in terms of capital, fixed O&M, electricity cost, and other variable

costs reproduced in Exhibit 2-4 indicates that electricity cost represents about 76% of the total cost of hydrogen production via electrolysis.

Exhibit 2-3
Centralized Plant (50,000 kg/day H₂ Production) Base Case Input to Cost Analysis

Central Production	Vendor Range	Panel Base Case
Total Depreciable Capital Cost (M\$)	\$17.9 to \$56.3	\$50
Energy use (kWh/kg)	48 to 59	50
Electricity Price	NA	0.045 \$/kWh
Electrolyzer Cells Capital Replacement (M\$)	\$1.2 to \$19.7	\$12.5
(% of Total Depreciable Capital)	6% to 35%	25%
Electrolyzer Cell Replacement Interval (yrs)	7 to 10	7
Working Capital (% of Change in Operating Costs)	NA	5%
FTE's	5 to 10	10
Production Maintenance (% of Total Depr. Capital)	1% to 3%	2%
Process Water (gal/kg-H₂)	2.6 to 2.9	2.5
Cooling Water (gal/kg-H₂)	0.1 to 290	290
All other Variable Costs (k\$/yr)	NG	\$0
Op. Capacity Factor (%)	98-99.5	98%
Start-up time (months)	6	6
Land Cost (\$/acre)	NA	\$50,000

Reference [1, Table 4]

Exhibit 2-4
Breakdown of Centralized Plant Base Case Hydrogen Production Cost

Reference [1, Figure 9]

The DOE report also provides a most useful “tornado” chart, reproduced in Exhibit 2-5, that shows the estimated variation in hydrogen production cost from the Base Case cost resulting from variations in the component costs. The range of values for the parameters

was selected based on the reviewers' best estimate for the tenth-percentile and ninetieth-percentile likely values for the parameters of importance.

Exhibit 2-5 Sensitivity Analysis Tornado Chart for Centralized Plant Base Case

Reference [1, Figure 6].

The \$1000 per kilogram per day electrolyzer cost assumed in the DOE study is close to the \$880 per kilogram per day future price of pressurized electrolyzers estimated by Hydrogen Technologies assuming high volume, more efficient manufacturing techniques and design optimization for high volume applications. It is notably lower than the \$1260-1670 per kilogram per day future price of the atmospheric electrolyzer estimated by Hydrogen Technologies for the specific purity and pressure requirement of the SNG project. For perspective, it is significantly lower than the \$3600 to \$4200 per kilogram per day current day price estimate of Hydrogen Technologies taking into account today's designs and manufacturing capabilities. The capital cost range utilized in the "tornado" chart in Exhibit 2-5 corresponds to \$460 and 1480 per kilogram per day¹.

The conclusion from the independent review panel summary report of 2009, found that:

"The current (2009) state-of-the art plant gate cost for hydrogen from a central electrolysis operation ranges from \$2.70/kg-H₂ to \$3.50/kg-H₂ with a base-case estimate of \$3.00/kg-H₂. These costs are evaluated at an assumed renewable-based electricity cost of \$0.045/kWh, which was supplied to the Panel by DOE and based on wind-generated electricity." [1] These costs are Y2005 USD.

¹ The base case capital cost of \$50 million for the 50,000 kg H₂/d corresponds to a specific capital cost of \$1000/kg H₂/d. Thus the tornado plot capital cost range of \$23 to 74 million corresponds to a specific capital cost of \$460 to \$1480 /kg H₂/d.

In Section 7.5 of the accompanying SNG report [6], the APS SNG project specific H₂ production price was estimated to be \$3.50/kg-H₂ (Y2010), which at the upper end of the review panels cost range. The SNG report utilized electric rates provided by APS, electrolyzer costs provided by Hydrogen Technologies, and capacity factor of 80% specific to the project as opposed to the 98% utilized in the review panel report. Thus the review panel report provides collaboration towards the credibility of the \$3.50/kg-H₂ price developed within the body of the report. The \$3.50/kg-H₂ value developed for the SNG project analysis is considered superior to the generic values of the review document since this value is based on APS SNG project specific information.

3 References

- 1 "Current (2009) State-of-the-Art Hydrogen Production Cost Estimate Using Water Electrolysis," Independent Review Published for the U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen Program, NERL/BK-6A1-46676, September 2009.
- 2 <http://www3.statoil.com/hydrogentechnologies>, as of 2010-03-10 and 2011-02-16.
- 3 <http://iht.ch/technologie/electrolysis/industry/home.html>, as of 2011-02-16.
- 4 Memo from Roy Grelland (Norsk Hydro Electrolysers, AS) to J.D. Serber & Roy Hobbs (APS), Re: Solar to hydrogen plant in Arizona, US, 2006-07-12.
- 5 Memo from Atle Taalesen, Regional Sales Director (Statoil, Hydrogen Technologies) to Sally Sun (APS), Re: Solar to hydrogen plant in Arizona, US, 2010-10-07.
- 6 "Preliminary Engineering Package for Hydrogasification/ Substitute Natural Gas Commercial Scale Facility- Conceptual Design," prepared for Arizona Public Service, prepared by WorleyParsons, 2011-02-16.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK