SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE FORWARD SCATTERING AMPLITUDE

A. P. Balachandran

FEB 26 1964

MASTER

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

THE ENRICO FERMI INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR STUDIES

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE FORWARD SCATTERING AMPLITUDE

A. P. Balachandran

The Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies
The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

Facsimile Price \$	<u>3.60</u>
Microfilm Price \$	<u>1.19</u>
Available from the Office of Technical Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	

LEGAL NOTICE
<p>This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:</p> <p>A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or</p> <p>B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.</p> <p>As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.</p>

October, 1963

Contract No. AT(11-1)-264

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE FORWARD SCATTERING AMPLITUDE*

A. P. Balachandran[†]

The Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies
The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

ABSTRACT

We develop a class of inequalities involving the real parts of the forward scattering amplitude at arbitrary energies and certain finite integrals over total cross sections. These should prove useful in the resolution of phase shift ambiguities in the analyses of scattering data and are sufficiently flexible to be applied even in situations where, for instance, the magnitude of the residue at a pole is not known.

*Work supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†]On leave of absence from The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras, India.

I. Introduction

Since the first development of the subject by Goldberger and others,¹ forward dispersion relations have been extensively used in the phenomenological analysis of π -N,² K-N³ and N-N⁴ scattering data. The technique has had a somewhat limited success, however, due to the presence of three adverse factors: a) Ignorance of total cross sections in the high energy region, b) Ignorance of the magnitudes of the residues at certain poles, and c) The presence of unphysical regions in the dispersion integrals. The dispersion relations have hitherto been used as identities for the real parts of the forward scattering amplitudes in terms of certain integrals over total cross sections. We wish to demonstrate in this paper that if these are converted into suitable inequalities, the factors a) and b) need no longer be problems while the difficulties associated with the factor c) can be rendered much less severe. It is, however, true that in this process, we lose some of the information which are in principle contained in the canonical identities.

Section II begins with a derivation of these inequalities for π^+ -p scattering under very weak assumptions regarding the asymptotic behavior^u of the amplitude. These results are then extended by observing that the π^- -p total cross section seems to be always somewhat larger than the π^+ -p total cross section beyond a certain energy. Still further inequalities can be proved if we are willing to assume that the real part of the amplitude does not increase like energy itself at large energies. Evidence

for this assumption is somewhat ambiguous, but it seems intuitively rather plausible.

Section III is concerned with the $K^+ - p$ system which is a typical example where both unknown coupling constants and unphysical regions occur. We show how inequalities can be written down which do not involve coupling constants provided their signs are known. These signs depend essentially only on the relative parities of the particles involved and are rather well established for this system.⁵ The section concludes with some remarks on the unphysical region.

The appendix describes how one can derive a whole class of simpler inequalities from the previous results and follows the discussion of a similar problem by the author in a different context.⁶

The extension of the foregoing considerations to other scattering processes is fairly trivial and is not therefore considered in this paper.

For the benefit of the reader interested primarily in the results, we mention that these are contained in Eqs. (II.9), (II.16), (II.23), (III.4), (III.6), (III.7), (III.8), (III.9), (III.10) and the appendix. The principal conventions about notation are explained at the beginning of Sections II and III, in the three paragraphs which follow Eq. (II.8) and in the ones which include Eqs. (II.11) and (III.7).

II. The π -p Forward Scattering Amplitude

Let ω and k denote the laboratory energy and momentum of the pion and let m_π and m_N denote the pion and nucleon masses. The twice subtracted forward dispersion relation is then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega) = & \frac{2f^2}{\omega + \omega_N} + a + b\omega + \frac{\omega^2}{\pi} p \int_{m_\pi}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'^2} \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega')}{\omega' - \omega} + \frac{\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega')}{\omega' + \omega} \right], \quad \omega \geq m_\pi \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.1})$$

where $f_{\pm}(\omega)$ are the $\pi^{\pm}$ -p forward scattering amplitudes, $f^2 = 0.08$ and $\omega_N = m_\pi^2 / (2m_N)$. For (II.1) to be true, it is sufficient that $f_{\pm}(\omega)$ be $O(\omega^{\delta+\alpha} \ln^\alpha \omega)$ for $\delta < 1$ and for some α as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ in any direction. If $\sigma_{\pm}(\omega)$ are the total cross sections, $f_{\pm}(\omega)$ are normalized such that

$$\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \sigma_{\pm}(\omega), \quad \omega \geq m_\pi \quad (\text{II.2})$$

The symmetry relation

$$f_{\pm}(-\omega) = f_{\pm}(\omega) \quad (\text{II.3})$$

is also necessary to derive (II.1)

The symbols O and o will often be used in this paper in certain well-known senses. Thus, $f_{\pm}(\omega) = O(\omega)$ as $\omega \rightarrow a$ means that $|f_{\pm}(\omega)| < C|\omega|$ for some fixed C as $\omega \rightarrow a$ while $f_{\pm}(\omega) = o(\omega)$ as $\omega \rightarrow a$ means that $|f_{\pm}(\omega)| < \epsilon|\omega|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow a$. The latter in particular can also be written as $f_{\pm}(\omega)/\omega \rightarrow 0$

as $\omega \rightarrow a$.

We will derive inequalities for the values of $f_-(\omega)$ only at energies with an immediate experimental significance. It is easy enough, however, to extend these results into inequalities involving its value at any real or complex point by techniques similar to those described in Reference 6.

Let ω_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) be any p distinct points at which $\text{Re } f_-(\omega)$ is known through, for instance, a phase shift analysis and let ω_i ($i = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$) be the negatives of any $n-p$ points at which $\text{Re } f_+(\omega)$ is known. Further, let E_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$) be some real variables. Define

$$g_-(\omega) = f_-(\omega) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (\omega - E_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\omega - \omega_i)} \quad (\text{II.4})$$

where the product in the numerator is to be set equal to one if $n = 3$. We assume that $f_-(\omega) = O(\omega^{2+\delta} \ln^\alpha \omega)$ for $\delta < 1$ and for some α as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ in any direction. For real directions, this is almost certainly true, being much weaker than the Froissart bound.⁷ The dispersion relation for $g_-(\omega)$ with these assumptions reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } g_-(\omega) = & - \frac{2f_-^2}{(\omega + \omega_N)} \frac{\prod (\omega_N + E_i)}{\prod (\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \frac{\text{Re } f_-(\omega_j)}{(\omega - \omega_j)} \frac{\prod (\omega_j - E_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\ & + \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\infty} d\omega' \left[\frac{\text{Im } f_-(\omega')}{(\omega' - \omega)} \frac{\prod (\omega' - E_i)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{\text{Im } f_+(\omega')}{(\omega' + \omega)} \frac{\prod (\omega' + E_i)}{\prod (\omega' + \omega_i)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega \geq m_\pi \quad (\text{II.5})$$

where the principle value is to be taken at each of the ω_i and at ω . The relative minus sign of the two terms in the integral has come about from the identity

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (-\omega' - E_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (-\omega' - \omega_i)} = - \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_i)} \quad (\text{II.6})$$

and is important for our analysis.

The Froissart bound⁷ also implies that $\text{Re } f_-(\omega) = \mathcal{O}(\omega^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ along the real axis. Therefore, $g_-(\omega) = \mathcal{O}(1/\omega)$ or the coefficient of $1/\omega$ on the right side of (II.5) must vanish as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_i)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \text{Re } f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - E_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\ & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\infty} d\omega' \left[\text{Im } f_-(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} + \text{Im } f_+(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \right] \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.7})$$

At the negative ω_j , $\text{Re } f_-(\omega_j)$ can be expressed in terms of $\text{Re } f_+(-\omega_j)$ through the symmetry relation (II.3). The sum rule (II.7) thus involves only measurable quantities. For sufficiently

large ω' , each term of the integrand is positive definite since $\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega')$ is so because of (II.2). Therefore, cancellation between these two terms cannot be responsible for the convergence of the integrals. But since the integrals must necessarily exist if what we have assumed about $\text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega)$ is correct, $\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega)$ must in fact be $O(\omega^2/\ln \omega)$ and not merely $O(\omega^{2+\delta} \ln^{\alpha} \omega)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ along the real axis. We will often encounter this type of result in our subsequent analysis.⁸

Let us now define two real energies ω_r and ω_l such that $\omega_r > \max \omega_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) and $\omega_l > \max |\omega_i|$ ($i = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$). We can then rewrite (II.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_i)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega_j) \frac{\pi(\omega_j - E_i)}{\pi(\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\
 & - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\omega_l} d\omega' \text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{\omega_l}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \\
 & = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\infty} d\omega' \text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_l}^{\infty} d\omega' \text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{II.8}$$

It is convenient to introduce a few definitions at this point: a) For any real a and b with $a \leq b$, $\{E_i\} \in D(a, b)$ if some pairs of E_i are equal and assume any real value and the rest are constrained by the inequality $a \leq E_i \leq b$. b) $\omega_r \in E_p(\omega_l)$ if $\omega_r > \max \omega_i$ ($i = q, q+1, \dots, q+p$). c) For a real ω , $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_l, \omega)$ if $\omega_r > \max \omega_i$ ($i = q, q+1, \dots, q+p$) or

$\omega_r \geq \omega$ according as whether $\max \omega_i > \omega$ or $\omega > \max \omega_i$ for $i = q, q+1, \dots, q+p$. In this case, if $\max \omega_i = \omega$, ω_r should be $> \omega$. The corresponding notation for ω_p can be illustrated by observing that in (II.8), $\omega_p \in E_{n-p}(|\omega_{p+1}|)$:
 d) For a real μ , $\{\omega_i\} \in R(\mu)$ if all the ω_i are $\geq \mu$. e) $\{\omega_i\} \in \bar{R}(\mu)$ if all the ω_i are $> \mu$. f) $\{\omega_i\} \in S(-\mu)$ if all the ω_i are $\leq -\mu$.

The definitions a), d), e) and f) will also be used for the individual ω_i . Thus, ^{for instance,} if only the first p of the ω_i 's are $\geq \mu$, we will write $\omega_i \in R(\mu)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$).

The contents of this notation will perhaps be clarified if it is observed that if all the ω_i are π^- -p points, $\{\omega_i\} \in R(m_\pi)$ while if only the first p of them are π^- -p points and the rest negatives of π^+ -p points, $\omega_i \in R(m_\pi)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $\omega_i \in S(-m_\pi)$ for $i = p+1, p+2, \dots, n$.

The domains of ω_r and ω_p have been so defined in (II.8) as to render the products $\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)$ and $\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)$ positive definite on its right side. Therefore, since $\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega)$ are also positive definite, the right side as a whole is so when $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_p, \omega_r)$.

That is,

$$-2f^2 \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (\omega_N + E_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re } f_{-}(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j}^n (\omega_j - \omega_i)} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
& - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} > 0 \quad (\text{II.9})
\end{aligned}$$

if the first p of the ω_i 's $\in R(m_\pi)$, the rest of the ω_i 's $\in S(-m_\pi)$, $\omega_r \in E_p(\omega_1)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_{n-p}(|\omega_{p+1}|)$ and $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r)$. This is the first of the promised inequalities and has the interesting feature that it allows the use of π^- -p and π^+ -p data simultaneously. Incidentally, it is not permitted to set both ω_r and ω_ℓ equal to infinity in (II.9), for then, we get back (II.7). The inequality of course gets stronger with increasing ω_r and ω_ℓ .

The reason why we arranged for a minus sign between the two terms of the integral in (II.5) should now be clear. If it had been a plus, we could not have got any inequality involving only finite integrals without extra assumptions regarding the relative magnitudes of the two total cross sections. This last observation will be developed further presently. [Cf. Eq. (II.16) with condition a) and $E_{n-3} = -\infty$.]

It is instructive to rewrite (II.9) in terms of $f_+(\omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2f^2 \frac{\prod (\omega_N - E_l)}{\prod (\omega_N - \omega_l)} + \sum_j \operatorname{Re} f_+(\omega_j) \frac{\prod (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \\
& \times \frac{\prod (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod (\omega' + \omega_l)} \\
& > 0 \quad (\text{II.10})
\end{aligned}$$

when $\omega_r \in E_p(\omega_1)$, $\omega_l \in E_{n-p}(|\omega_{p+1}|)$ and $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_l, \omega_r)$. The ω_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$) now correspond to π^+ -p points and the rest of the ω_i correspond to negatives of the π^- -p points.

To proceed further, let us assume, as is indicated by experiments, that $\sigma_-(\omega) \geq \sigma_+(\omega)$ for $\omega \geq \omega_0$ where ω_0 is to be determined empirically. Let

$$\text{Im } f_-(\omega) = \text{Im } f_+(\omega) + \varphi_-(\omega) \quad (\text{II.11})$$

where $\varphi_-(\omega) \geq 0$ for $\omega \geq \omega_0$. In the first instance, let $\{\omega_i\} \in R(m_\pi)$, that is, let all of them be π^- -p points. We can rewrite (II.7) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & -2f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_l)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_l)} + \sum_j \text{Re } f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\pi(\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\ & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_l)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_l} d\omega' \text{Im } f_+(\omega') \times \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\pi(\omega' - E_l)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_l)} + \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_l)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] \\ & = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\infty} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_l)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_l)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_l}^{\infty} d\omega' \text{Im } f_+(\omega') \times \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\pi(\omega' - E_l)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_l)} + \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_l)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] \quad (\text{II.12}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_r \in E_n(\omega_1, \omega_0)$ and $\omega_l \in E_n(\omega_1)$. The first integral on the right side of (II.12) is positive if $\{E_i\} \in D(-\infty, \omega_r)$.

Since all the ω_i are positive and $\omega_\ell > \max \omega_i$, it is true that

$$\frac{1}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_\ell)} > \frac{1}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_\ell)} > 0 \quad (\text{II.13})$$

Since also

$$\pi(\omega' - E_\ell) > 0,$$

$$\pi(\omega' - E_\ell) + \pi(\omega' + E_\ell) \geq 0 \quad (\text{II.14})$$

when $E_i \leq 0$ for every i , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_\ell)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_\ell)} + \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_\ell)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_\ell)} &> \frac{1}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_\ell)} [\pi(\omega' - E_\ell) + \pi(\omega' + E_\ell)] \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.15})$$

when $E_i \leq 0$. Putting all these together, we find,

$$\begin{aligned} &-2f^2 \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-3} (\omega_N + E_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (\omega_N + \omega_\ell)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell \neq j}^n (\omega_j - \omega_\ell)} \\ &- \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_\ell)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \times \\ &\times \left[\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_\ell)} + \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_\ell)}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_\ell)} \right] > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.16})$$

if any one of the following conditions are satisfied:

- a) All the $\omega_i \in R(m_\pi)$, $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, \omega_0)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(\omega_1)$ and $E_1 \leq 0$.
~~or~~ b) The first p of the $\omega_i \in R(m_\pi)$, the rest of the $\omega_i \in S(-m_\pi)$, the choice of the ω_i is such that (II.13) holds for $\omega' \geq \omega_\ell$, $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, \omega_0)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$ and $E_1 \leq 0$. c) $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, \omega_0)$ and $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$, and $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_\ell)$ or $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r)$ according as whether $\omega_\ell \leq \omega_r$ or $\omega_\ell > \omega_r$. The $\{\omega_i\}$ here are the usual mixture of $\pi^\pm$ - p points. This last condition follows directly from (II.12) while b) is evident from the derivation leading to (II.16).

It may be useful to observe that we do not really require $\varphi_-(\omega)$ to be positive definite for all $\omega \geq \omega_0$. It is sufficient if

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\infty} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\prod (\omega' - E_i)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_i)} \geq 0 \quad (\text{II.17})$$

for the appropriate values of E_1 , or in case it is negative, if the second integral in (II.12) dominates the first for these E_1 . Eq. (II.17) looks rather plausible since at the observed energies $\geq \omega_0$, $\varphi_-(\omega)$ is positive while the contribution from a possible change of sign of $\varphi_-(\omega)$ at higher energies tends to get suppressed by the extra factor $1/\omega'^3$ in the integrand. If desired, the high energy contribution can be suppressed further by reducing the number of E_1 to $n-3-2p$ for some integer p . This will change the factor $1/\omega'^3$ to $1/\omega'^{3+2p}$ while leaving the inequalities unaltered.

Observations seem to reveal that total cross sections approach constants at high energies which implies that $\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega) \sim \omega^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \sigma_{\pm}(\omega)$ for large ω . Due to the incoherence of many phase shifts and to the dominance of inelastic channels, we would also expect $\text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega)/\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega)$ or equivalently, $\text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega)/\omega$ to tend to zero with increasing energy. Slightly weaker assumptions than these are sufficient for our purposes. Thus we shall assume that $f_{\pm}(\omega) = O(\omega^{1+\delta} \ln^{\alpha} \omega)$ for $\delta < 1$ and for some α as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ in any direction and $\text{Re } f_{\pm}(\omega)/\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ along the real axis.⁹ If we choose $n=2$, instead of $n=3$, E_i 's with $n \geq 2$, (II.7) is seen to be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_i)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \text{Re } f_{-}(\omega_j) \frac{\pi(\omega_j - E_i)}{\pi(\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\omega' \left[\text{Im } f_{-}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \text{Im } f_{+}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \right] \\
 & = 0 \quad (\text{II.18})
 \end{aligned}$$

where the products in the numerators are as usual to be set equal to one if $n = 2$. Write this equation in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_i)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \text{Re } f_{-}(\omega_j) \frac{\pi(\omega_j - E_i)}{\pi(\omega_j - \omega_i)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_{-}(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_{\pi}}^{\omega_e} d\omega' \text{Im } f_{+}(\omega') \times
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left[\frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \right] \\
& = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\infty} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_l}^{\infty} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \right] \quad (\text{II.19})
\end{aligned}$$

With the stated assumptions, the two infinite integrals in (II.19) will exist.⁸ Let $\{\omega_i\} \in R(m_\pi)$ and $\omega_{r,l} \in E_n(\omega_1)$. Since

$$\pi(\omega' - E_i) - \pi(\omega' + E_i) \geq 0$$

and

$$\pi(\omega' - E_i) > 0 \quad (\text{II.20})$$

for $E_i \leq 0$ for every i , the last integral is positive in this range of E_i because of (II.13). If we assume further that

$\varphi_-(\omega) \geq 0$ for $\omega \geq \omega_0$, (II.19) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 f^2 \frac{\pi(\omega_N + E_i)}{\pi(\omega_N + \omega_i)} + \sum_j \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\pi(\omega_j - E_i)}{\pi(\omega_j - \omega_i)} - \\
& - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_l} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \times \\
& \quad \times \left[\frac{\pi(\omega' - E_i)}{\pi(\omega' - \omega_i)} - \frac{\pi(\omega' + E_i)}{\pi(\omega' + \omega_i)} \right] > 0 \quad (\text{II.21})
\end{aligned}$$

for $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, \omega_0)$, $\omega_l \in E_n(\omega_1)$ and $E_i \leq 0$. For fixed E_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$), the left side of (II.21) is linear in

E_{n-2} and will therefore be positive for $-\infty \leq E_{n-2} \leq 0$ if it is positive at the points $E_{n-2} = -\infty$ and $E_{n-2} = 0$. The point $E_{n-2} = -\infty$ gives us back (II.16) while $E_{n-2} = 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2f^2 \omega_N \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_N + E_l)}{\prod (\omega_N + \omega_l)} + \sum_j \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \omega_j \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_N} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \omega' \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_N} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \omega' x \\
 & \times \left[\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(II.22)

when $E_i \leq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$). We should now set E_{n-3} also equal to zero since $E_{n-3} = -\infty$ gives back (II.16) with its $E_{n-3} = 0$. Proceeding in this way, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2f^2 \frac{\omega_N^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_N + \omega_l)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\omega_j^{n-2}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_N} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_\pi}^{\omega_N} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \omega'^{n-2} x \\
 & \times \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

(II.23)

where $\{\omega_i\} \in R(m_\pi)$, $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, \omega_0)$ and $\omega_l \in E_n(\omega_1)$. This equation is also true if the last $n-p$ ω_i 's denote negatives of the π^+ -p points provided $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, \omega_0)$, $\omega_l \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$ and the choice of the ω_i is such that (II.13) holds for $\omega' \geq \omega_l$.

If $\varphi_-(\omega)$ is not positive definite for all $\omega \geq \omega_0$, we require instead that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_r}^{\infty} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_\lambda)} \geq 0 \quad (\text{II.24})$$

If it also turns out to be negative, it is sufficient if the right side of (II.19) is positive when all the E_i are zero.

III. The K^- -p Forward Scattering Amplitude

The K^- -p forward dispersion relation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re } f_-(\omega) = & \frac{f_\Lambda^2}{\omega - \omega_\Lambda} + \frac{f_\Sigma^2}{\omega - \omega_\Sigma} + a + b\omega \\ & + \frac{\omega^2}{\pi} P \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'^2} \frac{\text{Im } f_-(\omega')}{(\omega' - \omega)} + \frac{\omega^2}{\pi} P \int_{m_K}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'^2} \frac{\text{Im } f_+(\omega')}{(\omega' + \omega)}, \quad \omega > \mu \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.1})$$

with

$$\omega_\lambda = \frac{m_\lambda^2 - m_N^2 - m_K^2}{2m_N}, \quad \lambda = \Lambda, \Sigma$$

and

$$\mu = \frac{(m_\lambda + m_\pi)^2 - m_N^2 - m_K^2}{2m_N} \quad (\text{III.2})$$

The residues f_λ^2 and f_Σ^2 at the λ and Σ poles have positive signs since the K- λ and the K- Σ relative parities are odd.⁵

If $f_-(\omega) = O(\omega^{2+\delta} \ln^\alpha \omega)$ for some α and for $\delta < 1$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ in any direction and if $\text{Re } f_-(\omega) = O(\omega^2)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ along the real axis, we can prove a sum rule similar to (II.7):

$$\begin{aligned} & f_\lambda^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_\lambda - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\lambda - \omega_l)} + f_\Sigma^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_\Sigma - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\Sigma - \omega_l)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re } f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^n (\omega_j - \omega_l)} \\ & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} d\omega' \text{Im } f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\infty} d\omega' \text{Im } f_+(\omega') \times \\ & \times \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.3})$$

The ranges of the indices have been indicated in (III.3) as a reminder. The first p of the ω_i are taken to be K^- - p points (not necessarily in the physical region) and the remaining ω_i are negatives of K^+ - p points. We know that $\text{Im } f_{\pm}(\omega)$ will vanish like k as $\omega \rightarrow m_K$ if we exclude the improbable situation where the total cross section becomes infinite at zero kinetic energy. This

follows trivially from the optical theorem. However, since there is no such restriction at the point $\omega = \mu$, $\text{Im } f_-(\omega)$ may not even vanish (let alone vanish sufficiently fast) as $\omega \rightarrow \mu$. The principal value integral may therefore diverge if $\omega_1 = \mu$ so that we should require $\omega_i \in \bar{R}(\mu)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Choosing an $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$ and an $\omega_\ell \in E_{n-p}(|\omega_{p+1}|)$, we learn from (III.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_\Lambda^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_\Lambda - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\Lambda - \omega_l)} + f_\Sigma^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_\Sigma - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\Sigma - \omega_l)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re } f_-(\omega_j) \times \\
 & \times \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j}^n (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \text{Im } f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \text{Im } f_+(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} > 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{III.4}$$

when $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r)$. The unphysical region has not been removed from (III.4); this point will be discussed later on. When the argument of $f_-(\omega)$ becomes negative, the analogue of the symmetry (II.3) can be used to calculate its value.

Since the magnitudes of f_Λ^2 and f_Σ^2 are but poorly known, we shall now proceed to eliminate them from (III.4). Rewriting it in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_j \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - E_i)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_i)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod (\omega' - E_i)}{\prod (\omega' - \omega_i)} \\
& - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \frac{\prod (\omega' + E_i)}{\prod (\omega' + \omega_i)} \\
& > - f_\lambda^2 \frac{\prod (\omega_\lambda - E_i)}{\prod (\omega_\lambda - \omega_i)} - f_\Sigma^2 \frac{\prod (\omega_\Sigma - E_i)}{\prod (\omega_\Sigma - \omega_i)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r) \quad (\text{III.5}),$$

We observe that we have essentially to search for ranges of E_i which will make the right side positive. Two cases are possible:

a) $\pi(\omega_\lambda - \omega_i) > 0$, $\lambda = \Lambda, \Sigma$. In this case, the right side is positive if i) an odd number of E_i are $\geq \omega_\Sigma$, ii) an even number of the remaining E_i satisfy $\omega_\lambda \leq E_i \leq \omega_\Sigma$ and iii) the rest of $\lambda E_i \in D(-\infty, \omega_\lambda)$.

b) $\pi(\omega_\lambda - \omega_i) < 0$, $\lambda = \Lambda, \Sigma$. In this case, the right side is positive if i) an even number of E_i are $\geq \omega_\Sigma$, ii) an even number of the remaining E_i satisfy $\omega_\lambda \leq E_i \leq \omega_\Sigma$ and iii) the rest of the $E_i \in D(-\infty, \omega_\lambda)$.

Combining these with the restriction $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r)$, we finally arrive at the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_{-}(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_{-}(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
& - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_{+}(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

(III.6)

when $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_{n-p}(|\omega_{p+1}|)$ and i) an odd (even) number of E_i are contained in $\omega_\Sigma \leq E_i \leq \omega_r$, ii) an even number of the remaining E_i are contained in $\omega_\lambda \leq E_i \leq \omega_\Sigma$ and iii) the rest of the $E_i \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_\lambda)$ if $\pi(\omega_\lambda - \omega_1) > 0 (< 0)$. Here only the first p of the ω_i need belong to $\bar{R}(\mu)$. Notice that $\pi(\omega_\lambda - \omega_1) > 0$ or < 0 according as whether p is even or odd.

Experimental evidence indicates that $\sigma_{-}(\omega) \geq \sigma_{+}(\omega)$ for every finite ω . This means that $\operatorname{Im} f_{-}(\omega) - \operatorname{Im} f_{+}(\omega) = \varphi_{-}(\omega) \geq 0$ for $\omega \geq m_K$. The analogue of (II.16) is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_{\lambda}^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_{\lambda} - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_{\lambda} - \omega_l)} + f_{\Sigma}^2 \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_{\Sigma} - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_{\Sigma} - \omega_l)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_{-}(\omega_j) \times \\
& \times \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j} (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{m_K} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_{-}(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
& - \frac{p}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_{-}(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \left[\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} + \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] > 0 \quad (\text{III.7})$$

if any one of the following conditions are satisfied: a) $\{\omega_i\} \in \bar{R}(\mu)$, $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(\omega_1)$ and $E_i \leq 0$. b) The first p of the ω_i 's $\in \bar{R}(\mu)$, the rest $\in S(-m_K)$, the choice of the ω_i is such that (II.13) holds for $\omega' \geq \omega_\ell$, $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$ and $E_i \leq 0$. c) $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$ and $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$, and $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_\ell)$ or $\{E_i\} \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_r)$ according as whether $\omega_\ell \leq \omega_r$ or $\omega_\ell > \omega_r$. Here too, only the first p ω_i need belong to $\bar{R}(\mu)$.

We can eliminate the f_λ^2 terms easily. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega_j - E_l)}{\prod_{l \neq j}^n (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{m_K} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\ & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \times \\ & \times \left[\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' - E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} + \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{n-3} (\omega' + E_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] > 0 \end{aligned}$$

if a) n is odd, $\{\omega_i\} \in \bar{R}(\mu)$, $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(\omega_1)$ and $E_1 \leq 0$, or b) The first p of the $\omega_i \in \bar{R}(\mu)$ where p is odd, $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$, (II.13) is true for $\omega' \geq \omega_\ell$ and $E_1 \leq 0$, or c) $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$ and i) an odd (even) number of E_i are contained in $\omega_\Sigma \leq E_i \leq \min\{\omega_r, \omega_\ell\}$, ii) an even number of the remaining E_i are contained in $\omega_\lambda \leq E_i \leq \omega_\Sigma$ and iii) the rest of the $E_i \in D(-\omega_\ell, \omega_\lambda)$ if $\pi(\omega_\lambda - \omega_i) > 0$ (< 0).

Finally, if $f_-(\omega) = O(\omega^{1+\delta} \ln^\alpha \omega)$ for $\delta < 1$ and for some α as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ in any direction, $\operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega) = o(\omega)$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ along the real axis and $\varphi_-(\omega) \geq 0$ for $\omega \geq m_K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_\lambda^2 \frac{\omega_\lambda^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\lambda - \omega_l)} + f_\Sigma^2 \frac{\omega_\Sigma^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega_\Sigma - \omega_l)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_-(\omega_j) \frac{\omega_j^{n-2}}{\prod_{l \neq j}^n (\omega_j - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{m_K} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_-(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_-(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_\ell} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_+(\omega') \omega'^{n-2} \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] \\
 & > 0 \quad \quad \quad (\text{III.9})
 \end{aligned}$$

if $\{\omega_i\} \in \bar{R}(\mu)$, $\omega_r \in F_n(\omega_1, m_K)$ and $\omega_\ell \in E_n(\omega_1)$. If only the first p of the $\omega_i \in \bar{R}(\mu)$, we require instead that $\omega_r \in F_p(\omega_1, m_K)$, $\omega_\ell \in E_n(|\omega_1|)$ and (II.13) be true for $\omega' \geq \omega_\ell$.

The elimination of the f_{λ}^2 terms is now trivial and the result in either case is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re} f_{-}(\omega_j) \frac{\omega_j^{n-2}}{\prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq j}}^n (\omega_j - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{\mu}^{m_K} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_{-}(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} \\
 & - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_r} d\omega' \varphi_{-}(\omega') \frac{\omega'^{n-2}}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{P}{\pi} \int_{m_K}^{\omega_l} d\omega' \operatorname{Im} f_{+}(\omega') \omega'^{n-2} \times \\
 & \times \left[\frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' - \omega_l)} - \frac{1}{\prod_{l=1}^n (\omega' + \omega_l)} \right] > 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{III.10}$$

if an odd number of $\omega_i \in \bar{R}(\mu)$, the rest of the $\omega_i \in S(-m_K)$ and the restrictions on $\omega_{r,l}$ are unaltered.

As we have already observed in the previous section, the demand that $\varphi_{-}(\omega)$ be non-negative for $\omega \geq m_K$ can be relaxed a great deal in the inequalities starting from (III.7).

All the equations of this section involve contributions from the unphysical region $\mu \leq \omega \leq m_K$ of the K^-p scattering. It is unfortunately true that unitarity in the unphysical region does not impose any positive definiteness condition on $\operatorname{Im} f_{-}(\omega)$ and this is precisely what prevents us from getting rid of these terms. It is, however, sufficient for our purposes if we can get an idea of the signs of these integrals for an appropriate range of the E_1 's

and this can often be done, especially in K^-p scattering, by simple calculations involving, for instance, the known resonance contributions. Once this is done, it is usually possible to remove these terms by an appropriate choice of the parameters of the inequalities just as we removed the terms involving f_{Λ}^2 and f_{Σ}^2 . We emphasize that even some error in the estimates of these signs can be tolerated. This is because our inequalities have hitherto been consequences of equalities of the form (II.8) where the right side is positive and non-zero. Therefore, when we bring over additional terms of ambiguous signs from left to right, we only require that these ambiguities do not overwhelm the quantity which was initially on the right. It is important to note that we commit ourselves much less in inequalities than in equalities and this greatly reduces the chances of mistakes. We also need know much less to use them. We pay for these advantages by losing some of the information contained in the equalities.

We remark in conclusion that it is possible to study the questions treated in this paper and in reference 6 in terms of certain reduced moment problems.¹⁰ This observation will be developed further in a *separate* publication.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to P. P. Divakaran for a careful reading of the manuscript and for many suggestions and to C. R. Schumacher for helpful discussions.

References

1. M. Gell-Mann, M. L. Goldberger and W. Thirring, Phys. Rev. 95, 1612 (1954); M. L. Goldberger, Phys. Rev. 99, 979 (1955); M. L. Goldberger, H. Miyazawa and R. Oehme, Phys. Rev. 99, 986 (1955).
2. H. L. Anderson, W. C. Davidon and U. E. Kruse, Phys. Rev. 100, 339 (1955); U. Haber-Schaim, Phys. Rev. 104, 1113 (1956); T. Spearman, Nucl. Phys. 16, 402 (1960); F. Salzman and G. Salzman, Phys. Rev. 120, 599 (1960). See G. Puppi, 1958 Annual International Conference at CERN, p. 43 for further references.
3. See R. H. Dalitz, 1958 Annual International Conference at CERN, p. 191 and R. Karplus, L. Kerth and T. Kycia, Phys. Rev. Letters 2, 510 (1959).
4. Riazuddin, Phys. Rev. 121, 1509 (1961).
5. A summary of the evidence and detailed references are to be found in G. A. Snow, 1962 International Conference on High Energy Physics, p. 795.
6. A. P. Balachandran, Boundary Conditions for a Partial-wave Amplitude, EFINS-63-67 and to be published.
7. M. Froissart, Phys. Rev. 123, 1053 (1961); A. Martin, 1962 International Conference on High Energy Physics, p. 566.
8. See in this connection D. Amati, M. Fierz and V. Glaser, Phys. Rev. Letters 4, 89 (1960); M. Sugawara and A. Kanazawa,

Phys. Rev. 123, 1895 (1961); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 124, 2049 (1961).

9. These are, however, stronger than what is required to prove the Pomeranchuk theorem, I. Ia. Pomeranchuk, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 34, 725 (1958) [Translation: Soviet Phys. - JETP 7, 499 (1958)]. See also Reference 8.
10. J. A. Shohat and J. D. Tamarkin, The Problem of Moments (American Mathematical Society, 1943).

Appendix

We describe how the inequalities of the previous sections can be simplified and collect all the relevant formulae in this appendix. Much of the discussion is taken from the appendix of Reference 6 although the notation has been slightly altered to a form more suitable for our purposes.

After a few trivial changes of variables, any inequality of this paper can be written as

$$h_m^j = \frac{P}{\pi} \int_V d\omega' \psi(\omega') \omega'^j \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m-j} (\omega' - E_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\omega' - \omega_j)} > 0 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

when $\{E_i\}$ lies in some real domain. The integration is always over a real range V which may possibly consist of several distinct pieces. The spectral function $\psi(\omega)$ contains δ -functions to take into account the poles of h_m^j . The subscript m of h_m^j means that it is a function of $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m$ which occur as the product $\prod_{i=1}^m (\omega' - E_i)$ in the numerator of its integrand. The superscript j means that in this product, the *last* j E_i 's are to be set equal to zero. This index is actually zero in the equations we have hitherto encountered.

The following identity is often useful:

$$h_m^j = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k c(r, k) h_{m-k}^{j+r-k}, \quad r \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $c(p, k)$ denotes the sum over all combinations of the p variables $E_{m-j-p+1}, E_{m-j-p+2}, \dots, E_{m-j}$ taken k at a time and $c(p, 0)$ is defined to be equal to one. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} h_3^0 &= h_3^1 - E_3 h_2^0 && \text{for } p = 1, \\ &= h_3^2 - (E_2 + E_3) h_2^1 + E_2 E_3 h_1^0 && \text{for } p = 2, \\ &= h_3^3 - (E_1 + E_2 + E_3) h_2^2 + (E_1 E_2 + E_2 E_3 + E_3 E_1) h_1^1 \\ &\quad - E_1 E_2 E_3 h_0^0 && \text{for } p = 3 \end{aligned}$$

(A.3)

It may have been observed that p stands for the number of E_i 's with respect to which we have expanded the integrand and then integrated term by term.

We write down two more useful identities. Thus,

$$h_m^j = \sum_{k=0}^{2p} \alpha_{2p-k}^{2p} E^{2p-k} h_{m+k-2p}^{j+k}$$

(A.4)

where

$$\alpha_{2p-k}^{2p} = (-1)^k \frac{(2p)!}{k! (2p-k)!} \quad (A.5)$$

if $E_{m-j-2p+1} = E_{m-j-2p+2} = \dots = E_{m-j} = E$. Further,

$$h_m^j = \sum_{k=0}^p \beta_{p-k}^p E^{2p-2k} h_{m-2p+2k}^{j+2k} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where

$$\beta_{p-k}^p = (-1)^{p-k} \frac{p!}{k! (p-k)!} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

if $E_{m-j-2p+1} = -E_{m-j-2p+2} = +E_{m-j-2p+3} = \dots = -E_{m-j} = E$. Eqs. (A.4) and (A.6) can be proved by expanding the integrand in a binomial series.

Instead of attempting to reduce every inequality we have encountered into ones with no E_i 's at all, we shall discuss two representative cases $\{E_i\} \in D(a,b)$ for some real a and b and $E_i \leq 0$ for every i . It is straightforward to handle the other equations along similar lines. Let us first study the case where $\{E_i\} \in D(a,b)$. With $j = 0$, (A.1) then reads

$$h_m^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

when $\{E_i\} \in D(a,b)$. If we expand h_m^0 in terms of the last two E_i 's, we have,

$$h_m^0 = h_m^2 - (E_{m-1} + E_m) h_{m-1}^1 + E_{m-1} E_m h_{m-2}^0 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

With the other variables fixed, we find that two cases are now

possible: a) $E_{m-1} = E_m$ and assumes any real value and b) $a \leq E_{m-1} \leq b$,

~~or~~ $a \leq E_m \leq b$. In case a), (A.8) gives

$$h_m^2 - 2 E_m h_{m-1}^1 + E_m^2 h_{m-2}^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

for any real E_m . Therefore, since (A.10) is quadratic in E_m ,

$$\begin{aligned} [h_{m-1}^1]^2 &< h_m^2 \cdot h_{m-2}^0, \\ h_m^2 &> 0, \\ h_{m-2}^0 &> 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11}).$$

To reduce case b), observe that for fixed E_m , (A.9) is linear in E_{m-1} and will, therefore, be positive for $a \leq E_{m-1} \leq b$ if it is positive at the end points $E_{m-1} = a$ and $E_{m-1} = b$. That is, we require

$$h_m^2 - (a + E_m) h_{m-1}^1 + a E_m h_{m-2}^0 > 0,$$

$$h_m^2 - (b + E_m) h_{m-1}^1 + b E_m h_{m-2}^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.12}).$$

But these are in turn linear in E_m and should therefore be positive for $E_m = a$ and $E_m = b$. Only the combination $E_m \neq E_{m-1}$ gives a result different from (A.10) and this reads

$$h_m^2 - (a+b) h_{m-1}^1 + a b h_{m-2}^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

In both (A.11) and (A.13), $E_i \in D(a,b)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-3$. Note the simplification when a or b gets unbounded. Thus if $a = -\infty$,

(A.13) becomes

$$h_{m-1}^1 - b h_{m-2}^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.14})$$

We have not succeeded in simplifying (A.11) and (A.13) still further into a set of inequalities which completely exhaust them and also contain no E_i 's at all. It is however easy to write down the inequalities implied by these equations when $a \leq E_i \leq b$ for every i . To find these equations, we have to set each of the E_i equal to a and b in turn in (A.11) and (A.13). This will generate a class of functions with no E_i 's. We denote any member of this class by k_m^j . These can be calculated ^{by using (A.2) or} by repeated application of the identity (A.4). It may then be shown that (A.11) and (A.13) imply the following equations:

$$[k_{m-1-2p}^1]^2 < k_{m-2p}^2 k_{m-2-2p}^0,$$

$$k_{m-2p}^2 > 0,$$

$$k_{m-2-2p}^0 > 0,$$

$$k_{m-2p}^2 - (a+b) k_{m-1-2p}^1 + a b k_{m-2-2p}^0 > 0 \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where $p = 0, 1, \dots$, integer $\left\{ \frac{1}{2}(m-2), \frac{1}{2}(m-3) \right\}$. The corresponding members of the class should of course be inserted in the first and last lines of (A.15). If the maximum value of p is $\frac{1}{2}(m-3)$, there will be one E_i left over in (A.15) which is then to be set equal to a and b in turn. If $a = b$ the last equation of (A.15) is unnecessary, being already implied by the first three. This is clear from the discussion leading to (A.13).

Incidentally, (A.15) contains rather more information than that implied by the variation of every E_i between a and b in (A.11) and (A.13). Thus, when the variable p is increased by one unit, we have effectively set a pair of E_i equal in (A.11) and (A.13) and made them arbitrarily large.

The case where $E_i \leq 0$ for every i is easier to discuss. Since

$$h_m^j \xrightarrow{E_{m-j} \rightarrow -\infty} |E_{m-j}| h_{m-1}^j$$

and

$$h_m^j = h_m^{j+1} \quad \text{when} \quad E_{m-j} = 0 \quad (A.16),$$

the technique leading to (A.13) shows that the necessary and sufficient conditions for (A.8) to be true when $E_i \leq 0$ are the inequalities

$$h_{m-p}^{m-p} > 0 \quad (A.17)$$

for $p = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

The reader for whose purposes the equations of this appendix are too detailed may be able to find more convenient ones by giving the E_i 's suitable values in the appropriate ranges in the inequalities given in the body of the paper.