SEP 21 1964

BNL 8328

CONF-550-58

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:
A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.
As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

MASTER

Paper to be presented at Dubna 1964 High Energy Physics Conference

10-30 BeV/c ELASTIC SCATTERING OF $\pi^{\pm} + p$, $p + p$, $\bar{p} + p$ AND $K^{\pm} + p$ OVER THE $|t|$ RANGE
0.0005 TO 1 (BeV/c)²

K. J. Foley, R. S. Gilmore[†], R. S. Jones, S. J. Lindenbaum, W. A. Love, S. Ozaki,
E. H. Willen, R. Yamada and L. C. L. Yuan

Brookhaven National Laboratory *

* Work performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

[†] Visitor, on the staff of the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

10-30 BeV/c ELASTIC SCATTERING OF $\pi^{\pm} + p$, $p + p$, $\bar{p} + p$ AND $K^{\pm} + p$ OVER THE $|t|$ RANGE
0.0005 TO 1 (BeV/c)²

In this paper, we will report the preliminary results obtained in a series of experiments begun this spring which are still in progress at Brookhaven AGS. The program had two objectives, each of which was met with two partially separate but compatible sets of apparatus.

1. To extend to higher incident momenta our previous measurements⁽¹⁾ in the $|t|$ range 0.2 to 1.0 using the kinematic criteria of coplanarity and the angle between the recoil proton and the forward scattered particle. The apparatus was essentially similar to that previously employed, except for some minor improvements. Figure 1 shows a schematic of the arrangement.

2. To greatly improve the resolution and precision of our previous⁽²⁾ small angle scattering experiments so as to cover the Coulomb region, the possible interference region between the real nuclear and real Coulomb amplitude, and finally the nuclear region, all in one high resolution measurement.

One should note that the latter experiment (2) was set up parasitically on the former (1), and, in fact, until introduction of the helium bags, both experiments were run together at the low momenta. Thus, the second set-up was extensively tried out while the first was running.

(1) Elastic Scattering Results for the $|t| = 0.2$ to 1.0 Range

This experiment essentially used the same arrangement and the digital data handler used in the 1962 experiments. The following are preliminary results, as the experiments are still in progress.

The general characteristics of the experiment, including background, various corrections, etc., are similar to those reported in our previous work of this type. The errors shown include all relative errors. The absolute scale calibration is within five percent.

Figure 2 gives the new results obtained in the 10-25 BeV/c incident momentum range for π^+p which previously extended to only 17 BeV/c. It appears that the lack of appreciable shrinkage observed at the lower momenta (2.3) persists until 25 BeV/c. The other general characteristics are relatively independent of incident momentum. The π^+p data have been fit by the previously used standard parametric form $d\sigma/dt = e^{a+bt+ct^2}$ and fits with a good χ^2 are obtained.

Figure 3 gives a one Regge pole fit to (a) all the π^+p data (7-25 BeV/c) and (b) 15-25 BeV/c data alone, and fits were obtained in both cases. Although this method of fitting has no a priori simple significance, it is at least a convenient parameterization of the s dependence, namely $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = f(t) \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{2\alpha(t)-2}$ where $\alpha(t) = a+bt$.

For $0.2 \lesssim |t| \lesssim 0.9 \text{ (BeV/c)}^2$ the results are:

$$17-25 \text{ BeV/c } \alpha_{\pi^+p}(t) = 0.961 \pm 0.0312 - (0.052 \pm 0.071) t$$

$$15-25 \text{ BeV/c } \alpha(t) = (0.914 \pm 0.087) - (0.155 \pm 0.200) t$$

Therefore, it is clear that the lack of appreciable shrinkage as previously shown obtained at the lower momenta persists until 25 BeV/c.

Figure 4 gives the new results (15-25 BeV/c) obtained for p+p which previously extended only to 19.6 BeV/c. The highly accurate statistics accumulated at 15 BeV/c p+p were used to make an accurate cross-check on the relative calibration of the old (1962) and the present data. It was found that the data from the two runs agree to a fraction of the errors.

One can observe the sizeable shrinkage effects observed at the lower momenta persist at the higher momenta. This is demonstrated quantitatively by Figure 5 which gives a one Regge pole fit to all the p-p data (7-25 BeV/c) and the 15-25 BeV/c data. The results are:

$$(7-25 \text{ BeV/c}) \alpha_{p+p}(t) = (1.05 \pm 0.020) + (0.69 \pm 0.051) t$$

$$(15-25 \text{ BeV/c}) \alpha(t) = (0.963 \pm 0.080) + (0.378 \pm 0.193) t$$

It is clear then considering the errors there is no convincing evidence for a change in the behavior with varying energy although there may be an indication of a reduction of the shrinkage effect with increasing energy.

Figure 6 gives the new (12-16 BeV/c) K^+p results. The old data existed only at 7.2 and 9 BeV/c.

It is clear that the general characteristics of the K^+p data are relatively independent of incident momentum and there is no evidence for appreciable shrinkage.

A single Regge pole fit gives:

$$(7-16 \text{ BeV/c}) \alpha_{K^+p}(t) = (1.106 \pm 0.172) - (0.172 \pm 0.417) t,$$

indicating a possible but ^{certainly} not significant small expansion.

Figure 7 gives our new results for $\bar{p}+p$.

Figure 8 gives a one Regge pole fit to all the $\bar{p}+p$ data. The result is:

$$(7-16 \text{ BeV/c}) \alpha_{\bar{p}+p}(t) = (0.900 \pm 0.084) - (0.915 \pm 0.376) t$$

Therefore, the $\bar{p}+p$ elastic scattering cross-sections show expansion with increasing energy, being somewhat more than two standard deviation difference from no energy dependence.

One should note that the steep slope and consequent large radius associated with the $\bar{p}+p$ interaction has also been observed at lower ($\sim 4 \text{ BeV/c}$) momenta. ⁽⁴⁾ A general discussion

of particle radii is given in (5) and a scaling law for the fit parameters b and c has been observed by Serber.⁽⁶⁾

(2) Small Angle Elastic Scattering

The incident beam particle is identified by the combination of the differential Cerenkov counter and the threshold Cerenkov counter which is set to detect pions and lighter particles. This counter is used in coincidence for selecting pions and in anti-coincidence when selecting heavier particles. 4 x 4 hodoscopes ($\frac{3}{4}$ " wide by $1\frac{1}{8}$ " long) HO2 and HO3 measure the incident particle angle to within ± 0.3 mrad (half width half height). The beam is then scattered in the 18" long H2 target and its scattered position (horizontal) is defined in hodoscope H2 (80 vertical counters $\frac{1}{4}$ " wide by 6" long). The incident particle is then deflected by the bending magnets which follow and its momentum measured to 0.8% by detection in the final hodoscope H4 which contains 120 vertical $\frac{1}{2}$ " wide by 13" high and 24 horizontal counters each 60" long and $\frac{1}{2}$ " wide. Using this set-up the polar scattered angle measured to ± 0.3 mrad in the region of 0-25 milliradians.

The angular distribution of the incident beam and its momentum spectrum can be determined by the combination of HO2, HO3, H2 and H4 when the beam is bent into H4. The beam angular half width is ≈ 1 milliradian and the momentum spectrum half width is $\approx 0.8\%$.

Although the incident beam passes right through the angular measuring hodoscope particles scattered by ~ 2 mr are selected by trigger counters L2 to avoid filling the data handler with incident beam particle events (which reach intensities 10^5 /pulse whereas the data handler can handle 1,000 events/pulse).

The experiments primarily pursued so far are in the region of 8-15 BeV/c for p+p and π -p. The hydrogen empty background measurement is largest near the beam (first few milliradians) approaching $\sim 70\%$, and drops with increasing angle to only $\sim 20-30\%$ at the wider angle. The nature of the background is clearly revealed by the momentum spectrum of the background events which show that $>90\%$ of the background events are elastically scattered (by Coulomb and nuclear interactions) particles from the air, scintillator nuclei, etc. Thus this background is a physical cross-section background which is practically independent of beam rates and other small variations and hence can be very reliably subtracted.

In the 8-12 BeV/c incident momentum range we typically record over a million events an hour, of which $\sim 90\%$ are elastic scattering. However, the effective statistics on the hydrogen cross-section at those $|t|$ where the nuclear amplitude is larger than the Coulomb are greatly reduced from those implied by the above numbers by two effects.

- 1) The hydrogen cross-section is the difference between the hydrogen in and the hydrogen out counts.
- 2) A large fraction of even the hydrogen counts are in the Coulomb scattering region.

The first few bins of our angular range are well in the region where Coulomb scattering is much larger than nuclear; however, at the end (high $|t|$ bins) the reverse is true (nuclear $\gg$ Coulomb). Hence we cover the whole range from Coulomb dominated to interference region, to nuclear dominated.

Before discussing the results let us consider the expected form of

$$1) \quad d\sigma/dt = |A(s,t)|^2$$

where $A(s,t)$ is the invariant complex scattering amplitude and we have chosen units of $[\frac{mb}{(BeV/c)^2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for it.

If we make the assumption of a negligible spin dependence of the nuclear interaction, then the most general assumption is:

$$2) \quad A(s,t) = A(s,t) + A(s,t) + i A(s,t)$$

C(oulomb) r(eal) im(aginary)

Hence

$$3) \quad |A(s,t)|^2 = A_C^2(s,t) + 2A_C(s,t) A_{re}(s,t) + A_{re}^2(s,t) + A_{im}^2(s,t)$$

Experimental evidence for a sizeable real amplitude if eq. (3) is assumed has been given previously by Kirillova et. al.⁽⁷⁾ for 6.5 and 10 BeV/c p+p (see reference (7) for other related papers).

It is a sufficiently good approximation to use the single photon exchange (Rosenbluth formula) for the Coulomb amplitude which is then real. Form factor effects will not be too important for this analysis, as we are most sensitive to the interference at values of $|t|$ small enough that form factor effects are small. For small $|t|$ we can then write the Coulomb amplitude:

$$4) \quad A_C(s,t) = \pm \frac{\text{const}}{|t|}; \text{ where we take the sign convention - for p+p (i.e. repulsion).}$$

Previously^(1,2) we worked at $|t|$ values where the Coulomb amplitude was small compared to the nuclear and found that we could represent the elastic scattering cross-section by

$$5) \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = e^a + bt + ct^2 \approx |A_{\text{nuclear}}|^2$$

From the known values of $b[\sim 10(BeV/c)^{-2}]$ and $c[\sim 2-3(BeV/c)^{-1}]$ and recognizing that $|t| \lesssim 0.05$ for the presently reported data, the quadratic term is negligible.

At any one s , let us now assume a real part of the nuclear amplitude which has the same t dependence as the imaginary part and so that the real part has a constant fraction α of the imaginary amplitude.

The sign of α is taken as negative when the real part has the same sign as a repulsive Coulomb potential. Then with all the above assumptions we find:

* The Coulomb amplitude is assumed real.

$$6) \frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \underbrace{\frac{\text{const}}{t^2}}_{\text{coulomb term}} \pm \underbrace{\frac{2\alpha \text{const}}{|t|}}_{\text{interference term}} e^{\left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2} t\right)} + \underbrace{(1+\alpha^2)}_{\text{nuclear term}} e^{a+bt}$$

where the -sign is for (repulsion) p+p

where the +sign is for (attraction) π^-+p

Due to optical theorem, a is known from the total cross-section measurements, the only unknowns being α and b. For the case $\alpha = 0$ (no real amplitude) the only true parameter is b.

Figure 9 is a plot of the measured $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ vs. -t for p+p at 8 and 10 BeV/c incident momentum. The error flags include estimates of the various systematic errors in relative values as well as the statistical errors.

For comparison a least/squares fit of eq. (6) is shown (solid line); a fit with $\alpha = 0$ (dashed line); and the computed no real part curve with $\alpha = 0$ and the same b value as the best fit (also solid line).

The $\alpha = 0$ fits had a χ^2 of 167 for 15 degrees of freedom, which is an entirely unacceptable fit.

There are two major uncertainties in this analysis:

- (1) Errors on the coefficient α due to the error on the total cross-section.
- (2) Errors on the absolute efficiency of the measurement of $d\sigma/dt$.

These uncertainties must be included, since we find they shift the value of α . During the fit with the appropriate variations of the systematically uncertain quantities we find the following results:

7.92 BeV/c incident momentum p-p

$$\alpha = -0.253 \begin{matrix} +0.088 \pm 0.02 \\ -0.088 \pm 0.02 \end{matrix}$$

9.94 BeV/c incident momentum p-p

$$\alpha = -0.260 \begin{matrix} +0.090 \pm 0.02 \\ -0.090 \pm 0.02 \end{matrix}$$

For the 7.92 BeV/c p-p the $\chi^2 = 30$ for 15 degrees of freedom, which is still an acceptable fit. For the 9.94 BeV/c p-p the $\chi^2 = 15$ for 16 degrees of freedom, which is a good fit.

Let us now explain the (new) notation introduced above for the 8 BeV/c case as an example. The mean value of α within the range of values obtained by varying the efficiency and total cross-section over their possible range of values is stated. The first error on the left is the upper and lower extreme shift in this mean value as the range of uncertainty of efficiency and total cross section is swept out in the fit. The second

error on the left is the typical fit error on any one value of α determined when the efficiency and total cross section are fixed and assumed known. Hence the prediction for 8 BeV/c p-p is that α lies in the range -0.165 to -0.34 and that the statistical fluctuation outside this range have a standard deviation value of 0.02. Therefore, we see that according to the assumption of this analysis we have good evidence for a real part of the amplitude in p+p of the order of 17 to 35% of the imaginary amplitude of 8-10 BeV/c. These results imply a smaller α than the mean values of Kirillova et. al. ^{(7)*} and are compatible with the same $|t|$ dependence for the real and imaginary part, although Kirillova et.al. stated their results were not compatible with the same $|t|$ dependence. However, we agree with their conclusion that there is a sizeable real amplitude. Of course, our weakest assumption is that there is no spin dependence of nuclear forces. If we relax this assumption and allow different slopes and amplitudes of the triplet and singlet states we may be able to explain the data without the necessity of inventing a real part of the nuclear scattering amplitude. Such an analysis has been made ^{**} and it indeed is the case that one can also explain the experimental results with a much steeper singlet than triplet imaginary part with about the same α . The previous results of Kirillova et.al. ⁽⁷⁾ also led to this conclusion.

Another point worth looking into is if we still assume no spin dependence but allow the real part to have a different slope than the imaginary part, what happens? The proper equation would be:

$$d\sigma/dt = \frac{(\text{const})^2}{t^2} - \frac{2u \text{ const}}{|t|} e^{\frac{a}{2} + \frac{b_r t}{2}} + \alpha^2 e^{a+b_r t} + e^{a+b_{im} t}$$

The results are that the real part has a much steeper $|t|$ dependence.

Therefore, we conclude the following:

- (1) Unless there is a sizeable spin dependence, there is a real part of the 8-10 BeV/c p+p scattering amplitude at low $|t|$ ($\lesssim 0.05$ (BeV/c)²) which has an amplitude:

* These authors concluded that at 6 BeV/c $\alpha = -0.40 \pm 0.15$; at 10 BeV/c $\alpha = -0.70 \pm 0.3$.

** We are still neglecting spin flip amplitudes. This is reasonable for small $|t|$ when considering the $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}$ terms since they go to zero as $t \rightarrow 0$. However, as discussed by Goldberger and Watson (in Collision Theory Sect. 7.1, published by Wiley, 1964), there is a $\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2$ spin flip amplitude term which does not vanish as $t \rightarrow 0$.

$\approx 0.26 \begin{matrix} +0.09 \pm 0.02 \\ -0.09 \pm 0.02 \end{matrix}$ times the imaginary amplitude if it has the same slope.

However, it is likely that if a real part exists and there is no sizeable spin dependence the slope of the real part ($|t|$ dependence) is larger than the imaginary. The sign of the real part is the same as the p-p Coulomb amplitude, and hence the real part would correspond to a repulsive interaction. These conclusions agree with those of Kirillova et.al. although the magnitude of the effects we find are smaller. For references to the previous work which gave various degrees of evidence for a real part, see (8).

Now let us consider the $\pi^- + p$ case. Here since the pion is spinless we can describe the elastic scattering by only one spin-state amplitude. Although there is a non spin flip and a spin flip amplitude, the latter goes to zero as $|t|$ goes to 0 ($\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}$) and hence it is reasonable to assume that it is small at small $|t|$ and neglect it. Therefore, if we assume the real part of the nuclear scattering amplitude has the same slope (i.e. $|t|$ dependence) as the imaginary part and α is the ratio of the amplitude we obtain eq. (6) again.

The $\pi^- + p$ results at 8-12 BeV/c incident pion momenta are shown in Figure 11, where the $\alpha = 0$ and best fit predictions of eq. (6) are shown for comparison along with the calculated solution for $\alpha = 0$ using the same b as the best fit.

It is clear that the $\alpha = 0$ curve represents a very poor fit. The least squares fits to eq. (6) were made and the efficiency, total cross-section errors, μ contamination errors, etc. were varied over their ranges.

We obtain at 7.96 BeV/c $\alpha = -0.21 \begin{matrix} +0.13 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.14 \pm 0.04 \end{matrix}$ $\chi^2 = 15.3$ for 15 degrees of freedom

at 9.89 BeV/c $\alpha = -0.23 \begin{matrix} +0.13 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.13 \pm 0.04 \end{matrix}$ $\chi^2 = 17.9$ for 16 degrees of freedom

and at 11.88 BeV/c $\alpha = -0.27 \begin{matrix} +0.13 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.14 \pm 0.05 \end{matrix}$ $\chi^2 = 19.5$ for 17 degrees of freedom

Therefore, we conclude that there is good evidence for a real part of the nuclear scattering amplitude for 8-12 BeV/c $\pi^- + p$ and it is of opposite sign to the Coulomb amplitude which means the real nuclear part is of the same sign as that in the p+p case (a repulsive interaction*).

* As mentioned previously spin flip amplitudes have been neglected in this analysis, since these amplitudes go as $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n} = 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

References

- (1) Foley, Lindenbaum, Love, Ozaki, Russell and Yuan, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 376 (1963), 10, 543 (1963), Nuclear Instruments and Methods (in press).
- (2) Foley, Lindenbaum, Love, Ozaki, Russell and Yuan, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 425 (1963), 11, 503 (1963).
- (3) Caldwell, Elsner, Harting, Helmholtz, Middelkoop, Zacharov, Dalpiaz, Focardi, Giacomelli, Monari, Beaney, Donald, Mason and Jones, Proceedings of the Siena International Conference on Elementary Particles VI, 601 (1963).
- (4)(a) Czyzewski, Escoubes, Goldschmidt-Clermont, Guinea-Moorhead, Hofmohl, Morrison and deUnamuno, Proceedings of the Siena International Conference on Elementary Particles VI, 252 (1963).
- (b) Baltay, Ferbel, Sandweiss, Taft, Culwick, Fowler, Gailloud, Kopp, Louttit, Morris, Sanford, Shutt, Stonehill, Stump, Thorndike, Webster, Willis, Bachman, Banmel and Lea, Reports presented at Stanford Conference on Nuclear Structure, July 1963.
- (5) S. J. Lindenbaum, Proceedings of the 1963 Stanford International Conference on Nuclear Structure.
- (6) R. Serber, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 32 (1964).
- (7) Kirillova, Nikitin, Nomofilov, Sviridov, Strunov, Shafranov, Proceedings of the Siena International Conference on Elementary Particles VI, 593 (1963) and JETP 18, 866 (1964).
- (8) (a) Eggstain and Kellner, Proceedings of the Siena International Conference on Elementary Particles VI, 598 (1963).
- (b) V. A. Bull and D. A. Garbutt, Phys. Rev. 130, 1182 (1960).
- (c) Preston, Wilson and Street, Phys. Rev. 118, 579 (1960).
- (d) See Reference (2).

Figure Captions

1. The experimental arrangement of the elastic scattering measurement in the $|t| \approx 0.2$ to 1.0 (BeV/c)^2 range accompanied by the experimental arrangement of the small angle ($\sim 1-25 \text{ mr}$) elastic scattering experiment. Helium bags are used between H02 and H2 except for small distances around the hodoscopes and hydrogen target. The negative beam production angle is near zero within the range $\pm 8 \text{ mr}$. The positive beam production angle is about 65 mr .
2. $d\sigma/dt / (\frac{d\sigma}{dt})_{\text{opt}}$ vs. $-t$ for 14.8 to $25.3 \text{ } \pi^- + p$ elastic scattering.
3. $\alpha(t)$ vs. $-t$ - for a one Regge pole fit to (a) all the $\pi^- + p$ data ($7-25 \text{ BeV/c}$, old and new) and (b) to the $15-25 \text{ BeV/c } \pi^- + p$ data alone.
4. $d\sigma/dt / (\frac{d\sigma}{dt})_{\text{opt}}$ vs. $-t$ for $15-25 \text{ BeV/c } p + p$.
5. $\alpha(t)$ vs. $-t$ for $p + p$.
 - (a) (previous and present data) $7-25 \text{ BeV/c}$.
 - (b) $15-25 \text{ BeV/c}$ data only.
6. $d\sigma/dt / (\frac{d\sigma}{dt})_{\text{opt}}$ vs. $-t$ for $7-16 \text{ BeV/c } K^- + p$.
7. $d\sigma/dt / (\frac{d\sigma}{dt})_{\text{opt}}$ vs. $-t$ for $\bar{p} + p$.
8. A single Regge pole fit to all (previous and present) $7-16 \text{ BeV/c } \bar{p} + p$ data.
9. Small angle $p + p$ scattering $d\sigma/dt$ vs. $-t$. The theoretical curves were calculated from eq. (6). (See text for details.)
10. Small angle $\pi^- + p$ scattering $d\sigma/dt$ vs. $-t$. The theoretical curves were calculated from eq. (6). (See text for details.)

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7

FIGURE 8

ELASTIC p-p SCATTERING

FIGURE 9

FIGURE 10