(28 May 1970)

Calorimetric Determination of Log K_i , $\Delta H_i^{\,\circ}$ and $\Delta S_i^{\,\circ}$ Values for the Interaction of Thiourea with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{CN})_2$ in Water-Formamide Solvents at $25^{\circ 1a}$ by: Reed M. Izatt, 1b , c Calvin H. Bartholomew, Curtis E. Morgan, Delbert J. Eatough, and James J. Christensen 1b , d

(1) (a) Supported by United States Atomic Energy Commission Contract No.
 AT(04-3)-299. (b) To whom inquiries should be directed. (c) Supported
 by Public Health Service Research Career Development Award No. 1-K3-GM
 -35, 250-02. (d) Supported by Public Health Service Research Career
 Development Award No. 1-K3-GM-24,361-02.

Contribution from the Departments of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and No. 6 from the Center for Thermochemical Studies, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah 84601.

ABSTRACT

Log K_i , $\Delta H_i{}^o$, and $\Delta S_i{}^o$ (i = 1,2) values have been determined calorimetrically at 25°C for the consecutive reaction of thiourea (Tu) with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{CN})_2$ to form $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{CN})_2$ Tu₂ in formamide-water solvents. The $\log K_i$, $\Delta H_i{}^o$, and $\Delta S_i{}^o$ values determined in 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 weight percent formamide show little deviation from those in aqueous solution which were determined previously. The leveling nature of the formamide-water solvents is discussed and the results are compared with those obtained earlier in water and ethanol-water solvents.

LEGAL NOTICE

States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights or.

use of any information, apparatus, method, or process discribed in the above. "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that the contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursant to his employment or contractor.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is the second dealing with the effect of solvent structure and dielectric constant (D) upon weak electrostatic interactions (i.e., dipole-dipole and induced dipole-dipole). The $\mathrm{Hg(CN)}_2$ -thiourea (Tu) system is ideal for the investigation of these weak interactions since all species are uncharged thereby eliminating the need for activity coefficient and ion pair formation corrections. In the earlier study 2 log K_i , ΔH_i , and

(2) R. M. Izatt, D. Eatough and J. J. Christensen, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>72</u>, 2720 (1968).

 $\Delta S_i^{\ o}$ (i = 1,2) values were reported for reactions (1) and (2) in ethanolwater solvents.

$$Hg(CN)_2 + Tu = Hg(CN)_2Tu$$
 (1)

$$Hg(CN)_2Tu+Tu = Hg(CN)_2Tu_2$$
 (2)

In that study significant changes were found in the ΔH_i° and ΔS_i° values as the mole fraction of the nonaqueous solvent component was increased indicating the probable formation of solvent-solvent complexes.

In the present investigation reactions (1) and (2) were studied calorimetrically in formamide-water mixtures. Because formamide (D = 109.5^3 at

- (3) G. R. Leader, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>73</u>, 856 (1951).
- 25°) has solvent properties which are similar $^{4-6}$ to those of water and
- (4) L. R. Dawson and E. J. Griffith, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>56</u>, 281 (1952).
- (5) L. R. Dawson, T. M. Newell and W. J. McCreary, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 76, 6024 (1954).
- (6) J. J. Lagowski, "The Chemistry of Non-Aqueous Solvents", Academic Press, New York, N.Y., Vol. II, Chapter 5, 1967.

because formamide-water solvents have a high solvation nature, ion pair formation is much less pronounced than in media of lower dielectric

(7) G. Somsen and J. Coops, Rec. Trav. Chim., 84, 985 (1965).

constant such as the ethanol-water mixtures studied previously.

Very few calorimetric or other thermodynamic data have been reported for solvents having dielectric constants greater than that of water. Heats of solution⁷, specific heats⁸ and densities⁸ of aqueous formamide solutions

(8) E. P. Egan and B. B. Luff, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 11, 194 (1966).

have been determined calorimetrically as have heats of solution and solvation for selected electrolytes in HF⁹, anhydrous formamide, ^{7,10} N-methyl-

- (9) J. L. Higgens and E. F. Westrum, Jr., J. Phys. Chem., 65, 830 (1961).
- (10) K. P. Mishchenko and A. M. Sukhotin, <u>Dokl. Akad. Nauk</u>, <u>USSR.</u>, <u>98</u>, 103 (1954).

formamide 11 and N-methylacetamide. 12 In addition, standard cell potentials,

- (11) R. P. Held and C. M. Criss, J. Phys. Chem., 69, 2611 (1965).
- (12) L. Weeda and G. Somsen, Rec. Trav. Chim., 86, 263 (1967).

entropies and activity coefficients have been calculated $^{13-15}$ from electro-

- (13) Reference 6 contains a compilation of electromotive force, conductance, polargraphic and cryoscopic studies carried out in amide solvents.
- (14) R. K. Agarwal and B. Nayak, J. Phys. Chem., 71, 2062 (1967).
- (15) E. L. Lukeha and C. M. Criss, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 1496 (1966).

motive force and conductance data. However, in none of these studies have ΔG , ΔH or ΔS values been reported for metal-ligand interaction.

Changes occurring in the log K, ΔH and ΔS values for metal ligand interaction as the solvent composition is varied reflect changes in solvent-solute behavior and the determination of these values as a function of solvent composition should result in useful information regarding the nature of the solvent medium.

Log K_i , ΔH_i^o and ΔS_i^o (i = 1,2) values are reported here for reactions (1) and (2) in 20, 60, 80 and 100 weight percent formamide. Comparison is also made with the thermodynamic values valid in ethanol-water solvents which were reported previously.²

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. Reagent grade Tu (Baker 'Analyzed') and $\mathrm{Hg(CN)}_2$ (Mallinckrodt) were used in the preparation of solutions. Reagent grade formamide (Baker) was decolorized with activated charcoal and purified just prior to use by 5 successive freezings using a procedure similar to that suggested by Dawson, et al. ¹⁶ Each freezing was carried out such that about 80% of

Procedure and Calculations. The thermometric titration technique 17

⁽¹⁶⁾ L. R. Dawson, E. D. Wilhoit and P. G. Sears, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, 79, 5906 (1957).

a 1500-2000 ml. portion was crystallized, and the remaining liquid was poured off. The purified formamide and formamide-water solvents and solutions were stored and used under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Boiled, doubly distilled water was used in the preparation of the formamide-water solvents.

⁽¹⁷⁾ J. J. Christensen, R. M. Izatt, L. D. Hansen and J. A. Partridge,
J. Phys. Chem., 70, 2003 (1966).

and calorimetric equipment 18 have been described.

(18) J. J. Christensen, R. M. Izatt and L. D. Hansen, <u>Rev. Sci. Instr.</u>, 36, 779 (1965).

The calorimetric data were obtained by titrating 1.3M Tu into 0.025 and 0.04M ${\rm Hg(CN)}_2$ solutions and were analyzed for $\log K_i$ and ΔH_i° values by means of 7040 and 360 IBM computers using a least squares procedure. 19

(19) R. M. Izatt, D. Eatough, R. L. Snow, and J. J. Christensen, <u>J. Phys.</u>
Chem., 72, 1208 (1968).

Values for the heat capacities and densities at 25° of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 weight percent formamide were taken from the literature.8

RESULTS

The values of $\log K_i$, $\Delta H_i{}^o$ and $\Delta S_i{}^o$ (i = 1,2) for reactions (1) and (2) in formamide-water solvents are given in Table I.

DISCUSSION

Examination of the thermodynamic values in Table I reveals the striking fact that the $\log K_1$, $\log K_2$, ΔH_1^o , and ΔS_1^o values do not vary significantly as the ratio of formamide to water in the solvent is increased and in general the results show little deviation from those values determined in pure water. This leveling nature of formamide towards the thermodynamic quantities for the interaction of Tu with $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{CN})_2$ confirms previous views⁴,⁵,⁷ that formamide is a water-like solvent.

Dawson and co-workers⁴,⁵ consider the properties of formamide to be of interest because of their striking similarity to those of water. Somsen and Coops⁷ have taken anhydrous formamide as an ideal solvent for determining enthalpies of solvation because (a) the use of other binary solvents may lead to a distorted picture because of preferential solvation²⁰⁻²² and (b)

- (20) K. Schug and A. Dadgar, J. Phys. Chem., 68, 106 (1964).
- (21) M. E. Everhard, P. M. Gross, Jr., and J. W. Turner, <u>J</u>. <u>Phys. Chem.</u>, 64, 923 (1962).
- (22) J. B. Hyne, J. Amer. Ch<u>em</u>. <u>Soc.</u>, <u>85</u>, 304 (1963).

there appears to be little or no ion pairing in formamide solutions. Support for the idea that little or no ion pairing occurs in formamide solvents is found in the cryoscopic and conductometric measurements by Dawson, $et\ al.$, 4,5 However, in a recent X-ray study of KI solutions in formamide, DeSando and Brown 23 present evidence for ion-pair formation

(23) R. J. DeSando and G. H. Brown, <u>J. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>72</u>, 1088 (1968).

at various concentrations. The possibility of the nonelectrolyte, thiourea, dimerizing in formamide-water solvents is unlikely and freezing point depression measurements in this laboratory indicate no Tu dimerization in 100% formamide.

In our earlier study 2 of $\mathrm{Hg(CN)_2}$ -Tu interaction in ethanol-water solvents the marked change of 2-5 kcal./mole in the ΔH^o and $\mathrm{T}\Delta S^o$ values in the 0-50 wt % ethanol region were taken to be indicative of changes in the solvent structure or in solute-solvent interactions or a combination of these. The possibility of similar formamide- $\mathrm{H_2O}$ complexes or of changes in solute-solvent interactions appears unlikely in view of the observed leveling effect of the formamide-water solvents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Neil E. Izatt and Kenneth Sinks in analyzing data and of Wayne Tufts in preparing solutions.

Log K_i , $\Delta H^o{}_i$ and $\Delta S^o{}_i$ Values for the Stepwise Interaction of Tu with Hg(CN) $_2$ in Formamide-Water Solvent Mixtures Valid at 25° and m = 0. $^{\alpha}$

Weight % Formamide	Log K ₁	Log K ₂	$\frac{\Delta H_1^{\circ}}{\text{kcal/gmole}}$	$\frac{\Delta H_2^o}{\text{kcal/gmole}}$	ΔS_1^o cal/gm°C	ΔS2° cal/gm°C
. 0	1.97±0.06 ^b	0.58±0.04 ^b	-1.5±0.1 ^b	-7.9±0.2 ^b	4.0±0.3 ^b	-23.8 <u>+</u> 0.6 ^b
20	2.12 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.49 <u>+</u> 0.09	-1.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	-11.8 <u>+</u> 0.4	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	-28 <u>+</u> 1
40	1.91+0.04	0.6 <u>+</u> 0.1 .	-1.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	-10.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	2.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	-23 <u>+</u> 1
60	2.07 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.59 <u>+</u> 0.06	-1.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	-10.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	4.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	-23 <u>+</u> 1
80	2.04 <u>+</u> 0.05	0.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	-1.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	-8.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	4.1 <u>+</u> 0.3	-15.8 <u>+</u> 0.8
100	2.06+0.05	0.6+0.1	-1.33 <u>+</u> 0.04	-9.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.2	-20.4 <u>+</u> 0.4

aThe uncertainties are expressed as standard deviations among 8-24 runs for each solvent composition. The thermodynamic values are expressed in molal units based on densities and heat capacities taken from reference. ⁸ bRef. 2.