#### -LEGAL NOTICE-

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such, contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

# DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY SHIPPINGPORT ATOMIC POWER STATION

TEST RESULTS

DLCS 2390201 T-641317

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST

CORE I SEED 2

Section 2 of 4 Sections

First Issue,

# DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# **DISCLAIMER**

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

#### TEST RESULTS

### DLCS 2390201 .T-641317

# PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST

### Purpose

To determine the acceptability of the present operational procedures in containing, processing and disposing of all wastes received by the RWD System from the plant during a steady state operation by comparison to design criterion.

# Conclusion

The Radioactive Waste Disposal System adequately contained, processed, and disposed of all wastes created by the Plant during this steady state power operation and always had 60,000 gallon available in the Surge and Decay tanks for Safety Injection.

# Description of Test Equipment and Test Procedure

The test was performed in accordance with the first issue of the test procedure DLCS 23902, Periodic Waste Disposal System Material Balance Test, dated September 30, 1959.

The Station was operated at normal temperature (500 F) and pressure (1800 psia) with four loops in service at a net Station load of sixty (60) MW for a period of one week. All process equipment in the Radioactive Waste Disposal System was in proper operating condition. Data were obtained at the beginning of the test and at 24 hour intervals thereafter until the completion of the test.

Gross  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  activity was not reported for the Radioactive Waste Disposal Gas System since the  $\gamma$  activity of gas samples could not be determined by existing equipment at Shippingport.

#### Results

DLCS 2390201, Periodic Waste Disposal System Material Balance Test, was performed from August 22 through August 29, 1960.

The Radioactive Waste Disposal System adequately contained, processed, and disposed of all wastes created by the Plant during this steady state power operation and always had 60,000 gallons available in the Surge Tanks for Safety Injection.

The RWD System was a flexible system and could easily process, with no build-up or accumulation and discharge to the river, the received liquid waste during the test because of the large capacity of the RWD liquid tanks and the relatively low activity of the received volume.

TEST RESULTS DLCS 2390201 T-641317 PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST

Even though the RWD System easily handled the received liquids, there were two events which were normal occurances during this test where the operational data exceeded the design criterion. These instances are directly related to each other and were the excessive volume of liquid received by the Surge and Decay Tanks and the high activity received by the Chemical Waste Tanks.

The Chemical Waste Tank receives all liquid wastes, such as ones containing soap that should not go through the ion exchangers. The fairly high activity in the Chemical Waste Tanks during this test can be attributed primarily to the crud and resin received from the Decontamination Room. This necessitated the use of the evaporator more frequently than was anticipated when designed. When this test was performed, a Chemical Tank was being evaporated about once per week. It takes approximately 24 hours to evaporate the 4000 gallon tank.

The distillate from the evaporator goes to the receiving Surge Tank, but this was low in activity and merely reduced the activity in the Surge Tank by dillution. The resulting increased volume reduced the maximum hold-up time of the Surge Tank from 45 days to a maximum of approximately 10 or 11 days

During this test, a total of 28,800 gallons was discharged to the river with a total gross activity of 522  $\mu c$  (Table IV). The gross activity of the daily discharges never exceeded or even approached the limitation set up by the State of Pennsylvania which is 1590  $\mu c$ /day average or 6200  $\mu c$ /day maximum at 1 x 10<sup>-8</sup>  $\mu c$ /ml. The average discharge was 74.5  $\mu c$ /day (4.6%) at 0.076 x 10<sup>-8</sup>  $\mu c$ /ml and the maximum discharge was 124.7  $\mu c$ /day (2.0%) at 0.132 x 10<sup>-8</sup>  $\mu c$ /ml.

The actual volume discharged by the non-active waste tank during this week was 5,800 gallons. This volume was approximately 15% of the expected volume. The activity of this discharge was  $1.92 \times 10^{-2} \, \mu c/gal$  which was 36.85% of the anticipated activity.

The Special Waste Tank received, during this test, approximately 460 gal/day which was 15.6% of the expected volume. This volume had an activity of 6.08 x  $10^{-2}$  µc/gal which was 16% of the anticipated activity.

The average volume received by the Chemical Waste Tanks was 462 gal/day which was 185% of the expected volume. However, this volume includes approximately 2,400 gallons of high chromate wastes transferred from the Special Waste Tank. Not including this volume, the average volume received would be 126 gal/day or about 50% of expected volume. The activity of the volume could not be taken, but the activities of the samples taken from the tanks were too high to discharge to the river and had to be evaporated.

The average volume of liquid waste received during this test by the Surge Tanks was approximately 2,800 gal/day which was 600% of the expected volume. The average activity of the discharged volume after being processed by the four ion-exchangers and the gas stripper was approximately 1.87 x  $10^{-2}$  µc/gal. or approximately 11% of the anticipated activity.

TEST RESULTS DLCS 2390201

T-641317

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST

The Tables presented in this report contain the following information:

- Table I 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D Surge and Decay Tank Levels 1A and 1B Test Tank Levels Spray Recycle Tank Level 1A and 1B Chemical Waste Tank Level 1A and 1B Special Waste Tank Level 1A and 1B Non-Active Tank Level 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D Gas Decay Drum Pressure Vent Gas Surge Drum Pressure Vent Gas Compressor Suction RPC Gravity Drainage Tank Level Flash Tank Level Blow-off Tank Level and Pressure Average Coolant Temperature Pressurizer Pressure
- Table II Gross β Activity of 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D Surge Decay Tanks 1A and 1B Chemical Waste Tanks Spray Recycle Tank 1A and 1B Special Waste Tank 1A and 1B Non-Active Tanks 1A and 1B Test Tanks
- Table III Chemical Data of the Surge Tank in Process Ion Exchanger Flow Path,
  Ion Exchanger Effluent, Surge Tank (Laboratory Determined), Gas
  Stripper
- Table IV Date, Time, Amount Discharged to River, Rate of Discharge, Permit No., Gross Total Activity, and Type of Tank Discharge

Table V Log of Events

Table VI Notes on the Log of Events

Table VII Flow rate and Gross Gamma Activity in the Coolant Sample Trains

TABLE I
READINGS TAKEN AT 24 HOUR INTERVALS

Date	8/22/60	8/23/60	8/24/60	8/25/60	8/26/60	8/27/60	8/28/60	8/29/6
Time	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600
			_	-	١.			
1A Surge & Decay Tank Level (%)	31	34	<b>3</b> 5	. 37	37	39	41	. 41
1B Surge & Decay Tank Level (%)	62	.50	39	25	37	45 72 <sup>(a)</sup>	50	5 2 72(a)
1C Surge & Decay Tank Level (%)	36	39	50	56	62		72 <sup>(a)</sup>	•
1D Surge & Decay Tank Level (%)	8	0	0	4	11	10	12	10
1A Test Tank Level (%)	60	90	0	85	2	1	2	2
1B Test Tank Level (%)	90	0	75	: 0	65	65	65	65
Spray Recycle Tank Level (%)	. 88	87	88	88	88	87	88	88
1A Chemical Waste Tank Level (%)	86	81	81	81	83	83	83	72
1B Chemical Waste Tank Level (%)	56 T	67	58	25	1	2	6	>67
1A Special Waste Tank Level (%)	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	O T	12
1B Special Waste Tank Level (%)	2	>⇒33	50	61	80	80	79-/	0
1A Non-active Waste Tank Level (%)	12	. 12	12	12	12	18	22	32
1B Non-active Waste Tank Level (%)	25	41	52	67	80	89	13	13
1A Gas Decay Drum Pressure (psig)	26.8	25.5	22.9	30.8	32.3	36.6	40.1	40.9
1B Gas Decay Drum Pressure (psig)	17.8	17.8	17.1	17.0	16.9	17.0	17.0	· 17.0
1C Gas Decay Drum Pressure (psig)	22.8	22.6	22.1	22.1	23.0	23.2;	23.4	23.3
1D Gas Decay Drum Pressure (psig)	24.4	24.3	24.1	24.G	25.0	25.1	25.4	25.5
Vent Gas Surge Drum Pressure (psig)	25.0	23.2	20.4	33.9	31.6	37.6	40.2	40.2
Vent Gas Compressor Suction Pressure (psia)	12.1	12.1	*12.1	12.2	12.5	12.2	12.0	12.0
RPC Gravity Drainage Tank Level (in.)	44	56	19.5	38	50	4	26	44
Flash Tank Level (in.)	37	37	<b>37</b> .5	37.5	37.8	36	<b>3</b> 6	36
Blow-off Tank Level (in.)	63	63	62.5	63	.62	62	60	62
Blow-off Tank Pressure (psig)	2.6	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.1	3.1
Average Coolant Temperature (OF)	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500
Pressurizer Pressure (psig)	1790	1800	1790	1790	1800	1790	1800	1800

<sup>\*</sup> Air added to the surge drum.

NOTE: The RWD Tank levels readings, particularly those on the Surge Tanks, were not always accurate. The water in the saturated gas which supplies pressure to the RWD System settles in one of the legs of the D/P cells used for level measurement. Differential level readings, when filling or emptying, were considered accurate.

<sup>(</sup>a) Readings in error

<sup>(</sup>T) Transfer of Tanks (See Log of Events, Table V)

Date	8-22-60	8-26-60*
	Gross β Activity	Gross β Activity
	dpm/ml	dpm/ml
1A Surge & Decay Tank	Empty	510.5 + 16.0
1B Surge & Decay Tank	1521.0 + 6.95	308.0 + 12.45
1C Surge & Decay Tank	$1086.0 \pm 5.85$	$702.0 \pm 48.50$
1D Surge & Decay Tank	Empty	554.5 ± 17.0
1A Chemical Waste Tank	541.0 <u>+</u> 4.29	$362.0 \pm 4.40$
1B Chemical Waste Tank	$385.0 \pm 3.61$	Empty
Spray Recycle Tank	172.0 <u>+</u> 1.68	105.5 ± 3.63
1A Special Waste Tank	Empty	Empty
1B Special Waste Tank	Emp ty	$35.7 \pm 2.14$
1A Non-active Waste Tank	21.0 ± 1.03	3.8 <u>+</u> 0.13
1B Non-active Waste Tank	5.8 ± 0.13	$2.3 \pm 0.18$
lA Test Tank	$6.7 \pm 0.13$	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.70
1B Test Tank	$7.5 \pm 0.38$	$5.9 \pm 0.18$

<sup>\*</sup> Readings taken after 5 day work week and not after 7 day calender week.

PERIODIC WASTE DI: AL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST DLCS 2390201, T-641317

TABLE III

# CHEMISTRY DATA

	Surge			3.444	Ion Exchangers (IX)					Laboratory Ion Exchanger		Ga Stri	s .pper (	
,	Tank			ŞP.	Cond	μ mhos		Gro	oss β 🚊 dp	m/ml	Gross β -	dpm/ml	Dis.C	2-PPM
i	in	.Flow	Leaving	Α	В	. C	D	Leaving	Leaving	Leaving	Entering	Leaving	In	Out
Date	Proc.	Path	Surge	,				Surge	First	Last		_		l
			Tank	:				Tank	IX	IX				
	,							3780	123.5	10.01			205.5	·
위 8/20/60	1-B	BADC	428	102	151	2.8	_20_1	<u>+</u> 16.6	<u>+</u> 2.6	+ 1.6			± 4.3	] !
1	'	}					i	5960	51.5	3.94		, ed	11.8	eq
8/21/60	1-B	BADC	441	295	389	3.58	197	<u>+</u> 20.8	<u>+</u> 2.1	<u>+</u> 1.5		rm	<u>+</u> 2.3	i i
	•		·					974	297	8.59		fo	716	fŷ
8/23/60	1-B	····BA:DC	443	361	411	.23.7	27.6	<u>+</u> 8.6	± 5.1	<u>+</u> 2.2		per	+ 2.2	er
						:		307	65.5	7.88	ļ			<u> </u>
8/24/60	1-B	ADCB	471	433	2.1	17.9	55.8	<u>+</u> 7.9	<u>+</u> 2.9	<u>+</u> 2.2		No t	Neg.	\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
1	-	:		13.				1561	122.8	24.3		Ž	. 25.1	S.
8/25/60	1-B	ADCB	454	419	1.73	17.2	44.7	<u>+</u> ~7.6	<u>+</u> 2.5	<u>+</u> 1.7			<u>+</u> 1.7	
			<u> </u>							<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u>.                                    </u>

NOTE: No chromate analysis performed.

PERIODIC WASTE DI: SAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST DLCS 2390201, T-641317

TABLE IV
WASTE DISPOSAL DISCHARGE TO THE OHIO RIVER

	ate	Tim Start		Approximate Discharge (gallons)	Nominal rate of discharge as specified in permit (gpm)	Permit No.	Activity in Effluent µc/mlx108	*Total Gross Activity per Tank (μc)	Type Tank
	22/60	1510	1915	4700	20	1201	0.080	88.83	lA Test Tank
	22/60	1915	2315	4700	·20	1203	0.062	65.33	1B Test Tank
8/2	24/60	1.040	1415	4200	20	1204	01132	124.74	1A Test Tank
8/2	25/60	- 0840	1245	4700	. 20	1205	0.110	121.73	1B Test Tank
8/2	26/60	1110	1520	4700	20	1206	0.042	46.53	1A Test Tank
	27/60 28/60	2210	0710	·580 <u>.</u> 0 .	80	1207	0.032	. 74.82	1B Non-active Tank
Tot	tal			28800		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		521.98	

<sup>\*</sup> Gross activity total of each discharge was calculated by multiplying the activity of the sample taken  $(\mu C/gallon)$  by the total number of gallons discharged.

# DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY POWER STATIONS DEPARTMENT SHIPPINGPORT ATOMIC POWER STATION

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST DLCS 2390201, T-641317

# TABLE V

# LOG OF EVENTS

Date	Time	Event
8/22/60	1600	Initial readings taken for DLCS 2390201.  B Non-active waste tank receiving.  B Special waste tank receiving.  B Chemical waste tank receiving  C Surge and decay tank receiving.  B Test tank receiving
	1510 to 1915	"A" test tank discharged to river, permit No. 1201, 4700 gallons at 20 gpm - gross activity 1.89 x $10^{-2}$ µc/gal.
٠.	1915	"A" test tank receiving water being stripped from the 1B surge tank.
	1915 to 2315	"B" test tank discharged to the river, permit No. 1203, 4700 gallons at 20 gpm - gross activity 1.39 x $10^{-2}$ µc/gal.
8/23/60	1130	Added 10% (400 gallon) to the 1B special waste tank from the 1A chemical waste tank. The Beta Activity was $547 \pm 1$ dpm/ml.
	1420	Started the incinerator to burn combustible solids.
	1630	Isolated the 1A test, 1B test tank now receiving. Continuing to process B surge tank through the BADC IX's through the stripper to the B test tank.
	1715	Reloaded the incinerator with combustible solids.
8/24/60	1030 - "B"	ION EXCHANGER FLUSHED AND RECHARGED
	1040 to 1415	"A" test tank discharged to the river, permit No. 1204, 4200 gallon at 20 gpm, gross activity 2.97 x $10^{-2}$ µc/gal.
	1330	Pumped the gravity drain tank to 19 inches (1600 gallons) to the "C" surge tank, chromate concentration 0.
	1630	Evaporating the "B" waste tank, continuing to process the "B" surge tank through ADCB IX's and the strippper to the "B" test tank.

# DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY POWER STATIONS DEPARTMENT SHIPPINGPORT ATOMIC POWER STATION

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST DLCS 2390201, T-641317

# TABLE V (cont'd)

# LOG OF EVENTS

Date	Time	Event
8/25/60	0840 to 1245	"B" test tank discharge to the river, permit No. 1205, 4700 gallon at 20 gpm - gross activity 2.59 x $10^{-2}$ µc/gal.
	1050	Evaporator shut down.
	1115	Pumped out the evaporator bottom.
	1415	Evaporator on the line using the "B" chemical waste tank.
	1600	Continuing to process the "B" surge tank through the ADCB IX's and the stripper to the "B" test tank.
8/26/60	0820	The "B" surge and decay tank empty. The stripper shut down.
	0920	The evaporator shut down.
	1110 to 1520	"A" test tank discharged to the river, permit No. 1206, 4700 gallon at 20 gpm - gross activity 0.99 x $10^{-2}$ µc/gal.
8/27/60	1400	Pumped 2200 gallons from the gravity drain tank to the "B" surge tank, chromate concentration 0.
	2210	Started to discharged the "B" non-active tank to the river, permit No. 1207, 5800 gallons at 80 gpm - gross activity 1.29 x $10^{-2}~\mu c/gal$ .
8/28/60	0001 to 0710	Continued discharging the "B" non-active tank to the river as per permit No. 1207.
	0840	Approximately 2400 gallons were transferred from the "B" Special Waste Tank to the "B" chemical waste tank because of high chromate content. The beta activity was $705 \pm 9$ dpm/ml.
	0915	Started to evaporate the "A" chemical waste tank.
	1600	Final readings taken for DLCS 23902.

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST DLCS 2390201, T-641317

# TABLE VI

# NOTES TO LOG OF EVENTS

Test Procedure Section VIII	Event
0 - 1	No delays were encountered or abnormal plant operations require during the performance of this test.
0 - 2	Activity was not determined for the two discharges of the React Plant Container Gravity Drain Tank to the surge and decay tank since the discharges were mostly boiler water.
0 - 3	No discharges of resin from the Reactor Plant demineralizer occurred during this performance of the test.
<b>0</b> ** = 1 4	Discharges of any tanks in the Radioactive Waste Disposal Syste to the effluent channel are tabulated in Table IV.
0 - 5	No gas decay drums were discharged to the atmosphere while the test was in progress.
0 - 6	The Blow-off tank was not vented during this test performance.
0 - 7	Processing of the surge tanks is listed in Table III. Chemical waste tanks were evaporated as recorded in the log of events.
0 -( 8	Incinerator operations were recorded. Approximately 90 pounds of combustible waste was burned with an initial average contact activity of 5 MR/HR.
0 - 9	Approximately 600 pounds of non-combustible evaporator wastes were barreled with an average contact activity of 10 MR/HR.
0 - 10	The coolant sample train operated continuously at approximately 40 lbs/hr throughout the test. The sample flow rate and gross soluble beta-gamma activity recorders were out of service durin this test but the activity was obtained from samples taken dail and are tabulated in Table VII.
0 - 11	The volume of laundry drainage could not be reported because the pump has a local float switch control and is pumped out automatically; all water goes to the special waste tank.

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY
POWER STATIONS DEPARTMENT
SHIPPINGPORT ATOMIC POWER STATION

PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM MATERIAL BALANCE TEST
DLCS 2390201, T-641317

TABLE VII

# COOLANT SAMPLE TRAINS Flow Rate and Gross Gamma Activity

Date	Percent Power %	Operational Time Hrs.	Approx. Flow Rate 1b/hr		assed Activity after 120 hrs. dpm/ml x 10
8/22/60	106	79	40	98.3	12.3
8/23/60	104	103	40	105.0	<b>□ = =</b>
8/24/60	104	122	40	110.6	12.6
8/25/60	105	150	40	100.0	11.0
8/26/60	• 102 <sub>.</sub>	171	40	97.0	. • <b></b>
8/27/60	106	196	40	106.4	යපුප
8/29/60	100	243	40	97.7	10.7

JUQUESNE LIGHT CUMPANY	PERIODIC WASTE DISPOSAL SYSTEM
POWER STATIONS DEPARTMENT	MATERIAL BALANCE TEST
SHIPPINGPORT ATOMIC POWER STATION	DLCS 2390201, T-641317
$\Lambda$ , $\mathcal{V}$	
Results Prepared By John A.	lungio S.
Results Reviewed By Logh Sauren	
Approved (Duquesne Light Company) / Longe / Lines	Date 3-17-6/