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Summary

The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), within the Department of the Interior (DOI), is preparing a program for offshore oil and gas leasing on the U.S. outer continental shelf (OCS) for the five-year period from mid-2017 through mid-2022. Currently, BOEM is implementing a previous five-year leasing program for the 2012-2017 period. BOEM prepares five-year leasing programs under Section 18 of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, as amended (OCSLA; 43 U.S.C. §1331 ff). The law requires the Secretary of the Interior to prepare and maintain forward-looking plans that indicate proposed public oil and gas lease sales in U.S. waters. In doing so, the Secretary must balance national interests in energy supply and environmental protection.

BOEM’s development of a five-year program typically takes place over two or three years, during which successive drafts of the program are published for review and comment. All available leasing areas are initially examined, and the selection is then narrowed based on economic and environmental analysis to arrive at a final leasing schedule. At the end of the process, the Secretary of the Interior must submit each program to the President and to Congress for a period of at least 60 days, after which the proposal may be approved by the Secretary and may take effect with no further regulatory or legislative action. BOEM also develops a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) for the leasing program, as required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. §4321). The PEIS examines the potential environmental impacts from oil and gas exploration and development and considers a reasonable range of alternatives to the proposed plan.

On March 15, 2016, BOEM published the second draft of its 2017-2022 offshore oil and gas leasing program, known as the proposed program (PP). The PP schedules 13 lease sales, including 10 in the Gulf of Mexico and 3 in the Alaska region. No sales are scheduled for the Atlantic or Pacific regions, and an Atlantic sale proposed in an earlier draft (known as the draft proposed program or DPP) was removed from the PP. The proposed Atlantic sale, if held, would have been the first in the Atlantic region since 1983. BOEM will next publish the final version of the program, to be submitted to Congress and the President. Because the program is developed through a winnowing process, the final program (published under the title “proposed final program” to reflect the need for congressional and presidential review) may remove sales proposed in the PP but will not include any new sales.

Congress has typically been actively involved during the planning phases of BOEM’s five-year leasing programs. Although Congress has a role under the OCSLA of reviewing BOEM’s final program, the act does not require that Congress directly approve the program for it to be implemented. However, Members of Congress may convey their views on the Administration’s proposals by submitting public comments on draft versions of the program during formal comment periods, or they may evaluate the program in committee oversight hearings. More directly, Members may introduce legislation to set or alter a program’s terms. The 114th Congress has exercised all these types of influence with respect to the proposed program for 2017-2022. Congressional legislation, including H.R. 1487/S. 791, H.R. 1663, H.R. 3682, H.R. 4749, S. 1276, S. 1278, S. 1279, and S. 2011, would alter the program by adding or removing certain lease sales or making other programmatic changes.
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Introduction

Under the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA), as amended in 1978, the Department of the Interior (DOI) must prepare and maintain forward-looking plans—often referred to as “five-year programs”—that indicate proposed public oil and gas lease sales in U.S. waters over a five-year period. In preparing each program, DOI must balance national interests in energy supply and environmental protection. The lead agency within DOI responsible for the program is the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM).

BOEM’s development of a five-year program typically takes place over two or three years, during which successive drafts of the program are published for review and comment. All available leasing areas are initially examined, and the selection is then narrowed based on economic and environmental analysis to arrive at a final leasing schedule. At the end of the process, the Secretary of the Interior must submit each program to the President and to Congress for a period of at least 60 days, after which the proposal may be approved by the Secretary and may take effect with no further regulatory or legislative action.

As required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the planning process includes a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS). The PEIS examines the potential environmental impacts from oil and gas exploration and development and considers a reasonable range of alternatives to the proposed plan. Public comments from stakeholders, including state governors, companies, individuals, and public interest organizations, are addressed in both the PEIS and the five-year program itself.

On January 29, 2015, BOEM published its draft proposed program (DPP) for offshore oil and gas leasing for the period 2017-2022. It represented the Obama Administration’s initial proposal for the upcoming five-year period. The DPP contained 14 potential lease sales in eight outer continental shelf (OCS) planning areas: 10 sales in the three Gulf of Mexico planning areas, 9

---

2 43 U.S.C. §1344. U.S. waters comprise an area referred to as the outer continental shelf, or OCS (43 U.S.C. §1331(a)). The OCS is an area of submerged lands, subsoil, and seabed that lies between the outer seaward reaches of a state’s jurisdiction and the jurisdiction of the United States.
3 The Secretary of the Interior must ensure, “to the maximum extent practicable,” that the timing and location of leasing occurs so as to “obtain a proper balance between the potential for environmental damage, the potential for the discovery of oil and gas, and the potential for adverse impact on the coastal zone.” 43 U.S.C. §1344(a)(3).
4 Prior to 2010, the Secretary of the Interior delegated this responsibility to the Minerals Management Service, and then to the Service’s successor agency, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement (BOEMRE). The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), one of three successor agencies to BOEMRE, has had the responsibility since a departmental reorganization in October 2012.
5 Some areas of the OCS may be unavailable for leasing because of presidential or congressional leasing moratoria or other types of protection. For more information, see section on “Moratoria and Withdrawals Affecting the 2017-2022 Program.”
6 43 U.S.C. §1344(d). Congress does not approve or reject the program during the review period, but congressional review may lead to separate legislative action.
9 These are the Western, Central, and Eastern Gulf of Mexico planning areas. Only a small portion of the Eastern Gulf of Mexico planning area is available for leasing; the majority of the area is subject to a leasing moratorium through June 30, 2022, under P.L. 109-432, the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006.
1 sale each in the Chukchi Sea, Beaufort Sea, and Cook Inlet planning areas, in the Alaska region; and 1 sale in the Atlantic region. The proposed Atlantic sale, if held, would have been the first sale in the Atlantic region since 1983.

On March 15, 2016, BOEM published the second draft of its 2017-2022 offshore oil and gas leasing program, known as the proposed program (PP). The PP revised the leasing schedule of the DPP to remove the proposed lease sale in the Atlantic region. Along with the PP, BOEM released a draft PEIS for the 2017-2022 program. Comments on the PP will be accepted through June 16, 2016. After BOEM analyzes the comments and makes any further changes, the agency will publish a proposed final program (PFP) and a final PEIS, to be submitted to Congress and the President.

The leasing decisions in BOEM’s five-year programs may affect the economy and environment of individual coastal states and of the nation as a whole. Accordingly, Congress has typically been actively involved in the planning process for the five-year programs. Although Congress has a role under the OCSLA of reviewing BOEM’s final program, the act does not require that Congress directly approve the program for it to be implemented. However, Members of Congress may convey their views on the Administration’s proposals by submitting public comments on draft versions of the program during formal comment periods, or they may evaluate the program in committee oversight hearings. More directly, Members may introduce legislation to set or alter a program’s terms. The 114th Congress has exercised all these types of influence with respect to the proposed program for 2017-2022.

The first two sections of this report discuss the history and legal framework for BOEM’s five-year offshore oil and gas leasing programs. Subsequent sections outline BOEM’s development process, briefly summarize previous years’ programs, and analyze the proposal for 2017-2022. The final section of the report discusses the role of Congress, with a focus on congressional oversight and legislation related to the 2017-2022 program.

**Historical Background**

In 1953, Congress enacted two laws that addressed jurisdiction and rights off the coasts of the United States, including rights to regulation of subsurface oil and natural gas exploration and production. The first of these acts, the Submerged Lands Act, provides that coastal states are generally entitled to an area extending 3 geographical miles from their officially recognized coasts (or baselines). The second, the OCSLA, defined the OCS as “all submerged lands lying

---


11 The final version of a BOEM five-year program is referred to as the “proposed final program,” to reflect the need for congressional and presidential review.

12 This section was prepared by Adam Vann, Legislative Attorney.

13 43 U.S.C. §§1301 et seq.

14 A geographical or nautical mile is equal to 6,080.20 feet, as opposed to a statute mile, which is equal to 5,280 feet. The Submerged Lands Act (SLA) also provides for an extended seaward boundary if a state can show such a boundary was provided for by the state’s “constitution or laws prior to or at the time such State became a member of the Union, or if it has been heretofore approved by Congress.” 43 U.S.C. §§1301(b), 1312. After enactment of the SLA, the Supreme Court of the United States held that the Gulf coast boundaries of Florida and Texas extend to the 3-marine-league limit (a marine league is 3 nautical miles). Other Gulf coast states were unsuccessful in their challenges. See U.S. v. Louisiana, 363 U.S. 1, 66 (1960), U.S. v. Florida, 363 U.S. 121, 129 (1960).

seaward of” state coastal waters that are subject to the jurisdiction and control of the United States.\textsuperscript{16} The OCSLA has as its primary purpose “expeditious and orderly development [of OCS resources], subject to environmental safeguards, in a manner which is consistent with the maintenance of competition and other national needs.”\textsuperscript{17}

As offshore activities expanded in the years following adoption of the OCSLA, Congress sought a means by which to allow for expedited exploration and production in order to achieve national energy goals while also providing for environmental protection, opportunities for state and local governments affected by offshore activity to have their voices heard, and a competitive bidding and leasing process.\textsuperscript{18} The product was the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act Amendments of 1978.\textsuperscript{19} This legislation added a number of new provisions to the OCSLA, including Section 18, which mandates the creation and maintenance of an OCS leasing program to “best meet national energy needs for the five-year period following its approval or reapproval.”\textsuperscript{20} These five-year programs, which include schedules for lease auctions, have provided the framework for OCS oil and gas exploration and production ever since the first one was adopted by DOI in 1980.

Although the 1978 amendments were the last major overhaul to the OCSLA, Congress has taken other actions since that time that have altered the scope of offshore oil and gas exploration and production. The Deep Water Royalty Relief Act of 1995 attempted to encourage exploration and production in deep water by providing relief from otherwise applicable royalty payment requirements for some deepwater oil and natural gas production.\textsuperscript{21} The Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 directed the leasing of certain regions of the Gulf of Mexico for oil and gas exploration and production and placed a moratorium on leasing in other regions. It also created a mechanism for sharing revenues from leasing in the region with Gulf states and the Land and Water Conservation Fund.\textsuperscript{22} Also, starting in 2008, Congress removed language from annual Interior appropriations legislation that had been in place to bar leasing and related activities in certain OCS regions.\textsuperscript{23} These legislative actions helped to shape subsequent five-year programs.

Legal Framework\textsuperscript{24}

The statutory framework governing BOEM’s development of a five-year offshore oil and gas leasing program includes the OCSLA as well as other federal statutes, particularly NEPA and the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA).\textsuperscript{25}

\begin{footnotes}
\item 16 43 U.S.C. §1331(a).
\item 17 43 U.S.C. §1332(3).
\item 19 P.L. 95-372.
\item 20 43 U.S.C. §1344(a).
\item 21 P.L. 104-58.
\item 22 P.L. 109-432. For more information on the Land and Water Conservation Fund, see CRS Report RL33531, Land and Water Conservation Fund: Overview, Funding History, and Issues, by Carol Hardy Vincent.
\item 23 For further discussion of this appropriations-based moratorium, see CRS Report RL33404, Offshore Oil and Gas Development: Legal Framework, by Adam Vann.
\item 24 This section was prepared by Adam Vann, Legislative Attorney.
\end{footnotes}
Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act

Section 18 of the OCSLA provides:

The Secretary [of the Interior] ... shall prepare and periodically revise, and maintain an oil and gas leasing program to implement the policies of this subchapter. The leasing program shall consist of a schedule of proposed lease sales indicating, as precisely as possible, the size, timing, and location of leasing activity which he determines will best meet national energy needs for the five-year period following its approval or reapproval.26

Section 18 further provides that the OCS is to be managed in a manner “which considers economic, social, and environmental values” of the resources of the OCS as well as the potential impact of oil and gas exploration on the marine, coastal, and human environments.27

Specifically, Section 18 directs the Secretary to schedule the timing and location of oil and gas exploration and production among the regions of the OCS based on consideration of a variety of factors, including existing geographical, geological and ecological characteristics of the regions; relative environmental and other natural resource considerations of the regions; the relative interest of oil and natural gas producers in the regions; and the laws, goals and policies of the states that would be affected by offshore exploration and production in the region. In addition to striking this balance, leasing under the five-year program must also “be conducted to assure receipt of fair market value for the lands leased and the rights conveyed by the Federal Government.”28 The OCSLA also requires that the five-year program include estimates on appropriations and staffing needs.29

The OCSLA also imposes a number of consultation requirements. During preparation of the five-year program, the Secretary of the Interior must “invite and consider suggestions for such program from any interested Federal agency, including the Attorney General, in consultation with the Federal Trade Commission, and from the Governor of any State which may become an affected State under such proposed program.”30 In addition to these mandatory consultation requirements, the Secretary may choose to consult with local government officials in affected states.31

Once the Secretary has satisfied these consultation and other requirements and prepared a proposed program, that program must be submitted to the governor of each affected state for further comments at least 60 days prior to publication of the proposed program in the Federal Register.32 The OCSLA also authorizes the Attorney General, in coordination with the Federal Trade Commission, to submit comments regarding potential effects of the proposed program on competition.33 Subsequently, at least 60 days prior to its approval, the Secretary must submit the proposed program to Congress and the President, along with an explanation as to why any specific recommendation of the Attorney General or a state or local government was not

31 Ibid.
accepted.\textsuperscript{34} Once these steps have been completed, the Secretary is free to approve a final five-year program. The OCSLA also authorizes the Secretary to revise the five-year program at any time pursuant to a mandated review, although any revision that is “significant” must go through the process for the initial five-year program described above.\textsuperscript{35}

The responsibilities of the Secretary of the Interior with respect to the five-year program under the OCSLA are carried out by BOEM. The regulations applicable to BOEM’s preparation of the five-year program include details regarding these consultation requirements. For example, BOEM is required to send letters to governors of affected states requesting that they identify specific laws, goals, and policies that they would like BOEM to consider during preparation of the five-year program.\textsuperscript{36} The regulations also outline requirements for publication of the proposed program in the \textit{Federal Register}.

\textbf{Other Federal Statutes}

While the OCSLA and the applicable regulations guide the five-year planning process, other federal statutes also play a role in the program’s formation. Two federal statutes that play a prominent role in the preparation of the five-year program are NEPA and the CZMA.

\textbf{National Environmental Policy Act}

Section 102(2)(C) of NEPA requires all federal agencies to prepare a detailed statement of the environmental impact of and alternatives to major federal actions significantly affecting the environment.\textsuperscript{37} In many cases the process for compliance with this requirement includes an environmental assessment (EA) that determines whether an action is a major federal action significantly affecting the environment.\textsuperscript{38} However, if the agency has determined that the proposed action is a major federal action without conducting an EA, the agency moves directly to preparing the statement of the environmental impact of and alternatives to the proposed federal action, known as an environmental impact statement (EIS).\textsuperscript{39} This is the case with BOEM’s five-year programs; the significance of the program’s impact on the environment is assumed. Therefore, BOEM prepares a programmatic EIS (PEIS)\textsuperscript{40} concurrently with preparation of the five-year program. This process is explained in further detail throughout this report.

\textsuperscript{34} 43 U.S.C. §1344(d)(2).
\textsuperscript{35} 43 U.S.C. §1344(e).
\textsuperscript{36} 30 C.F.R. §556.16(b).
\textsuperscript{38} 40 C.F.R. §1501.3(a).
\textsuperscript{39} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{40} A programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) evaluates the environmental impact of the federal program in question from a broad regional perspective; more detailed and geographically focused analyses in compliance with the requirements of NEPA are also conducted at the planning, leasing, and exploration and development stages. See BOEM, “Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (2012-2017),” at http://www.boem.gov/5-year/2012-2017/PEIS/.
Coastal Zone Management Act

Under the CZMA, states are encouraged to enact coastal zone management plans to coordinate protection of habitats and resources in coastal waters. The CZMA establishes a policy of preservation alongside sustainable use and development compatible with resource protection. State coastal zone management programs that are approved by the Secretary of Commerce are eligible to receive federal monetary and technical assistance. State programs must designate conservation measures and permissible uses for land and water resources and must address various sources of water pollution.

The CZMA also requires that the federal government and federally permitted activities comply with these state programs. To that end, the BOEM regulations governing the five-year program provide that “[i]n development of the leasing program, consideration shall be given to the coastal zone management program being developed or administered by an affected coastal State.” The regulations require BOEM to request information concerning the relationship between a state’s coastal zone management program and OCS oil and gas activity from both the governors of affected coastal states and the Secretary of Commerce prior to development of the leasing program.

Five-Year Program Development Process

BOEM’s development of a five-year program typically takes place over two or three years, during which successive drafts of the program are published for review and comment. The drafts are also submitted to state governors and federal agencies and, in later stages, to Congress and the President (see discussion of consultation requirements in the “Legal Framework” section, above). Each step of the process involves additional public comment and environmental review. After the program takes effect, individual lease sales also undergo environmental review and public comment, as do companies’ exploration and development plans on leased tracts. Figure 1 outlines the steps from development of the five-year program to actual oil and gas production in an individual well.

---

41 Some of the material in this section has been borrowed from CRS Report R40175, Wind Energy: Offshore Permitting, by Adam Vann.
43 Coastal U.S. states and territories, including the Great Lakes states, are eligible to receive federal assistance for their coastal zone management programs. Currently, there are 35 approved state and territorial plans. See National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, State and Territory Coastal Management Program Summaries, at https://coast.noaa.gov/czm/mystate/.
47 16 U.S.C. §1456(c).
48 30 C.F.R. §556.19.
49 Ibid.
50 This section prepared by Laura Comay, Analyst in Natural Resources Policy.
Figure 1. OCS Oil and Gas Leasing, Exploration, and Development Process


Notes: APD = application for permit to drill; BSEE = Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; CD = consistency determination; CZM = coastal zone management; EIS = environmental impact statement; NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act; NOI = notice of intent; PEIS = programmatic environmental impact statement; ROD = record of decision. The gold star indicates the current stage of development of the 2017-2022 OCS oil and gas leasing program.
Because of the analysis and review undertaken at each stage of drafting the five-year program, the successive drafts represent a winnowing process. The initial draft proposed program (DPP) examines all of the agency’s available planning areas for oil and gas leasing, analyzing them according to factors in Section 18 of the OCSLA and considering public input, in order to develop an initial schedule of proposed lease sales. In the next version of the plan, the proposed program (PP), only those areas listed in the initial schedule undergo further analysis and environmental review. On the basis of this more targeted analysis, BOEM might remove proposed sales but would not add new sales. The same is true for the last version of the plan, the proposed final program (PFP)—the agency may remove proposed sales at this final stage but may not add new sales without reverting to an earlier stage of the process and undertaking new environmental reviews. The steps of the process are discussed in greater detail below.

- **Step 1. Request for Information.** BOEM initiates development of a new five-year program by publishing in the *Federal Register* a request for information (RFI) from interested parties concerning regional and national energy needs for the next five-year period; leasing interests of possible oil and gas producers; environmental concerns; and concerns of state and local governments, tribes, and the public, among other issues. The RFI for the 2017-2022 leasing program was published on June 16, 2014, and was followed by a comment period during which the agency received more than half a million comments.52

- **Step 2. Draft Proposed Program/Notice of Intent for PEIS.** On the basis of its analysis and the public comments received in the RFI, BOEM publishes a draft proposed program (DPP) that represents the initial proposal for lease sales in the upcoming five-year period. The DPP is the first of three decision documents leading up to BOEM’s eventual final program.53 The DPP analyzes all OCS planning areas available for leasing and identifies a preliminary list of areas proposed for lease sales over the next five years. It also contains a preliminary schedule for the proposed sales. BOEM published its DPP for 2017-2022 on January 29, 2015, with a comment period that closed on March 30, 2015.54 BOEM received more than 1 million comments on the DPP.

When the DPP is published, BOEM also issues a notice of intent (NOI) to publish a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) for the proposed lease areas and seeks public input (through a scoping process) on the issues that should be analyzed in the PEIS. The NOI for the 2017-2022 program was published on January 29, 2015, along with the DPP.55

---

51 BOEM divides the U.S. OCS into 26 planning areas in four regions (the Atlantic, Pacific, Arctic, and Gulf of Mexico regions). The draft proposed program analyzes all of the planning areas except those that are closed to oil and gas leasing activities by congressional or presidential moratoria.

52 BOEM, “Request for Information and Comments on the 2017-2022 Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program,” 79 *Federal Register* 34349, June 16, 2014. A summary of the comments BOEM received is available in the 2017-2022 DPP, Appendix A.

53 A decision document is one on which the Secretary of the Interior must issue a decision.


55 BOEM, “Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), 2017-2022 Oil and Gas Leasing Program,” 80 *Federal Register* 4939. Public scoping meetings were announced for Alaska, Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Texas, Louisiana, Alabama, and Washington, DC. Additional public scoping meetings were later planned for New Jersey and Georgia (BOEM, “Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), 2017-2022 Oil and Gas Leasing Program,” 80 *Federal Register* (continued...))
• **Step 3. Proposed Program/Draft PEIS.** After further analyzing the lease sale areas proposed in the DPP according to the required factors in Section 18 of the OCSLA, and taking into account the public comments received on the DPP, BOEM publishes a proposed program (PP) for the five-year period. This second version of the program refines the proposed locations and timing for OCS oil and gas lease sales. BOEM submits the PP to Congress, state governors, and relevant federal agencies and also solicits public comment on the program. BOEM published the PP for 2017-2022 on March 15, 2016, with a comment period that closes on June 16, 2016.56 The PP is accompanied by a draft PEIS analyzing the OCS areas that were identified for leasing at the DPP stage. The comment period for the 2017-2022 draft PEIS closed on May 2, 2016.57

• **Step 4. Proposed Final Program/Final PEIS.** The final document published by BOEM is the PFP, which is based on additional analysis of the factors in Section 18 of the OCSLA, along with analysis of the public comments received on the PP. The PFP is announced in the Federal Register and submitted to the President and Congress for a period of at least 60 days. Although Congress does not have an approval role for the PFP, the 60-day review period could allow for legislation to be introduced that would influence the outcome of the program. Along with the PFP, BOEM publishes a final PEIS that concludes the analysis of the areas proposed for leasing. The final PEIS is submitted to the President and Congress along with the PFP. Publication of the PFP and final PEIS for the 2017-2022 program is anticipated in 2016, before the end of the Obama Administration.

• **Step 5. Approval of PFP by Secretary of the Interior.** At least 60 days after BOEM submits the PFP to the President and Congress, the Secretary of the Interior may approve the PFP, which then becomes final. The Secretary publishes a record of decision for the final program.

**Five-Year Programs Submitted in Earlier Years**58

Since 1980, eight distinct five-year programs and a revised version of one program have been submitted to Congress. Following the 60-day review period required by the OCSLA, each of these five-year programs has taken effect as an approved program. This section briefly discusses the previous submissions, dating back to 1980, as shown in Table 1.

---


58 This section prepared by Laura Comay, Analyst in Natural Resources Policy, and Marc Humphries, Specialist in Energy Policy.
Table 1. OCSLA Five-Year Programs Submitted to Congress Since 1980

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Administration Submitting Plan</th>
<th>Congress</th>
<th>Number of Sales Listed in Submission</th>
<th>Number of Sales Held</th>
<th>Approximate Acres Leased (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012-2017</td>
<td>Obama</td>
<td>112th</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.5 (through April 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-2002</td>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>105th</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987-1992</td>
<td>Reagan</td>
<td>100th</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982-1987</td>
<td>Reagan</td>
<td>97th</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-1982d</td>
<td>Carter</td>
<td>96th</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CRS.

The five-year programs have reflected the offshore oil and gas leasing policies of different presidential administrations, along with input from states, Members of Congress, and other stakeholders.

- **2012-2017 Program.** The Obama Administration submitted the 2012-2017 five-year program to Congress under the direction of former Secretary of the Interior Ken Salazar.\(^{59}\) The program reflected Obama Administration policies on offshore energy development in the aftermath of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill and subsequent management reforms.\(^{60}\) The submission consisted of 15 proposed lease sales from August 2012 through August 2017, including 12 sales in the Gulf of Mexico.

---


\(^{60}\) The Deepwater Horizon events resulted in 11 worker fatalities, a massive oil release, and a national response effort in the Gulf of Mexico led by the federal government. Based on estimates from the U.S. Geological Survey, the oil spill was the largest in U.S. waters. For more information on the spill, including response activities and DOI safety reforms, see CRS Report R42942, *Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill: Recent Activities and Ongoing Developments*, by Jonathan L. Ramseur.
of Mexico and 3 sales in the Alaska region. Two of the three Alaska sales were later canceled.\(^61\) As of late March 2016, 10 lease sales had been held.\(^62\)

- **2007-2012 Program.**\(^63\) The George W. Bush Administration prepared and submitted the 2007-2012 five-year program to Congress under the direction of former Secretary of the Interior Dirk Kempthorne. This submission reflected the Bush Administration’s policies on domestic energy production and environmental protection. The program went into effect in July 2007 with a schedule of 21 sales. DOI subsequently revised the schedule in accordance with a 2009 court order.\(^64\) The Obama Administration resubmitted the program to Congress in 2010, replacing the original lease sale schedule with a schedule consisting of 16 sales, and approved the leasing program after the 60-day review period.\(^65\) Eleven of the 16 sales were held. This five-year program expired in June 2012.

- **2002-2007 Program.** The 2002-2007 OCS oil and gas leasing plan was submitted to Congress in 2002 under former Secretary of the Interior Gale Norton.\(^66\) This submission was consistent with the George W. Bush Administration’s policies on energy production. The proposal consisted of a schedule of 20 lease sales, 15 of which were held before the program expired in June 2007.

- **1997-2002 Program.** The five-year program for the 1997-2002 period was submitted to Congress in 1996 under former Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt.\(^67\) The submission was consistent with national energy policies established during the Clinton Administration. The submission listed 16 sales, 12 of which were held before the program expired in June 2002.

- **1992-1997 Program.** The five-year program for the 1992-1997 period was presented to Congress in 1992 under former Secretary of the Interior Manuel

---


\(^63\) During the 2007-2012 time frame, the George W. Bush Administration initiated an effort, beginning in August 2008, to modify the then-current five-year leasing program. The Administration published a DPP for 2010-2015 based on President Bush’s lifting of the oil and gas leasing moratoria on areas in the Atlantic and Pacific planning areas. The 2010-2015 leasing program would have held 31 lease sales, including two in the Eastern Gulf of Mexico that would have required Congress to lift existing leasing restrictions under the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (P.L. 109-432). The DPP was published on January 21, 2009, but the time period was shifted by the Obama Administration from 2010-2015 to 2012-2017, and the 2012-2017 final program contained only 15 lease sales, as discussed above.

\(^64\) Center for Biological Diversity, et al. v. Department of the Interior, 563 F.3d 466 (D.C. Cir. 2009).


Lujan. Planning was consistent with George H. W. Bush Administration policies on energy production. A schedule of 18 sales was submitted. Twelve sales were held before the program expired in June 1997.

- **1987-1992 Program.** This five-year program was presented to Congress in 1987 under former Secretary of the Interior Donald Hodel. The program reflected Reagan Administration policies. The approved lease sale schedule contained 42 sales, 17 of which were held before the program expired in June 1992.

- **1982-1987 Program.** This submission was presented to Congress in 1982 under former Secretary of the Interior James Watt. It was consistent with the Reagan Administration’s national energy policies. The plan consisted of 41 sales, 23 of which were held before the program expired in June 1987.

- **1980-1982 Program.** The original Section 18 submission for domestic oil and gas leasing was envisioned during the passage of the 1978 Amendments to the OCSLA and was prepared starting in October 1978. Consistent with President Carter’s “Energy Message” of April 5, 1979, the program was presented to Congress in April 1980 under the direction of former Secretary of the Interior Cecil D. Andrus. The proposal took effect as an approved plan in June 1980. Under this plan, DOI proposed 36 sales, 12 of which were held. This program was succeeded by the 1982-1987 program.

- **Lease Sales Held Prior to 1980.** The domestic program for oil and gas leasing prior to 1980 encompassed almost 30 years of federal government lease sales conveying more than 3,000 tracts from October 1954 through September 1980.

**Five-Year Program for 2017-2022**

In March 2016, BOEM released the second draft of its offshore oil and gas leasing program for 2017-2022—the proposed program (PP). The PP proposes 13 lease sales in particular regions and planning areas of the OCS. BOEM identifies four OCS regions, comprising a total of 26 planning areas (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The four regions are the Gulf of Mexico region, the Alaska region, the Atlantic region, and the Pacific region. The 2017-2022 PP proposes lease sales in two of these regions (Gulf of Mexico and Alaska). The sections below discuss BOEM’s proposals for each region—and the market conditions, resource estimates, and other factors affecting the proposals—in greater detail.

---


BOEM's Five-Year Programs for Offshore Oil and Gas Leasing

Figure 2. BOEM's OCS Regions and Planning Areas, Lower 48 States

Figure 3. BOEM's OCS Alaska Region and Planning Areas

Market Conditions Affecting the 2017-2022 Program\textsuperscript{74}

U.S. offshore crude oil production accounted for 16\% of U.S. total production in FY2015,\textsuperscript{75} a decline from FY2010, when offshore production represented 31\% of U.S. total crude oil production.\textsuperscript{76} Offshore production volumes declined by about 12\% during this period, whereas U.S. total crude production soared to near-record levels of 9.4 million barrels per day (mbd), an increase of about 73\% over FY2010 levels.\textsuperscript{77}

Offshore natural gas accounted for 4\% of U.S. total production in FY2015, also a decline from FY2010, when it represented 9.5\% of the total.\textsuperscript{78} Offshore natural gas production volumes fell by nearly 50\% between FY2010 and FY2015.\textsuperscript{79} During the same period, U.S. total annual natural gas production rose by more than 30\%, from 21.3 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) to 28.7 Tcf.\textsuperscript{80}

The surge in total U.S. crude oil and natural gas production is the result of increased production of shale gas and shale oil in several unconventional formations throughout the United States (e.g., Marcellus, Bakken, Permian Basin, and Eagle Ford). The increased U.S. oil production has helped to reduce imports, primarily from members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC). The onshore shale oil plays have lower production costs than the deepwater plays that are currently being explored and developed offshore.

As of May 1, 2016, there were 895 producing offshore oil and natural gas leases on 4.4 million acres of the OCS, out of a total of 4,495 active leases on slightly more than 24 million offshore acres. Approximately 85\% of the producing leases and 71\% of the active leases were located in the Central Gulf of Mexico.\textsuperscript{81} In a low oil and natural gas price environment, the demand for the acquisition of new offshore leases is likely to be soft, which could impact future production levels. Overall, the Energy Information Administration (EIA) anticipates lower domestic investment in oil and gas projects over the 2015-2020 period.\textsuperscript{82} Estimates by the Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR) of bonus bid revenues from offshore leasing in the next five fiscal years are much lower than for previous five-year periods. For example, in ONRR’s FY2015 budget request, offshore bonus bid revenues were estimated at around $1 billion annually for FY2015-FY2019.\textsuperscript{83} In the most recent FY2017 budget request, estimated bonus bid revenues

\textsuperscript{74} This section prepared by Marc Humphries, Specialist in Energy Policy.


\textsuperscript{76} CRS calculations from data at DOI’s Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR), Production Data, at http://www.onrr.gov/About/production-data.htm; and Energy Information Administration (EIA), Monthly Energy Review, February 2011, p. 37, at https://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/archive/00351102.pdf.


\textsuperscript{79} ONRR, “Production Data,” at http://www.onrr.gov/About/production-data.htm.


\textsuperscript{82} EIA, Today in Energy, September 24, 2015, at http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=23072.

were closer to $500 million annually for FY2017-FY2021.\textsuperscript{84} The Office of Management and Budget’s crude oil price estimates fell from $80-$90 per barrel in the FY2015 budget request to $50-$60 per barrel in the FY2017 budget request. The longer low oil prices persist, the more impact the decline will have on new investment.

Nonetheless, crude oil production on federal lands, particularly offshore, likely will continue to make a significant contribution to the U.S. energy supply picture. The EIA anticipates that offshore crude oil production in the Gulf of Mexico will reach record levels of 1.91 mbd in 2017, because of the potential for new deepwater oil projects to come online.\textsuperscript{85} BOEM stated in the 2017-2022 PP that “energy diversification, including continued oil and natural gas production in the GOM [Gulf of Mexico], the primary OCS region currently available for energy production and development activities, remains vital ... and new production from other OCS regions can also contribute to meeting the country’s energy needs.”\textsuperscript{86}

**Offshore Resource Estimates for the 2017-2022 Program\textsuperscript{87}**

Oil and gas exploration and production proceed in stages, during which increased data provide growing certainty about the volume of resources present. Prior to discovery by drilling wells, estimated volumes of oil and gas are termed *undiscovered resources*. When oil or gas is discovered, the volumes of that oil and gas are measured within pools or fields via well penetration or other technology, and are called *reserves*. Measured reserves are reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission by the owners of the wells.\textsuperscript{88} Reserves have been reported for U.S. OCS areas that have been developed, such as the Central and Western Gulf of Mexico and some parts of the California coast, but no reserves of oil or gas have been reported along the Atlantic OCS, because there have been no discoveries. Only modest oil reserves have been reported on the Alaska OCS. Altogether, BOEM estimates that the U.S. OCS has 4.3 billion barrels of proven oil reserves and 16 Tcf of dry gas, nearly all of which are located in the Central and Western Gulf of Mexico.\textsuperscript{89}

According to BOEM, the U.S. OCS contains estimated *undiscovered technically recoverable resources* (UTRR) of 89.9 billion barrels of oil (Bbo) and 327.5 Tcf of natural gas (see Table 2).\textsuperscript{90} The Gulf of Mexico contains about 54% of the UTRR for oil and an estimated 43% of the natural gas, with the vast majority of the resources in the Central Gulf of Mexico. About 90% of Alaska’s UTRR estimates for oil and 80% for natural gas are contained in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. In preparing its five-year programs under the OCSLA, BOEM must consider the resource potential of individual OCS regions and planning areas along with other factors, such as potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts of oil and gas leasing.

\textsuperscript{84} Ibid., p. 184.


\textsuperscript{87} This section prepared by Marc Humphries, Specialist in Energy Policy.

\textsuperscript{88} For a full glossary and explanation of oil and gas reporting terms, see Securities and Exchange Commission, “Modernization of the Oil and Gas Reporting Requirements,” 17 C.F.R. Parts 210, 229, and 249 (Release Nos. 33-8935; 34-58030; File No. S7-15-08), RIN 3235-AK00.

\textsuperscript{89} BOEM, *Estimated Oil and Gas Reserves, Gulf of Mexico, Pacific OCS Regions, as of December 2014*.

\textsuperscript{90} BOEM, “Assessment of Undiscovered Technically Recoverable Oil and Gas Resources of the Nation’s Outer Continental Shelf, 2016,” Fact Sheet, at http://www.boem.gov/National-Assessment-2016/. BOEM defines undiscovered technically recoverable resources (UTRR) as “oil and gas that may be produced as a consequence of natural pressure, artificial lift, pressure maintenance, or other secondary recovery methods, but without any consideration of economic viability.” By contrast, *undiscovered economically recoverable resources* (UERR) are defined as “the portion of the undiscovered technically recoverable resources that is economically recoverable under imposed economic and technologic conditions.” Estimations of UERR will differ under different economic scenarios.
Table 2. Oil and Gas Resource Estimates for the U.S. OCS, 2016
(undiscovered technically recoverable resources)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCS Region</th>
<th>Oil (Bbo)</th>
<th>Natural Gas (Tcf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>131.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>38.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>141.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>16.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>89.87</strong></td>
<td><strong>327.49</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: OCS = outer continental shelf; Bbo = billion barrels of oil; Tcf = trillion cubic feet.

Moratoria and Withdrawals Affecting the 2017-2022 Program

Some portions of the U.S. OCS are not available for leasing consideration in the 2017-2022 five-year program because the President has withdrawn them from consideration, Congress has placed a moratorium on leasing in the areas, or the areas have a protected status that does not allow for oil and gas leasing. These unavailable areas, which BOEM did not consider for the program, include the following.

- **Areas in the Eastern and Central Gulf of Mexico.** The Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act of 2006 (GOMESA) placed a moratorium on oil and gas leasing in almost all of the Gulf’s Eastern planning area and a small portion of its Central planning area through 2022.\(^\text{92}\)

- **Alaska Withdrawal Areas.** President Obama has withdrawn from disposition for leasing several ocean areas in the Alaska region: the North Aleutian Basin planning area, the Hanna Shoal portion of the Chukchi Sea planning area, and the Barrow and Kaktovik whaling areas in the Beaufort Sea.\(^\text{93}\)

- **National Marine Sanctuaries and Marine Monuments.** National marine sanctuaries designated by the Secretary of Commerce, as well as national marine monuments established by U.S. Presidents, are withdrawn from future oil and gas leasing activities.\(^\text{94}\) Such protected areas have been designated in parts of the Atlantic Ocean, the Pacific Ocean, and the Gulf of Mexico.

---

\(^{91}\) This section prepared by Laura Comay, Specialist in Natural Resources Policy.

\(^{92}\) P.L. 109-432. See BOEM, “Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act (GOMESA),” at http://www.boem.gov/Revenue-Sharing/. Specifically, the law bans oil and gas leasing in that portion of the Eastern Gulf of Mexico planning area within 125 miles of the coast of Florida, all areas in the Gulf of Mexico east of a prescribed “Military Mission Line,” and in the part of the Central Gulf of Mexico planning area that is within 100 miles of Florida, through June 30, 2022.


\(^{94}\) For more information, see CRS Report RL32154, Marine Protected Areas: An Overview, by Harold F. Upton. For a list and map of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s (NOAA’s) national marine sanctuaries, see (continued...
Proposed Leasing Schedule by Region

BOEM’s “tailored leasing strategy” separately considers each of the four U.S. ocean regions with respect to the criteria for leasing set out in Section 18 of the OCSLA (see “Legal Framework,” above). For each region, BOEM weighs factors including the oil and gas resource potential of the region, existing infrastructure, other ocean uses, environmental issues, and state and local interests and concerns about offshore oil and gas development, among others.

On the basis of its regional analyses, BOEM’s proposal in the PP is for a total of 13 lease sales, all of which would take place in either the Gulf of Mexico region or the Alaska region. No lease sales are proposed for the other two regions of the U.S. OCS, the Atlantic region and the Pacific region. An Atlantic lease sale that was proposed in the DPP was removed for the PP. Table 3 shows the oil and gas lease sales proposed in the PP. BOEM stated that, altogether, the PP would make available for leasing more than 70% of the undiscovered technically recoverable oil and gas resources on the U.S. OCS.95

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. BOEM’s Lease Sale Schedule in the 2017-2022 Proposed Program (PP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


a. Sales in a BOEM lease sale schedule may not be listed in numeric sequence for various reasons. For example, some sales may be carried over from previous plans, and sales proposed in previous plans may be removed. In the DPP for the 2017-2022 program, a lease sale numbered 260 had been scheduled for the Mid- and South Atlantic program area, but this sale was removed in the PP.

(...continued)

http://sanctuaries.noaa.gov/. For a list of presidentially proclaimed national monuments, including national marine monuments, see https://www.nps.gov/archeology/sites/antiquities/MonumentsList.htm.

95 2017-2022 PP, p. S-2. BOEM bases the analysis on its Assessment of Undiscovered Technically Recoverable Oil and Gas Resources of the Nation’s Outer Continental Shelf, 2016, at http://www.boem.gov/National-Assessment-2016/. Although these resources are estimated to be technically recoverable, not all of them may be economically viable to recover. The PP estimates a range of resources that would be economically recoverable under various oil and gas price points and cost conditions. See footnote 90.
Gulf of Mexico Region: Ten Lease Sales

The Gulf of Mexico is the most mature of the four BOEM regions, in that it contains “the most abundant proven and estimated oil and gas resources, broad industry interest, and well-developed infrastructure.” The region accounts for about 97% of all U.S. offshore and gas production. Also, the Gulf states—including Louisiana, Texas, Mississippi, and Alabama—are supportive of offshore oil and gas activities. For all these reasons, the majority of the lease sales in the 2017-2022 program, as in previous programs, are scheduled in the Gulf region (10 of the 13 proposed sales in the current PP).

The region includes three BOEM planning areas: the Western Gulf, the Central Gulf, and the Eastern Gulf (see Figure 4). Almost all of the Eastern Gulf and a small portion of the Central Gulf are closed to oil and gas leasing by the congressional moratorium imposed under GOMESA (see “Moratoria and Withdrawals Affecting the 2017-2022 Program,” above). In earlier five-year programs, BOEM and its predecessor agencies scheduled separate sales in each of the three Gulf planning areas. For the 2017-2022 program, BOEM proposes to replace these area-specific sales with region-wide sales that offer all available lease blocks in the Gulf in each sale (see Table 3). BOEM stated that the change is intended “to provide greater flexibility to industry, including more frequent opportunities to bid on rejected, relinquished, or expired OCS lease blocks, as well as facilitating better planning to explore resources that may straddle the U.S.-Mexico boundary.”

The PP proposes fewer lease sales in the Gulf—and fewer lease sales generally—than were contained in previous five-year programs (see Table 1). For example, the five-year program for 2012-2017 included 12 sales in the Gulf, and the revised program for 2007-2012 also contained 12 Gulf sales. Some Members of Congress expressed concerns about the lower number of lease sales during congressional hearings on the 2017-2022 program. BOEM attributed the decrease to the consolidation of area-specific sales in the Gulf. With all available Gulf blocks offered at each sale, BOEM stated, each individual planning area is made available more times, even though the overall number of lease sales has decreased.

---

98 Under the provisions of GOMESA, revenues from the federal offshore oil and gas lease sales in the Gulf are shared with the four Gulf producing states for coastal conservation, restoration, and hurricane protection. For more information, see CRS Report R43891, Mineral Royalties on Federal Lands: Issues for Congress, by Marc Humphries; and BOEM, “Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act (GOMESA),” at http://www.boem.gov/Revenue-Sharing/.
99 Although BOEM consolidated the Gulf sales for the PP, the agency stated that it will continue to analyze the option of conducting separate sales for each planning area, as has traditionally been done. See section on “Other Program Options.”
100 2017-2022 PP, p. S-5. BOEM stated that if circumstances warrant, it could scale back any given lease sale to focus on a specific planning area, similar to the traditional sales.
101 See, e.g., House Committee on Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Energy and Mineral Resources, hearing, “Examining the Future Impacts of President Obama’s Offshore Energy Plan,” April 15, 2015, at https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CHRG-114hr94270/pdf/CHRG-114hr94270.pdf, hereinafter referred to as House Offshore Energy Plan hearing. Also see the hearing memo (available at http://naturalresources.house.gov/uploadedfiles/hrmgemoemr4_15.pdf), which stated: “The draft plan we examine this week has the lowest number of lease sales in the history of the planning process and does very little to expand access to our nation’s OCS resources.”
Alaska Region: Three Lease Sales

Interest in exploring for offshore oil and gas in the Alaska region of the U.S. OCS has grown as the region sees decreases in summer polar ice, allowing for a longer drilling season. Recent estimates of substantial undiscovered oil and gas resources in Arctic waters have also contributed to the increased interest. However, the region’s severe weather and perennial sea ice, and its lack of infrastructure to extract and transport offshore oil and gas, continue to pose challenges to new exploration. Among 15 BOEM planning areas in the region, the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas are the only two areas with existing federal leases, and only the Beaufort Sea has any producing federal wells (from a joint federal-state unit). Stakeholders including the state of Alaska, as well as some Members of Congress, seek to expand federal offshore oil and gas activities in the region. Other Members of Congress as well as some environmental groups oppose offshore oil and gas drilling in the Arctic, due to concerns about potential oil spills and about the possible contributions of these activities to climate change.

The Obama Administration has expressed support for expanding offshore oil and gas exploration in the Arctic, while also pursuing safety regulations that aim to minimize the potential for oil

---

103 For more information, see CRS Report R41153, Changes in the Arctic: Background and Issues for Congress, coordinated by Ronald O’Rourke, section on “Oil, Gas, and Mineral Exploration.”
spills. In the current five-year program, for the period 2012-2017, BOEM included lease sales in three planning areas of the Alaska region: the Beaufort Sea, the Chukchi Sea, and Cook Inlet. However, in October 2015 BOEM canceled its scheduled Chukchi and Beaufort Sea lease sales for 2016 and 2017, citing difficult market conditions and low industry interest.

BOEM’s PP for 2017-2022 also includes three Alaska lease sales—again, one each in the Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea, and Cook Inlet planning areas. BOEM aims for a “balanced approach” to leasing in the Alaska region, meaning both that the sales are distributed geographically among these three planning areas and that they are scheduled for later in the five-year period (Beaufort Sea in 2020, Cook Inlet in 2021, and Chukchi Sea in 2022), to provide “additional opportunity to evaluate and obtain information regarding environmental issues, subsistence use needs, and infrastructure capabilities, as well as results from any exploration or development activity associated with existing leases.”

BOEM’s focus on the Beaufort Sea, Chukchi Sea, and Cook Inlet planning areas reflects multiple factors, one of which is the extent of oil and gas infrastructure in these areas. For example, BOEM observed that the onshore region near the Beaufort Sea “has a developed oil and gas industry with infrastructure to support adjacent land and state water operations.”

Cook Inlet, the agency stated, “has a long history of oil and gas development in state waters, and it is anticipated that existing infrastructure would reduce the need for new infrastructure to accommodate oil and gas development as a result of new leases under this Program.”

An additional consideration is the areas’ resource potential. For example, BOEM stated that “the Chukchi Sea Planning Area has the greatest estimated hydrocarbon resource potential in Alaska, and could provide the volume of hydrocarbons necessary to extend TAPS [the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System]”.

Within the selected planning areas, BOEM’s leasing strategy reflects the President’s withdrawal of certain parts of the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas from leasing consideration (see “Moratoria and Withdrawals Affecting the 2017-2022 Program,” above), as well as the potential in some areas for conflicts with Alaska Native subsistence activities. BOEM stated that further analysis will be required to determine whether additional mitigation or environmental protection is necessary in these program areas. Unlike the proposed lease sales for the Gulf of Mexico, which cover all

104 DOI, “Requirements for Exploratory Drilling on the Arctic Outer Continental Shelf,” proposed rule, 80 Federal Register 9915, February 24, 2015.


108 Ibid.

109 2017-2022 PP, pp. 4-2 to 4-3. A decline in onshore production at Prudhoe Bay has caused difficulties for the TAPS, which requires a certain amount of throughput in order to remain technologically sound. For more information, see Alyeska Pipeline Company, “Pipeline Operations: Declining Throughput,” at http://www.alyeska-pipe.com/TAPS/PipelineOperations/LowFlowOperations.

portions of the Gulf planning areas that are not under moratorium, BOEM’s lease sales in the Alaska planning areas would be targeted to specific sites that BOEM identifies as best balancing economic and environmental considerations. This targeted leasing strategy was the subject of questions at a May 2016 hearing on the PP in the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee. BOEM stated that the targeted focus would allow BOEM to more thoroughly evaluate the specific areas that are of greatest interest to industry.  

**Figure 5. BOEM’s Proposed Program Areas for Offshore Oil and Gas Leasing in Alaska**

Atlantic Region: No Lease Sales

The major change between the DPP and PP versions of the 2017-2022 program was the PP’s removal of the DPP’s proposed lease sale in the Atlantic region. If conducted, this proposed sale would have been the first offshore oil and gas lease sale in the Atlantic since 1983.

The lack of oil and gas activity in the Atlantic region in the past 30 years was largely due to congressional bans on Atlantic leasing imposed in annual Interior appropriations acts from...

---

FY1983 to FY2008, along with presidential moratoria on offshore leasing in the region during those years. Starting with FY2009, Congress no longer included an Atlantic leasing moratorium in annual appropriations acts. In 2008, President George W. Bush also removed the long-standing administrative withdrawal for the region. These changes meant that lease sales could now potentially be conducted for the Atlantic.

**Geological and Geophysical (G&G) Activities in the Atlantic Ocean**

In addition to offshore oil and gas leasing, a separate issue for the Atlantic region is estimating the extent and location of its oil and gas resources. Earlier congressional and administrative moratoria on Atlantic leasing activities meant that geological and geophysical (G&G) surveys of the region’s offshore resources could not be conducted over the past 30 years. Previous seismic surveys of the region’s resources, dating from the 1970s, were accomplished with older technologies that are considered less precise than recent survey methods. In the past several years, BOEM has conducted environmental analysis for proposed new G&G surveys in the region, and it issued a record of decision (ROD) in July 2014 to allow the surveys to go forward. BOEM included in its record of decision measures to mitigate the impacts of G&G activities on marine life, such as time-area closures to protect the North Atlantic right whale and nesting sea turtles off of Florida. Some environmental advocacy groups, as well as some Members of Congress and other stakeholders, expressed opposition to the BOEM decision, arguing that the agency’s analysis did not adequately account for the potential impacts of seismic surveys on marine mammals, among other issues.

Following the 2014 ROD, a number of companies applied for permits to conduct G&G surveys in the Atlantic region. These applications are still under review by federal agencies and coastal states. The G&G permitting process is taking place outside of the five-year program, which is specifically concerned with lease sales. The House Energy and Natural Resources Committee held a hearing on Atlantic G&G testing in July 2015, during which some Members sought to expedite the permit review process while others opposed letting G&G testing go forward. Witnesses differed in their evaluations of the potential harm to Atlantic marine mammals from seismic activities. Members of Congress have also introduced legislation addressing Atlantic G&G activities. Some bills (such as S. 1279) aim to facilitate G&G surveys, while others (such as S. 2841) would prohibit such activities either in certain areas or throughout the Atlantic.


For both the DPP and the PP versions of the 2017-2022 program, BOEM analyzed a variety of factors for the Atlantic region under Section 18 of the OCSLA. These factors included the region’s resource potential and infrastructure needs, ecological and safety concerns, competing uses of the areas—especially by the Department of Defense and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)—and state and local attitudes toward drilling, among others. The initial analysis for the DPP resulted in a planned lease sale in a combined portion of the Mid- and South Atlantic planning areas in 2021 (see Figure 6). However, after the comment period and further analysis, BOEM removed the Atlantic sale in the PP. BOEM gave several reasons for the removal, including “strong local opposition, conflicts with other ocean uses, ... current market dynamics, ... [and] careful consideration of the comments received from Governors of affected states.” In particular, BOEM cited conflicts with existing uses, including ocean-dependent tourism, commercial and recreational fishing, commercial shipping and transportation, and


Department of Defense and NASA uses.\textsuperscript{114} BOEM observed that some of these activities coexist with oil and gas activities in the Gulf of Mexico, which has a long history of offshore mineral production. By contrast, BOEM stated, because the Atlantic has little such history, the prospect of drilling has raised many concerns among those who use the ocean for competing purposes.\textsuperscript{115}

**Figure 6. BOEM's Originally Proposed Program Area for Offshore Oil and Gas Leasing in the Atlantic**

(subsequently removed from the five-year program)

\textsuperscript{114} The Department of Defense (DOD) identified much of the area off of Virginia, as well as parts of the area off of North Carolina, as places where offshore oil and gas development would be incompatible with DOD activities. See 2017-2022 PP, p. S-9. BOEM’s Atlantic lease sale proposal in the DPP included a 50-mile buffer zone off the coast where leasing would not take place, in order to reduce conflicts with DOD activities as well as other ocean uses. However, on further analysis, BOEM assessed that the areas of DOD concern “significantly overlap the known geological plays and available resources” (2017-2022 PP, p. S-10). Additionally, NASA’s concerns about potential conflicts with activities at its Wallops Island flight facility influenced BOEM’s decision.

BOEM further cited the broader U.S. energy situation as a factor in its decision not to hold an Atlantic lease sale in the 2017-2022 period. The agency observed that the increases over the past decade in onshore oil and gas production have made national energy needs less pressing. BOEM stated that “domestic oil and gas production will remain strong without the additional production from a potential lease sale in the Atlantic.”

Environmental Analysis for the 2017-2022 Program

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). Along with BOEM’s preparation of the five-year offshore oil and gas leasing program for 2017-2022, the agency is preparing a PEIS for the program, as required under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S.C. §§4321-4347). This document evaluates the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts associated with the program and considers alternatives that may avoid or reduce impacts.

BOEM released its draft PEIS for the 2017-2022 program on March 15, 2016, and the comment period for the PEIS closed on May 2, 2016. After analyzing the comments, BOEM will publish a final PEIS. Although the PEIS process is separate from that of the five-year program, it can inform BOEM’s leasing decisions as well as the implementation of the leasing program. For example, when implementing the program, BOEM may apply exclusions or mitigation measures identified in the PEIS to avoid or reduce program impacts.

In addition to the environmental analysis contained in the PEIS, which is associated with publication of the five-year program, later steps in the offshore leasing and production process also require environmental evaluation under NEPA. For example, NEPA reviews are required at the pre-lease sale, exploration, and development and production stages. See Figure 1 for more information.

Mitigation Strategy. The PP also responds to the President’s November 2015 memorandum on environmental mitigation strategies (Mitigating Impacts on Natural Resources from Development and Encouraging Related Private Investment, November 3, 2015). Under this memorandum and other DOI policy guidance, BOEM is to apply a landscape-scale approach to mitigating environmental damage from agency actions. The approach is to follow a “mitigation hierarchy,” starting with avoidance of impacts as the first preference, followed by minimization of impacts that are unavoidable, and then compensation to protect resources impacted by activities.

BOEM stated that the process prescribed by the OCSLA for developing the five-year program largely meets the criteria of the President’s memorandum and related DOI policy guidance. The OCSLA requires a “landscape-level” approach to determine the areas most suitable for ocean energy development. In terms of the preferred strategies of avoidance and minimization, BOEM “eliminated numerous planning areas from potential leasing and minimized effects to certain areas through the Secretary’s size, timing, and location decisions” (2017-2022 PP, p. 1-13). Following program approval, BOEM stated, the agency will “consider, and, where appropriate, employ additional mitigation (including the full hierarchy of avoidance, minimization, and compensation) in the later stages of the oil and gas development process” (p. 1-14).


Pacific Region: No Lease Sales

Like other recent five-year programs, the 2017-2022 program schedules no lease sales for the Pacific region. No federal oil and gas lease sales have been held for the region since 1984, although some active leases with production remain in the Southern California planning area. Like the Atlantic region, the Pacific region was subject to congressional and presidential leasing moratoria for most of the past 30 years. Although these restrictions were lifted in FY2009, the

116 2017-2022 PP, p. S-10. Specifically, BOEM estimated that U.S. oil production would be only 0.10% lower, and U.S. natural gas production 0.06% lower, without the production anticipated from a lease sale in the Mid- and South Atlantic Program Area.

117 Different portions of the Pacific region were subject to different restrictions during this period. The
governors of California, Oregon, and Washington continue to oppose offshore oil and gas leasing in the region.

Other Program Options

As potential alternatives to its proposed lease sale schedule, BOEM analyzed several other “program options” in the PP, which will be evaluated as part of the proposed final program (PFP) and final PEIS. One option would modify the schedule by conducting sales in separate, alternating planning areas of the Gulf of Mexico, rather than offering all available Gulf leasing blocks in each sale. This option would include combined sales for the Central and Eastern Gulf but separate sales for the Western Gulf. A second program option identified for further analysis is to advance the lease sale for the Beaufort Sea to 2019. A third program option is to impose a 15-mile no-leasing buffer off of Alabama’s Baldwin County in the Gulf of Mexico, as requested by the OCS Governors Coalition. The program options also include a “no-sale” option for each program area.

Role of Congress

Congress can influence the Administration’s development of a five-year program in a number of ways. Members of Congress may convey their views on the Administration’s proposal by submitting public comments on a draft program during the formal comment periods, or they may evaluate the program in committee oversight hearings. More directly, Members may introduce legislation to set or alter a program’s terms. The 114th Congress has exercised all these types of influence with respect to the proposed program for 2017-2022. Congress also has a role under the OCSLA of reviewing each five-year program once it is finalized, but the OCSLA does not require that Congress directly approve the final program in order for it to be implemented.

Public Comment

Members of Congress, along with other stakeholders such as state governors, interested agencies and organizations, and members of the public, may submit comments on draft versions of five-year programs. For the 2017-2022 program, BOEM received 15 comments from Members of Congress on its initial request for information (RFI) and 12 comments from Members on the DPP. Some of these comments came from one or a few Members, and others had many signers (in some cases, 150 Members or more). Some comments opposed the inclusion of certain regions

(...continued)

Washington/Oregon planning area and the Central California planning area were under annual congressional moratoria from FY1991 through FY2008, the Northern California planning area from FY1982 through FY2008, and much of the Southern California planning area from FY1985 through FY2008. Additionally, all these areas were under presidential withdrawal from 1990 to July 2008 (2017-2022 DPP, p. 3-6).

118 For more information, see the 2017-2022 PP, chapters 4 and 12.

119 BOEM stated that, although this option will continue to be analyzed in the PFP, it was not included in the PP because the agency already stipulates that leases in that area must prohibit new surface structures within 15 miles of Baldwin County.

120 The 15 congressional comments received on the RFI are discussed on pp. A-46 to A-49 of the 2017-2022 DPP. The 12 congressional comments received on the DPP are discussed on pp. A-24 to A-26 of the 2017-2022 PP. In addition to comments signed by a dozen or more Members, BOEM received individual comments on the DPP from Senator Murkowski (AK); Representative Castor (FL); Senators Menendez and Booker and Representative Pallone (NJ); Representative LoBiondo (NJ); Representative Rice (SC); Senators Warner and Kaine (VA); and Representatives (continued...)
in the program, whereas others supported the planned lease sales or sought an expansion of lease areas and a higher number of sales. The comments also addressed related issues such as seismic testing in the Atlantic.

BOEM takes the public comments into account when developing successive drafts of a five-year program. Each draft contains an appendix summarizing the substantive comments that BOEM received on the previous version, including those from Members of Congress, and explaining BOEM’s response to each. BOEM may revise the program to partially or fully adopt a suggestion, or may explain why it declined to do so.

**Oversight Hearings**

The House or Senate may hold oversight hearings to evaluate a proposed five-year oil and gas leasing program. Such hearings help to inform Members in their legislative decision-making concerning the program and provide an opportunity for BOEM to hear Members’ views. After BOEM released the DPP for 2017-2022, the House held a hearing on the program on April 15, 2015. Members and witnesses addressed issues such as the overall number of lease sales proposed for the program, whether leasing should occur in the Atlantic and Arctic, and whether seismic surveying should occur in the Atlantic, among others. On May 19, 2016, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee held a hearing on the PP version of the program. Members and witnesses discussed, among other issues, the PP’s proposal for targeted rather than area-wide lease sales in Alaska and the factors that contributed to BOEM’s decision to remove its earlier-proposed Atlantic lease sale from the 2017-2022 program.

**Legislation**

Through legislation, Congress may direct specific terms for an upcoming program or modify a program that is currently in effect. Legislation could, for example, remove a scheduled lease sale, add a new lease sale, or make broader changes to the program.

The 114th Congress is considering legislation that would affect the 2017-2022 program, including the following bills. (Except as indicated below, none of these bills has advanced beyond the referral stage.)

- H.R. 1487 and S. 791 would require the Secretary of the Interior to use an earlier-proposed Bush Administration draft program for 2010-2015 (which was not

(...continued)

Connolly, Scott, and Beyer (VA). Individual comments on the RFI came from Senator Pryor (AR); Senator Nelson (FL); Senators Landrieu (LA), Warner (VA), Begich (AK), and Manchin (WV); Senators Vitter (LA), Wicker (MS), Sessions (AL), and Scott (SC); Senators Markey and Warren (MA), Menendez and Booker (NJ), Mikulski and Cardin (MD), Blumenthal (CT), and Reed and Whitehouse (RI); Representative Long (MO); Senators Menendez and Booker and Congressman Pallone (NJ); Representative Rice (SC); and Senator Kaine (VA).

121 See Appendix A of both the 2017-2022 DPP (summarizing comments on the RFI) and the 2017-2022 PP (summarizing comments on the DPP).


adopted) as the final oil and gas leasing program for the years FY2015-FY2020. This earlier-proposed program would have held 31 lease sales, although H.R. 1487 and S. 791 direct that 3 of them would not be part of the FY2015-FY2020 program. The bills also would require BOEM to conduct, within a year of enactment, a previously proposed sale in the Atlantic region that was removed from the final 2012-2017 program. The bills would further require that BOEM conduct a lease sale at least every 270 days for any OCS planning area for which there is a commercial interest in purchasing leases. Additionally, the bills would declare the FY2015-FY2020 program to be approved under NEPA, with no further environmental review needed.

- H.R. 1663 would deem BOEM’s DPP for 2017-2022 (the initial draft released in January 2015) to be approved by the Secretary as the final program and would add lease sales to the program. Lease sales would be added for the Chukchi Sea, Beaufort Sea, and Bristol Bay in the Alaska region; for all previously leased areas off the coast of Virginia; and for any other OCS area that is estimated to contain more than 5 billion barrels of oil or 50 trillion cubic feet of natural gas. The bill would also declare the final program to be approved under NEPA. In addition, the bill contains other offshore provisions, such as state revenue-sharing provisions.

- H.R. 3682 would amend the OCSLA to provide, among other things, that BOEM five-year programs must make available for leasing at least 50% of the available unleased acreage in each OCS planning area considered to have the largest undiscovered technically recoverable oil and gas resources. It would also provide that the programs must include any OCS planning area estimated to contain more than 2.5 billion barrels of oil or 7.5 trillion cubic feet of natural gas. In addition, the bill would require BOEM to develop its five-year programs with the specific goal of increasing production by at least 3 million barrels of oil per day and 10 billion cubic feet of natural gas per day by 2032. The bill would also amend the OCSLA to allow the Secretary to add additional areas to an approved leasing program under certain conditions. BOEM would be required to finalize a leasing program for 2016-2021 that complies with these provisions. BOEM would also need to conduct a specific previously proposed lease sale in the Atlantic region that was removed from the final 2012-2017 program, as well as lease sales off of South Carolina and Southern California. The bill contains other offshore energy provisions as well, such as those concerning revenue sharing with the states and the organization of the DOI ocean energy agencies.

- H.R. 4749 would direct the Secretary to conduct a lease sale off of North Carolina no later than two years after the bill’s enactment, with subsequent lease sales in this area each year in the ensuing five-year period. The bill provides for military protections and revenue sharing with coastal states.

- S. 1276 and S. 2011 would amend the OCSLA with the same requirements as in H.R. 3682 for BOEM to lease areas with the largest undiscovered technically recoverable resources, including areas with certain amounts of oil and gas as

---

124 Although BOEM five-year programs are typically developed by calendar year, H.R. 1487 and S. 791 propose a time period marked by the fiscal year.
described above. Additionally, the bills would reduce the portion of the Eastern Gulf of Mexico that is under a congressional leasing moratorium and would add Eastern Gulf lease sales to the 2017-2022 program. The bills also contain state revenue-sharing and other offshore energy provisions. The Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on S. 1276 on May 19, 2015, and reported S. 2011 on September 9, 2015.

- S. 1278 would require BOEM to conduct lease sales in Alaska’s Cook Inlet planning area and a portion of the Beaufort Sea planning area in FY2016 and each fiscal year thereafter. In addition, the bill would require that each five-year leasing program include at least three lease sales in each of the Beaufort and Chukchi Sea planning areas. The bill also would require BOEM to extend existing leases in the Beaufort and Chukchi Seas to cover 20 years if the holder desires, and it would require revenue-sharing with the state of Alaska. The Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on S. 1278 on May 19, 2015.

- S. 1279 would require the Secretary to include the South Atlantic planning area in the 2017-2022 program and to conduct one lease sale in that area during FY2021 and two during FY2022. The bill contains provisions addressing potential conflicts with military operations in the area, consultations with state governors, and geological and geophysical (G&G) surveys of resources, among other matters. The Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources held a hearing on S. 1279 on May 19, 2015.

Review of Final Program

Under the OCSLA, the final version of each five-year program must be submitted to Congress for a period of 60 days before the Secretary of the Interior can approve and implement the program. However, Congress does not directly approve or disapprove the program during this period. Instead, Congress could introduce legislation to alter the program. For example, in the 112th Congress, during the 60-day review period for the current five-year leasing program (for 2012-2017), Representative Doc Hastings introduced H.R. 6082, which would have replaced the submitted program with a congressionally developed plan containing additional lease sales, including 13 sales in the Gulf of Mexico, 7 sales in the Alaska region, 6 sales in the Atlantic, and 3 sales in the Pacific. The bill passed the House but did not become law. As discussed above, bills under consideration in the 114th Congress would make changes to lease sale schedule for the 2017-2022 program.

125 43 U.S.C. §1344(d)(2). The final program is also submitted to the President during this period.
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