Order Code RL33846

# **CRS Report for Congress**

# Climate Change: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Bills in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress

Updated March 29, 2007

Larry Parker and Brent D. Yacobucci Specialists in Energy Policy Resources, Science, and Industry Division



Prepared for Members and Committees of Congress

## Climate Change: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Bills in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress

## Summary

A number of congressional proposals to advance programs that reduce greenhouse gases have been introduced in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress. Proposals receiving particular attention would create market-based greenhouse gas reduction programs along the lines of the trading provisions of the current acid rain reduction program established by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. This paper presents a side-by-side comparison of the major provisions of those bills and includes a glossary of common terms.

## Contents

Introduction	. 1
Proposed Legislation in 110 <sup>th</sup> Congress	. 2
Appendix A. Comparison of Key Provisions of Greenhouse Gas Reduction         Bills	. 4
Appendix B. Common Terms	14

## Climate Change: Greenhouse Gas Reduction Bills in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress

#### Introduction

Climate change is generally viewed as a global issue, but proposed responses generally require action at the national level. In 1992, the United States ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), which called on industrialized countries to take the lead in reducing the six primary greenhouse gases to 1990 levels by the year 2000.<sup>1</sup> For more than a decade, a variety of voluntary and regulatory actions have been proposed or undertaken in the United States, including monitoring of power plant carbon dioxide emissions, improved appliance efficiency, and incentives for developing renewable energy sources. However, carbon dioxide emissions have continued to increase.

In 2001, President George W. Bush rejected the Kyoto Protocol, which called for legally binding commitments by developed countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions.<sup>2</sup> He also rejected the concept of mandatory emissions reductions. Since then, the Administration has focused U.S. climate change policy on voluntary initiatives to reduce the growth in greenhouse gas emissions. In contrast, in 2005, the Senate passed a Sense of the Senate resolution on climate change declaring that a mandatory, market-based program to slow, stop, and reverse the growth of greenhouse gases should be enacted at a rate and in a manner that "will not significantly harm the United States economy" and "will encourage comparable action" by other nations.<sup>3</sup>

A number of congressional proposals to advance programs designed to reduce greenhouse gases have been introduced in the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress. These have generally followed one of three tracks. The first is to improve the monitoring of greenhouse gas emissions to provide a basis for research and development and for any potential future reduction scheme. The second is to enact a market-oriented greenhouse gas reduction program along the lines of the trading provisions of the current acid rain reduction program established by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. The third

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), those gases are carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>). Some greenhouse gases are controlled under the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer, and are not covered under UNFCCC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For further information, see CRS Report RL30692, *Global Climate Change: The Kyoto Protocol*, by Susan R. Fletcher.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S.Amdt. 866, passed by voice vote after a motion to table failed 43-54, June 22, 2005.

is to enact energy and related programs that would have the added effect of reducing greenhouse gases; an example would be a requirement that electricity producers generate a portion of their electricity from renewable resources (a renewable portfolio standard). This report focuses on the second category of bills.

## **Proposed Legislation in 110<sup>th</sup> Congress**

In the 110<sup>th</sup> Congress, six bills have been introduced that would impose controls on emissions of greenhouse gases. A comparison of major provisions is provided in **Appendix 1**.

S. 280, introduced January 12, 2007, by Senator Lieberman, would cap emissions of the six greenhouse gases specified in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, at reduced levels, from the electric generation, transportation, industrial, and commercial sectors — sectors that account for about 85% of U.S. greenhouse gas emissions. The reductions would be implemented in four phases, with an emissions cap in 2012 based on the affected facilities' 2004 emissions (for an entity that has a single unit that emits more than 10,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent); the cap steadily declines until it is equal to one-third of the facilities' 2004 levels. The program would be implemented through an expansive allowance trading program to maximize opportunities for cost-effective reductions, and credits obtained from increases in carbon sequestration, reductions from non-covered sources, and acquisition of allowances from foreign sources could be used to comply with 30% of reduction requirements. The bill also contains an extensive new infrastructure to encourage innovation and new technologies.

S. 309, introduced January 16, 2007, by Senator Sanders, would cap greenhouse gas emissions on an economy-wide basis beginning in 2010. Beginning in 2020, the country's emissions would be capped at their 1990 levels, and then proceed to decline steadily until they were reduced to 20% of their 1990 levels in the year 2050. The EPA has the discretion to employ a market-based allowance trading program or any combination of cost-effective emission reduction strategies. The bill also includes new mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles and new powerplants, along with a new energy efficiency performance standard. The bill would establish a renewable portfolio standard (RPS) and a new low-carbon generation requirement and trading program.

S. 317, introduced January 17, 2007, by Senator Feinstein, would cap greenhouse gas emissions from electric generators over 25 megawatts. Beginning in 2011, affected generators would be capped at their 2006 levels, declining to 2001 levels by 2015. After that, the emission cap would decline 1% annually until 2020, when the rate of decline would increase to 1.5%. The allowance trading program includes an allocation scheme that provides for an increasing percentage of all allowances to be auctioned, with 100% auctioning in 2036 and thereafter. The cap-and-trade program allows some of an entity's reduction requirement to be meet with credits obtained from foreign sources and a variety of other activities specified in the bill.

S. 485, introduced February 1, 2007, by Senator Kerry, would cap greenhouse gas emissions on an economy-wide basis beginning in 2010. Beginning in 2020, the country's emissions would be capped at their 1990 levels. After 2020, emissions economy-wide would be reduced 2.5% annually from their previous year's level until 2031, when that percentage would increase to 3.5% through 2050. The allowance trading system includes an allocation scheme that requires an unspecified percentage of allowances to be auctioned. The bill also includes new mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles, along with a new energy efficiency performance standard. The bill would establish a renewable portfolio standard (RPS), increase biofuel mandates under the Renewable Fuels Standard, and mandate new infrastructure for biofuels. Finally, the bill expands and extends existing tax incentives for alternative fuels and advanced technology vehicles, and establishes a manufacturer tax credit for advanced technology vehicle investment.

H.R. 620, introduced February 7, 2007, by Representative Olver, is a substantially modified version of S. 280. Using the same basic structure as S. 280, the emission caps under H.R. 620 are more stringent. Reductions from affected sectors (electric generation, transportation, industrial, and commercial) would be set at 2004 levels in 2012 and then steadily decline until the cap is equal to about one-fourth of facilities' 2004 levels. Although H.R. 620 permits affected entities to comply with the reduction requirements with credits from foreign sources, sequestration, and reductions from non-covered entities, these sources are limited to 15% of the source's reduction requirement.

H.R. 1590, introduced March 20, 2007, by Representative Waxman, is similar to S. 485. H.R. 1590 would cap greenhouse gas emissions on an economy-wide basis beginning in 2010. Beginning in 2020, the country's emissions would be capped at their 1990 levels. After 2020, emissions economy-wide would be reduced by roughly 5% annually from their previous year's level through 2050, when emissions levels would be capped at 80% below 1990 levels. The allowance trading system includes an allocation scheme that requires an unspecified percentage of allowances to be auctioned. The bill also includes new mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles, along with a new energy efficiency performance standard. The bill would also establish a renewable portfolio standard (RPS).

## Appendix A. Comparison of Key Provisions of Greenhouse Gas Reduction Bills

Торіс	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Emission reduction/ limitation scheme	Absolute cap on total emissions from all covered entities in the electric power, transportation, industry, and commercial sectors.	Absolute cap on total emissions economy-wide.	Absolute cap on total emissions from covered electric generators.	Absolute cap on total emissions economy-wide.		Absolute cap on total emissions economy-wide.
Responsible agency	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).	EPA.	EPA.	EPA.	EPA.	EPA.
Greenhouse gases defined	Carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide $(N_2O)$ , hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), and sulfur hexafluoride $(SF_6)$ .	Same six gases as S. 280.	Same six gases as S. 280.	Same six gases as S. 280.		Same six gases as S. 280.

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Specific emissions limits	emissions from covered entities are capped at	Beginning in 2010, emissions economy-wide to be reduced 2% annually.	Beginning in 2011, emissions from affected electric generators capped at 2006 levels.	Beginning in 2010, emissions economy-wide to be reduced by appropriate measures to cap emissions at 1990	Beginning in 2012, emissions from covered entities are capped at 6.15 billion metric tons, minus 2012 emissions from non-	Beginning in 2010, emissions economy-wide to be reduced by appropriate measures to cap emissions at 1990
	from non-covered entities. Beginning in 2020, emission cap declines to 5.239 billion metric tons, minus 2020 emissions from non-covered entities.	1990 level, with declining emission caps of 26.7% below 1990 levels in 2030 and 53.3% in 2040. Beginning in 2050,	declining 1% annually	levels by 2020. Beginning in 2021, emissions economy-wide to be reduced 2.5%	<ul> <li>2012 emissions from non-covered entities.</li> <li>Beginning in 2020, emission cap declines to 5.232 billion metric tons, minus 2020 emissions from non-covered entities.</li> <li>Beginning in 2030, emission cap declines to 3.858 billion metric tons, minus 2030 emissions from non-covered entities.</li> </ul>	cap emissions at 1990 levels by 2020. Beginning in 2021, through 2050, emissions economy-wide to be reduced roughly 5% annually from previous year's level. Beginning in 2050, emission cap set at 80% below 1990 levels.
	Beginning in 2050, emission cap further declines to 2.096 billion metric tons, minus annual emissions from non-covered entities.				Beginning in 2050, emission cap further declines to 1.504 billion metric tons, minus annual emissions from non- covered entities.	

Topic S	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
entities diverse diver	ioxide equivalents: any lectric power, ndustrial, or commercial ntity that emits over 0,000 metric tons	enactment that applies the most cost-effective reduction options on sources or sectors to achieve reduction goals.	electric generating facility that has a capacity of greater than 25 megawatts and generates electricity for sale, including cogeneration and	within two years of enactment that applies the most cost-effective reduction options on the largest emitting sources or sectors to achieve reduction goals.	dioxide equivalents: any electric power, industrial, or commercial entity that emits over 10,000 metric tons carbon dioxide equivalent annually from	EPA promulgates rule within two years of enactment that applies the most cost-effective reduction options on the largest emitting sources or sectors to achieve reduction goals.

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
General	A tradeable allowance	Tradeable allowance	Tradeable allowance	A tradeable allowance	A tradeable allowance	A tradeable allowance
allocating	system is established:	system permitted. In	system is established.	system is established.	system is established:	system is established.
and	EPA shall determine	implementing reduction	Allocations to existing	The President submits to	EPA shall determine	The President submits to
implementing	allocations based on	program, EPA shall select	sources based on historic	Congress an allocation	allocations based on	Congress an allocation
strategy	several economic,	the most cost-effective	electricity output, and	plan within one year of	several economic, equity,	plan within one year of
	equity, and sector-	emission reduction	includes allowance	enactment that includes a	and sector-specific	enactment that includes a
	specific criteria,	strategies.	allocations for	combination of auctions	criteria, including	combination of auctions
	including economic		incremental nuclear	and free allocation of	economic efficiency,	and free allocation of
	<b>J</b> / <b>I</b>		capacity and renewable	allowances. To the	competitive effects, and	allowances. To the
	effects, and impact on		energy, along with	maximum extent	impact on consumers.	maximum extent
		interests any allowances	sequestration and early	practicable, the allocation	Allowances are to be	practicable, the allocation
			action provisions.	and revenues received	allocated upstream to	and revenues received
	1	affected entities,		should maximize public	refiners and importers of	should maximize public
			From 2011 on, an	benefits, promote	transportation fuel, along	benefits, promote
	transportation fuel, along		increasing percentage of	economic growth, assist	with producers of HFCs,	economic growth, assist
	* ·		all allowances are to be		PFCs, and $SF_6$ , and	households and dislocated
	. 0.		auctioned, with 100% of	workers, encourage	downstream to electric	workers, encourage
		···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	allowances auctioned in	energy efficiency and	-	energy efficiency and
		activities, and ecosystem	2036 and thereafter.	renewable energy	commercial entities.	renewable energy
	and commercial entities.	protection activities.		activities, sequestration		activities, sequestration
				activities, and assist states	Allocations to covered	activities, and assist states
	Allocations to covered			in addressing the impact	_	in addressing the impact
	entities are provided at			of climate change.	cost.	of climate change.
	no cost.			Congress has one year to		Congress has one year to
				enact an alternative to the		enact an alternative to the
				plan; otherwise, EPA		plan; otherwise, EPA
				shall implement it.		shall implement it.

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Public	EPA shall determine the	EPA may choose to	From 2011 on, an	The President shall	EPA shall determine the	The President shall
sale/auction	number of allowances	provide for trustees to sell	increasing percentage of	determine the number of	number of allowances	determine the number of
of allowances	allocated to the Climate	allowances for the benefit	all allowances are to be	allowances to be	allocated to the Climate	allowances to be
	Change Credit	of entities eligible to	auctioned, with 100% of	auctioned. The proceeds	Change Credit	auctioned. The proceeds
	Corporation (CCCC)	receive assistance under	allowances auctioned in	of the auction to be	Corporation (CCCC)	of the auction to be
	(established by the bill).	the proposal (see above).	2036 and thereafter.	deposited with the	(established by the bill).	deposited with the
				Climate Reinvestment		Climate Reinvestment
	EPA shall allocate to the		Revenues from the	Fund created by the	The CCCC may buy and	Fund created by the
	CCCC allowances before		auction are to be	Department of the	sell allowances, and use	Department of the
	2012 to auction to raise		deposited in the Climate	Treasury. (See "Revenue	the proceeds to reduce	Treasury. (See "Revenue
	revenue for technology		Action Trust Fund created	recycling" below.)	costs borne by consumers	recycling" below.)
	deployment and		by the Department of the		and other purposes. (See	
	dissemination.		Treasury.		"Revenue recycling"	
					below.)	
	The CCCC may buy and					
	sell allowances, and use					
	the proceeds to reduce					
	costs borne by					
	consumers and other					
	purposes. (See					
	"Revenue recycling"					
	below.)					

Торіс	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Cost-limiting safety valve	No explicit provision.	No explicit provision.	No explicit provision.	No explicit provision.	No explicit provision.	No explicit provision.
		However, if the President determines a national security emergency exists, the President may temporarily adjust, suspend, or waive any regulation promulgated under this program (subject to judicial review).	However, limited borrowing against future reductions is permitted if EPA determines allowance prices have reached and sustained a level that is or will cause significant harm to the U.S. economy. Also, EPA may increase to 50% the share of international credits that can be used in such cases.			
Penalty for non- compliance	penalties are equal to three times the market	Existing enforcement provisions of Section 113 of the Clean Air Act are extended to program.	\$100 per excess ton indexed to inflation plus a 1.3 to 1 offset from future allowances. If the market price for an allowance exceeds \$60, the penalty is \$200 per excess ton, adjusted for inflation.	are equal to twice the	are equal to three times the market price for	Excess emission penalties are equal to twice the market price for allowances as of December 31 of the year at issue, plus a 1-to-1 offset from next year's allowance allocation.

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
trading system features	achieved through credits obtained through pre- certified international emissions trading programs, approved	Market trading systems incorporated into Renewable Portfolio Standard, neew energy efficiency performance standard, and new low- carbon generation requirement. No limit on use of domestic biological sequestration to meet reductions requirements.	Up to 25% (50% for new affected units) of required reductions may be achieved with credits obtained through EPA- approved foreign government programs developed under United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) protocols. Limited borrowing against future reductions is permitted if EPA determines allowance prices have reached and sustained a level that is causing or will cause significant harm to the U.S. economy. Also, EPA may increase to 50% the share of international credits that can be used in such cases.	Market trading systems incorporated into Renewable Portfolio Standard and new energy efficiency performance standard. No limit on use of domestic biological sequestration to meet reductions requirements.	obtained through pre- certified international emissions trading programs, approved reduction projects in	Market trading systems incorporated into new energy efficiency performance standard. No limit on use of domestic sequestration to meet reductions requirements.
		No specific prohibition on banking.	Banking of allowances is permitted; allowances may be saved for use in future years.	Banking of allowances is permitted; allowances may be saved for use in future years.	permitted; allowances	Banking of allowances is permitted; allowances may be saved for use in future years.

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Early		Reductions previously		Recognizing and	Entities with registered	Recognizing and
reduction	emission reductions	achieved under state	achieved from 2000	rewarding early	emission reductions	rewarding early
credits and		programs that are at least	through 2010 shall	8	achieved before 2012	reductions is a stated goal
		as stringent as a federal		of the program.	may receive allowances	of the program.
		trading program may be	specific criteria, including		for them.	
		recognized by the federal	EPA rules that ensure			
		program.	reductions are real,		For the time period 2012-	
	mandatory state		additional, verifiable,		2017, entities that have	
	programs.	Entities that demonstrate	enforceable, and		entered into an agreement	
		reductions achieved early	permanent, and that they		with EPA to reduce	
		(but not before 1992) that			emissions to 1990 levels	
	2012-2017, entities that	are as verifiable as	either 1605(b) of the 1992		by 2012 are entitled to	
		reductions under a federal			additional allowances to	
		trading program may be	according to a state or		cover their additional	
	reduce emissions to 1990	•	regional registry.		reductions and are	
		program.	Quantity of credits given		allowed to achieve 35%	
	entitled to additional		is limited to 10% of the		of their reduction	
	allowances to cover their		2011 allowance		requirement (as opposed	
	additional reductions and		allocation.		to 15%; see above)	
	are allowed to achieve				through international	
	40% of their reduction				emissions trading and	
	requirement (as opposed				projects, sequestration, or	
	to 30%; see above)				reductions by non-	
	through international				covered entities.	
	emissions trading and					
	projects, sequestration,					
	or reductions by non-					
	covered entities.					

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	S. 309 (Sanders)	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Revenue	Revenues generated by	Allowances may be	Revenues generated from	Revenues generated by	Revenues generated by	Revenues generated by
recycling	allowance auctions and	allocated by EPA to	the auction are to be		allowance auctions and	allowance auctions and
	trading proceeds are	households, dislocated	deposited in the Climate		trading proceeds are	penalties are received by
	received by a new	workers, energy	Action Trust Fund created	a new Climate	received by a new	a new Climate
	Climate Change Credit	efficiency and renewable		Reinvestment Fund		Reinvestment Fund
	Corporation (CCCC).	energy activities,		created by the Department		created by the Department
	Activities to be funded	sequestration activities,	funded include an	of the Treasury.	Activities to be funded	of the Treasury.
	include mechanisms to	<b>J</b> 1	Innovative Low- and	Activities to be funded	include mechanisms to	Activities to be funded
	reduce consumer costs	activities.	Zero-emitting Carbon	include mechanisms to	reduce consumer costs	include mechanisms to
	and to assist dislocated		Technologies Program, a	reward early reductions,	and to assist dislocated	reward early reductions,
	workers, low-income		Clean Coal Technologies	maximize public benefits,	workers and affected	maximize public benefits,
	persons, and affected		Program, and an Energy	promote economic	communities, along with	promote economic
	communities, along with		Efficiency Technology	growth, assist households		growth, assist households
	programs to encourage		Program, along with	and dislocated workers,	deployment of new	and dislocated workers,
	deployment of new		research and	encourage energy	technology and wildlife	encourage energy
	technology and wildlife		development.	efficiency and renewable	restoration.	efficiency and renewable
	restoration. Allocations			energy activities,		energy activities,
	to the CCCC are to be		Adaption and mitigation	sequestration activities,		sequestration activities,
	determined by EPA		activities to be funded	and assist states in		and assist states in
	based on the funding		include affected workers	addressing the impact of		addressing the impact of
	needs of the advanced		and communities, and fish	climate change.		climate change.
	technologies		and wildlife habitat.			
	demonstration and					
	deployment programs.					
	Further, at least 50% of					
	revenue received must be					
	used for technology					
	deployment.					

Topic	S. 280 (Lieberman)	<b>S. 309 (Sanders)</b>	S. 317 (Feinstein)	S. 485 (Kerry)	H.R. 620 (Olver)	H.R. 1590 (Waxman)
Other key provisions	studies of research on abrupt climate change and impact of climate change on the world's poor, among others, and creation of a national greenhouse gas database. A new Innovation Infrastructure is created, along with program initiatives to promote less carbon- intensive technology, adaption, sequestration, and related activities. Requires periodic review of target adequacy by the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans	mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles by 2010, for new electric powerplants that begin operation after December 31, 2011, and a new energy efficiency performance standard. Establishes a Renewable Portfolio Standard and credit program. Establishes a new low- carbon generation requirement and trading program. Requires periodic review of target adequacy by the National Academy of Sciences.	Offset credits available for agricultural, forestry, grazing, and wetlands management, sequestration projects, or practices that meet specific criteria in the proposal. Offset credits also available for approved emission reduction offset projects from a variety of activities listed in the proposal. Requires periodic review of target adequacy by EPA taking into account the recommendations of a	Provisions include mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles by 2010, and a new energy efficiency standard beginning in 2009. Establishes a Renewable Portfolio Standard and credit program. Increases biofuel mandates under the Renewable Fuels Standard, and mandates infrastructure for biofuels. Expands and extends existing tax incentives for alternative fuel and advanced technology vehicles, and establishes manufacturer tax credit for advanced technology vehicle investment. Establishes new National Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Program. Requires periodic review of target adequacy by the National Academy of Sciences.	Provisions include studies of the impact of climate change on coastal ecosystems and communities, and the world's poor, among others; assessment of adaptation technologies; and creation of a national greenhouse gas database. Requires periodic review of target adequacy by the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere.	Provisions include mandatory greenhouse gas emission standards for vehicles by 2010, and a new energy efficiency standard beginning in 2010. Establishes a Renewable Portfolio Standard.

#### Appendix B. Common Terms

Allocation schemes (upstream and downstream). Regulatory approaches to allocating allowances (as opposed to auction schemes) can choose different points and participants along the production process to assign allowances and the resulting compliance responsibility. Upstream allocation schemes establish emission caps and assign allowances at a production, importation, or distribution point of products that will eventually produce greenhouse emissions further down the production process. For example, in the natural gas sector, emission caps could be established and allowances assigned at processing facilities where facilities and participants shrink from about 400,000 wells and 8,000 companies to 500 plants and 200 companies. In contrast, downstream allocation schemes establish emission caps and assign allowances at the point in the process where the emissions are emitted. In the case of the natural gas industry, to achieve the same coverage as the upstream scheme, this would involve assigning allowances to natural gas-fired electric generators, industry, and even residential users. Thus, some downstream proposals choose either to exempt certain sectors (such as residential use) from a cap-and-trade program or to employ a hybrid allocation scheme where some of the allowances are allocated upstream and others downstream (such as the electric generators).

Allowance. An allowance is generally defined as a limited authorization by the government to emit 1 ton of pollutant. In the case of greenhouse gases, an allowance generally refers to a metric ton of carbon dioxide equivalent. Although used generically, an *allowance* is technically different from a *credit*. A credit represents a ton of pollutant that an entity has reduced in excess of its legal requirement. However, the terms tend to be used interchangeably, along with others, such as *permits*.

Auctions. Auctions can be used in market-based pollution control schemes in several different ways. For example, Title IV of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments uses an annual auction to ensure the liquidity of the credit trading program. For this purpose, a small percentage of the credits permitted under the program are auctioned annually, with the proceeds returned to the entities that would have otherwise received them. Private parties are also allowed to participate. A second possibility is to use an auction to raise revenues for a related (or unrelated) program. For example, the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) is exploring an auction to implement its public benefit program to assist consumers or pursue strategic energy purposes. A third possibility is to use auctions as a means of allocating some, or all, of the credits mandated under a GHG control program. Obviously, the impact that an auction would have on cost would depend on how extensively it was used in any GHG control program, and to what purpose the revenues were expended.

**Banking.** Although allowances are generally allocated on an annual basis, most cap-and-trade programs do not require participants to either use the allowance that year or else lose it. Under many proposals, allowances can be banked by the receiving participant (or traded to another participant who can use or bank it) to be used or traded in a future year. Banking reduces the absolute cost of compliance by making annual emission caps flexible over time. The limited ability to shift the

reduction requirement across time allows affected entities to better accommodate corporate planning for capital turnover, allow for technological progress, control equipment construction schedules, and respond to transient events such as weather and economic shocks.

**Bubble.** A bubble is a regulatory device that permits two or more sources of pollutants to be treated as one for the purposes of emission compliance.

**Cap-and-trade program.** A cap-and-trade program is based on two premises. First, a set amount of pollutant emitted by human activities can be assimilated by the ecological system without undue harm. Thus, the goal of the cap-and-trade program is to impose a ceiling (i.e., an emissions cap) on the total emissions of that pollutant at a level below the assimilative capacity. Second, a market in pollution licenses (i.e., allowances) between polluters is the most cost-effective means of reducing emissions to the level of the cap. This market in allowances is designed so that owners of allowances can trade those allowances with other emitters who need them or retain (bank) them for future use or sale. In the case of the sulfur dioxide program contained in the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, most allowances were allocated free by the federal government to utilities according to statutory formulas related to a given facility's historic fuel use and emissions; other allowances have been reserved by the government for periodic auctions to ensure market liquidity.

**Carbon tax.** A carbon tax is generally conceived as a levy on natural gas, petroleum, and coal according to their carbon content, in the approximate ratio of 0.6 to 0.8 to 1, respectively. However, proposals have been made to impose the tax downstream of the production process when the carbon dioxide is actually released to the atmosphere. In contrast to a cap-and-trade program, in which the quantity of emissions is limited and the price is determined by an allowance marketplace, with a carbon tax, the price is limited and the quantity of emissions is determined by the participants based on the cost of control versus the cost of the tax.

**Coverage**. Coverage is the breadth of economic sectors covered by a particular greenhouse gas reduction program.

**Emissions cap.** A mandated limit on how much pollutant (or greenhouse gases) an affected entity can release to the atmosphere. Caps can be either an *absolute cap*, where the amount is specified in terms of tons of emissions on an annual basis, or a *rate-based cap*, where the amount of emissions produced per unit of output (such as electricity) is specified but not the absolute amount released. Caps may be imposed on an entity, sector, or economy-wide basis.

Generation performance standard (GPS). Also called an *output-based allocation*, allowances are allocated gratis to entities in proportion to their relative share of total electricity generation in a recent year.

**Grandfathering.** Grandfathering generally refers an allocation scheme in which allowances are distributed to affected entities on the basis of historic emissions. These allowances are generally distributed free-of-charge by the government to the affected entities. Grandfathering can also refer to entities that

because of age or because they have met an earlier standard, or other factors, are exempted from a new regulatory requirement.

**Greenhouse gases.** The six gases recognized under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change are carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ) nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ), sulfur hexafluoride ( $SF_6$ ), hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), and perfluorocarbons (PFC).

**"No regrets" policy.** A "no regrets" policy is one of establishing programs for other purposes that would have concomitant greenhouse gas reductions. Therefore, only those policies that reduce greenhouse gas emissions at no cost are considered.

**Offsets.** Offsets generally refer to emission credits achieved by activities not directly related to the emissions of an affected source. Examples of offsets would include forestry and agricultural activities that absorb carbon dioxide, and reduction achieved by entities that are not regulated by a greenhouse gas reduction program.

**Revenue recycling**. Some greenhouse gas reduction programs create revenues through auctions, compliance penalties, or imposition of a carbon tax. Revenue recycling refers to how a program disposes of those revenues. How a program handles revenues received can have a significant effect on the overall cost of the program to the economy.

**Safety valve.** Devices designed to prevent or to respond to unacceptably high compliance costs for greenhouse gas reductions. Generally triggered by prices in the allowance markets, safety valve approaches can include (1) a set price alternative to making reductions or buying allowances at the market price, (2) a slowdown in tightening the emissions cap, and (3) lengthening of the time allowed for compliance. Depending on the interplay between the emissions cap and safety valve and actual compliance costs, a safety valve can affect the integrity of the emissions cap.

**Sequestration.** Sequestration is the process of capturing carbon dioxide from emission streams or from the atmosphere and then storing it in such a way as to prevent its release to the atmosphere.