LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Taming the Plasma Material Interface with the Snowflake Divertor in NSTX

V. A. Soukhanovskii

November 15, 2010

52nd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics
Chicago, IL, United States
November 8, 2010 through November 12, 2010

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Taming the Plasma-Material Interface with the Snowflake Divertor in NSTX

V. A. Soukhanovskii

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

J12.00002

**52nd Annual Meeting of the APS DPP
Chicago, IL**

Tuesday, November 9, 2010

College W&M
Colorado Sch Mines
Columbia U
CompX
General Atomics
INEL
Johns Hopkins U
LANL
LLNL
Lodestar
MIT
Nova Photonics
New York U
Old Dominion U
ORNL
PPPL
PSI
Princeton U
Purdue U
SNL
Think Tank, Inc.
UC Davis
UC Irvine
UCLA
UCSD
U Colorado
U Illinois
U Maryland
U Rochester
U Washington
U Wisconsin

Culham Sci Ctr
U St. Andrews
York U
Chubu U
Fukui U
Hiroshima U
Hyogo U
Kyoto U
Kyushu U
Kyushu Tokai U
NIFS
Niigata U
U Tokyo
JAEA
Hebrew U
Ioffe Inst
RRC Kurchatov Inst
TRINITI
KBSI
KAIST
POSTECH
ASIPP
ENEA, Frascati
CEA, Cadarache
IPP, Jülich
IPP, Garching
ASCR, Czech Rep
U Quebec

Acknowledgements

D. D. Ryutov, T. D. Rognlien, M. V. Umansky (LLNL),
R. E. Bell, D. A. Gates, A. Diallo, S. P. Gerhardt, R. Kaita,
S. M. Kaye, E. Kolemen, B. P. LeBlanc, R. Maqueda,
J. E. Menard, D. Mueller, S. F. Paul, M. Podesta,
A. L. Roquemore, F. Scotti (PPPL),
J.-W. Ahn, R. Maingi, A. McLean (ORNL),
D. Battaglia, T. K. Gray (ORISE),
R. Raman (U Washington),
S. A. Sabbagh (Columbia U)

Supported by the U.S. DOE under Contracts
DE-AC52-07NA27344, DE AC02-09CH11466,
DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-FG02-08ER54989.

Outline: Experimental studies of snowflake divertor in NSTX

- Tokamak divertor challenge
- Snowflake divertor configuration
- Snowflake divertor in NSTX
 - Magnetic properties and control
 - Core and divertor plasma properties
 - Comparison with standard and radiative divertors
 - 2D transport model
- Conclusions

Poloidal divertor concept enabled progress in magnetic confinement fusion in the last 30 years

- Divertor challenge
 - Steady-state heat flux
 - present limit $q_{peak} \leq 10 \text{ MW/m}^2$
 - projected to $q_{peak} \leq 80 \text{ MW/m}^2$ for future devices
 - Density and impurity control
 - Impulsive heat and particle loads
 - Compatibility with good core plasma performance
- Spherical tokamak: additional challenge - compact divertor
- NSTX (Aspect ratio $A=1.4-1.5$)
 - $I_p \leq 1.4 \text{ MA}$, $P_{in} \leq 7.4 \text{ MW}$ (NBI), $P / R \sim 10$
 - $q_{peak} \leq 15 \text{ MW/m}^2$, $q_{||} \leq 200 \text{ MW/m}^2$
 - Graphite PFCs with lithium coatings

**National Spherical
Torus Experiment**

Various techniques developed for reduction of heat fluxes $q_{\parallel}$ (divertor SOL) and q_{peak} (divertor target)

$$q_{peak} \simeq \frac{P_{SOL}(1 - f_{rad})f_{geo} \sin \alpha}{2\pi R_{SP} f_{exp} \lambda_{q_{\parallel}}} \quad A_{wet} = 2\pi R f_{exp} \lambda_{q_{\parallel}}$$
$$f_{exp} = \frac{(B_p/B_{tot})_{MP}}{(B_p/B_{tot})_{OSP}}$$

- Promising divertor peak heat flux mitigation solutions:
 - Divertor geometry
 - poloidal flux expansion
 - divertor plate tilt
 - magnetic balance
 - Radiative divertor
- Recent ideas to improve standard divertor geometry
 - X-divertor (M. Kotschenreuther *et. al*, IC/P6-43, IAEA FEC 2004)
 - Snowflake divertor (D. D. Ryutov, PoP 14, 064502 2007)
 - Super-X divertor (M. Kotschenreuther *et. al*, IC/P4-7, IAEA FEC 2008)

Attractive divertor geometry properties predicted by theory in snowflake divertor configuration

- Snowflake divertor
 - Second-order null
 - $B_p \sim 0$ and $\text{grad } B_p \sim 0$; $B_p \sim r^2$
(Cf. first-order null: $B_p \sim 0$; $B_p \sim r$)
 - Obtained with existing divertor coils (min. 2)
 - Exact snowflake topologically unstable
- Predicted properties (cf. standard divertor)
 - Larger low B_p region around X-point
 - Larger plasma wetted-area A_{wet} (flux expansion f_{exp})
 - Larger X-point connection length L_x
 - Larger effective divertor volume V_{div}
 - Increased edge magnetic shear
- Experiments
 - TCV (F. Piras *et. al*, PRL 105, 155003 (2010))

D. D. Ryutov, PoP 14, 064502 2007

Outline: Experimental studies of snowflake divertor in NSTX

- Tokamak divertor challenge
- Snowflake divertor configuration
- **Snowflake divertor in NSTX**
 - **Magnetic properties and control**
 - Core and divertor plasma properties
 - Comparison with standard and radiative divertors
 - 2D transport model
 - **Conclusions**

Possible snowflake divertor configurations were modeled with ISOLVER code

- ISOLVER - predictive free-boundary axisymmetric Grad-Shafranov equilibrium solver
 - Input: normalized profiles (P , I_p), boundary shape
 - Match a specified I_p and β
 - Output: magnetic coil currents
- ✓ Standard divertor discharge below:
 $B_t=0.4$ T, $I_p=0.8$ MA, $\delta_{\text{bot}}\sim 0.6$, $\kappa\sim 2.1$

Quantity	Standard divertor	Simulated snowflake
X-point to target parallel length L_x (m)	5-10	10
Poloidal magnetic flux expansion f_{exp} at outer SP	10-24	60
Magnetic field angle at outer SP (deg.)	1-2	~ 1
Plasma-wetted area A_{wet} (m ²)	≤ 0.4	0.95

Snowflake divertor configurations obtained in NSTX confirm analytic theory and modeling

Standard

Snowflake

f_{exp}

B_p

Plasma-wetted area and connection length are increased by 50-90 % in snowflake divertor

- These properties observed in first 2-3 mm of SOL $\lambda_q \sim 6-7$ mm when mapped to midplane
- Magnetic characteristics derived from EFIT and LRDFIT equilibria

Outline: Experimental studies of snowflake divertor in NSTX

- Tokamak divertor challenge
- Snowflake divertor configuration
- Snowflake divertor in NSTX
 - Magnetic properties and control
 - **Core and divertor plasma properties**
 - Comparison with standard and radiative divertor
 - 2D transport model
- Conclusions

Significant core impurity reduction and good H-mode confinement properties with snowflake divertor

Standard, **Snowflake**

- 0.8 MA, 4 MW H-mode
- $\kappa=2.1$, $\delta=0.8$
- Core $T_e \sim 0.8\text{-}1$ keV, $T_i \sim 1$ keV
- $\beta_N \sim 4\text{-}5$
- Plasma stored energy ~ 250 kJ
- $H_{98}(y,2) \sim 1$ (from TRANSP)
- Core carbon reduction due to
 - Medium-size Type I ELMs
 - Edge source reduction

Strong signs of partial strike point detachment are observed in snowflake divertor

- Heat and ion fluxes in the outer SP region decreased
- Divertor recombination rate and radiated power are increased

Divertor profiles show low heat flux, broadened C III and C IV radiation zones in the snowflake divertor phase

- Heat flux profiles reduced to nearly flat low levels, characteristic of radiative heating
- C III and C IV emission profiles broaden
- High- n Balmer line spectroscopy and CRETIN code modeling confirm outer SP detachment with $T_e \leq 1.5$ eV, $n_e \leq 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$
 - Also suggests a reduction of carbon physical and chemical sputtering rates

Outline: Experimental studies of snowflake divertor in NSTX

- Tokamak divertor challenge
- Snowflake divertor configuration
- **Snowflake divertor in NSTX**
 - Magnetic control
 - Core and divertor plasma properties
 - **Comparison with standard divertor and radiative divertor**
 - 2D transport model
 - Conclusions

Snowflake divertor heat flux consistent with NSTX divertor heat flux scalings

- Snowflake divertor (*): $P_{SOL} \sim 3-4$ MW, $f_{exp} \sim 40-80$, $q_{peak} \sim 0.5-1.5$ MW/m²

T. K. Gray et. al, EX/D P3-13, IAEA FEC 2010

V. A. Soukhanovskii et. al, PoP 16, 022501 (2009)

Snowflake divertor with CD_4 seeding leads to increased divertor carbon radiation

- $I_p=0.9$ MA, $P_{\text{NBI}}=4$ MW, $P_{\text{SOL}}=3$ MW
- Snowflake divertor (from 0.6 ms)
 - Peak divertor heat flux reduced from 4-6 MW/m² to 1 MW/m²
- Snowflake divertor (from 0.6 ms) + CD_4
 - Peak divertor heat flux reduced from 4-6 MW/m² to 1-2 MW/m²
 - Divertor radiation increased further

Outline: Experimental studies of snowflake divertor in NSTX

- Tokamak divertor challenge
- Snowflake divertor configuration
- **Snowflake divertor in NSTX**
 - Magnetic control
 - Core and divertor plasma properties
 - Comparison with standard divertor and radiative divertor
 - **2D transport model**
 - Conclusions

2D multi-fluid edge transport code UEDGE is used to study snowflake divertor properties

- Fluid (Braginskii) model for ions and electrons
- Fluid for neutrals
- Classical parallel transport, anomalous radial transport
- Core interface:
 - $T_e = 120$ eV
 - $T_i = 120$ eV
 - $n_e = 4.5 \times 10^{19}$
- $D = 0.25$ m²/s
- $\chi_{e,i} = 0.5$ m²/s
- $R_{recy} = 0.95$
- Carbon 3 %

Radiated power is broadly distributed in the outer leg of snowflake divertor

UEDGE model shows a trend toward detachment in snowflake divertor outer leg (cf. standard divertor)

UEDGE model

In the snowflake divertor outer strike point region:

- T_e and T_i reduced
- Divertor peak heat flux reduced
- Particle flux low

Experiment

NSTX studies suggest the snowflake divertor configuration may be a viable divertor solution for present and future tokamaks

- Steady-state snowflake (up to 600 ms, many τ_E 's)
- Good H-mode confinement
- Reduced core carbon concentration
- Significant reduction in peak divertor heat flux
- Potential to combine with radiative divertor for increased divertor radiation
- This talk focused on divertor results. Planned future efforts with the snowflake divertor:
 - Improved magnetic control
 - Pedestal peeling-ballooning stability
 - ELM heat and particle deposition profiles
 - Divertor impurity source distribution
 - Divertor and upstream turbulence (blobs)

Session PP9: Poster Session VI, 10 November, Wednesday PM - Snowflake divertor presentations

- PP9.00149 : D. D. Ryutov et. al, General properties of the magnetic field in a snowflake divertor
- PP9.00152 : M. V. Umansky et. al, Ion orbit loss effects on radial electric field in tokamak edge for standard and snowflake divertor configurations
- PP9.00136 : F. Piras et. al, H-mode Snowflake Divertor Plasmas on TCV

Backup slides

Divertor heat flux mitigation is key for present and future fusion plasma devices

- ST / NSTX goals:
 - Study high beta plasmas at reduced collisionality
 - Access full non-inductive start-up, ramp-up, sustainment
 - Prototype solutions for mitigating high heat & particle flux
- In an ST, modest $q_{||}$ can yield high divertor q_{pk}
 - in NSTX, $q_{||} = 50\text{--}100 \text{ MW/m}^2$ and $q_{pk} = 6\text{--}15 \text{ MW/m}^2$
 - Large radiated power and momentum losses are needed to reduce $q_{||}$
- In NSTX, partially detached divertor regime is accessible only
 - in highly-shaped plasma configuration with high flux expansion divertor (high plasma plugging efficiency, reduced $q_{||}$)
 - modest divertor D_2 injection still needed

NSTX

NSTX-U

ST-based Plasma
Material Interface (PMI)
Science Facility

ST-based Fusion
Nuclear Science
(FNS) Facility

Heat flux mitigation is more challenging in compact divertor of spherical torus

■ NSTX

- $I_p = 0.7\text{-}1.4$ MA, $t_{\text{pulse}} < 1.5$ s, $P_{in} \leq 7.4$ MW (NBI)
- ATJ and CFC graphite PFCs
- $P / R \sim 10$
- $q_{pk} \leq 15$ MW/m²
- $q_{||} \leq 200$ MW/m²

Quantity	NSTX	DIII-D
Aspect ratio	1.4-1.5	2.7
In-out plasma boundary area ratio	1:3	2:3
X-point to target parallel length L_x (m)	5-10	10-20
Poloidal magnetic flux expansion f_{exp} at outer SP	5-30	3-15
Magnetic field angle at outer SP (deg.)	1-10	1-2

Open divertor geometry, three existing divertor coils and a good set of diagnostics enable divertor geometry studies in NSTX

- $I_p = 0.7\text{-}1.4$ MA
- $P_{in} \leq 7.4$ MW (NBI)
- ATJ and CFC graphite PFCs
- Lithium coatings from lithium evaporators
- Three lower divertor coils with currents 1-5, 1-25 kA-turns
- Divertor gas injectors (D_2 , CD_4)
- Extensive diagnostic set

Upper divertor is unaffected by lower divertor snowflake configuration

High- n Balmer line emission measurements suggest high divertor recombination rate, low T_e and high n_e

- Balmer series spectra modeled with CRETIN; Spectra sensitive to
 - Line intensity $\leftrightarrow$ Recombination rate
 - $T_e \leftrightarrow$ Boltzman population distribution
 - $n_e \leftrightarrow$ Line broadening due to linear Stark effect from ion and electron microfield

- $T_e = 0.8 - 1.2$ eV, $n_e = 2 - 7 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ inferred from modeling

1D estimates indicate power and momentum losses are increased in snowflake divertor

- 1D divertor detachment model by Post
 - Electron conduction with non-coronal carbon radiation
 - Max $q_{||}$ that can be radiated as function of connection length for range of f_z and n_e
- Three-body electron-ion recombination rate depends on divertor ion residence time
 - Ion recombination time: $\tau_{ion} \sim 1-10$ ms at $T_e = 1.3$ eV
 - Ion residence time: $\tau_{ion} \leq 3-6$ ms in standard divertor, x 2 in snowflake

$$q_{||} = -\kappa_0 T_e^{5/2} \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial q_{||}}{\partial x} = -n_e n_z L_Z(T_e)$$