Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

Omega-P, Inc.
291 Whitney Avenue, Suite 401
New Haven, CT 06511

TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

Final Report on Phase II DoE SBIR grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473

J. L. Hirshfield, Principal Investigator
tel: (203) 789-1164, e-mail: jay@omega-p.com

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
ARCHIVAL PUBLICATIONS BASED ON WORK UNDER THIS GRANT	2
I. INTRODUCTION	3
II. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS	6
III. DESIGN DETAILS AND BUNCH TRANSPORT ISSUES	10
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS VS. MODEL PREDICTIONS: CHANGES IN BUNCH ENERGY AFTER ACCELERATION	13
V. CHANGES IN BUNCH HORIZONTAL DISTRIBUTION AFTER ACCELERATION: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS VS. MODEL PREDICTIONS	18
VI. CONCLUSIONS	22
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	23
APPENDIX I: CHANGES IN BUNCH ENERGY AFTER ACCELERATION	23
APPENDIX II: BUNCH X-DEFLECTION	27
REFERENCES	31

Material in this Report was developed by Omega-P senior physicist Dr. Gennadij Sotnikov; Dr. Sergey V. Shchelkunov, who worked under a sub-grant from Omega-P to Yale University; senior consultant Dr. Thomas C. Marshall; and by Dr. Hirshfield in his role as Principal Investigator, who coordinated activities of the participants and edited the Report. Participation in the experiments at Argonne National Laboratory involved colleagues Dr. Wei Gai, Dr. M. Conde, Dr. J. Power, Dr. D. Mihalcea, and Dr. Z. Yusof.

NO DISTRIBUTION LIMITS APPLY TO THIS REPORT

May 30, 2012

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Experimental results are reported for test beam acceleration and deflection in a two-channel, cm-scale, rectangular dielectric-lined wakefield accelerator structure energized by a 14-MeV drive beam. The dominant waveguide mode of the structure is at ~30 GHz, and the structure is configured to exhibit a high transformer ratio (~12:1). Accelerated bunches in the narrow secondary channel of the structure are continuously energized via Cherenkov radiation that is emitted by a drive bunch moving in the wider primary channel. Observed energy gains and losses, transverse deflections, and changes in the test bunch charge distribution compare favorably with predictions of theory.

Keywords: wakefield, two-channel, transformer ratio, dielectric-lined, acceleration

ARCHIVAL PUBLICATIONS BASED ON WORK UNDER THIS GRANT

“Comparison of experimental tests and theory for a rectangular two-channel dielectric wakefield accelerator structure,” S.V. Shchelkunov, T.C. Marshall, G. Sotnikov, J.L. Hirshfield, W. Gai, M. Conde, J. Power, D. Mihalcea, Z. Yusof, *Phys. Rev. ST-Accel. Beams* **15**, 031301 (2012).

"Status of dielectric-lined two-channel rectangular high transformer ratio accelerator structure experiment," S.V. Shchelkunov, M.A. LaPointe, M.E. Conde, W. Gai, J.G. Power, Z.M. Yusof, J.L. Hirshfield, T.C. Marshall, D. Mihalcea, G.V. Sotnikov, *Proc. of 2011 Particle Accelerator Conf.* New York, NY, USA, March 28-April 1, 2011, p. 298.

“Two channel dielectric-lined rectangular high transformer ratio accelerator structure experiment,” S. V. Shchelkunov, J. L. Hirshfield, M. A. LaPointe, T. C. Marshall, G. Sotnikov, Wei Gai, M. Conde, J. Power, and D. Mihalcea, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1299, 353 (2010).

"A fast kicker using a rectangular dielectric wakefield accelerator structure", J.L. Hirshfield, T.C. Marshall, S.V. Shchelkunov, G.V. Sotnikov, *Proc. of 23rd Particle Accelerator Conf. (PAC2009)*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 4-8, 2009, p. 4267.

“Analysis of a symmetric terahertz dielectric-lined rectangular structure for high gradient acceleration”, T. C. Marshall, G. V. Sotnikov, S. V. Shchelkunov, and J. L. Hirshfield, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1086, 421 (2009).

“Two-channel rectangular dielectric wakefield accelerator structure experiment”, G. V. Sotnikov, T. C. Marshall, S. V. Shchelkunov, A. Didenko, and J. L. Hirshfield, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1086, 415 (2009).

I. INTRODUCTION

There is steadily growing interest within the accelerator community towards exploration of dielectric-loaded single- or multiple-channel structures that can support wakefields driven by either a single electron bunch or a periodic train of bunches. This interest provided strong incentive for research which we report here. A variety of dielectric configurations are being explored by different groups [1-27]. Expectations are that larger magnitudes of surface fields, as compared with metal structures, can be tolerated by dielectric-lined structures [2,3]. Wakefields can be spatially localized and move at near-light velocity in a vacuum channel surrounded by dielectric. These features ensure that exposed dielectric surfaces are subjected to high field magnitudes for only brief intervals of time, thereby reducing the likelihood for breakdown and permanent structure damage.

Our group and collaborators have investigated a rectangular two-channel dielectric-lined accelerator module that supports wakefields having frequencies of a few tens of GHz. The motivation behind using two-channel structures comes from a simple observation that a single-channel dielectric-lined module has a limitation [4-7] for achieving high transformer ratio [TR], unless provisions are made for bunch trains whose individual bunches have charges conforming to prescribed rules [8-11]. Moreover, if a single-channel structure is driven by a single drive bunch, a carefully profiled bunch distribution is needed within this bunch to achieve a TR above 2:1. On the other hand, two-channel modules can embody a high TR without imposing any complex set of requirements on the drive bunch or drive train. In two-channel structures, a spatial distribution of wakefields can be sustained that causes the acceleration gradient in one of the channels (henceforth referred to as the test or acceleration channel) to be much higher than the deceleration gradient in the other channel (normally referred to as the drive channel). However, achievement of high TR is subject to limitations dictated by bunch stability requirements for both the accelerated and drive bunches, or drive trains [1,12-14]. Recently, we explored a version of the two-channel structure with favorable symmetry, namely a coaxial dielectric wakefield accelerator [12, 15]; in this structure, lateral forces on the test bunch vanish to lowest order.

In this report we provide a comparison of experimental results with predictions of a theoretical model. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first experiment to test wakefields in a single composite structure comprising two dielectric-lined coupled channels. The goal of the experiment was to excite the structure with a single drive bunch that moves within and is well aligned with the drive channel [Fig.1], and to probe the wakefield set up by its passage in the test channel using a test bunch, also with well known parameters. The delay between bunches was adjusted to probe the fields at different distances behind the drive bunch. As theory predicts, the dynamics of the test bunch is dictated mostly by longitudinal and transverse forces F_z and F_x that arise because of the corresponding electric and magnetic components of the wakefield. While

TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

the longitudinal force changes the test bunch energy, the transverse force deflects the bunch horizontally. Both effects are well observed and quantified on the spectrometer screen, thereby allowing one to find experimentally the strength of the wakefields in a straightforward manner and later make comparisons with the model predictions. Thereby, we shall provide evidence that the simulation and analytical tools and models are adequate, and our understanding of the interaction between the wakefields and bunches is valid.

The structure cross-section is shown in Fig.1. Both dielectric-lined channels are 10 cm long; the entire apparatus is longer because of a stainless steel mask in front to collimate the bunches. The dielectric is cordierite with a dielectric constant of 4.76.

FIG.1. (a) Module schematic, and (b) cross-section of the apparatus with the channel dimensions. The slabs thicknesses [from left-to-right] are 1.25mm, 2.3 and 1.06mm (manufactured by Euclid Techlabs LLC).

The predicted TR is in slight excess of 12:1. The maximum acceleration is predicted to be 6 MV/m for a 50 nC drive bunch at a distance ~ 8.5 mm behind the drive bunch. The drive bunch excites several hybrid modes, mainly pumping energy into LM_{31} , LE_{11} , LM_{21} and LM_{11} modes, presented here in a descending order based on the amount of power they receive from the drive beam. The LM_{31} -mode eigenfrequency is ~ 30 GHz.

The module structure was tested at Argonne Wakefield Accelerator facility (AWA). The experimental layout is shown in Fig.2.

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.2. Experimental layout.

Both the drive and the test bunch are produced at the same RF photocathode and accelerated to ~ 14 MeV in the same rf linear accelerator. The drive bunch is produced on the axis of the cathode; its trajectory almost coincides with the beam-line axis with which the apparatus drive channel is aligned (that is, the middle of the drive channel is put on the beam-line axis). The test bunch is produced off-axis so that it may enter the test channel which is located off-axis of the beam-line. The position and focusing of the test bunch are determined by the same controls that focus and guide the drive bunch [16]. Fig.3 presents some photos of the DWFA apparatus.

FIG.3. (a) DWFA apparatus attached to an actuator before it is placed in the vacuum chamber; (b) front view (the apparatus is preceded by a mask to protect the dielectric slabs from the beam halo); and (c) back view of the accelerator structure.

It is recognized that two-channel rectangular structures of small height are prone to bunch instability and will cause a bunch deflection due to intrinsic asymmetry. Our analysis has shown that the structure length should not exceed 10 cm for the test bunch to clear the test channel without hitting the walls. The maximum deflection is caused in the horizontal plane by a relatively large F_x -force of ~ 0.8 MV/m [if the 50nC drive is used], but the F_y -force is small and

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

does not contribute much to test bunch deflection. We emphasize that these limitations do not prevent us from studying the basic physics. Also, we point out that the device may find application as a fast “kicker” [17].

II. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Table I shows the design parameters of the two-channel dielectric-lined wakefield accelerator module, as settled upon through numerical studies [16,18] using the analytical theory [28,29] and the CST Studio code. For the numerical calculations that used the analytical theory we developed codes compiled by Intel Visual Fortran (IVF). The results obtained using the CST Studio software compare favorably with those obtained using the IVF codes at relatively short distance (of order several wavelengths of the operating mode).

Table I: parameters of the two-channel dielectric-lined wakefield accelerator module.

LSM ₃₁ design mode eigenfrequency (for $v_{\text{phase}} = c$)	30 GHz
Drive channel dimensions	12 x 6 mm
Accelerating channel dimensions	2 x 6 mm
Transformer ratio	12.6 : 1
Dielectric [cordierite] slab #1 thickness	1.24 – 1.25 mm
Dielectric [cordierite] slab #2 thickness	2.29 -2.3 mm
Dielectric [cordierite] slab #3 thickness	1.05 – 1.06 mm
Dielectric relative constant	4.76
Drive bunch size, $\sigma_x \times \sigma_y \times \sigma_z$	3 x 1 x 2 mm
Bunch energy entering apparatus	~14 MeV
Drive bunch charge	10 - 50 nC
Number of drive bunches	1

Table II shows the amount of power going into the eigenmodes if the module is excited by a 50 nC drive bunch. As already stated, LM₃₁, LE₁₁, LM₂₁ and LM₁₁ are the modes into which the bunch radiates most of its power. Fig 4a presents the transverse E_z amplitude profile of some of the modes.

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

Table II: Eigen frequencies of two-channel structure and radiation power for the Gaussian distribution of charge within the 50nC drive bunch.

Mode	Frequency, GHz	Power, MW	Mode	Frequency, GHz	Power, MW
LSM ₁₁	24.79	0.52	LSE ₁₁	19.96	2.5
LSM ₂₁	29.97	1.07	LSE ₂₁	39.07	0.135
LSM ₃₁	30.00	2.41	LSE ₃₁	44.68	$9.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$
LSM ₄₁	53.61	$4.36 \cdot 10^{-2}$	LSE ₄₁	45.40	0.26
LSM ₅₁	75.42	$8.36 \cdot 10^{-5}$	LSE ₅₁	72.99	$1.94 \cdot 10^{-4}$

The wakefield is a superposition of LSM and LSE mode wave functions; the composite accelerating force F_z is shown in Fig.4.b; Fig.5(a) presents the map of the composite axial force F_z as a function of x and z in the plane $y = 0$.

FIG.4. (a) Some of the modes which may be excited by a drive bunch. The resulting wakefield is a composite F_z -force on the test bunch as shown in (b). These curves are given for a 50nC drive bunch. The curve with the large magnitude in the narrow channel is the accelerating force acting on the test bunch, and the curve with the smaller magnitude in the wide channel is the decelerating force acting on the drive bunch.

TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.5. (a) The composite axial force $F_z(z, x)$ in the plane $y = 0$. The middle of the test bunch is at the white cross-hair (IVF computations at Kharkov Institute); (b) Trajectories of test particles for a mono-energetic test bunch with the initial rms-length ~ 2 mm (see comments in the text).

Depending on the relative delay between the drive and test bunches, the length of the test bunch, and the drive bunch charge, the test bunch may either acquire an additional energy spread, or have its energy spread reduced. In particular, a mono-energetic test bunch with rms-length ~ 2 mm will experience about 6% energy spread after traveling 10 cm, obtained from the analysis of different trajectories (see Fig 5.b). In the calculations presented in Fig 5.b, the initial axial position of test particles is at the first maximum of the accelerating field $z = 8.454$ mm (see Fig.4b); trajectories are shown of 9 particles with their horizontal coordinates being either x_1 , x_2 or x_3 , and vertical coordinates being either y_1 , y_2 , or y_3 , where $x_1 = x_{ac} - x_a/2$, $x_2 = x_{ac}$, $x_3 = x_{ac} + x_a/2$, $y_1 = -y_a/2$, $y_2 = 0$ and $y_3 = y_a/2$; and x_{ac} is the x-coordinate of the accelerating channel center ($x_a = 1$ mm, $y_a = 1$ mm). More details will be given later, when we present analysis of the experimental data and comparison with the model predictions.

TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.6. (a) Axial profile of the composite horizontal force F_x ($y = 0$) along the center of the acceleration channel (blue curve) and the center of the drive channel (red); and (b) the horizontal (x) position of test particles as they move along the structure-

The deflecting forces in the horizontal (x) direction can be large [see Fig.6. a]. However, they still permit test bunch transmission without interception along the 10cm long module as demonstrated by Fig.6.b. There the horizontal positions of test particles are shown as they move along the structure. The initial energy is taken to be 14 MeV. A few test particles are tracked in the wakefield set up by a 50nC drive bunch, each test particle having its initial axial position $z = 0.8454$ cm, which corresponds [see Fig.4] to the maximum of accelerating force F_z .

The net deflecting forces in the vertical (y) direction are nearly absent in the vicinity of the center of test channel; the nature of vertical forces, F_y , is either focusing or defocusing depending on the test bunch location. However the influence of the vertical forces on the bunch dynamics is substantially less than the horizontal forces as demonstrated by Fig. 7. There the vertical positions of test particles are shown as they move along the structure. The initial energy is taken to be 14 MeV. A few test particles are tracked in the wakefield set up by a 50nC drive bunch, each test particle having its initial axial position $z = 0.8454$ cm, which corresponds (see Fig.4) to the maximum of the accelerating force F_z .

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.7. The vertical (y) position of test particles as they move along the structure.

Given the results listed above, the length of module for this proof-of-principle experiment was chosen to be 10cm.

III. DESIGN DETAILS AND BUNCH TRANSPORT ISSUES

Critical aspects of the apparatus included means for precise assembly of the rectangular two-channel DWFA module. Fig. 8 shows how all three cordierite slabs are positioned with high accuracy in a copper block to form the structure, wherein shallow protrusions capture the slabs. In order to position the dielectric slabs with high accuracy, the following precautions were taken: (a) at a few locations along its length the structure has triplets of holes the depth of which insures that a thin wall is formed between the bottom of each hole and the inner volume of the apparatus; (b) a steel form is inserted, whose protrusions reproduce precisely the slabs dimensions, and are located as far as the slabs should be. The pushing screws (top) are used to produce another set of protrusions in the copper blocks, each facing inward, as shown in (d), so as to capture the dielectric slabs when inserted as shown in (c).

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.8. Sequence of steps to insure accurate positioning of slabs (see description in the text)

FIG.9. a) time-delayed test bunch is produced off-axis on the same photocathode where the drive bunch was produced; b) beam-line elements essential to guide and focus both bunches; steering coils and beam position monitors (YaG screens) are not shown.

A time-delayed test bunch is produced off-axis on the same photocathode where the drive bunch was produced [Fig.9]. The test bunch is made by a second laser pulse that is formed by diversion off a splitter from the main pulse used to create the drive bunch. The test bunch propagates off-axis, and its position and focusing is determined by the same controls (LINAC, magnets) that guide and focus the drive bunch. The YaG screen after the last bending solenoid serves as a readout for the spectrometer; the vertical size is (by the virtue of design at AWA) totally dominated by the energy spread. Simulations indicated that a test bunch can be delivered to the required location while the drive bunch is still focused and positioned as desired [Fig.10]; however, each time the gun phase or delay between the bunches is adjusted, a careful optimization is required to have both bunches transmitted through the apparatus.

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.10. Simulations indicate that both bunches produced at the cathode (a) can be guided and focused to the correct locations when delivered to the apparatus (b). The simulation is done for 10^5 particles (the left graph in (a) shows the number of particles vs. initial z-coordinate for both bunches).

Given the narrow size of the test channel preceded by a mask to collimate the beams, the transmission of the test bunch requires both accurate positioning and angular alignment of the structure. Under these circumstances it was found that the test bunch can be transmitted only when the solenoid does not deliver too strong focusing. This, in turn, did not allow us to use drive bunches with charges exceeding 15 nC; otherwise the under-focused drive bunch would partially leak into the test channel and prevent imaging of the test bunch on the spectrometer screen. Another complicating factor is that the horizontal separation between bunches was measured to be typically 7 mm. Given that the spacing between the centers of the two channels is 9.3 mm, the drive bunch was typically located away from the drive channel center axis by 2-3 mm (see the schematic in Fig.11.) These practical difficulties indicate that two-bunch schemes are better tested if a facility is equipped with two guns, and two separate beam lines to produce and manipulate the beams independently.

FIG.11. In the measurements described in this article, the drive bunch was typically located away from the drive channel center axis by 2-3 mm (see explanations in the text).

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS VS. MODEL PREDICTIONS: CHANGES IN BUNCH ENERGY AFTER ACCELERATION

Data were collected for three different delays between the drive bunch and the test bunch, namely ~5.7 mm, ~10.7 mm, and ~21.7 mm. The typical drive bunch charge at the listed delays varied from shot to shot from 8 to 10nC for the delay ~5.7mm, from 10 to 12nC for the delay ~10.7mm, and from 13 to 15nC for the delay ~21.7mm. For each delay, multiple shots were recorded on the spectrometer screen; typical information obtained on each shot include the energy gain/loss received by electrons and the horizontal deflection (kick) received by electrons. The changes in energy are read by taking a sum of projections of the image vertical slices on the screen; the changes in the horizontal position are read by taking a sum of projections of the image horizontal slices on the screen [16, 18]. Every time, the background level is subtracted, and for convenience the resulting distributions are normalized to have their maxima equal to unity. The energy slit helps to narrow the energy value; being positioned horizontally, the energy slit, however, does not affect the readouts to infer the horizontal deflection of the bunch, which is later processed to obtain the value of the responsible horizontal deflecting force [17].

With the delay of ~5.7 mm the typical energy gain was up to 50 – 100 keV and the energy loss was up to 90 – 100 keV; on average the energy changed by ~0keV. For delay ~11 mm, the jitter of 50-60keV and the energy slit error 77keV required some corrections. Taking these into account, the energy loss was up to 65keV, while the energy gain was in the range 65 - 150keV; the average energy change was ~50keV. For delay ~22mm, the jitter of 40-50keV and the energy slit error 77-154keV required some corrections. The energy gain was up to 350keV; the average energy change was ~ 170-220keV.

To compare with the theory model predictions, it is convenient to take into account the measured drive charges, and re-normalize the gains/losses to 50nC of drive charge; this normalization is a linear one under an assumption that the drive bunch sizes do not vary when its charge changes. Table III presents the comparison.

Table III: comparison of observed energy gain/loss values with the model predictions.

Delay (mm)	5.7	10.7	21.7
Expected maximum gain (MeV/m)	6.4	8	9
Observed maximum gain (MeV/m)	5.6	6.73	11.7
Expected minimum gain, or loss (MeV/m)	-4.97 (loss)	-2.92 (loss)	+6.0 (gain)
Observed minimum gain, or loss (MeV/m)	-5.6 (loss)	-2.9 (loss)	+6.5 (gain)

TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

The top plot [plot a)] in Fig 12, 13, and 14 presents two theoretical F_z curves computed for the drive bunch x shifted by 2 and 3 mm respectively, where the outermost arrow heads indicate the observed values (re-normalized to 50nC). A good agreement can be seen in all cases. With regards to the delay ~ 5.7 mm, it should be noted that some shots demonstrated the gain only as high as 2.8 MeV/m (renormalized to 50nC of the drive charge); this is, however, within the expectations [see Fig12.a, middle arrow]. At the delays ~ 10.7 and 21.7 mm, the average expected gain values are 2.92 and 8.5MeV/m; the observed values [see e.g. Fig 13.a and 14.a; pointed at by the middle arrow heads] were 2.24-2.91 and ~ 8.46 MeV/m (again renormalized here to 50nC), and again are in a good agreement with the expectations.

To study the changes in energy distribution caused by the interaction between the test bunch and the wakefields set up by the preceding drive bunch, the method described in Appendix A.I is used. The middle plot [plot b)] in Fig 12, 13, and 14 present a typical observed distribution when the test bunch does not interact (green) and interacts with the wakefields (red). These compares favorably with the simulated behaviors presented for each delay (with the corresponding drive charge) by the bottom plot [plot c)] in Fig 12, 13, and 14.

For instance, in Fig 12, the slope of final energy distribution (drive ON) in pos.1 is sharper than the slope in pos.2 in both experiment and simulations. Also, the final energy distribution – in both experiment and simulations – shrinks inward of the initial energy distribution (drive OFF).

In Fig 13, in pos.1 the final energy distribution (drive ON) moves to the left in both top and bottom figures as compared to the initial distribution (drive OFF). In pos.2 the final energy distribution moves “inward” of the initial one, again on both plots. In pos. 3, the behavior is again the same.

In Fig 14, the final distribution (drive ON) is shown when the drive bunch is shifted off the center of its respective channel by 2mm and 3mm (curves are pointed at by “2mm” and “3mm” respectively in the bottom plot). In pos.1 and pos. 2, the evolution of final energy distribution is the same in both top and bottom figures as compared to the initial distribution (drive OFF). Note that some particle losses do occur in this case.

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG. 12. (a) Simulations of F_z for the test channel [values are renormalized to 50nC (see explanations in the text)] for the delay 5.7mm. (b) Typical energy distribution (normalized to 1) observed in 80-85% of shots. (c) CST Microwave Studio simulations to predict changes in the energy distribution [for case #1 in Table A.I, and the drive bunch is shifted off the center of its channel by 2mm (toward the test channel)].

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.13. (a) Simulations of F_z for the test channel [values are renormalized to 50nC (see explanations in the text)] for the delay 10.7mm. (b) Typical energy distribution (observed in 80% of shots; normalized to 1). (c) CST Microwave Studio simulations to predict changes in the energy distribution [for case #2 in Table A.I, and the drive bunch being shifted off the center of its respective channel by 2mm (toward the test channel)].

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

FIG.14. (a) Simulations of F_z for the test channel [values are renormalized to 50nC (see explanations in the text)] for the delay 21.7mm. (b) Typical energy distribution (observed in 80% of shots; raw intensity data are shown) (c) Simulations to predict changes in the energy distribution (for case #3 in Table A.I).

V. CHANGES IN BUNCH HORIZONTAL DISTRIBUTION AFTER ACCELERATION: EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS VS. MODEL PREDICTIONS

Here again, the data were collected for the same three different delays between the drive bunch and the test bunch, namely ~ 5.7 mm, ~ 10.7 mm, and ~ 21.7 mm. The data acquisition and processing procedures have already been described in the former section.

With the delay ~ 5.7 mm, the horizontal kick that led to the shift as shown e.g. in Fig 12.b was about 6.18 – 6.8 mrad. For delay ~ 10.7 mm, the horizontal kick that led to the typical shifts presented in e.g. Fig 13.b was ranging from -2.45 to -5.2 mrad; in average it was -3.9 mrad. For delay ~ 21.7 mm, the horizontal kick was inferred to be about +12.2 mrad in average.

The aforementioned kick is the result of the F_x force acting on the test bunch, and its value can be inferred from the aforementioned kick values. To compare with the theory model predictions, it is again convenient to take into account the measured drive charges, and re-normalize the F_x to 50nC of drive charge; this normalization is a linear one under an assumption that the drive bunch sizes do not vary when its charge changes. Table IV presents the comparison.

Table IV: comparison of observed F_x values with the model predictions.

Delay (mm)	5.7	10.7	21.7
Expected maximum F_x (MeV/m)	+5.38	-4.4	+8.7
Observed maximum F_x (MeV/m)	+5.12	-3.6	+7.35
Expected minimum F_x (MeV/m)	+4	-1.45	+4.4
Observed minimum F_x (MeV/m)	+4.65 (typical)	-1.72	+4.5

In addition to table IV, the top plot [plot a)] in Fig 15, 16, and 17 presents two theoretical F_x curves computed for the drive bunch x shifted by 2 and 3 mm respectively, where the arrow heads indicate some of the observed values (re-normalized to 50nC). A good agreement can be seen in all cases. With regard to Fig 15, it should be noted that even the value of ~ 4 MeV/m was observed on occasions [leftmost arrow] as the table IV predicts. In Fig 16.a, the middle arrow shows the observed average value (-2.8 MeV/m), which appears to be in a favorable agreement with expectations. In Fig 17, two arrows point at the observed values 5.6 and 6.1 MeV/m, which are also the expected F_x –value that should cause the average kick of ~ 12.2 mrad (difference 5.6 vs. 6.1 comes from the difference in drive bunch charge).

To further study the changes in horizontal distribution (and x kicks) caused by the interaction between the test bunch and the wakefields set up by the preceding drive bunch, the

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

method described in Appendix A.II is used. The middle plot [plot b)] in Fig 15, 16, and 17 present a typically observed distribution when the test bunch does not interact (green) and interacts with the wakefields (red). These compares favorably with the simulated behaviors presented for each delay (with the corresponding drive charge and the initial FWHM chosen to be the same as the initial observed FWHM) by the bottom plot [plot c)].

FIG.15. (a) Simulations of F_x for the test channel; (b) Typical bunch horizontal distribution (observed in 80-85% of shots, normalized to 1) for the delay was 5.7mm. (c) Simulations that predict changes in the horizontal distribution [for case #1 in Tables A.I and A.II, for the drive bunch being shifted off the center of its respective channel by 2mm (toward the test channel)].

FIG.16. (a) Simulations of F_x for the test channel (b) Typical bunch horizontal distribution (observed in 80% of shots, normalized to 1) when the delay was 10.7mm; (c) Predicted changes in horizontal distribution [case #2 in Tables A.I and A.II with the drive bunch being shifted off the center of its respective channel by 2mm (toward the test channel)].

FIG.17. (a) Simulations of F_x for the test channel (b) Typical bunch horizontal distribution (observed in 80% of shots) when the delay was 21.7mm; note that these are examples of “raw” distributions. (c) Changes in horizontal distribution [for case #3 in Tables A.I and A.II with the drive bunch being shifted off the center of its respective channel by 2mm (toward the test channel)].

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

In Fig 15, one observes the same amount of average x-kick for the accelerated test bunch [curves marked by “drive ON”].

In Fig 16, one observes again nearly the same amount of average x-kick for the accelerated test bunch [curves marked by “drive ON”], and also that in both experiment and theory FWHM appears to remain the same before and after acceleration.

Finally in Fig 17, one sees nearly the same amount of x-kick for the accelerated test bunch [curves marked by “drive ON”] where the distribution has its peak, and also that in both experiment and theory, the left slope is far more elongated than the right slope in the final distribution.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

To the best of our knowledge, the experiments described in this report are the first in which a two-channel, dielectric-lined, rectangular, wakefield structure has been tested. It is found that the experimental data are consistent with the theory model predictions. The theory model includes the wakefield simulations using the CST Studio, together with reasonable assumptions regarding the input distribution of bunch particle energies and positions.

Of course, introducing a narrower test bunch at particular values of the delay would secure both high acceleration and low deflection. Nevertheless, the presence of deflection in a rectangular DWFA is an intrinsic feature [17] that is a consequence of its lack of symmetry. An more promising choice for accelerator application should be a coaxial structure where symmetry can cure this unwanted deflection [12,15]. The rectangular version – studied [14, 16-18] and developed [14,16] by our group – nonetheless delivers a useful example that is very well suited to check theory and our understanding of how wakefields in a two-channel structure manifest themselves.

Finally, we note that the aforementioned practical difficulties to operate a two-bunch scheme as described in Section II, where both bunches are produced at the same RF photocathode with one of them delayed and propagating off the beam-line axis. This experience suggests that two-bunch non-collinear structures are better tested at a facility that is equipped with two guns to produce, and two separate beam-lines to manipulate, the bunches independently.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of U.S. Department of Energy (Office of High Energy Physics), and also express many thanks to A. Didenko (Omega-P contractor) for his excellent mechanical design for the rectangular DWFA module and related hardware, and to J. Zmuda, M. Lien, and R. Konecny (Argonne National Lab.) for their help during the installations, operation, and especially for refurbishing the modulator that enabled us to have excellent operational stability –much needed for this experiment which required two time-delayed bunches that originate at the same photocathode with the second one produced and delivered off the beam-line axis.

Appendix I Changes in Bunch Energy after Acceleration

To study the changes in energy distribution caused by the interaction between the test bunch and the wakefields set up by the preceding drive bunch, it is assumed here and further on that the initial longitudinal distribution in the test bunch resembles a Gaussian one, however, with the head possibly different from the tail. In that case, when a bunch with a small charge is produced by an RF photocathode gun, a validated model [30-32] is

$$n_{ini}(z) = n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(z - Z_{test})^2}{2\sigma_{z,head}^2}\right), \text{ if } z \leq Z_{test} \quad (1.a)$$

$$n_{ini}(z) = n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{(z - Z_{test})^2}{2\sigma_{z,tail}^2}\right), \text{ if } z > Z_{test} \quad (1.b)$$

where $n_{ini}(z)$ is the initial particle density, z is the initial longitudinal coordinate of the test particle relative to the drive bunch (center of bunch is located at $z = 0$, positive coordinate z corresponds to the location after the bunch center, negative coordinate z corresponds to the location before the bunch center), Z_{test} is the longitudinal position (delay) of the test bunch center relative to the drive bunch, $\sigma_{z,head}$ and $\sigma_{z,tail}$ are the rms-length of the bunch head and its tail, and n_0 is an appropriate normalization constant. The values of delay, Z_{test} , and $\sigma_{z,head}$ and $\sigma_{z,tail}$ as either directly measured in experiment or inferred from processing the experimental data are listed in Table A.I.

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

The correlation between the test particle's initial position and its initial energy is important to explain the behavior already presented. This information is not available, so here we must make an assumption about this correlation; we take it to be approximated by a linear function:

$$E_{ini}(z) = E_{test} - (z - Z_{test}) \frac{\sigma_E}{\sigma_z} \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma_z = 0.5(\sigma_{z,head} + \sigma_{z,tail}) \quad (2)$$

where $E_{ini}(z)$ is the test particle initial energy, E_{test} is an average initial energy of the test bunch known from the experiment, and σ_E is the rms-energy spread of the test bunch (also known from the experimental curves, see e.g. Figs 12-14). E_{test} and σ_E are listed in Table A.I. σ_z is selected to be computed as indicated below.

The particle initial coordinate z in Eq.1 and Eq.2 is a parameter; thus, one can plot $n_{ini}(z)$ vs. $E_{ini}(z)$ and adjust $\sigma_{z,head}$ and $\sigma_{z,tail}$ to accurately model the observed initial energy distribution as seen in Figs 12-14, (b). These values are presented in Table A.I. The fields/forces that dictate the particle dynamics are known from the IVF codes and the CST Studio simulations [see Fig. 18].

Table A.I

case #	1	2	3
Z_{test} (mm), delay between drive and test bunches	5.7	10.7	21.7
E_{test} (MeV)	13.7	14.5	13.12
$\sigma_{z,head} / \sigma_{z,tail}$ (mm)	0.6 / 0.6	0.6 / 0.6	0.2 / 1.0
σ_E (MeV)	0.12	0.21	0.2

FIG.18. $F_z(z)$ –force acting on the test bunch (traveling behind the drive bunch at locations marked as case 1, or 2 or 3) is shown here computed for 9nC of the drive charge (case #1), 10.6nC of the drive charge (case #2) and 14nC (case #3). The drive bunch is off the center of its respective channel by 3mm toward the test channel. Accordingly, for each case the test bunch position and distribution is different, as indicated.

After the equations of motion are integrated over the apparatus length, L , the final particle position (relative to the drive bunch) and final energy are:

$$z_{fin} = Z(E_{ini}, z) \quad (3.a)$$

$$n_{fin}(z_{fin}) = n_{ini}(z) - PPL \quad (3.b)$$

$$E_{fin} = E(E_{ini}, z) \quad (3.c)$$

where (as before) z is the initial particle coordinate (relative to the drive bunch), E_{ini} is the initial energy, z_{fin} is the final coordinate, $Z(\dots)$ is a function that represents the dependence of z_{fin} on E_{ini} and z , and is obtained after numerical integration; n_{fin} is the particle density at z_{fin} , with PPL representing possible particle losses because some particles may hit the walls of the test channel, E_{fin} is the final energy, and $E(\dots)$ is a function that represents the dependence of E_{fin} on E_{ini} and z , and is also obtained after numerical integration.

Omega-P, Inc.
 Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

Noting that z enters as a parameter in Eq.3, and that Eq.2 provides the correlation between E_{ini} and z , one can mutually exclude z from Eq.3b and c, and then plot n_{fin} vs. E_{fin} to obtain the final energy distribution.

The aforementioned recipes produce results for different delays (~6, ~11, and ~22 mm) as have been presented in Figs 12-14, with a very good agreement seen in all cases.

In particular, in a zero-order approximation, one may assume the absence of particle slippage and transverse motion (because of the short apparatus length, L), and Eq.3 becomes:

$$z_{fin} = z.$$

$$n_{fin}(z_{fin}) = n_{ini}(z), \text{ and}$$

$$E_{fin} = E_{ini}(z) + F_z(z)L$$

where F_z is presented in Fig.18.

In this simplified scenario, the final distribution is, obviously, a plot of

$$n_{ini}(z) \text{ vs. } E_{ini}(z) + F_z(z)L$$

Appendix II: Bunch X-Deflection

To study the change in horizontal velocity distribution that results from the transverse wakefield force, we use the following zero-order approximation for the initial test bunch distribution: 1) the bunch is relatively compact horizontally and vertically, that is $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 0$; 2) the velocity spread in the y-direction is ignored; 3) the velocity spread in the x-direction is taken into account in the particle density distribution function as:

$$N_{ini}(z, \alpha_x) = n_0 n_{ini}(z) \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_x^2}{2\sigma_{\alpha,x}^2}\right) \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_x = V_x/c$ is the x-velocity represented as an angular value (rad) with V_x being the horizontal transverse velocity measured in m/sec; $\sigma_{\alpha,x} = \sigma_{v,x}/c$ is the horizontal rms spread represented as an angular value (rad), and c is the speed of light [~ the longitudinal velocity with

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

which 13-14MeV electrons move]; n_o is an appropriate normalizing constant; $n_{ini}(z)$ is given by Eq.1 in section IV.

Because initially z and α_x have no correlation between each other, the distribution as a function of the x-velocity is simply:

$$N_{ini}(\alpha_x) = n_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_x^2}{2\sigma_{\alpha,x}^2}\right) \quad (5)$$

where n_1 is an appropriate normalizing constant.

The values of $\sigma_{\alpha,x}$ are found by analyzing the initial horizontal particle distributions available from the experiment. If the distributions are assumed to resemble Gaussian ones, the rms values (mm) seen on the spectrometer screen are merely $1230\text{mm} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha,x}$ because the distance between the apparatus and the screen was/is 1230mm. Practically, it is easier to measure the FWHM, and then cast this to rms-values remembering that $\sigma_{\alpha,x} = \text{FWHM}/2.35$ for any Gaussian distribution. The rms values are listed in table A.II.

Table A.II (see also table A.I)

case #	1	2	3
$1230\text{mm} \cdot \sigma_{\alpha,x}$	2.91 mm	3.5 mm	1.05mm
$\sigma_{\alpha,x}$	2.36 mrad	2.84 mrad	0.85 mrad

The angle, $\alpha_{x, fin}$, when the electron exits the apparatus is given by [again, we use the zero-order approximation in our model]:

$$\alpha_{x, fin} = \alpha_x + L \frac{F_x(z)}{E_{test}}$$

where α_x is the initial angle, $L = 100\text{mm}$ is the DWFA apparatus length, F_x (MeV/m) is computed by CST Studio and is given in Fig. 19, z is the initial position of the electron within the bunch and E_{test} is the test bunch average energy. Here we assume that the slippage between the electron and the wakefield is negligible, and energy spread is small compared to E_{test} (as it has been already confirmed by material presented before in section IV).

FIG. 19. $F_x(z)$ as computed by IVF codes dictates the final velocity spread and distribution; note bunches and wakefields move from right-to-left, toward lesser z -values. $F_x(z)$ –force acting on the test bunch (traveling behind the drive bunch at locations marked as case 1, or 2 or 3) is shown here computed for 9nC of the drive charge (case #1), 10.2nC of the drive charge (case #2) and 15nC (case #3). The drive bunch is off the center of its respective channel by 2mm toward the test channel. For each case the test bunch position and distribution is different, as indicated.

Thus, in this no-slippage approximation, one has $\alpha_x = \alpha_{x,fin} - LF_x(z) / E_{test}$ that allows one to trace particles to the place of their origin where the distribution is known. Hence, the final distribution becomes:

$$N_{fin}(z, \alpha_{x,fin}) = n_{of} n_{ini}(z) \exp \left(- \frac{(\alpha_{x,fin} - LF_x(z) / E_{test})^2}{2\sigma_{\alpha,x}^2} \right) \quad (6)$$

where all the values and parameters already have been identified on the previous pages (and n_{of} is an appropriate normalizing constant).

The final distribution in the x -velocity space is obtained by integrating the above formula over all z -values [where the beam particles are found]; the integration is a trivial procedure when one employs MathCAD, Mathematica, or a custom C++/Fortran program. The final distribution is:

$$N_{fin}(\alpha_{x,fin}) = n_{1f} \int_z dz \cdot n_{ini}(z) \exp \left(- \frac{(\alpha_{x,fin} - LF_x(z) / E_{test})^2}{2\sigma_{\alpha,x}^2} \right) \quad (7)$$

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

where n_{If} is an appropriate normalizing constant. Note that both $n_{ini}(z)$ and $F_x(z)$ depend on z , and must be integrated en-masse.

Using Eq.5 and 7 one can compare the initial and final distributions. When graphing them, it is convenient to plot

$$N_{ini}(\alpha_x) \quad \text{vs.} \quad \alpha_x \cdot 1230mm$$

$$N_{fin}(\alpha_{x,fin}) \quad \text{vs.} \quad \alpha_{x,fin} \cdot 1230mm$$

because $\alpha_x \cdot 1230mm$ and $\alpha_{x,fin} \cdot 1230mm$ are the shifts (mm) one measures directly on the spectrometer screen along its X -axis [see for instance Figs 15-17, (b)]. As it has been demonstrated the theory predictions are well confirmed by the experimental observations.

References

1. G. V. Sotnikov, and T. C. Marshall, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **14**, 031302 (2011)
2. “The Dielectric Wakefield Accelerating Structure”, A. Kanareykin, S.P. Antipov, J.B. Butler, C.-J. Jing, P. Schoessow, W. Gai, Proc. of 2011 Particle Accelerator Conf. New York, NY, USA, March 28-April 1, 2011, p. 319, eds. T. Satotaga and K. Brown (BNL).
3. “High Frequency High Gradient Dielectric Wakefield Acceleration Experiment at SLAC and BNL”, J. Rosenzweig, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 364 (2010), eds. S.H. Gold and G.S. Nusinovich, and refs. therein.
4. Liling Xiao, Wei Gai, and Xiang Sun, Phys. Rev. **E 65**, 016505 (2001).
5. J. G. Power, Wei Gai, and Paul Schoessow, Phys. Rev. **E 60**, 6061 (1999).
6. J. G. Power, M. E. Conde, W. Gai, R. Konecny, P. Schoessow, and A. D. Kanareykin, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **3**, 101302 (2000).
7. W. Gai, A. D. Kanareykin, A. L. Kustov, and J. Simpson, Phys. Rev. **E 55**, 3481 (1997).
8. C. Jing, A. Kanareykin, J. G. Power, M. Conde, W. Liu, S. Antipov, P. Schoessow, and W. Gai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 164802 (2011).
9. C. Jing, J. G. Power, M. Conde, W. Liu, Z. Yusof, A. Kanareykin, and W. Gai, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **14**, 021302 (2011).
10. “Recent Experiments on Wakefield Transformer Ratio Enhancement at AWA”, C. Jing, et al., “AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 348 (2010), eds. S.H. Gold and G.S. Nusinovich.
11. “Development of a Ferroelectric Based Tunable DLA Structure”, A. Kanareykin, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1086, 386 (2009), eds. C.B Schroeder, W. Leemans and E. Esarey..
12. “A THz Coaxial Two-Channel Dielectric Wakefield Structure for High Gradient Acceleration”, T. C. Marshall, G. V. Sotnikov, and J. L. Hirshfield, AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 336 (2010), eds. S.H. Gold and G.S. Nusinovich.
13. “Accelerated Bunch Stability in a Coaxial Dielectric Wakefield Structure When its Symmetry is Broken” G. V. Sotnikov, T. C. Marshall, J. L. Hirshfield, and S. V. Shchelkunov, AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 342 (2010), eds. S.H. Gold and G.S. Nusinovich.

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

14. "Analysis of a Symmetric Terahertz Dielectric-Lined Rectangular Structure for High Gradient Acceleration", T. C. Marshall, G. V. Sotnikov, S. V. Shchelkunov, and J. L. Hirshfield, AIP Conf. Proc. 1086, 421 (2009), eds. C.B Schroeder, W. Leemans and E. Esarey.
15. G. V. Sotnikov, T. C. Marshall, and J. L. Hirshfield, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **12**, 061302 (2009).
16. "Status of Dielectric-Lined Two-Channel Rectangular High Transformer Ratio Accelerator Structure Experiment", S.V. Shchelkunov, M.A. LaPointe, M.E. Conde, W. Gai, J.G. Power, Z.M. Yusof, J.L. Hirshfield, T.C. Marshall, D. Mihalcea, G.V. Sotnikov, Proc. of 2011 Particle Accelerator Conf. New York, NY, USA, March 28-April 1, 2011, p. 298 link: <http://www.c-ad.bnl.gov/pac2011/proceedings/papers/mop107.pdf>, eds. T. Satotaga and K. Brown (BNL) and refs therein.
17. "A Fast Kicker Using a Rectangular Dielectric Wakefield Accelerator Structure", J.L. Hirshfield, T.C. Marshall, S.V. Shchelkunov, G.V. Sotnikov, Proc. of 23rd Particle Accelerator Conf. (PAC2009), Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 4-8, 2009, p. 4267, ed. M. Comyn (TRIUMF).
18. "Two-Channel Rectangular Dielectric Wake Field Accelerator Structure Experiment", G. V. Sotnikov, T. C. Marshall, S. V. Shchelkunov, A. Didenko, and J. L. Hirshfield, AIP Conf. Proc. 1086, 415 (2009), eds. C.B Schroeder, W. Leemans and E. Esarey.
19. S. V. Shchelkunov, T. C. Marshall, J. L. Hirshfield, M. A. Babzien, and M. A. LaPointe, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **9**, 011301 (2006).
20. "Observation of Superposition of Wake Fields Generated by Electron Bunches in a Dielectric-Lined Waveguide", S. V. Shchelkunov, T. C. Marshall, J. L. Hirshfield, M. A. Babzien, and M. A. LaPointe, Proc. of the 2005 Particle Accelerator Conf, p.3609, (2005), ed. C. Horak (ORNL/SNS).
21. Wanming Liu and Wei Gai, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **12**, 051301 (2009).
22. Chunguang Jing, Wanming Liu, Liling Xiao, Wei Gai, P. Schoessow, and Thomas Wong, Phys. Rev. **E 68**, 016502 (2003).
23. "Diamond-Based Dielectric Loaded Accelerating Structures", S. Antipov, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 359 (2010), eds. S.H. Gold and G.S. Nusinovich.
24. "Development of Transverse Modes Damped DLA Structure", C. Jing, et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1086, 433 (2009), eds. C.B Schroeder, W. Leemans and E. Esarey.
25. C. Jing, A. Kanareykin, J. G. Power, M. Conde, Z. Yusof, P. Schoessow, and W. Gai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 144801 (2007).

Omega-P, Inc.
Final Report on DoE Phase II SBIR Grant DE-FG02-06 ER84473
TWO-CHANNEL DIELECTRIC WAKE FIELD ACCELERATOR

26. “Upgrade of the Argonne Wakefield Accelerator Facility (AWA) and Commissioning of a New RF Gun for Drive Beam Generation”, M.E. Conde, D.S. Doran, W. Gai, R. Konecny, W. Liu, J.G. Power, Z.M. Yusof, S.P. Antipov, C.-J. Jing, E.E. Wisniewski, Proc. of 2011 Particle Accelerator Conf. New York, NY, USA, March 28-April 1, 2011, p. 115, eds. T. Satotaga and K. Brown (BNL).
27. “Argonne Wakefield Accelerator Facility (AWA) Upgrades and Future Goals”, M. Conde, link:
<https://twindico.hep.anl.gov/indico/getFile.py/access?contribId=29&resId=0&materialId=slices&confId=428#256,1,AWA Facility Upgrade>
28. C. Wang, J.L. Hirshfield, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams. **9**, 031301(2006).
29. “A five-zone two-channel dielectric wakefield structure for two beam acceleration experiments at Argonne national laboratory”, Problems of Atomic Science and Technology, Series: Nuclear Physics Investigations, No.3(49), p. 148-152(2007).
30. RF and Space Charge Effects in LASER-Driven Electron Guns”, by Kwang-Je Kim, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, A275, pp. 201-218, (1989).
31. X. J. Wang, X. Qiu, and I. Ben-Zvi, Phys. Rev. E 54, R3121-R3124 (1996).
32. X.J. Wang, M. Babzien, K. Batchelor, I. Ben-Zvi, R. Malone, I. Pogorelsky, X. Qiu, J. Sheehan, J. Skaritka, and T. Srinivasan-Rao, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A375, (1996), 82-86.