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Abstract

This report summarizes the findings of a five-month LDRD project funded through Sandia’s NTM Investment Area. The project was aimed at providing the foundation for the development of advanced functional materials through the application of ultrathin coatings of microporous or mesoporous materials onto the surface of substrates such as silicon wafers.

Prior art teaches that layers of microporous materials such as zeolites may be applied as, e.g., sensor platforms or gas separation membranes. These layers, however, are typically several microns to several hundred microns thick. For many potential applications, vast improvements in the response of a device could be realized if the thickness of the porous layer were reduced to tens of nanometers. However, a basic understanding of how to synthesize or fabricate such ultra-thin layers is lacking.

This report describes traditional and novel approaches to the growth of layers of microporous materials on silicon wafers. The novel approaches include reduction of the quantity of nutrients available to grow the zeolite layer through minimization of solution volume, and reaction of organic base (template) with thermally-oxidized silicon wafers under a steam atmosphere to generate ultra-thin layers of zeolite MFI.
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NOMENCLATURE

MFI Acronym for zeolite structure type “Mobil Five”; also known as ZSM-5, or Silicalite-I when the framework is comprised of silica only
SEM Scanning electron microscope
TEOS Tetraethyl orthosilicate, the source of silica used in the majority of the syntheses described herein
TPAOH Tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide, the organic base used to mineralize the silica and template the MFI structure
XRD X-ray diffraction
1. INTRODUCTION

Microporous materials such as zeolites, templated silicas and metal-organic framework materials are well known for their gas and liquid sorptive, catalytic, molecular sieving, ion exchange properties, etc. In a few cases, layers of such materials have been applied, for example, as sensor platforms or gas separation membranes; these layers are typically of thickness from microns up to several tens of microns. At such thicknesses, the rate of diffusion of molecular, ionic, and atomic species through the layers is restricted by the path-length for diffusion. For many potential applications, vast improvements in the response of a device (e.g., sensor, separation membrane, etc.) could be realized if the thickness of the porous layer were reduced to the range of tens of nanometers. For such applications, adsorption capacity is not important; rather the control of molecular (atomic, ionic) access through the pore opening defines the response or efficiency of the device. However, a basic understanding of how to synthesize or fabricate such ultra-thin layers is lacking.

The mid 1990’s saw the first examples of the preparation of layers of microporous materials (zeolites) on substrates such as glass, silicon wafers, metal, Teflon, etc. Some particularly elegant work from Delft University demonstrated how zeolite MFI layers could be grown onto Si wafers with very uniform orientation of pores normal to the wafer surface.\(^1\) This led to the development of zeolite membranes for gas separation. In all cases, however, the zeolite layers were thick; of the order of microns to 100s of microns. Sandia has demonstrated previously the growth of single crystal-layers of zeolite MFI on alumina substrates;\(^2\) herein attempts to reduce the layer thickness to the sub-micron range on silicon wafers are described. Only recently was it reported that ultra-thin layers of microporous materials could be deposited onto a substrate, although this was achieved by a combination of using a novel templating agent during synthesis to produce a colloidal suspension of ultra-thin zeolite crystals, followed by complicated post-synthetic processing to lay these crystals down on a surface.\(^3\)

One concern with state-of-the-art, thick, microporous layers is their limited resiliency. For example, they have low resistance to mechanical deformation due to their rigidity, and thermally-induced degradation due to mis-match of expansion coefficients between layer and substrate. With ultra-thin layers, the substrate-layer interface becomes strong relative to the intra-layer cohesion forces, allowing the layer to flex and be resilient to deformation and thermal shock. An additional ramification of the availability of such thin layers is the possibility of generating asymmetric, or chiral, coatings through intentional deformation of the substrate during or after layer growth. This will enable a new family of sensor and separations devices.

The goal of this work was to develop synthetic strategies to enable the preparation of ultra-thin layers of microporous and mesoporous materials on substrates compatible with the fabrication of advanced functional devices. In some cases (e.g., molecular recognition and separations), specific orientation of the pores of the layer relative to the substrate are required.

The approaches used included hydrothermal crystal growth directly onto the substrate, and growth onto/into thermally-oxidized silicon wafers where the only silicon source was the wafer. This work focused on using silicon wafers as substrates, as these are of high significance for micro-fab operations.
The layer/substrate composites were characterized by X-ray diffraction (layer orientation & qualitative measure of thickness) and scanning electron microscopy (surface topography and layer thickness).
2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Synthesis of zeolite layers by traditional hydrothermal methods

Several recipes were investigated for the synthesis of thin layers of zeolites on silicon wafers, starting from some reported in the literature. A full list of recipes is given in Table 1. The general procedure for synthesizing a zeolite layer on a silicon wafer was as follows.

For the preparation of an all-silica zeolite MFI layer (Silicalite-I), the required amount of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Fisher, reagent grade) was mixed with deionized water in a plastic bottle, and then tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide (TPAOH, 40 wt.-% solution in water, Alfa Aesar) was added. The bottle was then sealed and placed on a wrist-action shaker for a minimum of two hours to allow the TEOS to hydrolyze in the alkaline solution.

Once the TEOS-TPAOH-H$_2$O solution had hydrolyzed (hereafter referred to as synthesis solution), approximately 10 to 11g of it was poured into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel digestion bomb (Parr Instruments, 23 ml volume). The substrates to be coated with the zeolite layer, i.e., silicon wafers, were then immersed in the synthesis solution, and positioned in a near-vertical orientation such that the polished surface made an angle of less than 90° with the floor of the vessel. This was to ensure that material would not deposit on the polished surface through sedimentation. A schematic representation of the reactor setup is given in Figure 1. The digestion bombs were then sealed and placed in a forced-air convection oven at a prescribed temperature, and for a prescribed time, as detailed in Table 1.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of a reactor used to grow zeolite layers on silicon wafers by the conventional immersion method using excess reactant solution volume.](image)

Once the digestion bombs had
cooled to room temperature, they were opened and the wafers were removed using tweezers, rinsed with deionized water, and allowed to dry in ambient air. In some cases, the powder product which formed in solution and sedimented to the floor of the vessel was also harvested by filtration, washing with deionized water, and drying in air at 80 °C. The silicon wafers were approximately 20 mm x 10 mm x 0.5 mm, and were cleaned prior to zeolite layer growth according to several different protocols, as outlined in Table 2.

2.2. Synthesis of zeolite layers by novel methods

Two non-traditional approaches were attempted for the controlled growth of thin layers of zeolite on silicon wafers. Details of these experiments are given below.

2.2.1. Volume-minimization

The silicon wafers were oriented horizontally in the Teflon-lined stainless-steel digestion bombs, with their polished surfaces facing up, and varying quantities of synthesis solution were poured on top of the wafers. The wafers were either positioned on the floor of the Teflon liner, submerged in synthesis solution, or on coarse-mesh stainless steel gauze which sat on top of a Teflon cup containing a small volume of liquid, as depicted in Figure 2. In the latter case, small quantities of synthesis solution were placed on the surface of the wafer by pipette, taking care to avoid overflow. The digestion bombs were then sealed and placed in a forced-air convection oven at a prescribed temperature, and for a prescribed time. Wafers were harvested, washed, and dried as described in Section 2.1.

2.2.2. Layer growth by partial conversion of silicon wafer

Since the principal component of the zeolite structure is silicon (as (SiO₄)⁴⁻ units), a novel way to prepare a zeolite layer on silicon is via partial conversion of the silicon wafer into zeolite. Silicon wafers for these experiments were thermally oxidized in static air for various periods of time at 1100 °C in order to develop a coating of SiO₂ on top of the Si; the conditions and calculated oxide thicknesses⁴ from these treatments are given in Table 3. The wafers were then exposed to TPAOH and H₂O in Teflon-lined stainless-steel digestion bombs. In some experiments, the wafers were laid horizontally on a platform, polished side up, TPAOH and H₂O were deposited on the surface of the wafer by pipette, and deionized water was added to the liner at a level below that of the wafer (e.g., Figure 2). In others, the wafers were immersed in a solution of TPAOH, and positioned in a near-vertical orientation such that the polished surface made an angle of less than 90° with the floor of the vessel, as in Figure 1. The digestion bombs were then sealed and placed in a forced-air convection oven at a prescribed temperature, and for a prescribed time, as detailed in Table 4. Wafers and powders were harvested, washed, and dried as described in Section 2.1.
2.3. Characterization of zeolite layers

X-ray diffraction measurements were performed on a PANalytical X’Pert Pro diffractometer equipped with an X’Celerator multi-position detector, and using Cu Kα radiation. Zeolite-coated silicon wafers were mounted on modeling clay in an aluminum holder, and their heights were adjusted to the appropriate position for diffraction.

A Zeiss Supra 55VP field emission gun scanning electron microscope was used to image the samples. Zeolite-coated silicon wafers were attached to the microscope stage using carbon tape, and they were imaged without application of a conductive coating. Images were acquired at 5kV using the secondary electron detector.
Table 1. Recipes for zeolite layer synthesis. Reagents given in mole ratios (normalized to 10 moles of TEOS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipe</th>
<th>TEOS</th>
<th>TPAOH</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>Temp. (°C); time (mins)</th>
<th>Recipe</th>
<th>TEOS</th>
<th>TPAOH</th>
<th>H₂O</th>
<th>Temp. (°C); time (mins)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-54A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-54B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-54C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-55B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-57A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1122</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-57B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-57C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-61A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>165; 1110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>155; 360</td>
<td>1-65A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>155; 360</td>
<td>1-65B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>155; 360</td>
<td>1-65C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5E</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>155; 360</td>
<td>1-65D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>155; 360</td>
<td>1-66A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>165; 150</td>
<td>1-66B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>165; 30</td>
<td>1-66C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-8B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1246</td>
<td>165; 60</td>
<td>1-67A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-15A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-67B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-15B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>1234</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-68A</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>155; 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-22A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-68B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>155; 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-22B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-69A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-23B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-69B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-28A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-70A</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>165; 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-28B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-70B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>165; 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-28C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-73B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>155; 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-28D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td>1-79A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>150; 1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-47A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>155; 600</td>
<td>1-79B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>150; 1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-52B</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td>165; 180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Recipes 1-68A and 1-70A used a mixture of 3 parts TEOS with 1 part colloidal silica (Ludox AS-30) as the silica source. The amount of colloidal silica used is included in the “10 moles” of TEOS.
## Table 2. Silicon wafer cleaning protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Solution composition</th>
<th>Drying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A      | 1) Acetone; 15 mins sonication  
2) Toluene; 15 mins sonication | Ambient air |
| B      | 1) 70 vol.% H₂SO₄ + 30 vol.% H₂O₂; 30 mins at 90 °C  
2) H₂O rinse | Ambient air |
| C      | 1) 10 vol.% HF; 0.5 mins, 25 °C  
2) H₂O rinse | Ambient air |
| D      | 1) Xylene reflux; 30 mins  
2) 5-part H₂O + 1-part H₂O₂ + 1-part NH₄OH; 15 mins at 80 °C  
3) 6-part H₂O + 1-part H₂O₂ + 1-part HCl; 15 mins at 80 °C  
4) H₂O; 10 mins sonication | Wafers transferred into loaded reactors immediately after cleaning, still wet |
| E      | 1) Acetone; 15 mins sonication  
2) Toluene; 15 mins sonication  
3) Air dry  
4) H₂O₂; 15 mins sonication  
5) H₂O rinse | Ambient air |

## Table 3. Silicon wafer thermal oxidation protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Oxidation time @ 1100 C / hrs</th>
<th>Estimated oxide thickness / nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Conditions used for synthesis of zeolite layers by novel methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Wafer oxidation (cf. Table 3)</th>
<th>Reactor configuration</th>
<th>TEOS / mole-%</th>
<th>TPAOH / mole-%</th>
<th>Solution added* / g</th>
<th>Temp. / °C</th>
<th>Time / hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-81A2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-83I</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-83M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-83N</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.572</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-85C</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-85F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-89A</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The “solution added” is only that which was in direct contact with the wafer. For reactor configuration B, therefore, this amount does not include the liquid which was added to the cup below the level of the wafer.
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Synthesis of zeolite layers by traditional hydrothermal methods

Only a small fraction (a few %) of the zeolite formed during synthesis formed a layer on the silicon wafer; the majority of the zeolite mass formed “homogeneously” in solution and was recovered as a powder on the floor of the vessel after synthesis. Figure 3 shows the XRD pattern of a powder sample harvested after synthesis using recipe 1-3 (Table 1). The XRD pattern compares well with literature examples, and shows that the product is phase-pure all-silica zeolite MFI (also called Silicalite-I).

![Figure 3. Typical XRD pattern of a powder sample collected from a Teflon-lined reactor after growth of zeolite layers on silicon wafers.](image)

XRD was the principal characterization technique employed, and provided valuable insight into the orientation of the zeolite crystals in the layers grown on silicon wafers. In some cases, little-to-no orientation effects were seen (i.e., the XRD patterns of a layer looked similar to that of the powder), whereas in most cases some degree of preferred orientation of the crystals relative to the wafer surface was observed as discussed below. Figure 4 shows a representation of a crystal of zeolite MFI with the morphology that was typically observed in this work; the crystal axes are indicated, and are referred to below when discussing orientation. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out to investigate the topology of selected zeolite layers, and the morphology of the crystals comprising the layers.

Synthesis using recipe 1-66C (Table 1) with a wafer cleaned using method A (Table 2) resulted in a layer with preferential crystal orientation such that the straight pores of the zeolite, parallel to the crystal’s b-axis, lay perpendicular to the substrate surface. This preferred orientation is illustrated by the XRD pattern in Figure 5. Apart from a relatively minor intensity for multiple lattice orientations between 23 and 24°2θ, only 0 k 0 diffraction peaks were observed. Note that
the 23-24 °2θ range has the greatest intensity in the powder material shown in Figure 3, and the relative intensity of the 0 k 0 peaks compared to the 23-24 °2θ peaks was used in this work as a qualitative indicator of degree of orientation of the layer. In addition to the peaks for the zeolite, some diffraction peaks for the silicon wafer were seen in all XRD patterns, and are indicated by the * in Figure 5.

The SEM image in Figure 5 shows that the majority of the crystallites are oriented with their largest area facet (the a-c plane; see Figure 4) parallel to the substrate surface, in agreement with the XRD data. However the SEM image also shows that there are multiple layers of crystallites, and a significant fraction of them are either twinned or oriented differently than the majority (hence the diffraction intensity between 23 and 24 °2θ). Note that the crystallites are quite small, ~ 300-400 nm in length, and have well-defined crystal facets.

Figure 4. Representation of a crystal of zeolite MFI. Straight pores run parallel to the b-axis (shortest crystal dimension), while sinusoidal pores run along the a- and c-axes. The dashed lines indicate the angular c-axis termination observed in some of the syntheses described below.
Figure 5. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-66E, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer. The principal 0 k 0 peaks indicative of an aligned layer are labeled in the XRD pattern. In addition, the multiple h k l cluster of overlapping peaks is indicated, as are the peaks due to the silicon substrate (*).

Using recipe 1-54C (Table 1) and wafer cleaning method D (Table 2), a thick, multi-layer coating was grown on the silicon wafer. This recipe uses a similar ratio of TEOS to TPAOH, but almost twice the amount of water compared to recipe 1-66C (above). Once again, the 0 k 0
peaks dominated the XRD pattern (Figure 6), but a small 2 0 0 peak was also observed at ~ 8 °2θ, in addition to the multiple h k l signature at 23-24 °2θ. The peaks from the silicon wafer were less intense in this sample, due to the relative thickness of the zeolite coating. In this sample the crystallites were an order of magnitude larger than those in Figure 5, and their morphology was slightly different: the c-axis termination was rounded instead of angular.

Figure 6. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-57E, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer. For XRD peak assignments, refer to Figure 5.
A more uniform zeolite layer was obtained when using recipe 1-6B from Table 1 with a wafer cleaned using method A (Table 2); this recipe is even further diluted compared to the two previously-discussed samples. The XRD pattern showed strong 0 k 0 peaks, while the multiple h k l signature at 23-24 °2θ was almost absent; see Figure 7. The peaks from the silicon wafer were somewhat intense in this sample, due to some inter-crystalline gaps in the layer as seen in the SEM image. In some other areas of the wafer, however, SEM revealed a thicker coating with multiple layers of crystallites, as seen in earlier samples (not shown). In this sample the crystallites were approximately 2 – 2.5 µm in length, and the c-axis termination was rounded.
again. Although the majority of the crystallites were oriented with their a-c planes parallel to the silicon wafer, there were a number crystals (or twins) with their b-c planes parallel to the surface. Some of these latter crystals are twinned with the underlying a-c plane-oriented ones. Using the b-axis dimension of the observed twinned crystals as a guide, and assuming the layer is one crystal thick (in the image shown in Figure 7), the layer thickness is estimated to be approximately 900 nm.

Figure 8. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-68A, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer. For XRD peak assignments, refer to Figure 5.
A similar layer was attained upon increasing the TPAOH concentration slightly (recipe 1-68A in Table 1) as shown in Figure 8, except that the crystallites were approximately 50% of the size of those above. The silicon wafer was cleaned using method E in Table 2 prior to growing the layer. In this particular recipe, approximately ¼ of the TEOS was replaced by a colloidal silica solution, and this probably had an effect on the growth of the zeolite layer. This layer is estimated to be 350 nm thick based on the observed b-c planes of the twinned crystals.

Keeping the TPAOH-to-H₂O ratio approximately constant while increasing the silica concentration in the synthesis solution by ~33% for recipe 1-70A produced a layer that was similar to that shown above. Again, about ¼ of the silica came from the colloidal silica source, and the rest came from TEOS, while the wafer was cleaned before synthesis using method E (Table 2). The XRD pattern showed only 0 k 0 diffraction peaks, while the SEM image shows that the layer consists of tightly-intergrown b-oriented crystallites with only a few degrees of mismatch between the angles of the a-c planes of adjacent crystallites, while some twinning is observed (a-axis sticking up); see Figure 9. This layer is estimated to be about 500 nm thick, as inferred from measuring the observed b-axis lengths of twinned crystals.
Figure 9. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-70A, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer. For XRD peak assignments, refer to Figure 5.
Rationalizing the above observations with the recipes in Table 1, one can conclude that one or more of three factors could result in a better-oriented zeolite layer. One factor is solution concentration, another is silica : TPAOH molar ratio, and the third is substitution of a portion of the TEOS with colloidal silica. In the earlier samples (Figure 5 - Figure 7), the solution concentration and silica : TPAOH ratio were relatively high, while the “better” layers in Figure 8 and Figure 9 were produced from solutions of lower concentration, and lower silica : TPAOH ratio. In order to understand whether one of these two factors is more influential on layer orientation than the other, layers were prepared using solutions of either high concentration and low silica : TPAOH ratio (recipe 1-67A and wafer-cleaning method A, Figure 10), or intermediate concentration and high silica : TPAOH ratio (recipe 1-70B and wafer-cleaning method E, Figure 11). Neither of these recipes used substitution of colloidal silica for TEOS. Synthesis using a high solution concentration and low silica : TPAOH ratio produced a layer with many twinned crystals and relatively poor orientation (Figure 10; note the multiple h k l cluster at ~ 23 °2θ in the XRD pattern). The solution with higher silica : TPAOH ratio and intermediate concentration produced a better oriented layer (no multiple h k l peak in the XRD pattern in Figure 11), although crystal twinning was still evident, and the start of a second layer of crystals was apparent in certain regions of the wafer.

To address the effect of substituting colloidal silica for a portion of the TEOS in a synthesis solution, a systematic study was carried out where the TEOS : colloidal silica mole ratio was set at 3:1, 1:1, 1:3, and 0:4, keeping the TPAOH concentration constant. It was found that a zeolite layer was only obtained at the lowest colloidal silica content of those investigated. At 1:1 TEOS : colloidal silica, layer growth was suppressed completely, while at higher colloidal silica contents, amorphous material coated the wafers after synthesis. Hydrothermal treatment was at 165 °C for 130 minutes in each case.

From this crude comparison, it appears that the solution concentration is the more dominant factor in determining layer orientation; a lower concentration leads to a better-oriented layer. Substituting colloidal silica for a fraction of the TEOS may be beneficial at low substitution levels, but this effect needs to be investigated in more detail.
Figure 10. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-67A, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer. For XRD peak assignments, refer to Figure 5.
3.1.1. Effect of wafer cleaning method

A comparison was made of layers grown from the same recipe (1-1) on wafers cleaned according to the various methods outlined in Table 2. No significant differences could be discerned between the various layers obtained, indicating that, for this particular recipe, wafer pre-
treatment was not critical, and/or that the wafers were stored and handled such that they remained in a clean state, and thus the various cleaning methods had little effect on the wafer surface.

3.2. Synthesis of zeolite layers by novel methods

A summary of the solution compositions and synthesis conditions used in these novel approaches to growing zeolite layers on silicon wafers is given in Table 4.

3.2.1. Volume-minimization

Initial experiments involved recipe 1-1 (Table 1) with the silicon wafer positioned horizontally on the floor of the reactor, and various quantities of solution were added (2.5 – 5.0g). The layers produced appeared similar to that shown in Figure 6. Subsequent experiments were aimed at reducing the layer thickness by reducing the available nutrients for growth even further. To achieve this, the wafers were supported in a horizontal orientation above the liquid layer in the reactor, polished surface upwards, and small quantities of synthesis solution (approximately 0.15 – 0.20g of recipe 1-1) were placed on top of the wafers by pipette (see Figure 2). The liquid in the bottom of the reactor was either water, or more of the synthesis solution, and served principally as a humidity regulator to reduce evaporation of the liquid from surface of the silicon wafer during hydrothermal treatment.

In some cases where the amount of solution added to the wafer was small, layers were obtained by this method which showed XRD patterns similar to a powder (i.e., similar to Figure 3) with randomly-oriented crystallites. In other cases, where the amount of solution added to the wafer surface was larger, preferred crystal orientation was noticed, however the orientation was different from that obtained using the traditional hydrothermal synthesis method (Section 3.1). The XRD pattern in Figure 12 (sample 1-81A2) is an example of such a layer, while the SEM image shows a relatively thick, featureless layer as well as the underlying silicon wafer which shows some evidence of etching. Crystal facets could not be observed in the SEM images of this sample, suggesting that the growth mechanism was significantly different than that observed earlier. It is also possible that the thick layer seen in the SEM image is only partially comprised of zeolite crystallites, with an amorphous material binding it together into a layer. This may be the reason for the relatively high background in the XRD pattern. Due to the low intensity and broadness of the XRD peaks, definitive assignment to specific h k l’s is not possible for the majority of peaks, however the first few diffraction peaks (at the low angle end) are likely from the 2 0 1 (at 11 °2θ), 1 0 3 (at 20 °2θ), and 5 0 1 (at 23 °2θ) planes of the MFI lattice. This would imply that the c-axes of the crystallites were approximately perpendicular to the surface of the substrate; however, further characterization is necessary to confirm this supposition.

Additional investigation into the volume minimization approach, this time with serial dilutions of recipe 1-1, showed a transition from random orientation (undiluted recipe 1-1) to highly oriented with the b-axis perpendicular to the silicon wafer support (recipe 1-1 diluted 5-fold with water). The XRD pattern in Figure 13 shows the high degree of crystal orientation achieved in sample 1-89A; only 0 k 0 diffraction peaks were seen, and the multiple h k l cluster around 23 °2θ was absent. SEM images have not yet been recorded for this sample.
Figure 12. XRD pattern (upper) and SEM image (lower) of sample 1-81A2, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer.
3.2.2. Layer growth by partial conversion of silicon wafer

In these experiments, the synthesis solution contained only TPAOH and H₂O; the silicon wafer itself was used as the silica source. Experiments utilized wafers which had been thermally oxidized for between 0 and 60 hours, as outlined in Table 3.

3.2.2.1. Wafers horizontal with small reactant pool

In general, this approach yielded layers of MFI zeolite crystals with random orientation, and often with spatial inhomogeneity, due presumably to localized variations in TPAOH concentration and droplet formation during hydrothermal treatment. The XRD patterns of these samples all resembled that of the powder sample shown in Figure 3.

A few representative SEM images are given below. The image in Figure 14 shows a densely-populated area of a wafer thermally oxidized by method B (Table 3) which had been loaded with 0.125g of a solution of 40wt.-% TPAOH in H₂O. The crystallites are approximately 40 µm in c-axis length, i.e., much larger than those usually seen, and are noticeably rounded in their c-axis termination. Deposition of 0.123g of a solution of 5wt.-% TPAOH in H₂O onto an un-oxidized silicon wafer resulted in crystallites with angular c-axis terminations, as seen in Figure 15. By applying 0.119g of 10wt.-% TPAOH in H₂O to an un-oxidized silicon wafer, a somewhat different crystal morphology was obtained (Figure 16). The disc-like crystallites have barely-perceptible a- and c-axes, being almost circular, and the crystallites are randomly oriented with respect to the substrate. The XRD pattern confirms that these crystallites are MFI-type zeolite. What is most intriguing about this sample is that in certain areas there exist raft-like growths on the silicon wafer (e.g., to the right of center in the upper image). A large number of these rafts are shown in the lower image in Figure 16; the majority of them appear to be oriented either parallel, or at 90° to each other, suggesting some degree of epitaxy in the growth mechanism, where the crystal orientation is aligned with the underlying crystalline silicon lattice. In most
cases, the outline of the raft resembles that of an MFI crystallite with its b-axis perpendicular to the silicon wafer.

Figure 14. SEM image of sample 1-83N, zeolite MFI layer on a thermally-oxidized silicon wafer.

Figure 15. SEM image of sample 1-83M, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer.
3.2.2.2. Wafers immersed in a large reactant pool
Somewhat better control over the homogeneity of reactant concentration across the wafer surface was achieved by using a larger reactant pool. For these experiments, a reactor set up similar to that shown in Figure 1 was used.
In general, a greater degree of preferred orientation was achieved using the immersion technique than was achieved when depositing reactants directly onto the surface (previous section). For example, the XRD patterns in Figure 17 and Figure 18 show one, and two distinct crystal orientations within the sample, respectively. In Figure 17 the crystallites are mostly oriented with their c-axes approximately perpendicular to the substrate (similar to that seen in Figure 12),

Figure 16. SEM images of sample 1-83I, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer.
while in Figure 18 there appear to be crystals which are oriented either with their b-axis, or with their c-axis perpendicular to the substrate. The wafer in Figure 17 was thermally oxidized by method A (Table 3) prior to zeolite growth and the layer was prepared using an excess of a solution of 1.3 wt.-% TPAOH in H₂O. The wafer in Figure 18 was thermally oxidized by method B, and immersed in excess solution of 2.6 wt.-% TPAOH in H₂O. Both layers were grown at 165 °C for 6 hours.

It was found that zeolites would grow on the silicon using this technique at TPAOH concentrations up to 5.5 wt.-%, complete dissolution of the silicon wafer occurred upon hydrothermal treatment in a 13.7 wt.-% TPAOH solution.

Imaging of samples prepared through this route using SEM showed that the coverage of the silicon wafers was sparse in most cases, as suggested by the relatively low intensity of the XRD peaks.

Although this approach provides promise in aligning the crystallites, more work is required to improve coverage of the wafer.

![XRD pattern of sample 1-85F, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer.](image)

Figure 17. XRD pattern of sample 1-85F, zeolite MFI layer on silicon wafer.
4. CONCLUSIONS

Conventional hydrothermal synthesis methods enable the growth of highly oriented zeolite crystalline layers on silicon wafers. By modifying synthesis recipes and hydrothermal treatment conditions, layer thickness was reduced to several hundred nanometers while maintaining (and possibly even improving) the degree of orientation of the crystals within the layer such that their straight pores are aligned perpendicular to the silicon wafer surface. While higher solution concentrations favor the formation of smaller crystallites, lower solution concentrations facilitate better overall crystal orientation.

Minimization of the synthesis solution volume by placing the solution onto the surface of a horizontal wafer has some potential as a novel method to prepare thin zeolite layers. The dilution of a standard recipe 5-fold produced a very well oriented layer with the straight pores perpendicular to the silicon surface.

Partial conversion of the silicon wafer into zeolite also has some potential, although as yet, good control has not been achieved over crystallite orientation. Some orientation effects were seen, but only a relatively low wafer-coverage.
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