LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Demonstration of a 13 keV Kr K-shell X-Ray Source at the National Ignition Facility

K. B. Fournier, M. J. May, J. D. Colvin, M. A.
Barrios, J. R. Patterson, S. P. Regan

June 4, 2013

Physical Review E

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Demonstration of a 13 keV Kr K-shell X-Ray Source at the National Ignition Facility

K. B. Fournier¹, M. J. May¹, J. D. Colvin¹, M. A. Barrios¹, J. R. Patterson¹, S. P. Regan²

¹ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-481, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

² Laboratory for Laser Energetics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14623, USA

(Dated: June 2, 2013)

We report 3% conversion efficiency of laser energy into Kr K-shell (≈ 13 keV) radiation, consistent with theoretical predictions. This is $\approx 10\times$ greater than previous work. The emission was produced from a 4.1 mm diameter, 4 mm tall gas pipe target filled with 1.2 or 1.5 atm of Kr gas. 160 of the NIF laser beams deposited ≈ 700 kJ of 3ω light into the target in a ≈ 140 TW, 5.0 ns duration square pulse. The Dante diagnostics measured ≈ 5 TW into 4π solid angle of ≥ 12 keV x rays for ≈ 4 ns, which includes both continuum emission and flux in the Kr He $_{\alpha}$ line at 13 keV.

PACS numbers: 52.50.Jm, 52.25.Os, 52.70.La

High yield x-ray sources are required for large fluence-area-product exposures with a high degree of uniformity across the face of a test article. Increasing efficiency allows higher yields from targets for a fixed laser energy. Higher yields are necessary to get uniform irradiation with sufficient dose over macroscopic test objects at distances of tens of centimeters.

There have been many efforts in the last decade to create underdense, laser-driven plasma radiation sources. An effort to make targets that are solid at room temperature, but with densities in the range of those from gas targets has led to much research into metal-doped silica aerogel targets [1–4] and other metallic-oxide nanostructured materials [5]. Similarly, ultra-low-density, elementally pure plasma radiation sources have been formed by pre-exploding thin metallic foils with a laser prepulse before delivering the main pulse [6, 7]. Metal lined cavities have used geometric confinement of the blow-off plasma to allow enhanced laser heating of target materials [4, 8]. Calculations have shown that the multi-keV emissivity of highly charged mid- and high-Z ions in these plasmas is a strong function of temperature, with a different optimum temperature in different spectral bands [9].

Lasers propagate through underdense targets, where underdense means the target plasma's electron density is less than 25% of the laser's critical density for Raman scattering [10], $n_e \leq 0.25n_{cr}$. The laser's critical density n_{cr} is given by $1.1 \times 10^{21} / \lambda^2$ where λ is the laser's wavelength in microns; $n_{cr} \approx 9 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for NIF's $0.351 \mu\text{m}$ laser beams. At pressures around 1 atm, gas targets produce plasmas below $0.25n_{cr}$, thus, nearly all the laser's energy is absorbed in the gas without losses due to ablation or hydrodynamic motion of solid material [11, 12]. In addition, gas targets can be chemically pure, maximizing the number of atoms or ions of interest for the desired x-ray emitter. We report in this Letter demonstration of an order-of-magnitude enhancement of laser-to-x-ray conversion efficiency (CE) at 13 keV from Kr gas-filled targets and a record for both the flux and total energy emitted by a 13 keV source.

We have recently demonstrated [13] a 30% enhance-

ment of the laser-to-x-ray CE for ≈ 4.5 keV x rays from Xe targets at $0.1n_{cr}$ driven by 70 TW of laser power at the National Ignition Facility (NIF) [14]. The NIF experiments used more than $7\times$ the laser energy and $\approx 4\times$ the laser power available in previous Xe experiments [11, 12] at older lasers. The result was more optimal conditions for Xe L-shell x-ray production in the NIF experiments [15]. There have been a few previous experiments [16–18] to measure Kr K-shell (13 keV) radiation at the OMEGA laser [19]. Some of us were involved in campaigns in 2001 and 2002 at the OMEGA laser that measured Kr K-shell yield from targets driven with ≈ 20 TW of laser power; laser-to-x-ray CE's of 0.2 - 0.5% into x rays with energies ≥ 10 keV were measured for targets filled to 1.5 and 1.2 atm, respectively [18]. In 2006, OMEGA experiments measured an electron temperature of ≈ 3 keV at the center of Kr gas-bag plasmas [20]. The present experiments used $\approx 25\times$ more laser energy than previous experiments and have created near-optimal conditions for Kr K-shell x-ray emission. To the best of our knowledge, this Letter is the first published study of the absolute yield of Kr K-shell radiation from a laser-created plasma radiation source.

The targets for experiments at NIF were thin-walled ($40 \mu\text{m}$), 4-mm-long, 4.1-mm-inner-diameter, epoxy ($\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{51}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7$, $\rho = 1.185 \text{ g/cm}^3$) pipes designed to transmit x rays with energies > 3 keV. Pictures of identical targets are shown in Fig. 1 of Ref. [13]. The targets were filled with 1.2 or 1.5 atm of Kr gas, which was chosen to create a plasma with an electron density ≈ 0.1 or $0.13n_{cr}$, respectively, assuming an ionization state of Kr^{34+} . The density in the target plasma is computed as $n_{\text{Kr}} = (N_{\text{Kr}}/V) = \frac{P}{RT}$, where n_{Kr} is the molar density of Kr atoms, N_{Kr} is the number of mols of Kr atoms in the target gas fill, V is the target volume, P is the target pressure, R is the ideal gas constant, and T is the pre-shot temperature of the target gas in Kelvin. The electron density is then found by $n_e = N_{Av} \langle Z \rangle n_{\text{Kr}}$, where $\langle Z \rangle$ represents the average ion charge, and N_{Av} is Avogadro's number. The gas-pipe targets had fill pressures that were measured to be within 1% of the

Parameter	N120628-002	N120805-001
Kr Gas Pressure (atm)	1.2	1.5
Measured Laser Power (TW)	136.5	142.9
Measured Laser Energy (kJ)	683.5	708.3
Backscatter (%)	12.3 ± 2.21	7.6 ± 1.37
L-shell results		
Dante-1 Yield (kJ/sr) ^a	3.6 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.2
Dante-2 Yield (kJ/sr) ^a	4.5 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.2
Dante-1 CE (%)	6.6	10.2
Simulation CE (%) ^a	15.9	19.0
K-shell results		
Dante-1 Yield (kJ/sr) ^b	1.5 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1
Dante-2 Yield (kJ/sr) ^b	1.6 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1
Dante-1 CE (%)	2.8	2.9
Simulation CE (%) ^c	2.0	2.1
SS II CE in He _α (%) ^d	2.5 ± 1.2	2.9 ± 1.4

^a energy in band 1.5 - 3.5 keV ^b energy in band 8 - 20 keV ^c energy in band 9 - 34 keV ^d energy in band 12.5 - 13.2 keV

TABLE I: Table of measured laser energy, power and optical backscatter, as well as resulting x-ray yields for the two shots in this campaign.

requested pressure at shot time.

These experiments used 160 of NIF's 192 laser beams, divided symmetrically between top and bottom. The beams were oriented in three cones at 30, 44.5 and 50° with respect to the target's vertical axis. The outer beam cones (44 and 50°) were pointed 500 μm inside the gas pipe, the inner cone beams were pointed 800 μm outside the gas pipe and diverged into the target's fill gas. For these two shots, the laser delivered ≈700 kJ of 351 nm (3ω) light in a 5 ns flat-top pulse at a peak power of ≈140 TW. The 3ω intensity profile of all beams was smoothed by the NIF ignition-campaign continuous phase plates (CPPs) [21]. Laser parameters for these experiments, and x-ray and optical measurements of target energy, are reported in Table I.

Figure 1 shows the reconstructed x-ray emission from the target as measured with the NIF Dante-1 diagnostic [22, 23]. The Dante-1 and Dante-2 [22] are 18 channel, filtered-diode arrays that are installed at the NIF laser facility. Typically the Dantes can record emission from 100 eV to 20 keV with spectral resolving power ($E/\Delta E$) of 5 to 10. The Dante-1 and Dante-2 systems have views of the target at 37° and 64°, respectively, from the target's cylindrical axis. Thus Dante-1's measurement of (particularly low energy) flux from the target is dominated by unfiltered emission from the laser entrance hole (LEH) compared to Dante-2's. The Dante signals are reconstructed by algorithms [24, 25] that use the measured voltages, the filter transmission, x-ray diode response, and the solid angle of the view of each channel. The Dante calibrations for the whole-system photometric response of each channel are believed to be accurate to between ± 5–10%. The Kr L-shell flux is seen to be di-

FIG. 1: (color online) Spectral reconstruction of time-integrated x-ray emission from the 1.2 and 1.5 atm Kr targets. The Dante-1 low-energy flux is strongly dominated by unfiltered emission from the target's LEH. Also shown is a simulated spectrum (arbitrary units) from the LASNEX code.

rectionally dependent, sensitive to how much of the gas pipe is included in the detector's view. A simulated spectrum from the LASNEX/DCA model discussed below is also plotted (thin black line) to allow the reader to see overlap of the calculated photon energies of the identified features and the positions of features resulting from the Dante unfold. For example, we define the L-shell band as the spectral region between 1.5 – 3.5 keV based on the simulation; the large bumps in the unfolded data at ≈4.5 and 6.5 keV are artifacts of how the unfold distributes the energy in the continuum emission from the target. The L-shell yield is 5.80 ± 0.22 kJ/ster from the 1.5 atm target, yielding a 10.2% laser-to-x-ray CE.

Time histories of Kr x-ray emission are shown in Fig. 2 for all energies (left) and specifically for the K-shell emission (right). The broadband flux (left panel) displays a ≈40% difference between the Dante-1 and Dante-2 measurements. Most of this difference is in the 4–6 keV range where Dante-2 is missing channel coverage. The broadband peak flux from the 1.5 atm target is ≈50% greater than the yield from the 1.2 atm target, a difference that is somewhat greater than what is expected based on previous results [20]. The broadband flux shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 is seen to extend in time beyond the laser pulse by several nanoseconds. This is a result of the recombination of the Kr ions through the L- and M-shell charge states as the plasma cools.

The K-shell flux is measured specifically with a channel that records emission at >8 keV. The unfold routine was configured to assume all the flux was emitted around the He/H-like K-shell lines. The K-shell flux measurements for the Dante-1 and Dante-2 lines of sight agree to within 5% for each Kr target, indicating the K-shell emission from the source is isotropic. The K-shell yield from

FIG. 2: (color online) Measured x-ray fluxes for (left) energies 0 - 20 keV and (right) K-shell energies 12 - 14 keV for both the 1.2 (blue traces) and 1.5 atm (red traces) Kr targets. The Dante-1 measurements are shown by solid lines, the Dante-2 measurements by dashes.

the 1.5 atm target is 7% greater than the yield from the 1.2 atm target, less than the uncertainty for the measurements (see Table I). This pressure dependence for K-shell emission is consistent with previous observations [18, 20], and predictions by state-of-the-art radiation-hydrodynamics modeling [15]. The K-shell emission decays away shortly after the end of the laser pulse, due to the higher plasma temperatures required to produce K-shell ions. For applications that need x-ray flux in a specific energy range, or that have requirements for isotropic emission, the experimenter must keep in mind the different temporal characteristics of the soft L-shell radiation and the hard K-shell radiation.

In order to quantify the laser energy coupled to the plasma radiation source, laser energy reflected from the target by laser-driven plasmas instabilities (LPI) is measured, as well as the energy carried away by high-energy electrons created by laser-plasma-wave interactions. The hot-electron energy content is negligible and is ignored in the analysis. The conversion efficiency numbers in Table I are *not* adjusted for energy lost by LPI or hot electrons; the CE numbers are given relative to the full laser-system energy delivered to the target. The NIF full-aperture backscatter system (FABS) [26] and near-backscatter imagers (NBIs) [27] measured 12.3% of the incident light reflected for all beams for the 1.2 atm target when summed over stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) and stimulated Raman scattering (SRS) channels [10], and 7.6% for the 1.5 atm target. These numbers represent a beam-weighted average of measurements made on one 50° beam (64 of the 160 beams used) and one 30° beam (32 of the 160 beams used) extrapolated to the full suite of 160 beams. It is assumed losses on the 50° beams (64 of 160) are the same as for the 44.5° beams. Previous observations show that SBS losses decrease for increasing plasma density (pressure), and, correspondingly, SRS losses increase. The current data follow these trends [2, 13, 20].

FIG. 3: (color online) Measured Kr K-shell spectra from NIF shot N120805-001 (blue) and N120628-002 (pink).

Spectroscopic data on Kr K-shell emission were also taken with the SuperSnout II (SS-II) spectrometer [28]. The SS-II is a four-channel, elliptically-bent-crystal spectrometer providing spectral coverage in the 6 to 16 keV x-ray range. This time-integrated, one-dimensional (1-D) imaging spectrometer viewed the x-ray source target from the north pole of the NIF chamber, through the LEH within 2° of the cylindrical symmetry axis. The SS-II combines a slit aperture with a 100-μm width and a pentaerythritol (PET) Bragg crystal to record 1-D spectral images of the target with a spectral resolving power of 300-800 [28]. The accuracy of the SS-II photometric calibration is ±50%. Emission line and continuum data measured from the highest energy SS-II channel are plotted in Fig. 3. The photometric response of the crystals is known from laboratory calibrations [29]. The response of the instrument (crystals, filters and image-plate detector) is used to convert the PSL signals read from the plate (fade corrected) into x-ray yield. The estimated conversion efficiencies for the 1.2 and 1.5 atm shots are listed in Table I.

We expected the Kr K-shell x-ray conversion efficiency to be about 20 times higher for the NIF targets than for equivalent OMEGA-scale targets. We first approximate the output K-shell power density by balancing the collisional excitation rate with the radiative decay rate. Following Back et al. [11], we assume for simplicity that all the K-shell emission comes from ions at the same ionization level, and then including induced emission/absorption in the energy-balance equation [30] we can write

$$P_K \cong (\text{const.}) \frac{n_e^2}{\langle Z \rangle} \frac{\exp(-h\nu/kT_e)}{(kT_e)^{1/2}} \quad (1)$$

where $h\nu$ is the photon energy, and kT_e is the electron temperature. P_K normalized by the peak output K-shell power in the He_α line at $h\nu = 13$ keV as a function of temperature is plotted in Fig. 4a. The peak output power occurs at the temperature where $(\partial P_K)/\partial(kT_e)=0$, that

FIG. 4: (a) K-shell power density for Kr ions versus electron temperature as given by Eq. 1. (b) Maximum electron temperature on the cylindrical target’s axis as given by simulation for NIF and OMEGA-scale targets. Note, the OMEGA pulse is 1 ns long.

is, at $(kT_e)_{max} = 2h\nu = 26$ keV. Since cooling by adiabatic expansion keeps the temperature in the sub-critical density plasma <10 keV [15], these laser-heated plasmas are always at temperatures $< (kT_e)_{max}$ for K-shell emitters with atomic number greater than that of Ti or V. Thus, for the Kr plasmas of the present work, the laser-to-x-ray CE is determined by the steeply rising part of the curve in Fig.4a.

The plasma temperature is estimated from detailed simulations. X-ray emission is determined from a non-LTE super-configuration atomic model, called DCA, that was previously developed and incorporated into the 2D radiation-hydrodynamics code Lasnex [31] by Scott and Hansen [32]. The DCA model solves the rate equations to obtain the populations of each energy level of each ion stage. It is a principal quantum number description that includes 10–20 quantum energy levels per ionization stage. The calculation of line shapes includes Stark, Doppler, and configuration broadening. Electron thermal conduction is treated in the code in the Spitzer-Harm formulation [33] with a flux limiter of 0.2 to account for non-local thermal transport.

Fig. 4b shows the simulated maximum electron temperature on axis (where the hot spot is located) as a function of time for the actual NIF target and for an equivalent Omega-scale target. Note that for the NIF target, the peak electron temperature is between 6 keV and 8 keV for the entire duration of the laser pulse, whereas the peak temperature in the Omega plasma is between

2 keV and 3 keV. Although the power density deposited into the plasma by the laser is about the same for both NIF and Omega — that is, ~ 7 times the power in NIF (140 TW vs 20 TW) is deposited into ~ 8 times the volume (a 4-mm $\times$ 4-mm cylindrical volume vs a 2-mm $\times$ 2-mm cylindrical volume) — the peak temperatures achieved on NIF are ~ 3 times higher than on Omega. Finally, substituting these numbers into equation 1, we find $P_K(\text{NIF})/P_K(\text{Omega}) \approx 18.5$.

This enhancement in the CE is consistent with the simulations, from which we find CE into >9 keV photons of 2.1% for the NIF target and 0.09% for the Omega target. This enhancement is within a factor of 2 of the measurements discussed above: $\approx 2.9\%$ at NIF (Table I), $\approx 0.3\%$ at OMEGA [18]. Note also that the CE enhancement factor provided by NIF increases with the K-shell photon energy, and is thus much higher for Kr than it is for Fe, for example. At the lower K-shell photon energy for Fe the same analysis leads us to expect an approximate factor of three in the enhancement of the CE for the Fe K-shell emission in going from Omega to NIF, which is just what was measured in companion experiments to those reported here, and which will be the subject of a future communication.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by University of California Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48. This work was also supported by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency under the interagency agreements 10027-1420 and 10027-6167.

-
- [1] K. B. Fournier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 165005 (2004).
 - [2] K. B. Fournier *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **16**, 052703 (2009).
 - [3] J. D. Colvin, K. B. Fournier, M. J. May, and H. A. Scott, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 073111 (2010).
 - [4] F. Pérez *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 083101 (2012).
 - [5] M. Tanabe *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **93**, 051505 (2008).
 - [6] F. Girard *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 092705 (2005).
 - [7] D. Babonneau *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **15**, 092702 (2008).
 - [8] F. Girard *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **18**, 079901 (2011); *ibid* **16**, 052704 (2009).
 - [9] H.-K. Chung, K. Fournier, and R. Lee, High Energy Density Physics **2**, 7 (2006).
 - [10] W. L. Kruer, *The Physics of Laser Plasma Interactions* (Westview Press, 2003).
 - [11] C. A. Back *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 275003 (2001).
 - [12] C. A. Back *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **10**, 2047 (2003).
 - [13] K. B. Fournier *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 082701 (2010).
 - [14] C. A. Haynam *et al.*, Applied Optics **46**, 3276 (2007).
 - [15] J. D. Colvin *et al.*, High Energy Density Physics **7**, 263 (2011).
 - [16] B. Yaakobi, F. Marshall, and R. Epstein, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 5848 (1996).
 - [17] J. Seely *et al.*, J. Quant. Spect. & Rad. Transf. **99**, 572 (2006).
 - [18] M. C. Miller *et al.*, “Observation of High Yields for Kr K-

- shell Spectra”, UCRL-JC-148517, presented at the NIF Radiation Science Users Group (NRSUG) meeting, 8 May 2002.
- [19] T. Boehly *et al.*, Optics Communications **133**, 495 (1997).
 - [20] K. B. Fournier *et al.*, J. Quant. Spect. & Rad. Transf. **99**, 186 (2006).
 - [21] P. Wegner *et al.*, in *Optical Engineering At The Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory II: The National Ignition Facility*, Vol. 5341 of *Proceedings Of The SPIE*, edited by Lane, MA and Wuest, CR (SPIE-INT SOC OPTICAL ENGINEERING, 2004), pp. 180–189.
 - [22] E. L. Dewald *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 3759 (2004).
 - [23] K. M. Campbell *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 3768 (2004).
 - [24] A. Seifter and G. A. Kyrala, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **79**, 10F323 (2008).
 - [25] M. J. May *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **83**, 10E117 (2012).
 - [26] D. H. Froula *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 4168 (2004).
 - [27] A. J. Mackinnon *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **75**, 4183 (2004).
 - [28] S. P. Regan *et al.*, “Hot-Spot X-Ray Spectrometer for the National Ignition Facility”, to be submitted to Review of Scientific Instruments, 2013.
 - [29] M. J. Haugh *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **83**, 10E122 (2012).
 - [30] Y. B. Zel’dovich and Y. P. Raizer, *Physics of Shock Waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena* (Academic Press, 1967).
 - [31] G. Zimmerman and W. Kruer, Comments Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **2**, 51 (1975).
 - [32] H. A. Scott and S. B. Hansen, High Energy Density Physics **6**, 39 (2010).
 - [33] L. Spitzer, *Physics of Fully Ionized Gases* (Wiley, 1962).

Prepared by LLNL under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344