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IFE target designers must consider several engineering requirements in addition to the physics requirements for successful target implosion. These considerations include low target cost, high manufacturing throughput, the ability of the target to survive the injection into the fusion chamber and arrive in a condition and physical position consistent with proper laser-target interaction and ease of post-implosion debris removal. This article briefly describes these considerations for the Laser Inertial Fusion-based Energy (LIFE) targets currently being designed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fuel targets for commercial fusion reactors must satisfy multiple requirements to achieve successful implosion beyond the target physics specifications. These requirements include cost-effective, high throughput manufacture, the ability to handle the acceleration forces of injection into the fusion chamber, the ability to maintain a cold DT temperature during flight through the hot fusion chamber, the ability to evacuate and reprocess the post-implosion debris from the chamber and the ability of the driver beams to penetrate remaining debris suspended in the chamber atmosphere. A preliminary point-design target is currently being designed to satisfy these demands for the proposed Laser Inertial Fusion-based Energy (LIFE) plant concept, currently under development, which uses multiple laser-beam drivers for ignition. Parameters of the system relevant to the LIFE target design are listed in Table 1.

The current target concept is shown in Fig. 1. The physics design features a ~4 mm diameter hollow spherical capsule inside a ~1 cm inner diameter by ~2 cm long hohlraum. The CVD diamond capsule ablator wall thickness is slightly less than 100 μm and the inner deuterium-tritium (DT) layer is about 150 μm thick. The hohlraum has a rugby shaped interior for better coupling of the ~2.4 MJ laser energy to the capsule and P2 shield for even greater efficiency. The hohlraum currently consists of a thin layer of plated lead (~20-50 μm thick) on the inside of the hohlraum for the conversion of the laser light to x-ray radiation, supported by an insulating injection-molded plastic hohlraum structural substrate. The physics design of the target is discussed in greater detail in Ref. 1.

| Table 1. LIFE Target-Relevant Requirements |
| Parameter | Value |
| Per-target cost | < 30 cents |
| Repetition rate | 10-20 Hz. |
| Injection acceleration rate | ~6000 m/s² |
| Injection exit velocity | ~250 m/s |
| Chamber gas temperature | 6000 – 8000K |
| Chamber gas pressure | ~23 Torr |
| Chamber wall temperature | ~900K |
| Chamber radius | ~6 m |
| Maximum allowable DT temperature change | ~100mK |

II. THERMAL CONSIDERATIONS

A decision was made early in the LIFE plant concept to protect the first wall from the assault of ions and much of the prompt x-ray radiation produced during implosion by introducing a low pressure (~23 torr) Xe atmosphere into the ~12 m diameter chamber.² While this permits the use of more conventional materials for the first wall,² this increases the difficulty in maintaining the DT layer temperature at ~19K during flight though this atmosphere which remains at temperatures at up to ~8000K. Ideally, the hohlraum substrate will insulate the capsule from the hot Xe gas during the ~24 ms flight time through the chamber.
The thin (~500 nm thick graphene) laser entrance hole (LEH) window heats up rapidly in the ~8000 K Xe atmosphere to ~2200 K but stays below the temperature limit of graphene (~3000 K). The ~1 gm/cc helium atmosphere in the hohlraum transports heat to the hohlraum which acts as the thermal heat sink as shown in Fig 2. The infra-red (IR) radiation from the 900 K chamber walls reflects off the IR shields inside the hohlraum which are comprised of a thin polyimide membrane ~400 nm in thickness coated with ~30 nm of metalized aluminum. The IR shield heats the helium near the capsule. The DT temperature raises about 80 mK which is below the 100 mK specification for it change in temperature. To keep the target cooler, the target could be injected coincident with a cooler Xe gas jet in future designs.

III. STRUCTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

The hohlraum can be made robust to the ~600 g acceleration forces through choice of materials and wall thickness. The more fragile components of the target are the DT layer and the ~110 nm thick polyimide capsule support membrane. Little data is available describing the structural strength of DT ice although some data of hydrogen and deuterium exists. Hydrogen isotopes have high affinity to other materials. If the DT layer adheres to the ablator then the ablator can act as a structural support for the DT layer. A preliminary 2D analysis indicates that a stiff CVD diamond ablator coupled with a layer of deuterium indicates a deformation of the capsule of < 1 um out-of-round which is within the current allowable LIFE deformation limit.

Preliminary models of the capsule support membrane using membrane data taken for National Ignition Facility (NIF) targets shown in Fig. 4 and applied to Timoshenko’s membrane deflection equations suggest that the membrane will remain intact up to acceleration rates of 600 g provided that the membrane is molded to conform to the shape of the capsule and annealed to remove any stress induced during the loading of the capsules into the membrane. In this case, the acceleration forces are the only forces affecting membrane deflection. Another technique to reduce membrane forces and deflections is to orient the capsule support membranes in the direction of the acceleration forces as shown in Fig. 5 for a cylindrical hohlraum. The membrane is anchored to the hohlraum structure partition line which is also oriented axially. In this case it is expected that the tolerable acceleration rate will exceed 1000 g. Future work will entail measurement of the material properties for candidate materials at cryogenic conditions and finite element analyses of the thin membranes to confirm that the support membrane will survive both the static and dynamic forces of injection acceleration.
The laser propagation through the residual vapor must be considered. Absorption lines near the laser frequency which result in Stokes shifted Raman scattering must be carefully analyzed to determine if this effect will significantly affect the amount of laser light reaching subsequent targets. Lead is an attractive high-Z hohlraum material because it is inexpensive, has low-level activation products and is easily manufactured through swaging or plating. However, lead vapor is a known Raman scattering media whereas, an alternative high-Z material, mercury, is not. Pure mercury, however, with a melting point of ~234K requires additional expense to maintain a cold manufacturing environment. Lead remains under consideration for the high-Z as ionized lead which will probably be generated soon after the start of the laser pulse may be acceptable for laser propagation.

Less expensive materials with low-radiation activation levels such as lead could be discarded as low-level waste or recycled if these recycling costs are low. Materials which may present a waste-disposal problem should be avoided. Cost, vapor-pressure based debris removal and waste disposal selection criteria for the high-Z layer of the hohlraum are summarized in Fig. 6.

The ideal materials will have a melting temperature lower that the wall temperature of 900K so that solid deposits will not accumulate on the chamber wall and either alter the thermal or neutronic characteristics of the wall or flake off creating a cloud of solid debris near the wall which could reduce the laser propagation or damage the targets entering the chamber. Materials with a high vapor pressure can be continuously pumped out of the chamber for easy removal. Further discussion of chamber clearing can be seen in ref. 2.

Fig. 4. Deflection versus pressure data taken on NIF polyimide membranes at cryogenic temperatures (15K).

Fig. 5. Axially oriented capsule support membrane to reduce stresses and deflections of the membrane.

IV. MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Removal of the debris from the chamber following the implosion and subsequent recycling and/or disposal impose design constraints for target material selection particularly for the hohlraum material which constitutes the majority of the mass of the target. The hohlraum consists of an inner high-Z layer for x-ray production, a thermally insulating-structural support material and possibly an additional conductive outer layer if an induction injector or an electro-magnetic trajectory steering section are used for injection. The ideal materials will have a melting temperature lower that the wall temperature of 900K so that solid deposits will not accumulate on the chamber wall and either alter the thermal or neutronic characteristics of the wall or flake off creating a cloud of solid debris near the wall which could reduce the laser propagation or damage the targets entering the chamber. Materials with a high vapor pressure can be continuously pumped out of the chamber for easy removal. Further discussion of chamber clearing can be seen in ref. 2.

V. MANUFACTURING CONSIDERATIONS

Manufacturing cost is a prime consideration for both the choice of target material and the specific target geometry. Ideally, the selected material will constitute a small fraction of the overall target cost so that potentially expensive recycling of the material can be avoided. The bulk of the target costs come from processing steps. The process steps can be separated into mechanical and chemical processes. A strategy for lowering production costs is to use conventional high-throughput manufacturing techniques such as die-casting or stamping for the mechanical processes and increasing the batch size...
of chemical processes such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and electroplating processes to increase throughput. A preliminary cost analysis suggests that the per-target cost can be less than 30 cents (Fig. 7) provided that these processes are successfully developed. A breakout of the costs shown in Fig 8 shows that the material costs for lead hohlraums and a CVD diamond capsule ablator costs are a relatively small part of the target costs (~17%) while the equipment costs are a relatively large fraction (~42%) of the overall target cost. This is a result of the large number of plasma CVD coating machines required to produce the ~1.3 million capsules per day even with the large batch size (~45,000 per batch) that is postulated following development of a large CVD machine.

Fig. 7. Per-target cost versus target repetition rate.

VI. INJECTION-TRACKING CONSIDERATIONS

Another design consideration of the target is to incorporate features necessary for tracking the target trajectory through the chamber such that it will connect with the laser driven beams to within ~100 μm of the designed impact point. The distance between the muzzle end of the injector and the chamber center is set by the maximum neutron flux that can be tolerated by the DT layer whose temperature can be unacceptably increased by interaction with the neutrons or by any component parts of the injector system which may experience unacceptable neutron damage. A shuttered neutron shield system located between the injector and the chamber as shown in Fig. 9 can be used to reduce the neutron and gamma radiation to the target. The shutter consists of a spinning wheel of radiation absorbing material such as steel and concrete with slots along the axial length which allow the target to pass through during flight into the chamber but are closed during implosion events to shield the subsequent target. Calculations show that the temperature of the DT layer rises less than 10 mK for a muzzle located at a 10 m distance from fusion chamber center. A steering section may be added between the injector and the shutter to adjust the target trajectory following injection acceleration if needed. The necessity for the steering section will be determined experimentally.

Fig. 9. Injection system for the LIFE target.
laser beams which will be triggered by the external shape of the target as shown in Fig. 10. A similar system is described in Ref. 6. It is expected that the target can be tracked to within about ± 50 µm with reference to the chamber center. When the target is within about 25 µs of the chamber center (~6 mm for a 250 m/s target velocity), the engagement sensors, which use the same optics as the laser, measure the position of the target relative to the pointing direction of the laser to within an expected accuracy of ±10 µm and corrects the laser pointing direction to impact the target to well within the ±100 µm positional specification. Any changes in velocity or expected position of the target as determined by the tracking system are corrected by the engagement sensors. The engagement system requires that the front and aft faces of the target reflect the external glint laser light in a precisely defined pattern such as a ring pattern such that the engagement sensors can accurately predict the target’s position.

Fig. 10. Tracking and engagement sensor schematic.

VII. CONCLUSION

A summary of the many of the challenges of the injection of the target into the fusion chamber have been presented. Several design considerations for the LIFE target have been discussed. Considerations include the requirement to accurately inject the target into the fusion chamber center so that the laser can be pointed to intercept the target. Preliminary analyses show that the target can be designed to survive the physical rigors of the injection acceleration into the fusion chamber and the exposure to the hot chamber thermal environment. Considerations for removal of debris from the chamber depend on the choice of material, particularly the hohlraum material. Target costs can be minimized by selecting low-cost materials and by developing large-scale manufacturing processes. Preliminary solutions to these issues have been identified with more extensive analysis in progress.
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