Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

Submitted to the Journal of the American Chemical
Society

CARBON-13 CHEMICAL SHIFTS IN SOLID METAL
SANDWICH COMPOUNDS

D.E. Wemmer and A. Pines

August 1980

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

APR 10 1981

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782.*

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

CARBON-13 CHEMICAL SHIFTS IN SOLID METAL SANDWICH COMPOUNDS

D.E. Wemmer and A. Pines
Department of Chemistry and
Materials and Molecular Research Division,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number W-7405-ENG-48.

This manuscript was printed from originals provided by the authors.

CARBON-13 CHEMICAL SHIFTS IN SOLID METAL SANDWICH COMPOUNDS*

D. E. Wemmer and A. Pines
Department of Chemistry and
Materials and Molecular Research Division,
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Chemical shielding parameters are reported for the metallocenes of Fe, Ru, Mg; biscyclopentadienyl complexes Cp_2TiCl_2 , $(\text{CpMe}_5)_2\text{CoCl}$, and $(\text{CpMe}_5)_2\text{Fe}$ and bisbenzene chromium. The shielding tensor anisotropy seems to reflect the character of bonding. Motion is detected in many of these compounds and has been used in some cases to assign the shielding tensor principle directions.

*

Taken in part from the Ph.D. thesis of D. E. Wemmer
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-8042 (1978).

1. Introduction

Since the development of the cross-polarization method [1] for obtaining high resolution solid state NMR spectra of dilute spins in solids, a variety of chemical shielding tensors for various nuclei have been reported [2]. A knowledge of the complete tensor provides more information (three shielding parameters and three orientational parameters) than the isotropic chemical shift, and hence provides a much more rigorous connection for understanding of the effects of bonding on chemical shielding. The detailed information about the local electronic structure surrounding a spin has proved useful in studies of such diverse problems as hydrogen bonding [3], conduction anisotropy in "one dimensional" conductors [4], and dynamics of phase transitions in antiferroelectrics [5].

Although a wide variety of ^{13}C shielding tensors in aromatic molecules are known, there is only one previous study of aromatic organometallic complexes [6]. The metallocene type of compound is of considerable interest because of the unusual bonding and their practical uses as catalysts. Although isotropic shifts for protons and carbon are known for a variety of metallocenes [7], there have been no reports of the anisotropic couplings. In cases where anisotropic motion is present at some temperatures, but may also be frozen out, it is known that some information may be obtained from powder spectra about the orientation of the shielding tensor relative to the molecular frame. Such information is normally available only from the study of oriented single crystals.

In addition, knowledge of the shielding tensor provides the basis for detailed studies of molecular dynamics, of particular interest for C_5 symmetry. In this paper we present results of shielding values and an example of orientation assignment from a motional study.

2. Anisotropic Chemical Shielding

A chemical shielding tensor $\tilde{\sigma}$ may be characterized fully by specifying its principle values σ_{11} , σ_{22} and σ_{33} , together with a set of angles relating the tensors orientation to some external reference frame. The chemical shift observed for any particular spin is easily calculated from the principle values and the Euler angles (ϕ, θ, ψ) relating tensor and laboratory axis frames.

$$\sigma_{\text{obs}} = \sigma_{11} \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + \sigma_{22} \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi + \sigma_{33} \cos^2 \theta \quad (1)$$

where θ is the angle between σ_{33} and lab z directions and ϕ between σ_{11} and x. Of course while systematic variation of the angles in a single crystal sample allows a determination of both principle values and orientation of the tensor, it is not often convenient and possible to have oriented crystals. In favorable cases, however, anisotropic averaging of the tensor through molecular motion has been used to assign tensor element directions. Such an effect is easily calculated using an Euler rotation (α, β, γ) analogous to that in (1) above, except that the angles define the relative orientation of the tensor and the rotation axis systems, and the tensor elements are averaged over the motion. For a uniform uniaxial rotation the averaged tensor is axially symmetric with:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\perp} &= 1/2 (1 - \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta) \sigma_{11} + 1/2 (1 - \sin^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta) \sigma_{22} + 1/2 \sin^2 \beta \sigma_{33} \\ \sigma_{\parallel} &= \cos^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta \sigma_{11} + \sin^2 \alpha \sin^2 \beta \sigma_{22} + \cos^2 \beta \sigma_{33} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_{\parallel}$ is the tensor element along the rotation axis and $\sigma_{\perp}$ is the element perpendicular to it. When both the unaveraged and averaged tensor elements are known, the equations (2) may be solved (to within a sign) for the angles α and β . If the axis of rotation is known, or assumed, then the tensor orientation in the molecular frame may be calculated.

The determination of tensor elements from powder spectra is quite straightforward for molecules which have a very small number of carbons with different shielding tensors. The powder patterns which may be observed have characteristic features at the values σ_{11} , σ_{22} and σ_{33} in the general case and $\sigma_{\parallel}$ and $\sigma_{\perp}$ in the axially symmetric case. In more complex cases it is possible to use slow magic angle spinning together with a theoretical analysis to extract the principle values for several overlapping tensors. The simplicity of the compounds in this study precluded the necessity of use of such techniques.

3. Experimental

All spectra were obtained on a homebuilt spectrometer, operating at a ^{13}C frequency of 46.5 MHz. The single contact spin-lock version of cross polarization was used with matching conditions, contact times and recycle delays empirically adjusted for maximum signal on each sample. Contact times of 10 msec and recycle delays of 10 sec were typical. The samples were obtained from a variety of sources, either commercial or prepared by standard methods, and were used without further purification. Approximately 150 mg of powder was sealed in 6 mm diameter

glass tubing, under a dry nitrogen atmosphere for air sensitive compounds. Low temperature spectra were taken with the sample in a stream of cold nitrogen gas generated by boiling liquid nitrogen. Temperature was read from a thermocouple placed near the sample and maintained at the desired temperature through use of a feedback controlled heater in the gas stream.

4. Results and Discussion

The chemical shielding parameters measured for several simple metallocenes and several more complex organometallic compounds are shown in Table 1. In most cases rapid rotation of the C_5 rings causes averaging of the tensor at room temperature for unsubstituted rings, the rotation generally does not freeze out until below liquid nitrogen temperatures and hence the rigid tensor values were not obtained in this study. Comparison of the trace values of the tensors determined in the solid state with the literature isotropic phase values show little change occurs in chemical shielding upon condensation, consistent with other measurements in organic solids.

It is particularly interesting to note the small differences in σ_{33} values for the various metallocenes. The largest contribution to the difference in isotropic shifts comes from the σ_{11} and σ_{22} values. Similar effects have been observed for arene chromium complexes. One must be somewhat careful in interpretation of these shielding parameters since they are for the most part motionally averaged.

The case of permethylferrocene is particularly favorable in this sense since its spectra may be observed at temperatures where it is rigid

as well as where it undergoes rapid rotation. In isolated molecules it is often possible to determine some of the principle directions from symmetry arguments alone. In an isolated planar five carbon ring there must be a principle direction perpendicular to each of the two symmetry planes passing through a carbon. Since the three axes must be mutually orthogonal, this fixes all of the principle directions; one perpendicular to the ring plane, one along the ring center to carbon atom vector in the ring plane, and one tangential to the ring of carbons. In the presence of a metal atom the plane of symmetry containing the ring carbons is removed and two principle directions may be rotated from the directions described above. If we assume that motion occurs as a rotation about the ring C_5 axis (a detailed study of the dynamics of this motion, with justification of this assumption, will be published separately) [8], then we may almost completely determine the tensor orientation. We note that upon rotation all three of the tensor values change, indicating that the rotation axis is not a principle direction, as was observed for rotation in hexamethylbenzene. However, since the degenerate element for the averaged tensor lies between σ_{11} and σ_{22} for the rigid molecule, we know that σ_{33} lies nearest to the rotation axis. Using eq. (2) and the symmetry arguments above, we conclude that the σ_{33} element is rotated 19° from the rotation axis. Although the NMR experiment cannot determine the sign of this angle, this most shielded direction is probably rotated to pass close to the iron atom, giving the orientation depicted in Figure 1. This relatively small rotation of the tensor reinforces our conclusion that most of the change in shielding comes from changes in the σ_{11} and σ_{22} elements.

From the data of Table 1 it is clear that the shielding anisotropy is very sensitive to the nature of the ring-metal interactions. The very similar covalently bonded metallocene of iron and ruthenium from the same column of the periodic table, have almost identical shielding. However, the structurally identical magnesium compound, with much more ionic bonding character, has much larger shielding anisotropy. Qualitatively it is reasonable that more electron density in the ring orbitals will lead to greater shielding. Since it is not possible to study an isolated anionic cyclopentadiene ring, we have also studied bisbenzenechromium, where shielding parameters for the isolated benzene are well known. As expected there is a significant decrease in the anisotropy, shown in Figure 2. Although motion is present, the upfield shift of the σ_{33} element (which cannot stem from averaging) indicates that rotation of the tensor analogous to that in permethylferrocene cannot account for the observed changes.

Although quantitative analysis of chemical shielding from a purely theoretical standpoint is still at a very crude stage, measurement of anisotropic shielding can clearly provide a qualitative picture of the differences in bonding in organometallic compounds. Further investigation of such compounds from both experimental and theoretical views seems warranted and may prove fruitful in understanding their chemical properties.

We would like to thank the groups of Professor George Whitesides at M.I.T. and Professor James Smart at Berkeley for providing us with samples. This work was supported by the Division of Materials Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U. S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

TABLE I^e

Compound ^a	Tr(σ)	σ_i^b	σ_{11}	σ_{22}	σ_{33}	$\Delta\sigma^c$
(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Fe	59	58.6	34	34	110	76
(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Ru	55	-	29	29	108	79
(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ Mg	20	19.2	-24	-24	108	132
(C ₅ H ₅) ₂ TiCl ₂	13	-	-39	-39	116	155
(C ₅ (CH ₃) ₅) ₂ Fe	49	-	1	42	105	83.5
			27	27	94	(67)
(C ₅ (CH ₃) ₅) ₂ CoCl ₂ ^d	15	-	-51	5	90 $\pm$ 10	113 $\pm$ 15
	22	-	-43	18	90 $\pm$ 10	102 $\pm$ 15
(C ₆ H ₆) ₂ Cr	52	-	14	14	128	114
C ₆ H ₆	-1	0	-59	-59	115	174

^aIn cases for which $\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22}$ we assume that fast rotation of the substituent ring is present, causing the tensor to be axially symmetric. Short proton relaxation times are additional evidence for such motions.

^bIsotropic solution value from the literature.

$$^c \Delta\sigma = \sigma_{33} - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{22}).$$

^dDue to overlap of several shielding tensors these values are less precise and correspondence of σ_{11} and σ_{22} values, and hence Tr (σ), are tentative. The apparent intensities are 2 carbons for the first tensor and 3 carbons for the second. Rotation of C₅ rings in this compound does not occur below 100°C. Errors in σ_{11} and σ_{22} values are estimated $\pm$ 5 PPM.

^eTensor elements were determined by comparison with appropriately broadened computer generated powder lineshapes.

References

1. A. Pines, M. G. Gibby and J. S. Waugh, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 569 (1973).
2. M. Mehring, NMR: Basic Principles and Progress, Vol. XII (Springer Verlag, Heidleberg, 1976).
3. A. Pines, J. J. Chang and R. Griffin, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 1021 (1974).
4. M. E. Stoll, R. W. Vaughan and R. B. Sailant and T. Cole, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 2896 (1974).
5. M. Mehring, D. Suwelack, Phys. Lett. 42, 317 (1979).
6. M. M. Maricq, J. S. Waugh, J. L. Fletcher and M. J. McGlinchey, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 100, 6902 (1978).
7. P. C. Lauterbur and R. B. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 3266 (1965).
8. D. E. Wemmer and A. Pines, accepted for publication in J. Am. Chem. Soc.

Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Orientation of principal axis of ^{13}C shielding tensor in permethylcyclopentadienyl ring in permethylferrocene.
- Figure 2. ^{13}C powder spectra of benzene and bisbenzene chromium on the same scale. Large shifts in the tensor elements are observed upon binding.

Fig. 1

XBL 7512-9195

Fig. 2

XBL 771-7395