Evolutionary Hybrids for Flexible Ligand Docking (Electronic Notes)

William E. Hart

Optimization/Uncertainty Estimation Dept

Sandia National Laboratories

wehart@sandia.gov

www.cs.sandia.gov/~wehart

In Collaboration with

Chris Rosin (TSRI), Richard K. Belew (UCSD)

Garrett Morris (TSRI)

Overview

1. Evolutionary algorithms (EAs)
2. EA Hybrids
 - Design
 - Motivation
 - Dynamics
 - Applications
3. Drug Docking with AutoDock
 - Problem formulation
 - Empirical evaluation of EA hybrids

Idea: a multi-point search strategy inspired from natural evolutionary processes

Motivation:

- Selection of better individuals (Darwin)
- Generation of variants from selected individuals (Mendel)

Analogous Search Mechanisms:

- Stochastic competitive selection
- Selective recombination (a.k.a. crossover)

Evolutionary Algorithms: genetic algorithms, genetic programming, evolutionary programming, evolutionary strategies

Methods for generating variants

- Mutation - generate a simple change
- Recombination - combine two or more search points (a.k.a. parents)

Example: searching R^n

Mutation

Recombination

- (1) Stochastic competition
- (2) Generate new variants
- (3) Replacement competition

Local Search

Idea: iterative examine solutions in a local neighborhood and select one that has a lower value.

Examples of local neighborhoods:

- An ε -close ball (in R^n)

- Adjacent nodes in a graph

Idea: utilize local search to refine solutions in each iteration of the EA.

Biological Motivation

- Organisms utilize phenotypic adaptations (e.g. learning) to adapt to their environment
- Evolution modifies an individual's genotype across generations
- Phenotypic adaptations smooth the evolutionary landscape

Mathematical Motivation

- Local search quickly refines solutions
- Global search ensures that all areas of the search domain are adequately explored
- Most effective global search methods combine global and local search

Local search can distinguish between a genotypic and phenotypic search space through a developmental map $\delta : G \rightarrow Ph$.

Lamarckian Local Search

- modifies the fitness value and solution parameters
- is typically used for optimization

NonLamarckian Local Search

- modifies only the fitness value
- can be useful when the EA's selection pressure is "too strong"
- can be viewed as a "repair mechanism for constrained problems"

Pseudo Code

Initialize a population of points $\{x_0^0, \dots, x_0^N\}$
Perform local search?
Repeat
 Perform competitive selection to select $\{\hat{x}_t^0, \dots, \hat{x}_t^N\}$
 Apply evolutionary operators (mutation, recombination)
 Apply local search (A)
 Replace previous population of points
 Apply local search (B)
Until stopping rule satisfied

Design Issues

- Duration of local search
- Type of local search (robustness!)
- How local search is initialized
- What points are refined with local search
- Lamarckian or nonLamarckian local search

Hybrid designs:

memetic algorithms

parallel genetic algorithms

Lamarckian genetic algorithms

genetic local search

genetic hillclimbing

EA-LS hybrids

Local search can play a variety of roles in EAs (Hart et al, 94)

I. Fitness transformation: nonLamarckian EA hybrids

$f(x)$ Func

$g(x)$ Transformed func

II. Refinement operator: Lamarckian EA hybrids

Extreme Case

- all solutions are mapped to their respective local minima
- recombination and mutation define transition between local minima
- Example: genetic local search

III. Repair operator: nonLamarckian EAs with a genotype-phenotype distinction

Example: evolving grammars (Kammeyer and Belew, 97)

Applications of EA Hybrids

Thin Film Metrology (Land et al, 97)

Flowshop Scheduling (Ishibuchi et al, 97)

Drug Docking (Rosin et al, 97; Morris et al, 98; Hart et al, 99)

Reliable Communication Networks (Dengiz et al, 97)

QAP (Mühlenbein, 91)

Neural Network (Belew et al, 91; Keesing and Stork, 91; Gruau and Whitley, 93)

[See also www.ing.unlp.edu.ar/cetad/mos/memetic_home.html]

Goal: develop docking tools for structure-based drug discovery

AutoDock Method

- Flexible ligand
- Rigid receptor
- Conformational search used to find good docking configurations

The AutoDock Potential Energy Model

Energy Potential:

- Long-range electrostatic forces
- Short-range weak van der Waals attractive forces
- Hydrogen bonds (12-10 LJ potential)
- Unfavorable conformational entropy
- Desolvation measure (Stouten et al '93)

Computing Potential Energies

Ligand-protein pairwise interaction energies are precalculated

Grid-based method rapidly computes interpolated energies

Docking Formulation

Search Dimensions

- Cartesian Coordinates (3 dimensions)
- Quaternion (unit vector plus rotation angle)
- Torsion angles

Optimization Formulation

- Unconstrained variables
- Quadratic penalty for coordinate bound constraints
- Quaternion vector renormalized

Note: this objective is nondifferentiable

Optimization Methods

Simulated annealing

- Steepest descent that accepts inferior points with probability

$$e^{-\Delta E/T},$$

where ΔE is the difference in energy and T is a “temperature” constant

- Good for “walking through” shallow local minima

Evolutionary algorithms

- Evolutionary programs
- Evolutionary pattern search
- EA hybrids

Evolutionary Programming

Evolutionary operator: mutation

- Idea: add a scaled random variable to each dimension
 - Normal: $N(0, \sigma_i)$
 - Cauchy: $C(0, \sigma_i)$

Self-adaptation

- Mutation scale adapted (co-evolved) with the search parameters
- Idea: multiply scale by a random variable
 - Gaussian: $+ \alpha N(0,1)$
 - Lognormal: $\exp(\tau' N(0,1) + \tau N_i(0,1))$

Evolutionary Pattern Search

An adaptive EA

- Evolutionary operators: coordinate-wise crossover and mutation

- Uses a global mutation step scale parameter Δ_t
 - For all individuals
 - For all dimensions
- Uses a fixed pattern of mutation offsets

EA Hybrids for Docking

Experimental options

- Cauchy mutation and coordinate-wise crossover
- Infrequent local search
- Local search
 - Solis-Wets
 - Pattern Search
- Fixed local search duration
 - 300 function evaluations
 - 3000 function evaluations
- Step-length initialization
 - Fixed
 - Adaptive

Solis-Wets Local Search

Properties

- Cheap local search (especially in high dimensions)
- Can rapidly adjust the step length parameter
- Convergence properties unclear
- Does not have robust stopping rules

Pattern Search Local Search

Deterministic NESW
Search

Step 1

Step 2

Step 3

Step 4

Properties:

- More expensive search (especially in high dimensions)
- Slowly adjust the step length parameter
- Can guarantee that $P(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(x_k)\| = 0) = 1$ on c.d.f.'s
- Reasonable stopping rules exist

Experiments

Six Docking Test Problems

Ligand/Protein Complex	PDB Code	Number of Torsions	Number of Dimensions
β -Trypsin/Benzamidine	3ptb	0	7
Cytochrome P-450cam/Camphor	2cpp	0	7
McPC-603/Phosphocholine	2mcp	4	11
Streptavidin/Biotin	1stp	5	12
HIV-1 protease/XK263	1hvr	10	17
Influenza Hemagglutinin/sialic acid	4hmg	11	18

Relative Performance

	Hybrid EA		EPSA 0.0		EPSA 0.5		EP	
	Min	Mean	Min	Mean	Min	Mean	Min	Mean
1hvr	-21.42	-21.40	-21.39	-6.33	-21.23	-10.55	-21.09	3.77
1stp	-10.17	-10.15	-8.89	-5.65	-10.06	-6.09	-9.74	-5.17
2cpp	-7.36	-7.36	-7.35	-7.19	-7.36	-7.22	-7.36	-7.26
2mcp	-5.55	-5.52	-5.34	-3.41	-5.32	-3.43	-5.00	-2.64
3ptb	-8.19	-8.16	-8.19	-7.93	-8.19	-8.08	-8.17	-7.09
4hmg	-7.91	-7.85	-7.00	-3.54	-6.97	-4.55	-7.00	-2.66

Note:

- All EAs were terminated after 1.5M function evaluations
- The EPSAs were also terminated with a step-size criterion

Hart, 99; Hart, Rosin, Belew and Morris, 99

EA hybrids

- Better mean energy than previous methods
- New best energies for 5 of the 6 test problems
- Lower mean rmsd than previous methods (less than one!)

Local search evaluation

- Pattern search more consistent than Solis-Wets
- Long searches particularly important for pattern search
- Initial step length of local search not a significant factor

Length of Optimization

Question: Are 1.5M function evaluations really needed?

Stall Statistic: The last iteration where an improvement of more than 0.0001 was found

Results

- 2cpp,3ptb: Terminate within 400,000 function evaluations
- 1hvr,1stp,2mcp,4hmg: Require at least 1M function evaluations

Note: preliminary experiments suggest that a multistart method could be more efficient

Future Work

Reconsider problem formulation

- Used constrained optimizers
- Periodic boundary constraints
- Work around singularities in problem representation (Quarternions)
- Rescale search w.r.t. torsion angles (scalability of method?)

Exploit local smoothness

- More rapid convergence
- Guarantee convergence to a local optimum

Acknowledgements

Evolutionary Programming

- Kumar Chellapilla (UCSD)

Evolutionary Pattern Search

- Keith Hunter (Sandia)

AutoDock

- Art Olson (TSRI)
- Garret Morris (TSRI)

EA Hybrids

- Rik Belew (UCSD)
- Chris Rosin (TSRI)
- Natalio Krasnogor (UW England)