Omega-P, Inc.

291 Whitney Avenue, Suite 401 New Haven, CT 06511

Final Report to US Department of Energy SBIR Phase I Grant DE-SC000 4491

SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	TECHNICAL APPROACH	4
	IIa. Technical background	4
	IIb. Concepts for a mm-wave RF undulator	5
	IIc. Electron orbits for a beam traversing a microwave resonator	6
	IId. Microwave undulator resonator designs	15
III.	CONCLUSIONS	28
	References	30

SUMMARY

Analysis is described towards development of a RF undulator with a period < 1 cm, an undulator parameter *K* of the order of unity, and a gap greater than 2.25 mm. The application for the undulator is for a SASE source to produce 1 nm wavelength radiation using a low energy electron beam in the range 1-2 GeV. Particle orbit calculations in a conventional standing-wave resonator configuration show that the presence of a co-propagating component of RF field can cause deleterious motion for the undulating electrons that can seriously degrade their radiation spectrum. To obviate this problem, resonator designs were devised in which only the counterpropagating field components interact with the particles. Two resonator configurations with the same undulator parameter K = 0.4 have been devised and are described in this report.

December 19, 2011

Material presented in this report is the result of work by Omega-P Senior Research Physicists Dr. S.V. Kuzikov and Dr. G. Sotnikov, Senior Consultant Professor T.C. Marshall, with Dr. J.L. Hirshfield serving as Principal Investigator.

The proposal was written by Professor Marshall and Dr. Hirshfield.

I. INTRODUCTION

Under Topic 15c in the DoE 2010 SBIR Program Solicitation, Phase I proposals were sought for development of undulators for current and future light sources. Item (6) under this topic in the Solicitation specifically requested proposals to develop an undulator with period < 1cm, with K-value* of the order of unity, and with a gap greater than 2.25 mm. The application for the undulator cited in the Solicitation is for a SASE source to produce 1 nm wavelength radiation using a low energy electron beam in the range 1-2 GeV. Omega-P, Inc. submitted a proposal entitled "Short period RF undulator for a SASE source," and was awarded Phase I grant DE-SC0004491, for the period $\frac{6}{19} - \frac{3}{18} + 11$. The present document gives results of the Phase I study. The follow-on Phase II grant was not approved. Phase II had been planned to culminate in the construction and testing of an RF undulator driven by the Omega-P/Yale 34.3 GHz magnicon having an undulation period of 0.44 cm, a > 1 cm gap, and—depending upon available RF power—a K-value of the order of unity. It was not to be a principal goal of the Phase II project to obtain FEL radiation from a relativistic beam driven by the RF undulator. However, the undulator could have been built to accommodate a 6-MeV beam from an available RF gun at the Yale University Beam Physics Lab and attempts would have been made to detect micron-wavelength spontaneous emission from the beam so as to assess the properties of the undulator.

The Phase I studies confirm our preliminary speculation that an RF undulator could satisfy criteria set forth in the 2010 Solicitation. For one of the point designs described in this report, the effective undulator period is 0.45 cm, the undulator parameter* is K = 0.4, and the effective gap is 10's of mm. These remarkable undulator parameters—as yet not optimized—are expected to be realized in the laboratory because of the availability of multi-MW RF power from the Omega-P/Yale pulsed magnicon amplifier operating at 34.3 GHz to drive the undulator, and upon sophisticated computational tools for designing a room-temperature copper structure that can support the necessary strong RF fields. Production of 1 nm radiation using an undulator with these parameters requires the electron beam energy to be only about 0.5 GeV, significantly lower than the 1-2 GeV beam cited in the Solicitation; this greatly lowered electron beam energy should translate into considerable cost savings for the light source.

Adoption of room-temperature RF technology for strong-field, short-period undulators may allow parameter ranges for a future light source to be realized that are not readily accessible with conventional magnets. Savings in accelerator size and cost if the required beam energy for

^{*}The conventional definition [1] is used here for *K*, namely $K = eB\lambda/2\pi mc = 93.4B[T] \times \lambda[m]$, where *B* is the maximum transverse magnetic field and λ depends upon the type of RF mode. In an RF undulator, both transverse electric and magnetic fields may contribute to the wiggling motion needed for an FEL. For traveling waves, $\lambda = \lambda_0$ the free-space wavelength if the guided wave is TM; and $\lambda = \lambda_g$ the guide wavelength if it is TE. Using this definition, the normalized transverse velocity β_{\perp} of an undulating electron is K/γ , as for a conventional magnetic undulator.

the accelerator is reduced by half or more could also be considerable. The trade-off for these attractive features includes need for a substantial RF installation to power the undulator, including numbers of RF sources, modulators, and RF distribution systems. How these virtues and costs balance out for a given light source could be judged in practice after a proof-of-principle prototype of the strong-field, short-period RF undulator is built and evaluated.

In the course of conducting the Phase I study, a deficiency in the "conventional wisdom" regarding RF undulators was uncovered. This discovery was revealed during studies of electron orbit dynamics in an undulator configured as a standing-wave resonator [2,3]. Resonators have been long proposed to sustain the high amplitude RF magnetic fields that induce the undulatory motion of electrons on a beam traversing the resonator, and examples of several resonators were given in our Phase I proposal. A standing wave can be viewed as comprising two equal amplitude waves traveling in opposing directions. The wave traveling opposite to the direction of the beam (hereafter the counter-propagating wave) is the component that induces the desired quiver motion that underlies the FEL process [4]. But the wave travelling in the same direction as the beam (the co-propagating wave) will also induce motions-of different periodicity and of much larger amplitude. These latter motions will indirectly influence the radiation spectrum in the FEL by causing a strong distortion of electron beam orbits, leading to unacceptable emittance growth in the beam as it traverses the undulator, with a profound effect upon the radiation The deleterious influence of the co-propagating wave upon the spectrum was spectrum. overlooked in the otherwise preeminent work by Shintake [5] that first described observations of spontaneous radiation from a beam traversing a strongly-pumped microwave resonator.

It would appear that this deficiency can be circumvented by using a resonant ring, in which only the counter-propagating wave would be present. In fact, a mm-wave quasi-optical resonant ring with an effective power gain of 35:1 was built and demonstrated by Omega-P and Institute of Applied Physics scientists in 2005 [10]. This structure has further appeal for a high-power RF undulator since fields on the walls have half the values that would prevail for a similar standing wave resonator supporting the same undulator *K*-parameter. This latter argument could be promulgated until now even without knowledge of the more fundamental issue with orbit distortions due to a co-propagating wave. Unfortunately, as will be described in detail in Section III, the price to be paid for these attributes of a resonant ring is demand for a very high level of RF power to achieve a *K*-parameter above about 0.2; such power level requirements might derail the resonant ring as a candidate for this application.

Fortunately, a pathway around this difficulty has been found. During the Phase I study, Omega-P senior research physicist S.V. Kuzikov devised a class of standing-wave resonators described below—where the co-propagating wave component is almost fully decoupled from the electron beam, and only the counter-propagating wave wiggles the particles. This is achieved through use of mode converters at the ends of the resonator that transform a mode with strong coupling to the beam, to a second mode where the coupling is nearly absent. Calculations

described below reveal that this type of dual-mode resonator can provide a given *K*-parameter over a fixed undulator length using only a fraction of the power required for a corresponding resonant ring.

II. TECHNICAL APPROACH

IIa. Technical background

The idea of an RF undulator is decades old, and expositions on its underlying physics can be found in several books, e.g., [4], often with the label "optical wiggler," since intense lasers were among the radiation sources considered as drivers for such undulators. The basic idea is to substitute transverse axially-periodic RF magnetic fields for transverse axially-periodic DC magnetic fields, either with linear or helical polarization. In the RF case, the frequency up-shift for Compton back-scattered photons $\sim 4\gamma^2$ can be twice the up-shift for the DC case $\sim 2\gamma^2$ between the frequency of undulation $\sim c/\lambda$ and the frequency for peak FEL or SASE gain [1]. Here, $\gamma = 1 + W/mc^2$ is the relativistic energy factor for electrons with energy W. The factorof-two difference in up-shift can translate into a reduction by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ in the energy of the electron beam in a light source designed to operate down to a given wavelength.

Perhaps the earliest demonstration of an RF undulator was by T. Shintake et al [5]. That device employed a meter-long TE_{1.0.19}-mode cavity that used rectangular ridged waveguide, which when driven with 300 kW of RF power at 2856 MHz generated an equivalent undulator magnetic field of 0.043 T, an undulator period of 55 mm, and an undulator parameter K of 0.24; undulator radiation in the visible was observed using a 143 MeV electron beam. However, we have found that Shintake overlooked the mechanism that can call into question the usefulness of his result, namely the deleterious influence of the co-propagating wave mentioned above. M. Seidel [6] cataloged equations and parameters for a wide range of RF waveguide-based undulators, and discussed a number of practical options for creating RF fields in a waveguide strong enough to achieve practical undulator parameters, based on then-available RF sources. He considered several options for normal and superconducting resonant rings and pointed out limitations for undulator parameters and undulator wavelengths. In [2], Pelligrini described an X-band undulator based on use of square waveguide operating with a rotating TE mode. This device requires an RF power level of 800 MW to provide an undulator parameter K = 0.4 with an undulator period of 1.45 cm. X-band power at this level has been produced using four 50-MW pulsed klystrons and a SLED-II pulse compressor [7]. The idea of an RF undulator was further amplified upon by Tantawi et al [3], who pointed out the merits of designing the device based on use of the TE₁₂ mode in circular (or even elliptic) waveguide, as a means of reducing RF fields on the waveguide walls which, at the required power levels using the TE₁₁ mode, might not be sustainable due to RF breakdown and surface fatigue.

The undulator parameter $K = eB\lambda/2\pi mc = 93.4B$ [T]× λ [m] is a key dimensionless quantity determining SASE radiation intensity [1]. In RF undulators, *K* is limited by these factors:

- *a.* surface electric fields, that lead to breakdown;
- *b.* surface magnetic fields that lead to quenching in SC waveguides, and to metal fatigue in room-temperature (warm) waveguides;
- c. ohmic power losses in waveguide walls; and ultimately
- d. the peak power levels available from RF sources.

Items c and d are the most severe of these as one attempts to shorten the undulator period, to say less than 1 cm, since a short period RF undulator requires high power RF at frequencies of 10's of GHz where Ohmic losses are high and high-power RF sources are rare.

In this report, an RF undulator is described that allows one to achieve a relatively high undulator parameter, i.e. $K \approx 0.4$, at the same time as a small undulator period, namely 0.87 cm, using a presently-available RF source. Gap size would be the cavity diameter, some 10's of mm. The RF source to be used is the Omega-P/Yale 34 GHz magnicon, designed for a power of 20 MW or more at pulse widths up to 1 μ s; at a maximum repetition rate of 10 Hz. A photograph of the magnicon installed and operating in the Yale Beam Physics Lab is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Photograph of Ka-band magnicon test facility at Yale Beam Physics Lab.

IIb. Concepts for a mm-wave RF undulator

In this section we describe work done during Phase I, aimed towards use of a microwave resonator to set up the FEL interaction on a bunch of relativistic electrons traversing the resonator. The analysis to be described is general, in the sense that it can inform design of an RF

undulator to meet a range of requirements, but with examples that can meet the specifications given in the DoE 2010 Solicitation. These in turn are based on parameters of the multi-MW 34-GHz magnicon amplifier and the 6-MeV RF gun and beamline available at the Yale Beam Physics Laboratory; these facilities could have been available for proof-of-principle experiments under a sub-grant from Omega-P to Yale University, had the Phase II grant been approved.

For the proof-of-principle experiments, we proposed to use the high power magnicon that has shorter wavelength (8.77 mm) than existing powerful X-band klystrons (27 mm) anticipated for this application by others [2,3], notwithstanding the lower power of the magnicon. The shorter wavelength permits one to reduce the beam energy required to generate nm wavelengths, but the lower power of the magnicon requires that the microwave fields build up amplitude in a resonator so that $K \sim 0.4$ can be obtained. This *K*-value is limited by pulsed heating capabilities of the structure, surface breakdown at the walls, and available power from a short-wavelength microwave source--problems that affect all RF undulator designs.

So as to establish the need for an RF structure that supports a counter-propagating wave to couple with the electron beam, and a co-propagating wave that does not, Section IIb presents results of computing electron orbits in each of these waves. Section IIc presents designs and operating parameters for a dual-mode resonator and a resonant ring resonator, together with a comparison of their parameters for equal undulator performance. Results of the Phase I study are summarized in Section III.

IIc. Electron orbits for a beam traversing a microwave resonator

It may appear that use of a resonator is a straightforward approach for building an RF undulator. But the standing-wave nature of the RF fields introduce a different issue for FEL operation, as we found while carrying out the Phase I project; but thankfully, there is a solution to these difficulties, as we shall also show in Section IIc. Unlike a *strictly* traveling-wave RF undulator, where a single intense high frequency wave moves in a waveguide counter to the motion of an electron bunch, there is in a conventional resonator a companion wave which moves parallel to the bunch. We have found that this co-propagating wave causes mischief with the beam, requiring a special design to overcome this fault. It is the counter-propagating wave, as is easily shown [1,4], that causes the desired FEL quiver motion of the electrons in the RF undulator and the relativistic Doppler upshift of the radiation wavelength, as described in Section I of this proposal. The forward wave interacts with the electrons and generates low frequency microwave radiation, which though not harmful, is part of a process that disturbs the beam, causing a spatially-growing lateral motion. It seems that this effect has not been properly understood and appreciated in the past.

In 1987, Tran, Danly, and Wurtele [8] examined the standing wave resonator concept. They studied counter-propagating plane waves, which have constant amplitude across the plane transverse to the particle motion, and which have only transverse fields. Their analysis found

that, for $K \sim 1$, the radiation spectrum splits into many separate modes because the motion of the electrons contains many harmonics. The spectrum they computed is shown in Fig. 2, and the behavior of some of the harmonic coefficients $f_{n,m}$ as K is increased is shown in Figs. 3. Due to the co-propagating wave, the electron axial motion is not constant and this gives rise to the harmonic spectrum; but as we shall see, there are other important effects too. From Fig. 2, it might appear that if we operated the device using K < 0.5, we might avoid these complications; but unfortunately that has been found to be not altogether correct.



Fig. 2. [From ref. 8] Normalized spontaneous emission spectrum *versus* frequency for a linearly-polarized RF undulator for $K(a_w \text{ here}) = 1$. This example is similar to our case. The FEL radiation is from the (0,0) mode, the low frequency radiation is the (-1,0) mode. The FEL peak has almost disappeared. © IEEE, 1987.

We begin our study with an example with a resonator in which standing waves are set up at 34.27 GHz in the TE_{01} (circular-symmetric) mode. This mode has favorable properties regarding RF breakdown. A map of its radial rf magnetic field is shown in Fig. 4, with its maximum value at 0.48*R*, where *R* is the cavity radius.

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 3. [From ref. 8] Coefficients $f_{n,m}$ of the harmonics of the motion versus undulator parameter a_w [8] for a linearly-polarized rf undulator; (0,0) is the desired mode. © IEEE, 1987.



Fig. 4. 2D plot of the transverse magnetic field intensity for the TE_{01} mode in cross-section in a cylindrical resonator.

The motion of a filamentary beam in fields of this resonator has been studied, using the CST Studio code. A filament is chosen to simplify depiction of the orbit. This code does not have the capability to handle a resonator; instead, we launch counter-propagating waves from each end, and compute orbits during the one-way pass of each wave component. The wave power is artificially chosen to achieve the desired value of *K*. The beam is located off-axis, at r = 6.5 mm where the transverse fields peak. We take the beam energy to be 6 MeV, the

waveguide radius 14 mm, the length of the system 50 cm, and the (effective) RF power = 200 MW. Fig. 5 shows a projection of the beam orbit in the z,x plane. Colors represent different beam energies, red being 6.012708 MeV and dark blue being 5.98377 MeV, a range of 29 keV. Not only is this energy modulation far in excess of what is expect for the FEL quiver energy of the electrons (a few hundred eV at most), but there is a spatially-growing oscillation that has an amplitude on the mm scale, also not a FEL-induced motion of the particles. This we identify to be an instability. The transverse view along the beam axis in Fig. 6 shows this motion in the x,y plane.



Fig. 5. Electrons travel from right to left in a co-propagating wave. The radial magnetic field of the TE_{01} wave at the beam location is 0.11T, and K = 0.1. Transverse dimensions are enhanced to better show beam motions.

One notes the growing amplitude of transverse motion.



Fig. 6. Beam oscillation seen in the *x*, *y* plane (*x* is horizontal). The radial field is here 0.35T corresponding to K = 0.31. The beam is located off-axis at y = 6.5 mm.

In order to understand this phenomenon, we first endeavor to see the electron quiver motion, driven by the RF undulator, that would itself give rise to the spontaneous FEL radiation. The parameters of the study are provided in Table I. The FEL quiver motion has a normalized

amplitude $\beta_x = K/\gamma$, so $P_x/\gamma mc = K = 0.05$ in the isolated counter-propagating 200 MW wave we use here. The amplitude of the quiver motion is approximately 0.025mm.

14mm
492.528mm
200 MW
34.272GHz
9.46mm
0.01mm
1A
6.47mm
6MeV
45.3kA/m
0.057T
0.05

Table I. Parameters for FEL-type undulations in a counter-propagating wave.

One result that we found is that there is no oscillatory instability using *only* the counterpropagating wave. A second result reveals the simple harmonic quiver motion of the electrons in the RF undulator fields, as shown in Fig. 7. We use a 2D CST Studio feature that provides a snapshot of the particle momentum and position in a narrow slice (e.g. 0.035 ns) of the beam at a given location in the waveguide. A phase-space plot for the (P_x , x) data is shown in Fig. 7.



Fig. 7. Plot of the dynamical (P_x,x) coordinates of particles contained in a narrow slice of the beam at a given location (t or z) moving with energy 6MeV and under the influence of a counter-propagating wave rf undulator.

The closed elliptical pattern confirms oscillatory motion, the parameters of which agree with the FEL quiver motion estimates expected. The (P_y,x) values are found to be smaller by two orders of magnitude. The (P_x, x) data are uniform along *z*.

Next, the counter-propagating wave was allowed to reflect and generate a co-propagating wave. When the small slice of particles was examined at a later time, both waves were present. Fig. 8 shows a very different result from Fig. 7. First, the amplitude of the motion has increased by two orders-of-magnited. Secondly, the feature at the top right shows the particles are "spiraling" to larger amplitude during the sampling time. This is evidence of the instability that causes a coherent macroscopic oscillation of the beam, as shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 8. Oscillatory motion of particles in presence of forward and backward waves.

In a further example, calculation of electron energies during the growing oscillatory instability set up by a co-propagating TE_{11} wave with an effective power of 400 MW for a beam positioned at r = 0 shows a large variation in particle energy of ~ 100 keV, as seen in Fig. 9. Such a large energy variation would render FEL operation problematical, as the quality of the electron beam would very likely be compromised.

So, how can this "instability" be avoided while retaining the resonant cavity needed to build up high fields? The answer, devised by Omega-P senior research physicist S.V. Kuzikov, is to introduce mode converters at each end of the resonator. For illustration, suppose we position the beam at r = 0, and provide a counter-propagating TE₁₁ wave which has its maximum transverse fields on the axis. When this wave is reflected at the end of the resonator, imagine that it is converted to a TE₀₁ wave having zero transverse field on axis; and when the TE₀₁ wave is reflected from the opposite end of the resonator, it is converted back to the original TE₁₁ mode, etc. (The large RF axial magnetic field will interact with the transverse quiver velocity set up by the FEL-driving counter-propagating wave to cause a second-order radial ponderomotive force

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 9. Growing energy oscillations on a beam exposed to an intense co-propagating TE_{11} wave, corresponding to the spatial oscillations as seen as in Fig. 5.

that modulates the radius of the electron beam.) A section of the beam trajectories moving along the axis in the co-propagating TE_{01} wave is shown in Fig. 10a. The entire beam length of 50 cm is shown in Fig. 10b: no spatial instability is in evidence. Our conclusion is that using a copropagating TE_{01} wave with the beam on-axis will avoid the problem of the growing spatial instability.



Fig. 10a. Radially-expanded view of electron beam in a 28.5 mm path after moving 2.2 ns in a forward wave. Electrons travel from the right to the left. Space and energy scale periodicity is 4.4 mm. Co-propagating wave (only), TE_{01} mode power is 400 MW, K = 0.1. The energy variation is ~ 100 eV.



Fig. 10b. Same as Fig. III-9a, but entire length of beam travel in 50 cm waveguide is shown. Note absence of large spatial instability in this case.

We now show results from the counter-propagating TE_{11} wave alone in Figs. 11a,b). These pictures show that the RF undulator is not distorting the overall beam motion.



Fig. 11a (top, *x*,*z* plane) and -11b (bottom, *y*,*z* plane). Beam moves along the axis of a 14-mm radius cylindrical waveguide in a counter-propagating TE_{11} wave. K = 0.067.

These results, which show how a RF undulator can induce unwanted and potentially harmful macroscopic motion of the beam (or bunch) are new and were not revealed in any previous work. Where does this effect come from? There has been a pattern among various researchers to recover the analogous FEL equations for the RF undulator and declare victory, without delving into the entire set of equations of motion to see what else may be involved. As a result, designs may contain unacceptable amounts of the co-propagating wave. We here present a short summary of our theory on this topic below. Shintake's [5] Eqn. 2.5, derived from the

Lorentz force on a relativistic electron moving primarily along the z axis in a transverse electric field of amplitude E_0 , is of the form:

(1)
$$d\beta_x/d\tau = A\cos(\tau) - A\alpha\cos(\alpha\tau)$$
, where : $\tau = 2\pi z/\lambda_u$, and where

 $A = eE_0\lambda_0 / 2\pi\gamma mc^2, \quad \alpha = [\lambda_g - \lambda_0] / [\lambda_g + \lambda_0], \quad \lambda_u = \lambda_g\lambda_0 / [\lambda_g + \lambda_0]; \text{ with } \lambda_u \text{ the undulator period.}$

The first term is due to the counter-propagating wave, while the second term is due to the copropagating wave. For a TE₁₁ wave having frequency 34.3 GHz and cutoff frequency 6.3 GHz, $\alpha = 0.0085$. Taking $\beta_x = 0$ at z = 0, we find

(2)
$$\beta_x = A \sin(\tau) - A \sin(\alpha \tau)$$
.

Thus, the deflection for the normalized coordinate $X = x (2\pi/\lambda_u)$ follows as:

(3)
$$X = A[1 - \cos(\tau)] - (A/\alpha)[1 - \cos(\alpha\tau)]$$

Where X(0) = 0. If $\alpha \ll 1$, the second term (due to the co-propagating wave) dominates the first. From this it can be appreciated that whereas the co-propagating term can be dropped in Eq. (1), it cannot be dropped in Eqs. (2) or (3). [The radiation, depending on the acceleration given by Eq. (1), is mostly driven by the counter-propagating wave term.] The large additional term from the forward wave affects the particle motion in a manner that is very different from the magnetostatic undulator. In Fig. 12 we show a graph of the transverse motion of a test electron for the parameters cited above. It is seen that the scale of transverse motion is large compared with the FEL-type oscillations, as we have already found.



Fig. 12. Transverse motion of a test electron in co- and counter-propagating waves computed taking A = 1 (arbitrary units: period and amplitude of FEL quiver motion provides a scale for comparison: see Fig. IIIb-6). (The transverse scale of *x* is large here because the computation has taken a very large value of *K* for this illustration.)

The transverse motion is also affected to some degree by the ponderomotive force; this force F_y arises from the transverse quiver motion v_x , via the term $v_x B_z$ in the Lorentz force equation. It should scale approximately as K^2 , so for K < 1 it need not be large and can be controlled by standard beam focusing techniques. The sign of this force (outward/inward) depends on the profile of $B_z(x,y)$ where the charge is located. Stabilization can be provided, if called for, by conventional focusing techniques.

The prescription for a successful RF undulator obtained from this study is to use a counter-propagating TE_{11} wave (or equivalent) and a co-propagating TE_{01} wave (or equivalent), with in this case the beam positioned on the axis of symmetry. However, we have found that the TE_{11} mode could cause excessive wall losses and possibly high RF breakdown probability at the metallic surface: so the solution to both the wall problem and the backward/forward wave problem must involve a different choice of modes, as will be described in Section IId.

IId. Microwave Undulator Resonator Designs

In this section we describe results from our design studies of suitable microwave resonators for an RF undulator, in light of our newly-discovered need to avoid a co-propagating wave that can interact with the electron beam. As a result, the simple standing-wave cavity designs presented in the Phase I proposal need to be abandoned, and alternatives proposed. A number of alternative designs were studied, and two versions selected for presentation here. The first version is a resonator equipped with mode conversion reflectors, so that the counter- and copropagating modes are different (e.g., TE₀₁ and TE₀₂ modes of a cylindrical structure); and in which the electron beam moves parallel to but off the axis. The second is a resonant ring guiding a unidirectional HE_{11} mode that uses an impedance corrugation and oversize waveguide. The first of these (hereafter referred to as a two-mode resonator) is--to our knowledge--a totally new concept which obviously must be tested. The second is an extension of known technology [10], but will be shown to require much high power than the first; nevertheless, it still has practical advantages that will be discussed. As a result, our plan in Phase II would have been to refine the design for the two-mode resonator and evaluate a cold-test version. If at that stage, relatively early in the Phase II project, the two-mode resonator still seems promising, a high-power version would have been built. If, on the other hand, some unforeseen difficulty emerged, there would still have been time and resources to revert to the ring resonator, although the K-value that can be reached will probably be lower than might have been the case for the two-mode resonator, due to available RF power limitations.

(1) TE_{01}/TE_{02} cylindrical two-mode resonator

Fig. 13 shows the resonator and its manner of excitation. It uses a TE_{01} counterpropagating wave and a TE_{02} co-propagating wave (other TE_{0n} modes with n > 2 do not propagate. The electron beam is located off-axis at the zero of the transverse magnetic field of the co-propagating TE_{02} mode; this position is close to the field maximum of the counter-

propagating TE_{01} mode. These modes were described in the Phase I proposal as possessing a breakdown proof character (no *E*-fields at the wall), as well as to provide lower surface RF pulsed heating than some other modes.



Fig. 13(a). Conceptual sketch of the two-mode resonator.



Fig. 13(b). Cross-section of the resonator showing azimuthal transverse electric fields of the two modes to be employed, and showing location of the beam.

The mode converter positioned at each end of the resonator consists of two connected waveguides having different radii, as shown in Fig. 14(a). The efficiency of conversion for this simple structure can be very high, with diffraction losses due to incomplete conversion between TE_{01} into TE_{02} (or vise-versa) < 0.001%, as shown in Fig. 14(b). This design permits the use of mode filters inside the converter, using the principle of cutoff corrugation with absorbers. This latter concept is illustrated in Fig. 14(a).

The coupler uses a common perforated wall between the coaxial TE_{01} and TE_{02} modes in the main circular cross section waveguide (Fig. 15). The radius of the outer structure is 19.4 mm, the periodicity of the holes is 3 mm, the wall thickness is 2 mm, the coupling hole diameter is 2.1 mm, and there are 8 holes around the azimuth. The TE_{02} mode has the necessary ~ 1% coupling level, as seen in Fig. 16. All other unwanted modes have < -40 db excitation level. Table II shows operating parameters of this for a cavity operating at room temperature.







Fig. 14(b). Calculated example of reflected modes in the step-type mode converter.



Fig. 14(c). $TE_{01} - TE_{02}$ mode step converter equipped with built-in mode filters.



Fig. 15. Device showing the schematic of the input coupler. Light areas are open channels.

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 16. Modes excited in the coaxial input coupler for the two-mode cavity.

main section radius, R	12	mm
main section length, L	500	mm
TE ₀₁ group velocity, $v_{\rm gr}/c$	0.895	
TE ₀₂ group velocity, $v_{\rm gr}/c$	0.580	
Ohmic quality factor, Q_{ohm}	13,6000	
loaded quality factor, $Q_{\rm L}$	6,8000	
coupling, 1 - r^2	0.8	%
RF pulse duration, τ	630	ns
beam shift off axis, $r_{\rm b}$	6.55	mm
undulator parameter, K	0.4	
peak RF input power, P _{in}	34	MW
surface electric field $E_{\rm s}$	0	MV/m

Table II. Parameters for the two-mode cavity. Note some finite surface field is expected at the coupling holes.

This structure has a major advantage of having zero surface electric field (and thus low Ohmic and thermal losses), and it filled with energy using a reasonable fraction of the pulse length of the magnicon. The power level required to reach K = 0.4 is beyond the present

delivered capability of our magnicon by a factor about four-to-one, but it still would provide us with a laboratory test with $K \sim 0.2$, that should have been adequate for our Phase II experimental work. Moreover, a re-build of the magnicon is scheduled for 2012--subject to availability of funds--that would bring the output power level to > 20 MW.

It can also be pointed out that the use of liquid nitrogen cooling will lower the required RF power from 34 MW to 13 MW, but we do not necessarily plan to use this method to confirm the device performance. It should be noted that the power needed is high because we have chosen a device that is 50 cm in length, longer than the structures contemplated in the Phase I proposal; thus, to increase K for our planned studies at Yale that use lower power from the magnicon, we can also consider shortening this structure. It should also be noted that since this structure has cylindrical symmetry it would only produce linearly-polarized SASE radiation.

(2) RF Undulator Using a HE₁₁ Resonant Ring

As stated above, a resonant ring seems to be an attractive candidate for a RF undulator, since it supports a guided wave travelling in only one direction--here the counter-propagating wave. Omega-P has had considerable experience with a mm-wave resonant ring [10], having designed and tested (with Institute of Applied Physics) the device shown in Figs. 17 and 18.



Figure 4. Schematic of overmoded Ka-band high-power resonant ring.

 1 – microwave source; 	7 - bellows for frequency adjustment
$2 - TE_{10} \Rightarrow TE_{11}$ mode converter;	8 – flat mirror miter bends;
$3 - TE_{11} \Rightarrow TE_{01}$ mode converter;	9 – TE₀₁ circular waveguide;
4 – TE ₀₁ waveguide up-taper;	10 – component under test;
$5-TE_{01} \Rightarrow TE_{01} + TE_{02}$ mode converters;	11 – microwave detector,
6 – coupling mirror;	12 - microwave load.

Fig. 17. Details of the IAP/Omega-P Ka-band resonant ring [10].



Fig. 18. Photograph of the IAP/Omega-P Ka-band resonant ring [10].

This resonant ring, operating in the TE_{01} mode, exhibited an effective RF power gain of 35:1.

A ring structure for the RF undulator has been designed, using for the counter-propagating waves a combination of the HE₁₁ and HE₁₂ modes, as shown in Figs. 19 and 20. The ring uses an oversize waveguide with impedance corrugations; the period of corrugation is $\leq \lambda/2$, and the depth of corrugation is $\approx \lambda/4$. The corrugation allows a marked reduction in Ohmic losses. The diameter of the waveguide is much larger than the wavelength, as is necessary in order to provide low diffraction losses in the miter bends; the same requirement motivates an admixture of ~25% HE₁₂ mode. There are two beat periods of the HE₁₁ and HE₁₂ modes in the 50 cm arm containing the beam. The lateral arms are much shorter, to reduce as much as possible the stored RF energy.



Fig. 19. RF magnetic field patterns and electron beam location in the resonant ring.

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 20. Layout of the ring resonator layout, showing mode beat patterns (in blue), miter bends, input coupler, and location of electron beam..

The input coupler, sketched In Fig. 21, is actually a diffraction grating that provides coupling at the desired level of 1%. The spacing of holes is small enough so as not to allow any other diffraction maxima except reflection and transmission. For numerical optimization we used a model shown in Fig. 22, where the plane wave of the finite aperture in rectangular waveguide illuminates a piece of the grating contained 3three holes. The optimized parameters that provides necessary coupling are D = 3.5 mm, d = 2.2 mm, $\Delta = 1$ mm (Fig. 23).



Fig. 21. Partially transparent diffraction grating to feed HE₁₁ mode resonant ring.



Fig. 22. Simulation model for coupler.



Fig. 23. Computed coupling factor provided by diffraction grating.

The concept for low loss miter bends is based on beating an admixture of 75% HE_{11} and 25% HE_{12} modes, as shown in Fig. 24. Field distribution of combined modes is shown in Fig. 25. At the entrance to a miter bend at cross-section 1 in Fig. 25, mutual phases of the operating modes corresponds to converging wave flow. (See Fig. 13 a,b). Such a wave has a waist (plane phase front) exactly in the center of the reflecting mirror. Here the wave-beam transverse size is smallest, so that the wave-beam is not perturbed by scattering at the edges.

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 24. Model for computation of miter bends.



Fig. 25. Field distribution of operating modes:: (a) $- HE_{11}$, (b) $- HE_{12}$.



Fig. 26. Field (a) and phase (b) distributions of $HE_{11}+HE_{12}$ mode superposition at the entrance of miter bend, and field (c) and phase (d) for the resulting distributions at exit of miter bend. [Note phase flip of 2π in (d), equivalent to constant phase across the aperture.]

At cross-section 2, mutual phase of the operating modes takes the opposite sign. This is to correspond a wave-beam of the same amplitude distribution as the beam in cross-section 1, but a new phase distribution is actually the conjugated phase as regards to cross-section 1 (Fig. 26 c,d). Diffraction loss for a waveguide of radius R = 26.5 mm is 0.12%. These losses grow if one reduces waveguide diameter. That is why an HE₁₁ resonant ring inevitably has big volume and as a consequence requires relatively high RF power and stored energy. Miter bends can be easily designed with mode filters based on using absorbers at top and at bottom of the waveguide connection to improve mode purity.

At high power levels the impedance corrugation can lead to field enhancement levels that might lead to breakdown. Thus we have analyzed field magnitudes in the corrugation. In Fig. 27, the field distribution of the HE₁₁ mode in corrugated waveguide is shown. The incident wave consists of 85% TE₁₁ mode and 15% TM₁₁ mode in a smooth circular waveguide. (This mode superposition provides a near-Gaussian field distribution--close to the desired distribution of the HE₁₁ mode). One can see that fields in the corrugation are rather small even with enhancement caused by small radii taken into account. The surface *E*-field does not exceed 10% of *E* at its maximum in the waveguide center, where *E* equals approximately 160 MV/m.

It should be noted that the HE₁₁ and HE₁₂ modes have small longitudinal E_z and H_z components. Both are less than the main E_x and H_y components by a factor of 1/(kR). These components are zero in the center of the waveguide (at r = 0). However, near the miter bends the total field consists not only of counter-propagating HE₁₁ and HE₁₂ modes, but also waves reflected with phase shifts of 90°, which can perturb the electron beam. However, in a final RF undulator using a resonant ring 10's of meters long there are need only be two miter bends encountered by the beam, as shown in the sketch in Fig. 28.



Fig. 27. Field distributions of the HE_{11} mode near the wall corrugations.

A summary of the performance of the ring operating parameters at room temperature is provided in Table III. Although the surface field is acceptable at the corrugation, at the miter bends it is ~ 220 MV/m (in one of polarizations); also the high level of power required to drive the ring resonator does not allow to consider this design without alternatives.

waveguide radius, R	26.5	mm
main section length, L	500	mm
total length, <i>L</i> _{tot}	1500	mm
HE ₁₁ group velocity, $v_{\rm gr}/c$	0.991	
HE ₁₂ group velocity, $v_{\rm gr}/c$	0.957	
Ohmic quality factor, Q_{ohm}	43,6000	
diffraction quality factor, Q_{Diffr}	22,8000	
loaded quality factor, $Q_{\rm L}$	7,5000	
coupling, 1 - r^2	0.73	%
pulse duration, $ au$	700	ns
beam axis shift, $r_{\rm b}$	0	mm
undulator parameter, K	0.4	
input power, P _{in}	120	MW
surface electric field $E_{\rm s}$	20	MV/m

Table III. Parameters for a resonant ring RF undulator.

The resonant ring concept can be expanded to the full length of RF undulator, consisting of several modules fed by several RF sources. As shown in Fig. IIIc-14, the electron beam propagates in a straight waveguide section, while the upper waveguide can have several bends in order to allow feeding by individual RF sources. The length of the wiggling sectio is determined by longest available pulse length of the sources.



Fig. 28. Scheme of a long RF undulator consisting of several modules and fed by several RF sources.

Analysis of these designs has included a study of techniques to reduce unwanted modes to the minimum levels as required by the analysis of Section IIb. In the following sub-section, we discuss tolerance studies for the designs.

(3) Tolerance studies

The most critical issue of the TE_{01} - TE_{02} resonator is, of course, the mode converters, which must provide very high efficiency of conversion as it was shown in the previous section. Thus it is critical to investigate the levels of mechanical tolerance that can be allowed before an undue loss on mode-to-mode conversion efficiency results. The parameters of simulations used for studying tolerence are shown in Fig. 29. The results of this analysis are presented in Figs. 30-33 predict rather firm conclusion that dimensional accuracy of mode converter sizes should not be worse than several tens of microns. Green curves in the mentioned figures shows acceptable diffraction loss level which is necessary in order to avoid excitation of the spurious degenerate mode. Among typical imperfections of the real resonator one should also consider possible inclination of a mode converter relative axis of the resonator. Results of simulations for this particular case are plotted in Fig. 33. As one can conclude the acceptable inclination range is approximately $\pm 1^{\circ}$ in order to keep the additional losses to be less than other already existing losses, such as Ohmic losses.



Fig. 29. Parameters for investigation of tolerance in TE_{01} - TE_{02} mode converter.

Omega-P, Inc. Final Report on 2010 SBIR Phase I grant DE-SC-0004491 SHORT-PERIOD RF UNDULATOR FOR A SASE NANOMETER SOURCE



Fig. 30. Diffraction losses due to incomplete conversion of TE_{01} mode into TE_{02} mode caused by length error. Green curve shows acceptable level.

Fig. 31. Diffraction losses due to incomplete conversion of TE_{01} mode into TE_{02} mode caused by radius error. Green curve shows acceptable level.

Tolerance analysis of the HE_{11} - HE_{12} resonant ring also included studies of unintended inclination of the mode converter and of the mirror in a miter bend, with respect to the resonator axis, with results shown in Fig. IIIc-21 and IIIc-22. Because inclination has a greater influence



Fig. 32. Additional diffraction losses in TE_{01} - TE_{02} mode converter caused by inclination of mode converter. Total losses (Ohmic and diffraction) without any inclination are ~1%.



Fig. 33. Additional diffraction losses in resonant ring caused by inclination of miter bend mirror. Green line shows diffraction losses in ideal miter bend.

the bigger the diameter, accuracy of a miter bend's angular position should be three times more stringent in comparison with TE_{01} - TE_{02} converter positioning. The green line in Fig. 34 shows diffraction losses in ideal miter bend with zero error in inclination.

Corrugation depth in the straight runs within the resonant ring is another important parameter which has to be investigated from the viewpoint of possible tolerances. Changes in corrugation depth lead to changes in propagation constants of the HE₁₁ and HE₁₂ modes. The Fig. 34 is a plot of additional losses due to errors in corrugation depth. In simulations it was assumed that a waveguide section of 250 mm length has an erroneous corrugation depth. The computational results shown for this case allows conclusion that corrugation depth should be produced with an accuracy of ± 0.3 mm.



Fig. 34. Additional diffraction losses in resonant ring caused by an error in depth of the corrugation in one of the straight waveguide sections. Green line shows diffraction losses in a single miter bend.

III. CONCLUSIONS

Particle orbit calculations, as described in Section II, show that the presence of a copropagating component of RF field can cause deleterious motion for the undulating electrons that can seriously degrade their radiation spectrum. To obviate this problem, resonator designs were devised in which only the counter-propagating field components interact with the particles. Two resonator configurations with the same undulator parameter K = 0.4 have parameters summarized in the Table below. Length of the wigglers where an electron beam interacts with a

counter-propagating RF wave is 50 cm in both cases. Other parameters and sizes are taken from Tables II and III.

This Table shows that the HE_{11} resonant ring has several obvious advantages as compared with the two-mode cavity, however it requires a relatively imposing input power.

	TE ₀₁ / TE ₀₂ Mode Conversion Resonator	HE11 Resonant Ring
possibility to change polarization	no	yes
input power	34 MW (13 MW) Note 1	120 MW (85 MW) Note 1
pulse duration	630 ns (1660 ns) Note 1	700 ns (970 ns) Note 1
surface electric field	0 Mv/m <i>Note</i> 2	20 MV/m Note 3
surface magnetic field	0.26 MA/m (0.9 MA/m) Note 4	0.15 MA/m (0.8 MA/m) Note 4
spurious mode (co- propagating to e-beam) excitation level	-50 dB	<-50 dB Note 5
dominant losses	Ohmic losses	diffraction losses
long RF undulator consisted of several modules	modules in tandem	one long ring is possible

Note 1 – Values in case of undulator cooling down to liquid nitrogen temperature.

- *Note 2* Surface electric field is not zero at coupling holes: it depends on field enhancement at corner roundings. The transmitted power through each hole is only 2 kW.
- Note 3 Surface field at corrugation when *E*-polarization is parallel to miter bend mirrors (Fig. IIIc-6). In case *E*-polarization is perpendicular to miter bend mirrors, maximum surface field there becomes ~ 200 MV/m.
- *Note* 4 Values in brackets are given at mode converters and at miter bend mirrors correspondingly.
- Note 5 In experiments with TE₀₁ resonant rings of similar Q-factor [10] the spurious travelling wave of the opposite rotation was never observed.

References

- 1. Z. Huang, K.-J. Kim, "Review of x-ray free-electron laser theory," *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams* **10**, 034801 (2007), and copious references therein.
- 2. C. Pellegrini, "X-Band Microwave Undulators for Short Wavelength Free-Electron Lasers," 27th Int. Free Electron Laser Conference, 21-26 August 2005, Stanford, p. 203.
- 3. S. Tantawi, V. Dolgashev, C. Nantista, C. Pellegrini, J. Rosenzweig, G. Travish, "A Coherent Compton Backscattering High Gain FEL Using An X-band Microwave Undulator," 27th Int. Free Electron Laser Conference, 21-26 Aug 2005, Stanford, p. 438.
- 4. R. Talman, "Accelerator X-Ray Sources," Wiley-VCH, ISBN: 3527405909.
- 5. T. Shintake, K. Huke, J. Tanaka, I. Sato and I.Kumabe, "Development of microwave undulator", Japanese J. of Appl. Phys., 22, p. 844-851 (1983).
- 6. M. Seidel, "Parameter Evaluation for Microwave Undulator Schemes", DESY-TESLA-FEL Report 2001-08 (2001).
- 7. S. Tantawi et al, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 8, 042002 (2005).
- 8. T.M. Tran, Bruce G. Danly, and J.S. Wurtele, "Free Electron Lasers With Electromagnetic Standing Wave Wigglers", IEEE J. Quantum Electr. QE-23, (1987).
- 9. R.B. Yoder, T.C. Marshall, and J.L. Hirshfield, "Energy-Gain Measurements from a Microwave Inverse Free-Electron-Laser Accelerator", Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1765 (2001).
- 10. Bogdashov, A.; Denisov, G.; Lukovnikov, D.; Rodin, Y.; Hirshfield, J., Ka-band resonant ring for testing components for a high-gradient linear accelerator, IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 2005, Vol. 53 Issue:10, pp. 3152 3155.