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Summary

During 2003, Congress considered many different budgetary measures. Most measures pertained to fiscal year (FY) 2004 (which began on October 1, 2003) and beyond. Some also pertained to the budget for FY2003. This report describes House and Senate action on major budgetary legislation within the framework of the congressional budget process and other procedural requirements.

As the 108th Congress began, only two of the 13 regular appropriations acts for FY2003 (which began on October 1, 2002) had been enacted. On February 13, 2003, the House and Senate agreed to the conference report to the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (H.J.Res. 2), which contains the 11 remaining regular appropriations acts for FY2003. On February 20, President George W. Bush signed the measure into law (P.L. 108-7), thereby bringing action on the FY2003 regular appropriations acts to a close.

Subsequently, Congress passed and President Bush signed two FY2003 supplemental appropriations acts into law (P.L. 108-11 and P.L. 108-69) on April 16 and August 8, respectively.

Congress typically begins its annual budget process for the upcoming fiscal year once the President submits his budget for that year. President Bush submitted his FY2004 budget to Congress on February 3, 2003.

The congressional budget process is centered around the adoption of an annual concurrent resolution on the budget. The budget resolution sets forth aggregate spending and revenue levels, and spending levels by major functional area, for at least five fiscal years. Budget resolution policies are implemented through the enactment of reconciliation bills, revenue and debt-limit legislation, and appropriations and other spending measures, and enforced by points of order that may be raised when legislation is pending on the House and Senate floor.


At the end of 2003, six of the 13 FY2004 regular appropriations acts, plus a FY2004 supplemental appropriations act, had been enacted. Congress incorporated the remaining seven regular appropriations acts into one measure — the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (H.R. 2673, H.Rept. 108-401). The House and Senate agreed to the conference report to the act on December 8, 2003, and January 22, 2004, respectively. On January 23, President Bush signed H.R. 2673 into law (P.L. 108-199), thereby bringing action on the FY2004 regular appropriations acts to a close.

This report will not be updated.
Contents

Concluding Actions ................................................................. 1
Introduction ............................................................................. 1
Overview of the Congressional Budget Process .................. 2
Budget Resolution ................................................................. 6
Reconciliation Legislation .................................................... 12
Revenue and Debt-Limit Legislation ............................... 16
  Revenue Legislation ....................................................... 16
  Debt-Limit Legislation .................................................. 18
Appropriations and Other Spending Legislation .......... 20
  Discretionary Spending .................................................. 21
  Mandatory Spending ..................................................... 26
Budget Enforcement and Sequestration ......................... 27
Chronology ............................................................................. 29
For Additional Reading ......................................................... 32
  Congressional Hearings, Reports, and Documents .......... 33
  CRS Products .................................................................. 33

List of Figures

Figure 1. Actual FY2002 Revenues by Source .................. 17
Figure 2. Actual FY2002 Outlays ................................. 20
  by Major Spending Category ...........................................

List of Tables

Table 1. The Congressional Budget Process Timetable .... 3
Table 2. Mapping Spending and Revenue Legislation through the
  Congressional Budget Process .......................................... 5
Table 3. Budget Baselines, FY2003-FY2008 ......................... 8
Table 4. Status on Regular Appropriations Acts for FY2004 24
Table 5. Timetable for Sequestration Actions .................... 29
Congressional Budget Actions in 2003

Concluding Actions

When Congress adjourned sine die on November 25, 2003, six of the 13 fiscal year (FY) 2004 regular appropriations acts, plus a FY2004 supplemental appropriations act, had been enacted. For agencies and programs contained in the remaining seven regular appropriations acts not yet signed into law, a continuing resolution (H.J.Res. 79, P.L. 108-135) had been enacted to provide temporary appropriations through January 31, 2004; four other continuing resolutions had provided temporary appropriations since the start of the fiscal year on October 1, 2003. To complete action on the remaining seven regular appropriations acts, Congress incorporated them into one measure — the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (H.R. 2673, H.Rept. 108-401). The House and Senate agreed to the conference report to the act on December 8, 2003, and January 22, 2004, respectively. On January 23, President Bush signed the measure into law (P.L. 108-199), thereby bringing action on the FY2004 regular appropriations acts to a close.

Introduction

During the first session of the 108th Congress, the House and Senate considered many different budgetary measures. Most of these measures pertained to FY2004 (which began on October 1, 2003) and beyond. Some also pertained to the budget for FY2003 (which began on October 1, 2002, and ended on September 30, 2003). This report describes House and Senate action on major budgetary legislation within the framework of the congressional budget process and other procedural requirements.

The House and Senate began 2003 with unfinished budgetary matters left over from the 107th Congress. At the start of the 108th Congress, only two of the 13 regular appropriations acts for FY2003 had been enacted. The federal agencies and programs funded in the 11 remaining regular appropriations acts were provided temporary appropriations by successive continuing resolutions since the beginning of the fiscal year. On February 20, 2003, President Bush signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (H.J.Res. 2, P.L. 108-7), which contains the 11 remaining regular appropriations acts, thereby bringing action on the

---

FY2003 regular appropriations acts to a close. In addition, during 2003, Congress adopted and President Bush signed into law two supplemental appropriations measures for FY2003 (discussed further below).

As the FY2004 budget cycle began, Congress faced an unfavorable budget outlook, exacerbated by an uncertain economic and geopolitical environment. According to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Congressional Budget Office (CBO), current budget projections under existing law, without any legislative changes, showed annual deficits in the unified budget (i.e., including federal funds and trust funds) in several of the ensuing fiscal years. When various proposed spending increases and tax cuts were taken into account, the projections indicated annual deficits for the foreseeable future. For example, OMB projected that if President Bush’s FY2004 budget policy proposals were enacted, annual unified budget deficits, ranging from $178 billion to $307 billion, would continue through FY2008.

In addition, the “soft” economy continued to put a damper on federal revenues. Also, the spending for the war on terrorism and homeland security, for military operations in Iraq, and for other legislative initiatives increased the scarcity of current and future federal government resources. Such factors potentially could worsen the already unfavorable budget outlook.

Overview of the Congressional Budget Process

The congressional budget process consists of the consideration and adoption of spending, revenue, and debt-limit legislation within the framework of an annual concurrent resolution on the budget.

Congress begins its budget process once the President submits his budget. The President is required by law to submit a comprehensive federal budget no later than the first Monday in February. The President’s budget includes estimates of direct spending and revenues under existing laws, as well as requests for discretionary spending (i.e., funds controlled through the appropriations process) for the upcoming fiscal year. In addition, the President frequently proposes new initiatives in his budget submission to Congress. Although Congress is not bound by the President’s budget, congressional action on spending and revenue legislation often is influenced by his recommendations, as well as subsequent budgetary activities by the President.

---


4 For more detailed information on the FY2004 budget throughout the year, see CRS Report RL31784, The Budget for Fiscal Year 2004, by Philip D. Winters.
during the year. OMB assists the President in formulating and coordinating his budget policies and activities.

On February 3, 2003, President Bush submitted his FY2004 budget to Congress. Following the usual practice, the President’s budget was submitted as a multi-volume set consisting of a main document that includes the President’s budget message and information on his 2004 proposals (Budget) and supplementary documents that provide special budgetary analyses (Analytical Perspectives), historical budget information (Historical Tables), and detailed account and program level information (Appendix), among other things. The FY2004 budget documents include a new volume relating to President Bush’s management agenda (Performance and Management Assessment). On July 15, President Bush submitted his Mid-Session Review of the budget to Congress. This report contains revised estimates of the budget deficit/surplus, receipts, outlays, and budget authority for FY2003 through FY2008, reflecting changed economic conditions and assumptions and congressional actions.5

The Congressional Budget Act (CBA) of 1974 (Titles I-IX of P.L. 93-344, 88 Stat. 297-332) established the congressional budget process, including a timetable for congressional action on budget legislation (see Table 1). The process is centered around the adoption of an annual concurrent resolution on the budget. The budget resolution sets forth aggregate spending and revenue levels, and spending levels by major functional area, for at least five fiscal years. Because the budget resolution is a concurrent resolution, it is not presented to the President for his signature, and thus does not become law. Instead, it is an agreement between the House and Senate on a congressional budget plan, providing a framework for subsequent legislative action on the budget during each congressional session.

Table 1. The Congressional Budget Process Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action to be completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Monday in February</td>
<td>President submits budget to Congress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 15</td>
<td>Congressional Budget Office submits economic and budget outlook report to Budget Committees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six weeks after President submits budget</td>
<td>Committees submit views and estimates to Budget Committees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1</td>
<td>Senate Budget Committee reports budget resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 15</td>
<td>Congress completes action on budget resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>Annual appropriations bills may be considered in the House, even if action on budget resolution has not been completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action to be completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>House Appropriations Committee reports last annual appropriations bill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>House completes action on reconciliation legislation (if required by budget resolution).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>House completes action on annual appropriations bills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 15</td>
<td>President submits mid-session review of his budget to Congress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1</td>
<td>Fiscal year begins.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Section 300 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-344, 2 U.S.C. 631).

**Note:** Dates serve as guidelines, except the first and last, which are required by law.

Budget resolution policies are implemented through the enactment of revenue and debt-limit legislation, appropriations and other spending measures, and, if required by the budget resolution, one or more reconciliation bills (see Table 2). Congress enforces budget resolution policies through points of order on the floor of each chamber and the reconciliation process. For example, any legislation that would cause the aggregate levels to be violated is prohibited from being considered. Further, the total budget authority and outlays set forth in the budget resolution are allocated among the House and Senate committees having jurisdiction over specific spending legislation. Any legislation, or amendment, that would cause these committee allocations to be exceeded is prohibited. Finally, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees subdivide their allocations among their respective 13 subcommittees. A point of order may be raised against any appropriations act, or amendment, that would cause one of these subdivisions to be exceeded. The budget resolution also contains spending levels by functional categories (e.g., national defense), but these are not enforceable. Congress also may use reconciliation legislation (discussed further below) to enforce direct spending, revenue, and debt-limit provisions of a budget resolution.

For FY1991 through FY2002, Congress and the President also were constrained by statutory limits on discretionary spending and a “pay-as-you-go” (PAYGO) requirement for direct spending and revenue legislation. Unlike the enforcement procedures associated with the budget resolution, which are employed while legislation is considered on the floor of each chamber, the discretionary spending limits and PAYGO requirement were enforced by a sequestration process generally after legislative action for a session of Congress ended. These budget enforcement mechanisms, however, expired at the end of FY2002 (i.e., September 30, 2002).

---

6 For more detailed information on these points of order and their application, see CRS Report 97-865, Points of Order in the Congressional Budget Process, by James V. Saturno.

7 These constraints were first established by the Budget Enforcement Act (BEA) of 1990 (Title XIII of P.L. 101-508, Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, 104 Stat. 1388-573-1388-630), which amended the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Title II of P.L. 99-177, 99 Stat. 1038-1101).
Table 2. Mapping Spending and Revenue Legislation through the Congressional Budget Process

The annual **budget resolution** is the centerpiece of the congressional budget process by setting forth aggregate spending and revenue levels for at least five fiscal years. Budget resolution policies are implemented through the enactment of appropriations and other spending measures, revenue legislation, and, if required by the budget resolution, one or more reconciliation bills. Spending amounts are allocated among each House and Senate committee with jurisdiction over specific spending legislation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discretionary spending and appropriated entitlements</th>
<th>Mandatory spending and revenues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Full Appropriations Committee subdivides its spending allocation [302(a) allocation] among its subcommittees [302(b) allocations].</td>
<td>• If the annual budget resolution contains optional reconciliation instructions, Congress considers legislation changing mandatory spending, revenues, or both through the reconciliation process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each of the 13 appropriations subcommittees holds hearings and drafts one of the 13 regular appropriations acts.</td>
<td>Separate from any reconciliation directives, Congress may consider and adopt individual mandatory spending or revenue legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Full Appropriations Committee reports each appropriations act, which must not exceed the respective 302(b) allocation.</td>
<td>• Each legislative committee directed to do so recommends legislative changes to existing law to achieve the mandatory spending or revenue levels set forth in the budget resolution and submits those recommendations to the Budget Committee by a date certain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Full chamber considers and adopts each appropriations act.</td>
<td>• Budget Committee packages the committees’ legislative recommendations into one or more omnibus reconciliation measures, “without any substantive revision.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• House and Senate resolve differences in conference committees.</td>
<td>• Full chamber considers omnibus reconciliation measure under special procedures that limit the measure’s contents and floor debate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• House and Senate separately agree to conference reports to each appropriations act.</td>
<td>• House and Senate resolve differences in conference committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• President signs each appropriations act into law.</td>
<td>• House and Senate separately agree to conference report to omnibus reconciliation legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each legislative committee may hold hearings and consider legislation referred to it or draft original legislation.</td>
<td>• President signs omnibus reconciliation legislation into law.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Each committee may report to its parent chamber mandatory spending or revenue legislation, which must not cause direct spending under the committee’s jurisdiction to exceed its spending ceiling [302(a) allocation] or cause revenues to fall below the revenue floor set forth in the budget resolution.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Full chamber considers individual mandatory spending or revenue legislation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• House and Senate resolve differences in conference committees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• House and Senate separately agree to conference reports to individual mandatory spending or revenue legislation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• President signs individual mandatory spending or revenue legislation into law.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the beginning of the 108th Congress, it was not clear whether Congress and the President would restore these budget enforcement mechanisms, establish similar but modified ones, or take no action on additional budget controls. In the event Congress considers restoring or modifying these budget enforcement mechanisms during 2003, the last section of this report provides an overview of how they operated.

### Budget Resolution

The Congressional Budget Act, as amended, establishes the concurrent resolution on the budget as the centerpiece of the congressional budget process. The budget resolution sets forth aggregate spending and revenue levels, and spending levels by major functional area, for at least five fiscal years. Once adopted, it provides the framework for subsequent action on budget-related legislation.

Following the submission of the President’s budget early in the year, Congress begins formulating the budget resolution. The House and Senate Budget Committees are responsible for developing and reporting the budget resolution. In formulating it, the Budget Committees hold hearings and receive testimony from Members of Congress and representatives from federal departments and agencies, the general public, and national organizations. Two regular hearings include separate testimony from the CBO director and the OMB director. On January 30, 2003, CBO Acting Director Barry B. Anderson presented CBO’s baseline budget projections for FY2004-FY2013 during testimony to the Senate Budget Committee. On February 4, the day after President Bush’s FY2004 budget was submitted to Congress, OMB Director Mitchell E. Daniels Jr. provided an overview of the budget request, and defended it, before the House Budget Committee.

The congressional budget resolution, as well as the President’s budget, is based on budget baselines (see Table 3). The budget baseline is a projection of federal revenue, spending, and deficit or surplus levels based upon current policies, assuming certain economic conditions. The President’s budget baseline, referred to as current services estimates, is included in the budget documents submitted to Congress. The

---

8 In his FY2004 budget, President Bush proposed to restore and extend for two years (FY2004 and FY2005) the statutory limits on discretionary spending and the PAYGO requirement for direct spending and revenue legislation. See OMB, *Budget of the U.S. Government, Fiscal Year 2004, Analytical Perspectives*, pp. 315-316.

9 Mr. Anderson’s written testimony is available on CBO’s website at [http://www.cbo.gov/showdoc.cfm?index=4031&sequence=0], visited on Jan. 29, 2004.


11 See the summary table S-13 in the main *Budget* volume, p. 330, and chapter 15 of the (continued...)
President’s baseline usually differs from CBO’s baseline, referred to as baseline budget projections, because of different economic and technical assumptions. Baseline projections provide a benchmark for measuring the budgetary effects of proposed policy changes. On January 29, 2003, CBO released its annual report on budget baseline projections, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2004-2013*. On March 25, CBO released its revised budget baseline projections in its report *An Analysis of the President’s Budgetary Proposals for Fiscal Year 2004*. The report also contains estimates of the President’s proposals using CBO’s economic and technical assumptions, and provides an analysis of the potential macroeconomic effects of the President’s budgetary proposals. Finally, on August 26, CBO released its annual update report, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: An Update*, providing further revised budget baseline projections.\(^\text{12}\)

\(^{11}\)...continued


Table 3. Budget Baselines, FY2003-FY2008  
(in billions of dollars)

|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| **Office of Management and Budget — July 2003**  
(current services estimates — without President Bush’s proposals) |        |        |        |        |        |        |                    |
<p>| <strong>Outlays</strong>      | 2,210  | 2,252  | 2,304  | 2,377  | 2,481  | 2,587  | 12,001             |
| <strong>Revenues</strong>     | 1,756  | 1,794  | 2,063  | 2,267  | 2,403  | 2,525  | 11,051             |
| <strong>Total Surplus/Deficit (-)</strong> | -455   | -458   | -241   | -110   | -78    | -62    | -949               |
| <strong>On-budget</strong>    | -614   | -621   | -427   | -313   | -300   | -300   | -1,962             |
| <strong>Off-budget</strong>a  | 159    | 164    | 186    | 203    | 222    | 238    | 1,013              |
| <strong>(current services estimates — with President Bush’s proposals)</strong> |        |        |        |        |        |        |                    |
| <strong>Outlays</strong>      | 2,212  | 2,272  | 2,338  | 2,452  | 2,573  | 2,706  | 12,341             |
| <strong>Revenues</strong>     | 1,756  | 1,797  | 2,033  | 2,215  | 2,360  | 2,480  | 10,885             |
| <strong>Total Surplus/Deficit (-)</strong> | -455   | -475   | -304   | -238   | -213   | -226   | -1,456             |
| <strong>On-budget</strong>    | -615   | -639   | -490   | -441   | -435   | -464   | -2,469             |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Off-budget</strong>a</th>
<th>159</th>
<th>164</th>
<th>186</th>
<th>203</th>
<th>222</th>
<th>238</th>
<th>1,013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outlays</td>
<td>2,170</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>2,404</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>2,624</td>
<td>2,761</td>
<td>12,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>1,825</td>
<td>2,064</td>
<td>2,276</td>
<td>2,421</td>
<td>2,564</td>
<td>11,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Surplus/Deficit (-)</td>
<td>-401</td>
<td>-480</td>
<td>-341</td>
<td>-225</td>
<td>-203</td>
<td>-197</td>
<td>-1,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-budget(^a)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^a\) Off-budget surpluses comprise surpluses in the Social Security trust funds as well as the net cash flow of the Postal Service.
Another source of input comes from the “views and estimates” of congressional committees with jurisdiction over spending and revenues. Within six weeks after the President’s budget submission, each House and Senate committee is required to submit views and estimates of budget matters under its jurisdiction to its respective Budget Committee. These views and estimates, frequently submitted in the form of a letter to the chair and ranking minority Member of the Budget Committee, typically include comments on the President’s budget proposals and estimates of the budgetary impact of any legislation likely to be considered during the current session of Congress. The Budget Committees are not bound by these recommendations. The Senate Budget Committee print to accompany S.Con.Res. 23, the Senate version of the FY2004 budget resolution, contains the views and estimates of Senate committees (S.Prt. 108-19). The House Budget Committee has compiled the views and estimates of House committees as a separate committee print (Serial No. CP-1).

The budget resolution was designed to provide a framework to make budget decisions, leaving specific program determinations to House and Senate Appropriations Committees and other committees with spending and revenue jurisdiction. In many instances, however, particular program changes are considered when the budget resolution is formulated. Program assumptions sometimes are referred to in the reports of the House and Senate Budget Committees and usually are discussed during floor action. Although these program changes are not binding, committees may be strongly influenced by the recommendations when formulating appropriations bills, reconciliation measures, or other budgetary legislation.

The House Budget Committee marked up and voted to report the House version of the FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95, H.Rept. 108-37) by a vote of 24-19 on March 12. During markup, the Committee considered 33 amendments to the chairman’s mark; two amendments were adopted and 31 amendments were rejected. The Senate Budget Committee marked up and voted to report the Senate version of the FY2004 budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 23, S.Prt. 108-19) by a vote of 12-11 on March 13. During markup, the Committee considered 32 amendments to the chairman’s mark; 12 amendments were adopted and 20 amendments were rejected.

---


The congressional budget process timetable sets April 15 as a target date for final adoption of the budget resolution.15 The CBA prohibits the consideration of spending, revenue, or debt-limit legislation for the upcoming year until the budget resolution has been adopted, unless the rule is waived or set aside. The House and Senate consider the budget resolution under procedures generally intended to expedite final action.

In the House, the budget resolution usually is considered under a special rule, limiting the time of debate and allowing only a few amendments, as substitutes to the entire resolution. On March 20, the House considered H.Con.Res. 95 under a structured rule (H.Res. 151, H.Rept. 108-44) reported by the House Rules Committee. The special rule provided that a manager’s amendment in the nature of a substitute be considered as adopted and made in order only the four amendments in the nature of a substitute printed in the House Rules Committee report. The House passed H.Res. 151 by voice vote. During consideration of the FY2004 budget resolution, the House rejected the four amendments made in order by the special rule. The House subsequently agreed to H.Con.Res. 95 by a 215-212 vote on March 21.16

---

15 In years Congress is late in adopting, or does not adopt, a budget resolution, the House and Senate independently may adopt a “deeming resolution” for the purpose of enforcing certain budget levels. A deeming resolution, typically in the form of a simple resolution, specifies certain budget levels normally contained in the budget resolution, including aggregate spending and revenue levels, spending allocations to House and Senate committees, spending allocations to the Appropriations Committees only, or a combination of these. In some cases, an entire budget resolution, earlier adopted by one chamber, may be deemed to have been passed. Under a deeming resolution, the enforcement procedures related to the Congressional Budget Act, as discussed below, have the force and effect as if Congress had adopted a budget resolution.

In 2002, for example, in the absence of an agreement on an FY2003 budget resolution with the Senate, the House adopted a resolution deeming the House-adopted FY2003 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 353, 107th Congress) to have been agreed to by Congress. The Senate did not take any similar action.

At the beginning of the 108th Congress, the House agreed to deem the FY2003 budget resolution adopted by the House during the 107th Congress (H.Con.Res. 353) to have been adopted by the 108th Congress. Under this deeming resolution, the enforcement procedures of the Congressional Budget Act will have the force and effect on budget legislation pertaining to FY2003 and beyond in the House as if the budget resolution had been adopted by Congress, until Congress adopts an FY2004 budget resolution. See Sec. 3(a)(4) of H.Res. 5, adopted on Jan. 7, 2003. Also, the provision required the House Budget Committee chair to submit for printing in the Congressional Record the committee allocations associated with the spending levels contained in H.Con.Res. 353, and other related budget information. In the absence of official committee chair assignments, Sec. 2 of H.Res. 14, adopted by the House on Jan. 8, 2003, provided that Rep. Jim Nussle, the prospective House Budget Committee chair (see H.Res. 24), could submit the committee allocations. He did so on the same date. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 149, Jan. 8, 2003, pp. H74-H75.

The Senate considers the budget resolution under the procedures set forth in the CBA, sometimes as modified by a unanimous consent agreement. Debate on the initial consideration of the budget resolution, and all amendments, debatable motions, and appeals, is limited to 50 hours. Amendments, motions, and appeals may be considered beyond this time limit, but without debate. Consideration of the conference report is limited to 10 hours. The Senate considered its version of the FY2004 budget resolution on March 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, and 26. During consideration of S.Con.Res. 23, the Senate considered 82 amendments; 44 amendments were adopted, 35 amendments were rejected, one amendment was withdrawn, and two amendments fell on points of order. On March 26, the Senate agreed to S.Con.Res. 23, as amended, by a 56-44 vote. Subsequently, the Senate incorporated its version of the FY2004 budget resolution in the companion measure, H.Con.Res. 95, as an amendment and agreed to it by unanimous consent.17

After resolving the differences between their respective versions, the House and Senate agreed to the conference report to accompany the FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95, H.Rept. 108-71) by votes of 216-211 and 51-50 (with Vice President Richard B. Cheney voting in the affirmative to break the tie), respectively, on April 11 (legislative day April 10, for the House).18 H.Con.Res. 95 sets forth appropriate budgetary levels for FY2004 as follows: $1,325.5 billion in revenues; $1,861.0 billion in budget authority; $1,883.8 billion in outlays; and $558.4 billion in the on-budget deficit.19

**Reconciliation Legislation**

Congress may implement changes to existing law related to direct spending, revenues, or the debt limit through the reconciliation process, provided for in Section 310 of the CBA. The reconciliation process has two stages. First, Congress may include reconciliation instructions in a budget resolution directing one or more committees to recommend changes in statute to achieve the levels of spending, revenues, and debt limit agreed to in the budget resolution. Second, the legislative language recommended by these committees is packaged “without any substantive revision” into one or more reconciliation bills, as set forth in the budget resolution, by the House and Senate Budget Committees. In some instances, a committee may be required to report its legislative recommendations directly to its chamber.

---


19 The totals in the budget resolution do not include the revenues and outlays of the Social Security trust funds or the net cash flow of the U.S. Postal Service (i.e., the only off-budget entities).
Once reconciliation legislation is reported, it is considered under special procedures. These procedures serve to limit what may be included in reconciliation legislation, to prohibit certain amendments, and to encourage its completion in a timely fashion. In the House, as with the budget resolution, reconciliation legislation usually is considered under a special rule, establishing the time allotted for debate and what amendments will be in order. In the Senate, the CBA provides that debate on a budget reconciliation bill, and on all amendments, debatable motions, and appeals, is limited to not more than 20 hours. After the 20 hours of debate has been reached, consideration of amendments, motions, and appeals may continue, but without debate.

In both chambers, the CBA requires that amendments to reconciliation legislation be deficit neutral and germane. Also, the CBA prohibits the consideration of reconciliation legislation, or any amendment to a reconciliation bill, recommending changes to the Social Security program. Finally, in the Senate, Section 313 of the CBA, commonly referred to as the Byrd rule, prohibits extraneous matter in a reconciliation bill.  

Both the House and Senate versions of the FY2004 budget resolution provided for reconciliation legislation covering the period FY2003-FY2013. The House version, agreed to on March 21, 2003, provided for two separate reconciliation measures: one for tax cuts (equal to about $730 billion) and the other for mandatory spending cuts (equal to about $264.5 billion). The Senate version, agreed to on March 26, provided for one reconciliation measure for tax cuts (equal to $350 billion).  

The conference report to H.Con.Res. 95, agreed to by the House and Senate on April 11, provides for one reconciliation measure, to be reported by the appropriate committees no later than May 8. The reconciliation directives require the House Ways and Means Committee to report legislation reducing revenues by $535 billion and increasing spending by $15 billion for the period FY2003-FY2013, and require the Senate Finance Committee to report legislation reducing revenues by $522.524 billion and increasing spending by $27.476 billion for the period FY2003-FY2013. Although both directives call for reconciliation legislation equal to $550 billion for the period FY2003-FY2013, Section 202 of H.Con.Res. 95 provides a point of order against Senate consideration of reconciliation legislation reported pursuant to the directives, or an amendment thereto, that would cause the total revenue reductions and outlay increases to exceed $350 billion. The point of order, however, would not

---

20 For detailed information on the Byrd rule, see CRS Report RL30862, Budget Reconciliation Procedures: The Senate’s “Byrd Rule,” by Robert Keith.


22 Section 104 of the Senate-passed version of H.Con.Res. 95.

23 For further discussion, see CRS Report RL31902, Revenue Reconciliation Directives in the FY2004 Budget Resolution, by Robert Keith.
apply to a conference report on such reconciliation legislation.24 Nevertheless, during Senate consideration of the conference report, Senator Charles Grassley, Finance Committee Chair, reiterated the Senate’s commitment to limiting the reconciliation legislation conference report to no more than $350 billion. Specifically, he stated:

Let me be clear, without this agreement, the budget resolution conference report would not pass the Senate today.... I agreed that I would not return from the conference on the growth package with a number greater than $350 billion in revenue reductions. This means that, at the end of the day, the tax cut side of the growth package will not exceed $350 billion over the period of the reconciliation instruction.25

Pursuant to the reconciliation instructions in the FY2004 budget resolution (Section 201 of H.Con.Res. 95), the House Ways and Means Committee marked up and voted to report H.R. 2, Jobs and Growth Reconciliation Tax Act of 2003, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute (H.Rept. 108-94), by a vote of 24-15 on May 6. During markup, the Committee considered and rejected five amendments to the Chair’s amendment in the nature of a substitute.26 On May 9, the full House considered, under a closed rule (H.Res. 227, H.Rept. 108-95), and passed H.R. 2 by a vote of 222-203.27 H.R. 2, as passed by the House, would reduce revenues by $535.7 billion and increase outlays by $13.8 billion over the 11-year period covering FY2003-FY2013.28

---


In the Senate, the Finance Committee initially marked up and voted to report S. 2, Jobs and Growth Reconciliation Act of 2003, with an amendment in the nature of a substitute (without written report), by a vote of 12-9 on May 8. During markup, the committee considered 17 amendments; six were adopted, 10 were rejected, and one was withdrawn.29 The Senate was expected to begin consideration of S. 2 on May 12,30 but it delayed its consideration because the Senate Parliamentarian reportedly ruled that S. 2, as reported by the Senate Finance Committee, would not qualify as reconciliation legislation pursuant to the instructions contained in the FY2004 budget resolution.31 Subsequently, on May 13 by a 12-9 vote, the Senate Finance Committee voted to report an original measure, S. 1054, Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 (without written report), which was virtually identical to S. 2, as previously reported with an amendment in the nature of a substitute.32 Under the special floor procedures described above, the Senate considered S. 1054 on May 14 and 15. During consideration of S. 1054, the Senate considered 67 amendments; 30 amendments were adopted, nine amendments were rejected, seven amendments were withdrawn, and 21 amendments fell on points of order. On May 15, the Senate adopted H.R. 2, after striking all after the enacting clause and inserting the text of S. 1054, as amended, by a vote of 51-49.33 While an official cost estimate for the Senate-passed reconciliation legislation has not been released by CBO or JCT, the measure reportedly would reduce revenues by a total of $431 billion, offset by fees and tax increases of $101 billion, and provide $20 billion in state and local aid, over the 11-year period covering FY2003-FY2013.34

---


30 On May 9, the Senate reached a unanimous consent agreement providing that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. 2 at 2 p.m. on Monday, May 12, 2003. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 149, May 9, 2003, p. S6011.


34 See, for example, Alan K. Ota, “For Business, Tax Conference Will Be All Damage Control,” CQ Weekly, May 17, 2003, pp. 1168-1175, especially table on p. 1175. CBO released a cost estimate for S. 1054, as reported by the Senate Finance Committee, which is available at [http://www.cbo.gov/showdoc.cfm?index=4218&sequence=0], visited Jan. 29, 2004. As required by the 1974 CBA, the estimates for the revenue provisions contained in S. 1054 were provided by the Joint Committee on Taxation. For detailed estimates of the revenue provisions contained in the reported version of S. 1054, see Joint Committee on Taxation, Estimated Budget Effects of the “Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act Of 2003,” (JCX-50-03), May 13, 2003, available at [http://www.house.gov/jct/x-50-03.pdf], visited on Jan. 29, 2004.
After resolving the differences between their respective versions,\textsuperscript{35} the House and Senate agreed to the conference report to accompany H.R. 2 (H.Rept. 108-126) by votes of 231-200 and 51-50 (with Vice President Cheney voting in the affirmative to break the tie), respectively, on May 23 (legislative day May 22, for the House).\textsuperscript{36} According to CBO and the JCT, H.R. 2 is projected to reduce federal revenues by $320.1 billion and increase federal outlays by $29.5 billion over the 11-year period covering FY2003-FY2013.\textsuperscript{37} President Bush signed H.R. 2, the Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, into law (P.L. 108-27) on May 28, 2003.

**Revenue and Debt-Limit Legislation**

Congress may adopt individual revenue and debt-limit measures without employing the optional reconciliation process as well.

**Revenue Legislation.** Revenue and debt-limit legislation is under the jurisdiction of the House Ways and Means Committee and the Senate Finance Committee. Article I, Section 7, of the U.S. Constitution requires revenue legislation originate in the House of Representatives, but the Senate has considerable latitude to amend a revenue bill received from the House.

Most of the laws establishing the federal government's revenue sources are permanent and continue year after year without any additional legislative action (see Figure 1).\textsuperscript{38} Congress, however, typically enacts revenue legislation, changing some

---

\textsuperscript{35} For a discussion and comparison of the two versions of the tax legislation as well as the President’s tax cut proposals, see CRS Report RL31907, *Tax Cut Bills in 2003: A Comparison*, by David L. Brumbaugh and Don C. Richards.


\textsuperscript{38} Chart created by CRS based on data from Congressional Budget Office, *The Budget and (continued...)*
Revenue legislation is not considered automatically in the congressional budget process on an annual basis. Frequently, however, the President proposes and Congress considers changes in the rates of taxation or the distribution of the tax burden. An initial step in the congressional budget process is the publication of revenue estimates of the President’s budget by CBO. On March 25, CBO released its report *An Analysis of the President’s Budgetary Proposals for Fiscal Year 2004*, which contains estimates of the President’s proposals using CBO’s economic and technical assumptions, and provides an analysis of the potential macroeconomic effects of the President’s budgetary proposals. These revenue estimates usually differ from the President’s, since they are based on different economic and technical assumptions (e.g., growth of the economy and change in the inflation rate). Cost estimates of any congressional revenue proposals are prepared by CBO, based on revenue estimates made by the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT), and are

---

38 (...continued)


published in committee reports or in the *Congressional Record*, as well as available on JCT’s website.  

The budget resolution includes baseline estimates of federal government revenues based on the continuation of existing laws and any proposed policy changes. The revenue levels in the budget resolution provide the framework for any action on revenue measures during the session. A point of order may be raised against the consideration of legislation that causes revenues to fall below the agreed upon levels for the first fiscal year or the total for all fiscal years in the budget resolution. This point of order may be set aside by unanimous consent, or waived by a special rule in the House or by a three-fifths vote in the Senate.

A Senate PAYGO point of order, under Section 505 of the FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95), also may be raised against any revenue legislation not assumed in the most recently adopted budget resolution that would increase or cause an on-budget deficit for the first fiscal year, the period of the first five fiscal years, or the following five fiscal years, covered by the most recently adopted budget resolution. A motion to waive the point of order requires a three-fifths vote (i.e., 60 Senators if there are no vacancies).

The conference report to H.Con.Res. 95, agreed to by the House and Senate on April 11, allows for revenue reductions of about $1.225 trillion for the period FY2003-FY2013, below the budget baseline projected levels.  

**Debt-Limit Legislation.** The amount of money the federal government is allowed to borrow generally is subject to a statutory limit (31 U.S.C. 3101). From time to time, Congress and the President have enacted legislation to raise this limit.  

Federal debt consists of debt held by the public plus debt held by government accounts. The debt held by the public represents the total net amount borrowed from the public to cover the federal government’s budget deficits. By contrast, the debt held by government accounts represents the total net amount of federal debt issued to specialized federal accounts, primarily trust funds (e.g., Social Security). Trust fund surpluses by law must be invested in special (non-negotiable) federal government securities and thus are held in the form of federal debt. The combination of both types of debt is subject to the statutory public debt limit. Therefore, budget deficits or trust fund surpluses may contribute to the federal government reaching the existing debt limit.

The most recent increase in the public-debt limit was enacted as an independent measure (P.L. 107-199, 116 Stat. 734) in June 2002. The debt-limit measure

---

40 See [http://www.house.gov/jct/].

41 Total level of revenue reductions based on Section 101(1)(B) of H.Con.Res. 95 (H.Rept. 108-71). This amount does not include any outlay increases associated with certain tax credits assumed in the budget resolution.

increased the statutory limit by $450 billion, to $6,400 billion. As early as December 2002, the Administration indicated that the debt subject to the limit might reach the statutory ceiling in the first half of 2003.\textsuperscript{43} Most recently, Treasury Secretary Snow informed Congress that the Treasury would reach the debt ceiling “on or about May 28, 2003,” stating:

The Treasury has now taken all prudent and legal steps to avoid reaching the statutory debt limit, including reducing the size of our regular bill auctions and drawing down available cash. An immediate permanent increase in the debt limit is crucial to preserve the confidence in the US Government and to prevent uncertainty that would adversely affect our economic recovery.\textsuperscript{44}

Pursuant to House Rule XXVII, upon the adoption of the FY2004 budget resolution, the House Clerk engrossed and transmitted to the Senate a joint resolution (H.J.Res. 51) increasing the public debt limit by $984 billion, to $7,384 billion. House Rule XXVII (commonly referred to as the “Gephardt rule” after its author, Representative Richard Gephardt) provides that, upon the adoption of the budget resolution by Congress, a joint resolution specifying the amount of the public debt limit contained in the budget resolution automatically is engrossed and deemed to have passed the House by the same vote as the conference report on the budget resolution, thereby avoiding a separate vote on the debt-limit legislation.\textsuperscript{45} H.J.Res. 51 was deemed to have been adopted by the House on April 11 by a vote of 216-211 (i.e., the vote upon which the House agreed to the FY2004 budget resolution).

The Senate has no comparable automatic engrossment procedure; if it chooses to consider a House-passed joint resolution, it does so under the regular legislative process. On May 23, following the vote on adoption of the conference report to H.R. 2, Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003, the Senate began consideration of H.J.Res. 51 under the provisions of an unanimous consent agreement reached on May 7.\textsuperscript{46} During consideration of H.J.Res. 51, the Senate considered eight amendments; seven amendments were rejected and one amendment


\textsuperscript{44} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{45} For further information on the Gephardt rule, see CRS Report RL31913, \textit{Developing Debt-Limit Legislation: The House’s “Gephardt Rule,”} by Bill Heniff Jr.

\textsuperscript{46} The unanimous consent agreement provided that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, the Senate proceed to the consideration of H.J.Res. 51; that first-degree amendments be limited to 12 per side; and that no amendments relevant to gun liability and hate crimes be in order. See \textit{Congressional Record}, daily edition, vol. 149, May 7, 2003, p. S5838.
fell on a point of order. Subsequently, the Senate passed the measure by a vote of 53-44. On May 27, President Bush signed H.J.Res. 51, increasing the statutory limit on the public debt, into law (P.L. 108-24).

Appropriations and Other Spending Legislation

Federal spending is categorized into two different types: discretionary or mandatory spending. Discretionary spending is controlled through the annual appropriations acts, while mandatory or direct spending (which consists mostly of entitlement programs) is determined by existing law.

Actual FY2002 federal outlays totaled $2,011 billion (see Figure 2). Of this total amount, $734 billion, or about 36.5%, was discretionary spending (exploded slices in Figure 2), while $1,277 billion, or 63.5%, was mandatory spending.

As noted above, the total budget authority and outlays set forth in the budget resolution are allocated among the House and Senate committees with jurisdiction over specific spending legislation. These allocations, commonly referred to as 302(a)

---


Allocations after the applicable section of the Congressional Budget Act, are specified in the joint explanatory statement accompanying the conference report to the budget resolution. A point of order may be raised against any legislation that would cause a committee’s spending allocation to be exceeded.

**Discretionary Spending.** Discretionary spending is under the jurisdiction of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. Soon after the budget resolution is adopted by Congress, the House and Senate Appropriations Committees subdivide their spending allocations among their subcommittees and formally report these suballocations to their respective chambers. These suballocations, referred to as 302(b) allocations after the applicable section of the Congressional Budget Act, represent the spending ceilings on the individual regular appropriations acts. During the appropriations process, these suballocations usually are revised several times.

Congress passes three main types of appropriations measures. *Regular appropriations acts* provide budget authority for the next fiscal year, beginning on October 1. Each of the 13 subcommittees of the Appropriations Committees of the House and Senate is responsible for one of the 13 regular appropriations acts. *Supplemental appropriations acts* provide additional funding for unexpected needs while the fiscal year is in progress. *Continuing appropriations acts*, commonly referred to as continuing resolutions, provide stop-gap funding for agencies that have not received regular appropriations by the start of the fiscal year.

The President’s budget includes recommendations for the annual appropriations; account and program level detail about these recommendations is included in the *Appendix* volume of the President’s budget documents. In addition, agencies submit justification materials to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees. The budget justifications provide more detailed information about an agency’s program activities than is contained in the President’s budget documents and are used in support of agency testimony during Appropriations subcommittee hearings on the President’s budget request.

The House and Senate appropriations subcommittees begin holding extensive hearings on appropriations requests shortly after the President’s budget is submitted. By custom, appropriations measures originate in the House. In recent years, the Senate Appropriations Committee has adopted and reported original Senate appropriations measures, allowing the Senate to consider appropriations measures without having to wait for the House to adopt its version. Under this practice, the Senate version is considered and amended on the floor, and then inserted into the House-adopted version, when available, as a substitute amendment, thereby retaining the House-numbered bill for final action.

**Appropriations for FY2003.** At the outset of the 108th Congress, only two of the 13 regular appropriations acts for FY2003 had been enacted. Since the start of FY2003, the federal government agencies and programs not yet funded in regular

---

appropriations acts received temporary appropriations in eight successive continuing resolutions (CRs): P.L. 107-229 (through October 4, 2002); P.L. 107-235 (through October 11); P.L. 107-240 (through October 18); P.L. 107-244 (through November 22); P.L. 107-294 (through January 11, 2003); P.L. 108-2 (through January 31); P.L. 108-4 (through February 7); and P.L. 108-5 (through February 20).

On February 13, the House and Senate agreed to the conference report to the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003 (H.J.Res. 2), which contains the 11 remaining regular appropriations acts for FY2003. On February 20, President Bush signed the measure into law (P.L. 108-7), thereby bringing action on the FY2003 regular appropriations acts to a close.

In addition to the 13 regular appropriations acts, Congress typically acts on at least one supplemental appropriations measure during a session. On March 25, within days of initiating military operations in Iraq, President Bush submitted a $74.7 billion supplemental appropriations request for FY2003 to provide additional resources for operations in Iraq, international assistance and Iraqi relief and reconstruction, homeland security, and the legislative branch.

On April 1, in response to the President’s request, the Senate Appropriations Committee reported S. 762, Supplemental Appropriations Act to Support Department of Defense Operations in Iraq for Fiscal Year 2003 (S.Rept. 108-33). The Senate considered S. 762 on April 2 and 3, and adopted the measure with amendments by a 93-0 vote on April 3. In the House, the House Appropriations Committee reported H.R. 1559, Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-55) on April 2. The House considered H.R. 1559 under an open rule (H.Res. 172) on April 3, and adopted the measure by a 414-12 vote. Subsequently, on April 7, the Senate passed H.R. 1559 by unanimous consent in lieu of S. 762 after incorporating the text of S. 762 in H.R. 1559 as an amendment.

House and Senate conferees resolved the differences between the two versions of the FY2003 supplemental appropriations measure and filed a conference report (H.Rept. 108-76) on April 12. The House and Senate agreed to the conference report on H.R. 1559 by voice vote and unanimous consent, respectively, on the same day. President Bush signed the legislation into law (P.L. 108-11) on April 16. P.L. 108-11 provides $78.5 billion in supplemental appropriations for FY2003 for operations in

---


52 On April 11, the Senate had agreed by unanimous consent that when the Senate received the conference report from the House, and with the concurrence of the two Senate leaders, the conference report would be agreed to by the Senate. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 149, Apr. 11, 2003, p. S5392.
Iraq, international assistance and Iraqi relief and reconstruction, homeland security, the legislative branch, and aviation assistance.

On July 7, 2003, President Bush submitted an additional FY2003 supplemental appropriations request totaling $1,889 million for wildfire suppression and emergency rehabilitation activities, emergency disaster response expenses, and recovery and investigation costs associated with the Space Shuttle Columbia accident.53

On July 25, in response to the President’s request, the House considered and passed H.R. 2859, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2003, by a vote of 352-60. Subsequently, on July 31, the Senate passed H.R. 2859 without amendment by unanimous consent.54 President Bush signed the legislation into law (P.L. 108-69) on August 8. P.L. 108-69 provides $983.6 million for emergency disaster assistance for FY2003.

Appropriations for FY2004. Pursuant to the FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95), the House and Senate Appropriations Committees each received spending allocations of $784,675 million in budget authority and $861,084 million in outlays for the FY2004 regular appropriations measures.55 On June 24, 2003, the House Appropriations Committee’s spending allocation was increased to $785,565 million in budget authority and $861,342 million in outlays to accommodate additional spending for Project Bioshield.56

On June 17, 2003, the House Appropriations Committee approved its initial spending allocations to its subcommittees (H.Rept. 108-171) and has revised these suballocations once (on July 22, 2003, H.Rept. 108-228). On June 20, the Senate Appropriations Committee approved its initial spending suballocations (S.Rept. 108-77) and has revised these suballocations six times (on July 14, S.Rept. 108-101; on July 16, S.Rept. 108-103; on September 5, S.Rept. 108-145; on September 16,

53 A copy of the request is available on OMB’s website at [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/budget/amendments/supplemental_7_7_03.pdf].

54 Prior to this action, the Senate provided emergency supplemental appropriations for FY2003 in Title III of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 2004 (H.R. 2657/S. 1383), which passed the Senate on July 11. The House did not include emergency supplemental appropriations for FY2003 in its version of H.R. 2657.


57 For the up-to-date status of and further information on the FY2004 appropriations bills, see [http://www.crs.gov/products/appropriations/apppage.shtml] the CRS Appropriations website.

### Table 4. Status on Regular Appropriations Acts for FY2004

| Title and Bill Number(s) | Date Passed House | Date Passed Senate | Date Enacted
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------
| Agriculture (H.R. 2673/S. 1427) | July 14 | November 6 | January 23, 2004 (P.L. 108-199)\(^a\)
| Energy and Water (H.R. 2754/S. 1424) | July 18 | September 16 | December 1, 2003 (P.L. 108-137)
| Legislative Branch (H.R. 2657/S. 1383) | July 9 | July 11 | September 30, 2003 (P.L. 108-83)
| Transportation-Treasury (H.R. 2989/S. 1589) | September 9 | October 23 | January 23, 2004 (P.L. 108-199)\(^a\)

\(^a\) Congress incorporated seven regular appropriations bills into one measure — the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (H.R. 2673, H.Rept. 108-401).
Congress also often adopts one or more continuing resolutions each year because of recurring delays in the appropriations process. As noted above, for example, Congress passed eight continuing resolutions before completing action on the FY2003 regular appropriations acts. At the beginning of FY2004 on October 1, 2003, only three of the 13 regular appropriations acts had been enacted. Consequently, Congress passed and President Bush signed into law a continuing appropriations act (H.J.Res. 69, P.L. 108-84) to provide temporary appropriations through October 31, 2003, for agencies and programs funded in the remaining 10 regular appropriations measures not yet enacted. The House and Senate passed the continuing resolution by a 407-8 vote and by unanimous consent, respectively, on September 25. President Bush signed the measure into law on September 30. Subsequently, Congress and President Bush extended the temporary funding through November 7 (H.J.Res. 75, P.L. 108-104), November 21 (H.J.Res. 76, P.L. 108-107), and January 31, 2004 (H.J.Res. 79, P.L. 108-135).58

On December 8, 2003, and January 22, 2004, respectively, the House and Senate agreed to the conference report to H.R. 2673, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (H.Rept. 108-401), which contains the remaining seven FY2004 regular appropriations acts not enacted individually.59 On January 23, President Bush signed the measure into law (P.L. 108-199), thereby bringing action on the FY2004 regular appropriations acts to a close.

As noted above, Congress typically acts on at least one supplemental appropriations measure while a fiscal year is in progress. On September 17, President Bush submitted a $87 billion supplemental appropriations request for FY2004 to provide additional resources for Iraq and Afghanistan security and reconstruction.60 On September 30, in response to the President’s request, the Senate Appropriations Committee ordered reported S. 1689, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Iraq and Afghanistan Security and Reconstruction Act, 2004 (S.Rept. 108-160). The Senate began consideration of S. 1689 on October 1, and adopted the measure with amendments by a 87-12 vote on October 17. In the House,

---

58 Subsequently, Congress passed and President Bush signed into law a fifth continuing resolution (H.J.Res. 82, P.L. 108-185), which made changes to the previous continuing resolution related to certain spending accounts, but did not change its expiration date (i.e., Jan. 31, 2004).

59 On December 9, 2003, a unanimous consent request was made that the Senate agree to the conference report to H.R. 2673, but an objection was heard. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 149, Dec. 9, 2003, pp. S16082-S16083. Subsequently, a motion to invoke cloture on the conference report was initially rejected on Jan. 20, but then, on reconsideration, was agreed to on Jan. 22, leading to a vote on the measure. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 150, Jan. 20, 2004, pp. S20-S21, and Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 150, Jan. 22, 2004, p. S156.

the House Appropriations Committee reported its version of the supplemental appropriations measure for FY2004 (H.R. 3289, H.Rept. 108-312) on October 14. The House considered H.R. 3289 on October 16 and 17, and adopted the measure by a 303-125 vote. Subsequently, on the same day, the Senate passed H.R. 3289 by unanimous consent in lieu of S. 1689 after incorporating the text of S. 1689 in H.R. 3289 as an amendment.

House and Senate conferees resolved the differences between the two versions of the FY2004 supplemental appropriations measure and filed a conference report (H.Rept. 108-337) on October 30. The House and Senate agreed to the conference report on H.R. 3289 by a 289-121 vote on October 31 and by voice vote on November 3, respectively. President Bush signed the legislation into law (P.L. 108-106) on November 6. P.L. 108-106 provides $87.5 billion in supplemental appropriations for FY2004 for security and reconstruction activities in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Mandatory Spending. Mandatory spending is under the jurisdiction of the various legislative committees of the House and Senate. Some entitlement programs, such as Medicaid and certain veterans’ programs, are funded in annual appropriations acts, but such spending is not considered discretionary and is not controlled through the annual appropriations process.

On several occasions in the past, Congress has included reserve funds in the budget resolution to accommodate specific mandatory spending legislation. Under the provisions of a reserve fund, the chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees may revise the committee spending allocations and other budget resolution levels if certain legislation is reported by the appropriate committee. Without such an adjustment, mandatory spending legislation might be subject to points of order if it was not assumed in the budget resolution spending amounts.

The FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95), as agreed to by Congress, includes six reserve funds related to mandatory spending legislation. The six reserve funds provide for the adjustment of committee spending allocations and other budget resolution levels to accommodate legislation related to (1) Medicare modernization and prescription drugs; (2) Medicaid reform; (3) State Children’s Health Insurance Program; (4) Project Bioshield; (5) health insurance for the uninsured; and (6) Medicaid coverage for children with special needs.

On June 27, 2003, the House and Senate passed legislation to provide prescription drug coverage under Medicare (H.R. 1/S. 1). Prior to the consideration of the legislation in the Senate, Budget Committee Chairman Don Nickles adjusted the Senate Finance Committee’s spending allocations pursuant to the reserve fund

---


62 For further information on this legislation, see CRS Report RL31992, Medicare Prescription Drug Provisions of S. 1, as Passed by the Senate, and H.R. 1, as Passed by the House, by Jennifer O’Sullivan.
provisions contained in Section 401 of H.Con.Res. 95. After resolving differences between the two versions of the legislation, the conference committee filed a conference report (H.Rept. 108-391) on November 21. The House agreed to the conference report by a 220-215 vote on November 22. Subsequently, the Senate agreed to the conference report by a 54-44 vote on November 25, clearing the measure for the President. According to CBO, H.R. 1, Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, is estimated to increase direct spending by $395 billion, and increase federal revenues by $0.5 billion, over the 10-year period covering FY2004-FY2013.

**Budget Enforcement and Sequestration**

Beginning in 1990, Congress and the President were constrained by statutory limits on discretionary spending and a pay-as-you-go (PAYGO) requirement for direct spending and revenue legislation. Initially applicable through FY1995, they were modified and extended in 1993 to apply through FY1997, and extended again in 1997 to apply through FY2002. In each case, the budgetary controls were designed to enforce 5-year budget agreements between Congress and the President. Without any legislative action by Congress and the President to extend the budget enforcement mechanisms further, they expired at the end of FY2002 (i.e., September 30, 2002).


64 For further information on the conference report, see CRS Report RL31996, Overview of the Medicare Prescription Drug and Reform Conference Agreement, H.R. 1, by Jennifer O’Sullivan, Hinda Chaikind, Sibyl Tilson, Jennifer Boulanger, and Paulette Morgan.

65 During Senate consideration of the conference report to H.R. 1, the Senate agreed to waive Sections 311(A)(2) and 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act by a 61-39 vote. See Congressional Record, daily edition, vol. 149, Nov. 24, 2003, pp. S15698-S15711. As noted above, a motion to waive a Budget Act point of order in the Senate requires a three-fifths vote.


In the event Congress considers restoring or modifying these budget enforcement mechanisms during 2003, an overview of how they operated is provided below.

Since 1990, the statutory limits had applied to different categories of discretionary spending. During some periods, discretionary spending was combined into a single category; at other times it was divided into two or more broad categories, such as defense and nondefense spending. Currently, adjustable discretionary spending limits still exist for highway and mass transit spending for FY2003 and conservation spending (divided into six subcategories) through FY2006, but the sequestration process to enforce them expired on September 30, 2002.

Under the PAYGO requirement, the net effect of new direct spending and revenue legislation enacted for a fiscal year could not cause a positive balance (reflecting an increase in the on-budget deficit or a reduction in the on-budget surplus) on a multiyear PAYGO “scorecard.” For each fiscal year, this scorecard maintained the balances of the accumulated budgetary effects of laws enacted during the current session and prior years. The PAYGO requirement applied to legislation enacted through FY2002, but it covered the effects of such legislation through FY2006.

The discretionary spending limits and PAYGO requirement were enforced primarily by sequestration, which involved automatic, largely across-the-board spending cuts in non-exempt programs. Sequestration was triggered if the OMB director estimated in the final sequestration report at the end of a session that one or more of the discretionary spending limits would be exceeded or the PAYGO requirement would be violated. A within-session sequestration was possible if a supplemental appropriations bill caused the spending levels of the current fiscal year to exceed the statutory limit for a particular category. The discretionary spending limits, as well as a PAYGO requirement similar to the expired statutory one, also could have been enforced through points of order while legislation was being considered on the Senate floor. (The House did not provide for similar points of order.)

The FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95) extended the Senate PAYGO point of order through September 30, 2008. Under the Senate PAYGO rule, a point of order may be raised against any direct spending or revenue legislation that would increase or cause an on-budget deficit for the first fiscal year, the period of the first five fiscal years, or the following five fiscal years, covered by the most recently adopted budget resolution. A motion to waive this point of order requires a vote of three-fifths of Senators (i.e., 60 Senators if there are no vacancies).

Table 5 provides the timetable for sequestration actions. As indicated, OMB and CBO were required to publish preview and update sequestration reports to provide Congress and the President with advance notice regarding the possibility of a sequester. If one or both types of sequester were anticipated, these reports could have afforded Congress and the President enough warning so that they could enact legislation to forestall them. Only an OMB within-session or final sequestration report could have triggered a sequester; the CBO sequestration reports were advisory only.
Table 5. Timetable for Sequestration Actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Action to be completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five days before the President submits budget</td>
<td>CBO sequestration preview report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of the President’s budget submission</td>
<td>OMB sequestration preview report (as part of the President’s budget).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 10</td>
<td>Notification regarding military personnel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 15</td>
<td>CBO sequestration update report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 20</td>
<td>OMB sequestration update report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 days after end of session</td>
<td>CBO final sequestration report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 days after end of session</td>
<td>OMB final sequestration report; presidential sequestration order.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


At the end of the 107th Congress, Congress passed and President Bush signed legislation (P.L. 107-312, 116 Stat. 2456) that removed the positive balances on the PAYGO scorecard through FY2006, thereby preventing any future PAYGO sequestration unless the budget enforcement mechanism is restored. 68

Chronology

January 23, 2004  President Bush signed into law H.R. 2673, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2004 (P.L. 108-199), which contains seven of the 13 regular FY2004 appropriations acts, thereby bringing action on the FY2004 regular appropriations acts to a close. [The House and Senate agreed to the conference report (H.Rept. 108-401) to the measure on December 8, 2003, and January 22, 2004, respectively.]

November 25, 2003  Senate passed the conference report (H.Rept. 108-391) to accompany H.R. 1 by a 54-44 vote, clearing the measure for the President.


68 For further information on the recent removal of PAYGO balances, see CRS Report RS21378, Termination of the “Pay-As-You-Go” (PAYGO) Requirement for FY2003 and Later Years, by Robert Keith.
November 21, 2003  House and Senate passed H.J.Res. 79, extending the temporary appropriations through January 31, 2004, for agencies and programs funded in the regular appropriations measures not yet enacted. (President Bush signed H.J.Res. 79 into law (P.L. 108-135) on November 22.)

November 7, 2003  Senate passed H.J.Res. 76. (President Bush signed H.J.Res. 76 into law (P.L. 108-107) on November 7.)

November 5, 2003  House passed H.J.Res. 76, extending the temporary appropriations through November 21, 2004, for agencies and programs funded in the regular appropriations measures not yet enacted.


October 30, 2003  House and Senate passed H.J.Res. 75, extending the temporary appropriations through November 7, 2004, for agencies and programs funded in the regular appropriations measures not yet enacted. (President Bush signed H.J.Res. 75 into law (P.L. 108-104) on October 31.)

October 17, 2003  House and Senate passed H.R. 3289, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense and for the Reconstruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, 2004, by a 303-125 vote and by unanimous consent, respectively. (The Senate passed S. 1689, its version of the supplemental appropriations measure, by a 87-12 vote. Subsequently, the Senate passed H.R. 3289 by unanimous consent in lieu of S. 1689 after incorporating the text of S. 1689 in H.R. 3289 as an amendment.)

September 25, 2003  House and Senate passed H.J.Res. 69, providing temporary appropriations through October 31, 2003, for agencies and programs funded in the regular appropriations measures not yet enacted. At the beginning of FY2004 on October 1, 2003, only three of the 13 regular appropriations acts had been enacted. (President Bush signed H.J.Res. 69 into law (P.L. 108-84) on September 30.)

September 17, 2003  President Bush submitted a $87 billion supplemental appropriations request for FY2004 to provide additional resources for Iraq and Afghanistan security and reconstruction.
August 8, 2003  President Bush signed H.R. 2859, Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Disaster Relief Act, 2003, into law (P.L. 108-69), following passage by the House (July 25) and the Senate (July 31). The law provides $983.6 million for emergency disaster assistance for FY2003.

July 7, 2003  President Bush submitted an additional FY2003 supplemental appropriations request totaling $1,889 million for wildfire suppression and emergency rehabilitation activities, emergency disaster response expenses, and recovery and investigation costs associated with the Space Shuttle Columbia accident.

June 27, 2003  House passed H.R. 1 by a 216-215 vote and Senate passed S. 1 by a 76-21 vote. Both measures provided prescription drug benefits under Medicare. (Subsequently, on July 7, the Senate passed H.R. 1 by unanimous consent in lieu of S. 1 after incorporating the text of S. 1 in H.R. 2 as an amendment.)

May 28, 2003  President Bush signed H.R. 2, Jobs and Growth Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2003 into law (P.L. 108-27). The law is projected to reduce federal revenues by $320.1 billion and increase federal outlays by $29.5 billion over the 11-year period covering FY2003-FY2013, according to CBO and the JCT.


April 12, 2003  House and Senate agreed to the conference report (H.Rept. 108-76) to H.R. 1559, which provides $78.5 billion in supplemental appropriations for FY2003, by voice vote and unanimous consent, respectively.

April 11, 2003  House (legislative day of April 10) and Senate agreed to the FY2004 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 95, H.Rept. 108-71) by votes of 216-211 and 51-50, respectively.
April 3, 2003  Senate passed S. 762, Supplemental Appropriations Act to Support Department of Defense Operations in Iraq for Fiscal Year 2003, with amendments by a 93-0 vote. Subsequently, on April 7, the Senate passed H.R. 1559 by unanimous consent in lieu of S. 762 after incorporating the text of S. 762 in H.R. 1559 as an amendment.


March 26, 2003  Senate agreed to its version of the FY2004 budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 23, S.Prt. 108-18) by a 56-44 vote.

March 25, 2003  President Bush submitted a $74.7 billion supplemental appropriations request for FY2003 to provide additional resources for operations in Iraq, international assistance and Iraqi relief and reconstruction, homeland security, and the legislative branch.


February 3, 2003  President Bush submitted his FY2004 budget to Congress.
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