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Summary

The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219) is the basic federal statute dealing with minimum wages, overtime pay, child labor, and related issues. Enacted in 1938, it has been modified through the years to take into account changing workplace trends and to meet new worker and employer demands.

The act has undergone general amendment on eight separate occasions (1949, 1955, 1961, 1966, 1974, 1977, 1989, and 1996) in addition to numerous more specific legislated changes. It has also been the subject of continuing administrative rulemaking by the Department of Labor (DOL) — and has been the focus of extensive litigation that has impacted the manner in which the act is applied.

The FLSA is divided roughly into three parts, corresponding to its subject areas: minimum wage (Section 6), overtime pay (Section 7), and child labor (Section 12). These are accompanied by a body of statutory exemptions or exceptions (Section 13). Definitions appear in Section 3. Other sections deal with administration, penalties, and related matters.

Nothing in the act requires that Congress revisit the statute. Amendment has tended to respond to change in the value of the minimum wage. As the level of the wage floor has eroded through inflation, Congress has revisited the FLSA and, while addressing the wage rate, it has also, often, revised coverage patterns and modified overtime pay and other requirements. Child labor, by and large (but with exceptions), has been primarily the responsibility of the Secretary of Labor, operating within general guidelines laid down by Congress.

Until recently, legislation to amend the FLSA had been free-standing — the product of extended hearings. In 1996, that pattern shifted. The 1996 FLSA amendments were adopted as a floor amendment to a broad proposal dealing with business and related tax issues. As a result, some have come to view as a new pattern a linkage of labor standards enhancement with sometimes unrelated benefits for employers. Others argue that there is no inherent reason to tie FLSA amendments to benefits for employers.

In the 109th Congress, it can be expected that further changes will be urged with respect to the FLSA — some to increase worker protections and others, arguably, to allow employers more flexibility by reducing them. This report will be updated to reflect legislation introduced and/or enacted by the 109th Congress.
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Minimum Wage, Overtime Pay, and Child Labor: An Inventory of Proposals in the 109th Congress to Amend the Fair Labor Standards Act

The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-219) is the basic federal statute dealing with minimum wages, overtime pay, child labor, and related issues. Almost immediately after its enactment in 1938, various Members of Congress proposed its amendment to address worker and employer concerns. The act has now undergone general amendment on eight separate occasions (1949, 1955, 1961, 1966, 1974, 1977, 1989, and 1996) in addition to numerous more specific legislated changes in the statute. It has also been the subject of continuing administrative rulemaking by the Department of Labor (DOL).

In the 109th Congress, further changes have been proposed — some to increase worker protections and others, arguably, to allow employers more flexibility by reducing them. This report will be updated, periodically, to reflect legislation introduced and/or enacted by the 109th Congress.

An Introduction to the FLSA

When the federal wage and hour statute (the FLSA) was enacted in 1938, it was not an especially new concept. Questions about minimum wages, overtime pay, child labor, and related issues had been a central part of American (and world) labor policy concerns for at least half a century. But only in the wake of the Great Depression (beginning in 1929) was Congress able to forge a comprehensive federal measure that would withstand judicial review while respecting the differing interests of employers and workers.

The FLSA is divided roughly into three parts: minimum wage (Section 6), overtime pay (Section 7), and child labor (Section 12). These are accompanied by a body of statutory exemptions or exceptions (Section 13). Definitions appear in Section 3. Other sections deal with administration, penalties, and related matters.

Enforcement (and interpretation) of the FLSA is a shared responsibility. On occasion, the Congress has been precise about how the act should work. For example, it provides a set statutory minimum wage: currently, $5.15 per hour.1 The individual states have often adopted state minimum wage standards that are in excess of the federal statute, or that cover areas that are not covered by the federal enactment. In (continued...)

---

1 The individual states have often adopted state minimum wage standards that are in excess of the federal statute, or that cover areas that are not covered by the federal enactment. In
minimum wage remains at the statutory level until Congress takes action to alter it. On the other hand, the Congress (in 1938, but the policy is continuing) mandated that the minimum wage and overtime pay protections of the act “shall not apply with respect to ... any employee employed in a bona fide executive, administrative, or professional capacity ... as such terms are defined and delimited from time to time by regulations of the Secretary.” Having so stated, the Congress moved on to other issues — and, very largely, left the defining and delimiting up to the Secretary. (See section below — “Overtime Pay.”) Other examples, on each side of the issue, may be raised.

In defining the terms of the FLSA and making it applicable to individual workplaces, the Department has, from time to time, issued opinion letters — generally stating its perception of what Congress intended. Such letters can be challenged (and sometimes are), or they can be a catalyst leading to further congressional action.

The Federal Minimum Wage

The federal minimum wage is set in statute and remains at a fixed rate (declining in value as price levels continue to rise) until changed through legislative action. Although the general rate is currently $5.15 an hour, there are also a series of sub-minima (or special treatments) for students, youth, persons with disabilities, regularly tipped employees, and others. In addition, special consideration for small businesses has been built into the act. For the most part, each of these sub-minima is in some measure separate and apart from the general wage rate.²

In 1968, the federal minimum wage reached, in relative terms, its highest value: $1.60 per hour. Had the rate been indexed to the equivalent or real purchasing power of the dollar, its value in January 2006 would have been $9.05.³

Action of the 109th Congress

During early spring 2005, the Senate called up for consideration reform of bankruptcy legislation (S. 256). As floor amendments to that bill, the Senate considered two minimum wage proposals. (See Table 1.)

The Kennedy-Santorum Debate (March 2005). On March 3, 2005, Senator Edward Kennedy proposed an amendment (S.Amdt. 44) that would have raised the minimum wage, in steps, to $7.25 an hour beginning 24 months (and 60

---

¹ (...continued)
such cases, the state standards, insofar as they are more protective of the worker, normally take precedent.


days) after enactment of the legislation. In addition, the Kennedy proposal would have applied the federal minimum wage, in steps, to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI).\(^4\) The Kennedy proposal was defeated (46 ayes to 49 nays) on March 7, 2005.\(^5\)

On March 7, 2005, in connection with the amendments by Senator Kennedy, Senator Rick Santorum introduced a more far-reaching proposal (S.Amdt. 128). It would have: (a) raised the minimum wage to $6.25 an hour, in steps, to have full effect 18 months after enactment; (b) created a program of compensatory time as an alternative to ordinary overtime pay; (c) created an enhanced small business exemption; (d) altered the tip credit under the FLSA; and (e) provided a range of arguably unrelated tax and other incentives for business. The Santorum proposal was defeated (38 yeas to 61 nays) on March 7, 2005.\(^6\)

**The Kennedy-Enzi Debate (October 2005).** On October 18, 2005, Senator Kennedy reintroduced legislation that would have raised the minimum wage. However, on this occasion, the Senator had modified the proposal to lower the rates to $5.70 and to $6.25 respectively — the position that Senator Santorum had proposed. Conversely, Senator Michael Enzi had entered a separate proposal that included a lengthy program favored by management: flexible schedules, revision of the “tip credit,” and various accounting provisions, among other items. As the proposal came to a vote, Senator Christopher Bond raised a point of order that the increase in the minimum wage that Senator Kennedy was advancing would be in violation of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 as an “unfunded mandate.” In turn, Senator Kennedy raised a similar point of order on the Enzi amendment. As a result, each proposal was defeated — not on its substance but, rather, on a point of order.\(^7\)

**Consideration of the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Bill (2007).** During consideration of the appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education (2007) on June 13, 2006, by the Appropriations Committee, an

\(^4\) *Congressional Record*, Mar. 3, 2005, pp. S1979-S1980. Under the Covenant attaching the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands to the United States (1975-1976), jurisdiction over labor standards was mixed: overtime pay was to be governed by the United States; minimum wage by the insular government. There may also have been (there appears to be some dispute about this) an option for the United States to reclaim jurisdiction over the minimum wage should conditions in the islands warrant. See CRS Report RL30235, *Minimum Wage in the Territories and Possessions of the United States: Application of the Fair Labor Standards Act*, by William G. Whittaker.


\(^6\) Ibid., pp. S2132-S2133.

amendment was offered by Representative Steny Hoyer that would increase the federal minimum wage to “not less than $5.85 an hour beginning on January 1, 2007, not less than $6.55 an hour beginning on January 1, 2008, and not less than $7.25 an hour beginning on January 1, 2009.” The Hoyer amendment was approved by a vote of 32 yeas to 27 nays and, thereafter, the measure was approved by a voice vote.8 A floor vote may still need to be taken.

Action Proposed

**Senator Debbie Stabenow**, on January 24, 2005, introduced S. 14, a composite infrastructure and jobs bill, part of which would increase the minimum wage to $7.25 an hour 24 months (and 60 days) after enactment. The bill was referred to the Committee on Finance. (See, also, Table 2 for overtime pay proposals.)

**Representative Phil English**, on March 3, 2005, introduced H.R. 1091, a bill (a) to increase the minimum wage, in steps, to $6.50 beginning October 1, 2008, but to increase the pattern of exemption to eliminate employers with nine or fewer employees; (b) to allow for an altered small business exemption under the act; and (c) to provide assorted business incentives unrelated to the minimum wage. The bill was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means and to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

On May 11, 2006, Representative English introduced a second minimum wage bill: H.R. 5368. The new bill would have increased the minimum wage, in steps, to $7.50 an hour to take effect on October 1, 2009. However, the bill also proposed new (or expanded) exemptions under the minimum wage and a series of incentives for industry that were, arguably, unrelated to the minimum wage. The bill was referred to the House Committee on Ways and Means and to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

On May 18, 2005, **Senator Kennedy** and **Representative George Miller** introduced bills that would raise the federal minimum wage to $7.25 per hour (over a period of years) and would amend treatment of the minimum wage in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (S. 1062 and H.R. 2429, respectively). The Miller bill was referred to the House committee on Education and the Workforce and, on June 22, 2005, was referred to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.9 The Kennedy proposal was placed on the Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders (Calendar No. 109).

See, also, S. 2357 (“The Right Time to Reinvest in America’s Competitiveness and Knowledge Act”), introduced by Senator Kennedy — one part of which deals

---


9 On Dec. 15, 2005, Rep. John Barrow introduced H.Res. 614, “Providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 2429) to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to provide for an increase in the Federal minimum wage.” On Feb. 28, 2006, a motion was filed to discharge the Rules Committee from consideration of H.Res. 614. In late May 2006, there were 188 signatures on the Discharge Petition.
with the minimum wage, raising the standard to $7.25 per hour 24 months (and 60 days) after enactment. The bill also contains a CNMI provision.

**Representative Robert Andrews**, on June 7, 2005, proposed the “Camp Safety Act of 2005.” The bill would “condition the minimum-wage-exempt status of organized camps under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 on compliance with certain safety standards....” The bill was referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce and, on June 11, to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.

**Representative Sherwood Boehlert**, on July 25, 2005, introduced the “Minimum Wage Competitiveness Act of 2005.” The Boehlert bill would increase the federal minimum wage, in steps, to $7.15 an hour beginning on January 1, 2007. The bill also includes a provision raising the minimum wage of the CNMI in steps until it reaches the federal (national) minimum wage. Sent to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce, the bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.

**Representative Darrell Issa**, on September 13, 2005, introduced legislation affecting the tip provisions under the minimum wage: H.R. 3732, the “Minimum Wage Fairness Act of 2005.” Under current federal law, the employer of a tipped employee is allowed to count a portion of tips received by the employee toward his or her minimum wage, reducing the mandatory wage required to $2.13 per hour — so long as the tip income brings an employee’s earnings to at least $5.15 per hour. The Issa proposal would restructure the tip provisions of the FLSA. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.

On December 13, 2005, Representative Issa introduced H.R. 4505, the “Health Care Incentive Act.” The act would require the Secretary of Labor to promulgate rules through which minimum wages (both state and federal), in excess of the current standard ($5.15 per hour), could be directed toward a program for various health care services for minimum wage employees. The bill was referred to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.

**Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton**, on May 4, 2006, introduced S. 2725, the “Standing with Minimum Wage Earners Act of 2006,” which would raise the federal minimum wage, in steps, to $7.25 per hour beginning 24 months (and 60 days) after enactment. The bill includes an indexation formula: the minimum wage “... shall be automatically increased for the year involved by a percentage equal to the percentage by which the annual rate of pay for Members of Congress increased for such year....” The bill was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

**Overtime Pay**

In general, the overtime requirements of the FLSA call for a 40-hour workweek — after which a worker must be compensated at not less than a rate of 1½ times his or her regular rate of pay. No daily hours standard is provided, allowing for flexibility within the context of a 40-hour week. Exceptions under the act are
technical and complex, but allow employers and their employees a variety of options within the general requirements of the statute. However, generally, each week is regarded as a unit: hours may not be moved from one week to the next without payment of overtime rates for the week during which the time is counted (actually worked). (See Table 2.)

**Action Proposed**

On March 31, 2003, DOL proposed a restructuring of the executive, administrative, and professional (EAP) exemption under the act (29 C.F.R. Part 541). After a year of controversy, the new regulations, somewhat altered, went into effect in late August 2004.10

Three bills of the 109th Congress propose a reversal of the Department’s action and would index subsequent earnings levels for EAP coverage. These include Senator Stabenow (the “Fair Wage, Competition, and Investment Act of 2005”); Senator Thomas Harkin (the “Overtime Rights Protection Act”); and Senator Richard Durbin (the “Overtime Rights Protection Act”). Senator Harkin’s bill is freestanding; those of Senators Stabenow and Durbin are composite bills. The Harkin bill was referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions. The Durbin bill was read the second time and placed on the Legislative Calendar under General Orders (Calendar No. 80). Senator Stabenow’s bill was referred to the Committee on Finance.

**In Order Proposed Action**

Under the premise that (a) there is a shortage of nurses for American hospitals, (b) that those within the profession are often overworked, and (c) that such overwork and extended hours of work have caused nurses to seek other (alternative) professions, several bills have been introduced that would limit or restrict the hours hospital nurses work.11

**Senator Daniel Inouye** has proposed S. 71, the “Registered Nurse Safe Staffing Act of 2005,” which would “provide for patient protection by establishing minimum nurse staffing ratios at certain Medicare providers.” Introduced January 24, 2005, the bill was referred to the Committee on Finance.

**Senator Kennedy** and **Representative Fortney Stark** have introduced bills that would amend the Social Security Act “to provide for patient protection by limiting the number of mandatory overtime hours a nurse may be required to work” by certain

---

10 The Department of Labor’s proposal (now in place) carried two provisions. The first was an increase in the earnings test for exemption. The second part was a duties test: i.e., did those identified as executives, administrators, or professionals actually perform duties befitting their exempt status? See CRS Report RL32088, The Fair Labor Standards Act: A Historical Sketch of the Overtime Pay Requirements of Section 13(a)(1), by William G. Whittaker.

11 The various bills, dealing with nursing, seek to amend the Social Security Act. While each deals with hours of work, none appears to be directly related to FLSA.
provides of services under the Medicare program. Each bill was titled the “Safe Nursing and Patient Care Act of 2005.” The Kennedy bill (S. 351) was introduced on February 10, 2005, and referred to the Committee on Finance. The Stark bill (H.R. 791) was introduced on February 14, 2005, and referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce and to the Committee on Ways and Means.

**Child Labor Legislation**

Efforts to restrict or to regulate child labor date from the 19th century. After a series of federal initiatives uniformly deemed unconstitutional, language dealing with child labor was incorporated within the original FLSA of 1938.

Under current federal law, jurisdiction is divided between the Congress and the Secretary of Labor. Congress has enacted very general standards under the FLSA; but, on occasion, it has also written precise language governing the work of children and youth. The Department, for its part, has established hazardous occupations orders (both for industry and for agriculture), restricting the employment of child/youth workers. These orders (HOs) currently affect workers in 11 fields of agriculture and 17 fields for industry.

**Action Proposed**

Through the years, Congress has dealt with a variety of child labor issues. In the 109th Congress, several bills have been introduced. (See Table 3.)

*Representative Mark Foley*, on March 8, 2005, introduced H.R. 1142, the “Child Modeling Exploitation Prevention Act.” The bill deals with the use of children under 17 years of age “in the production of exploitive child modeling,” and for related purposes. The bill was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, Subcommittee on Workforce Protections, and to the Committee on the Judiciary.

*Representative Tom Lantos*, on June 13, 2005, introduced the “Youth Worker Protection Act” (H.R. 2870). The bill is comprehensive. It deals with the general employment of minors, work permits, restriction on hours of work, prohibition of youth peddling, child labor in agricultural work, and mandates review and reporting requirements. It was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protection.

---

12 States have often enacted statutes dealing with child labor. Where there is a conflict between state and federal laws, the standards more nearly protective of children and youth workers will normally take precedent.

Representative Lucille Roybal-Allard, on July 27, 2005, introduced H.R. 3482, the “Children’s Act for Responsible Employment of 2005” (or the CARE Act). The bill focuses upon child labor in agriculture — but deals with other child labor (and child welfare) issues as well. The bill was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, and to the Subcommittee on 21st Century Competitiveness.

Representative Rosa DeLauro, with others, introduced H.R. 4190, the “Safe at Work Act.” The bill is divided into two parts. First, the bill mandates that the Secretary of Labor may not enter into any agreement to provide prior notice to an employer before commencing an investigation or inspection. Second, it requires the Comptroller General to conduct a study of violations of child labor laws (and to include “allegations of” such violations) during the five-year period prior to the bill’s enactment. A report would be made to the Congress. The bill was referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce, and to the Subcommittee on Workforce Protections.

Senator Larry Craig, on the assumption that federal law has not kept up with changes in “home schooling,” introduced S. 1691, the “Home School Non-Discrimination Act of 2005.” A roughly comparable bill was introduced in the House by Representative Marilyn Musgrave (H.R. 3753). The Craig/Musgrave bills deal broadly with home schooling. One provision would mandate that the Secretary of Labor “shall extend” the permissible hours of work for home-schooled students (14 to 16 years of age) beyond those already permissible for public school students. The Craig bill was referred to the Committee on Finance; the Musgrave bill, to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, Subcommittee on Education Reform, and to the House Armed Services Committee.

An Inventory of Legislative Proposals

In the 109th Congress, legislative proposals dealing with the minimum wage, overtime pay, and child labor have taken a variety of forms. The tables that follow provide a simple overview of the various initiatives, broken down by the three general categories: minimum wage, overtime pay, and child labor. In some cases, a particular bill will be listed in more than one table.
Table 1. Minimum Wage Proposals of the 109th Congress  
(The federal minimum wage is now $5.15 per hour)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill no.</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Increase minimum to:</th>
<th>Effective date for the final step increase</th>
<th>Action beyond referral</th>
<th>Other components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S.Amdt. 44</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later</td>
<td>Defeated, Mar. 7, 2005, 46 yeas to 49 nays</td>
<td>CNMI wage component&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Amdt. 128</td>
<td>Santorum</td>
<td>$6.25</td>
<td>To $5.70 six months after enactment; to $6.25, one year and six months after enactment</td>
<td>Defeated, Mar. 7, 2005, 38 yeas to 61 nays</td>
<td>Contains other wage, unrelated industry components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Amdt. 2063</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>$6.25</td>
<td>To raise the minimum wage, in steps, to $6.25</td>
<td>Defeated on point of order: 47 yeas, 51 nays</td>
<td>CNMI wage component&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.Amdt. 2115</td>
<td>Enzi</td>
<td>$6.25</td>
<td>To raise the minimum wage, in steps, to $6.25</td>
<td>Defeated on point of order: 47 yeas, 51 nays</td>
<td>Contains other wage, unrelated industry components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.Res. 614</td>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Provides for consideration of H.R. 2429 (Miller): to House Rules Committee, subject of a discharge petition</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 1091</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>$6.50</td>
<td>To $5.50, Oct. 1, 2006; to $6.00, Oct. 1, 2007; and to $6.50, on Oct. 1, 2008</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Amends the small business exemption; alters the pattern of minimum wage coverage; and provides assorted business incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill no.</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Increase minimum to:</td>
<td>Effective date for the final step increase</td>
<td>Action beyond referral</td>
<td>Other components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 2429</td>
<td>Miller, George</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later. (See H.Res. 614, above)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CNMI wage componenta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 2748</td>
<td>Andrews, Robert</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Conditions minimum-wage exempt status of camps upon compliance with certain safety standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 3413</td>
<td>Boehlert</td>
<td>$7.15</td>
<td>To $6.00 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.75 on Jan. 1, 2006; and to $7.15 after Jan. 1, 2007</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CNMI wage componenta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 3732</td>
<td>Issa</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Would amend the manner in which the “tip credit” is calculated</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 4505</td>
<td>Issa</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Allows employers to credit wages above current minimum wage ($5.15 per hour) to mandatory health care services</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 5368</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td>To increase the federal minimum wage, in steps, until $7.50 is reached on Oct. 1, 2009</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Provides small business tax incentives, limits minimum wage coverage to employers of 10 or more, raises minimum wage exemption from $500,000 to $1 million for the year ending Sept. 30, 2008, with other items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill no.</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Increase minimum to:</td>
<td>Effective date for the final step increase</td>
<td>Action beyond referral</td>
<td>Other components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 5550</td>
<td>Miller, George</td>
<td>Amount equal to the minimum wage under the FLSA</td>
<td>Would raise the insular minimum wage to equal the FLSA minimum wage as of Jan. 1, 2009</td>
<td>Referred to committees, Resources, Ways and Means</td>
<td>Deals with labeling of products, trade practices, immigration, job training; mandates certain studies and other considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 14</td>
<td>Stabenow</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Part of a composite infrastructure bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 846</td>
<td>Durbin</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; $6.55 twelve months later; and $7.25 24 months after the 60th day from enactment. (On Apr. 20, 2005, placed on Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders: Calendar No. 80.)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Contains an overtime pay provision; also deals with multiemployer pension plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 1062</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later. (On May 19, 2005, placed on the Senate Legislative Calendar under General Orders: Calendar No. 109.)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>CNMI wage component²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill no.</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Increase minimum to:</td>
<td>Effective date for the final step increase</td>
<td>Action beyond referral</td>
<td>Other components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 2357</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Composite bill with wage provisions added; contains a CNMI component*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 2725</td>
<td>Clinton</td>
<td>$7.25</td>
<td>To $5.85 on 60th day after enactment; to $6.55 one year (and 60 days) later; and $7.25 two years (and 60 days) later</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Contains an indexation provision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The bill would extend federal minimum wage protection, in steps, to workers in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI).
### Table 2. Overtime Pay Proposals of the 109th Congress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill no.</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Action beyond referral</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Other components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 791</td>
<td>Stark</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Limits mandated overtime for nurses serving Medicare patients, with other related provisions</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 14</td>
<td>Stabenow</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Would reverse DOL overtime pay requirements for executive, administrative, and professional, inter alia (a)</td>
<td>General infrastructure bill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 71</td>
<td>Inouye</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Limits mandated overtime for nurses serving Medicare patients, with other related provisions</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 223</td>
<td>Harkin</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Would reverse DOL overtime pay requirements for executive, administrative, and professional, inter alia (a)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 351</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Limits mandated overtime for nurses serving Medicare patients, with other related provisions</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 846</td>
<td>Durbin</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Would reverse DOL overtime pay requirements for executive, administrative, and professional, inter alia (a)</td>
<td>Contains minimum wage requirements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a. The bill would impose restraints upon DOL’s authority, under Section 13(a)(1), to reduce the duties requirements for overtime pay exemption, with other elements. For the future, the earnings threshold of the act would be indexed. See CRS Report RL32088, *The Fair Labor Standards Act: A Historical Sketch of the Overtime Pay Requirements of Section 13(a)(1)*, by William G. Whittaker.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill no.</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Action beyond referral</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 1142</td>
<td>Foley</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>To prohibit “exploitive child modeling” involving persons under 17 years of age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 2870</td>
<td>Lantos</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>A comprehensive overview of child labor with restrictions placed upon child workers: school-to-work transition; prohibition of youth peddling; mandates reporting requirements; and imposes certain other restraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 3482</td>
<td>Roybal-Allard</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Focuses upon agricultural child workers and their problems; contains other implications as well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 3753</td>
<td>Musgrave</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Comprehensive home school bill; suggests that “home schooled students” (14 to 16 years of age) be permitted to work longer than hours worked by public school students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R. 4190</td>
<td>DeLauro</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Mandates a study by the Comptroller General of child labor practices through the preceding five-year period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 1691</td>
<td>Craig</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Comprehensive home school bill; suggests that “home schooled students” (14 to 16 years of age) be permitted to work longer than hours worked by public school students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 2357</td>
<td>Kennedy</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Comprehensive bill (368 pp.) that refers to restriction of child labor and enforcement of international recognized labor standards dealing with child labor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>