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## CHAPTER 1

## DESCRIBING ABRAHAM SOLVATION PARAMETER MODEL AND GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

### 1.1 Introduction

Drug permeability across membranes is predicted by partition coefficients between an aqueous or a gas phase and lipid phase. To better predict the effect of various functional groups on partitioning, similar drug like molecules need to be studied.

The Abraham solvation model is used to predict the adsorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination and toxicity (ADMET) properties of the drug molecules. It is a good approach for studying and predicting biological activities and partition co-efficient. The introduction of early ADME is important because it decreased the proportion of compounds failing in clinical trials. The main goal of preclinical ADME is to remove weak drug candidates in the early stages of drug development and allow the resources to be used on potential drug candidates.

Drug candidate's ADMET (Adsorption, distribution, metabolism, elimination and toxicity) properties of drugs discovery can be predicted computationally or experimentally. Only $20 \%$ of developed drug candidates proceed to clinical trial stage testing, and among those compounds that enter clinical development less than 10\% receive government approval. Drugs failures occur because of poor bioavailability, poor solubility, toxicity concerns, drug-drug interactions, degradation and poor shelf -life stability, and unfavorable pharmacokinetic properties [1-3].

In general, most newly discovered drugs have higher molecular weights and have more complicated molecular structures than previously discovered drugs; this explain the reasons why most drug candidates fail in the early development stage. Drug permeability across membranes is
predicted by partition coefficients between an aqueous or a gas phase and lipid phase [4]. To better predict the effect of various functional groups on partitioning, similar drug like molecules need to be studied. Gas chromatography method is ideal for studying a large set of compounds.

Gas chromatography is one of the techniques to consider for studying the distribution of drug compounds between different organic phases. The retention times obtained from the GC are used to model biological activities that involve the transfer of a drug molecule from gas phase to the biological phase. From the retention time; the solute descriptor are calculated, then the solutes descriptors are correlated to the biological routes [5].

In order for drug to penetrate the central nervous system (CNS); it must cross through blood brain barrier (BBB). The Abraham solvation model is used to predict the ADMET properties of the drug molecules.

The Abraham solvation model is two linear free energy relationships (LFER) where one equation described transfer process of the drug between two condenses phases.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SP}=\mathbf{c}+\mathrm{e} \mathbf{E}+\mathrm{s} \mathbf{S}+\mathrm{a} \mathbf{A}+\mathrm{b} \mathbf{B}+\mathrm{v} \mathbf{V} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the second describe gas to condense phase transfer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SP}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{e} \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{s} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{a} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{b B}+\mathbf{l L} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solute property (SP) is the dependent variable. The SP represents the properties of a series of analytes in a fixed phase. The independent known solutes descriptors ( $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{V}$ ) are solute properties, they reflect the ability of the solute-solvent interaction. The process coefficients or regression coefficients $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{v}$ describe the solvation properties which can be obtained through multiple linear regression analysis (MLRA) [6]. ). c is a regression constant, $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are measure of solvent's base properties and acid properties; $\mathbf{e}$ is the measure of solvent dispersion interaction; $\mathbf{s}$ is the ability of the solvent phase to go through dipole-dipole induce interaction with solute; $\mathbf{l}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ measure of size needed to form solvent cavity and dispersion
forces for a gas. The $\mathbf{E}$ is the excess molar refraction $\left[\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} / 10\right] ; \mathbf{S}\right.$ is solute dipolarity/polarizability. The $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are the effective hydrogen bond acidity and hydrogen bond basicity, The $\mathbf{V}$ is the McGowan characteristic volume $\left[\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) / 100\right]$. $\mathbf{V}$ can always be calculated from the solute molecular formula, or known atomic size and number of chemical bonds in the molecule. $\mathbf{L}$ is the logarithm of the solute gas phase dimensionless Ostwald partition coefficient into hexadecane at 298 K . The $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ descriptors both measure size and are viewed as measure of the solvent cavity term that will accommodate the dissolved solute.

There are more than 4000 organic, organometallic and inorganic solute descriptors available or published. A large list of solute descriptors is available in one of the published review articles [7], and in several other published papers [8-9]. Solute descriptors can be obtained through regression analysis using different types of experimental data, gas to-solvent partitions, solubility data and chromatographic retention data. The A, B and S descriptors need to be determined experimentally. Once the retention time of any solute is obtained, it can be used to calculate the natural log of retention time to solve equations (1) or (2). The process coefficients can then be determined through multiple linear regression analysis of experimental logarithm of retention time depending on the column used [10-12].

The use of molecular descriptors in the Abraham solvation model become very helpful to understand which barriers the drug can cross and also the descriptors provide some information about the molecule's acidity, basicity and polarity. The Abraham solvation model can be applied to both chemical and biological process (e.g. blood brain partition [13], human and rat intestinal absorption [14], solubility [15-16]). The Abraham solvation model gives us some indication of the solute properties in terms of the molecular solute descriptors. The literature search shows that either the gas chromatography or high pressure liquid chromatography can be used for separation
of compounds depending on the goal of the project. For partitioning of a solute between two condense phases, a high pressure liquid gas chromatography is preferred while for partitioning of a solute from a gas to a condensed phase gas chromatography is needed. From the retention data, the gas-liquid partition coefficient and other thermodynamic properties of mixing can be easily created. Using the thermodynamic properties and appropriate models allows understanding of the intermolecular interactions responsible for the solvation in the stationary phase [17-19]. Now, the solvation parameter model makes a valuable tool for obtaining quantitative structure- property relationship for biomedical, chemical and environmental processes. The model correlates a free energy related property of a system to a six free energy descriptors describing the molecular properties. The main goal is to create a suitable quantitative structure property relationship (QSPR) to enable the prediction of further system properties for compounds lacking experimental values. In QSPR studied, two approaches are used; the first is based on theoretical descriptors. All needed parameters for prediction can be calculated simply from the three dimensional representation of the molecular structure of each of the solutes of the mixtures, as well as mixtures of chemically diverse compounds [20-21]. The disadvantage of the approach is that the particular descriptors may be challenging to understand and the model may lack chemical meaning. The second approach on review papers is based on descriptors determined using the experimental technique such as gas chromatography. Abraham and co- workers have published several papers and reviews showing the correlation of different models system for the prediction of solute descriptors and the interpretation of data using chromatography technique for separation of mixture[ 22-25]. Taft and Kamlet have established in the 1980, the simple concept of linear solvation energy relationships (LERs). They have shown for several chemical systems that some property which linearly correlated to a either a free energy of reaction, or a free energy
of transfer, or a activation energy can be correlated with several molecular property of the solvents or solutes involved[26-30]. Chromatographic retention and logarithmic partition coefficients ( $\log K_{L}$ ) are linear free energy parameters, thus one can correlate these data with the molecular properties of the solutes using the LSER model [31-34]

In the experiment, we are developing an Abraham solvation model correlation equation that can predict and provide molecular descriptors for illicit drugs. More than one hundred known compounds have been collected from published literature with known descriptors [3538]. Out of the five descriptors in equation (1) and (2), E and $L$ or $V$ descriptors can be found in the literature for a known target drug compound. To calculate the other three descriptors(S, A, B), equations (1) and (2) can be assigned the log of retention time (LogtR) with the calculated process coefficients, thus the unknown descriptors can be predicted. Before obtaining the process coefficients, the retention time of different compounds are needed from the gas chromatography experiment. The prediction values of target drug compound can be achieved through multiple linear regression analysis. The advantage of using the Abraham solvation model resides in the newly developed column equation. Once retention times of unknown illicit drugs or compounds are determined, it is a matter of plugging them in the developed stationary equation to get the molecular descriptors. In order to use the Abraham model to predict the ADMET properties, one must have a prior knowledge of the desired compound's solute descriptors.

### 1.2 Abraham Solvation Parameter Model

1.2.1 E: Excess Molar refractivity

Solute molar refractivity, E , is the difference between the molar refractivity and the alkane molar refractivity with the same McGowan volume V. E expresses the ability of the polarizable electrons in the molecule to be involved in the solute-solvent interaction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{x}}(\text { observed })-\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\text { alkane of same } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where E unit is in $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} / 10$. E can be calculated from the molecular structure of the compound. The McGowan volume in the molar refraction, $\mathrm{MR}_{\mathrm{x}}$ can be calculated as

$$
\operatorname{MRx}=\mathrm{V}^{*}\left[\left(\eta^{2}-1\right) /\left(\eta^{2}+2\right)\right]
$$

(4)

Where $V$ in equation 4 is the McGowan volume (unit is $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right) / 10$ ), and $\eta$ is the pure liquid solute refractive index at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 1.2.2 S: Dipolarity/Polarizability

S is the solute dipolarity or polarizability. It represents the tendency of a solute to participate in dipole-dipole and induce dipole-dipole interactions. The S represents or reflects the interactions that involve both induced and stable polarity of a solute. A large mass of data from gas liquid chromatography (GLC) can determine the polarity.

### 1.2.3 A: Solute’s Hydrogen Bond Acidity and B: Solute Hydrogen Bond Basicity

A is the solute effective or summation hydrogen-bond acidity. This descriptor was originally obtained from hydrogen complexation constants for mono -acid. Now, it's obtained by chromatographic or partition measurements. B is the effective or summation hydrogen-bond basicity. For mono-bases, this descriptor was obtained from hydrogen complexation constants, now poly bases can be found by partition measurements [40]. Both solute hydrogen bond acidity and basicity descriptors describe the hydrogen donor and acceptor solute ability. The Hydrogen bond acidity and basicity were developed by Abraham model solvation using the equilibrium constant for the $1: 1$ reaction in carbon tetrachloride, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ at 298 K . When carbon tetrachloride, acid and base are present in a solution at low concentration, both will undergo

### 1.2.4 V: McGowan Volume

The McGowan volume is calculated from the atom and the numbers of bonds in the solute molecule in partition system with two condense phases. All type of bonds is treated equally in the solute, whether it is a single bond, double or triple bond. The number of bond can be solve by this equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}-1+\mathrm{R} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here B is the total number of bonds, N is the total number of atoms and R is the total number of ring structures. $\mathbf{V}$ is related to the size of the molecule as well as the size of the solvent cavity. The McGowan volume can be calculated as follow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\left[\sum \text { atom contributions }-(6.56 * \mathrm{~B})\right] / 100 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2.5 L: Ostwald Solubility

The $\mathbf{L}$ is defined as gas-to-hexadecane partition coefficient at $25^{\circ}$ C. The Ostwald solubility can be measured experimentally from solute's retention volume by gas liquid chromatography. It does include the cavity effect and the London dispersion effect of process. The process can be follow as

Solute (gas phase) $\rightleftharpoons$ solute (hexadecane).

### 1.2.6 Process Coefficients

The process coefficients shown on equation (1) and equation (2) reflect particular solute -solvent interactions that correspond to chemical properties of the solvent phase. Process coefficient e, is the measure of solvent dispersion interactions. It describes how the solvent or phase interacts with the solute through $\pi$ and $n$-electron pairs. We anticipate e to be positive, but an electronegative atom in phase might change it to negative. $s$ is the ability of the solvent phase to go through dipole -dipole induce interactions with a solute. When $s$ is positive, the molecule polarity increase and it will prefer the condense phase. The a process coefficient reflects the
acid-base interactions. An illustration of hydrogen-bond complexation reactions is shown in Figure 1.1[41]


Figure 1.1. Hydrogen-bond complexation reaction. Adapted from ref. 41
$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}$ is the acidic solute, the reference base solvent is $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ and the hydrogen bond complex created is $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$. The solute descriptor A is created by applying the following equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\left(\log _{A}{ }_{A}{ }^{H}+1.1\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.636
$\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ is the average hydrogen bond acidity for solutes in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 1.1$ is the scale factor that enable the A descriptor to go through the origin and 4.636 is the empirical factor that maintains the acidity scale within a suitable range.

For the hydrogen bond basicity, the equation is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}=\frac{\left(\log _{B}{ }_{B}+1.1\right)}{4.636} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{LogK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ is the average hydrogen bond basicity for solute in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 1.1$ is the scale factor that enable the $\mathbf{B}$ descriptor to go through the origin and 4.636 is the empirical factor that so that $\mathbf{B}=1.00$ for the hydrogen bond base hexamethylphosphortriamide which allows a suitable working range for the $B$ values. Solute can form more than one hydrogen bond with neighboring molecules in bulk solvent, making the 1:1 complexation assumption inaccurate for certain solutes [40].
complementary solvent hydrogen bond acidity. The $b$ coefficient will be a measure of the solvent phase hydrogen bond basicity. The land v coefficients will include not only an endorgonic cavity effect, but exergonic solute- solvent effects rising through solute polarizability. The c coefficient is an independent constant generated by multi regression linear analysis (MLRA). The c coefficient does contribute to the cavity formation and it is related to the nonpolar interaction of the retention time [41-43]. This is direct for the gas-to-condensed phase partition since there is no interaction in the gas phase. Equation (1) refers to difference between the properties of two phases. Thus the positive values reflect that the solute will favor the condense phase while the negative values will show a tendency to favor a gas phase. The Abraham solvation model is a useful model that can predict and illustrate the solute-solvent interaction in a system. Once the predicting equations are established in the system, one can just insert any new solute or drug compound values for certain gas-phase to derive the new solute descriptor.

Table 1.1 Summation of the Abraham solvation parameter model.

| Solute descriptor | Process Coefficient |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | c: Linear regression constant |
| E : Excess molar refractivity <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right) / 100$ | e: interaction of the solvent or phase with the solute <br> through <br> I and n-electron pairs |
| S: dipolarity/Polarizability | s: ability of the solvent phase to go through dipole- <br> dipole induce <br> interaction with a solute |
| A: Hydrogen bond acidity | a:measure of solvent's base properties |
| B: Hydrogen bond basicity | b: measure of solvent's acid properties |
| L:Ostwald solubility | l:measure of size needed to form solvent cavity and <br> dispersion forces for a gas |
| V: McGowan volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right) / 10$ | v: measure of size needed to form solvent cavity and <br> dispersion forces |

### 1.3 Gas Chromatography

### 1.3.1 Beginning of Gas Chromatography (GC)

The discovery of the actual GC is generally attributed to A.T. James and Archer.J. P Martin in their 1952 paper. They did report a separation of volatile fatty acids by partition chromatography with nitrogen gas as a mobile phase and a stationary phase of silicone oil/stearic acid supported on diatomaceous earth. But the origin of the GC lies in the 1941 publication in which Martin, with R.L.M Synge, first described liquid phase partition chromatography [59-60]. The term chromatography was used by Mikhail Tswett based on the fact that it separated the components of solution by color (liquid chromatography). The term Chroma means color, graphein means writing.

### 1.3.2 Instrumentation of Gas Chromatography

Gas chromatography is an analytical technique that can be used to separate volatile organic compounds based on partition or distribution of analyte between two phases in a system. The two phases are the mobile and stationary phase. The GC contains partitioning between a solid or liquid stationary phase kept on the column wall or on a solid sorbent and the gaseous mobile phase. The organic volatile samples are separated due to differences in their partitioning behavior between the mobile gas phase and the stationary phase in column. Since the partitioning behavior depends on temperature, the central part of the GC which is the oven contains the column. The distribution coefficient or partition coefficient measure the tendency of an analyte to be attracted to the stationary phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Cs} / \mathrm{Cm} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

K is the partition coefficient or distribution coefficient, Cs is the molar concentration of analyte in the stationary phase, Cm is molar the concentration of analyte in mobile phase. Larger

K values lead to larger retention analyte time. K can be controlled by the stationary phase chemical nature and the column temperature.

### 1.3.3 Advantage and Disadvantage of Gas Chromatography

The advantage of using gas chromatography is fast analysis, high efficiency which implies high resolution. Gas chromatography is a non-destructive method, high quantitative accuracy. GC is good for quantitative analysis of volatile compounds.

The disadvantage of gas chromatography resides in the limitation of sample to be volatized. It's not suitable for sample that degraded at high temperature (thermally labile).

The main components of the gas chromatography are the oven (where the column is and where separation takes place), the detector, the inlet and other factors need to be considered for better separation. A schematic representation of the gas chromatography in Figure 1.2ª


Figure 1.2. Schematic diagram of the components of a typical gas chromatograph. Adapted from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/gas_chromatography

The sample is introduced to the instrument through the inlet part (vaporized) with the help of carrier gas or mobile phase (usually helium gas, nitrogen or argon), then the carrier gas is forced through the stationary phase (column).The stationary phase needs to be something that does not react with the mobile phase. Then the sample has a chance to interact with the stationary phase as it moves past it. Because of the differences in rates, samples can be separated into their components. Sample that interact greatly, appear to move more slowly, those that have weak interaction appear to move more quickly. Then the detector records the signal which is called a peak. The peak is proportional to the amount of analyte injected. Other factors that need to be considered are the sample type, the column oven, type of detector, the injection system and carrier gas.

### 1.3.4 Column Oven

The column oven is the central part of the gas chromatography, the separation of mixture or components take place in the column. The oven temperature is programed at different rate with isothermal set as chosen. The GC separation is based on temperature, the higher the temperature, the faster the sample will elute. Higher temperature can lead to poor separation because of less interaction between the solute and the stationary phase. The temperature can be programmed or isothermally controlled. If a sample has a high boiling point ( $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and above); the temperature needs to be programmed, the separation required increasing the temperature during the run. A good separation occurs when the temperature is ramped and increased at slow rate. Isothermal temperature is advantages for optimal resolution.


Figure 1.3. Picture of GC column oven and column from our la.b

A measure of the separation column efficiency is the number of theoretical plates which is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=16\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} / \mathrm{W}\right)^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where N is the number of theoretical plates, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the total retention time and W is width of the peak at the base


Figure 1.4. Column efficiency. clu-in.org/characterization/technologies/images/theoreticalz.gif

The resolution of the peak is how well the peak are separated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=2\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R} 2}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R} 1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where R is the resolution, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R} 1}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R} 2}$ are the total retention times for component 1 and 2 , $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ are peak widths for substance 1 and 2 respectively.

There are two types of columns used for the GC, a capillary (mostly used) and the packed column. Here is a table that distinguished both types of columns.

Table 1.2. GC column packed vs capillary.

| GC column packed vs capillary |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Packed columns | Capillary columns |
| Usually a glass or stainless steel coil | Thin fused-silica |
| filled with a packing coated material |  |
| $0.5-3 \mathrm{~m}$ long | typically 1-100m in length |
| 5 mm internal diameter | $0.1-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ internal diameter |
| 6 mm outside diameter | film thickness $0.1-0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

The factors that affect the column performance are the column diameter, column length, and the chemical inside the stationary phase [61, 44-45]

Table 1.3. Available recommended stationary phases for different columns.

| Type of compounds | Polarity of compound | Preferred stationary phase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alcohols, Ketones, esters, <br> carboxylic acid diols, amine | Polar compounds containing <br> Cl, F, Br, O, P, N, S other <br> than C and H atom | $20 \%$ diphenyl/ 80\% dimethyl <br> siloxane, 6\% <br> cyanopropylphenyl/94\% <br> dimethylsiloxane, <br> $35 \%$ diphenyl/65\% <br> dimethylsiloxane, 50\% <br> diphenyl/50\% dimethyl <br> siloxane, ethylene glycol, <br> alkylene glycol |
| Alkanes | Non Polar C and H atom only <br> C-C bond | $5 \%$ diphenyl/95\% <br> dimethylsiloxane, methyl <br> silicone,50\% n-octyl/50\% <br> methylsiloxane |
| Alkenes, Arenes, alkynes <br> aromatic hydrocarbon bonds. | Polarizable C and H atom <br> only, C=C or C=C | $80 \%$ biscyanopropyl/20\% <br> cyapropylphenyl siloxane, <br> $90 \%$ biscyanoprophyl/10\% <br> cyanopropylphenyl siloxane |

Table 1.4. Stationary phase interactions.

| Functional <br> group | Dispersion | Dipole | Hydrogen <br> bonding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Methyl | strong | none | none |
| Phenyl | strong | none to weak | weak |
| Cyanopropyl | strong | very strong | moderate |
| Trifluoropropyl | strong | moderate | weak |
| PEG | strong | strong | moderate |

Table 1.4 shows the dispersion, dipole and hydrogen bonding of different functional group for the stationary phase interactions [47].

### 1.3.5 Inlet System

The amount of analyte to be injected to the column must be controlled so that the inlet system does not deliver a huge amount of sample to the column. The sample must be vaporized prior to get into the column. The injector should not be too hot; otherwise the sample will be decomposed. The set of temperature is $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above the boiling of the highest boiling sample. The peak shape will be poor if the temperature is too low. The inlet system has a microsyringe through which the simple is introduce to the inlet port. The syringe must be a gas-tight type to avoid loss of sample. Inside the inlet, there is an inlet liner that provides proper mixing of sample vapor with carrier gas and prevents non-volatile material to get in contact with the column. There are two types of injection system.

1 split/splitless
2 On column

### 1.3.5.1 Split/Splitless

In split/splitless mode the sample is injected after mixing with the carrier gas, then it splits into two unequal portions. One part goes to the column; the other portion goes to waste. The disadvantage of split mode is that the rest of sample that did not go to the column is wasted. With the splitless option, the whole amount of sample is injected through the column. The
splitless mode is usually applied for trace analysis. The issue with splitless mode is that it puts high solvent load on the column.

## The split/splitless injector



Figure 1.5. Split/splitless injector.

### 1.3.5.2 On-column

It's a mode of injection that avoids the hot injection liner all together is suitable for thermally unstable (labile) analyte or GC analyte with a boiling point differences that undergo discrimination in flash vaporization. It is widely use in packed column. It's the cold on-column injector. On column injector, a tall, low thermal -mass extension is attached to the top of the injector. It keeps the needle cool from the heat coming from the GC oven at the top of its temperature program [46].

### 1.3.6 Type of Analyte

The sample to be analyzed must be volatile enough in order to go through the column with the help of carrier gas such as helium or nitrogen. Derivatization of compounds can be done for analyte that is not volatile enough before separation. Careful precaution needs to be taken
when running a mixture of volatile and non-volatile compounds to avoid the interference of nonvolatile to the compound of interest.

### 1.3.7 Mobile Phase or Carrier Gas

The role of the carrier gas is to help transport the analyte through the column.
The GC carrier gas needs to be inert with the analyte, dry and free of oxygen to prevent column deterioration. The helium gas or nitrogen (carrier gas) needs to be pure (99.999\% or more), otherwise the quantitative analysis will be of noisy baseline, poor sensitivities. Maintaining a constant flow is necessary to avoid change in the retention time.

### 1.3.8 Detector

There are several types of detector for different purposes. The universal detector used in our GC is the flame ionization detector (FID). An FID normally uses hydrogen/air flame into which the analyte is passed to oxidize organic molecules and produces electrically charged particles (ions). The ions are collected and thus produce an electrical signal which is then measured. The detector role is to produce an electrical response proportional to the sample concentration. Flame ionization detectors are subjects to two broad trouble categories which are contamination and electronics. Contamination is by far the most common problem. Everything that passes through a FID is burned in the hydrogen flame. Large amounts of chlorinated compound or carbon disulfide however are not burned as well as hydrocarbons. Carbon particle tends to aggregate between the jet and the collector forming an electrical leakage path, and the result is high, noisy baseline. Another type of problem is stationary phase bleed from the column into the detector. To check the detector contamination, the GC power must be turn off and shut off the combustion gas flow. The FID is a mass sensitive detector; it depends on the mass of analyte entering the detector per unit time. The disadvantage of flame ionization detector is that
it destroys everything coming out of the column. The main part of the flame ionization detector is the ion chamber which is made of stainless steel, including the gas inlet, flame nozzle, a pair of electrodes and housing. The column flow rate has an impact on the detector's response.

Current is detected when eluent burns and generates ions; there is a change between the jet and the collector electrode. The current is amplified by the electrometer, producing a response. The information can be recorder as peak area, retention time and peak height. The retention time is the time taken for a particular compound to travel through the column to the detector.

Qualitative and Quantitative analysis can be observed through the computer program recorder [48]


Figure 1.6. Flame ionization detector.

Table 1.5. Summary of common gas chromatography detector

| Detector | Type | Detectability | Selectivity | Dynamic <br> Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flame ionisation <br> detector (FID) | Mass flow | 100 pg | most organic <br> compounds/universal | $10^{7}$ |
| Thermal conductivity <br> (TCD) | concentration | 1 ng | universal | $10^{7}$ |
| Photo-ionization (PID) | concentration | 2 pg | Aliphatics, <br> aromatics,ketones | $10^{7}$ |
|  |  |  | Esters,aldehydes, amines, |  |
| Electron capture <br> (ECD) | concentration | 50 fg | heterocyclics, <br> organosulfurs | Halides, nitrates,nitriles |


| Flame photometric <br> (FPD) | mass flow | 100 pg | sulfur, tin, boron, | $10^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | phosphorus, arsenic, |  |
|  |  |  | selenium, chromium |  |
|  |  | Halides, nitrates,nitriles |  |  |
| Nitrogen phosphorus <br> (NPD) | mass flow | 10 pg | anhydrides, |  |
| organometallics |  |  |  |  |

The type of detector to use depends on the goals of the experiment and the type of analyte to be studied. Each detector will give different type of selectivity. In our case we are using the FID universal detector. Most of these detectors use helium or nitrogen as carrier gases. Most detectors use hydrogen and air or make up gases and other may use hydrogen and air possibly oxygen as support gases.

### 1.4 Summary

Gas chromatography is a method for separating substance in a mixture and measuring the relative quantities of substance. The result in gas chromatography provides the peak area or peak height and the retention time. In this experiment the retention time of different solutes are used in the Abraham solvation model equation to predict solute descriptors. The experimental retention time data can be applied through the Abraham solvation model to predict various and significant chemical and biological properties of pharmaceutical importance. The retention time is a reflection of the substance's affinity for the stationary phase. The retention time can be used as property to characterize the compound. We can rely on the retention time only when measuring reference or sample under identical conditions and shorty after each other.

Once the drug's descriptors are determined, they can be used to predict the partitioning behavior of molecule through different biological barriers. In this experiment, the partitioning coefficients are determined by measuring the retention time and using them in the appropriate
equation. The partitioning coefficient tells us whether or not the chemical will cross the biological membranes. These partitioning coefficients also relate to the effects of solvent phase on solute-solvent phase. Right now, we are adding more solutes to develop the equation for gas chromatography stationary phase to predict solutes descriptors for illicit drugs from the GC retention time and structural information.

## CHAPTER 2

## RESEARCH PROCEDURE

### 2.1 The Aim of this Research

The goal of this research is to experimentally determine solute descriptors for certain drug compounds. First the gas chromatography is used to obtain the retention time of drug compounds, then the chromatography data (retention time) is applied to calculate the molecular descriptors with the use of Abraham solvation model equation (2). After obtaining the molecular or solute descriptors, they are used to predict some chemical or biological properties as mentioned in chapter one. More than one hundred compounds were used in this experiment. The advantage of using the Abraham solvation model resides in the newly developed column equation. Once the retention times of unknown illicit drugs or compounds are determined, it is a matter of plugging them in the developed stationary equation to get the solute descriptors.

### 2.2 Gas Chromatography Instrument

In this study, a gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector (FID) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Model GC FOCUS) is used to obtain the retention times. Chromquest software was used to analyze the data. The helium carrier gas flow rate was set to $1.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

Six different chromatographic columns were used for separations. Column TR-5, TR1MS, TG-1301MS, TG- 5MS all bought from Fisher Scientific, and column ZB-Wax, Zb-35 purchased from Zebron. All 6 columns had the same length (30m), same internal diameter $(0.32 \mathrm{~mm})$ and film thickness $(.25 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. A summary of the columns stationary phase is shown in Table 2.

Six different chromatographic columns were used for separations. Column TR-5, TR1MS, TG-1301MS, TG- 5MS all bought from Fisher Scientific, and column ZB-Wax, Zb-35
purchased from Zebron. All 6 columns had the same length (30m), same internal diameter $(0.32 \mathrm{~mm})$ and film thickness $(.25 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. A summary of the columns stationary phase is shown in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1. Summary of all 6 columns stationary phase used in this experiment.

| Column | Stationary Phase | Polarity | Max. <br> Temp. | Recommended |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ZB-wax <br> plus | Polyethylene glycol | Polar | $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Glycols, aromatic isomers, esters, <br> Alcohol ketones |
| ZB-35 | $35 \%$ phenyl 65\% <br> dimethyl polysiloxane | mid- <br> polarity | $340^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Pharmaceutical steroids, semi <br> volatile amines |
| TR 1MS | $100 \%$ dimethyl <br> polysiloxane | Non <br> polar | $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Chlorinated and nitro aromatic <br> compounds |
| TR 5 | $5 \%$ phenyl methyl | Low <br> polarity | $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Alcohols, low pesticides, free fatty <br> acids, aromatic flavors |
| TG5 MS | $5 \%$ diphenyl 95\% <br> dimethyl polysiloxane | low- <br> polarity | $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Semi volatile, phenol, amines |
| TG <br> 1301MS | $6 \%$ cyanopropyl <br> phenyl 94\% dimethyl <br> polysiloxane | Mid <br> polarity | $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Oxygenate residuals, solvent, <br> alcohols, volatile organics |

The chemical compounds and the illicit drugs were all dissolved in methanol, dichloromethane, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) or acetonitrile to make solution for injection. Both liquid and solid concentration is $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$. Low boiling point compounds like ethanol, ethyl acetate, methyl acetate, acetone, and butanone are diluted with dichloromethane or DMSO because the methanol solvent peak can co-elutes with the peak of interest.

The run starts at initial oven low temperature of 50 degree Celsius, with a hold time of 2 minutes. Then the temperature is raised at the rate of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute with 5 minutes hold time to the final temperature depending on the maximum temperature of the column inside the oven. The maximum temperature of the oven on average is $260-330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, prep-run timeout is 10.00 minute and equilibrium time is 0.50 minute. The FID detector temperature is $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The inlet temperature is $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The injection volume of sample is $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$, but can vary depending on the peak
area of the sample. The split ration of the analyte can vary too. Methanol is used to wash the needle for pre and post injection of the sample for three cycles. The needle itself is rinsed with the sample three times before injection. Each sample was tested three times to reproduce accurate and precise data. The column is conditioned twice in between each run to make sure there is no carry over or no interference with the retention time of the desire sample. Below is a summary of method development.

Table 2.2. Summary of method development

| Sample concentration | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Injection volume | $1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Split ratio | $50: 1$ |
| Split mode | Split |
| Column Dimension | $30 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{0.32} \mathrm{mmID} \mathrm{\times 0.25} \mathrm{\mu m} \mathrm{film} \mathrm{thickness} \mathrm{P}$ Carrier flow rate |
| Carrier gas | $1.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Initial oven temperature | Helium |
| Final oven temperature | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( hold for 2 min$)$ |
| Injector temperature | $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ depending on the column max temp( <br> hold for 5 min$)$ |
| Pre run time | $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Equilibrium time | 10 min |
| Ramp | 0.5 min |
| Detector | $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Detector temperature | FID |
| Solvents | $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Methanol}, \mathrm{DCM} . \mathrm{DMSO}$ |

### 2.3 Nature of Chemical Compounds

There are several type of compounds selected with a wide range of boiling point and size.
The compounds to be run need to have similar functional group with the drug sample.
Compounds need to be volatile in order to be run in the gas chromatograph.
Below is the list of more than one hundred compounds run in Table 2.2
Table 2.3 .Structure of Compounds and their boiling point

| Solute | Structure | Boiling <br> point $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1- Bromopropane |  | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2- Dibromoethane |  | 131 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |  | 180 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene |  | 144 |
| 1-Bromohexane |  | 158 |
| 1-Butanol |  | 117.4 |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene |  | 263 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene |  | 304 |
| 1-Nonene |  | 146 |
| 1-Octanol |  | 195 |
| 1-Octene |  | 121 |
| 2 Propanol |  | 82 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine |  | 189 |
| 2-Butanone |  | 79.6 |


| 2-Butoxyethanol |  | 171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid |  | 285 |
| 2-Chlorophenol |  | 175 |
| 2-Methyl -2-pentanol |  | 121 |
| 2-Methyl-1-propanol |  | 108 |
| 2-Methyl-2-propanol |  | 82 |
| 2-Naphthol |  | 286 |
| 2-Octanol |  | 195 |
| 2-Picoline |  | 129 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol |  | 188 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid |  | 341 |
| 4-Chlorophenol |  | 220 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol |  | 132 |
| 4-Nitrophenol |  | 279 |


| 4-Nitrotoluene |  | 238 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acenaphthene |  | 280 |
| Acetamide |  | 222 |
| Acetanilide |  | 304 |
| Acetic Acid |  | 118 |
| Acetic anhydride |  | 139 |
| Acetone |  | 56.5 |
| Acetophenone |  | 202 |
| Alpha pinene |  | 155 |
| Amyl acetate |  | 148 |
| Aniline |  | 186 |
| Aspirin |  | 140 |
| Benzene |  | 80.1 |

Benzoic Acid

Formic acid

| Morpholine |  | 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m-Toluic acid |  | 263 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline |  | 217 |
| N,N-Dimethylacetamide |  | 165 |
| N,N-Dimethylaniline |  | 194 |
| $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ <br> Dimethylformamide |  | 153 |
| Naphthalene |  | 218 |
| nitrobenzene |  | 210.9 |
| Nitromethane | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 100 |
| Nonylamine |  | 201 |
| N,propyl alcohol |  | 97.2 |
| o-anisaldehyde |  | 238 |
| o-cresol |  | 191 |
| Octanoic acid |  | 237 |


| Octylamine |  | 176 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pentan-1-ol |  | 139 |
| Phenanthrene |  | 332 |
| Phenol |  | 181.7 |
| Phenylacetic Acid |  | 265.5 |
| Piperazine |  | 146 |
| Piperidine |  | 106 |
| Propanoic Acid |  | 141 |
| Propionitrile |  | 97 |
| Propylene Carbonate |  | 240 |
| Pyrazine | C | 115 |
| Pyridine |  | 115.2 |
| Pyrrole |  | 129 |

Resorcinol

Illicit and prescription drugs to be studies are methamphetamine, oxycodone, nicotine, heroin and ketamine. The drugs chemical formula and other information are listed below in

Table 2.3
Table 2.4. Chemical and physical properties of drugs to be studied

| Compound | Chemical <br> Structure | Molecular <br> Formula | Molecular <br> Weight (g/mol) | Boiling <br> Point ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methamphetamine |  | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | 149.23 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H N}-\mathbf{C H}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |


| Oxycodone | 301 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ketamine |  |
| Neroin(diacetyl |  |
| morphine) |  |

Chemical compounds in Table 2.2 have some similar functional groups to the drug compounds in Table 2.3. HPLC grade (Spectrum chemical Mfg.Corp.), analytical grade dichloromethane (Spectrum chemical Mfg.Corp.), DMSO, ACN are solvents used to dissolved drug samples and compounds. Once the retention time of each compound is obtained, equation (2) is used to solve Abraham solvation parameter model with the retention time of each compound using the experimental gas-to liquid partition coefficients data( E,S,A,B,L,V) from literature [49-52]. The software utilized to calculate the process coefficients by multiple linear regression analysis (MLRA) is the statistical package for social science (SPSS). The SPSS is software for managing data and calculate a wide variety of statistics. With the use of SPSS, the processes coefficients are obtained, then the log of calculated retention time are found. Multiple
linear regression analysis is a technique that correlates two or more independent variable (x) and a dependent variable(y) to produce equation coefficients. MLRA is used to construct linear free energy relationships with the Abraham solvation parameter model. The method of MLRA can be used with Microsoft excel or SPSS. In order to produce a good quality regression for five variables, one needs to have at least thirty samples.

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

The data analyses are examined with the use of SPSS software and Microsoft excel. First, each compound is run three times, and then the average of the three run is obtained. Next the standard deviation is calculated. Standard deviation shows how much variation or dispersion from the average exists. A large standard deviation indicates that data points are spread out over a large range of values, therefore poor relationships among data. A low standard deviation indicates that data points tend to be very close to the mean, thus a good relationship among data. A low standard deviation is preferable because it shows a good relationship among data. After the standard deviation, the logs of experimental retention times are calculated. Once the calculated log and experimental log of retention time are acquired, excel or origin program can be used to graph the experiment log of retention time on $x$ axis versus the calculated $\log$ of retention time on y axis. The correlation coefficient, r reflects the linear relationship between the two variables. A positive sign (+1) on the correlation coefficient indicates a positive or direct correlation between two variables. A negative sign (-1) indicates an indirect correlation between two variables. The correlation coefficient denoted by $r^{2}$ or $R^{2}$ is a measure of the strength of the straight line or linear relationship between two variables.

### 2.5 Training Sets

Since there are five unknowns (E, S, A, B, L or V) to be solved in the Abraham solvation model, there is a need of at least five equations to be established in order to determine the solute descriptors of illicit drugs. The known process coefficients (e, s, a, b, l or v) are used through the system equations to generate the solute descriptors or molecular descriptors. The process coefficients for each column are calculated with the help of the SPSS software by multiple linear regression analysis. The overall sums of squares are set at a minimum to fit the aimed cells of S , A, and B in excel where A and B are set as unconstrained variable with a values of greater than or equal to zero since acidity and basicity cannot be negative. The $S$ is set as unrestrained variable. The method used is the Microsoft excel solver that uses the generalized reduced gradient (GRG2) algorithm for optimizing nonlinear problems. This algorithm was developed by Leon Lasdon, of the University of Texas at Austin, and Allan Warren, of Cleveland State University

## CHAPTER 3

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Result from Each Column Used

In this experiment, more than one hundred compounds were run. Below is the list of the three runs, the mean values, the standard deviation and percent relative standard deviation of each solute on all six columns used. Compounds that are not listed on the table means they did not elute or their boiling point exceeded the maximum temperature of the column used. Not all illicit drugs ran on each column. The data for each column are shown in Table 3.1-3.6.

Table 3.1. Retention time (min) for column ZB Wax plus max temperature $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (polyethylene glycol) column

| Solute | Run1 | Run2 | Run3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 6.643 | 6.647 | 6.648 | 6.646 | 0.003 | 0.040 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 9.343 | 9.340 | 9.340 | 9.341 | 0.002 | 0.019 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 6.492 | 6.480 | 6.485 | 6.486 | 0.006 | 0.093 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 6.100 | 6.113 | 6.110 | 6.108 | 0.007 | 0.111 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 2.888 | 2.887 | 2.888 | 2.888 | 0.001 | 0.020 |
| 1-Butanol | 5.552 | 5.562 | 5.555 | 5.556 | 0.005 | 0.092 |
| 1-Nonene | 3.497 | 3.492 | 3.497 | 3.495 | 0.003 | 0.083 |
| 1-Octanol | 9.348 | 9.350 | 9.355 | 9.351 | 0.004 | 0.039 |
| 1-Octene | 2.613 | 2.615 | 2.615 | 2.614 | 0.001 | 0.044 |
| 2- Propanol | 3.423 | 3.428 | 3.420 | 3.424 | 0.004 | 0.118 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 10.290 | 10.283 | 10.285 | 10.286 | 0.004 | 0.035 |
| 2-Butanone | 3.925 | 3.913 | 3.915 | 3.918 | 0.006 | 0.164 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 8.110 | 8.108 | 8.112 | 8.110 | 0.002 | 0.025 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 12.135 | 12.138 | 12.140 | 12.138 | 0.003 | 0.021 |
| 2-Methyl -2-Pentanol | 5.108 | 5.103 | 5.115 | 5.109 | 0.006 | 0.118 |
| 2-Picoline | 6.827 | 6.822 | 6.815 | 6.821 | 0.006 | 0.088 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 9.682 | 9.668 | 9.663 | 9.671 | 0.010 | 0.102 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 15.358 | 15.363 | 15.365 | 15.362 | 0.004 | 0.023 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 5.813 | 5.815 | 5.813 | 5.814 | 0.001 | 0.020 |
| 4-Nitrotoluene | 11.897 | 11.898 | 11.898 | 11.898 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| Acetamide | 11.358 | 11.357 | 11.362 | 11.359 | 0.003 | 0.023 |
| Acetic Acid | 9.142 | 9.137 | 9.102 | 9.127 | 0.022 | 0.239 |
| Acetic anhydride | 6.598 | 6.620 | 6.612 | 6.610 | 0.011 | 0.168 |


| Acetone | 3.195 | 3.195 | 3.195 | 3.195 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acetophenone | 10.635 | 10.645 | 10.648 | 10.643 | 0.007 | 0.064 |
| Alpha pinene | 4.823 | 4.815 | 4.812 | 4.817 | 0.006 | 0.118 |
| Amyl acetate | 6.310 | 6.310 | 6.302 | 6.307 | 0.005 | 0.073 |
| Aniline | 11.377 | 11.378 | 11.382 | 11.379 | 0.003 | 0.023 |
| Benzene | 4.013 | 4.010 | 4.007 | 4.010 | 0.003 | 0.075 |
| Benzoic Acid | 15.733 | 15.755 | 15.748 | 15.745 | 0.011 | 0.071 |
| Benzonitrile | 10.305 | 10.307 | 10.307 | 10.306 | 0.001 | 0.011 |
| Benzyl bromide | 10.122 | 10.115 | 10.122 | 10.120 | 0.004 | 0.040 |
| Benzyl chloride | 9.538 | 9.537 | 9.532 | 9.536 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| Biphenyl | 12.667 | 12.663 | 12.663 | 12.664 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| Bromobenzene | 8.053 | 8.053 | 8.057 | 8.054 | 0.002 | 0.029 |
| Butyric acid | 9.707 | 9.712 | 9.718 | 9.712 | 0.006 | 0.057 |
| Butyronitrile | 5.130 | 5.135 | 5.135 | 5.133 | 0.003 | 0.056 |
| Chlorobenzene | 6.755 | 6.755 | 6.755 | 6.755 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Cyclohexane | 3.328 | 3.348 | 3.362 | 3.346 | 0.017 | 0.511 |
| Cyclohexanol | 8.167 | 8.170 | 8.168 | 8.168 | 0.002 | 0.019 |
| Diiodomethane | 7.037 | 7.048 | 7.032 | 7.039 | 0.008 | 0.116 |
| Diisopropylamine | 3.273 | 3.270 | 3.277 | 3.273 | 0.004 | 0.107 |
| Dimethyl carbonate | 4.018 | 4.035 | 4.043 | 4.032 | 0.013 | 0.317 |
| Ethanol | 3.975 | 3.977 | 3.977 | 3.976 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| Ethanolamine | 8.040 | 8.008 | 8.100 | 8.049 | 0.047 | 0.580 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 3.592 | 3.593 | 3.590 | 3.592 | 0.002 | 0.043 |
| Ethyl Acetoacetate | 8.538 | 8.610 | 8.593 | 8.580 | 0.038 | 0.439 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 10.733 | 10.733 | 10.737 | 10.734 | 0.002 | 0.022 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 10.402 | 10.417 | 10.413 | 10.411 | 0.008 | 0.075 |
| Ethylbenzene | 5.402 | 5.398 | 5.399 | 5.400 | 0.002 | 0.039 |
| Ethylene glycol | 10.095 | 10.095 | 10.047 | 10.079 | 0.028 | 0.275 |
| Formamide | 10.085 | 10.087 | 10.085 | 10.086 | 0.001 | 0.011 |
| Formic acid | 3.342 | 3.280 | 3.398 | 3.340 | 0.059 | 1.767 |
| Iodobenzene | 9.135 | 9.128 | 9.133 | 9.132 | 0.004 | 0.039 |
| Isoquinoline | 13.078 | 13.092 | 13.090 | 13.087 | 0.008 | 0.058 |
| Lactic acid | 7.715 | 7.708 | 7.715 | 7.713 | 0.004 | 0.052 |
| L-menthol | 9.993 | 9.995 | 9.993 | 9.994 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| Malonic acid | 8.425 | 8.430 | 8.428 | 8.428 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Mesitylene | 7.082 | 7.083 | 7.082 | 7.082 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Methyl Acetate | 3.252 | 3.255 | 3.252 | 3.253 | 0.002 | 0.053 |
| Methyl Benzoate | 10.413 | 10.410 | 10.407 | 10.410 | 0.003 | 0.029 |
| Methyl isobutyl <br> ketone | 4.607 | 4.608 | 4.617 | 4.611 | 0.006 | 0.119 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Methyl cyclohexane | 7.725 | 7.727 | 7.730 | 7.727 | 0.003 | 0.033 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Morpholine | 7.110 | 7.107 | 7.108 | 7.108 | 0.002 | 0.021 |
| N,N <br> dimethylacetamide | 8.235 | 8.238 | 8.242 | 8.238 | 0.004 | 0.043 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 10.385 | 10.387 | 10.380 | 10.384 | 0.004 | 0.035 |
| N,N-Dimethylaniline | 9.817 | 9.815 | 9.817 | 9.816 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| N,N- <br> Dimethylformamide | 7.935 | 7.928 | 7.927 | 7.930 | 0.004 | 0.055 |
| Naphthalene | 11.348 | 11.348 | 11.352 | 11.349 | 0.002 | 0.020 |
| Nitrobenzene | 11.327 | 11.330 | 11.332 | 11.330 | 0.003 | 0.022 |
| Nitromethane | 11.897 | 11.898 | 11.898 | 11.898 | 0.001 | 0.005 |
| Nonylamine | 8.697 | 8.690 | 8.702 | 8.696 | 0.006 | 0.069 |
| N,propyl alcohol | 3.852 | 3.918 | 3.940 | 3.903 | 0.046 | 1.173 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 12.533 | 12.545 | 12.540 | 12.539 | 0.006 | 0.048 |
| Octanoic acid | 12.923 | 12.927 | 12.927 | 12.926 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| Octylamine | 7.857 | 7.842 | 7.840 | 7.846 | 0.009 | 0.118 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 7.047 | 7.050 | 7.045 | 7.047 | 0.003 | 0.036 |
| Phenol | 13.317 | 13.322 | 13.323 | 13.321 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| Phenylacetic Acid | 15.908 | 15.900 | 15.893 | 15.900 | 0.008 | 0.047 |
| Piperidine | 4.698 | 4.688 | 4.688 | 4.691 | 0.006 | 0.123 |
| Propanoic Acid | 9.105 | 9.100 | 9.102 | 9.102 | 0.003 | 0.028 |
| Propionitrile | 4.380 | 4.383 | 4.380 | 4.381 | 0.002 | 0.040 |
| Propylene Carbonate | 12.072 | 12.078 | 12.068 | 12.073 | 0.005 | 0.042 |
| Pyrazine | 6.190 | 6.197 | 6.198 | 6.195 | 0.004 | 0.070 |
| Pyridine | 6.455 | 6.460 | 6.463 | 6.459 | 0.004 | 0.063 |
| Pyrrole | 9.010 | 9.095 | 9.120 | 9.075 | 0.058 | 0.635 |
| Quinoline | 12.787 | 12.780 | 12.778 | 12.782 | 0.005 | 0.037 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 4.792 | 4.777 | 4.775 | 4.781 | 0.009 | 0.194 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 3.467 | 3.468 | 3.470 | 3.468 | 0.002 | 0.044 |
| Toluene | 4.967 | 4.952 | 4.947 | 4.955 | 0.010 | 0.210 |
| Triethyl amine | 3.290 | 3.298 | 3.302 | 3.297 | 0.006 | 0.185 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2. Retention time (min) for ZB -35 (35\% Phenyl 65\% dimethyl polysiloxane) columns

| Solute | Run1 | Run2 | Run3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 -Chloronaphthalene | 11.783 | 11.762 | 11.665 | 11.737 | 0.063 | 0.536 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 4.995 | 4.993 | 4.992 | 4.993 | 0.002 | 0.031 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 8.082 | 8.100 | 8.087 | 8.090 | 0.009 | 0.115 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 6.185 | 6.183 | 6.187 | 6.185 | 0.002 | 0.032 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 6.920 | 6.912 | 6.913 | 6.915 | 0.004 | 0.063 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 6.468 | 6.463 | 6.458 | 6.463 | 0.005 | 0.077 |


| 1-Bromopropane | 2.840 | 2.840 | 2.842 | 2.841 | 0.001 | 0.041 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Butanol | 3.167 | 3.155 | 3.152 | 3.158 | 0.008 | 0.251 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene | 13.948 | 13.948 | 13.950 | 13.949 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| 1-Nonene | 4.850 | 4.848 | 4.848 | 4.849 | 0.001 | 0.024 |
| 1-Octanol | 7.580 | 7.580 | 7.582 | 7.581 | 0.001 | 0.015 |
| 1-Octene | 3.720 | 3.723 | 3.723 | 3.722 | 0.002 | 0.047 |
| 2 -Methyl -2 propanol | 2.418 | 2.422 | 2.415 | 2.418 | 0.004 | 0.145 |
| 2-Propanol | 2.327 | 2.328 | 2.328 | 2.328 | 0.001 | 0.025 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 8.352 | 8.328 | 8.339 | 8.340 | 0.012 | 0.144 |
| 2-Butanone | 3.372 | 3.380 | 3.380 | 3.377 | 0.005 | 0.137 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 5.865 | 5.980 | 5.925 | 5.923 | 0.058 | 0.971 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid | 11.548 | 11.517 | 11.528 | 11.531 | 0.016 | 0.136 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 7.588 | 7.587 | 7.582 | 7.586 | 0.003 | 0.042 |
| 2-Methyl -2-Pentanol | 3.618 | 3.618 | 3.615 | 3.617 | 0.002 | 0.048 |
| 2-Picoline | 5.605 | 5.627 | 5.618 | 5.617 | 0.011 | 0.197 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 9.700 | 9.707 | 9.705 | 9.704 | 0.004 | 0.037 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 4.315 | 4.315 | 4.312 | 4.314 | 0.002 | 0.040 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 13.168 | 13.167 | 13.162 | 13.166 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| 4-Nitrotoluene | 9.857 | 9.852 | 9.853 | 9.854 | 0.003 | 0.027 |
| Acenaphthene | 12.698 | 12.695 | 12.692 | 12.695 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| Acetanilide | 12.018 | 12.015 | 12.010 | 12.014 | 0.004 | 0.034 |
| Acetic Acid | 3.438 | 3.395 | 3.465 | 3.433 | 0.035 | 1.028 |
| Acetic anhydride | 4.143 | 4.133 | 4.128 | 4.135 | 0.008 | 0.185 |
| Acetone | 2.978 | 2.960 | 2.952 | 2.963 | 0.013 | 0.449 |
| Acetophenone | 8.738 | 8.727 | 8.740 | 8.735 | 0.007 | 0.080 |
| Alpha pinene | 6.138 | 6.132 | 6.130 | 6.133 | 0.004 | 0.068 |
| Amyl acetate | 6.245 | 6.242 | 6.233 | 6.240 | 0.006 | 0.100 |
| Aniline | 7.813 | 7.828 | 7.817 | 7.819 | 0.008 | 0.099 |
| Aspirin | 10.008 | 10.008 | 10.008 | 10.008 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Benzene | 3.767 | 3.770 | 3.765 | 3.767 | 0.003 | 0.067 |
| Benzoic Acid | 9.533 | 9.548 | 9.508 | 9.530 | 0.020 | 0.212 |
| Benzonitrile | 7.948 | 7.948 | 7.947 | 7.948 | 0.001 | 0.007 |
| Benzophenone | 13.937 | 13.938 | 13.932 | 13.936 | 0.003 | 0.023 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.913 | 7.960 | 7.958 | 7.944 | 0.027 | 0.335 |
| Benzyl bromide | 8.635 | 8.628 | 8.623 | 8.629 | 0.006 | 0.070 |
| Benzyl chloride | 4.980 | 7.980 | 7.988 | 7.983 | 0.005 | 0.058 |
| Biphenyl | 4.065 | 4.068 | 4.065 | 0.003 | 0.074 |  |
| Butyric acid | 11.630 | 11.629 | 0.004 | 0.031 |  |  |
| Butyronitrile | 4.050 | 4.047 | 0.004 | 0.087 |  |  |


| Chlorobenzene | 5.730 | 5.735 | 5.727 | 5.731 | 0.004 | 0.071 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cyclohexane | 2.883 | 2.875 | 2.873 | 2.877 | 0.005 | 0.184 |
| Cyclohexanol | 5.797 | 5.783 | 5.802 | 5.794 | 0.010 | 0.170 |
| Diisopropylamine | 3.182 | 3.182 | 3.182 | 3.182 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Dimethyl carbonate | 2.978 | 2.978 | 2.978 | 2.978 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Ethanol | 2.827 | 2.833 | 2.830 | 2.830 | 0.003 | 0.106 |
| Ethanolamine | 3.755 | 3.835 | 3.890 | 3.827 | 0.068 | 1.774 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 3.377 | 3.377 | 3.375 | 3.376 | 0.001 | 0.034 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 9.522 | 9.527 | 9.527 | 9.525 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 10.812 | 10.815 | 10.788 | 10.805 | 0.015 | 0.137 |
| Ethylbenzene | 5.623 | 5.627 | 5.627 | 5.626 | 0.002 | 0.041 |
| Ethylene glycol | 4.035 | 4.008 | 3.962 | 4.002 | 0.037 | 0.922 |
| Formamide | 6.693 | 6.692 | 6.658 | 6.681 | 0.020 | 0.298 |
| Iodobenzene | 7.887 | 7.892 | 7.897 | 7.892 | 0.005 | 0.063 |
| Isopentyl acetate | 5.793 | 5.782 | 5.777 | 5.784 | 0.008 | 0.142 |
| Isoquinoline | 10.858 | 10.845 | 10.848 | 10.850 | 0.007 | 0.063 |
| Lactic acid | 4.527 | 4.527 | 4.522 | 4.525 | 0.003 | 0.064 |
| L-menthol | 8.670 | 8.670 | 8.667 | 8.669 | 0.002 | 0.020 |
| m, Toluic acid | 10.405 | 10.408 | 10.432 | 10.415 | 0.015 | 0.142 |
| Methyl Acetate | 3.015 | 3.017 | 3.016 | 3.016 | 0.001 | 0.033 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 4.403 | 4.410 | 4.415 | 4.409 | 0.006 | 0.137 |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate | 12.380 | 12.383 | 12.387 | 12.383 | 0.004 | 0.028 |
| Morpholine | 4.482 | 4.480 | 4.478 | 4.480 | 0.002 | 0.045 |
| N,N dimethylacetamide | 6.418 | 5.412 | 5.425 | 5.418 | 0.007 | 0.120 |
| N,N-diethylaniline | 9.940 | 6.250 | 6.243 | 6.254 | 0.013 | 0.206 |
| Naphthalene | 9.858 | 9.858 | 9.942 | 9.939 | 0.004 | 0.036 |
| Nitrobenzene | 9.048 | 9.052 | 9.048 | 9.858 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
| Nitromethane | 2.873 | 2.853 | 2.855 | 2.860 | 0.002 | 0.026 |
| Nonylamine | 8.540 | 8.537 | 8.530 | 8.536 | 0.005 | 0.385 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 11.250 | 11.232 | 11.228 | 11.237 | 0.012 | 0.104 |
| O-cresol | 8.322 | 8.300 | 8.312 | 8.311 | 0.011 | 0.133 |
| Octanoic acid | 8.095 | 8.095 | 8.093 | 8.094 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| Octylamine | 7.507 | 7.507 | 7.498 | 7.504 | 0.005 | 0.069 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 4.578 | 4.572 | 4.577 | 4.576 | 0.003 | 0.070 |
| Phenol | 7.462 | 7.472 | 7.455 | 7.463 | 0.009 | 0.114 |
| Phenyl acetic Acid | 10.375 | 10.385 | 10.380 | 10.380 | 0.005 | 0.048 |
| Piperidine | Propanoic Acid | 2.045 | 0.022 | 0.539 |  |  |
| Propionitrile | 2.897 | 0.004 | 0.124 |  |  |  |


| Pyrazine | 4.277 | 4.287 | 4.283 | 4.282 | 0.005 | 0.118 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pyridine | 4.892 | 4.880 | 4.877 | 4.883 | 0.008 | 0.163 |
| Pyrrole | 4.463 | 4.458 | 4.465 | 4.462 | 0.004 | 0.081 |
| Quinoline | 10.642 | 10.662 | 10.647 | 10.650 | 0.010 | 0.098 |
| Resorcinol | 10.868 | 10.862 | 10.860 | 10.863 | 0.004 | 0.038 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 5.038 | 5.042 | 5.038 | 5.039 | 0.002 | 0.046 |
| Toluene | 4.737 | 4.732 | 4.730 | 4.733 | 0.004 | 0.076 |
| Triethyl amine | 3.330 | 3.327 | 3.323 | 3.327 | 0.004 | 0.106 |
| Vanillin | 12.137 | 12.145 | 12.137 | 12.140 | 0.005 | 0.038 |

Table 3.3. Retention time for TR 1 MS ( $100 \%$ dimethyl polysiloxane) column

| Solute | Run1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Average | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 4.375 | 4.378 | 4.373 | 4.375 | 0.003 | 0.058 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 9.143 | 9.150 | 9.150 | 9.148 | 0.004 | 0.044 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 8.620 | 8.623 | 8.622 | 8.622 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 8.038 | 8.042 | 8.047 | 8.042 | 0.005 | 0.056 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 2.725 | 2.718 | 2.723 | 2.722 | 0.004 | 0.132 |
| 1-Butanol | 3.263 | 3.270 | 3.267 | 3.267 | 0.004 | 0.108 |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 12.572 | 12.558 | 12.560 | 12.563 | 0.008 | 0.060 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene | 14.257 | 14.260 | 14.258 | 14.258 | 0.002 | 0.011 |
| 1-Nonene | 5.728 | 5.743 | 5.748 | 5.740 | 0.010 | 0.181 |
| 1-Octanol | 7.818 | 7.820 | 7.832 | 7.823 | 0.008 | 0.097 |
| 1-Octene | 4.462 | 4.463 | 4.460 | 4.462 | 0.002 | 0.034 |
| 2 Methyl -2 propanol | 2.507 | 2.502 | 2.495 | 2.501 | 0.006 | 0.241 |
| 2 Propanol | 2.202 | 2.200 | 2.200 | 2.201 | 0.001 | 0.052 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 9.003 | 8.997 | 8.997 | 8.999 | 0.003 | 0.038 |
| 2-Butanone | 4.475 | 4.485 | 4.478 | 4.479 | 0.005 | 0.115 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 5.913 | 5.910 | 5.912 | 5.912 | 0.002 | 0.026 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 8.678 | 8.683 | 8.678 | 8.680 | 0.003 | 0.033 |
| 2-Methyl -2-Pentanol | 3.918 | 3.915 | 3.917 | 3.917 | 0.002 | 0.039 |
| 2-Picoline | 6.575 | 6.573 | 6.572 | 6.573 | 0.002 | 0.023 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 9.012 | 9.007 | 9.008 | 9.009 | 0.003 | 0.029 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 4.163 | 4.165 | 4.167 | 4.165 | 0.002 | 0.048 |
| 4-Nitrotoluene | 9.198 | 9.205 | 9.205 | 9.203 | 0.004 | 0.044 |
| Acenaphthene | 13.408 | 13.422 | 13.417 | 13.416 | 0.007 | 0.053 |
| Acetanilide | 12.295 | 12.257 | 12.250 | 12.267 | 0.024 | 0.197 |
| Acetic Acid | 4.653 | 4.638 | 4.658 | 4.650 | 0.010 | 0.224 |
| Acetic anhydride | 2.507 | 2.508 | 2.505 | 2.507 | 0.002 | 0.061 |
| Acetone | 4.322 | 4.225 | 4.128 | 4.225 | 0.097 | 2.296 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Acetophenone | 9.423 | 9.450 | 9.428 | 9.434 | 0.014 | 0.152 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpha pinene | 8.360 | 8.362 | 8.353 | 8.358 | 0.005 | 0.057 |
| Amyl acetate | 7.733 | 7.742 | 7.743 | 7.739 | 0.006 | 0.071 |
| Aniline | 8.395 | 8.400 | 8.400 | 8.398 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| Benzene | 5.010 | 5.030 | 5.033 | 5.024 | 0.013 | 0.249 |
| Benzoic Acid | 10.485 | 10.495 | 10.503 | 10.494 | 0.009 | 0.086 |
| Benzonitrile | 8.415 | 8.405 | 8.400 | 8.407 | 0.008 | 0.091 |
| Benzophenone | 14.418 | 14.412 | 14.412 | 14.414 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.248 | 7.250 | 7.250 | 7.249 | 0.001 | 0.016 |
| Benzyl bromide | 8.032 | 8.027 | 8.027 | 8.029 | 0.003 | 0.036 |
| Benzyl chloride | 8.903 | 8.887 | 8.885 | 8.892 | 0.010 | 0.111 |
| Biphenyl | 12.467 | 12.465 | 12.467 | 12.466 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| Butyric acid | 5.148 | 5.310 | 5.295 | 5.251 | 0.090 | 1.705 |
| Butyronitrile | 3.160 | 3.162 | 3.155 | 3.159 | 0.004 | 0.114 |
| Chlorobenzene | 8.152 | 8.145 | 8.147 | 8.148 | 0.004 | 0.044 |
| Cyclohexane | 3.328 | 3.323 | 3.313 | 3.321 | 0.008 | 0.230 |
| Cyclohexanol | 5.602 | 5.627 | 5.632 | 5.620 | 0.016 | 0.286 |
| Diisopropylamine | 3.275 | 3.273 | 3.272 | 3.273 | 0.002 | 0.047 |
| Dimethyl carbonate | 2.868 | 2.867 | 2.863 | 2.866 | 0.003 | 0.092 |
| Ethanol | 3.930 | 3.935 | 3.935 | 3.933 | 0.003 | 0.073 |
| Ethanolamine | 3.342 | 3.372 | 3.323 | 3.346 | 0.025 | 0.738 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 4.580 | 4.572 | 4.577 | 4.576 | 0.004 | 0.088 |
| Ethyl Acetoacetate | 6.103 | 6.110 | 6.115 | 6.109 | 0.006 | 0.099 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 10.515 | 10.502 | 10.495 | 10.504 | 0.010 | 0.097 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 12.503 | 12.500 | 12.498 | 12.500 | 0.003 | 0.020 |
| Ethylbenzene | 5.417 | 5.420 | 5.422 | 5.420 | 0.003 | 0.046 |
| Ethylene glycol | 3.658 | 3.658 | 3.765 | 3.694 | 0.062 | 1.672 |
| Formamide | 6.697 | 6.647 | 6.605 | 6.650 | 0.046 | 0.693 |
| Iodobenzene | 7.437 | 7.438 | 7.438 | 7.438 | 0.001 | 0.008 |
| Isopentyl acetate | 7.433 | 7.418 | 7.408 | 7.420 | 0.013 | 0.170 |
| Isoquinoline | 11.408 | 11.395 | 11.392 | 11.398 | 0.009 | 0.075 |
| Lactic acid | 7.547 | 8.065 | 7.613 | 7.742 | 0.282 | 3.642 |
| L-menthol | 8.862 | 8.860 | 8.860 | 8.861 | 0.001 | 0.013 |
| Methyl Acetate | 4.245 | 4.245 | 4.247 | 4.246 | 0.001 | 0.027 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 5.710 | 5.705 | 5.703 | 5.706 | 0.004 | 0.063 |
| Methyl-4- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hydroxybenzoate | 12.907 | 12.895 | 12.843 | 12.882 | 0.034 | 0.264 |
| Morpholine | 6.272 | 6.273 | 6.274 | 0.003 | 0.042 |  |
| n-Propyl alcohol | 4.085 | 4.080 | 4.082 | 0.003 | 0.071 |  |
| N,N dimethylacetamide | 5.240 | 5.232 | 5.235 | 0.005 | 0.088 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| N,N dimethylaniline | 9.717 | 9.717 | 9.712 | 9.715 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N,N-diethylaniline | 11.068 | 11.063 | 11.067 | 11.066 | 0.003 | 0.024 |
| Naphthalene | 10.793 | 10.817 | 10.800 | 10.803 | 0.012 | 0.114 |
| Nitrobenzene | 9.653 | 9.633 | 9.635 | 9.640 | 0.011 | 0.114 |
| Nonylamine | 10.333 | 10.332 | 10.343 | 10.336 | 0.006 | 0.059 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 11.957 | 11.955 | 11.952 | 11.955 | 0.003 | 0.021 |
| Octanoic acid | 8.368 | 8.368 | 8.368 | 8.368 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Octylamine | 9.268 | 9.272 | 9.278 | 9.273 | 0.005 | 0.054 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 6.043 | 6.033 | 6.033 | 6.036 | 0.006 | 0.096 |
| Phenanthrene | 15.665 | 15.665 | 15.657 | 15.662 | 0.005 | 0.029 |
| Phenol | 8.468 | 8.470 | 8.473 | 8.470 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Phenyl acetic Acid | 11.187 | 11.187 | 11.185 | 11.186 | 0.001 | 0.010 |
| Piperidine | 4.173 | 4.178 | 4.173 | 4.175 | 0.003 | 0.069 |
| Propionitrile | 2.600 | 2.602 | 2.598 | 2.600 | 0.002 | 0.077 |
| Pyrazine | 3.597 | 3.598 | 3.602 | 3.599 | 0.003 | 0.074 |
| Pyridine | 5.725 | 5.737 | 5.723 | 5.728 | 0.008 | 0.132 |
| Pyrrole | 4.035 | 4.027 | 4.025 | 4.029 | 0.005 | 0.131 |
| Quinoline | 11.177 | 11.175 | 11.173 | 11.175 | 0.002 | 0.018 |
| Resorcinol | 11.353 | 11.373 | 11.403 | 11.376 | 0.025 | 0.221 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 6.647 | 6.647 | 6.645 | 6.646 | 0.001 | 0.017 |
| Toluene | 6.103 | 6.100 | 6.100 | 6.101 | 0.002 | 0.028 |
| Triethylamine | 3.520 | 3.518 | 3.515 | 3.518 | 0.003 | 0.072 |
| Vanillin | 12.487 | 12.487 | 12.532 | 12.502 | 0.026 | 0.208 |
| Xanthene | 14.718 | 14.720 | 14.725 | 14.721 | 0.004 | 0.024 |

Table 3.4. Retention time for TR 5(5 \% phenyl methyl polysiloxane) column

| Solute | Run1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 10.778 | 10.772 | 10.773 | 10.774 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 4.330 | 4.332 | 4.333 | 4.332 | 0.002 | 0.035 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 7.292 | 7.285 | 7.300 | 7.292 | 0.008 | 0.103 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 5.610 | 5.612 | 5.618 | 5.613 | 0.004 | 0.074 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 6.492 | 6.483 | 6.483 | 6.486 | 0.005 | 0.080 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 6.113 | 6.108 | 6.107 | 6.109 | 0.003 | 0.053 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 2.705 | 2.710 | 2.710 | 2.708 | 0.003 | 0.107 |
| 1-Butanol | 2.638 | 2.632 | 2.637 | 2.636 | 0.003 | 0.122 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene | 12.570 | 12.570 | 12.572 | 12.571 | 0.001 | 0.009 |
| 1-Nonene | 5.343 | 5.348 | 5.345 | 5.345 | 0.003 | 0.047 |
| 1-Octene | 4.095 | 4.113 | 4.125 | 4.111 | 0.015 | 0.367 |
| 2-Propanol | 2.215 | 2.263 | 2.267 | 2.248 | 0.029 | 1.287 |


| 2-Acetylpyridine | 7.258 | 7.255 | 7.253 | 7.255 | 0.003 | 0.035 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Butanone | 2.675 | 2.647 | 2.645 | 2.656 | 0.017 | 0.632 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid | 10.612 | 10.652 | 10.600 | 10.621 | 0.027 | 0.256 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.760 | 6.760 | 6.767 | 6.762 | 0.004 | 0.060 |
| 2-Picoline | 4.602 | 4.602 | 4.597 | 4.600 | 0.003 | 0.063 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 4.865 | 4.857 | 4.867 | 4.863 | 0.005 | 0.109 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid | 12.262 | 12.265 | 12.263 | 12.263 | 0.002 | 0.012 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 8.388 | 8.383 | 8.372 | 8.381 | 0.008 | 0.098 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 3.478 | 3.553 | 3.487 | 3.506 | 0.041 | 1.168 |
| Acenaphthene | 11.613 | 11.612 | 11.610 | 11.612 | 0.002 | 0.013 |
| Acetanilide | 10.608 | 10.608 | 10.605 | 10.607 | 0.002 | 0.016 |
| Acetic Acid | 2.873 | 2.863 | 2.867 | 2.868 | 0.005 | 0.176 |
| Acetone | 2.348 | 2.343 | 2.342 | 2.344 | 0.003 | 0.137 |
| Acetophenone | 7.657 | 7.668 | 7.672 | 7.666 | 0.008 | 0.101 |
| Alpha pinene | 6.140 | 6.132 | 6.135 | 6.136 | 0.004 | 0.066 |
| Amyl acetate | 5.808 | 5.812 | 5.808 | 5.809 | 0.002 | 0.040 |
| Aniline | 6.607 | 6.600 | 6.605 | 6.604 | 0.004 | 0.055 |
| Benzoic Acid | 9.100 | 9.160 | 9.128 | 9.129 | 0.030 | 0.329 |
| Benzonitrile | 6.685 | 6.690 | 6.698 | 6.691 | 0.007 | 0.098 |
| Benzophenone | 12.705 | 12.712 | 12.713 | 12.710 | 0.004 | 0.034 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.130 | 7.145 | 7.155 | 7.143 | 0.013 | 0.176 |
| Benzyl chloride | 7.007 | 7.003 | 7.007 | 7.006 | 0.002 | 0.033 |
| Biphenyl | 10.690 | 10.688 | 10.683 | 10.687 | 0.004 | 0.034 |
| Butyronitrile | 2.738 | 2.710 | 2.720 | 2.723 | 0.014 | 0.521 |
| Chlorobenzene | 5.008 | 5.003 | 5.008 | 5.006 | 0.003 | 0.058 |
| Cyclohexane | 2.605 | 2.607 | 2.602 | 2.605 | 0.003 | 0.097 |
| Cyclohexanol | 4.975 | 4.988 | 4.985 | 4.983 | 0.007 | 0.137 |
| Diisopropylamine | 2.603 | 2.592 | 2.585 | 2.593 | 0.009 | 0.350 |
| Ethanol | 2.300 | 2.270 | 2.273 | 2.281 | 0.017 | 0.724 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 2.727 | 2.691 | 2.718 | 2.712 | 0.019 | 0.691 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 8.733 | 8.735 | 8.730 | 8.733 | 0.003 | 0.029 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 10.685 | 10.685 | 10.687 | 10.686 | 0.001 | 0.011 |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.618 | 4.618 | 4.620 | 4.619 | 0.001 | 0.025 |
| Formamide | 4.947 | 4.950 | 4.952 | 4.950 | 0.003 | 0.051 |
| Iodobenzene | 6.775 | 6.770 | 6.787 | 6.777 | 0.009 | 0.129 |
| Isopentyl acetate | 5.400 | 5.392 | 5.390 | 5.394 | 0.005 | 0.098 |
| Isoquinoline | 6.0965 | 5.655 | 9.662 | 0.006 | 0.060 |  |
| Lactic acid | 8.532 | 8.527 | 5.935 | 0.150 | 2.526 |  |
| L-menthol | 5.630 | 0.003 | 0.030 |  |  |  |


| Methyl Acetate | 2.425 | 2.412 | 2.417 | 2.418 | 0.007 | 0.271 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 3.748 | 3.743 | 3.745 | 3.745 | 0.003 | 0.067 |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate | 11.228 | 11.217 | 11.220 | 11.222 | 0.006 | 0.051 |
| Morpholine | 4.492 | 4.492 | 4.490 | 4.491 | 0.001 | 0.026 |
| n-Propyl alcohol | 2.513 | 2.333 | 2.337 | 2.394 | 0.103 | 4.293 |
| N,N dimethylaniline | 7.895 | 7.897 | 7.895 | 7.896 | 0.001 | 0.015 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 9.253 | 9.255 | 9.255 | 9.254 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| Naphthalene | 8.897 | 8.902 | 8.893 | 8.897 | 0.005 | 0.051 |
| Nitrobenzene | 7.937 | 7.940 | 7.935 | 7.937 | 0.003 | 0.032 |
| Nitromethane | 2.148 | 2.182 | 2.187 | 2.172 | 0.021 | 0.977 |
| Nonylamine | 8.418 | 8.415 | 8.413 | 8.415 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 9.413 | 9.415 | 9.415 | 9.414 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| Octylamine | 7.313 | 7.297 | 7.295 | 7.302 | 0.010 | 0.135 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 4.058 | 4.058 | 4.067 | 4.061 | 0.005 | 0.128 |
| Phenanthrene | 13.943 | 13.945 | 13.940 | 13.943 | 0.003 | 0.018 |
| Phenol | 6.607 | 6.620 | 6.617 | 6.615 | 0.007 | 0.103 |
| Phenyl acetic Acid | 9.398 | 9.423 | 9.393 | 9.405 | 0.016 | 0.171 |
| Piperidine | 3.472 | 3.480 | 3.478 | 3.477 | 0.004 | 0.120 |
| Propanoic Acid | 3.835 | 3.827 | 3.852 | 3.838 | 0.013 | 0.333 |
| Propionitrile | 2.203 | 2.202 | 2.198 | 2.201 | 0.003 | 0.120 |
| Pyridine | 3.778 | 3.797 | 3.795 | 3.790 | 0.010 | 0.275 |
| Pyrrole | 3.533 | 3.528 | 3.507 | 3.523 | 0.014 | 0.392 |
| Quinoline | 9.428 | 9.437 | 9.437 | 9.434 | 0.005 | 0.055 |
| Resorcinol | 9.685 | 9.680 | 9.687 | 9.684 | 0.004 | 0.037 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 4.572 | 4.572 | 4.572 | 4.572 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Toluene | 4.015 | 4.003 | 3.997 | 4.005 | 0.009 | 0.229 |
| Triethylamine | 2.778 | 2.773 | 2.767 | 2.773 | 0.006 | 0.199 |
| Vanillin | 10.818 | 10.817 | 10.820 | 10.818 | 0.002 | 0.014 |
| Xanthene | 12.960 | 12.973 | 12.975 | 12.969 | 0.008 | 0.063 |

Table 3.5. Retention time for TG 5- MS (5\% diphenyl 95\% dimethyl polysiloxane) column

| Solute | Run1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 7.227 | 7.225 | 7.232 | 7.228 | 0.004 | 0.050 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 5.488 | 5.488 | 5.488 | 5.488 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 6.403 | 6.398 | 6.403 | 6.401 | 0.003 | 0.045 |
| 1,2- Dibromoethane | 4.330 | 4.332 | 4.333 | 4.332 | 0.002 | 0.035 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 5.985 | 5.985 | 5.985 | 5.985 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 2.705 | 2.710 | 2.710 | 2.708 | 0.003 | 0.107 |


| 1-Butanol | 3.042 | 3.048 | 3.060 | 3.050 | 0.009 | 0.300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene | 12.502 | 12.500 | 12.498 | 12.500 | 0.002 | 0.016 |
| 1-Nonene | 5.343 | 5.348 | 5.345 | 5.345 | 0.003 | 0.047 |
| 1-Octene | 4.095 | 4.113 | 4.125 | 4.111 | 0.015 | 0.367 |
| 2-Methyl -2 propanol | 2.352 | 2.340 | 2.338 | 2.343 | 0.008 | 0.323 |
| 2-Propanol | 2.215 | 2.263 | 2.267 | 2.248 | 0.029 | 1.287 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 7.152 | 7.158 | 7.153 | 7.154 | 0.003 | 0.045 |
| 2-Butanone | 2.562 | 2.560 | 2.645 | 2.589 | 0.049 | 1.874 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 5.718 | 5.723 | 5.715 | 5.719 | 0.004 | 0.071 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid | 10.452 | 10.478 | 10.462 | 10.464 | 0.013 | 0.125 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 6.680 | 6.678 | 6.673 | 6.677 | 0.004 | 0.054 |
| 2-Methyl -2-Pentanol | 3.648 | 3.685 | 3.658 | 3.664 | 0.019 | 0.522 |
| 2-Picoline | 4.452 | 4.460 | 4.467 | 4.460 | 0.008 | 0.168 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 4.800 | 4.737 | 4.812 | 4.783 | 0.040 | 0.842 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid | 12.155 | 12.168 | 12.175 | 12.166 | 0.010 | 0.083 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 8.902 | 8.892 | 8.888 | 8.894 | 0.007 | 0.081 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 3.970 | 3.970 | 3.982 | 3.974 | 0.007 | 0.174 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 11.752 | 11.752 | 11.752 | 11.752 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Acenaphthene | 11.566 | 11.555 | 11.552 | 11.558 | 0.007 | 0.064 |
| Acetanilide | 10.537 | 10.548 | 10.535 | 10.540 | 0.007 | 0.066 |
| Acetic Acid | 2.678 | 2.687 | 2.688 | 2.684 | 0.006 | 0.205 |
| Acetone | 2.222 | 2.222 | 2.220 | 2.221 | 0.001 | 0.052 |
| Acetophenone | 7.592 | 7.582 | 7.580 | 7.585 | 0.006 | 0.085 |
| Amyl acetate | 5.722 | 5.717 | 5.717 | 5.719 | 0.003 | 0.050 |
| Aniline | 6.552 | 6.550 | 6.548 | 6.550 | 0.002 | 0.031 |
| Aspirin | 9.915 | 9.932 | 9.942 | 9.930 | 0.014 | 0.137 |
| Benzene | 2.987 | 2.990 | 2.982 | 2.986 | 0.004 | 0.135 |
| Benzoic Acid | 9.032 | 9.020 | 9.070 | 9.041 | 0.026 | 0.289 |
| Benzonitrile | 6.633 | 6.640 | 6.633 | 6.635 | 0.004 | 0.061 |
| Benzophenone | 12.533 | 12.650 | 12.655 | 12.613 | 0.069 | 0.547 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 7.130 | 7.145 | 7.155 | 7.143 | 0.013 | 0.176 |
| Benzyl bromide | 7.967 | 7.970 | 7.962 | 7.966 | 0.004 | 0.051 |
| Benzyl chloride | 6.972 | 6.967 | 6.967 | 6.969 | 0.003 | 0.041 |
| Biphenyl | 10.647 | 10.637 | 10.637 | 10.640 | 0.006 | 0.054 |
| Butyronitrile | 3.095 | 3.108 | 3.107 | 3.103 | 0.007 | 0.233 |
| Chlorobenzene | 4.893 | 4.903 | 4.897 | 4.898 | 0.005 | 0.103 |
| Cyclohexane | 3.502 | 5.505 | 0.015 | 0.277 |  |  |
| Cyclohexanol | 2.988 | 2.991 | 0.004 | 0.121 |  |  |
| Diisopropylamine | 2.990 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Dimethyl carbonate | 2.712 | 2.713 | 2.717 | 2.714 | 0.003 | 0.097 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ethanol | 2.150 | 2.150 | 2.155 | 2.152 | 0.003 | 0.134 |
| Ethanolamine | 3.127 | 3.167 | 3.132 | 3.142 | 0.022 | 0.694 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 2.648 | 2.647 | 2.652 | 2.649 | 0.003 | 0.100 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 8.638 | 8.652 | 8.645 | 8.645 | 0.007 | 0.081 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 10.603 | 10.605 | 10.610 | 10.606 | 0.004 | 0.034 |
| Ethylbenzene | 5.182 | 5.187 | 5.188 | 5.186 | 0.003 | 0.062 |
| Formamide | 5.158 | 5.132 | 5.115 | 5.135 | 0.022 | 0.422 |
| Iodobenzene | 7.348 | 7.343 | 7.340 | 7.344 | 0.004 | 0.055 |
| Isopentyl acetate | 5.293 | 5.255 | 5.237 | 5.262 | 0.029 | 0.543 |
| Isoquinoline | 9.595 | 9.588 | 9.582 | 9.588 | 0.007 | 0.068 |
| Lactic acid | 3.927 | 3.930 | 3.883 | 3.913 | 0.026 | 0.672 |
| L-menthol | 8.530 | 8.532 | 8.527 | 8.530 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Methyl Acetate | 2.317 | 2.302 | 2.293 | 2.304 | 0.012 | 0.526 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 3.662 | 3.680 | 3.662 | 3.668 | 0.010 | 0.283 |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate | 11.133 | 11.125 | 11.125 | 11.128 | 0.005 | 0.042 |
| Morpholine | 4.250 | 4.252 | 4.245 | 4.249 | 0.004 | 0.085 |
| n-Propyl alcohol | 2.223 | 2.222 | 2.218 | 2.221 | 0.003 | 0.119 |
| N,N- dimethylacetamide | 5.248 | 5.242 | 5.258 | 5.249 | 0.008 | 0.154 |
| N,N- dimethylaniline | 7.842 | 7.823 | 7.830 | 7.832 | 0.010 | 0.123 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 9.172 | 9.173 | 9.170 | 9.172 | 0.002 | 0.017 |
| Naphthalene | 8.838 | 8.833 | 8.837 | 8.836 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Nitrobenzene | 7.835 | 7.825 | 7.827 | 7.829 | 0.005 | 0.068 |
| Nitromethane | 2.473 | 2.465 | 2.460 | 2.466 | 0.007 | 0.266 |
| Nonylamine | 8.313 | 8.307 | 8.310 | 8.310 | 0.003 | 0.036 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 10.172 | 10.173 | 10.172 | 10.172 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
| o-cresol | 7.378 | 7.368 | 7.375 | 7.374 | 0.005 | 0.070 |
| Octylamine | 7.228 | 7.232 | 7.230 | 7.230 | 0.002 | 0.028 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 3.932 | 3.932 | 3.930 | 3.931 | 0.001 | 0.029 |
| Phenanthrene | 13.830 | 13.825 | 13.827 | 13.827 | 0.003 | 0.018 |
| Phenol | 6.530 | 6.525 | 6.513 | 6.523 | 0.009 | 0.134 |
| Phenyl acetic Acid | 9.440 | 9.432 | 9.427 | 9.433 | 0.007 | 0.070 |
| Piperidine | 3.943 | 3.948 | 3.943 | 3.945 | 0.003 | 0.073 |
| Propanoic Acid | 3.835 | 3.827 | 3.852 | 3.838 | 0.013 | 0.333 |
| Propionitrile | 2.525 | 2.528 | 2.527 | 2.527 | 0.002 | 0.060 |
| Pyridine | 9.372 | 9.367 | 0.005 | 0.048 |  |  |
| Pyrrole | 3.698 | 3.698 | 3.700 | 0.004 | 0.109 |  |
| Quinoline | 3.900 | 3.903 | 3.901 | 0.002 | 0.044 |  |
| Resorcinol | 9.578 | 0.003 | 0.026 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tetrachloroethylene | 4.482 | 4.478 | 4.475 | 4.478 | 0.004 | 0.078 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Toluene | 3.977 | 3.972 | 3.970 | 3.973 | 0.004 | 0.091 |
| Triethylamine | 3.205 | 3.200 | 3.198 | 3.201 | 0.004 | 0.113 |
| Vanillin | 10.737 | 10.730 | 10.733 | 10.733 | 0.004 | 0.033 |

Table 3.6. Retention time (min) for TG 1301 MS (6\% cyanopropylphenyl 94\% dimethyl polysiloxane) column

| Solute | Run1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 11.177 | 11.158 | 11.153 | 11.163 | 0.013 | 0.113 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 4.780 | 4.780 | 4.775 | 4.778 | 0.003 | 0.060 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 7.565 | 7.567 | 7.563 | 7.565 | 0.002 | 0.026 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 5.705 | 5.718 | 5.723 | 5.715 | 0.009 | 0.163 |
| 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene | 6.577 | 6.573 | 6.565 | 6.572 | 0.006 | 0.093 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 6.248 | 6.242 | 6.243 | 6.244 | 0.003 | 0.051 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 2.760 | 2.767 | 2.772 | 2.766 | 0.006 | 0.218 |
| 1-Butanol | 3.503 | 3.487 | 3.482 | 3.491 | 0.011 | 0.314 |
| 1-Nonene | 5.332 | 5.333 | 5.333 | 5.333 | 0.001 | 0.011 |
| 1-Octanol | 8.050 | 8.043 | 8.048 | 8.047 | 0.004 | 0.045 |
| 1-Octene | 4.117 | 4.118 | 4.118 | 4.118 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| 2-MEthyl -2 propanol | 2.503 | 2.497 | 2.492 | 2.497 | 0.006 | 0.221 |
| 2-Propanol | 2.333 | 2.345 | 2.352 | 2.343 | 0.010 | 0.410 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 7.722 | 7.720 | 7.725 | 7.722 | 0.003 | 0.033 |
| 2-Butanone | 2.897 | 2.900 | 2.898 | 2.898 | 0.002 | 0.053 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 6.172 | 6.178 | 6.177 | 6.176 | 0.003 | 0.052 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 7.467 | 7.463 | 7.470 | 7.467 | 0.004 | 0.047 |
| 2-Methyl -2-Pentanol | 4.095 | 4.078 | 4.085 | 4.086 | 0.009 | 0.209 |
| 2-Picoline | 4.872 | 4.870 | 4.875 | 4.872 | 0.003 | 0.052 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 5.713 | 5.732 | 5.728 | 5.724 | 0.010 | 0.175 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 6.195 | 6.197 | 6.195 | 6.196 | 0.001 | 0.019 |
| 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 4.460 | 4.457 | 4.448 | 4.455 | 0.006 | 0.140 |
| 4-Nitrotoluene | 9.752 | 9.750 | 9.750 | 9.751 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| Acenaphthene | 12.003 | 12.000 | 11.995 | 11.999 | 0.004 | 0.034 |
| Acetic Acid | 3.442 | 3.425 | 3.417 | 3.428 | 0.013 | 0.372 |
| Acetic anhydride | 4.262 | 4.258 | 4.262 | 4.261 | 0.002 | 0.054 |
| Acetophenone | 8.240 | 8.238 | 8.238 | 8.239 | 0.001 | 0.014 |
| Alpha pinene | 5.985 | 5.980 | 5.980 | 5.982 | 0.003 | 0.048 |
| Amyl acetate | 6.105 | 6.108 | 6.102 | 6.105 | 0.003 | 0.049 |
| Aniline | 7.398 | 7.400 | 7.403 | 7.400 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| Benzene | 3.187 | 3.187 | 3.185 | 3.186 | 0.001 | 0.036 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Benzoic Acid | 10.032 | 10.150 | 10.045 | 10.076 | 0.065 | 0.642 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzonitrile | 7.432 | 7.432 | 7.430 | 7.431 | 0.001 | 0.016 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 8.005 | 8.018 | 8.018 | 8.014 | 0.008 | 0.094 |
| Benzyl bromide | 8.285 | 8.295 | 8.292 | 8.291 | 0.005 | 0.062 |
| Benzyl chloride | 7.408 | 7.412 | 7.418 | 7.413 | 0.005 | 0.068 |
| Biphenyl | 11.038 | 11.047 | 11.050 | 11.045 | 0.006 | 0.057 |
| Butyric acid | 5.685 | 5.750 | 5.728 | 5.721 | 0.033 | 0.578 |
| Butyronitrile | 3.688 | 3.688 | 3.685 | 3.687 | 0.002 | 0.047 |
| Chlorobenzene | 5.175 | 5.175 | 5.180 | 5.177 | 0.003 | 0.056 |
| Cyclohexane | 2.965 | 2.947 | 2.947 | 2.953 | 0.010 | 0.352 |
| Cyclohexanol | 6.030 | 6.052 | 6.050 | 6.044 | 0.012 | 0.201 |
| Diisopropylamine | 3.007 | 3.005 | 3.005 | 3.006 | 0.001 | 0.038 |
| Ethanol | 2.362 | 2.358 | 2.360 | 2.360 | 0.002 | 0.085 |
| Ethanolamine | 3.867 | 3.852 | 3.860 | 3.860 | 0.008 | 0.194 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 2.902 | 2.903 | 2.902 | 2.902 | 0.001 | 0.020 |
| Ethyl Acetoacetate | 6.715 | 6.720 | 6.717 | 6.717 | 0.003 | 0.037 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 9.115 | 9.122 | 9.138 | 9.125 | 0.012 | 0.129 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 10.952 | 10.952 | 10.943 | 10.949 | 0.005 | 0.047 |
| Ethyl benzene | 5.253 | 5.258 | 5.252 | 5.254 | 0.003 | 0.061 |
| Ethylene glycol | 4.597 | 4.522 | 4.713 | 4.611 | 0.096 | 2.087 |
| Formamide | 6.375 | 6.387 | 6.376 | 6.379 | 0.007 | 0.104 |
| Iodobenzene | 7.528 | 7.525 | 7.525 | 7.526 | 0.002 | 0.023 |
| Isoquinoline | 10.243 | 10.252 | 10.262 | 10.252 | 0.010 | 0.093 |
| Lactic acid | 4.513 | 4.505 | 4.495 | 4.504 | 0.009 | 0.200 |
| L-menthol | 9.013 | 9.010 | 9.003 | 9.009 | 0.005 | 0.057 |
| Malonic acid | 8.510 | 8.505 | 8.508 | 8.508 | 0.003 | 0.030 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 4.137 | 4.132 | 4.133 | 4.134 | 0.003 | 0.064 |
| Morpholine | 4.912 | 4.925 | 4.903 | 4.913 | 0.011 | 0.225 |
| n-Propyl alcohol | 2.465 | 2.465 | 2.462 | 2.464 | 0.002 | 0.070 |
| N,N- dimethylacetamide | 6.207 | 6.210 | 6.208 | 6.208 | 0.002 | 0.025 |
| N,N- dimethylaniline | 8.237 | 8.233 | 8.237 | 8.236 | 0.002 | 0.028 |
| N,N-diethylaniline | 9.577 | 9.593 | 9.568 | 9.579 | 0.013 | 0.132 |
| Naphthalene | 9.313 | 9.320 | 9.320 | 9.318 | 0.004 | 0.043 |
| Nitrobenzene | 8.543 | 8.543 | 8.538 | 8.541 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| Nitromethane | 2.860 | 2.855 | 2.852 | 2.856 | 0.004 | 0.142 |
| Nonylamine | 8.538 | 8.537 | 8.542 | 8.539 | 0.003 | 0.031 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 10.675 | 10.677 | 10.677 | 10.676 | 0.001 | 0.011 |
| o-cresol | 9.522 | 8.517 | 0.005 | 0.059 |  |  |
| Octanoic acid | 9.527 | 9.539 | 0.016 | 0.169 |  |  |


| Octylamine | 7.430 | 7.428 | 7.433 | 7.430 | 0.003 | 0.034 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pentan-1-ol | 4.580 | 4.580 | 4.580 | 4.580 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Phenol | 7.978 | 7.970 | 7.963 | 7.970 | 0.008 | 0.094 |
| Piperidine | 4.057 | 4.062 | 4.058 | 4.059 | 0.003 | 0.065 |
| Propanoic Acid | 4.567 | 4.573 | 4.548 | 4.563 | 0.013 | 0.286 |
| Propionitrile | 2.900 | 2.903 | 2.905 | 2.903 | 0.003 | 0.087 |
| Pyridine | 4.152 | 4.147 | 4.145 | 4.148 | 0.004 | 0.087 |
| Pyrrole | 4.790 | 4.795 | 4.803 | 4.796 | 0.007 | 0.137 |
| Quinoline | 9.955 | 9.950 | 9.958 | 9.954 | 0.004 | 0.041 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 4.550 | 4.542 | 4.542 | 4.545 | 0.005 | 0.102 |
| Toluene | 4.165 | 4.163 | 4.163 | 4.164 | 0.001 | 0.028 |
| Triethylamine | 3.178 | 3.173 | 3.170 | 3.174 | 0.004 | 0.127 |

Table 3.7. Experimental gas-to-liquid partition coefficient data (E, S, A, B, and L) from the literature [50, 52-53].

| SOLUTE | E | S | A | B | L |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Butanol | 0.224 | 0.420 | 0.370 | 0.480 | 2.601 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.747 | 0.760 | 0.100 | 0.170 | 3.382 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.872 | 0.780 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 4.518 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.663 | 0.560 | 0.000 | 0.160 | 3.939 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.649 | 0.520 | 0.000 | 0.190 | 4.344 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 0.349 | 0.400 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 4.130 |
| 1-Bromopropane | 0.366 | 0.400 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 2.620 |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 1.417 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 5.856 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene | 1.600 | 1.590 | 0.000 | 0.290 | 7.056 |
| 1-Nonene | 0.090 | 0.080 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 4.073 |
| 1-Octanol | 0.199 | 0.420 | 0.370 | 0.480 | 4.619 |
| 1-Octene | 0.094 | 0.080 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 3.568 |
| 2 Methyl-2- pentanol | 0.169 | 0.300 | 0.310 | 0.640 | 3.240 |
| 2 Methyl-2-propanol | 0.180 | 0.300 | 0.310 | 0.600 | 1.963 |
| 2-Propanol | 0.212 | 0.360 | 0.330 | 0.560 | 1.764 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 0.730 | 1.090 | 0.000 | 0.620 | 4.425 |
| 2-Bromophenol | 1.037 | 0.850 | 0.350 | 0.300 | 4.802 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.166 | 0.700 | 0.000 | 0.510 | 2.287 |
| 2-Butoxyethanol | 0.201 | 0.530 | 0.260 | 0.830 | 3.656 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid | 0.840 | 1.010 | 0.680 | 0.400 | 4.840 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.853 | 0.880 | 0.320 | 0.310 | 4.178 |
| 2-Methyl-1-propanol | 0.217 | 0.390 | 0.370 | 0.480 | 2.413 |
| 2-Naphthol | 1.520 | 1.080 | 0.610 | 0.400 | 6.200 |


| 2-Octanol | 0.158 | 0.360 | 0.330 | 0.360 | 1.295 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2-Picoline | 0.598 | 0.750 | 0.000 | 0.580 | 3.422 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 0.465 | 0.850 | 0.380 | 0.950 | 3.016 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid | 0.990 | 1.130 | 0.730 | 0.530 | 5.535 |
| 4-Chlorophenol | 0.915 | 1.080 | 0.670 | 0.200 | 4.775 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanol | 0.167 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.550 | 3.263 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 1.070 | 1.720 | 0.820 | 0.260 | 5.876 |
| 4-Nitrotoluene | 0.870 | 1.110 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 5.154 |
| Acenaphthene | 1.604 | 1.050 | 0.000 | 0.220 | 6.469 |
| Acetamide | 0.460 | 1.300 | 0.550 | 0.690 | 2.990 |
| Acetanilide | 0.900 | 1.370 | 0.400 | 0.670 | 5.570 |
| Acetic Acid | 0.265 | 0.640 | 0.620 | 0.440 | 1.816 |
| Acetic Anhydride | 0.174 | 0.800 | 0.080 | 0.730 | 2.735 |
| Acetone | 0.179 | 0.700 | 0.040 | 0.490 | 1.696 |
| Acetophenone | 0.818 | 1.010 | 0.000 | 0.480 | 4.501 |
| Alpha pinene | 0.446 | 0.140 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 4.308 |
| Amyl acetate | 0.067 | 0.600 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 3.844 |
| Aniline | 0.955 | 0.960 | 0.260 | 0.410 | 3.934 |
| Aspirin | 0.781 | 1.690 | 0.710 | 0.670 | 6.279 |
| Benzene | 0.610 | 0.520 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 2.786 |
| Benzoic Acid | 0.730 | 0.900 | 0.590 | 0.400 | 4.657 |
| Benzonitrile | 0.742 | 1.110 | 0.000 | 0.330 | 4.039 |
| Benzophenone | 1.450 | 1.500 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 6.852 |
| Benzyl alcohol | 0.803 | 0.870 | 0.390 | 0.560 | 4.221 |
| Benzyl Bromide | 1.014 | 0.980 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 4.672 |
| Benzyl chloride | 0.821 | 0.860 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 4.353 |
| Biphenyl | 1.360 | 0.990 | 0.000 | 0.260 | 6.014 |
| Bromobenzene | 0.882 | 0.730 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 4.041 |
| Butyric Acid | 0.210 | 0.640 | 0.610 | 0.450 | 2.750 |
| Butyronitrile | 0.188 | 0.900 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 2.548 |
| Caffeine | 1.500 | 1.820 | 0.080 | 1.250 | 7.838 |
| Chloroacetic acid | 0.427 | 1.030 | 0.790 | 0.350 | 2.862 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.718 | 0.650 | 0.000 | 0.070 | 3.657 |
| Cyclohexane | 0.310 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.964 |
| Cyclohexanol | 0.460 | 0.540 | 0.320 | 0.570 | 3.758 |
| Diiodomethane | 1.200 | 0.690 | 0.050 | 0.170 | 3.857 |
| Diisopropylamine | 0.540 | 0.000 | 0.570 | 2.328 |  |
| Dimethyl Carbonate | 0.210 | 0.070 | 0.740 | 2.893 |  |
| Ethanol | 0.370 | 0.480 | 1.485 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Ethanolamine | 0.458 | 0.670 | 0.520 | 0.900 | 2.432 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.106 | 0.620 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 2.314 |
| Ethyl acetoacetate | 0.208 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.860 | 3.752 |
| Ethyl benzene | 0.613 | 0.510 | 0.000 | 0.150 | 3.778 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 0.689 | 0.850 | 0.000 | 0.460 | 5.075 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 0.013 | 0.580 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 6.180 |
| Ethyl glycol | 0.404 | 0.900 | 0.580 | 0.780 | 2.661 |
| Formamide | 0.468 | 1.310 | 0.640 | 0.570 | 2.447 |
| Formic Acid | 0.343 | 0.750 | 0.760 | 0.330 | 1.545 |
| Imidazole | 0.710 | 0.850 | 0.420 | 0.780 | 4.018 |
| Indole | 1.200 | 1.120 | 0.440 | 0.220 | 5.505 |
| Iodobenzene | 1.188 | 0.820 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 4.502 |
| Iso-pentyl acetate | 0.051 | 0.570 | 0.000 | 0.470 | 3.740 |
| Isoquinoline | 1.211 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.540 | 5.595 |
| L Menthol | 0.400 | 0.500 | 0.230 | 0.580 | 5.177 |
| Lactic acid | 0.350 | 0.860 | 0.720 | 0.720 | 2.874 |
| Malonic acid | 0.380 | 1.460 | 0.990 | 0.590 | 3.616 |
| Methyl Acetate | 0.142 | 0.640 | 0.000 | 0.450 | 1.911 |
| Methyl Benzoate | 0.733 | 0.850 | 0.000 | 0.460 | 4.704 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.111 | 0.650 | 0.000 | 0.510 | 3.089 |
| Methyl-4- |  |  |  |  |  |
| hydroxybenzoate | 0.900 | 1.370 | 0.690 | 0.450 | 5.716 |
| Morpholine | 0.434 | 0.790 | 0.060 | 0.910 | 3.289 |
| m-Toluic acid | 0.730 | 0.890 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 4.819 |
| N,N-Dimethyl acetamide | 0.363 | 1.380 | 0.000 | 0.800 | 3.639 |
| N,N-Diethyl aniline | 0.953 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.410 | 5.287 |
| N,N-Dimethyl aniline | 0.957 | 0.810 | 0.000 | 0.410 | 4.701 |
| N,N-Dimethylformamide | 0.367 | 1.310 | 0.000 | 0.740 | 3.173 |
| Naphthalene | 1.340 | 0.920 | 0.000 | 0.200 | 5.161 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.871 | 1.110 | 0.000 | 0.280 | 4.557 |
| Nitromethane | 0.313 | 0.950 | 0.060 | 0.310 | 1.892 |
| Nonylamine | 0.187 | 0.350 | 0.160 | 0.610 | 5.100 |
| N,propyl alcohol | 0.236 | 0.420 | 0.370 | 0.480 | 2.031 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 0.956 | 1.120 | 0.000 | 0.590 | 5.300 |
| o-cresol | 0.840 | 0.860 | 0.520 | 0.300 | 0.916 |
| Octanoic acid | 0.150 | 0.650 | 0.620 | 0.450 | 4.680 |
| Octylamine | 0.187 | 0.350 | 0.160 | 0.610 | 4.600 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 0.420 | 0.370 | 0.480 | 3.106 |  |
| Phenanthrene | 0.000 | 0.260 | 7.632 |  |  |
| Phenol | 0.600 | 0.300 | 3.766 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Phenyl acetic Acid | 0.730 | 1.080 | 0.660 | 0.570 | 4.962 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Piperazine | 0.570 | 0.850 | 0.300 | 1.140 | 3.438 |
| Piperidine | 0.422 | 0.400 | 0.060 | 0.770 | 3.075 |
| Propanoic acid | 0.233 | 0.650 | 0.610 | 0.440 | 2.276 |
| Propionitrile | 0.162 | 0.900 | 0.020 | 0.360 | 2.082 |
| Propylene Carbonate | 0.319 | 1.370 | 0.000 | 0.600 | 3.088 |
| Pyrazine | 0.629 | 0.820 | 0.000 | 0.640 | 2.875 |
| Pyridine | 0.631 | 0.840 | 0.000 | 0.520 | 3.022 |
| Pyrrole | 0.613 | 0.910 | 0.220 | 0.250 | 2.792 |
| Quinoline | 1.268 | 0.970 | 0.000 | 0.540 | 5.457 |
| Resorcinol | 0.980 | 1.110 | 1.090 | 0.520 | 4.618 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.640 | 0.440 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.584 |
| Tetrahydrofuran | 0.289 | 0.520 | 0.000 | 0.480 | 2.636 |
| Toluene | 0.601 | 0.520 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 3.325 |
| Triethylamine | 0.101 | 0.150 | 0.000 | 0.790 | 3.040 |
| Vanillin | 1.028 | 1.280 | 0.330 | 0.680 | 5.730 |
| Xanthene | 1.502 | 1.070 | 0.000 | 0.230 | 7.153 |

The statistical software for social science (SPSS) were used to generate the log of experimental data first, then the process coefficients ( $c, e, s, a, b, l$ ) and $R^{2}$ were obtained from the experimental data using multiple linear regression analysis (MLRA) method. The process coefficient are used to acquire the $\log$ of retention time calculated ( $\log _{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{calc}$ ) as follow
$\log =c+e . E+s . S+a . A+b . B+1 . L$
ZB wax plus:
$c=0.243, e=0.043, s=0.249, a=0.242, b=0.008, \mathrm{l}=0.105, R^{2}=0.7005, F=51.391, S D$
$=0.0480 \quad \mathrm{~N}=84$
Log $($ calculated $)=0.243+0.043 \mathrm{E}+0.249 \mathrm{~S}+0.242 \mathrm{~A}+0.008 \mathrm{~B}+0.105 \mathrm{~L}$

ZB-35:
$c=0.250, e=0.097, s=0.075, a=0.098, b=-0.027, l=0.108, R^{2}=0.862, F=113.177, S D=$ 0.037,

$$
\mathrm{N}=85
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log (\text { calculated })=0.250+0.097 \mathrm{E}+0.075 \mathrm{~S}+0.098 \mathrm{~A}-0.027 \mathrm{~B}+0.108 \mathrm{~L} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

TR1-MS:
$c=0.250, e=-0.043, s=0.109, a=0.105, b=-0.097, \mathrm{l}=0.137, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.802, \mathrm{~F}=83.966, \mathrm{SD}=$ 0.031,
$\mathrm{N}=90$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.250-0.043 \mathrm{E}+0.109 \mathrm{~S}+0.105 \mathrm{~A}-0.097 \mathrm{~B}+0.137 \mathrm{~L}$

TR-5:
$c=0.063, e=-0.032, s=0.078, a=0.160, b=-0.024, L=0.157, R^{2}=0.927, F=215.887$, $\mathrm{SD}=0.023$
$\mathrm{N}=90$
Log (calculated): 0.063-0.032E +0.078S + 0.160A- $0.024 \mathrm{~B}+0.157 \mathrm{~L}$

TG-5MS:
$\mathrm{c}=0.151, \mathrm{e}=0.066, \mathrm{~s}=0.037, \mathrm{a}=0.133, \mathrm{~b}=-0.021, \mathrm{l}=0.129, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.873, \mathrm{~F}=122.144$, SD=0.038
$\mathrm{N}=88$
Log (calculated): $0.151+0.066 \mathrm{E}+0.037 \mathrm{~S}+0.133 \mathrm{~A}-0.021 \mathrm{~B}+0.129 \mathrm{~L}$

TG-1301MS:
$c=0.107, e=0.030, s=0.146, a=0.167, b=0.002, \mathrm{l}=0.134, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.816, \mathrm{~F}=84.634$, SD=0.040
$\mathrm{N}=82$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.107+0.030 \mathrm{E}+0.146 \mathrm{~S}+0.167 \mathrm{~A}+0.002 \mathrm{~B}+0.134 \mathrm{~L}$
$R^{2}$ is the linear correlation coefficient square, $F$ is the Fisher F-statistic, SD is the standard deviation and N is the number of compounds

Here below are the experimental log and the calculated log of the six columns listed in
Tables 3.8 to 3.13.
Table 3.8. Experimental $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ and Logt $_{R}$ calculated for column ZB wax plus

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-Bromohexane | 0.786 | 0.792 | Nonylamine | 0.939 | 0.917 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.970 | 0.949 | o-anisaldehyde | 1.098 | 1.124 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.812 | 0.826 | Octylamine* | 0.895 | 0.865 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 1.012 | 1.015 | Phenyl acetic Acid | 1.201 | 1.229 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.593 | 0.669 | Propylene <br> Carbonate* | 1.082 | 0.927 |
| 2-Chlorophenol* | 1.084 | 1.017 | Pyridine | 0.810 | 0.801 |
| 2-Picoline | 0.834 | 0.819 | Quinoline | 1.107 | 1.116 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol* | 0.985 | 0.891 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.680 | 0.756 |
| Acetamide | 1.055 | 1.039 | Tetrahydrofuran* | 0.540 | 0.666 |
| Acetic Acid* | 0.960 | 0.758 | Toluene | 0.695 | 0.749 |
| Acetone* | 0.504 | 0.617 | 1-Butanol | 0.745 | 0.724 |
| Acetophenone | 1.027 | 1.006 | 1-Octanol* | 0.971 | 0.918 |
| Alpha pinene | 0.683 | 0.750 | 2-Butoxyethanol* | 0.909 | 0.835 |
|  | 0.800 | 0.803 | 2-Methyl -2- <br> Pentanol | 0.708 | 0.745 |
| Amyl acetate | 1.056 | 1.002 | 4-Chlorophenol | 1.186 | 1.216 |
| Aniline* | 0.603 | 0.692 | 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 0.764 | 0.759 |
| Benzene* | 1.197 | 1.133 | 4-Nitrotoluene | 1.075 | 1.100 |
| Benzoic Acid* | 1.013 | 0.978 | Acetic anhydride* | 0.820 | 0.762 |
| Benzonitrile | 0.979 | 0.951 | Benzyl bromide | 1.005 | 1.023 |
| Benzyl chloride | 1.103 | 1.182 | Butyric acid* | 0.987 | 0.851 |
| Biphenyl | 0.906 | 0.888 | Butyronitrile | 0.710 | 0.746 |
| Bromobenzene | 0.830 | 0.820 | Cyclohexane | 0.525 | 0.592 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.848 | 0.885 | Cyclohexanol | 0.912 | 0.874 |
| Diiodomethane | 0.599 | 0.607 | Diisopropylamine* | 0.515 | 0.624 |
| Ethanol | 0.555 | 0.649 | Dimethyl carbonate | 0.606 | 0.633 |
| Ethyl Acetate* | 1.031 | 1.021 | Ethanolamine* | 0.906 | 0.818 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 1.017 | 1.040 | Ethyl Acetoacetate* | 0.934 | 0.852 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 1.004 | 1.006 | Ethylbenzene | 0.732 | 0.794 |
| Formamide | 1.117 | 1.136 | Ethylene glycol* | 1.003 | 0.910 |
| Isoquinoline | 0.887 | 0.954 | Formic acid* | 0.524 | 0.793 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.850 | 0.858 | Iodobenzene | 0.961 | 0.972 |
| Mesitylene | 0.512 | 0.613 | Malonic acid* | 0.926 | 1.247 |
| Methyl acetate* | 1.017 | 0.984 | N,N | 0.916 | 0.991 |
| Methyl benzoate |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | dimethylacetamide* |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.664 | 0.738 | Nitromethane* | 1.075 | 0.709 |
| Methyl cyclohexane* | 0.888 | 0.617 | Octanoic acid* | 1.111 | 1.056 |
| Morpholine | 0.852 | 0.826 | Piperidine | 0.671 | 0.704 |
| N,propyl alcohol | 0.591 | 0.664 | Propionitrile | 0.642 | 0.700 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 1.016 | 1.042 | Pyrrole* | 0.958 | 0.844 |
| N,N-Dimethylaniline | 0.992 | 0.983 | Triethyl amine* | 0.518 | 0.610 |
| N,N- <br> Dimethylformamide | 0.899 | 0.924 | Pentan-1-ol* | 0.848 | 0.777 |
| Naphthalene | 1.055 | 1.073 | Phenol* | 1.125 | 1.042 |
| Nitrobenzene | 1.054 | 1.038 | 1-Bromopropane* | 0.461 | 0.609 |
| 1-Nonene* | 0.543 | 0.652 | 1-Octene* | 0.417 | 0.601 |
| 2- Propanol | 0.534 | 0.605 | Propanoic Acid* | 0.959 | 0.803 |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method.
Table 3.9. Experimental $\log _{R}$ and Logt $_{R}$ calculated for column ZB 35

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.840 | 0.809 | Octylamine* | 0.875 | 0.792 |
| 1-Bromohexane* | 0.810 | 0.748 | Pentane-1-ol | 0.660 | 0.655 |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 1.070 | 1.092 | Phenol | 0.873 | 0.849 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene* | 1.145 | 1.291 | Phenylacetic Acid | 1.016 | 0.994 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.908 | 0.873 | Pyridine | 0.689 | 0.683 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.791 | 0.769 | Quinoline | 1.027 | 1.027 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 0.921 | 0.869 | Resorcinol | 1.036 | 1.025 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.529 | 0.545 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.702 | 0.719 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid* | 1.062 | 0.989 | Toluene | 0.675 | 0.691 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.880 | 0.871 | Vanillin | 1.084 | 1.092 |
| 2-Picoline | 0.749 | 0.717 | 1-Butanol* | 0.499 | 0.599 |
| 4-Nitrophenol* | 1.119 | 1.201 | 1,2-Dibromoethane* | 0.698 | 0.741 |
| Acenaphthene* | 1.104 | 1.182 | 1-Bromopropane* | 0.453 | 0.580 |
| Acetanilide | 1.080 | 1.076 | 1-Nonene | 0.686 | 0.691 |
| Acetic Acid | 0.536 | 0.559 | 1-Octanol | 0.880 | 0.823 |
| Acetone | 0.472 | 0.484 | 1-Octene* | 0.571 | 0.634 |
| Acetophenone* | 0.941 | 0.881 | 2 Propanol* | 0.367 | 0.495 |
| Alpha pinene | 0.788 | 0.755 | 2-Butoxyethanol* | 0.773 | 0.710 |
| Amyl acetate* | 0.795 | 0.702 | 2-Methyl -2- <br> Pentanol* | 0.558 | 0.648 |
| Aniline | 0.893 | 0.853 | 2 - Methyl -2 <br> propanol* | 0.384 | 0.507 |
| Aspirin* | 1.000 | 1.201 | 4-Chlorophenol | 0.987 | 0.996 |


| Benzene* | 0.576 | 0.632 | 4-Methyl-2 pentanol | 0.635 | 0.656 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzoic Acid | 0.979 | 0.940 | 4-Nitrotoluene | 0.994 | 0.969 |
| Benzonitrile* | 0.900 | 0.832 | Acetic anhydride | 0.616 | 0.610 |
| Benzophenone* | 1.144 | 1.245 | Benzyl alcohol | 0.900 | 0.874 |
| Benzyl chloride | 0.902 | 0.856 | Benzyl bromide | 0.936 | 0.919 |
| Bipheny* | 1.066 | 1.102 | Butyric acid* | 0.607 | 0.657 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.758 | 0.751 | Butyronitrile | 0.609 | 0.594 |
| Ethanol | 0.452 | 0.476 | Cyclohexane* | 0.459 | 0.590 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.528 | 0.536 | Cyclohexanol | 0.763 | 0.755 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 0.979 | 0.920 | Diisopropylamine* | 0.503 | 0.566 |
| Ethyl decanoate* | 1.034 | 0.957 | Dimethyl carbonate* | 0.474 | 0.533 |
| Formamide* | 0.825 | 0.705 | Ethanolamine* | 0.583 | 0.634 |
| Isopentyl acetate* | 0.762 | 0.686 | Ethylbenzene | 0.750 | 0.742 |
| Isoquinoline | 1.035 | 1.039 | Iodobenzene | 0.897 | 0.904 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.656 | 0.711 | Ethylene glycol* | 0.602 | 0.681 |
| Methyl Acetate | 0.479 | 0.496 | L-menthol | 0.938 | 0.896 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.644 | 0.625 | N,N- dimethyl <br> acetamide | 0.796 | 0.769 |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate | 1.093 | 1.123 | Nitromethane* | 0.456 | 0.543 |
| Morpholine | 0.734 | 0.692 | Octanoic acid | 0.908 | 0.869 |
| m, Toluic acid* | 1.018 | 0.958 | Piperidine | 0.651 | 0.636 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 0.997 | 0.965 | Propanoic Acid | 0.607 | 0.607 |
| Naphthalene | 0.994 | 1.000 | Propionitrile* | 0.462 | 0.542 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.957 | 0.902 | Pyrazine | 0.632 | 0.663 |
| Nonylamine* | 0.931 | 0.848 | Pyrrole* | 0.650 | 0.686 |
| o-anisaldehyde* | 1.051 | 0.991 | Triethyl amine* | 0.522 | 0.575 |
| o-cresol* | 0.920 | 0.522 |  |  |  |
| are |  |  | 0 |  |  |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method.
Table 3.10. Experimental Logtr and Logtr calculated for column TR-1MS

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.936 | 0.875 | n-Propyl alcohol | 0.611 | 0.579 |
| 1-bromohexane | 0.905 | 0.855 | o-anisaldehyde* | 1.078 | 0.994 |
| 1 -chloronaphthalene | 1.099 | 1.096 | Octylamine* | 0.967 | 0.874 |
| 1-nitronaphthalene* | 1.154 | 1.281 | Pentane-1-ol | 0.781 | 0.720 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.961 | 0.932 | Phenanthrene* | 1.195 | 1.320 |
| 2-acetylpyridine* | 0.954 | 0.880 | Phenol* | 0.928 | 0.874 |
| 2-butanone | 0.651 | 0.598 | Phenyl acetic Acid | 1.049 | 1.023 |
| 2-chlorophenol | 0.939 | 0.896 | Pyridine | 0.758 | 0.690 |
| 2-picoline | 0.818 | 0.728 | Quinoline | 1.048 | 0.997 |


| acenaphthene | 1.128 | 1.165 | Resorcinol | 1.056 | 1.023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetanilide | 1.089 | 1.084 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.823 | 0.792 |
| Acetic Acid | 0.667 | 0.600 | Toluene | 0.785 | 0.749 |
| Acetone | 0.626 | 0.527 | Vanillin | 1.097 | 1.084 |
| Acetophenone* | 0.975 | 0.897 | Xanthene | 1.168 | 1.258 |
| Alpha pinene* | 0.922 | 0.852 | 1-Butanol* | 0.514 | 0.654 |
| Amyl acetate* | 0.889 | 0.804 | 1-octanol | 0.893 | 0.919 |
| Aniline* | 0.924 | 0.849 | 2-butoxyethanol | 0.772 | 0.748 |
| Benzene | 0.701 | 0.678 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2-Methyl -2- } \\ & \text { Pentanol* } \end{aligned}$ | 0.593 | 0.703 |
| Benzoic Acid | 1.021 | 0.981 | 2-Methyl-2 propanol* | 0.398 | 0.540 |
| Benzonitrile* | 0.925 | 0.867 | 4-Chlorophenol* | 0.955 | 1.039 |
| Benzophenone | 1.159 | 1.225 | 4-Methyl-2 pentanol* | 0.620 | 0.722 |
| Benzyl chloride | 0.949 | 0.907 | 4-Nitrotoluene | 0.964 | 1.015 |
| Biphenyl | 1.096 | 1.103 | Acetic anhydride* | 0.399 | 0.646 |
| Chlorobenzene* | 0.911 | 0.809 | Benzyl bromide | 0.905 | 0.945 |
| Ethanol | 0.595 | 0.507 | Butyric acid | 0.720 | 0.722 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.661 | 0.604 | Butyronitrile* | 0.500 | 0.668 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 1.021 | 0.965 | Cyclohexane* | 0.521 | 0.692 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 1.097 | 1.110 | Cyclohexanol | 0.750 | 0.792 |
| Formamide* | 0.823 | 0.721 | Diisopropylamine* | 0.515 | 0.617 |
| Isopentyl acetate* | 0.870 | 0.786 | Dimethyl carbonate* | 0.457 | 0.583 |
| Isoquinoline | 1.057 | 1.020 | Ethanolamine | 0.524 | 0.610 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.889 | 0.731 | Ethyl Acetoacetate | 0.786 | 0.754 |
| Methyl Acetate | 0.628 | 0.552 | Ethylbenzene | 0.734 | 0.806 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.756 | 0.700 | Iodobenzene | 0.871 | 0.913 |
| Methyl-4hydroxybenzoate | 1.110 | 1.160 | Ethylene glycol* | 0.567 | 0.683 |
| Morpholine* | 0.798 | 0.685 | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylacetamide | 0.719 | 0.793 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 1.044 | 0.986 | Octanoic acid | 0.923 | 0.978 |
| N,N dimethylaniline* | 0.987 | 0.910 | Piperidine | 0.621 | 0.641 |
| Naphthalene | 1.034 | 0.993 | Propionitrile* | 0.415 | 0.609 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.984 | 0.936 | Pyrrole* | 0.605 | 0.722 |
| Nonylamine* | 1.014 | 0.939 | Triethylamine | 0.546 | 0.616 |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method.

Table 3.11. Experimental Logt ${ }_{R}$ and Logt $_{R}$ calculated for column TR-5

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,3, 5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.812 | 0.741 | N,N- <br> dimethylaniline* | 0.897 | 0.795 |
| 1-Bromohexane | 0.786 | 0.718 | Naphthalene* | 0.949 | 0.857 |
| 1 -Chloronaphthalene | 1.032 | 0.969 | Nitrobenzene* | 0.900 | 0.804 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene* | 1.099 | 1.189 | Nonylamine | 0.925 | 0.890 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene* | 0.863 | 0.778 | n-Propyl alcohol* | 0.379 | 0.448 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.749 | 0.680 | o-anisaldehyde | 0.974 | 0.909 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 0.861 | 0.783 | Octylamine | 0.863 | 0.812 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.424 | 0.454 | Pentan-1-ol | 0.609 | 0.618 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid | 1.026 | 0.949 | Phenanthrene* | 1.144 | 1.231 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.830 | 0.778 | Phenol | 0.821 | 0.763 |
| 2-Picoline | 0.663 | 0.608 | Phenylacetic Acid | 0.973 | 0.973 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 0.687 | 0.612 | Pyridine | 0.579 | 0.551 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid | 1.089 | 1.063 | Quinoline | 0.975 | 0.904 |
| Acenaphthene | 1.065 | 1.056 | Resorcinol | 0.986 | 0.976 |
| Acetanilide* | 1.026 | 1.036 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.660 | 0.620 |
| Acetic Acid | 0.458 | 0.470 | Toluene | 0.603 | 0.585 |
| Acetone | 0.370 | 0.367 | Vanillin | 1.034 | 1.035 |
| Acetophenone* | 0.885 | 0.786 | Xanthene* | 1.113 | 1.171 |
| Alpha pinene | 0.788 | 0.720 | 1-Butanol* | 0.421 | 0.538 |
| Amyl acetate | 0.764 | 0.698 | 1.2 dibromoethane | 0.637 | 0.619 |
| Aniline* | 0.820 | 0.728 | 1-Bromopropane* | 0.433 | 0.480 |
| Benzoic Acid | 0.960 | 0.904 | 1-Nonene | 0.728 | 0.701 |
| Benzonitrile* | 0.825 | 0.730 | 1-octene | 0.614 | 0.622 |
| Benzophenone* | 1.104 | 1.154 | 2 propanol* | 0.352 | 0.394 |
| Benzyl chloride* | 0.845 | 0.759 | 4-chlorophenol* | 0.923 | 0.943 |
| Biphenyl | 0.383 | 0.393 | Piperidine | 0.541 | 0.542 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.029 | 0.994 | 4-Methyl-2 <br> pentanol* | 0.545 | 0.630 |
| Ethanol | 0.358 | 0.642 | Benzyl alcohol | 0.854 | 0.793 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.433 | 0.457 | Butyronitrile* | 0.435 | 0.513 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 0.941 | 0.872 | Cyclohexane* | 0.416 | 0.517 |
| Ethyl decanoate* | 1.029 | 1.067 | Diisopropylamine* | 0.697 | 0.704 |
| Formamide* | 0.695 | 0.609 | Ethylbenzene | 0.665 | 0.654 |
| Isopentyl acetate | 0.732 | 0.680 | Iodobenzene | 0.831 | 0.757 |
| Isoquinoline | 0.985 | 0.931 | L-menthol | 0.931 | 0.913 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.658 | Nitromethane* | 0.337 | 0.417 |  |
| Methyl Acetate | 0.773 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.573 | 0.580 | Propanoic Acid | 0.584 | 0.544 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate* | 1.050 | 1.111 | Propionitrile* | 0.343 | 0.445 |
| Morpholine | 0.652 | 0.602 | Pyrrole | 0.547 | 0.564 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 0.966 | 0.887 | Triethylamine* | 0.443 | 0.527 |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method.
Table 3.12. Experimental $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ and Logtr calculated for column TG-5MS

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,3, 5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.806 | 0.769 | Nonylamine* | 0.920 | 0.843 |
| 1-Bromohexane* | 0.777 | 0.719 | n-Propyl alcohol* | 0.347 | 0.483 |
| 1-Nitronaphthalene* | 1.097 | 1.220 | o-anisaldehyde* | 1.007 | 0.927 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.859 | 0.819 | o-cresol* | 0.868 | 0.419 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.739 | 0.720 | Octylamine* | 0.859 | 0.778 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 0.855 | 0.797 | Pentan-1-ol | 0.595 | 0.621 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.413 | 0.472 | Phenanthrene* | 1.141 | 1.310 |
| 2-Chlorobenzoic acid* | 1.020 | 0.950 | Phenol | 0.814 | 0.796 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.825 | 0.815 | Phenyl acetic Acid | 0.975 | 0.955 |
| 2-Picoline | 0.649 | 0.647 | Pyridine | 0.568 | 0.603 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 0.680 | 0.633 | Quinoline | 0.972 | 0.963 |
| 3-Nitrobenzoic acid | 1.085 | 1.058 | Resorcinol | 0.981 | 0.987 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 1.070 | 1.147 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.651 | 0.672 |
| Acenaphthene | 1.063 | 1.126 | Toluene | 0.599 | 0.636 |
| Acetanilide | 1.023 | 1.019 | Vanillin | 1.031 | 1.035 |
| Acetic Acid* | 0.429 | 0.500 | 1-Butanol* | 0.484 | 0.556 |
| Acetone | 0.347 | 0.403 | 1,2 dibromoethane | 0.637 | 0.674 |
| Acetophenone | 0.880 | 0.813 | 1-Bromopropane* | 0.433 | 0.525 |
| Amyl acetate* | 0.757 | 0.664 | 1-Nonene | 0.728 | 0.684 |
| Aniline | 0.816 | 0.783 | 1-Octene | 0.614 | 0.619 |
| Aspirin* | 0.997 | 1.155 | 2 Propanol* | 0.352 | 0.438 |
| Benzene* | 0.475 | 0.567 | 2-Butoxyethanol* | 0.757 | 0.673 |
| Benzoic Acid | 0.956 | 0.903 | 2-Methyl -2- <br> Pentanol* | 0.564 | 0.619 |
| Benzonitrile* | 0.822 | 0.755 | 2 - Methyl -2 <br> propanol* | 0.370 | 0.456 |
| Benzophenone | 1.101 | 1.176 | 4-Chlorophenol | 0.949 | 0.952 |
| Benzyl chloride | 0.843 | 0.796 | 4-Methyl-2 <br> pentanol | 0.599 | 0.627 |
| Biphenyl | 1.027 | 1.048 | Benzyl alcohol | 0.854 | 0.821 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.690 | 0.693 | Benzyl bromide | 0.901 | 0.853 |
| Ethanol | 0.333 | 0.413 | Butyronitrile | 0.492 | 0.518 |


| Ethyl Acetate | 0.423 | 0.470 | Cyclohexane* | 0.479 | 0.558 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ethyl benzoate* | 0.937 | 0.873 | Cyclohexanol | 0.741 | 0.717 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 1.026 | 0.961 | Diisopropylamine | 0.476 | 0.529 |
| Formamide* | 0.711 | 0.619 | Dimethyl carbonate | 0.434 | 0.469 |
| Isopentyl acetate* | 0.721 | 0.648 | Ethanolamine* | 0.497 | 0.570 |
| Isoquinoline | 0.982 | 0.978 | Ethyl benzene | 0.715 | 0.695 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.593 | 0.657 | Iodobenzene | 0.866 | 0.838 |
| Methyl Acetate | 0.362 | 0.421 | L-menthol | 0.931 | 0.882 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.564 | 0.570 | N,N- <br> dimethylacetamide | 0.720 | 0.679 |
| Methyl-4- <br> hydroxybenzoate | 1.046 | 1.081 | Nitromethane | 0.392 | 0.452 |
| Morpholine | 0.628 | 0.622 | Piperidine | 0.596 | 0.582 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 0.962 | 0.917 | Propanoic Acid | 0.584 | 0.556 |
| N,N dimethylaniline | 0.894 | 0.842 | Propionitrile | 0.403 | 0.459 |
| Naphthalene | 0.946 | 0.935 | Pyrrole | 0.591 | 0.609 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.894 | 0.832 | Triethylamine | 0.505 | 0.539 |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method
Table 3.13. Experimental Logt $_{R}$ and Logt $_{R}$ calculated for column TG-1301MS

| Solute | Log exp | Log calc | Solute | Log exp | Log calc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 0.818 | 0.781 | Phenol | 0.901 | 0.863 |
| 1-Bromohexane* | 0.795 | 0.724 | Pyridine | 0.618 | 0.658 |
| 1-Chloronaphthalene | 1.048 | 1.076 | Quinoline | 0.998 | 1.015 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.879 | 0.850 | Tetrachloroethylene | 0.658 | 0.671 |
| 1,2-Dimethylbenzene | 0.757 | 0.735 | Toluene | 0.619 | 0.648 |
| 2-Acetylpyridine | 0.888 | 0.879 | 1-Butanol | 0.543 | 0.585 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.462 | 0.525 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.679 | 0.713 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 0.873 | 0.872 | 1-Bromopropane | 0.442 | 0.531 |
| 2-Picoline | 0.688 | 0.695 | 1-Nonene* | 0.727 | 0.659 |
| 3-Amino-1-propanol | 0.758 | 0.714 | 1-Octanol | 0.906 | 0.843 |
| Acenaphthene* | 1.079 | 1.170 | 1-Octene | 0.615 | 0.594 |
| Acetic Acid | 0.535 | 0.558 | 2 Propanol* | 0.370 | 0.463 |
| Acetophenone | 0.916 | 0.880 | 2-Butoxyethanol* | 0.791 | 0.719 |
| Alpha pinene* | 0.777 | 0.712 | 2-Methyl -2- <br> Pentanol | 0.611 | 0.638 |
| Amyl acetate* | 0.786 | 0.705 | 2-Methyl -2 <br> propanol | 0.397 | 0.475 |
| Aniline | 0.869 | 0.847 | 4-Chlorophenol* | 0.792 | 1.035 |
| Benzene* | 0.503 | 0.580 | 4-Methyl-2 <br> pentanol | 0.649 | 0.648 |


| Benzoic Acid | 1.003 | 0.974 | 4-Nitrotoluene | 0.989 | 0.980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzonitrile | 0.871 | 0.832 | Acetic anhydride | 0.629 | 0.610 |
| Benzyl chloride | 0.870 | 0.839 | Benzyl alcohol | 0.904 | 0.885 |
| Biphenyl | 1.043 | 1.093 | Benzyl bromide | 0.919 | 0.905 |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.714 | 0.715 | Butyric acid* | 0.757 | 0.674 |
| Ethanol | 0.373 | 0.443 | Butyronitrile | 0.567 | 0.588 |
| Ethyl Acetate | 0.463 | 0.514 | Cyclohexane | 0.470 | 0.529 |
| Ethyl benzoate | 0.960 | 0.925 | Cyclohexanol | 0.781 | 0.753 |
| Ethyl decanoate | 1.039 | 0.999 | Diisopropylamine | 0.478 | 0.538 |
| Formamide* | 0.805 | 0.749 | Ethanolamine | 0.587 | 0.634 |
| Isoquinoline | 1.011 | 1.035 | Ethyl <br> Acetoacetate* | 0.827 | 0.729 |
| Lactic acid* | 0.654 | 0.746 | Ethylbenzene | 0.721 | 0.705 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 0.616 | 0.618 | Ethylene glycol | 0.664 | 0.704 |
| Morpholine | 0.691 | 0.687 | Iodobenzene | 0.877 | 0.867 |
| N,N-Diethylaniline | 0.981 | 0.955 | L-menthol | 0.955 | 0.912 |
| $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ - dimethylaniline | 0.916 | 0.882 | Malonic acid | 0.930 | 0.972 |
| Naphthalene | 0.969 | 0.972 | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylacetamide | 0.793 | 0.806 |
| Nitrobenzene | 0.932 | 0.904 | Nitromethane | 0.456 | 0.526 |
| Nonylamine* | 0.931 | 0.860 | Octanoic acid | 0.979 | 0.922 |
| n-Propyl alcohol* | 0.392 | 0.513 | Piperidine | 0.608 | 0.602 |
| o-anisaldehyde | 1.028 | 1.004 | Propanoic Acid | 0.659 | 0.616 |
| o-cresol* | 0.930 | 0.483 | Propionitrile | 0.463 | 0.530 |
| Octylamine* | 0.871 | 0.796 | Pyrrole | 0.681 | 0.673 |
| Pentan-1-ol | 0.661 | 0.650 | Triethylamine | 0.502 | 0.539 |

*(asterisk) signifies compounds that are outliers and are not used for the least square method

Shown below are the linear correlation between the Logt ${ }_{R}$ (experimental) and Logt ${ }_{R}$
(calculated) for the six columns used in Figure 3.1






Figure 3.1. Correlation of LogtR (calculated) and $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ (experimentally) observed for the six columns.
ZB wax plus (a), ZB 35(b), TR-1MS(c), TR-5(d), TG-5MS (e), TG-1301MS (f)

### 3.2 Discussion

### 3.2.1 Active Compounds for Each Column

After analysis of the data, the least square method was applied to characterize the correlation between the $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ (calculated) and the $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ (experimental) in this study. Not all data fit on the trend line, thus the outliers were removed by using the standard error bar for each points. Table 3.8.1 to table 3.8.6 shows all effective or active compounds and all outliers that were removed. The correlation coefficient of $\log _{R}$ (calculated) and $\log _{R}$ (experimental) got better or increase close to 1 which indicated a better correlation between data when outliers are removed. Below are the correlations between the experimental and calculated log for all six columns with no outliers.







Figure 3.2. Correlation of Logt ${ }_{R}$ (calculated) and $\operatorname{Logt}_{R}$ (experimentally) observed for the six columns ZB wax plus (a), ZB 35(b), TR- 1MS(c), TR-5(d), TG-5MS (e), TG1301 MS (f) for just active compounds.

After the removal of the outliers, the linear coefficients $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ of the six columns increased. The $R^{2}$ now lies between 0.95 and 0.98 which is close to 1 meaning that the experimental and calculated values of active compounds have a good correlation. The six columns used have different polarities; from non-polar, mid or low polarity to a polar stationary phase. Depending on the type of organic compounds used, not all samples would interaction very well on each stationary phase. Some organic compounds would interact better with one stationary phase than the other. We do not anticipate all compounds to interact due to the difference of the stationary phase of all six columns. The rule of like dissolves like is convenient, compounds that are nonpolar would interact very well with non-polar stationary phase and polar compounds would do the same with polar stationary phase. The mid and low polarity stationary phase can interact well
with polar and non- polar organic compounds depending on their boiling point and how strong they interact with the stationary phase.

The removal of ineffective compounds or outliers allows the recalculation of new process coefficient with only the active or effective compounds.

With the new process coefficients, a new set of Abraham solvation model equations are established

ZB wax plus:
$c=0.177, \mathrm{e}=0.036, \mathrm{~s}=0.284, \mathrm{a}=0.272, \mathrm{~b}=0.007, \mathrm{l}=0.112, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.961, \mathrm{SD}=0.013$, $\mathrm{F}=377.789$
$\mathrm{N}=59$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.177+0.036 \mathrm{E}+0.284 \mathrm{~S}+0.272 \mathrm{~A}+0.07 \mathrm{~B}+0.112 \mathrm{~L}$

ZB 35:
$c=0.191, \mathrm{e}=0.061, \mathrm{~s}=0.087, \mathrm{a}=0.082, \mathrm{~b}=-0.003, \mathrm{l}=0.127, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.982, \mathrm{SD}=0.010, \mathrm{~F}=$ 682.767
$\mathrm{N}=55$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.191+0.061 \mathrm{E}+0.087 \mathrm{~S}+0.082 \mathrm{~A}-0.003 \mathrm{~B}+0.127$

TR1 MS:
$c=0.361, e=-0.048, s=0.131, a=0.086, b=-0.133, \mathrm{l}=0.121, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.951, \mathrm{SD}=0.017, \mathrm{~F}=$ 172.851
$\mathrm{N}=39$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.361-0.048 \mathrm{E}+0.131 \mathrm{~S}+0.086 \mathrm{~A}-0.133 \mathrm{~B}+0.121 \mathrm{~L}$

TR-5:
$c=0.055, e=-0.047, s=0.064, a=0.146, b=-0.014, \mathrm{l}=0.161, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.977, \mathrm{SD}=0.018, \mathrm{~F}=$ 445.857
$\mathrm{N}=50$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.055-0.047 \mathrm{E}+0.064 \mathrm{~S}+0.146 \mathrm{~A}-0.014 \mathrm{~B}+0.161 \mathrm{~L}$

TG-5 MS:
$\mathrm{c}=0.106, \mathrm{e}=0.057, \mathrm{~s}=0.011, \mathrm{a}=0.129, \mathrm{~b}=-0.010, \mathrm{l}=0.146, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.961, \mathrm{SD}=0.015, \mathrm{~F}=$ 377.789
$\mathrm{N}=62$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.106+0.057 \mathrm{E}+0.011 \mathrm{~S}+0.129 \mathrm{~A}-0.010 \mathrm{~B}+0.146 \mathrm{~L}$

TG1301 MS:
$c=0.028, \mathrm{e}=0.007, \mathrm{~s}=0.155, \mathrm{a}=0.184, \mathrm{~b}=0.009, \mathrm{l}=0.154, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.952, \mathrm{SD}=0.014, \mathrm{~F}=$ 422.273
$\mathrm{N}=65$
$\log ($ calculated $)=0.028+0.007 \mathrm{E}+0.155 \mathrm{~S}+0.184 \mathrm{~A}+0.009 \mathrm{~B}+0.154 \mathrm{~L}$

### 3.2.2 Data Interpretation

The coefficients e, s, a, b, l and vare not just curve- fitting constants. The process coefficients reflect specific solute-solvent interactions that correspond to chemical properties of the solvent phase. They represent the stationary phase contribution to intermolecular interaction. The process coefficient or regression coefficients are very important, because they will encode stationary phase properties. The coefficient can be considered as constants that characterized the stationary phase. The gas phase will be the reference for such characterization because all gas chromatography data refers to transfer from the gas phase to the stationary phase. Therefore the process coefficient does not just represent a new method for characterization of stationary phase, but they also contain chemical information about the stationary phase. The process coefficients are the average value over the range of temperatures. The interpretation of the regression constants are as follows. The e-coefficient will determine the phase interaction with solutes through $\pi$-and $n$ - electrons pairs. Usually the e coefficient is positive, but for phase that contains
strong electronegativity such as fluorine, the e can be negative. The s coefficient shows the tendency of the phase to interact with dipolar or polarizable solutes. The a coefficient indicates the hydrogen bond basicity of the phase because acidic solute will interact with a basic phase and the $b$ coefficient measure the hydrogen- bond acidity of the phase. The l co-efficient is a measure of size needed to form solvent cavity and dispersion forces. Thus we expected the l values to increase as the size of molecule increases [54, 55]. The ZB wax plus (polyethylene glycol or PEG) column is the most polar and acidic among the six columns. The process coefficient s (=0.284) and a (=0.272) for ZB wax plus columns are the highest compared to the other columns which is a good prediction because of the polarity of ZB wax plus column. In reference to table 1.3 above, the PEG functional group has a strong dipole and moderate hydrogen bonding. Since TG 1301 MS column is one of the mid polar column among the six columns used, the process coefficient s (=0.155) and a (=0.184) are the second highest after the ZB wax plus column because of the cyano functional group in the stationary phase. In reference to table 1.3, it's shown that the cyano functional group has a strong dipole interaction and moderate hydrogen bonding. The remaining columns, ZB 35, TR-5, TG 5-MS and TR 1-MS have mid polarity, low polarity and non-polar stationary phase with methyl and phenyl group. The stationary phase interactions in reference to Tale 1.3 indicate that methyl and phenyl group has none to weak dipole and none to weak hydrogen bonding. The process coefficients s and a value for those four columns are lower compared to ZB wax and TG1301MS. It is significant to note that the s, a, and $b$ processes for gas- phase must be positive or close to zero because interactions between the phase and the solute will increase the solubility of a gaseous solute. The process coefficients s, a, and $b$ for $Z B$ wax plus( $s=0.284, a=0.272, b=0.007$ ) and TG $1301 \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{s}=0.155, \mathrm{a}=0.184, \mathrm{~b}=$ 0.009 ) are all positive. The regression coefficients $s, a$, and $b$ for $Z B 35(s=0.087, a=0.082$. $b=-$
$0.003)$, TG5-MS(s=0.011, $a=0.129, b=-0.01)$, TR $5(s=0.064, a=0.146 . b=-0.014)$, TR1 $\operatorname{MS}(\mathrm{s}=0.131, \mathrm{a}=0.086, \mathrm{~b}=-0.133)$ are positive except for the basicity which is close to zero. TR 1 MS has an exception by having a high negative value of $b$ coefficients. If more compounds are added, the process coefficients can be recalculated and thus produce new stationary equations. Most stationary phases in gas chromatography do not have a strong hydrogen bonding; therefore the basicity and B descriptors are not suitable to be determined by gas chromatography. In general the constants s, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{l}$ all decrease with increase in temperature [7, 55].

### 3.2.3 Molecular Descriptors

Drugs studied are nicotine, methamphetamine, oxycodone, ketamine, and heroin.
Molecular descriptors for those drugs are calculated by converting average retention time into calculated $\log$ of retention time ( ${\log t_{R}}$ calculated). The $\log _{R}$ calculated are compared with the experimental determined values. Microsoft solver is used to minimize the sum of squares on the set of describes system equations. The systems equations contains known process coefficients (e, s, a, b, l or v) which are determined by multiple linear regression analysis (MLRA) method. The sums of squares are set at a minimum to fit the targeted cell $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and L [56]. Gas chromatography was used to measure the drugs retention time. Table3.8. show the retention time of illicit drugs and Table 3.8 .8 show a summary of coefficients for GC stationary phases.

Table 3.14. Retention time (min) of illicit drugs

| Illicit drug | Column | Run1 | Run2 | Run3 | Avg | Stdev | \%RSD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ketamine | ZB Wax | 17.747 | 17.758 | 17.762 | 17.756 | 0.008 | 0.044 |
|  | ZB 35 | 15.465 | 15.463 | 15.463 | 15.464 | 0.001 | 0.007 |
|  | TR 1 MS | 14.248 | 14.253 | 14.253 | 14.251 | 0.003 | 0.020 |
|  | TR 5 | 14.208 | 14.213 | 14.218 | 14.213 | 0.005 | 0.035 |
|  | TG 5 MS | 14.613 | 14.615 | 14.612 | 14.613 | 0.002 | 0.010 |
|  | TG 1301 <br> MS | 14.945 | 14.957 | 14.952 | 14.951 | 0.006 | 0.040 |


| Heroin | ZB 35 | 22.137 | 22.128 | 22.143 | 22.136 | 0.008 | 0.034 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TR 1 MS | 18.972 | 18.970 | 18.972 | 18.971 | 0.001 | 0.006 |
|  | TR 5 | 19.075 | 19.057 | 19.058 | 19.063 | 0.010 | 0.053 |
|  | TG 5 MS | 19.722 | 19.725 | 19.700 | 19.716 | 0.014 | 0.069 |
|  | TG 1301 MS | 20.888 | 20.920 | 20.915 | 20.908 | 0.017 | 0.082 |
| Methamphetamine | ZB Wax | 11.968 | 11.965 | 11.965 | 11.966 | 0.002 | 0.014 |
|  | TR 1 MS | 5.585 | 5.585 | 5.563 | 5.578 | 0.013 | 0.228 |
|  | TR 5 | 7.120 | 7.118 | 7.118 | 7.119 | 0.001 | 0.016 |
|  | TG 5 MS | 6.148 | 6.148 | 6.158 | 6.151 | 0.006 | 0.094 |
|  | $\text { TG } 1301$ MS | 12.618 | 12.618 | 12.623 | 12.620 | 0.003 | 0.023 |
| Oxycodone | TG 5 MS | 11.682 | 11.698 | 11.682 | 11.687 | 0.009 | 0.079 |
| Nicotine | ZB Wax | 11.897 | 11.898 | 11.900 | 11.898 | 0.002 | 0.013 |

Table 3.15. Process coefficients for GC stationary phases

| Column | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{s}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{l}$ | $\mathbf{v}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ZB Wax Plus | 0.177 | 0.036 | 0.284 | 0.272 | 0.007 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
| ZB 35 | 0.191 | 0.061 | 0.087 | 0.082 | -0.003 | 0.127 | 0.000 |
| TR1 MS | 0.361 | -0.048 | 0.131 | 0.086 | -0.133 | 0.121 | 0.000 |
| TR5 | 0.055 | -0.047 | 0.064 | 0.146 | -0.014 | 0.161 | 0.000 |
| TG5 MS | 0.106 | 0.057 | 0.011 | 0.129 | -0.010 | 0.146 | 0.000 |
| TG1301 MS | 0.028 | 0.007 | 0.155 | 0.184 | 0.009 | 0.154 | 0.000 |
| Octanol/water | 0.088 | 0.562 | -1.054 | 0.034 | -3.460 | 0.000 | 3.814 |

Not all illicit drugs ran on all six columns. The results show the run of each drug on each column they did elute. The excess molar refraction and the McGowan volume V, were found from the literature [50-52]. Since there are few data points for the illicit drugs, a very good correlation is not expected therefore the introduction of octanol/water partition coefficient is added to the data set. The $\log$ of P (octanol/water) can be found in literature. The $\log$ of P is a condense to condense phase, thus the McGowan volume needs to be added. The Abraham model equation for octanol/water is represented as:

Octanol/water, $c=0.088, \mathrm{e}=0.562, \mathrm{~s}=-1.054, \mathrm{a}=0.034, \mathrm{~b}=-3.460, \mathrm{v}=3.814$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathrm{P}(\text { calculated })=0.088+0.562 \mathrm{E}-1.054 \mathrm{~S}+0.034 \mathrm{~A}-3.460 \mathrm{~B}+3.814 \mathrm{~V} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\log$ of P (eq.25) is combined with the previous six stationary equation (eq. 19 to eq.24) to predict the solute descriptors for illicit drugs.

### 3.2.3.1 Nicotine

Calculated log of retention time is determine through equation 19 to equation 25 (Table
3.9.1)

Table 3.16. Observed and calculated retention data for nicotine

| Stationary phase | Experimental Logt | Calculated Logt $_{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ZB wax plus | 1.075 | 1.075 |
| Octanol/water | 1.170 | 1.170 |

The literature solute descriptors for Nicotine are: $\mathrm{E}=0.865, \mathrm{~S}=0.880, \mathrm{~A}=0.000 . \mathrm{B}=$ 1.090, $\mathrm{L}=5.880, \mathrm{~V}=1.371$ [ref.62]

Table 3.17. Predicted solute descriptors for nicotine

| Descriptors | E | S | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | L | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Values | 0.865 | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 7 3}$ | 5.880 | 1.371 |

The solute descriptors in bold are the calculated one. The remaining descriptors obtained from the literature were kept constant. The standard deviation for the predicted solutes descriptors for nicotine is $6.23^{*} 10^{-8} \log$ unit. Nicotine did run only on ZB wax plus column; thus only two stationary equations are represented. The two data set is not enough to conclude. The calculated A descriptor is 0.000 ; there is no acidic characteristic in nicotine. Overall nicotine is considered as a weak base because of the two nitrogen, its B descriptor is 1.073 which displays basic tendency. Nicotine does also show sign of polarity with the $S$ descriptor of 0.870 . Tobacco is a plant grown for its leaves, which are smoke, chewed for a variety of effects. Nicotine is contained in tobacco, it's an addictive substance.


Figure 3.3. Structure of nicotine

### 3.2.3.2 Oxycodone

Calculated log of retention time is determined through equation 19 to equation 25(Table
3.9.3)

Table 3.18. Observed and calculated retention data for oxycodone

| Stationary phase | Experimental Logt | Calculated Logt $_{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TG 5MS | 1.067 | 1.068 |
| Octanol/water | 1.260 | 1.260 |

The literature solute descriptors for oxycodone are $\mathrm{E}=2.015, \mathrm{~S}=2.815, \mathrm{~A}=0.286, \mathrm{~B}=$ 2.228, $V=2.264$

Table 3.19. Predicted solute descriptors for oxycodone

| Descriptors | E | S | A | B | L | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Values | 2.015 | $\mathbf{2 . 5 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 4 7 1}$ | 2.264 |

The solute descriptors in bold are the calculated one. The overall standard deviation for the predicted solutes descriptors for oxycodone is $8.12 * 10^{-7} \log$ unit. The oxycodone did run only on TG5MS column (5\% diphenyl 95\% dimethyl polysiloxane). Since there are few data sets, a good conclusion cannot be made. The oxycodone( Figure 3.4) structure has one hydrogen that exhibit the acidic characteristic, thus the A descriptor is 0.286 . overall the drug is basic because of the amine group. The nitrogen( strong electronegativity element) also gives the polarizability characteristic of the drug with $S=2.564$, the hydroxide group create a strong base group with the B value $=1.706$. Oxycodone is an opioid, use to treat moderate to severe pain.


Figure 3.4. Structure of oxycodone
3.2.3.3 Methamphetamine

Calculated log of retention time is determined through equation 19 to equation 25(Table

### 3.9.5)

Table 3.20. Observed and calculated retention data for methamphetamine

| Stationary phase | Experimental Logt |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 保 | Calculated Logt $_{R}$ |  |
| ZB was plus | 1.077 | 1.130 |
| ZB 35 | --- | --- |
| TR1MS | 0.746 | 0.798 |
| TR5 | 0.852 | 0.854 |
| TG5MS | 0.788 | 0.877 |
| TG1301MS | 1.101 | 0.935 |
| Octanol/water | 0.207 | 0.206 |

The literature solute descriptors for methamphetamine are $E^{a}=0.740, S^{b}=0.800$,
$A^{c}=0.130, B^{d}=0.590, V^{e}=1.380^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}}$ (C.West,G. Guenegou, Y. Zhang, L- Morin-Allory, Insights into chiral recognition mechanisms in supercritical fluid chromatography. II. Factors contributing to enantiomer separation on tris-(3, 5-dimethylphenylcarbonate) of amylose and cellulose stationary phases. J. chromatography A 1218(2011) 2018-2057.

Table 3.21. Predicted solute descriptors for methamphetamine

| Descriptors | E | S | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Values | 0.830 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 1 9}$ | 1.380 |

The values in bold are the calculated solute descriptors. The overall standard deviation for the predicted solute descriptors for methamphetamine is $0.090 \log$ unit. The A and B descriptors will depend on the process coefficients a and b and also on the interaction between
the solute and the stationary phase. All coefficients reflect differences in the properties of two phases between which the solute are being transferred. By observing the structure of methamphetamine (Figure 3.5), there is only one hydrogens that can form hydrogen bond, but the A descriptors is a little bit high with $\mathrm{A}=1.570$. The hydrogen bond interaction is highly dependent on the specific atoms present and on the orientation of the molecule involved in the interaction. This occurs when a hydrogen atom is covalently bonded to an electronegativity element such as nitrogen, oxygen, fluorine and at the same time interacting with the lone electrons on the nearby electronegativity element( or in some case with the $\pi$ system of aromatic rings). Also one can expect a higher solute descriptor value of A (hydrogen bond acidic) when one of the other four solute descriptors (E, S, B, L) is very low. The drug also shows some basic tendency because of the amine group; with the B descriptor equal 1.008. The nitrogen with the lone pair also makes the drug a little polar with the $S$ value of 0.296 . The A descriptor characterizes solute hydrogen bond donating ability. If neither phase can donate hydrogen bonds then the coefficient $B$ will be zero. The Ostwald descriptor $L$ is a combination of solute properties, one being a general measure of solute size and the second being the ability of a solute to interact with a solvent phase through dispersion forces. The S descriptor has dipolarity and polarizability effect within it, so does the L parameter, thus it's difficult to separate or to distinguish the exact distribution of polarity, dispersion and induction effects in the coefficient of these parameters [57, 58]. Methamphetamine improves concentration, energy and alertness while decreasing appetite and fatigue.


Figure 3.5. Structure of methamphetamine
3.2.3.4 Heroin

Calculating log of retention time is determined through equation19 to equation 25(Table
3.9.7)

Table 3.22. Observed and calculated retention data for heroin

| Stationary phase | Experimental Logt | Calculated Logt |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The literature solute descriptors for heroin are $\mathrm{E}=1.530, \mathrm{~S}=2.21, \mathrm{~A}=0.00, \mathrm{~B}=1.92, \mathrm{~V}$
$=2.6598$
Table 3.23. Predicted solute descriptors for heroin

| Descriptors | E | S | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Values | 1.937 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 0 2 1}$ | 2.660 |

The calculated solute descriptors are in bold. The overall standard deviation for the predicted solutes descriptors for heroin is 0.106 log unit. The structure of heroin (Figure 3.6) shows that there are no acidic hydrogen, therefore heroin exhibits no acidic characteristic. The A descriptor is zero, meaning there is no hydrogen bond ability in heroin. The heroin shows some basicity due to the nitrogen element with the B descriptor value of 2.136. The S descriptor has dipolarity and polarizability within it, thus the $S$ descriptor value is 2.224 . Nitrogen and oxygen do contribute to the polarizability and dipolarity of heroin. It's very difficult to discern the exact
distribution of polarity, dispersion and induce effects in the coefficient of those parameters as mentioned for the methamphetamine [57-58]. The size of $L$ does increase as the solutes increase. Heroin is highly addictive drug derived from morphine which is obtained from opium poppy plant.



Figure 3.6. Structure of heroin (left) and morphine(right)

### 3.2.3.5 Ketamine

Calculating log of retention time is determined through equation19 to equation 25(Table
3.9.9)

Table 3.24. Observed and calculated retention data for ketamine

| Stationary phase | Experimental Logt | Calculated Logt $_{R}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ZB wax plus | 1.249 | 1.264 |
| ZB 35 | 1.189 | 1.203 |
| TR1MS | 1.153 | 1.079 |
| TR5 | 1.152 | 1.147 |
| TG5MS | 1.164 | 1.154 |
| TG1301MS | 1.174 | 1.226 |
| Octanol/water | 2.900 | 2.903 |

The solute descriptors for ketamine are $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{a}}=1.280, \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{b}}=1.420, \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{c}}=0.130, \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{d}}=0.890, \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{e}}=$ 1.832. ${ }^{\text {a, b, c, d,e (C.West,G. Guenegou, Y. Zhang, L- Morin-Allory, Insights into chiral recognition }}$ mechanisms in supercritical fluid chromatography. II. Factors contributing to enantiomer separation on tris-(3, 5-dimethylphenylcarbonate) of amylose and cellulose stationary phases. J. chromatography A 1218(2011) 2018-2057.

Table 3.25. Predicted solute descriptors for Ketamine

| Descriptors | E | S | A | B | $\mathbf{L}$ | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Values | 1.393 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 6 4 0}$ | 1.832 |

The calculated solute descriptors values are in bold. The overall standard deviation for the predicted solutes descriptors for ketamine is $0.041 \log$ unit. Although the calculated descriptor A shows no ability of hydrogen bond, A is zero; it's obvious that ketamine has some hydrogen bond ability by looking at its structure. There is one hydrogen donor in ketamine structure. The molecule shows some tendency of being basic with the nitrogen element. The chlorine, nitrogen and oxygen element emphasize the polarity effect on ketamine; thus the $S$ descriptor is 1.004 . One can expect a high value on the polarity descriptor, but as mentioned early on, the S and L descriptors both have dipolarity and polarizability include in their parameter which makes it harder to know the exact distribution of polarity, dispersion and induce effects in the coefficient of these parameters. The dipole-dipole interaction depend on the orientation of the molecule. Ketamine is considered a dissociative anesthetic, which means the drug distorts the users' perception of sight and sound, and produces feelings of detachment from the environment.


Figure 3.7. Structure of ketamine
Table 3.26Summary of predicted solute descriptors for nicotine, oxycodone, methamphetamine, heroin and ketamine

| Drugs | E | S | A | B | L | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nicotine | 0.865 | 0.870 | 0.000 | 1.073 | 5.469 | 1.371 |
| Oxycodone | 2.015 | 2.563 | 0.286 | 1.706 | 5.471 | 2.264 |
| Methamphetamine | 0.830 | 0.296 | 1.570 | 1.008 | 3.619 | 1.380 |


| Heroin | 1.937 | 2.224 | 0.000 | 2.136 | 7.021 | 2.660 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ketamine | 1.393 | 1.004 | 0.000 | 1.125 | 6.640 | 1.832 |

### 3.3 Conclusion

The Abraham solvation model is a good approach to predict drugs properties. The Abraham solvation model parameter can be used to characterize the gas chromatography stationary phase by providing some important chemical information about the stationary phase. The Abraham solvation model predicts fairly accurate molecular descriptors. It's important to know the drugs properties in order for one to model or study a new drugs. Once the drugs properties are known from the solute descriptors, we can predict on how drug will interact with different phase or different system. Then one can understand how the drugs will interact with some biological barrier. The cost of putting the drugs to the market is very high, by using the Abraham model solvation equation; one can reduce the time and money that needed to be spent. The instrument use to acquire the retention time is the gas chromatograph with the flame ionization detector. Mathematical correlations between the logarithm of retention time of illicit drugs and the solute descriptors from the Abraham model can be established. Linear free energy relationship (LFER) of Abraham model predicts retention behavior of most compounds and drugs by comparing the experimental logarithm of retention data with the calculated logarithm of retention data. Not all drugs did run on all six columns used in this experiment. Some drugs have higher boiling point that exceed the maximum temperature of the gas chromatography column. Some drugs are not volatile enough and can't be run on GC. The b basicity process coefficient is not very suitable to found or calculated with the gas chromatography due to the lack of stationary phase with strong hydrogen bonding ability. In order to improve the accuracy of the prediction, it's necessary to have more data point for the drugs. More stationary phase can also be added to improve the prediction. The HPLC (high pressure liquid chromatography) can
also be used to study drugs because of the GC limitation of temperature. This experiment shows that all linear free energy relationship parameters of solutes may be determined using gas chromatography or experimental techniques. The solvation model can help facilitate the prediction of further system properties for compounds lacking experimental values. The molecular solute descriptors obtained from this experiment have many chemical, biological and pharmaceutical important properties. The molecular solute descriptors can be used to predict skin permeability, whether or not the drug can cross the brain blood barrier. The obtained molecular solute descriptors for the illicit drugs studied in this experiment are important to determine why such drug can cross the brain easily compared to the other drugs based on the acidity, basicity or polarity of the drug. The process coefficients are the average value over the range of temperatures. In this study, we were able to determine the solute descriptors for the illicit drugs experimentally, not by using software or any computational method.
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