Microwave Measurements of the BNL/SLAC/UCLA 1.6 Cell Photocathode RF Gun*

D. T. PALMER, R. H. MILLER AND H. WINICK

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center

Stanford University, Stanford CA 94309

X.J. WANG, K. BATCHELOR, M. WOODLE AND I. BEN-ZVI

Brookhaven National Laboratory Accelerator Test Facility

Upton, NY 11973

Abstract

The longitudinal accelerating field E_z has been measured as a function of azimuthal angle in the full cell of the cold test model for the 1.6 cell BNL/SLAC/UCLA #3 S-band RF Gun using a needle rotation / frequency perturbation technique [1]. These measurements were conducted before and after symmetrizing the full cell with a vacuum pump out port and an adjustable short. Two different waveguide to full cell coupling schemes were studied. The dipole mode of the full cell is an order of magnitude less severe before symmetrization for the θ -coupling scheme. The multi-pole contribution to the longitudinal field asymmetry are calculated using standard Fourier series techniques. The Panofsky-Wenzel theorem [2] is used in estimating the transverse emittance due to the multipole components of E_z .

I. Introduction

To produce high brightness low emittance electron beams necessary for X-ray FEL application such as the LCLS [3] we have developed a 1.6 cell emittance compensated S-band photocathode RF Gun that is designed to minimize emittance growth due to the dipole component of E_z . To accomplish this we have eliminated the side coupling into the half cell that is used in the original BNL RF gun design [4], which is designed to suppress the zero-mode. The coupling between the two cells was improved by an increase in the beam iris, which also increased the mode separation between the zero and π -modes. The coupling between the waveguide and full cell was studied using two different coupling schemes. The full cell was symmetrized to minimize emittance growth due to the TM_{110} mode. The half cell length has been increased to provide more RF focusing. Resistive temperature control was elected over water cooling to facilitate design and minimize construction costs.

II. Field Balance versus Mode Separation

The full cell to half cell coupling is vital since side coupling was not used in this gun design. We further improved the technique of using mode separation for field balance tuning [5]. To measure the field balance versus mode separation it is necessary to measure E_z on axis for the π -mode,

*Work supported by the Department of Energy, contracts DE-AC03-76SF00515 and DE-AC02-76CH00016

f_π and f_0 for different full and half cell frequencies. For large difference in the full and half cell frequencies the f_π and f_0 are essentially the independent cell frequencies, but when the cell frequencies are close to each other the coupling, which is frequency independent, separates the cell frequencies into the f_π and f_0 [6]. Field balance versus mode separation data is presented in figure 1. This data was taken using a .472 cm diameter dielectric sphere and a self excited loop instead of a network analyzer which increased the accuracy of the frequency measurement down to 100 Hz. The predictions of our equivalent circuit model,

Figure 1. Field Balance versus Mode Separation

2-D field solvers such as SUPERFISH [7] and the 3-D field solver MAFIA [8] are also shown in figure 1.

III. Waveguide to Full Cell Coupling

We have investigated two different types of waveguide to full cell coupling schemes. The θ -coupling scheme was produced using a .375" diameter cutting flute running $\pm .2268''$ center to center in the x direction, the thickness of the coupling iris is .0917". The z -coupling scheme was produced by cutting out a .913" x .650" rectangle with the long dimension in the z -direction, the thickness of the coupling iris was .108". In the final gun design we have elected to use the θ -coupling scheme since its dipole field, before symmetrization, is an order of magnitude less than the z -coupling scheme. We have measured the dipole field offset by a transverse disk pull in the waveguide plane and the

multi-pole field components of the full cell by a needle rotation technique before and after symmetrizing the full cell for both schemes.

IV. Transverse Disk Pulls

Figure 2. Experimental Setups for Full Cell Disk Pull and Transverse View of Full Cell Needle Rotation

Figure 2 shows the experimental setup for our transverse disk pull, using a 6.35 mm diameter 0.30 mm thick Cu disk, used to measure $E_z(x_0, y)$. The dipole offset is directly measured by this technique assuming that E_z can be modeled near the beam pipe axis by $E_z(x_0, y) = A + B(y - C)^2$. Experimental disk pull data is shown in figure 3 for the θ -coupling scheme. The θ -coupled dipole data along with waveguide to full cell coupling as a function of short position is shown in figure 4.

Figure 3. θ -coupled Disk Pull Data Before and After Symmetrization

V. Multi-pole Modes

In previous measurements of the dipole field in an RF cavity, needles were pulled longitudinally offset from the beam axis [9] or were pulled transversely [10] to the beam axis. In our needle rotation technique a 1.27 cm long .635

Figure 4. θ -coupled Full Cell to Waveguide Coupling β and Dipole Offset versus Short Position

mm diameter needle is parallel to the beam axis and was rotated in the θ direction such that a cylinder was swept out, and thereby only perturbing the E_z . The experimental setup used for the needle rotation can be seen in figure 2 and figure 5. Accurate positioning of the needle was accomplished by using a compound arrangement of translation and rotation stages. The translation stage allowed the positioning of the needle in the r direction with respect to the beam pipe center. The rotation stage allowed the positioning of the needle in the θ direction with respect to a fiducial mark that we defined as the x -axis. It should be noted that the disk pull data is a subset of the needle rotation data. The full cell field, E_z , can be expressed as an infinite sum of modes represented by

$$E_z = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{mnl} TM_{mnl} \quad (1)$$

By measuring $E_z(r_1, \theta)$ as shown in figure 6 we can calculate the Fourier series coefficient A_{mnl} of the infinite series, whose absolute values are shown in figure 7. These coefficients are then used in the calculation of the emittance growth due to these multipole fields. Note that there is a large and changing (before and after) x -axis dipole field component, because the tuners were impossible to position precisely and in general were not symmetrical. Symmetrically feeding RF into the full cell would remove the phase asymmetry that exists in the cavity due to an asymmetric Poynting vector.

VI. Emittance Growth Estimation

The change in the transverse normalized emittance is given by,

$$\Delta \epsilon_y = \sigma_y \frac{\Delta p_y}{mc} \quad (2)$$

Using the Panofsky-Wenzel Theorem to find the change in momentum, which is in phase quadrature with $\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y}$ we find that

$$\frac{\Delta p_y}{mc} = \frac{e}{mc^2} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \int_0^L \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} dz \quad (3)$$

Figure 5. Needle Rotation Experimental Setup

Figure 6. $E_z(r_1, \theta)$ Before and After Symmetrization for the θ -Coupling Scheme Using a Needle Rotation Technique

Therefore the change in the transverse emittance is

$$\Delta\epsilon_y = \frac{e}{mc^2} \frac{\sigma_z}{2\pi} \sigma_y \lambda \int_0^L \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} dz \quad (4)$$

For the TM_{110} and TM_{210} type modes, $\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y}$ is given by

$$E_z \approx E_m \frac{r^m}{r_1^m} \sin(m\theta + \phi_m) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y} = m \frac{E_m}{E_o} \frac{r^{m-1}}{r_1^m} E_o \quad (5)$$

Therefore the change in the transverse emittance is

$$\Delta\epsilon_y \approx \frac{e}{mc^2} \frac{\sigma_z}{2\pi} \sigma_y \lambda n \frac{E_m}{E_o} \frac{r^{m-1}}{r_1^m} \int_0^L E_o dz \quad (6)$$

Inserting the appropriate parameters from table I of the electron bunch and the symmetrized RF gun that we are modeling. Where r_1 is the radius at which E_z was measure at using the needle rotation technique and σ_y is the beam size in the middle of the full cell. Assuming that $\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial y}$ can be approximated by a cosine like function of z we find that the emittance growth in the symmetrized case is given by

$$\Delta\epsilon_y \approx \begin{cases} 0.1 \pi \text{ mm mrad} & \text{Dipole mode} \\ 0.05 \pi \text{ mm mrad} & \text{Quadrapole mode} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

L	.05 m	λ	10.5 cm
σ_y	2.00 mm	σ_z	3 psec
E_o	100 $\frac{MV}{m}$	r_1	10.00 mm
$m = 1$	Dipole	$m = 2$	Quadpole
$\frac{E_1}{E_o}$	0.000094	$\frac{E_2}{E_o}$	0.000084

Table I
Electron bunch and RF gun cavity parameters

VII. Conclusions

The dipole field components caused by the asymmetric RF coupling before and after symmetrizing the full cell were investigated. Data on the waveguide to full cell coupling and dipole offset versus short position was also taken. A needle rotation technique was used to measure the Fourier coefficient of the multipole field components of the full cell accelerating field. The dipole coefficient was seen to decrease by an order of magnitude after the full cell was symmetrized, with the proper positioning of the adjustable short that is located in the vacuum pumping port.

References

- [1] L. C. Maier and J. C. Slater, *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol.23, Num1 69 - 77, Jan 1952
- [2] W. K. H. Panofsky and W. A. Wenzel, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 27, 967 (1956)
- [3] H. Winick et al *NIM*, A347, (1994), 199-205
- [4] K. Batchelor et al, *Proc. of 1990 EPAC* 541 - 543
- [5] X.J. Wang et al, *Proc. of 1993 PAC*, 3000 - 3002
- [6] I. Wilson and H. Henke, CERN 89-09
- [7] K. Halbach and R. F. Holsinger, *Particle Accelerators* 7, 213 (1976)
- [8] T. Weiland et al. *DESY Report*, M-86-07 1986
- [9] A. M. Vetter and R. P. Friedman, 1988 Linac Conf. Proc., CEBAF-Report-89-001, 58-60, June 1989
- [10] Greg Loew private communication

Figure 7. θ -coupled Fourier Coefficients Before and After Symmetrization

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.