**Cover Sheet for a Hanford
Historical Document
Released for Public Availability**

Released 1995

**Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830**

**Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy
by Battelle Memorial Institute**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DECLASSIFIED

RECORD CENTER FILE
HW-26776

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

~~This document contains restricted data as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1946. Its release or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.~~

Classification Canceled and Changed To

DECLASSIFIED

By Authority of CG-WM-2,

CH Henager, 5-4-92.

By Gerrit Mally, 9-28-92

Verified By J E Savely 9-28-92

NOT UCNI

TO: File

Copy #1 - J. E. Maider
#2 - O. H. Greager - W. K. Woods
#3 - R. S. Bell - V. R. Chapman
#4 - T. Prudich
#5 - R. B. Richards - J. B. Work
#6 - T. W. Hauff
#7 - F. W. Albaugh
#8 - W. N. Mobley
#9 - L. M. Knights
#10 - V. R. Cooper - P. E. Collins
#11 - O. F. Beaulieu
#12 - L. M. Meeker - S. G. Smolen
#13 - V. D. Donihee
#14 - 700 File
#15 - 300 File
#16 - Yellow Copy ←

January 7, 1953

This document consists of
3 pages, [REDACTED]

COPY 1 OF 1, SERIES MA

PROCESS TEST MS-235-5

Reduction of Task III Cooling Time. RM Line

Objective:

The object of this test is to confirm, by actual Task III operation, the calculated theory that the furnace cooling period can be appreciably shortened by lowering the furnace base onto the detents at 400°C. instead of at 200°C. as at present. If the feasibility of this change can be established, Task III will no longer be a "bottleneck" and a considerable amount of effort and expense involved in revamping the Task III conveyor can be avoided.

Basis:

The present cooling cycle (about 2½ hours) is concluded when an indicated furnace temperature of 200°C. is reached. The charge is then lowered onto the detents for further cooling. Essentially, this means the furnace is now vented to the atmosphere. The main purpose of the presently used 2½ hour furnace cooling period is to make certain all free calcium is in the solid state. The secondary purpose is to minimize iodine vapors and thus reduce hood corrosion. The following information indicates that 2½ hours in-furnace cooling is excessive:

RECEIVED

111M 05 10

MASTER

300 AREA
CLASSIFIED FILES

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED TS

File

-2-

January 7, 1953

1. Since the inside furnace temperature is approximately 1800°C. after "firing" and the rate of cooling is estimated to be 400 - 500°C. for the first five minutes, the slag, plutonium metal, and calcium are undoubtedly in the solid state fifteen minutes after "firing".
2. The amount of calcium iodide on the exposed surface of the slag that could release elemental iodine through oxidation is very small.

The shorter cooling period recommended appears adequate to meet the demand of quality control and safety of operation. Advantages derived from a shorter cooling cycle are:

1. It will be possible to process from fifteen to twenty runs per twenty-four hours on Task III, RM Line which now has an output of from eight to ten runs per twenty-four hours. This will balance fairly well with Task II production.
2. The proposed fabrication and installation of a revised ram-conveyor system, which will make possible the processing of fifteen to twenty runs for Task III, RM Line, will not be necessary for the reason given in (1) above. The shorter cooling period will eliminate an expenditure of approximately \$5,000 - the cost of altering the conveyor.

Procedure and Schedule:

In this test, a stepwise increase in the ram lowering temperature will be made until the higher temperature of 400°C., which appears entirely feasible, has been reached.

Twenty runs will be processed in accordance with this test. The test will be completed within two weeks of the issue date and an evaluation report circulated.

The normal process cycle will be followed with the following exceptions:

1. Five runs, using a temperature of 300°C.
Five runs, using a temperature of 350°C.
Ten runs, using a temperature of 400°C.
2. Vent and lower the furnace base onto the detents.* Cool the charge in this position for at least forty-five minutes before unloading.
• The furnace pressure must be 50# psi or less before venting.
3. The elapsed time between "firing" and lowering to the detents must be a minimum of fifteen minutes.

DECLASSIFIED

File

-3-

January 7, 1953

Responsibility:

The Process Unit will be responsible for making observations and analyzing the results. The Operations Unit will be responsible for scheduling and making the test runs. Conclusions will be drawn jointly by the Operations and Process Units of the Separations Section.

Issued by C. L. Brown / 1/7/53

Process Unit

Date of Issue 1/7/53

Approvals:

Tom Prudich
Chief Supervisor, Operations Unit
Separations Section

O. J. Beaulieu 1/8/53
Process Supervisor, Process Unit
Separations Section

W. R. Chapman 1/9/53
Superintendent, Operations Unit
Separations Section

W. M. Mobley 1/12/53
Superintendent, Process Unit
Separations Section

DECLASSIFIED