53
8-15-95 JS(2)

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073

PPPL-3104
UC-426,427

PPPL-3104

HIGH- β DISRUPTION IN TOKAMAKS

BY

W. PARK, E.D. FREDRICKSON, A. JANOS, ET AL.

JULY 1995

PPPL

PRINCETON
PLASMA PHYSICS
LABORATORY

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial produce, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NOTICE

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.
Available in paper copy and microfiche.

Number of pages in this report: 20

DOE and DOE contractors can obtain copies of this report from:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831;
(615) 576-8401.

This report is publicly available from the:

National Technical Information Service
Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22161
(703) 487-4650

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

High- β Disruption in Tokamaks

W. Park, E.D. Fredrickson, A. Janos, J. Manickam, W.M. Tang

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543

Abstract

Three dimensional MHD simulations of high- β plasmas show that toroidally localized high- n ballooning modes can be driven unstable by the local pressure steepening which arises from the evolution of low- n modes. Nonlinearly, the high- n mode becomes even more localized and produces a strong local pressure bulge which destroys the flux surfaces resulting in a thermal quench. The flux surfaces then recover temporarily but now contain large magnetic islands. This scenario is supported by experimental data.

PACS numbers: 52.55.Fa, 52.65.+z, 52.35.Py, 52.30.-q

Submitted to Phys.Rev.Lett.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

JP
MASTER

In the record-setting deuterium-tritium discharges in TFTR, performance is limited by MHD activity, the most dangerous type being the major disruption. This event causes the loss of the confined thermal energy during the thermal quench phase and then the loss of plasma current during the current quench phase.^{1,2} For larger tokamaks like ITER, a major disruption can cause substantial damage to the first wall.

In this letter, we use the nonlinear 3D MHD code, MH3D,³ to study high- β disruptions; i.e., major disruptions near the β limit. First we obtain a 2D toroidally symmetric equilibrium state using the safety factor q and pressure profiles which correspond to a representative experimental case. The case presented here has $q_o = 0.92$ at the axis, $q_w = 4$ at the boundary, and $\epsilon\beta_p \equiv 8\pi\epsilon \int p ds / \mu_o I_t^2 = 0.3$ with the aspect ratio $R/a = 2.9$. From this 2D equilibrium, a linear $n = 1$ mode with a dominant $m = 1$ component is obtained as shown in Fig. 1 which plots the stream lines of the incompressible part of the velocity (m and n are the poloidal and toroidal mode numbers). This ideal mode nonlinearly evolves to a helically distorted 3D equilibrium as shown in Fig. 2. This figure shows the equi-pressure contours on poloidal plane at three different toroidal angles. The maximum pressure gradient occurs at the toroidal angle $\phi = 0$ plane where the local steepening of the pressure gradient occurs in the bad curvature region (the outboard region).⁴

The MH3D code is modified to be able to calculate a mixed- n linear eigenmode evolving from such a 3D equilibrium. A rapidly growing linear ideal mode is obtained as shown in Fig. 3 which plots the stream lines (a) on the poloidal plane and (b) on the mid-plane of the torus. The mode is localized at the peak pressure gradient at the outboard region. Its structure in this region has a well defined local n number (about 9), even though the global mode has a broad spectrum with $m = -1$ to 20 and $n = 5$ to 14. A similar mechanism for this type of linear mode development has been suggested

in analytic studies,^{5,6} and some of the associated features have been experimentally observed.⁷ The crucial question which will be investigated in the following deals with the nonlinear development of this toroidally localized high- n mode; i.e., whether it will cause a disruption, or just cause a local enhancement of dissipation due to its radial localization, or even saturate harmlessly as in the low- n case.

For the nonlinear evolution of the localized mode, we study a case where the q profile is slightly raised from the previous case such that the plasma does not contain a $q = 1$ surface. This is because with a $q = 1$ surface present, the present resistive MHD model would have evolved the low- n mode through a complete reconnection process instead of the experimentally observed mode saturation. The nonlinear behavior with the present q profile is similar to the experimentally observed trends which show a saturation of $n = 1$ mode probably due to effects associated with energetic particles⁸ and diamagnetic drifts.⁹ The present study focuses on the behavior of plasmas with q_0 slightly greater than one. This is of special interest because the key character of the nonlinear evolution of high- n mode is an increasing localization as we will see below, which should not be sensitive to slight changes in the global q values.

Even though q_0 is slightly larger than one, the linear phase of the calculations yield results similar to the previous case. This is because the mode is driven by the pressure gradient. The nonlinear calculation shows that the steep localized pressure gradient, which gives rise to this toroidally localized high- n mode, becomes even more steep nonlinearly. This causes the nonlinear mode to be increasingly localized producing a local pressure bulge which strongly pushes into the outer region of plasma as shown in Fig. 4, which plots the temporal evolution of the pressure contours at $\phi = 0$. The local pressure bulge continues to push into the outer region of plasma until it causes most of the plasma region to become stochastic and thereby produce a thermal quench. Fig. 5 shows the

pressure contours on the midplane of the torus at the last phase of Fig. 4.

The novel nonlinear behavior just described can be understood from an examination of the linear eigenmode shown in Fig. 3. This fastest growing eigenmode arising from the 3D equilibrium can have either a positive or a negative sign. Since the positive sign gives an outboard direction of flow at the position of the local steep pressure gradient, it will further steepen this gradient and make it more localized. This accordingly provide a stronger drive to the mode.

There are several ways in which the nonlinearly steepened pressure gradient ultimately relaxes. One path is the thermal quench process just described. Other possible mechanisms include a sawtooth crash, and to a lesser extent, a fishbone event. The pressure gradient can also be relieved without a thermal quench if it touches large neighboring magnetic islands.

Figure 6 shows the simulated ECE signal obtained using a finite amplitude eigenmode from the calculation using the original q profile. The signal is produced by two toroidal rotations with 15 detector sites with the lowest line corresponding to $r = -0.9$ at the inboard region and the uppermost line to $r = 0.9$ at the outboard region. The high- n oscillations are only seen when the underlying $n = 1$ signal is at the maximum phase because this mode is strongly localized toroidally. The calculated signals are in good agreement with the experimental ECE data shown in Fig. 7. Specifically, note that the experimental signal shows similar high- n oscillations superposed on the maximum phase of the $n = 1$ signal. This confirms that the "bursts" of the high- n mode are actually due to a steadily growing localized high- n mode driven by a nonlinear $n = 1$ distortion. It is only seen when the toroidally localized mode structure comes into view of the ECE detector thereby giving the bursting behavior.

Figure 8 shows the calculated ECE signals from the later two nonlinear states shown

in Fig. 4. Only the outboard ECE detector signals are shown. At the later time, the signals clearly indicate that the mode is even more localized and a local pressure bulge is pushing its way toward the boundary. These characteristics are also seen in the experimental ECE data, although this later phase is usually masked by a non-thermal ECE emission. These results give additional support to the conclusion that it is the toroidally localized high- n mode and not the low- n mode which causes the thermal quench.

After the thermal quench, a 95% reduction of pressure in the simulation causes the flux surfaces to recover. However, they contain large magnetic islands in the outer region as shown in Fig. 9. (The total pressure loss is usually less than 95%, but the behavior is similar.) This indicates that there exists some pressure confinement in the central region in the post thermal quench phase. This also agrees with the experimental observation of some pressure recovery in the central region. Such behavior can be understood by the fact that at small β , the plasma is stable to ideal modes while unstable to resistive modes if the perturbation is large enough. The large perturbation resulting from the thermal quench phase destabilizes the resistive ballooning mode, overcoming the layer stabilization effect of Glasser, Green, Johnson.¹⁰

Resistive mode destabilization following a thermal quench, a minor disruption, or a fast β -collapse is a common occurrence not only in TFTR but also in other large tokamaks. In JT-60U, for example, a large $m/n=2/1$ mode is destabilized right after a fast β -collapse, even though the pressure is much less than pre- β -collapse plasmas where the resistive mode is stable.¹¹ These trends support the conclusion that the layer stabilization is in effect (overcoming even the neoclassical destabilization) and provides threshold amplitude for the destabilization of resistive modes. This can also explain why the good(quiet) discharges in large tokamaks are limited by an ideal mode criterion.

The likely final phase of a major disruption occurs when Z_{eff} becomes high, and the plasma becomes very cold due to line radiation. Since the loop voltage in the experiment is not large enough to sustain the plasma current, the current quench phase sets in to terminate the discharge.

In conclusion, the principal results of this paper can be summarized as follows. As a high β plasma reaches the ideal mode limit, a low n mode is first destabilized and the plasma nonlinearly evolves toward a 3D equilibrium containing a localized steep pressure gradient in the bad curvature region. This pressure gradient then drives a new toroidally localized high- n mode. This mode becomes even more localized nonlinearly, producing a local pressure bulge which strongly pushes into the outer region of the plasma resulting in a thermal quench. When the high- n mode occurs together with sawtooth or fishbone oscillations, it can be less dangerous. After the thermal quench phase, the flux surfaces recover but they contain large magnetic islands. This gives some thermal confinement in the central region and agrees with experimental observations, supporting that a layer stabilization of resistive modes was in effect in the pre-thermal quench plasma.

Finally, it is appropriate to comment on the other type of high- β disruption which is preceded by a slow β -collapse rather than a thermal quench (fast β -collapse). Although rare in TFTR, this type is more common in other large tokamaks. When the low- n mode does not destabilize the toroidally localized high- n mode, low- n magnetic islands will develop on a resistive time scale resulting in a slow degradation of the confinement. (This can happen, for example, when the low magnetic shear region is small, thereby limiting the saturation amplitude of a low- n mode.) When the outer flux surfaces are finally destroyed, a major disruption will occur.

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

References

- ¹K. McGuire and the TFTR Group, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* (IAEA, Vienna, 1986), Vol. 1, p.421.
- ²E.D. Fredrickson et al., Submitted to Phys. Plasmas (1995).
- ³W. Park et al., Phys. Fluids B 4, 2033 (1992).
- ⁴W. Park et al., Phys. Fluids B 3, 507 (1991).
- ⁵M.N. Bussac and R. Pellat, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2650 (1987).
- ⁶C.C. Hegna and J.D. Callen, Phys. Fluids B 4, 3031 (1992).
- ⁷Y. Nagayama et al., Phys. Fluids B 5, 2571 (1993).
- ⁸R.B. White et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 539 (1989).
- ⁹L. Zakharov et al., Phys. Fluids B 5, 2498 (1993).
- ¹⁰A.H. Glasser, J.M. Green, and J.L. Johnson, Phys. Fluids 18, 875 (1975).
- ¹¹S. Ishida and Y. Kamada, Private communications.

Figures

FIG. 1. The stream lines of the linear eigenmode.

FIG. 2. Pressure contours of the 3D equilibrium.

FIG. 3. The stream lines of a mixed n linear eigenmode; (a) on the poloidal plane and (b) on the mid-plane of the torus.

FIG. 4. The nonlinear time development of the pressure.

FIG. 5. The pressure contours on the midplane of the torus.

FIG. 6. The theoretical ECE signals.

FIG. 7. The experimental ECE signals.

FIG. 8. The calculated ECE signals in the later phase.

FIG. 9. The reformed flux surfaces.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-420

Dr. F. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA	Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Devel Center, JAPAN
Prof. R.C. Cross, Univ. of Sydney, AUSTRALIA	Prof. I. Kawakami, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN
Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA	Prof. K. Nishikawa, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN
Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ, AUSTRALIA	Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, JAPAN
Prof. F. Cap, Inst. for Theoretical Physics, AUSTRIA	Director, Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst., JAPAN
Prof. M. Heindler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA	Prof. S. Itoh, Kyushu Univ., JAPAN
Prof. M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM	Research Info. Ctr., National Instit. for Fusion Science, JAPAN
Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab. de Phy. Plasmas, BELGIUM	Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN
Commission-European, DG. XII-Fusion Prog., BELGIUM	Library, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN
Prof. R. Bouciqué, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, BELGIUM	Prof. N. Inoue, Univ. of Tokyo, JAPAN
Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, BRAZIL	Secretary, Plasma Section, Electrotechnical Lab., JAPAN
Prof. Dr. I.C. Nascimento, Instituto Fisica, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL	Dr. O. Mitarai, Kumamoto Inst. of Technology, JAPAN
Instituto Nacional De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL	Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Sci. Ctr., KOREA
Documents Office, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., CANADA	J. Hyeon-Sook, Korea Atomic Energy Research Inst., KOREA
Ms. M. Morin, CCFM/Tokamak de Varennes, CANADA	D.I. Choi, The Korea Adv. Inst. of Sci. & Tech., KOREA
Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA	Leandro Melendez Lugo, Inst. Nac'l. de Inves. Nucl, MEXICO
Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, CANADA	Prof. B.S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND
Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA	Inst of Physics, Chinese Acad Sci PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA
Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, CANADA	Library, Inst. of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA
Prof. R. Marchand, INRS-Energie et Materiaux, CANADA	Tsinghua Univ. Library, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Dr. R. Bolton, Centre canadien de fusion magnétique, CANADA	Z. Li, S.W. Inst Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
Dr. C.R. James,, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA	Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Instituto Superior Tecnico, PORTUGAL
Dr. P. Lukác, Komenského Universzita, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA	Prof. M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, S. AFRICA
The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND	Prof. D.E. Kim, Pohang Inst. of Sci. & Tech., SO. KOREA
Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ENGLAND	Prof. C.I.E.M.A.T, Fusion Division Library, SPAIN
Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND	Dr. L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, SWEDEN
Dr. S.C. Sharma, Univ. of South Pacific, FIJI ISLANDS	Library, Royal Inst. of Technology, SWEDEN
P. Mähönen, Univ. of Helsinki, FINLAND	Prof. H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., SWEDEN
Prof. M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique,, FRANCE	Centre Phys. Des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech, SWITZERLAND
C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE	Bibliotheek, Inst. Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS
J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE	Asst. Prof. Dr. S. Cakir, Middle East Tech. Univ., TURKEY
Prof. E. Economou, Univ. of Crete, GREECE	Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Sci. Res. Inst. Electrophys. I Apparatus, USSR
Ms. C. Rinni, Univ. of Ioannina, GREECE	Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Branch of Academy of Sci., USSR
Preprint Library, Hungarian Academy of Sci., HUNGARY	Dr. G.A. Eliseev, I.V. Kurchatov Inst., USSR
Dr. B. DasGupta, Saha Inst. of Nuclear Physics, INDIA	Librarian, The Ukr.SSR Academy of Sciences, USSR
Dr. P. Kaw, Inst. for Plasma Research, INDIA	Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Inst. of General Physics, USSR
Dr. P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Technology, ISRAEL	Kernforschungsanlage GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, W. GERMANY
Librarian, International Center for Theo Physics, ITALY	Bibliothek, Inst. Für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY
Miss C. De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA , ITALY	Prof. K. Schindler, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, W. GERMANY
Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, ITALY	Dr. F. Wagner, (ASDEX), Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY
Prof. G. Rostangni, Istituto Gas Ionizzati Del Cnr, ITALY	Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY