Statement of Work for Services Provided by the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility for the Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program During Calendar Year 1998

B. P. Gleckler

Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc.

Date Published

September 1998

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

FLUOR DANIEL HANFORD, INC.

Richland, Washington

Hanford Management and Integration Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

Approved for Public Release; Further Dissemination Unlimited

RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

**Document
Number:**

HNF-EP-0835-4

**Document
Title:**

SOW for Services Provided by the Waste Sampling and
Characterization Facility for the Effluent and
Environmental Monitoring Program During Calendar
Year 1998

**This document, reviewed in accordance with DOE
Order 1430.1D, "Scientific and Technical
Information Management," and DOE G 1430.1D-1,
"Guide to the Management of Scientific and
Technical Information," does not contain classified
or sensitive unclassified information and is:**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

C. Wittingham

10/22/1998

Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.
Document Control/Information Clearance

Reviewed for Applied Technology, Business Sensitive, Classified, Copyrighted, Export Controlled, Patent, Personal/Private, Proprietary, Protected CRADA, Trademark, Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER. This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, not any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof. This report has been reproduced from the best available copy. Printed in the United States of America.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Available in paper copy and microfiche.

Available to the U.S. Department of Energy
and its contractors from
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI)
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
(615) 576-8401

Available to the public from the U.S. Department of
Commerce
National Technical Information Service (NTIS)
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
(703) 487-4850

Printed in the United States of America

DISCLM-1.CHP (8-95)

Document Title: **STATEMENT OF WORK FOR SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE
WASTE SAMPLING AND CHARACTERIZATION FACILITY
FOR THE EFFLUENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
PROGRAM DURING CALENDAR YEAR 1998**

Prepared by: 10/21/98
B. P. Gleckler, Engineer
WMH Air & Water Services
Date

Approved by: 10-21-98
L. P. Diediker, Team Leader
WMH Air & Water Services
Date

Approved by: 10/21/98
E. M. Greager, Manager
WMH Air & Water Services
Date

Approved by: 10/21/98
J. S. Dorian, Program Manager
WMNW Environmental Monitoring & Investigations
Date

Approved by: 10/22/98
A. K. Dasgupta, Sr. Principal Scientist
WMH Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility
Date

Approved by: 10/21/98
D. G. Ranade, Technical Representative
FDH Environmental Integration
Date

(This page intentionally left blank.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES	1
2.0 REGULATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	1
2.1. RADIONUCLIDE AIR EMISSIONS REPORT FOR THE CLEAN AIR ACT	1
2.2. ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES REPORT	2
2.3. HANFORD SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT	2
2.4. EFFLUENT INFORMATION SYSTEM-ONSITE DISCHARGE INFORMATION SYSTEM REPORT	2
2.5. HANFORD SITE NEAR-FACILITY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING ANNUAL REPORT	2
3.0 WSCF SERVICES AND DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES	3
3.1. SAMPLE AND ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS	3
3.2. COMPOSITE SAMPLE REQUIREMENTS	3
3.3. YEAR-END DATA REPORTING DUE DATES	3
3.4. LABORATORY PROCEDURES	4
3.5. QUALITY CONTROL	4
3.6. RETENTION OF AND ACCESS TO RAW DATA AND RESULTS	6
3.7. ANALYTICAL ERROR & MINIMUM DETECTABLE CONCENTRATION	6
3.8. ROUTINE ELECTRONIC TRANSFER OF ANALYTICAL DATA	6
3.9. ARCHIVING OF SAMPLES	6
3.10. ANALYSIS COSTS	7
4.0 REFERENCES	7
GLOSSARY	15
APPENDIX	APP-1

LIST OF TABLES

1	Ambient and Effluent Air Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF.....	9
2	Groundwater Monitoring and Liquid Effluent Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF.....	10
3	Pond Vegetation and Sediment Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF	11
4	Nonroutine Environmental Sample Analysis Criteria for WESF	12
5	Matrix for Charging Sample Analysis Costs	13
6	Additional Services Factored into WSCF's Sample Analysis Prices for EEM Program.....	14
A1	Air Samples Receiving "Continuous" Routine Analyses by WSCF during CY 1998.....	APP-2
A2	Archived Samples Requiring a Total Alpha/Beta Analysis by WSCF during CY 1998.....	APP-5
A3	Liquid Effluent Samples Receiving Routine Analyses by WSCF During CY 1998	APP-6
A4	Near-Facility Monitoring CY 1998 Sample Analyses per Year.....	APP-7

**STATEMENT OF WORK FOR SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE
WASTE SAMPLING AND CHARACTERIZATION FACILITY
FOR THE EFFLUENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
PROGRAM DURING CALENDAR YEAR 1998**

1.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

This document defines the services the Waste Sampling & Characterization Facility (WSCF) shall provide the Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program (EEM) throughout the calendar year for analysis. The purpose of the EEM Program is to monitor liquid and gaseous effluents, and the environment immediately around the facilities which may contain radioactive and hazardous materials. Monitoring data are collected, evaluated, and reported to determine their degree of compliance with applicable federal and state regulations and permits.

The Appendix identifies the samples EEM plans to submit for analysis in CY-1998. Analysis of effluent (liquid and air discharges) and environmental (air, liquid, animal, and vegetative) samples is required using standard laboratory procedures, in accordance with regulatory and control requirements cited in *NESHAP Quality Assurance Project Plan for Radioactive Airborne Emissions* (WMH 1998), *Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan* (WMNW 1997), and *Hanford Analytical Services Quality Assurance Requirements Documents* (DOE 1996). Should changes to this document be necessary, WSCF or the Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. (WMH) Air & Water Services (AWS) Organization may amend it at any time with a jointly approved internal memo.

2.0 REGULATORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

It is essential for the WSCF to meet the due dates specified. The analytical data required by those due dates are necessary to maintain compliance with environmental release reporting commitments mandated by regulatory agencies and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Variances to technical criteria or due dates in this document must be documented by the WSCF and approved or acknowledged by AWS.

2.1. RADIONUCLIDE AIR EMISSIONS REPORT FOR THE CLEAN AIR ACT

This report documents radionuclide air emissions from the Hanford Site and the resulting effective dose equivalent to any member of the public from those emissions. This report complies with the reporting requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, "Protection of the Environment," Part 61, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other

Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities" (40 CFR 61 Subpart H) and Chapter 246-247 of the Washington Administrative Code (WAC 246-247).

2.2. ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES REPORT

The purpose of this report is to fulfill the effluent discharge reporting requirements of DOE Order 5400.1 and to summarize the compliance status of effluent releases from PHMC and ERC facilities.

2.3. HANFORD SITE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory annually compiles and publishes this report for DOE, in compliance with DOE Order 5400.1. AWS and the Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations (WMNW) Environmental Monitoring & Investigations Organization (EMI) provide the effluent and near-facility monitoring sections of this report.

2.4. EFFLUENT INFORMATION SYSTEM-ONSITE DISCHARGE INFORMATION SYSTEM REPORT

Each year AWS compiles and transmits this report electronically, for all Hanford contractors, to Idaho National Engineering Laboratory in Idaho Falls for inclusion in the Effluent Information System/Onsite Discharge Information System (EIS/ODIS) database, in compliance with DOE Order 5484.1.

2.5. HANFORD SITE NEAR-FACILITY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING ANNUAL REPORT

EMI publishes this report annually, for the EEM program. The report provides a yearly summary of sampling and analysis of soil, vegetation, ambient air, etc. near Hanford facilities. This monitoring is performed in accordance with 40 CFR 61 Subpart H, WAC 246-247, DOE Order 5400.1, and DOE Order 5820.2A requirements.

3.0 WSCF SERVICES AND DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

WSCF shall provide the following analytical services when analyzing low-level effluent and environmental samples from EEM.

3.1. SAMPLE AND ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS

All services necessary shall be provided to complete the analytical requirements listed in Tables 1 through 4. Analyses shall be performed in accordance with applicable requirements and procedures contained in the references listed in section 1.0 above. Analytical results shall be expressed in the units shown for the respective minimum detectable concentrations (MDC) in the tables.

3.2. COMPOSITE SAMPLE REQUIREMENTS

Effluent air emission composite samples shall be composited quarterly or monthly and the first three quarters shall be reported electronically to AWS by the dates listed below:

- First quarter air emission composite results..... **June 30, 1998**
- Second quarter air emission composite results **September 30, 1998**
- Third quarter air emission composite results **December 31, 1998**

All results shall be in units of concentration.

Ambient air composite samples shall be composited bi-annually and reported electronically to EMI within 90 days of receiving all samples and data for compositing. All results shall be in units of concentration, unless otherwise specified.

3.3. YEAR-END DATA REPORTING DUE DATES

The due dates for submission of year-end analysis results to AWS for all samples collected up through December 31st are:

- Air emission data **February 15, 1999**

The due dates for submission of year-end analysis results to EMI for all samples collected up through December 31st are:

- Environmental data
(air, surface water, and pond vegetation and sediment).....**March 15, 1999**

All results shall be transmitted electronically and shall be in units of concentration, unless otherwise specified. The analysis results from any weekly, biweekly, or monthly sample collected on or after January 1st of the succeeding new year will be applied to that year.

3.4. LABORATORY PROCEDURES

Use laboratory analytical procedures that are:

- In compliance with EPA Method 114, Section 4.0, "Quality Assurance Methods," 40 CFR Part 61, Appendix B (specifically, 4.4 and 4.5), and applicable requirements and procedures contained in the references listed in Section 1.0 above.
- In compliance with Chapter 6.0 of the Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance document (DOE 1991).
- In compliance with the requirements specified in the Hanford Analytical Services Quality Assurance Requirements Documents (DOE 1996)
- Appropriate to the sample medium and size and the analysis requirements listed in Tables 1 through 4.

3.5. QUALITY CONTROL

WSCF shall assure the integrity and validity of analytical test results through implementation of an internal quality control program. Standard methods shall be used whenever possible and methods that are developed or adapted shall be tested and completely documented.

Calibration and quality controls methods shall be performed using methods consistent with the Hanford Analytical Services Quality Assurance Requirements Documents (DOE 1996). WSCF shall provide data biannually to AWS, which demonstrates that the data quality objectives for accuracy, precision, and completeness have been met. Audit able quality control (QC) test results shall be provided within two weeks of requesting specific records, without any additional charges. As a special request, audit able quality control (QC) test results can be provided in less than two weeks (e.g. within 24 hours, etc...), upon receiving a request for specific records. Special requests may require additional resources and funding, which is the responsibility of the requestor to provide.

WSCF shall participate in the U.S. DOE Environmental Measurements Laboratory (EML) inter-comparison program, as required per DOE/EH-0173T (DOE 1991). WSCF shall participate in all U.S. EPA laboratory inter-comparison programs, applicable to the analyses being performed. EML and EPA laboratory inter-comparison results shall be provided annually.

3.5.1. Water, Vegetation, and Soil Sample Analyses

The QC tests shall be for accuracy, precision, and completeness. The results of all QC tests shall be documented. Analytical problems identified through analysis of QC samples shall be promptly corrected. WSCF shall produce a minimum of 90% usable and valid analytical data, for all EEM-related samples received. WSCF shall provide data to AWS, which demonstrates that the accuracy, precision, and completeness objectives have been met, biannually.

WSCF shall prepare and analyze laboratory control standards (LCSs), blank and duplicate samples to verify the accuracy and precision of all radiochemical methods. The total number of all QC samples shall be no less than 10% of all ordered sample analyses. The LCSs shall be included with each batch of samples processed and have, insofar as possible, a matrix, volume, mass, and other relevant characteristics of the actual samples being analyzed. The LCSs shall have range of activity from five (5) times to no greater than twenty (20) times the MDC values in Tables 1 through 4.

Method accuracy requirements shall be met when 95% of the LCS results, fall within $\pm 25\%$. Precision requirements shall be met when duplicate results, for samples ≥ 5 times the MDC values in Tables 1 through 4 or when the analytical uncertainty is $\leq 20\%$, fall within $\pm 30\%$ relative percent difference.

3.5.2. Air Sample Analyses

The QC tests shall be for accuracy, precision, and completeness. The results of all QC tests shall be documented. Analytical problems identified through analysis of QC samples shall be promptly corrected. WSCF shall produce a minimum of 90% usable analytical data, for all EEM-related samples received. WSCF shall provide data to AWS, which demonstrates that the accuracy, precision, and completeness objectives have been met, biannually.

Accuracy requirements shall be met when 95% of the results from counting instrument performance check standards, fall within $\pm 25\%$. Precision of air sample data shall be evaluated by recounting a portion of the samples analyzed, since the creation of duplicate samples is not feasible for air samples. AWS will identify the samples to be used for precision analysis on a quarterly basis. A minimum of 10% of the samples analyzed, in which the sample results will be used for calculating effluent emissions, will be recounted to determine precision, approximately 70 samples. Precision requirements shall be met when samples, with activities ≥ 5 times the

MDC values in Tables 1 through 4 or when the analytical uncertainty is $\leq 20\%$, fall within $\pm 30\%$ relative percent difference.

The requirements of Section 3.5.1 apply to air sample analyses which involve radiochemical separations. The data quality objectives, for air samples requiring radiochemical separations, shall be met when the objectives in specified in Section 3.5.1 are met.

3.6. RETENTION OF AND ACCESS TO RAW DATA AND RESULTS

All raw data and analytical results shall be retained as quality assurance documents for a minimum period of 5 years, as specified by 40 CFR 61 Subpart H.

As needed, EEM shall have access to all available raw data and results related to EEM samples. WSCF shall provide analysis printouts upon request (e.g. GEA list of identified peaks).

3.7. ANALYTICAL ERROR & MINIMUM DETECTABLE CONCENTRATION

WSCF shall provide the overall analytical error associated with each analytical result. Total analytical error shall be calculated at the 95% confidence interval.

WSCF shall ensure that the sample's MDC does not exceed the values specified in Tables 1 through 4, when the nominal sample volume is provided. WSCF will not be accountable for maintaining the MDC limits when samples less than the nominal sample volume are provided. When sample sizes are less than or greater than the nominal volumes specified in Tables 1 through 4, EEM may adjust the MDCs for samples by multiplying the specified MDC by the ratio of the actual sample size and the nominal sample size.

3.8. ROUTINE ELECTRONIC TRANSFER OF ANALYTICAL DATA

WSCF shall routinely and electronically transmit all analytical results to AWS and EMI, via the ABCASH database. WSCF shall electronically transmit all calendar-year 1998 data to AWS by **February 22, 1999** and to EMI by **March 15, 1999**.

3.9. ARCHIVING OF SAMPLES

Ambient air monitoring samples shall be archived upon the completion of the total α and β analysis. Archived ambient air samples will be composited and analyzed bi-annually by

WSCF. These samples can be identified as the samples with the Nxxx series Electronic Data Processing (EDP) codes.

Effluent air samples with EDP Codes listed in the Table A2 of the Appendix, shall be archived prior to any analyses being performed. Upon receipt, WSCF will sort effluent samples into samples to be analyzed and samples to be archived, regardless of any labeling on the samples. WSCF shall enter the sample collection data into ABCASH, when samples with EDP codes listed in Tables A1 and A2 have not been entered into ABCASH by the facility. After each quarter, AWS will provide specific analysis instructions to WSCF regarding the archived samples. All archived effluent air samples, from CY-1998, may be disposed of after **July 15, 1999**.

Backup record and effluent Continuous Air Monitor (CAM) air samples shall not be analyzed but shall be archived until **July 15, 1999**. These samples can be identified as the samples received in white envelopes stamped "Archive Only", with EDP codes that are not listed in the Appendix.

3.10. ANALYSIS COSTS

The sample analysis costs provided in Tables 1 through 4. These prices contain additional fees associated with services specific to the EEM Program. Table 6 contains a detailed list of the additional services factored into unit prices. The analysis costs in Tables 1 through 4 are estimated and may fluctuate due to changes in prices and workscope. Adjustments made to sample analysis costs shall have the concurrence of EEM. Table 5 provides a matrix to assist in charging analysis costs to the appropriate cost account.

4.0 REFERENCES

- 40 CFR 61 Subpart H, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Title 40, "Protection of the Environment," Part 61, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Subpart H, "National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities", as amended, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- 40 CFR 61 Appendix B, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Title 40, "Protection of the Environment," Part 61, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Methods," as amended, Appendix B, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- ASTM, 1991, *Establishing a Measurement System Quality Control Program for Analytical Chemistry Laboratories Within the Nuclear Industry*, ASTM C 1210, American Society for Testing and Materials.
- DOE, 1988a, *General Environmental Protection Program*, DOE Order 5400.1, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1988b, *Radioactive Waste Management*, DOE Order 5820.2A, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1983, *Environmental Protection, Safety and Health Protection Information Reporting Requirements*, DOE Order 5484.1, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C.
- DOE, 1991, *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance*, DOE/EH-0173T, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C.
- DOE, 1996, *Hanford Analytical Services Quality Assurance Requirements Documents*, DOE/RL-96-68, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.
- HPS, 1996, *Performance Criteria for Radiobioassay*, HPS N13.30, an American National Standard, Health Physics Society, McLean, Virginia.
- WAC 246-247, *Washington Administrative Code Chapter 246-247*, "Radiation Protection - Air Emissions," as amended, Washington Department of Health, Olympia, Washington.
- WHC-CM-7-5, *Environmental Compliance*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1994, *Quality Assurance Project Plan for Facility Effluent Monitoring Plan Activities*, WHC-EP-0446 Revision 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WMH, 1998, *NESHAP Quality Assurance Project Plan for Radioactive Airborne Emissions*, HNF-0528 Revision 3, Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
- WMNW, 1997, *Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan*, HNF-EP-0538 Revision 3, Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations, Richland, Washington.

Table 1

Ambient and Effluent Air Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF.				
Nominal Volume of Air Sampled	Type of Analysis	MDC ^a ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) ^b	TT (days)	Unit Price ^c (\$)
5.66 E+08 mL (20,000 ft ³)	Total α/β	2.0 E-15 (α) and 1.9 E-14 (β)	14	141.00
	Ag Zeolite	3.4 E-13 (¹⁰⁶ Ru)	30	247.00
1.47 E+09 mL (51,900 ft ³)	⁹⁰ Sr	1.9 E-14	90	282.00
	GEA ^d	1.9 E-14 (¹³⁷ Cs)	90	176.00
	Isotopic U (²³⁴ U, ²³⁵ U, ²³⁸ U)	7.1 E-15	90	353.00
	Isotopic Pu (²³⁸ Pu, ^{239/240} Pu)	2.0 E-15	90	388.00
	²⁴¹ Pu	1.0 E-13	90	400.00
	²⁴¹ Am	1.9 E-15	90	353.00
7.42 E+09 mL (262,000 ft ³)	Total α/β Composite Analysis	2.0 E-15 (α) and 1.9 E-14 (β)	90	141.00
6.23 E+05 mL (22 ft ³)	Tritium	1.5 E-09	90	141.00

Notes:

MDC = Minimum Detectable Concentration; TT= Turnaround Time, starting from the receipt of all samples to be analyzed and all relevant sampling data, and ending when the results, in units of concentration, are received electronically.

- a MDCs shall be as low as reasonably attainable, but shall not exceed the values specified in the table. MDC values obtained from 40 CFR 61 Appendix E Table II.
- b Unless other units of measure are indicated.
- c These prices contain additional fees associated with services specific to the EEM Program. Table 6 contains a detailed list of the additional services factored into unit prices.
- d All positive GEA results shall be reported, with the exception of the short-lived ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny.

Table 2

Groundwater Monitoring and Liquid Effluent Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF ^a .				
Sample Size	Type of Analysis	MDC ^b ($\mu\text{Ci/mL}$) ^c	TT (days)	Unit Price ^d (\$)
1.0 L	Total α/β	1.2 E-09 (α) and 4.0 E-08 (β)	45	141.00
	^3H	8.0 E-05	45	141.00
	^{90}Sr	4.0 E-08	45	240.00
	GEA ^e	1.2 E-07 (^{137}Cs)	45	176.00
	Isotopic U (^{234}U , ^{235}U , ^{238}U)	2.0 E-08	45	282.00
	Isotopic Pu (^{238}Pu , $^{239/240}\text{Pu}$)	1.2 E-09	45	296.00
	^{241}Am	1.2 E-09	45	282.00
	pH	NA	60	25.00
	NO_3	1.0 E-06 g/mL	60	212.00

Notes:

MDC = Minimum Detectable Concentration; TT = Turnaround Time, starting from the receipt of all samples to be analyzed and all relevant sampling data, and ending when the results, in units of concentration, are received electronically.

- Liquid effluent samples shall be analyzed unfiltered, where as ground water samples shall be filtered and only the filtrate analyzed. The EDP codes for the liquid effluent samples are identified in memo 88420-94-130's Attachment.
- MDC's shall be as low as reasonably attainable, but shall not exceed the values specified in the table. MDC values obtained from 4% of the Derived Concentration Guidelines (DCG).
- Unless other units of measure are indicated.
- These prices contain additional fees associated with services specific to the EEM Program. Table 6 contains a detailed list of the additional services factored into unit prices.
- All positive GEA results shall be reported, with the exception of the short-lived ^{222}Rn and ^{220}Rn progeny.

Table 3

Pond Vegetation and Sediment Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF.				
Sample size (dry weight) (g)	Type of analysis	MDC ^a (pCi/g)	TT (days)	Unit price ^b (\$)
500	⁹⁰ Sr	10.00	90	318.00
500	GEA ^c	0.20 (¹³⁷ Cs)	90	205.00
500	Isotopic U (²³⁴ U, ²³⁵ U, ²³⁸ U)	0.04	90	388.00
500	Isotopic Pu (²³⁸ Pu, ^{239/240} Pu)	1.00	90	388.00

Notes:

MDC = Minimum Detectable Concentration; TT = Turnaround Time, starting from the receipt of all samples to be analyzed and all relevant sampling data, and ending when the results, in units of concentration, are received electronically.

- a MDC's shall be as low as reasonably attainable, but shall not exceed the values specified in the table.
- b These prices contain additional fees associated with services specific to the EEM Program. Table 6 contains a detailed list of the additional services factored into unit prices.
- c All positive GEA results shall be reported, with the exception of the short-lived ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny.

Table 4

Nonroutine Environmental Samples, Sample Analysis Criteria for WSCF ^a .				
Sample Size	Type of Analysis	MDC ^b	TT (days)	Unit Price ^c (\$)
TBD	⁹⁰ Sr	TBD	90	TBD
	GEA ^d	TBD	90	TBD
	Isotopic U (²³⁴ U, ²³⁵ U, ²³⁸ U)	TBD	90	TBD
	Isotopic Pu (²³⁸ Pu, ^{239/240} Pu)	TBD	90	TBD

Notes:

MDC = Minimum Detectable Concentration; TT = Turnaround Time, starting from the receipt of all samples to be analyzed and all relevant sampling data, and ending when the results, in units of concentration, are received electronically; TBD = To Be Determined.

- Occasional nonroutine air, liquid, vegetation, and sediment samples may need analysis. WSCF can not accept the following types of nonroutine samples: animals, feces, nests, or samples with an exposure rate > 1mR/h, and/or samples containing > 10 nCi of any alpha emitter.
- MDC values and units for nonroutine samples shall be dependent on sample type and commensurate with the values listed in Tables 1 through 3. MDC's shall be as low as reasonably attainable, but shall not exceed the values specified in the Tables 1 through 3.
- Cost for nonroutine samples shall be dependent on sample type and commensurate with the values listed in Tables 1 through 3.
- All positive GEA results shall be reported, with the exception of the short-lived ²²²Rn and ²²⁰Rn progeny.

Table 5

Matrix for Charging Sample Analysis Costs.	
Effluent Monitoring Samples	
Sample Category	Account Number
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of 200 Areas' Effluent Air Samples	100019
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of 100-N Area's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: Y211 and Y265)	Work Order ^a
Total α/β Analysis of the Radiological Counting Facility's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: Y215 and Y216)	Work Order ^a
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of the 340 Facility's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: F002, F008, and F009)	101734
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of the 324 Building's Air Samples (Air EDP Code: F025)	101021
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of the 327 Building's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: F026 and F027)	101161
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of the FFTF Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: F011, F012, F013, F014, F019 and F024)	101572
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of the 100-K Area's Air and Liquid Samples (Air EDP Codes: Y130, Y234 - Y236, Y243, Y245, Y246, and Y248)	105381
Near-Facility Monitoring (NFM) Samples	
Sample Category	Account Number
Total α/β Analysis of NFM's Air Samples	100019
Isotopic Analysis of 100-K Area's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: N401 - N404)	100019
Total α/β and Isotopic Analysis of 100-N Area's Air, Soil, Vegetation, and Water Samples (Air EDP Codes: N101 - N105) (Soil EDP Codes: Y603, Y605, and Y608) (Veg. EDP Codes: Y703, Y705, Y708, Y718, Y719, and Y724) (Water EDP Codes: Y301-Y313)	Work Order ^a
Isotopic Analysis of 100-B/C Areas' Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: N464-N466)	Work Order ^a
Isotopic Analysis of 100-D/DR Areas' Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: N467-N470)	Work Order ^a
Isotopic Analysis of ERDF's Air and Soil Samples (Air EDP Codes: N482-N484) (Soil EDP Code: D146)	Work Order ^a
Isotopic Analysis of 300-FF-01's Air Samples (Air EDP Codes: N485-N487)	Work Order ^a
Isotopic Analysis of 200 and 300 Areas' Air Samples	100019
Analysis of all Special Samples, Aquatic Vegetation, and Aquatic Sediment Samples	100019

Note:

a Work order numbers will not be included in this document, but will be provided to WSCF.

Table 6

Additional Services Factored into WSCF's Sample Analysis Prices for EEM Program.	
Sample Category	Additional Services Provided
Air Samples	Entering sample collection data into ABCASH, for facilities not equipped with bar coding equipment.
	Downloading all air sample results into ABCASH.
	Disposal of samples and wastes from chemical processing.
	Archiving NFM samples and effluent samples from major emission units, which receive composite analyses.
	Archiving samples from minor emission units, which receive quarterly analysis.
	Archiving backup effluent samples and effluent samples from minor emission units, which do not get analyzed.
	Notifying EEM POCs about zero ABCASH results.
	Sorting effluent samples into two groups: 1) samples to be analyzed and 2) samples to be archived.
	Assembly and preparation of samples for composite analysis.
	Preparation and analysis of QC samples (e.g. control standards, blanks, duplicates, matrix spikes, etc...).
Liquid Samples	Archiving samples until composite analysis is performed.
	Archiving unused samples until EEM reports are issued.
	Assembly and preparation of samples for composite analysis. Includes verifying receipt of all samples for composite, calculating effluent stream volumes and determining aliquot sizes for composite.
	Disposal of samples and wastes from chemical processing.
	Preparation and analysis of QC samples (e.g. control standards, blanks, duplicates, matrix spikes, etc...).
Miscellaneous	Supporting audits of the EEM Program.
	Participate in the prescribed laboratory intercomparison programs.
	Provide laboratory intercomparison results to EEM.
	Perform rush and emergency sample analyses.

GLOSSARY

ACRONYMS

AWS	WMH Air & Water Services Organization
CAM	Continuous Air Monitor
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-RL	U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations
EDP Code	Electronic Data Processing Code
EIS-ODIS	Effluent Information System-Onsite Discharge Information System
EEM	Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program
EMI	WMNW Environmental Monitoring & Investigations Organization
EML	Environmental Measurements Laboratory
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
GEA	Gamma Energy Analysis
LCS	Laboratory Control Standards
MDC	Minimum Detectable Concentration
NFM	Near-Facility Monitoring
PHMC	Project Hanford Management Contractor
TT	Turnaround Time
TBD	To Be Determined
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WMH	Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc.
WMNW	Waste Management Federal Services Northwest, Inc., Northwest Operations
WSCF	Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Accuracy. The degree of agreement of a measurement with a true or known value.

Completeness. A measure of the amount of valid and usable data obtained from a measurement system compared to the amount that was expected to be obtained under correct normal conditions.

Precision. A measure of mutual agreement among individual measurements of the same property under similar conditions. Precision is best expressed in terms of standard deviation.

Turnaround time. Elapsed time, in days, starting from the receipt of all samples to be analyzed and all relevant sampling data, and ending when the results, in units of concentration, are received electronically.

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX

**Analytical Requirements
for
EEM Sampling During CY 1998**

Table A1

(3 sheets)

**Air Samples Receiving "Continuous" Routine Analyses
by WSCF during CY 1998**

Facility	Stack ID number	EDP code	Sampling frequency ^a	Compositing frequency ^b	Analyses							Ag zeolite ^c
					Total alpha/beta	GEA ^c	Sr-90	Isotopic Pu ^d	Pu-241	Am-241	Tritium	
100-N	116-N	Y211	BW	M	9	7	7	7			7	
	107-N	Y265	V	I	3							
	RCF-1-EX	Y215	Q	I	4							
	RCF-2-EX	Y216	Q	I	4							
100-K	105-KE	Y245	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Y246	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Y248	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Y234	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	105-KW	Y235	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Y236	W	M	22	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Y243	Q	I	2							
		Y243	Q	I	2							
PUREX	291-A-1	A552	M	Q	12	4	4	4	4	4		
		A007	M	I								
B-Plant	291-B-1	B691	BW	Q	19	3	3	3		3		
	296-B-1	B001	BW	Q	14	2	2	2		2		
WESF	296-B-10	B748	BW	Q	26	4	4	4				
		E059	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
East Tank Farm	296-A-17	E026 & E027	V	I								
	296-A-25	E080	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
	296-A-27	E270	BW	I	26							
	296-A-29	E201	BW	I	26							
	296-A-40	E013	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
		E028 & E029	V	I								
	296-A-42	E147	BW	Q	13	2	2	2		2		
		E152	V	I								
	296-C-5	E069	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
	296-C-6	E083	BW	Q	1	1	1	1		1		
	296-F-16	E084	V	I								
	296-F-26	E068	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
		E039	BW	A	1	1	1	1		1		
	296-F-32	E040 & E041	V	I								
		E401	V	A	26	1	1	1		1		

Table A1

(3 sheets)

**Air Samples Receiving "Continuous" Routine Analyses
by WSCF during CY 1998**

Facility	Stack ID number	EDP code	Sampling frequency ^a	Compositing frequency ^b	Analyses							
					Total alpha/beta	GEA ^c	Sr-90	Isotopic Pu ^d	Pu-241	Am-241	Tritium	Ag zeolite ^e
TWRS Char. Project	296-P-33	E307	V	A	10	1	1	1		1		
	296-P-34	E308	V	A	10	1	1	1		1		
242-A Evaporator	296-A-22	E643	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
		E001 & E002	V	I								4
T-Plant	291-T-1	T785	BW	I	26							
TRUSAF	296-T-11	T783	BW	I	4							
	296-T-12	T784	BW	I	4							
S-Plant & U-Plant	291-S-1	S006	Q	I	4							
	296-S-2	S032	Q	I	4							
	291-U-1	U771	Q	I	4							
West Tank Farms	296-B-28	E886	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
	296-P-23	W190	BW	I	26							
	296-P-28	W195	V	I	1							
	296-S-15	W111	BW	I	26							
	296-S-22	W880	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
	296-S-25	W145	BW	I	1							
	296-T-18	W882	BW	Q	26	4	4	4		4		
	296-U-11	W884	BW	Q	1	1	1	1		1		
WRAP	296-W-4	W123	BW	Q	24	4	4	4	4	4		
PPF	291-Z-1	Z810	BW	Q	26			4	4	4		
	296-Z-3	Z813	BW	Q	26			4	4	4		
340 Facility	340-NT-EX	F002	BW	Q	20	3		3		3		
	340-B-BLD	F008	Q	I								
	340-DECON	F009	Q	I								
324 Building	EP-324-01-S	F025	BW	Q	7	1	1	1		1		
327 Building	EP-327-01-S	F026	BW	Q	7	1	1	1		1		
	EP-327-02-V	F027	BW	Q	7	1	1	1		1		

Table A1

(3 sheets)

Air Samples Receiving "Continuous" Routine Analyses by WSCF during CY 1998												
Facility	Stack ID number	EDP code	Sampling frequency ^a	Compositing frequency ^b	Analyses							
					Total alpha/beta	GEA ^c	Sr-90	Isotopic Pu ^d	Pu-241	Am-241	Tritium	Ag zeolite ^e
FFTF	FFTF-CB-EX	F011	V	I	4							
		F024	V	I							4	
	FFTF-RE-SB	F012	V	I	4							
		FFTF-HT-TR	F013	V	I	4						
	437-MN&ST	F014	V	I	4							
		437-I-61	F019	V	I	4						
Total Analyses					810	104	101	104	46	104	4	28

Notes:

- a Sampling frequencies are: W - weekly, BW - biweekly (every other week), M - monthly, A - annually, V - variable (dependent on activities).
b Compositing frequencies are: M - monthly, Q - quarterly, A - annually, I - individual sample analysis (no composite sample).
c Minimum GEA analysis shall include Co-60, Ru-106, Sn-113, Sb-125, Cs-134, Cs-137, Eu-152, Eu-154, and Eu-155. All positive GEA results shall be reported.
d Minimum Isotopic Pu analysis shall include Pu-238 and Pu-239/240.
e Minimum Ag Zeolite analysis shall include Ru-106, Sn-113, Sb-125, and I-129.

Table A2

Archived Samples Requiring Total Alpha/Beta Analyses by WSCF during CY 1998 ^a					
Facility	Stack ID Number	EDP Codes	Sampling Frequency	Samples Archived	Total Analyses
PUREX	296-A-10	A550	V	1	1
B-Plant	296-B-22 296-B-23 296-B-24 296-B-25 296-B-26 296-B-27	B658 B826 B827 B828 B829 B831	V	24	24
East Tank Farms	296-A-18	E060	BW	26	4
	296-A-19	E061	BW	26	4
	296-A-20	E197	BW	26	4
	296-A-26	E297	BW	26	4
	296-A-28	E272	BW	26	4
	296-A-30	E903	BW	26	4
	296-A-41	E015	BW	26	4
	296-A-43	E148	BW	26	4
	296-C-7	E044	BW	26	4
	296-P-31	E209	BW	26	4
	296-P-41	E037	BW	26	4
242-A	296-A-21	E645	BW	26	4
ETF	296-E-1	E036	BW	26	4
222-S Lab	296-S-16	S264	BW	26	4
	296-S-21	S289	BW	26	4
S-Plant	296-S-7E	S015	BW	4	1
	296-S-7W	S016	W	52	4
T-Plant	296-T-7	T154	V	12	4
	296-T-13	T786	V	1	1
West Tank Farms	296-P-22	W191	BW	26	4
	296-S-18	W096	BW	26	4
	296-T-17	W117	BW	26	4
	296-W-3	W003	BW	26	4
WSCF	696-W-1	W010	Q	4	4
	696-W-2	W011	Q	4	4
Plutonium Finishing Plant	296-Z-5	Z013	BW	26	4
	296-Z-6	Z802	BW	26	4
	296-Z-10	Z370	BW	26	4
	296-Z-14	Z814	BW	26	4
	296-Z-15	Z915	A	1	1
Totals				701	136

Notes:

- a These record samples will be archived upon receipt, prior to analysis. Air & Water Services will provide instructions regarding which samples to analyze or to composite and analyze, after each quarter.
- b Sampling frequencies are: W – weekly, BW – biweekly (every other week), M – monthly, A – annually, V – variable (dependent on activities).

Table A3

Liquid Effluent Samples Receiving Routine Analyses by WSCF during CY 1998								
Facility	Stream Code	EDP Codes	Composite Frequency ^a	Analyses				
				Total alpha/beta	GEA ^b	Sr-90	Isotopic Pu ^c	Am-241
100-K	1908-K	Y130	Monthly	12	12	12	12	12

Notes:

- a Composite sample shall consist of one or more grab samples.
- b Minimum GEA analysis will be for Co-60, Ru-106, Sn-113, Sb-125, Cs-134, Cs-137, Eu-152, Eu-154, and Eu-155. All positive GEA results shall be reported also.
- c Minimum Isotopic Pu analysis shall include Pu-238 and Pu-239/240.

Table A4

Near-Facility Monitoring^a
CY-1998 Sample Analyses per Year

Sample Media	Area	Analysis									
		Total alpha/beta	GEA ^b	Sr-90	Isotopic Pu ^c	Pu-241	Am-241	Isotopic U ^d	Tritium	pH	Nitrate
Air	100-B/C	78	6	6	6			6			
	100-D/DR	104	9	9	9			9			
	100-F		2	2	2			2			
	100-K	104	8	8	8	8		8			
	100-N	104	8	8	8			8			
	200	884	70	70	70		20	70			
	ERDF	78	6	6	6			6			
	300	26	2	2	2			2			
	300-FF-01	78	6					6			
Sample Totals		1456	117	111	111	8	20	117	0	0	0
Water	100		10	10					10		
	200	36	36	36	36			36	12	162	12
	300										
	400										
Sample Totals		36	46	46	36	0	0	36	22	162	12
Vegetation	100		10	10	10			10			
	200		56	56	56			56			
	300		16	16	16			16			
	400		1	1	1			1			
Sample Totals		0	83	83	83	0	0	83	0	0	0
Soil	100		8	8	8			8			
	200		56	56	56			56			
	300		16	16	16			16			
	400		1	1	1			1			
Sample Totals		0	81	81	81	0	0	81	0	0	0
Estimated Nonroutine Samples	Air		25	25	25		25	25			
	Soil		25	25	25						
	Vegetation		25	25	25			25			
Sample Totals		0	75	75	75	0	25	50	0	0	0

Notes:

- a All routine Near-Facility Monitoring samples are identified by the Nxxx series or H001 through H100 EDP codes. Special samples are identified as "N" monitoring samples on the chain-of-custody form. Specific EDP codes are not provided in this table since, no other samples share these EDP codes.
- B Minimum GEA analysis results will be reported for Co-60, Ru-106, Sn-113, Sb-125, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ce-144, Eu-152, Eu-154, Eu-155, and any other radionuclides having positive peaks identified in the gamma spectrum.
- C Isotopic plutonium analysis will include Pu-238, and Pu-239/240 results.
- D Isotopic uranium analysis will include U-238, U-234, and U-235 results.

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTIONNumber of copiesONSITE

5	<u>U.S. Department of Energy- Richland Operations Office</u>	
	C. R. Briggs	A5-55
	S. E. Clarke	A5-15
	J. B. Hall	A5-15
	D. C. Ward	A5-15
	Public Reading Room	H2-53
5	<u>Bechtel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	R. J. Landon	H0-02
	D. W. Long	T7-05
	J. E. Rugg	S3-21
	J. G. Woolard	H0-17
	J. P. Zoric	X5-53
4	<u>B&W Hanford Company</u>	
	N. R. Dahl	N2-57
	T. A. Dillhoff	N2-57
	D. L. Johnson	L1-05
	D. E. Rasmussen	L1-04
2	<u>DE&S Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	R. G. Gant	X3-79
	D. J. Watson	X3-79
2	<u>Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	S. M. Price	H6-23
	D. G. Ranade	H6-23
1	<u>Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.</u>	
	J. N. Diven	H8-41

DISTRIBUTION (continued)

1	<u>SGN Eurisys Services Corporation</u>	
	J. D. Criddle	S7-12
36	<u>Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	S. N. Bakhtiar	S3-28
	A. K. Dasgupta (5)	S3-28
	L. P. Diediker (20)	H6-36
	E. M. Greager	H6-36
	B. P. Gleckler	H6-36
	L. D. Kamberg	H6-25
	R. E. Johnson	H6-25
	H. K. Meznarich	S3-31
	J. K. Perry	H6-25
	K. L. Powell	S3-30
	J. L. Spahr	S3-31
	R. A. Spohr	T3-02
	W. R. Thackaberry	T4-52
5	<u>Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations</u>	
	J. J. Dorian	H1-13
	A. R. Johnson	H1-13
	B. M. Markes	H1-13
	S. M. McKinney	H1-12
	C. J. Perkins	H1-12
1	Central Files	A3-88
1	DPC	A3-94