Title:

ELECTRON CLEARING IN THE LOS ALAMOS PROTON
STORAGE RING

Author(s):

Michael A. Plum
John Allen
Michael J. Borden
Daniel H. Fitzgerald
Robert J. Macek
T.S. Wang

Submitted to:

1995 Particle Accelerator Conference

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED **MASTER**

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ELECTRON CLEARING IN THE LOS ALAMOS PROTON STORAGE RING

M. PLUM, J. ALLEN, M. BORDEN, D. FITZGERALD, R. MACEK, T.S. WANG

Accelerator Operations and Technology Division
Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545

The instability observed in the Los Alamos Proton Storage Ring (PSR) has been tentatively identified as an electron-proton instability. A source of electrons must exist for this instability to occur. The PSR injection section contains the stripper foil, and therefore provides several strong sources of electrons. We have installed an electron clearing system in the injection section to clear out these electrons. The system comprises: 1) a foil biasing system to clear the SEM and thermionic electrons, 2) a pair of low-field bending magnets with a Faraday cup to clear the convoy electrons, and 3) two pairs of clearing electrodes, one upstream and one downstream of the stripper foil, to clear the remaining electrons. In this paper we will discuss the design and performance of the Electron Clearing System, and its effect on the instability. We will also present some results from other charge-collection experiments that suggest there is also substantial electron production in parts of the ring other than the injection section.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electrons are an important issue for the PSR because we suspect our instability is an e-p instability. In this instability, background low-energy electrons are trapped in the space-charge potential of the proton beam. Above a certain threshold, the electrons and protons develop coupled oscillations that grow in time, eventually leading to beam loss. The biggest sources of electrons are probably in the injection section, which contains the 16-mm x 16-mm x 200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ carbon stripper foil. Because of this foil, we have [1] electrons due to secondary emission (SEM) from the stripper foil, "convoy" electrons stripped from the incoming H⁺ beam, thermionic electrons from energy deposition in the stripper foil, and knock-on electrons from interactions of the circulating and injected protons with the stripper foil. We also have residual-gas ionization and SEM from any beam-pipe surfaces the proton beam may interact with. The SEM and thermionic electrons have low energies (a few eV), the convoy electrons have kinetic energies of 430-keV, and the knock-on electrons have kinetic energies that range from a few eV to 2.4 MeV. For each H⁺ particle injected into the ring, we expect from the foil 1.0 convoy electrons, 3.6 SEM electrons, 1.2 knock-on electrons, and less than 0.002 thermionic electrons. We also expect about 0.0074 electron-ion pairs created over the length of the section from residual-gas ionization.

II. ELECTRON CLEARING SYSTEM

To control these electrons we built three subsystems, illustrated in Fig. 1: 1) clearing electrodes (two pair) for the ions and electrons from residual gas ionization, and the electrons from SEM off the beam-pipe walls caused by beam halo scraping; 2) a stripper-foil-biasing system to control the thermionic and SEM electrons from leaving the foil; and 3) a bending magnet and Faraday cup for the 430-keV convoy electrons. We will now briefly discuss each of these three subsystems.

III. CLEARING ELECTRODES

The purpose of the clearing electrodes is to clear the charged particles caused by residual-gas ionization and the SEM electrons caused by the beam halo scraping on the beam-pipe walls. These electrodes must create an electric field (E-field) strong enough to overcome the E-field due to the space charge of the beam. In high-intensity machines, these space-charge fields can be considerable. For the PSR the maximum space-charge electric field is about 250,000 V/m. We installed two pair of clearing electrodes, one upstream and one downstream of the stripper foil. Our aluminum electrodes are curved to match the cross section of the beam pipe, and each one covers 90 degrees of the circumference. Each electrode can be biased up to 30 kV. The electrodes upstream of the stripper foil are separated by 10 cm, and the electrodes downstream of the stripper foil are separated by 14 cm. These separations correspond to maximum E-fields of 600,000 V/m and 430,000 V/m, respectively.

IV. STRIPPER-FOIL BIASING

The purpose of the stripper-foil biasing subsystem is to control the SEM, thermionic, and low-energy knock-on electrons emitted from the foil. We cannot simply use clearing electrodes or clearing rings for this purpose, since the foil is suspended in the center of a metal frame, and the E-field created by the electrodes would be strong between the foil frame and the electrodes but weak at the center of the foil, where we need it the most. So we chose instead to bias the stripper foil. With our high beam intensities, the electric field at the center of the surface of the foil is about 1 MV/m [1], assuming a perfectly-conducting, full-aperture foil. We cannot bias it enough to prevent electrons from being pulled off the foil, but if we bias it below the depth of the beam's potential well (≈ 10 kV), we can create a potential well 1 to 2 cm away

from the foil to trap the electrons. Then, when the beam intensity drops at the end of the beam bunch the bias E-field will be strong enough to attract the electrons and reabsorb them. The electrons are therefore cleared after each revolution of the beam around the ring, or about every 360 ns. We can bias the foil up to about 11 kV, limited by the insulating properties of the foil-mounting system.

V. FARADAY CUP

The purpose of the faraday-cup subsystem is to collect the 430-keV convoy electrons. The energy of these electrons is too high to clear with electrodes, so we bend them 90° with a low-field bending magnet into a Faraday Cup. A second magnet identical to the first, but opposite in polarity, and located just downstream of the first, cancels the closed-orbit distortion and the effects of the non-linear magnetic fields. We also installed a screen at the entrance to the Faraday cup, flush with the inside of the beam pipe, to avoid any wake-field effects. We can bias this screen to reduce the SEM effects when the convoy electrons hit the Faraday cup, and also to attract any low-energy electrons and negative ions from within the beam pipe. We found that a Faraday cup bias of +200 V, a screen bias of -100 V, and a magnetic field of 420 Gauss, is sufficient to clear the convoy electrons.

VI. WHAT ABOUT THE DELTA RAYS?

The three subsystems discussed above deal effectively with all the electron sources but one — the delta-ray (or high-energy knock-on) electrons. These electron energies span the range from a few eV to 2.4 MeV. Most of the delta rays from the foil have low kinetic energy, and they will be trapped between the foil and the Faraday-cup magnets, and should eventually be cleared by the biased foil. The medium-energy delta rays will be deflected into the Faraday cup, and the few (about 3×10^{-5} per proton) high-energy delta rays that pass through the Faraday-cup magnets will be lost on the beam-pipe walls. After commissioning the electron-clearing system in 1993, we believe we can collect almost all the electrons created in the PSR injection section (Section 0).

VII. THE RESULTS

During machine development tests in 1993, we performed experiments with both bunched and coasting (dc) beams. Some examples of signals from the stripper foil are shown in Fig. 2. We found that the Electron Clearing System does a good job at effectively clearing the electrons. We found that we can raise the threshold of the coasting-beam instability by about 20%, and increase the growth time of the bunched-beam instability by almost an order of magnitude (but not alter its threshold). The instability was *not* cured. We suspect that

Fig. 2. Signals from the stripper foil, using capacitive coupling to the HV cable. The top signal is for 0 V bias, and the bottom signal is for 3 kV bias. Note that biasing the foil reduces the signal caused by electron emission from the foil. Beam parameters: Injection time = 500 μ s, storage time = 300 μ s.

although we were able to clear the electrons from Section 0, there are probably other strong sources of electrons in one or more other sections. To search for other sources, we have initiated a new set of experiments of identify and characterize them.

VIII. OTHER SOURCES OF ELECTRONS

In another section of the ring (Section 3) we have a pinger [2] with two 4-m long electrodes. By biasing the electrodes we can use the pinger as an ion chamber to collect charge from

Fig. 3. An example of the charge collected from Section 3 of the PSR. This data was taken during production conditions, with a maximum charge of about 3.75 μ C in the ring. The data remain flat above 200 V and below -200 V.

within the beam pipe. Poisoning the vacuum at low beam intensities gives the result expected for residual gas ionization, but at higher beam intensities we have observed unusual and unexplained results for bunched beams. An example [3] is shown in Fig. 3, where a small change in the electrode biasing makes a big change in the amount of charge collected. In another case, with the same setup, we collected charge equivalent to 25% of the total beam charge in the ring!

IX. SUMMARY

The Los Alamos Proton Storage Ring has an instability at high beam intensities that we have tentatively identified as an e-p instability. We built an Electron Clearing System to attempt to cure the instability. We found that although we effectively cleared the electrons from the most prolific section of the ring, we made only small improvements in the instability threshold. We have identified other, surprisingly strong, sources of electrons, and we are working to understand them.

- [1] M. Plum, "Electric Fields, Electron Production, and Electron Motion at the Stripper Foil in the Los Alamos Proton Storage Ring", 1995 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, these proceedings.
- [2] T.W. Hardek and H.A. Thiessen, "A Pinger System for the Los Alamos Proton Storage Ring", 1991 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, IEEE Conference Record 91CH3038-7, p. 866.
- [3] M. Plum et. al., "Pinger Ion Chamber Development", PSR Tech Note PSR-94-03, Los Alamos National Laboratory, June 22, 1994.