Crystallization of a member of the recFOR DNA repair pathway, RecO, with and without bound oligonucleotide
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1. Introduction

Maintenance of genomic integrity is extremely important for all organisms. Thus, all cells are equipped with DNA-repair mechanisms for different types of DNA damage. UV irradiation produces pyrimidine dimers which inhibit DNA polymerases and hence DNA replication. Escherichia coli has various ways to deal with dimers (Sancar & Sancar, 1988). They can be directly reversed by photo-reactivation catalyzed by photolyase or they can be removed by UvrABC-catalyzed excision repair. The effect of the remaining dimers can be overcome during DNA replication using two recombinational DNA-repair mechanisms (Kowalczykowski et al., 1994; Cox, 1998). The first is the RecBCD-dependent double-strand break repair pathway. The second is RecFOR-dependent post-replication gap repair, which involves homologous recombination. The function of the recFOR pathway of recombination is currently not well understood. In E. coli, the recFOR pathway contributes only 0.1–1% of the recombinational activity in the cell (Horii & Clark, 1973) and recF and recR mutants have relatively subtle phenotypes with regard to recombination. Their UV sensitivity is, however, greatly increased. Studies by Courcelle et al. (1997) suggested that recF and recR are required for the resumption of replication at stalled DNA-replication forks. Hence, the primary function of proteins in the recFOR pathway in E. coli may not be recombination, but resumption of DNA replication from existing replication forks. Interestingly, the genome of the closely related bacterium Deinococcus radiodurans, the most radiation-resistant organism known, does not include genes for homologues of the E. coli RecB and RecC proteins (Makarova et al., 2001). Hence, the recFOR pathway could potentially replace some of the RecBCD function in D. radiodurans.

In order to obtain a better understanding of the molecular function of proteins in the recFOR pathway of homologous recombination, recF, recO and recR genes from Thermus thermophilus were cloned for overexpression in E. coli. RecO has been purified and crystallized for structural studies. In addition, purified protein was characterized with respect to DNA binding and a complex of RecO with a DNA oligomer was crystallized.

2. Protein expression and purification

The T. thermophilus open reading frame (ORF) encoding the RecO protein was identified by homology searches of the genome using the protein sequences for RecO from D. radiodurans and E. coli as probes. BLAST searches clearly identified a T. thermophilus ORF with 28 and 21% amino-acid identity with RecO from D. radiodurans and E. coli, respectively. The T. thermophilus recO gene codes for a 24.6 kDa protein with a theoretical pI of 10.1.

The T. thermophilus recO gene was PCR-amplified from genomic DNA. The high GC content (>70%) of the T. thermophilus genome required special protocols for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) procedures. PCR primers were designed to have a melting temperature of at least 343 K and included a 5′ NdeI or 3′ BamHI restriction site. The LA PCR kit, version 2.1, from Takara Shuzo (Shiga, Japan) with a special buffer for GC-rich templates was used for the PCR reaction. The
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best results were obtained with the following reaction cycles: 30 s denaturation at 367 K, 30 s annealing at 331 K and 2 min extension at 345 K. The PCR product was gel-purified, ligated into the high-copy pCR 2.1 TOPO vector from the TOPO TA Cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and transformed into Top10 cells. DNA sequencing verified the correct sequence for the recO clone. The TOPO vector with the recO insert was subsequently digested with NdeI and BamHI and the insert subcloned into the pSBK3 expression vector (a gift from Stephen K. Burley) which encodes an N-terminal hexahistidine tag with a TEV cleavage site (Parks et al., 1994). RecO-pSBK3 was transformed into E. coli strain Rosetta/pLysS (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) for protein expression.

Rosetta/pLysS cells transformed with pSBK3-recO were induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at 310 K for 3 h in LB medium containing 50 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin and 34 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol. A 1 l culture was harvested by centrifugation and sonicated in Tris, 300 mM NaCl pH 7.5 (buffer A) with DNAse I (7.5 μg ml⁻¹) and protease inhibitors leupeptin (1 μg ml⁻¹), aprotonin (2 μg ml⁻¹), pepstatin (1 μg ml⁻¹) and PMSF (170 μg ml⁻¹). The lysate was centrifuged at 220 000 g for 1 h and subsequently packed into a gravity-flow column. The resin was washed with buffer A containing 300 mM imidazole.

The protein was digested with recombinant tobacco etch virus (rTEV) protease (Parks et al., 1994) to remove the histidine tag and purified over a 1.6 ml Poros HS-20 cation-exchange column (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using a 0–1 M NaCl salt gradient in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA. RecO eluted from the column in a single peak at about 800 mM NaCl. SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of the protein-containing fractions showed a single band of 25 kDa molecular weight, as expected for *T. thermophilus* RecO. The peak fractions were pooled and the buffer was exchanged to 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA, 100 mM NaCl. The purified protein was concentrated to about 10 mg ml⁻¹ and stored at 277 K. Determination of the molecular weight of RecO by electrospray ion-trap mass spectrometry revealed a molecular weight of 24 842.01 Da. Dynamic light-scattering analysis of RecO showed a polydispersity of 15%.

### 3. RecO binding to DNA

Previous studies of RecO from *E. coli* showed non-sequence-specific binding of RecO to single-stranded (ss) and double-stranded (ds) DNA (Luigi-DeLuca & Kolodner, 1994). In order to analyze the DNA-binding activity of *T. thermophilus* RecO and to identify suitable oligonucleotides for cocrystallization, we probed RecO binding to DNA in various assays.

Gel electrophoresis of RecO protein in 1.5% agarose gels with 90 mM Tris–borate pH 8.3 (1 × TB), 10 mM MgCl₂ buffer showed a decreased mobility for the protein with increasing concentration of a 31-mer oligonucleotide. A molar ratio of 3:1 (oligonucleotide:protein) was necessary to detect a change in protein mobility (Fig. 1).

Purified oligonucleotides for co-crystallization studies were purchased from MWG Biotech Inc. (High Point, NC, USA). The oligonucleotide A14, dATCGAGCTA-GTT, with a molecular weight of 4303 Da was assayed for complex formation with RecO by cation-exchange chromatography on a Poros HS-20 column. Chromatography of RecO alone resulted in a single peak at 280 nm absorption. Separation of RecO incubated with A14 oligonucleotide resulted in two peaks at 280 nm, one identical to RecO alone and an additional earlier eluting peak with a higher absorption at 260 than at 280 nm (Fig. 2). Since the oligonucleotide alone does not bind to the cation-exchange column (data not shown), the peak with the high Å260/Å280 ratio is most likely to be RecO-oligonucleotide complex. The fact that the complex peak is only 5–10% the size of the RecO peak indicates a relatively low affinity of RecO for the oligonucleotide A14, leading to a fairly unstable complex under chromatographic conditions. However, since even low affinities between protein and ligands are sufficient for co-
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**Figure 1**

Native agarose-gel electrophoresis of RecO and RecO-oligonucleotide complex. 1 μg RecO was preincubated with a 31-mer oligonucleotide in 1:3 (lane 2) and 1:16 (lane 3) molar ratios in 1 × TB, 10 mM MgCl₂ for 1 h at 277 K. RecO alone and the preincubated Rec-oligonucleotide mixtures were separated on a 5% agarose gel in 1 × TB, 10 mM MgCl₂. The mobility of RecO alone is reduced by increasing amounts of bound oligonucleotide.
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**Figure 2**

Cation-exchange chromatography of RecO and RecO-oligonucleotide complex. 100 μg RecO was preincubated with 50 μg A14 oligonucleotide for 1 h at 277 K. RecO alone (lower plot) and preincubated RecO–A14 mixture (upper plot) were loaded onto an HS-20 cation-exchange column in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 mM EDTA buffer and eluted with a linear gradient of 0–1 M NaCl in the same buffer over 20 column volumes. The absorbance of the eluted molecules was recorded at 280 nm (thin lines) and 260 nm (thick line).
crystallization experiments, A14 was used for co-crystallization with RecO.

4. Crystallization

RecO was concentrated to 10 mg ml⁻¹ in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.10 M NaCl for crystallization experiments using the hanging-drop vapour-diffusion method. Initial screening of crystallization conditions with Crystal Screen I (Hampton Research, Laguna Niguel, CA, USA) resulted in crystals in 0.2 M LiSO₄, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 30% PEG 4000. These conditions were optimized to yield large single crystals with a triclinic rod shape. In the final crystallization condition, 0.7 μl RecO protein was combined with 0.7 μl 0.15 M potassium phosphate, 12.5% PEG 4000, 0.1 M Tris pH 9.1 and equilibrated against the precipitant. Single crystals with average dimensions of 0.15 × 0.15 × 0.3 mm grew within 4 d (Fig. 3a).

For cocryocystalization of RecO with the A14 oligonucleotide, RecO at 10 mg ml⁻¹ was preincubated for 1 h at 277 K with A14 in a 1:1.7 molar ratio. Initial crystallization conditions were again determined using Crystal Screen I from Hampton Research conditions with Crystal Screen I (Hampton Research, Laguna Niguel, CA, USA) resulted in crystals in 0.2 M LiSO₄, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 35% PEG 4000. These crystallization experiments, A14 was used for co-crystallization with RecO in complex with A14 oligonucleotide (Fig. 3b). In the final crystallization condition, 0.7 μl RecO protein was combined with 0.7 μl 0.15 M potassium phosphate, 12.5% PEG 4000, 0.1 M Tris pH 9.1 and equilibrated against the precipitant. Single crystals with average dimensions of 0.15 × 0.15 × 0.3 mm grew within 4 d (Fig. 3a).

For cocryocystalization of RecO with the A14 oligonucleotide, RecO at 10 mg ml⁻¹ was preincubated for 1 h at 277 K with A14 in a 1:1.7 molar ratio. Initial crystallization conditions were again determined using Crystal Screen I from Hampton Research conditions with Crystal Screen I (Hampton Research, Laguna Niguel, CA, USA) resulted in crystals in 0.2 M LiSO₄, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 30% PEG 4000. These crystallization experiments, A14 was used for co-crystallization with RecO in complex with A14 oligonucleotide (Fig. 3b). In the final crystallization condition, 0.7 μl RecO protein was combined with 0.7 μl 0.15 M potassium phosphate, 12.5% PEG 4000, 0.1 M Tris pH 9.1 and equilibrated against the precipitant. Single crystals with average dimensions of 0.15 × 0.15 × 0.3 mm grew within 4 d (Fig. 3a).

5. Diffraction data collection

Crystals of RecO alone were soaked in the crystallization mother liquor with a stepwise increasing concentration of glycerol from 10 to 30% prior to cryocooling in liquid nitrogen. The cooled crystal was transferred with cryotongs to a nitrogen cold stream at ~100 K. The presumed RecO–A14 complex crystals were cryocooled directly out of the crystallization drop after a gradual increase of the PEG concentration to 28% by vapour diffusion. Complete X-ray data sets from the RecO crystals alone and in complex with the oligonucleotide A14 were collected at the Advanced Light Source (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory) beamlines 5.01 and 5.02. The RecO and RecO–oligonucleotide complex crystals diffracted to 2.8 Å, 5.0 Å, and 2.5 Å, respectively. Autoindexing and examination of the systematic absences in the data indicate that the space group is P3₁21 or P3₂21, with similar unit-cell parameters for both crystals (Table 1). Assuming one RecO molecule or RecO–A14 complex per asymmetric unit results in 58% solvent content for the RecO crystal and 51.6% solvent content for RecO–A14 complex crystals. All data were processed using the HKL package (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) and TRUNCATE from the CCP4 suite (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994), resulting in complete data sets of good quality.

Using the data sets from both RecO crystal forms, pseudo-precession pictures of various reverse lattice planes for both crystals were generated. The diffraction patterns show significant differences, although the space group and the unit-cell parameters are almost identical in both crystals (Figs. 3c and 3d). The weighted Rmerge on F between the two data sets is 33.9% for the 20–2.8 Å resolution range. These differences in the diffraction intensities indicate that the RecO crystals grown in the presence of A14 oligonucleotide are indeed composed of RecO–A14 complex molecules.

To determine the structure of the RecO–oligonucleotide complex crystals, RecO was co-crystallized with A14 oligonucleotide with 5-Br-deoxyuracil replacing the usual deoxythyminde. The incorporation of the anomalous scatterer Br into the complex crystals will allow phaseing of the diffraction data for structure determination.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X-ray data-collection statistics.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>RecO alone</th>
<th>RecO-A14 complex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3₁21 or P3₂21</td>
<td>P3₁21 or P3₂21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit-cell parameters (Å)</td>
<td>α = β = 92.8, c = 65.8</td>
<td>a = b = 93.4, c = 65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>20.0–2.8</td>
<td>50.0–2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of observations</td>
<td>43444</td>
<td>127725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>8264</td>
<td>11800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.8 (100.0)</td>
<td>99.5 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average I/σ(I)</td>
<td>32.6 (6.0)</td>
<td>70.0 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual Rmerge (%)</td>
<td>4.2 (22.5)</td>
<td>7.2 (49.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosaicity</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Rmerge = Σ |Ii - |I|/|I|, where |I| is the intensity of the ith measurement of reflection hkl and |I| is the average intensity of the reflection.
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