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1.0 ABSTRACT

The Savannah River Site (SRS) contains a large inventory of plutonium (Pu), some of it in the form of Low-Level Waste (LLW). Much of this LLW has been or will be disposed at the E-Area LLW Facility. As part of the permitting of LLW on-site disposal, SRS is required to periodically update the Performance Assessment calculations used in part to establish the facility specific amount of waste that can be safely disposed (or establish the Waste Acceptance Criteria). The objective of this project was to determine if a recent discovered change in plutonium chemistry (i.e., oxidation of PuO₂, a common form of Pu waste, may form plutonium in the more mobile hexavalent form, Haschke et al. (2000)) was within the assumptions, parameters, and bases of the approved Performance Assessment WSRC (2000) and Composite Assessment, WSRC (1997). This project was initiated in 2001, and this is the final report describing laboratory and lysimeter (field) studies.

Results from this year’s work provided additional technical support for the conceptual Pu geochemical model proposed for future risk-based calculations (Kaplan 2004). When lysimeters containing Pu(III) or Pu(IV) were left exposed to the natural environment for 11 years, essentially all of the sediment-bound Pu existed as Pu(IV) and possibly Pu(III), the least mobile forms of Pu. This result was confirmed by two independent measurements, a very sensitive, indirect wet-chemistry method and a less-sensitive, direct spectroscopic technique, micro-X-ray adsorption near-edge structure (micro-XANES) spectroscopy. In these lysimeters, Pu sediment concentrations decreased on average an order-of-magnitude per centimeter for the first 5 cm below the source, an astounding rate of contaminant retardation. When Pu(VI), the more mobile form, which Haschke et al (2000) proposed may form from PuO₂, was added to the lysimeters, the Pu moved faster than it had in the other lysimeters: Pu moving on average 12.5 cm/yr in the Pu(VI) lysimeter, compared to 0.9 cm/yr in the Pu(III) and Pu(IV) lysimeters. Importantly, transport modeling of the data clearly suggested that reduction of the original Pu(VI) occurred, thus most of the transport of the Pu in the lysimeter must have progressed during the early portion of the study, prior to the sediment-induced reduction of Pu(VI). When Pu(V) was added to the lysimeter sediment in a laboratory study, the Pu(V) quickly reduced to Pu(IV) within a couple days. These data together with those from previous reports for this project conclusively show that Pu, irrespective of the form it is introduced into SRS sediments, tends to convert rapidly to the +4, and possible +3, oxidation state, the least mobile form of Pu.
2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 PROJECT HISTORY

One intent of DOE Order 435.1, U.S. DOE (1999a), as expressed in the performance assessment/composite analysis guidance, U.S. DOE (1999b), is to ensure that proposed or discovered changes in waste forms, containers, radionuclide inventories, facility design, and operations are reviewed to ensure that the assumptions, results, and conclusions of the DOE approved performance assessment (WSRC 2000), composite analysis (WSRC 1997), as well as any special analyses that might have been performed, remain valid (i.e., that the change or discovery is bounded by the performance assessment and composite analysis) and the change or discovery is within the bounds of the Disposal Authorization Statement, U.S. DOE. (1999c). The goal of this Order is to provide flexibility in day-to-day operation and to require those issues with a significant impact on the performance assessment's conclusions to be identified and given the proper level of attention. It should be noted that the term performance measure is used to describe site-specific adaptations of the DOE Order 435.1 Performance Objectives and requirements (e.g., performance measures such as applying drinking water standards to the groundwater impacts assessment).

The overall objective of this project was to determine if a recently discovered change in our understanding of plutonium chemistry (discussed below; Haschke et al. 2000) is within the assumptions, parameters, and bases of the approved performance assessment (WSRC 2000) and composite assessment (WSRC 1997). If this change in understanding of Pu chemistry is consistent with the calculations in the performance assessment, then the documents generated from this project would serve as the technical basis for continued disposal of LLW containing Pu. If not, then in order to continue Pu-bearing LLW disposal, the performance assessment and composite assessment would need to be updated as appropriate and DOE approval sought for the update (special analysis or revision of the performance assessment and composite analysis). Alternatively, compensatory measures (e.g., restricting disposal of Pu-bearing waste) could be taken.

The work of Haschke et al. (2000) showed that PuO$_2$ is not the thermodynamically stable form as was previously thought. In humid environments or the presence of water, some of the Pu is oxidized to Pu (VI) with the evolution of hydrogen and the formation of PuO$_{2+x}$, where $x$ can range up to 0.27 (i.e. about 27% of the Pu has been oxidized from the +4 to the +6 oxidation state). The implication of this discovery is that a more mobile form of Pu, Pu (VI), than that assumed in the performance assessment, Pu (IV), could be present in the humid waste disposal environment. If so, then the approved performance assessment might not conservatively bound the effects of Pu waste disposal.

To address this issue, Kaplan and Wilhite (2001) conducted a literature review. They concluded that Haschke’s discovery would not impact LLW disposal at SRS because any Pu(VI) that might form would likely be rapidly reduced to Pu(IV) before it could migrate far from the disposed waste and because the Pu K$_d$ used in the performance assessment was likely a
conservative representation of the Pu oxidation state mixture that could exist in SRS waste. Nonetheless, additional SRS studies were recommended as a component of performance assessment maintenance to demonstrate the fate of Pu(VI) in the SRS environment.

As a result of this latter recommendation, laboratory and field experiments were conducted to provide the technical guidance for a Pu geochemical conceptual model that can be used in future performance assessments and composite analyses. The single most important finding from these studies was regardless of the Pu oxidation state added to SRS sediments, Pu was quickly converted to the less mobile Pu(IV) form (Kaplan et al. 2003, Kaplan et al. 2004). This was demonstrated in several different experiments (steady state and kinetic experiments) and with several SRS sediments under a wide range of experimental conditions. When Pu(V), a mobile form of Pu, was added to a SRS sediment, direct measurements of the oxidation state showed that essentially all of the Pu had been reduced to Pu(IV) within one day. Similarly, when Pu(V) was added to four widely different SRS sediments, the tendency for the Pu to sorb to the sediment changed over time; initially the Pu sorbed weakly to the sediment and after 33 days the Pu sorbed to the sediment in a manner similar to that of Pu(IV). Finally, Pu(VI) was found to be extremely unstable under conditions expected to exist in the SRS subsurface; reducing on the timeframe of minutes from Pu(VI) to Pu(V).

A 52-L lysimeter containing sediment from the SRS and solid Pu(IV) was left exposed to natural wetting and drying cycles for 11 years before the lysimeter sediment was sampled for Pu concentration distribution (Kaplan et al. 2001). The added Pu had traveled 10 cm, with >95% of the Pu remaining within 1.25 cm of the source. Laboratory experiments showed that the lysimeter sediment could readily reduce Pu(V) to Pu(IV). Of particular interest was that this same sediment could potentially be induced to release very low concentrations of sorbed Pu under oxidizing conditions, presumably by oxidation of sorbed Pu(IV) to the more mobile Pu(V) species. Transport modeling supported the postulate that Pu oxidation occurred in the lysimeter sediment; the inclusion of an oxidation term in the model produced simulations that captured the Pu depth profile data. The laboratory and long-term lysimeter studies revealed that both oxidation and reduction mechanisms can play an important role in Pu transport through the vadose zone and should be considered when evaluating disposal of Pu-bearing wastes on the SRS (Fjeld et al. 2004; Kaplan et al. 2003; Kaplan et al. 2004).

Most recently, a conceptual model describing Pu geochemistry in the SRS, along with input values, was developed based on the lysimeter and laboratory work conducted for this project (Kaplan 2004). The conceptual model assumed that Pu existed in two oxidation states, Pu(III/IV) and Pu(V/VI). The experimental results showed that >95% of all Pu existed as Pu(IV) and 1 to 5% existed as Pu(V). It was also assumed that all the Pu in the source term initially existed as Pu(III/IV). Groundwater Pu concentrations in and near the source term were controlled by solubility constraints, as described by a solubility constant for PuO$_2$(s). Pu concentrations after it left the source term and entered the engineered barrier or sediment was controlled by kinetic oxidation-reduction rate terms (describing the conversions between Pu(III/IV) and Pu(V/VI)) and steady state sorption (as described by $K_d$ values). Pu(III/IV) and Pu(V/VI) in the system were assigned unique $K_d$ values and unique first-order oxidation and first-order reduction rate from laboratory experiments (Kaplan et al. 2004). Also included in the conceptual model was colloid-facilitated transport of Pu, for which input values were based on Pu-colloid
concentration field data collected from F-Area groundwater samples (Kaplan et al. 1994). This conceptual model and the input values have since been incorporated into two recent Special Analysis calculations for the slit and engineered trench (Collard and Hiergesell 2004) and at the Intermediate Level Vaults (Flach and Hiergesell 2004). The technically supported conceptual model and input values for the model provided justification for reducing costly conservatism necessary in earlier calculations (McDowell-Boyer 2000).

2.2 SYNCHROTRON X-RAY BASED STUDIES OF Pu OXIDATION STATES IN SEDIMENTS

Part of this project investigated Pu (added as dissolved Pu(III and IV) spiked on filter paper) in soil using in situ spatially resolved synchrotron micro-X-ray fluorescence (micro-SXRF) imaging and micro-X-ray absorption near-edge structure (micro-XANES) spectroscopy. These X-ray based analytical methods complement other ex situ surface characterization methods for spatially-distributed Pu such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM). With these X-ray based methods, we obtained information on the average oxidation state of sorbed Pu in soil and on Pu-containing filter paper on a small, two-dimensional spatial scale (about 100 µm).

Micro-SXRF spectroscopy can be used to produce images of elemental distributions on a microscopic level and, therefore, is a valuable technique for determining the micro-distributions of sorbed Pu among various mineral phases in soil and other geologic material. Once identified by SXRF imaging, isolated regions of Pu enrichment may be probed with micro-XANES and extended-X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) techniques. Hence, measurements of bonding environment of particular species on individual mineral micro-phases can be made on these materials. In situ micro-XANES and -EXAFS techniques have been previously utilized to study the local molecular bonding environments of metal contaminants in heterogeneous environmental systems on the micrometer scale (Bertsch et al. 1994).

Few XANES and EXAFS studies have been performed to characterize the local structural speciation of sorbed Pu on natural and synthetic mineral solids (Duff et al. 1998; Duff et al. 1999). These studies were performed with natural geologic samples that contained a variety of minerals (such as zeolites, trace levels of Fe and Mn oxides, and aluminosilicates) with pH 9, carbonate-dominated groundwater simulant solutions. The form of Pu added was Pu(V) and the minerals found to sorb the Pu were mineral assemblages of Mn oxides and the aluminosilicate mineral, smectite. The Pu-XANES studies show that the Pu(V) was oxidized to Pu(VI) upon sorption to some of the Mn oxide-smectite bodies whereas the sorbed Pu on other Mn oxide-smectite bodies did not undergo a change in average oxidation state.

Spatially-resolved Pu-XANES studies conducted at the Pu-rich Mn oxide-smectite mineral assemblages, previously spiked with Pu(V), indicated the average oxidation states of the Pu was +V and +VI (the results were spatially variable). After 2 years, XANES and EXAFS studies show the sorbed Pu on the Mn oxide-smectite mineral bodies had been reduced to Pu(IV)—suggesting that Pu(IV) may be the most stable oxidation state of sorbed Pu over the long term (Duff et al. 2001). The change in speciation may be due to the disproportionation of Pu.
2.3 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study were:

1. to measure the change of oxidation state of Pu(V) added to the Control (unamended) lysimeter sediment,
2. to measure Pu oxidation state distributions in lysimeter sediments by wet chemistry and synchrotron X-ray based techniques
3. to determine Pu mobility in two additional 11-year-old lysimeters, containing PuIV-oxalate and PuIIICl3, and
4. to compare these results with those previously reported.

The intent of this work was to provide additional technical support for the conceptual model and input values proposed in Kaplan (2004).

3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 LYSIMETER DESCRIPTION

Four lysimeter cores will be compared in this report:

- Lysimeter #7: PuIV(C₂O₄)₂ (Pu-oxalate)
- Lysimeter #8: PuVIo₂(NO₃)₂
- Lysimeter #9: PuIV(NO₃)₄
- Lysimeter #12: PuIIICl₃

Results from the lysimeters containing PuVIo₂(NO₃)₂ and PuIV(NO₃)₄ were previously discussed (Kaplan et al. 2003; Kaplan et al. 2004), but will be included in this report for purposes of comparison with the two most recently analyzed lysimeters containing PuIV(C₂O₄)₂ and PuIIICl₃. The subsurface sediments in these lysimeters were excavated from a shallow trench (or “borrow pit”) in E-Area on the SRS. Selected physical and chemical properties of the sediments are presented in Table 1. The sediment had a pH of about 6.3, low organic matter concentrations and had a rather high concentration of fine particles: 71% sand, 10% silt and 19% clay. The sediment was red, indicating the presence of Fe-oxyhydroxides. X-ray Diffraction analysis identified the presence of goethite and hematite.

During operations, the lysimeters consisted of inverted 52-L bottomless carboys that were connected to separate leachate collection reservoirs (Figure 1). Between 0.5 – 0.7 mCi ²³⁹Pu from aqueous weapons-grade Pu were added in the appropriate oxidation state to a filter paper that was buried 21.6 cm below the lysimeter sediment surface. The lysimeters were left exposed to natural weather conditions for 11 years for all the lysimeters except the lysimeter that received
Pu(VI), it remained in the field for only 2 years.\textsuperscript{1} At the end of the 2 or 11 year duration, cores were collected from the lysimeters and subsequently, total Pu concentrations were measured by alpha spectrometry in 1.25- or 2.5-cm, depth-discrete sediment samples. During operation, leachate from the lysimeter was periodically sampled and analyzed for total aqueous Pu concentrations by alpha spectrometry. A detailed description of the source material preparation is presented in Holcomb (1980).

A detailed description of the procedure used to collect the lysimeter sediment samples as a function of depth is included in Appendix A: Detailed Description of Materials and Methods. A brief description of the procedure follows. Archived lysimeter cores were retrieved from the 4°C refrigerator and placed in a Radiation Area hood. The 53-cm long cores were cut into three ~18-cm lengths using a hack saw. These core sediments were then extruded in 1.25- or 2.5-cm lengths from the metal sleeves by placing in an ELE International Sample Ejector (ELE International, Ltd., London, U.K.). While sectioning the core into 1.25- and 2.5-cm length, care was directed to sample the lower concentration Pu samples before the higher concentration samples to minimize sample cross-contamination. The sediment samples were air dried, homogenized, and then sent to the Analytical Development Section at SRS for microwave digestion followed by total Pu concentration determination by alpha spectroscopy (described in more detail in Section 3.4).

Table 1. Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of the Lysimeter Sediment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM, %</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sand / silt / clay, %</td>
<td>71% / 10% / 19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface area, m(^2) g(^{-1})</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-point-charge (pH)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clay mineralogy</td>
<td>Kaolinite&gt;Goethite&gt;Hematite&gt;Gibbsite&gt;HIV(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total Fe, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>15,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite extractable Fe, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>5346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate extractable Fe, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>2925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total Mn, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dithionite extractable Mn, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oxalate extractable Mn, mg kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Relative concentrations of minerals in clay-size (<2µm) fraction based on XRD analysis (HIV = hydroxyl-interlayered vermiculite).

\textsuperscript{1} The project that installed the lysimeters in 1980 had originally planned to sample the sediments of all lysimeters, but due to changes in schedule and budget, only one lysimeter was sampled after 2 years.
3.2 Pu(V) REDUCTION BY SEDIMENT

The objective of this study was to monitor the oxidation state of sorbed Pu after adding a $^{239}$Pu(V) spike solution to the control (unamended) lysimeter sediment. A 0.3-mL aliquot of a $5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ M $^{239}$Pu(V) spike solution was added to centrifuge tubes containing $24.3 \pm 0.4$ g L$^{-1}$ sediment suspension in 0.02 M NaCl. The spike solution contained $98 \pm 3\%$ Pu(V) at time zero and the aqueous phase contained $99 \pm 6\%$ Pu(V) at the conclusion of the experiment. The samples were mixed in the dark for up to 3000 min. Control samples containing no solid phase were prepared to monitor the stability of Pu(V) over the course of the experiment (no changes in Pu oxidation states were observed in these controls).

The Pu$^{\text{V}}$O$_2^{+}$($\text{aq}$) spike solution was prepared by the method of Satio et al. (1985). Briefly, $6.75 \times 10^{-5}$ M $^{239}$Pu$^{\text{IV}}$(NO$_3$)$_4$ (Isotope Products, Valencia, CA) in 4.0 M HNO$_3$ was mixed with $1 \times 10^{-3}$ M KMnO$_4$ in 1.0 M HNO$_3$ for 6 h in the dark to oxidize Pu(IV) to Pu(VI). Then Pu(VI) was extracted into a solution of 0.5 M TTA in cyclohexane. This organic phase was irradiated using fluorescent room light to reduce Pu(VI) to Pu(V). The Pu(V) was then back-extracted into 0.02 M NaCl at pH 4.5. The final Pu(V) solution was diluted to $1.3 \times 10^{-8}$ M Pu(V) and adjusted to pH 5.0.

3.3 SEDIMENT Pu OXIDATION STATE DISTRIBUTION BY WET CHEMISTRY TECHNIQUES

Pu oxidation state distribution was determined from one sediment sample from each of the following lysimeters: Lysimeter #7: Pu$^{\text{IV}}$(C$_2$O$_4$)$_2$ (Pu-oxalate), Lysimeter #9: Pu$^{\text{IV}}$(NO$_3$)$_4$, and Lysimeter #12: Pu$^{\text{III}}$Cl$_3$. Sediment samples with high Pu sediment concentrations located immediately below the source were selected for this analysis to ensure more accurate analytical-counting statistics.

Pu oxidation state distribution was determined by the method used by Kenney-Kennicutt and Morse (1985) and revised by Morgenstern and Choppin (2002) and Powell et al. (2004). The procedure permits monitoring the oxidation state distribution in both the solid and aqueous phases by measuring the Pu oxidation state before and after acidifying the sample to desorb Pu from the solid phase. Briefly, a 2.5 mL suspension aliquot was passed through a 12-nm filter (Microsep 30K MWCO Omega Membrane Centrifugal Device; Pall Corporation, East Hills, NY) and the filtrate was then analyzed for Pu oxidation state distribution by the thenolytrifluoroacetone (TTA; Alfa Asear, Ward Hill, MA) and bis-(ethyhexyl)-phosphoric acid (HDEHP; Alfa Asear, Ward Hill, MA) method described below. The remaining 7.5 mL of the suspension sample was acidified to pH 1.5 using HClO$_4$. It is assumed that this step quantitatively desorbs all the Pu(V) and Pu(VI) (and some portion of the Pu(IV)); this assumption was tested using Th(IV), Np(V), and U(VI) analogues (also discussed below). The mass balance equation for the acidified sample is:

$$[\text{Pu}]_{\text{total}} = [\text{Pu}(\text{IV})]_{\text{solid}} + [\text{Pu}(\text{IV})]_{\text{aq}} + [\text{Pu}(\text{V})]_{\text{aq}} + [\text{Pu}(\text{VI})]_{\text{aq}}.$$  (1)
Importantly, this is an indirect measurement of oxidation state, and as such is limited by its underlying assumptions. Micro-XANES offers a direct measurement of Pu oxidation states (discussed in Section 2.2). Analogue conformational studies were conducted in which $^{230}$Th(IV), $^{237}$Np(V), or $^{233}$U(VI) were added to a 2.5 g L$^{-1}$ control sediment suspension in 0.02 M NaCl. The samples were mixed in the dark for a one-hour adsorption step. The samples were then acidified to pH 1.5, leached for various times, and passed through a ~12-nm filter. The acidified and filtered samples were subjected to Pu oxidation state analyses, as described above. Additional verification of this technique is presented by Powell et al. (2004). Sediment-free controls containing Pu(IV), Pu(V), and Pu(VI) solutions were also included in the measurements to confirm that oxidation state of the Pu in the aqueous state were not altered during the analysis.

3.4 ANALYTICAL METHODS

Aqueous Pu oxidation state distribution analysis was performed by parallel extraction of the Pu solution into 0.5 M TTA in cyclohexane at pH 0.5 and 0.5 M HDEHP in heptane at pH 0.5 (Bertrand et al. 1982, Kobashi et al. 1988, Neu et al. 1994). Total Pu concentrations were measured with an alpha-beta discriminating liquid scintillation counter (Wallac Inc., Model 1415).

To determine the total Pu concentration in the lysimeter sediment, an aliquot of each sample was aggressively leached with aqua regia (1 part HNO$_3$ to 3 parts HCl). $^{246}$Pu spikes were added to each acid digest as a chemical yield tracer; $^{246}$Pu was not detected in unspiked samples. All of the Pu in the samples was reduced using ferric nitrate followed by hydroxylamine, as well as ferrous sulfamate. An anion complexing reagent (aluminum nitrate) was added, and the solutions were oxidized with sodium nitrite. The Pu was then extracted from the matrix using a TTA solution. The TTA layer was mounted on a counting dish and analyzed by alpha spectroscopy.

The control sediment (lysimeter sediment that did not receive any Pu) was stored moist and in the dark at 4 °C. All characterization and subsequent equilibration tests were conducted with the sediment in the moist state. Moist samples were used in an effort to minimize experimental artifacts introduced by over drying sediments, such as changing the reactivity of Fe-, Al-, and Mn-oxides or oxidizing organic matter. The sediments were characterized for: pH in a 1:1 water-sediment slurry; particle-size distribution by the sieve and hydrometer method; zero-point-of-charge by the point-of-zero-salt effect batch method; and free Fe by the dithionite-citrate buffer method (Sparks 1996). Organic matter was determined by the weight-loss-on-ignition method conducted at 360 °C for 2 h (Schulte et al. 1991). Mineralogy was determined by x-ray diffraction analyses of the <2-µm fraction that was separated by sedimentation. X-ray diffraction was conducted on samples that were subjected to three sequential heat treatments (for mineral identification purposes): 16-h at 25 °C, 16-h at 65 °C, and then 16-h at 300 °C.
Figure 1. Schematic of the lysimeter used in this study. Source consisted of Pu(III), Pu(IV), or Pu(VI) added as a liquid to filter paper and then sandwiched between two clean filter papers. Lysimeters were left exposed to natural conditions for 2 or 11 years.
3.5 X-RAY BASED STUDIES

Microprobe XRF and Pu-XANES data for Pu in filters and sediments recovered from the Pu(III)- and Pu(IV)-amended lysimeters were collected at the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS), at the Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL, Upton, NY) in 2004. For these studies, the synchrotron hard X-ray fluorescence microprobe on the bending magnet at X26A of the NSLS was used with a channel-cut Si(110) monochromator. Microfocusing optics were used to produce the X-ray beam (Eng et al. 1995). A double elliptical Au- or Rh-coated Kirkpatrick-Baez mirror system angled at 2 mrad was used to focus a 350- by 350-µm monochromatic X-ray beam at the Pu L₃ absorption edge (18,054 eV) to a 10 µm vertical by 12 µm horizontal beam (Eng et al. 1995).

Sediment and filter paper samples were contained within fitted plastic inserts with polypropylene and kapton windows, placed in a metal frame and mounted on an automated, digital x-y-z stage at 45° to the beam. Fluorescent X-rays were detected with a Si(Li) energy dispersive (EDS) detector (30 mm² area) mounted at 90° to the incident beam and about 1 cm from the sample. Details of the materials and methods of the sample preparation are included in Appendix A in Section 7.3 Descriptions of the samples prepared for these and future analyses are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. Sample Description for XAS Analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Pu added</th>
<th>Pu-239/40 (dpm/g)</th>
<th>Pu-238 (dpm/g)</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Core #</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>8.01E7</td>
<td>1.67E6</td>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>(1.) Pu oxidation state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dpm/filter</td>
<td>dpm/filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.) Elementally define Pu-colloid composition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>PuCl₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>M-12</td>
<td>Same as #1</td>
<td>Same as #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>3.77E6</td>
<td>7.22E4</td>
<td>Sediment from sample containing filter or directly in contact with filter.</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>1 1 3</td>
<td>(1.) Elemental (perhaps Mn, Fe, map vs. Pu; are there correlations between elemental concentrations and Pu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2.) Pu oxidation state in sediment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.) Is Pu distributed evenly, in clusters, or as colloids?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>PuCl₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sediment directly in contact with filter</td>
<td>M-12</td>
<td>Same as #3</td>
<td>Same as #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>3.77E6</td>
<td>7.22E4</td>
<td>&lt;2-µm sediment from sample containing filter.</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>(1) Does Pu oxidation state change as a function of distance from point source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2) Is Pu in deeper samples colloidal or concentrated in small areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>&lt;2-µm sediment from 1-cm below filter</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>&lt;2-µm sediment from 4-cm below filter</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8</td>
<td>Pu(NO₃)₄</td>
<td>6550</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>&lt;2-µm sediment from 7.28-cm below filter</td>
<td>M-9</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
<td>Same as #5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest Priority

| #9 | Pu(C₂H₄)₂    | 1.39E8            | 2.55E6         | Filter                                   | M-7    | 3        | Same as #1                                                               |
|    |              | dpm/filter        | dpm/filter     |                                          |        |          |                                                                            |
| #10| Pu(C₂H₄)₂    | 4.62E5            | 8370           | Sediment from sample containing filter or directly in contact with filter | M-7    | 3        | Same as #4.                                                               |
3.5.1 XRF Data Acquisition

Elemental mappings on the samples were conducted using micro-SXRF imaging at several areas, ranging from 100 by 100 µm² to 110 by 110 µm² in size. For elements with absorption energies below 18.5 keV, SXRF was performed by collecting 20 s live counts in the elemental regions of interest and rastering the sample in 4 to 5 µm steps in the x-y plane. Elemental imaging was conducted with the Pu-containing filters and for the sediment samples that were directly associated with the Pu-containing filters. The clay fractions (<2 µm particle size fraction) from the two lysimeter soils (Samples #9 and #12; Table 2) were also examined using XRF analyses.

3.5.2 XANES Data Acquisition

The Pu XANES data for oxidation state calibration of Pu was also collected (in 1998) at the NSLS using Pu standards that were provided by Drs. Don T. Reed and Lester Morse (both formerly of Argonne National Laboratory). The standards were embedded in polystyrene resin and placed in Al metal frames. The frames were mounted on an automated, digital x-y-z stage oriented at 45⁰ to the beam. Fluorescent X-rays were detected with a Si(Li) energy dispersive detector (30 mm² area, Canberra) mounted at 90⁰ to the incident beam and 2 cm from the standard mounts. Micro-XANES spectra were collected on the Pu La emission line from 50 eV below the Pu absorption edge to 180 eV above the Pu edge in varying step increments from 0.4 to 2.5 eV. Energy calibration was made with well-characterized Pu solid standards: PuBr₃(s), Pu(IV)O₂(s) and Ba₃Pu(VI)O₆(s) and a Zr foil (K-edge at 17,998 eV).

3.6 REACTIVE TRANSPORT MODELING

A one-dimensional reactive transport model was used to interpret the sediment Pu concentration data from the lysimeter study. In this model, described in Fjeld et al. (2004) all the Pu exists in the +4 and +5 oxidation states, adsorption/desorption occurs in a linear and reversible manner as described by the Kd construct (used in the retardation factors RV and RIV), and oxidation and reduction of Pu are described by first-order kinetics. Additionally, water moves by dispersion and advection through the unsaturated sediment at a constant unsaturated water content estimated as 0.3 cm³ cm⁻³. The system of equations is:

\[
R_V \frac{\partial C_V}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial C_V}{\partial z} + D \frac{\partial^2 C_V}{\partial z^2} - k_r (R_V - 1)C_V + k_o (R_{IV} - 1)C_{IV}
\]  

(2)

and
\[ R_{IV} \frac{\partial C_{IV}}{\partial t} = -v \frac{\partial C_{IV}}{\partial z} + D \frac{\partial^2 C_{IV}}{\partial z^2} + k_r (R_V - 1)C_V - k_o (R_{IV} - 1)C_{IV} \]  

(3)

where;

\[ R_V, R_{IV} = \text{retardation factors for Pu(V) and Pu(IV)}, \]

\[ C_V = \text{Pu(V) aqueous concentration}, \]

\[ C_{IV} = \text{Pu(IV) aqueous concentration}, \]

\[ D = \text{dispersion coefficient}, \]

\[ v = \text{mean seepage velocity}, \]

\[ k_r = \text{reduction rate constant for Pu(V), and} \]

\[ k_o = \text{oxidation rate constant for Pu(IV)}. \]

The mean seepage velocity, \( v \), was calculated to be 445.8 \( \mu \text{m h}^{-1} \), based on the measured average lysimeter discharge rate.

Equations 2 and 3 were solved simultaneously by using a fully implicit finite difference scheme. The Pu(V) and Pu(IV) releases from the filter papers were calculated as source terms in the governing equations at the nodes where the filters were located. Upper boundary conditions were set as zero activity concentration and lower boundaries were set to free boundary conditions for both Pu(V) and Pu(IV). The activity flux through the bottom boundary was calculated for mass balance purposes.

### 4.0 RESULTS

#### 4.1 Pu(V) REDUCTION BY SEDIMENT

To investigate geochemical mechanisms responsible for the Pu depth-profile data observed in the lysimeters, laboratory studies and reactive transport modeling were conducted. For the first laboratory study, the change in Pu oxidation state, both in solution and on the solid phase, was monitored after Pu(V) was added to a series of tubes containing unamended lysimeter sediment suspensions. In a preliminary test, it was necessary to validate the Pu oxidation state analysis by confirming that all the pentavalent and hexavalent Pu quantitatively desorbed upon lowering the sediment pH to 1.5. (It is not necessary for this oxidation state analysis to assume that all Pu(IV) quantitatively desorbs because all desorbed Pu(IV) is quantified in the acidified extraction solution, unique from the Pu(V) and Pu(VI) concentrations.) \(^{230}\text{Th(IV)}, ^{237}\text{Np(V)}, \) and \(^{233}\text{U(VI)}\) were added to the sediment as oxidation state analogues in a 1 h contact and the aqueous concentrations of each analogue was measured (Figure 2). Essentially all the Th(IV) and U(VI), but very little of the Np(V) sorbed to the sediment. Upon lowering the sediment pH to 1.5, all (100 ± 2\%) of the Np(V) and U(VI), and very little (3 ± 1\%) of the Th(IV) appeared in the aqueous phase. This is consistent with previous work (Powell et al. 2004) indicating that Pu(V) and Pu(VI) can be quantitatively desorbed from pure phase iron oxide minerals using a 15 min leaching step while leaving the Pu(IV) sorbed to the sediment.
After adding Pu(V) to the control lysimeter sediment, the fraction of Pu(V) on the sediment decreased by half within 370 min (Figure 3). During this same period, corresponding increases in the percentages of Pu(IV) were observed. By the end of the 2 day experiment, all of the sorbed Pu(V) had been converted to Pu(IV). Noteworthy is that Pu(VI) concentrations slightly increased during the initial stages of the study. The Pu(VI) was not introduced as an impurity with the original spike solution. The spike solution at the start and end of the study consisted of 1 ± 1% Pu(IV), 98 ± 3% Pu(V), and 1 ± 1% Pu(VI). Powell et al. (2004) observed a similar increase in Pu(VI) concentrations when Pu(V) was added to a synthetic magnetite. They attributed the formation of Pu(VI) to the oxidation of Pu(V) by Fe(III) and not to disproportionation. Additionally no disproportionation was observed in control samples without solid phase.

A pseudo-first order rate constant with respect to Pu concentrations, \( k'_{\text{red}} \) (Equation 4), was calculated from the disappearance of Pu(V) using the data in Figure 3:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{[\text{Pu}(IV)]}{1 - [\text{Pu}(IV)]_{\text{initial}}} \right) = -k'_{\text{red}} t
\]  

(4)

The calculated rate constant was 0.112 ± 0.007 h^{-1}. By way of comparison, Fjeld et al. (2003) reported a pseudo first-order rate constant for a sandy Savannah River Site sediment at pH 5 of 0.05 ± 0.002 h^{-1}. The slower rate measured by Fjeld et al. (2003) can be attributed to the less reactive nature of the sandy versus the loamy texture of the sediment used in this study.
Plutonium(V) reduction is believed to be induced by various Fe(II)- or Fe(III)-bearing minerals commonly identified in these sediments, such as ilmenite (FeTiO$_3$), biotite (K[Mg,Fe]$_5$[Al,Fe]Si$_3$O$_{10}$[OH,F]$_2$), magnetite/maghemite (Fe$^{II}$/Fe$^{III}$$_2$O$_4$/γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$), goethite (α-FeOOH) and hematite (α-Fe$_2$O$_3$) or by microbial activity (Denham et al. 1999). The soil contained total elemental concentrations of 630 mg kg$_{soil}^{-1}$ Mn and 15,888 mg g$_{soil}^{-1}$ Fe (Table 1).
Figure 3. Top: Oxidation state of sediment Pu following aqueous Pu(V) addition to lysimeter sediment suspensions (suspended solids = 25,000 mg L\(^{-1}\); background electrolyte = 0.02 M NaCl; mean and standard deviation of 2 replicates). Bottom: Pseudo first-order reduction calculation using Equation 4.
4.2 SEDIMENT Pu OXIDATION-STATE DISTRIBUTION BY WET CHEMISTRY TECHNIQUES

Sediment samples containing the greatest total Pu concentrations from each of three lysimeters [Pu\textsuperscript{III}Cl\textsubscript{3}, Pu\textsuperscript{IV}(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}, and Pu\textsuperscript{IV}(C\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}] were selected for Pu oxidation-state distribution analyses (Figure 4). The dominant oxidation state of Pu in all three lysimeters was Pu(IV), accounting for >95% of the total Pu. This confirms the conclusion from the Pu(V)-reduction experiment described above (Section 4.1) that Pu(IV) is clearly the predominant oxidation state of Pu in SRS sediments. The second most abundant oxidation state was Pu(III/V), which accounted for essentially the remainder of the sediment Pu. Pu(III) and Pu(V) can not be differentiated by this extraction sequence, and for that reason they are grouped together in one category. Much of the Pu(III) added to the Pu\textsuperscript{III}Cl\textsubscript{3} lysimeter sediment had been oxidized to Pu(IV). This conversion to a more oxidized state was expected based on thermodynamic considerations (Kaplan and Wilhite 2001). The sediment from the PuCl\textsubscript{3} lysimeter contained the greatest concentration of Pu(III/V), 5.2 ± 1.0 wt-%, presumably some of which remained as Pu(III). Finally, trace amounts of Pu(VI) were measured in all three sediments. We included this fraction because it has the potential of being importance to Pu geochemistry, albeit in trace concentrations, and it is consistent with the findings of the Pu reduction by sediment experiment, in which trace Pu(VI) concentrations were also measured (Section 4.1 and Figure 3).
Figure 4. Plutonium oxidation-state distribution in three lysimeter sediments (average and standard deviation at one sigma of three replicate measurements).

4.3 X-RAY BASED CHARACTERIZATION OF SEDIMENTS AND FILTERS

4.3.1 Micro-XRF Imaging

A representative microprobe-XRF spectrum that was acquired for one of the Pu-containing sediment samples (sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄) is shown in Figure 5. For elemental mapping with XRF, regions of interest must be defined in the plot by selecting an energy range (in keV) that is specific for the fluorescence emitted by the element of interest. Regions of interest for the elements such as Ca, Pb, iron (Fe) and Pu (among others) in sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ are denoted in blue in Figure 5. Elemental images based on microprobe XRF spectra can be acquired by rastering the sample in x and y dimensions and collecting counts in each of the specified energy regions of interest. Several elemental maps for sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7.
A correlation XRF plot for Fe versus Pu is shown in Figure 8. This plot shows that Pu in sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ is not always associated with iron (with the Fe most likely originating from Fe-oxide minerals in the SRS sediment). This type of relationship (where Pu-concentrated regions in the sediment are not always correlated with the presence of Fe) was common for both Pu(III)- and Pu(IV)-spiked sediments (from Lysimeters #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ and #12–PuCl₃; micro-images of these sediments are presented in Section 8.0 Appendix B: Additional Micro-XRF Elemental Maps and in Figure A 1 through Figure A 4). It is possible that this form of Pu, which was not associated with the other elements that were imaged by XRF, is in a colloidal form. However, these XRF data cannot be used to provide information about the Pu form in these concentrated regions. Further verification of this form would require additional bulk or possibly microprobe extended X-ray absorption fine-structure (EXAFS) spectroscopic analyses, which, given the high Pu levels in the sediment, should be possible.

Despite our previous ability to radiometrically count the Pu levels in the sediments, the Pu concentrations (on a mass basis) in the clay fractions isolated from the sediments in Lysimeters #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ and #12–PuCl₃ were not high enough for quality XRF imaging so elemental maps were not performed. We estimate that these concentrations were in the range of sub µg Pu per kg of clay.

4.3.2 Micro-XANES

Microprobe Pu-XANES measurements, which provide information on the average oxidation state of Pu at small spatial regions were conducted with the Lysimeters #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ and #12–PuCl₃ sediment and filter samples. Specifically, these XANES analyses were conducted on the Pu source terms, i.e., the Pu-spiked filters, the Pu-containing sediments in direct contact with the filters and when possible on the clay fractions associated with the underlying sediment in the lysimeters. Plutonium concentrations on the filters and in the sediments in contact with the filters were suitable for Pu-XANES measurements. However, the Pu concentrations on the clay fractions were typically too low for suitable XANES data acquisition. The differences in total Pu counts between the sediments in contact with the filters and the clay fractions from the sediments that were sampled at greater depths were often three orders of magnitude.

A table representing the Pu–XANES oxidation state results for the two filters and underlying sediment material is shown in Table 3. The values for Pu(III) and Pu(IV) are based on the assumption that: 1) only Pu(III) and Pu(IV) are present in the samples and 2) that the Pu(III) and Pu(IV) oxidation state standards provide an accurate estimation of Pu-XANES edge energy calibration for our samples. The average oxidation state of Pu in the filter paper from the two lysimeters is somewhat related to the initial oxidation state of Pu added. For example, the average amount of Pu(III) on the filter paper that had Pu initially added as Pu(III) is higher than in the other filter paper which had Pu added in the tetravalent form.

The Pu-XANES spectra acquired for regions “A” and “C” on the sediment sample from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ in addition to XANES spectra for three Pu oxidation state standards are
shown in Figure 9. The XANES data analyses are based on the half-height of the normalized absorption edge step for Pu at the Pu L$_3$ edge (Duff et al. 1999). The Pu-XANES spectrum taken from region “A” contained 86 % Pu(IV) with the remainder being Pu(III). The spectra taken from region “C” contained 45% Pu(IV) and 55% Pu(III). Calibration error estimates on these values are estimated to be 25%. [Actual locations on the Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ sediment sample are shown in Figure 7-b; upper right image.]

The wet chemistry analyses of the Pu oxidation state distributions presented in Section 4.2 and those made by Pu-XANES clearly indicate that essentially no Pu(V) or Pu(VI) existed in these systems. This is an important finding insofar that it provides two independent estimates of the Pu oxidation state distribution, and both estimates indicate that the Pu exists almost entirely in the reduced, less mobile form. The wet chemistry method indicated that Pu(V) and Pu(VI) accounted for <5% of the Pu (Figure 4). Pu-XANES analyses did not detect any Pu(VI) and detected Pu(V) in only 1 of the 27 spectra collected (Table 3; the one spectrum was taken of the PuCl$_3$ filter). One inconsistency between the two types of measurement was that the Pu-XANES estimated that more Pu existed in the +3 state than did the wet chemistry technique. As noted above, additional XANES spectra must be collected of appropriate Pu standards materials to verify the Pu distributions presented in Table 3. This inconsistency, although important for fully understanding Pu geochemistry, does not influence the proposed conceptual model of Pu geochemistry in the SRS subsurface (Kaplan 2004) because the proposed model pools Pu(III) and Pu(IV) together, treating them as one Pu species, distinct from the oxidized Pu, Pu(V/VI).

Figure 5. Microprobe-XRF spectrum taken at region “A” on sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$. This sediment sample was taken from where the Pu(IV)-spiked filter made contact with the sediment. Elemental regions of interest (shown in blue) were selected for calcium, iron, manganese, zinc, lead, uranium, Pu, and zirconium.
Figure 6. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Pu(NO₃)₄ lysimeter: (top left) calcium, (top right) iron, (bottom left) manganese, and (bottom right) zinc. Figures 7 and 8 include maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Figure 7. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Pu(NO₃)₄ lysimeter: (top left) lead, (top right) plutonium, (bottom left) uranium, and (bottom right) zirconium. Several regions including those labeled as “A” and “C” were examined using Pu-XANES spectroscopic techniques. Figures 6 and 8 include maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Figure 8. Correlation plot of microprobe-SXRF image of plutonium (red) and iron (blue) in sediment next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ lysimeter; the highest plutonium-iron correlations are represented in pink. Figures 6 and 7 include maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Table 3. The calculated average distribution for Pu(III) and Pu(IV) in the lysimeter samples based on edge energy in comparison to spectra for Pu(IV) in PuO$_2$(s) and Pu(III) in PuBr$_3$(s).\(^{(a)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysimeter Sample</th>
<th>Average % Pu(IV) with Sample Standard Deviation(^{(b)})</th>
<th>Average % Pu(III) with Sample Standard Deviation(^{(b)})</th>
<th>Number of XANES Measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filter paper from lysimeter #9 [Pu originally added as Pu(IV)]</td>
<td>77 ± 17</td>
<td>21 ± 17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter paper from lysimeter #12 [Pu originally added as Pu(III)]</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
<td>52 ± 3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment in contact with filter from lysimeter #9–Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$</td>
<td>63 ± 18</td>
<td>38 ± 18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment in contact with filter from lysimeter #12–PuCl$_3$(^{(c)})</td>
<td>65 ± 17</td>
<td>35 ± 17</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(a)}\) The use of Pu(IV)-nitrate and Pu(III)-chloride oxidation standards is recommended because it is possible that the XANES absorption edge can be influenced by the local environment as presented by Conradson et al. (2004). These analyses will need to be revisited once additional spectra are acquired for these other Pu standards. It is possible that these oxidation state values below could be shifted linearly to a more oxidized distribution of Pu [i.e, more Pu(IV) rich] than what is shown if the Pu-XANES results with other oxidation state standards indicate this to be the case.

\(^{(b)}\) Only Pu(III) and Pu(IV) species were assumed to be present for these calculations.

\(^{(c)}\) One of the XANES analyses for this sample showed 58% Pu(IV) and 42% Pu(V) and this measurement assumed that only Pu(IV) and Pu(V) were present in this sample.
Figure 9. The Pu-XANES spectra for the standards [Pu(IV) and (VI)] and regions “C” and “A” on the sediment taken next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄. The spectra demonstrate the edge energy shift with oxidation state at the half height of the edge step (i.e., when Normalized Fluorescence Counts = 0.5). The average edge energy for sediment from Lysimeter #9–Pu(NO₃)₄ (region C) is roughly midway between Pu(III) and Pu(IV) which, based on relative edge energy, would be consistent with a mixed Pu(III/IV) species.
4.4 PLUTONIUM CONCENTRATIONS AS A FUNCTION OF DEPTH IN THE LYSIMETERS

Total Pu concentration data from the PuO$_2$(NO$_3$)$_2$ and Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ have previously been reported (Kaplan et al. 2003). This data will be included in this study to permit comparison between all four lysimeters characterized to date.

4.4.1 Comparison of Sediment Pu Concentration Distributions in Pu(III) and Pu(IV) Treated Lysimeter Cores

Total Pu concentration depth-profiles in the two Pu(IV) lysimeters and one Pu(III) lysimeter were nearly identical for the surface 12 cm (Figure 10). This is consistent of the conclusion made from the wet-chemistry analyses that the sediment Pu oxidation state distributions in these three lysimeters were very similar and that the mode of transport is also very similar (Figure 3). The striking similarity of these data also suggests that the data are reproducible, which in turn provides additional reassurance of the data quality.

Below the 12-cm depth, it is not clear why the total Pu concentrations in the PuCl$_3$ lysimeter increased as depth increased, unlike the two other lysimeters. The cause for this unexpected result is not known.
4.4.2 Comparison of Sediment Pu Concentration Distributions in Pu(IV) and Pu(VI) Treated Lysimeter Cores

A striking difference was observed between the concentration profiles of the Pu(VI) lysimeter and those of the Pu(III) and Pu(IV) lysimeters (Figure 11). The Pu(VI) profile was measured after the lysimeter had been in the field for only two years, whereas the other profiles were measured after the lysimeters had been in the field for 11 years. The Pu in the Pu(VI) lysimeter had moved appreciably faster than in the other lysimeters: Pu traveled on average 12.5 cm/yr in the Pu(VI) lysimeter, whereas Pu traveled 0.9 cm/yr in the Pu(III) and Pu(IV) lysimeters. Modeling of the Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ and the Pu$^{VI}$(O$_2$(NO$_3$)$_4$ lysimeters has previously been reported in Kaplan et al. (2003). The most important conclusions from the modeling are that:

- In order to capture the shape of the Pu depth profile data in the Pu$^{IV}$(NO$_3$)$_4$ lysimeter, a reduction and an oxidation kinetic term had to be included in the simulation (Figure 12). The reduction rate was five orders of magnitude greater than the oxidation rate; consistent in difference (but not magnitude) as laboratory measurements of these two reaction rates.
• In order to capture the shape of the Pu depth profile data in the Pu\textsuperscript{VI}O\textsubscript{2}(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2} lysimeter, a reduction, but not an oxidation kinetic term had to be included in the simulation (Figure 13). Inclusion of an oxidation term either did not change or made predicted values worse.

One possible explanation for why an oxidation term improved the model fit of the Pu(IV) data and not the Pu(VI) data is because the latter was conducted for only two years, and the relatively slow oxidation rate had not had sufficient time to manifest itself. Whereas in the three Pu(III) or Pu(IV) lysimeters, the 11 year transport period was sufficiently long to permit the slow oxidation reaction to manifest itself in the Pu concentration depth profile data.
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**Figure 11.** Total Pu concentration of four lysimeters after two or 11 years.
Figure 12. Normalized total Pu sediment concentrations (Pu/Pu₀) in the Pu⁴⁺(NO₃)₄ amended lysimeter. Diamond symbols represent measured values. Simulation input values (ko and kr are the first-order rate constants for Pu(IV) oxidation and Pu(V/VI) reduction, respectively): (1) ko = 0 h⁻¹, kr = 0 h⁻¹; (2) ko = 1e⁻⁵ h⁻¹, kr = 0 h⁻¹; (3) ko = 1e⁻⁸ h⁻¹, kr = 1.2e⁻³ h⁻¹. A reduction and oxidation term was included in simulation (3).
Figure 13. Normalized total Pu sediment concentrations (Pu/Pu₀) in the Pu⁴⁺O₂(NO₃)₂–amended lysimeter. Diamond symbols represent measured values. Simulation input values (ko and kr are the first-order rate constants for Pu(IV) oxidation and Pu(V/VI) reduction, respectively): (1) ko = 0 hr⁻¹, kr = 0 hr⁻¹; (2) ko = 0 hr⁻¹, kr = 1e⁻⁵ hr⁻¹; (3) ko = 0 hr⁻¹, kr = 2.0e⁻⁴ hr⁻¹; and (4) ko = 1.0e⁻⁶ hr⁻¹, kr = 2.0e⁻⁴ hr⁻¹. There was near identical simulations with or without an oxidation term, simulation 4 and 3, respectively.
5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Results from this work were entirely consistent with previous findings on the geochemistry of Pu in SRS sediments, and as such provide additional technical support for the conceptual Pu geochemical model put forth by Kaplan (2004). When lysimeters containing Pu(III) or Pu(IV) were left exposed to the natural environment for 11 years, >95% of the sediment-bound Pu existed as Pu(IV). In these lysimeters, Pu sediment concentrations decreased on average an order-of-magnitude per centimeter for the first 5 cm below the source, a rapid rate of contaminant retardation. When Pu(VI), a highly mobile but chemically unstable oxidation state of Pu, was added to the lysimeters, Pu moved faster than it had in the other lysimeters: Pu moving on average 12.5 cm/yr in the Pu(VI) lysimeter, compared to 0.9 cm/yr in the Pu(III) and Pu(IV) lysimeters. Importantly, transport modeling of the data clearly suggested that reduction of the original Pu(VI) occurred, thus most of the transport of the Pu in the lysimeter would have occurred during the early portion of the study, prior to the sediment-induced reduction of Pu(VI). When Pu(V) was added to the lysimeter sediment in a laboratory study, the Pu(V) quickly reduced to Pu(IV) within a couple days on the soil and remained as Pu(V) in solution. These data together with those from previous reports for this project show that the majority of Pu, irrespective of the form it is introduced into SRS sediments, naturally tends to convert to the least-mobile oxidation state, the +4 oxidation state.
6.0 REFERENCES


APPENDIX A: DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF MATERIALS AND METHODS
7.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR RECOVERING DEPTH-DISCRETE SAMPLES FROM THE MINI-LYSIMETER SEDIMENT M-7 CORE CONTAINING PU(C_2O_4)_2

2. Record all notes on column label into lab notebook.
3. Tamped sediment in column so that sediment is compacted at the bottom.
4. Measured total length of soil column is between 16.875 and 17.875 in (avg = 17.375 inches).
5. Cut column at ~7 and ~14 inches from bottom.
6. Extruded sediment using ELE International Sample Ejector in ½- and 1-inch sections in the order shown in Table 1.
7. Sample ID# 700, 14 – 17.375 inches from bottom, will be collected by digging out sediment from the 14 – 30 inch section of tube. We may attempt to further divide this section into 15 – 16 and 16 – 17.375 inches.
8. Place sediment in plastic weighing boats and then place the sediment-holding weighing boats in zip-locked bags.
9. Submitted ~3-g air-dried sample to ADS for
   - Sodium-Peroxide Fusion Digestion and Nitric Acid Uptake
   - Pu-239/Pu-238 concentrations of total digestion (Check with Dave Diprete)
### Table 1. Actual Sample Collection Order and Depth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sample Collection Order</th>
<th>Tube Segment</th>
<th>Distance from Bottom, Slice Bottom (in)</th>
<th>Distance from Bottom, Slice Top (in)</th>
<th>Slice Thickness (in)</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>704</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>705</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>706</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>moist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>707</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 to 6.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>moist, slanted bottom due to non-square cutting of tube; bottom slice surface from 6 to 6.5 in. Sliced core to give flat surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Filter located at 7 inches. Filter largely in tack and was in 1 piece. 2 or 3 pieces attached to soil that accounted for &lt;3% of filter. Removed filter from soil sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>709</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Filter located at 7 in. See note above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Filter located at 7 in. See note above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>711</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>713</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>714</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>715</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.875</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>716</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.5 to 9.875</td>
<td>9.875</td>
<td>10.875</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>717</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>10.875</td>
<td>11.875</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>718</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>11.875</td>
<td>12.875</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>719</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>12.875</td>
<td>13.875</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dry. This sample totally crumbled &amp; fell out of core. Slice top &amp; bottom were estimated based on measurements from top &amp; bottom of core. Contained 1 in rock; threw away.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>13.875</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>Dry. Large portion of sample was not collected because it got contaminated with other sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>721</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16.625</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.875 to 17.375</td>
<td>16.625</td>
<td>17.375</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>Dry. Top of core actually sloped from 16.25 to 17.75. After this slice was removed, the core was flat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>723</td>
<td>Filter**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sediment from: &quot;Control&quot; M-11 Minilysimeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>724</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Filter:** The filter had a shape of a flattened circle with one dimension of 1 inch and a second dimension of 0.875 inches. The filter was cut in 4 equal parts. One section was submitted for total analysis. When the filter was cut, it broke apart, showing the 2 individual filters used to sandwich the Pu(C2H4)2. An army green color circle could be seen in the middle of both filter papers; it form a circle with a diameter of ~1-cm (3/8 inch). This color is likely not the Pu(C2H4)2, but instead is algae that fed on the C2H4. Pu(IV) is typically blue, whereas Pu(III) is green. Furthermore, you need ~0.1 Ci Pu-239 to see any color. The filter received only about 0.0005 Ci Pu-239.
7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR RECOVERING DEPTH-DISCRETE SAMPLES FROM THE MINI-LYSIMETER SEDIMENT M-12 CORE CONTAINING PU\textsuperscript{III}Cl\textsubscript{3}

2. Record all notes on column label into lab notebook.
3. Tamped sediment in column so that sediment is compacted at the bottom.
4. Measured total length of sediment column: 15.625 inches.
5. Cut column 7 and 14 inches from bottom.
6. Extruded sediment using ELE International Sample Ejector in ½- and 1-inch sections in the order shown in Table 1. Sample ID# 1220, 14 – 15.625 inches from bottom, will be collected by digging out sediment from the 14 – 30 inch section of tube.
7. Place sediment in plastic weighing boats and then place the sediment-holding weighing boats in zip-locked bags.
8. Submitted ~3-g air-dried sample to ADS for
   - Sodium-Peroxide Fusion Digestion and Nitric Acid Uptake
   - Pu-239/Pu-238 concentrations of total digestion (Check with Dave Diprete).
Table 1. Sample Collection Order and Description (0 inches = bottom of core, 17 inches = top of core).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original ID</th>
<th>Sampling Sequence</th>
<th>Casings Targeted Height (inches)</th>
<th>Actual Sample Height (inches)</th>
<th>Actual Sample Thickness (inches)</th>
<th>Actual Bottom of Sample (inches)</th>
<th>Actual Top of Sample (inches)</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1219</td>
<td>14 - 30</td>
<td>15.625 – 17.0</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>15.625</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>~140 mL of dry sediment layed loose in the tube, separate from the moist sediment core. It was collected in this sample and estimated to be ~1.375 inches thick. Inner diameter of core casing = 2.813 inches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1220</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14 – 15.625</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15.625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1223</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>12.5 – 14</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>13.125</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>11.5 – 12.5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>13.125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>10.5 – 11.5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>11.375</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1226</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>9.5 – 10.5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1227</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>8.5 – 9.5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>9.625</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1228</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>8 – 8.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.125</td>
<td>9.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1229</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>7.5 – 8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.625</td>
<td>9.125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>7 – 7.5</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>8.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1231</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>added sample</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>Filter located at 7.25 inches from bottom (at interface between samples 1239b &amp; 1239c). (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1232</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 - 14</td>
<td>added sample</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>A clump of sediment in contact with the filter was removed from this sample to do XAS. This will lower the measured Pu concentration in this sample. (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0 - 7</td>
<td>0 – 1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Had difficulty pushing sediment through the 0 – 7 in casing. Ended up squeezing out water. Definitely compressed sample during sampling. The sample was compressed ~0.5 in from the 7 in core. This 0.5 inches was evenly distributed between Samples 1221-1229. The liquid was acidified &amp; sent off for total Pu analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1234</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 - 7</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1235</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0 - 7</td>
<td>2 – 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>3.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1236</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0 - 7</td>
<td>3 – 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.375</td>
<td>4.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1237</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>4 – 4.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.375</td>
<td>4.875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1238</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>4.5 – 5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.875</td>
<td>5.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>5 – 5.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.375</td>
<td>5.875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239c</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>5.5 – 6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.875</td>
<td>6.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1239b</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>6 – 6.5</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>6.375</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample from control lysimeter M-8, that did not receive any Pu.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1231</td>
<td>¼ filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>¼ of entire filter was sent off for analysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 1. Collected 2 petri dishes. One contains filter. Second contains sediment immediately below and in contact with filter (from sample #1239c; 7 - 7.25 in).
2. Entire filter was collected. It had a 1 inch diameter.
3. All sediment samples were moist, except 1219.
4. Prior to cutting the core open, it was predicted that the filter holding the PuCl₃ was located 5.75 inches from the bottom because Core M-9, Pu⁴⁺(NO₃)₄, also consisted of a 15.625-inch sediment core and its filter was located at the height above the core bottom. Ordered the sample collection to minimize smearing Pu upward, that is, smearing Pu towards the top of the core.
7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR PREPARING NATIONAL SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCE SAMPLES

Objective: Preparing sample mounts from the Pu lysimeters to be analyzed off-site at the National Synchrotron Light Source located at Brookhaven National Laboratory. For practice, we will prepare some non-rad sample mounts. Once we develop the necessary techniques and Martine agrees they are OK, we will prepare the actual rad mounts.

Reminder:
- Lysimeter M-9 contained Pu(NO₃)₄
- Lysimeter M-12 contained PuCl₃
- Lysimeter M-7 contained Pu(C₂H₄)₂

Materials:

1. 6 sample holders (2 extra) (from Martine)
2. 6 1-inch round glass slides (from Martine)
3. kapton tape (from Martine)
4. Super glue (order)
5. Filter from M-9 (the entire recovered filter was submitted to ADS for total Pu activity analysis. We will use filter still stuck to clogs of soil separated from other samples and stored in jar. – Sample ID#1
6. Filter from M-12 – Sample ID#2
7. Filter from M-7 – Sample ID#9
8. Sediment from as close as possible to M-9 filter. I believe we had a separate baggie that held soil + filter; it is from this baggie that we want to sample from. – Sample ID#3
9. Sediment from the aggregate we saved in the Petri dish that was in contact with M-12 filter – Sample ID#4
10. Sediment from as close as possible to M-7 filter. The filter was separated from the sediment in baggie #710. Although, I believe we had a separate baggie that held soil + filter; it is from this baggie that we want to sample from. – Sample ID#10
11. When we prepared samples for SEM analysis, we collected <2-µm (clay) fraction for sample #227 (6.125 – 6.375 inch height) from M-9. We need a couple particles from this for Sample ID#5.
12. When we prepared samples for SEM analysis, we collected <2-µm (clay) fraction for sample #228 (5.625 – 6.125 inch height) from M-9. We need a couple particles from this for Sample ID#6.
13. Sediment #223 (3 – 4 inch height) from M-9 - Sample ID#7.
14. Sediment #225 (4.5 – 5 inch height) from M-9 - Sample ID#8.
15. Sediment from the control lysimeters (M-9) for practicing making slide mounts.
16. Practice, non-rad filter for practicing making slide mounts.
17. 25g/0.5L Hexametaphosphate (HMP) Stock Solution
18. 10% HMP Solution: Bring 200mL HPM Stock Solution up to 2-L with water
19. 270-mesh (53µm) sieve
Methods:

1. The R&D Hazards Screening Checklist is located in WSRC–NB–203-00251 on page 23.
2. Cathy, I will work closely with you in the lab to develop laboratory techniques to mount these samples. Do steps 3 – 8 on lab bench top; this is non-rad work.
3. Cut a practice, non-rad filters into ~1/8 x 1/8 inch square; precise size is not important.
4. Add Super Glue to ~¼ inch diameter area on a glass circular slide.
5. Quickly place 1/8 inch filter on Super Glue spot. Make sure filter is flat, no air pockets.
6. Add control (non-rad) sediment and/or clay sample to glue area in a tightly packed, 2-dimensional manner, i.e., flat.
7. Let glue dry. Place a small piece of special kapton tape over sample.
8. Ask Connie to carefully smear the tape (or at least look at the sample and think about how she will eventually smear these samples). I would like her to get practice smearing this tiny sample; she will need to be careful not to destroy our sample.
9. Once we develop our technique we will try to put the 10 samples on 4 sample holders (4 slides). We may need to use more slide holders. We’ll see.

Clay Separation from Bulk Sediment

11. Sample ID#7 & #8 need to be prepared. The clay-size fractions of these two sediments need to be collected as follows.
12. Pass 15g of both sediments through the 270-mesh sieve (53-µm opening). Wipe sieve very well with a slightly moist Kimwip and then a dry Kimwip between the two samples. Be sure to dry very well between uses.
13. Add 230mL of 10% HPM Solution to a 250-mL large-mouth Nalgene bottle. Transfer the <53µm clay/silt fraction into solution. Put on rotating shaker overnight.
14. Shake vigorously by hand for 1 minute. Mark top of water line and 5.9 cm below water line with a Sharpie. Loosen top and set in hood for 4.5 hours. Siphon suspension from the top 5.9 cm and save clay suspension. (Let me know if you need help with this step.)
15. Bring volume back up to 230mL with 10% HMP Solution.
17. Collect <2µm particles. They need to be air dried for mounting on slide.
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8.0 APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL MICRO-XRF ELEMENTAL MAPS
Figure A 1. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(III)-spiked filter in PuCl₃ lysimeter (Sample #4): (top left) calcium, (top right) iron, (bottom left) manganese, and (bottom right) zinc. Figure A1 includes maps of other elements from the same sample location. Figure A2 includes maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Figure A 2. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(III)-spiked filter in PuCl$_3$ lysimeter (Sample #4): (top left) lead, (top right) plutonium, (bottom left) uranium, and (bottom right) zirconium. This soil sample was taken from the region where the Pu(III)-spiked filter was in contact with the sediment. Figure A1 includes maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Figure A 3. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ lysimeter: (top left) calcium, (top right) iron, (bottom left) manganese, and (bottom right) zinc. Figure A4 include maps of other elements from the same sample location.
Figure A 4. Micro-SXRF elemental map images of sediment next to the Pu(IV)-spiked filter in Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ lysimeter: (top left) lead, (top right) plutonium, (bottom left) uranium, and (bottom right) zirconium. Figure A3 includes maps of other elements from the same sample location.